Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Matthew J. Gage, University of Massachusetts Lowell, United States

REVIEWED BY Charles S. Chung, Wayne State University, United States Robin Lewis Cooper, University of Kentucky, United States Douglas H. Roossien, Ball State University, United States Atulya Iyengar, University of Alabama, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Kiel G. Ormerod, 🛛 kielormerod@gmail.com

RECEIVED 07 May 2024 ACCEPTED 29 August 2024 PUBLISHED 16 September 2024

CITATION

Michael AH, Hana TA, Mousa VG and Ormerod KG (2024) Muscle-fiber specific genetic manipulation of *Drosophila* sallimus severely impacts neuromuscular development, morphology, and physiology. *Front. Physiol.* 15:1429317. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2024.1429317

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Michael, Hana, Mousa and Ormerod. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Muscle-fiber specific genetic manipulation of *Drosophila* sallimus severely impacts neuromuscular development, morphology, and physiology

Andrew H. Michael, Tadros A. Hana, Veronika G. Mousa and Kiel G. Ormerod*

Department of Biology, Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN, United States

The ability of skeletal muscles to contract is derived from the unique genes and proteins expressed within muscles, most notably myofilaments and elastic proteins. Here we investigated the role of the sallimus (sls) gene, which encodes a structural homologue of titin, in regulating development, structure, and function of Drosophila melanogaster. Knockdown of sls using RNA interference (RNAi) in all body-wall muscle fibers resulted in embryonic lethality. A screen for muscle-specific drivers revealed a Gal4 line that expresses in a single larval body wall muscle in each abdominal hemisegment. Disrupting sls expression in single muscle fibers did not impact egg or larval viability nor gross larval morphology but did significantly alter the morphology of individual muscle fibers. Ultrastructural analysis of individual muscles revealed significant changes in organization. Surprisingly, muscle-cell specific disruption of sls also severely impacted neuromuscular junction (NMJ) formation. The extent of motor-neuron (MN) innervation along disrupted muscles was significantly reduced along with the number of glutamatergic boutons, in MN-Is and MN-Ib. Electrophysiological recordings revealed a 40% reduction in excitatory junctional potentials correlating with the extent of motor neuron loss. Analysis of active zone (AZ) composition revealed changes in presynaptic scaffolding protein (brp) abundance, but no changes in postsynaptic glutamate receptors. Ultrastructural changes in muscle and NMJ development at these single muscle fibers were sufficient to lead to observable changes in neuromuscular transduction and ultimately, locomotory behavior. Collectively, the data demonstrate that sls mediates critical aspects of muscle and NMJ development and function, illuminating greater roles for sls/titin.

KEYWORDS

Drosophila, neuromuscular junction, sarcomere, muscle, sallimus, elastic protein, titin

Introduction

Skeletal muscle enables animals to produce movement, facilitating a robust set of behaviors and interactions with the environment. The force necessary for movement is created by linking two rigid skeletal elements and pulling them together. The repeating, functional units of skeletal muscle, the sarcomere, are similarly organized, bordered by rigid, structural Z-discs (Huxley, 1971; Huxley and Hanson, 1954). Sarcomeric striations, first

noted in whale tissue by van Leeuwenhoek in 1712, are composed of two antiparallel filament systems, with thin actin-filaments sliding on thick myosin-filaments to shorten the sarcomere (Rall, 2018). H. Huxley proposed the crossbridge theory where cyclic interactions occurring between myosin-based crossbridges with specialized attachment points on actin-filaments generated muscle contraction and force production (Huxley, 1957). While the crossbridge theory of the sarcomere captures many features of contracting muscle, it does not predict or account for many experimentally observed properties in skeletal muscle from; what maintains the structural integrity of the contracting sarcomere, to the restoration of sarcomere length following crossbridge cycling, or the incredibly long-range elasticity observed in sarcomeres (Herzog, 2017). Indeed, Huxley himself recognized the insufficiencies of the crossbridge conception and notes a "special feature" must have evolved to facilitate these structural and functional properties of muscle (Squire, 2016).

The giant filamentous protein titin, spanning half the sarcomere from Z-disc to M-band is now largely accredited as that special feature (Maruyama, 1976). Titin is ubiquitously expressed in all skeletal muscle, is the third most abundant muscle protein (after actin and myosin), and the largest known protein (Herzog, 2018; Freundt and Linke, 2019). Titin's completed genomic sequence revealed it to be a molecular spring; within its I-band region are compliant proximal Ig-domains which straighten at low forces, and the stiffer PEVK region extends when substantial force is applied (Nishikawa, 2020). Titin's role in contributing to both active force production and passive tension are well established (Herzog, 2018; Freundt and Linke, 2019). As research into titin has steadily grown, countless novel putative roles have surfaced including: a substrate for calcium binding, target for proteostasis and posttranslational modifications (oxidation or phosphorylation), mediating the Blaschko effect, mechanosensory mechanism, signaling hub, muscle stiffness regulation, and structural assembly/organization of sarcomeres (Herzog, 2018; Freundt and Linke, 2019; Nishikawa, 2020; Machado and Andrew, 2000; Van Der Ven and Fürst, 1997; Horowits et al., 1986). A great deal remains to be understood regarding the various suggested roles of titin, however, in vivo models have proven difficult given that genetic alteration in titin expression leads to embryonic lethality in most species (Weinert et al., 2006; Gramlich et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2023; Loreau et al., 2023).

