



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Elena Tolkacheva,
University of Minnesota Twin Cities,
United States

*CORRESPONDENCE
Maxim Ryzhii,
✉ m-ryzhii@u-aizu.ac.jp

RECEIVED 09 May 2023
ACCEPTED 23 May 2023
PUBLISHED 02 June 2023

CITATION
Ryzhii M and Ryzhii E (2023),
Corrigendum: A compact
multi-functional model of the rabbit
atrioventricular node with dual pathways.
Front. Physiol. 14:1219583.
doi: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1219583

COPYRIGHT
© 2023 Ryzhii and Ryzhii. This is an
open-access article distributed under
the terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright
owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution
or reproduction is permitted which does
not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: A compact multi-functional model of the rabbit atrioventricular node with dual pathways

Maxim Ryzhii^{1*} and Elena Ryzhii²

¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Aizu, Aizu-Wakamatsu, Japan,
²Department of Anatomy and Histology, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan

KEYWORDS

atrioventricular node, rabbit heart model, aliev-panfilov model, dual pathway, conduction curve, coupling asymmetry, retrograde conduction, laddergram

A Corrigendum on

A compact multi-functional model of the rabbit atrioventricular node with dual pathways

by Ryzhii M and Ryzhii E (2023). *Front. Physiol.* 14:1126648. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1126648

In the published article, there was an error in **Figure 9** as published. The position of tick bars on some axes in the **figure** was incorrect, there was a mistake in the *x*-axis titles in panels A, and the legends in panels B were wrong. The corrected **Figure 9** and its caption “Comparison of experimental (Reid et al., 2003) (left panels) and simulated (right panels) anterograde and retrograde recovery curves in the control case and after FP and SP ablations. (A) Experimental recovery curves in the control case and after FP ablation and simulated recovery curves. (B) Experimental recovery curves in the control case and after SP ablation and simulated recovery curves. Dashed lines correspond to the simulated retrograde conduction in the control case without coupling asymmetry” appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.




FIGURE 9

Comparison of experimental (Reid et al., 2003) (left panels) and simulated (right panels) anterograde and retrograde recovery curves in the control case and after FP and SP ablations. **(A)** Experimental recovery curves in the control case and after FP ablation and simulated recovery curves. **(B)** Experimental recovery curves in the control case and after SP ablation and simulated recovery curves. Dashed lines correspond to the simulated retrograde conduction in the control case without coupling asymmetry.