From insects to humans the structure and function of the sarcomere is well conserved (Ajayi et al., 2022). Given that most components of the sarcomere, including titin, are highly conserved among animals, insect models have flourished as an essential aspect of muscle research (Schö et al., 2022). Drosophila has emerged as an excellent model for muscle development and structure-function investigations given their structural and genetic conservation along with the large number of accessible genetic, molecular, and physiological tools (Kreipke et al., 2017). The two Drosophila homologues of titin are Sallimus (sls) and Projectin (gene named bent, bt). A recent study demonstrated that sls encoded a protein which spans only the I-band, from Z-disc to the start of the M-line (myosin filament), and it contains immunoglobulin, fibronectin, and PEVK domains along with other critical structural components, and targets of modifications and protein-interactions (Loreau et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2000; Burkart et al., 2007). However, even within *Drosophila*, investigations of *sls* are scarce, as genetic manipulations led to embryonic lethality (Loreau et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2000). Here we disrupt the expression of *sls* in a single muscle fiber within each abdominal hemisegment of larvae using the UAS/Gal4 system. Reducing *sls* expression resulted in dramatic change in the size and morphology of muscles, and a profound change in the shape and length of motor neuron innervation along the surface of the affected muscle. These neuromuscular changes significantly reduced neuromuscular transduction revealed by electrophysiological recordings, and ultimately manifested in significantly reduced locomotory behavior. Taken together the data show a critical role for sls/titin in NMJ development and function, suggestive of additional roles for this giant protein.

Materials and methods

Husbandry

Drosophila melanogaster were cultured on standard medium at 25°C, at constant humidity, and in a 12:12 light: dark cycle. Genotypes used in this study include the following: P {TRiP.JF01099}AttP2 (UAS-sls1-RNAi, 31538, Bloomington Drosophila Resource Center (BDRC)]; P{TRiP.JF01099}AttP2 (UAS-sls2-RNAi, 31539, BDRC); 5053A-Gal4 (91395, BDRC); GAL4-Mef2 DICER(X) (25756, BDRC); UAS-GFP (1522, BDRC); MHC-Gal4 (55133, BDRC).

Immunohistochemistry

Wandering third-instar larvae were dissected in hemolymph-like saline HL3.1 solution with the following composition (in mM): 70 NaCl, 5 KCl, 0 CaCl2, 4 MgCl2, 10 NaHCO3, 5 trehalose, 115 sucrose, and 5 HEPES, pH 7.2 (Feng et al., 2004). The larvae were fixed for 3 min in 4% paraformaldehyde and subsequently washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), with 0.05% Triton X-100 (PBST). Animals were then incubated with primary antibodies in PBST at room temperature for 2 h and washed three times for 10 min in PBST. Secondary antibodies were added to fresh PBST solution and were incubated at 4°C overnight. Finally, larvae were washed three times for 10 min in PBS and then mounted in medium containing DAPI (ab104139). Antibodies used for this study include the following: mouse anti-Myosin, 1:500 [stock #EB165, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB)]; rat anti-Sallimus (sls), 1:500 (stock #4F3, DSHB); mouse anti-brp (Bruchpilot), 1:500; rabbit GluR3 1:2000 (Gift, Troy Littleton); goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 578, 1:500 (catalog #A-16071; Thermo Fisher Scientific); and phalloidin-conjugated Alexa Fluor 488, 1:4,000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Immunoreactive proteins were imaged on a Nikon fluorescence microscope (Nikon Instruments Inc.) using 20 or 60x magnification and processed using Nikon Elements software.

Morphological measurements

Muscle length and width measurements were taken using an upright standard dissecting microscope, 80x magnification, with an

objective containing a reticle from 20 randomly selected wandering third-instar larvae for each control and mutant line. The microscope was connected to a Teledyne FliR, Blackfly S (BFS-PGE-23S3M-C) 0.4 MP, 740 \times 540 (11.5 pixels/µm) resolution camera. The larvae were rinsed in DI water prior to being measured. Larvae were pinned and stretched until abdominal contractions were no longer observed. Area was calculated using as the product of length times width. Muscle specific analyses: Muscle length was measured using the distance measurement feature on the Nikon Elements software. Length was measured at the midpoint of each endline of the muscle. Width was gathered using the same Nikon tool from the middle of the muscle fiber. Muscle area was calculated as the product of both. Muscle sarcomere length was measured using the intensity profile function in Nikon elements software to produce a sinusoidal graph of phalloidin fluorescence intensity. Then, sarcomere length was measured as one sinusoidal wavelength. I-band was also collected using the phalloidin stain and was measured as the width of each peak measured from the first substantial dip in fluorescence. A-band was measured using an anti-myosin stain, using the width of each peak. The sls-band was measured similarly to the I- and A-band measurement but using an anti-sls stain. Fluorescent intensity was measured by placing two identical boxes on muscle 12 and 13 of all three genotypes. Unless explicitly stated no animal or muscle, or muscle fiber was used for multiple analyses, each N represents a separate, unique animal of that genotype. Muscle fiber measurements were made only from abdominal segments A3-5. Fluorescence intensity (AU) was exported using Nikon elements software. DAPI mounting media (ab104139) was used when mounting fixed dissections on microscope slides. Nuclei area was measured from overlayed images of DAPI and phalloidin using a region of interest (ROI) measuring tool on Nikon elements. All preparations were subjected to the same staining procedure and imaged under the same fluorescence light intensity. Fluorescence intensity was standardized by area, which spanned the entire muscle for sls, and the entire ROI for nuclei, GluRIII, brp, and HRP. Subsequent fluorescence intensity, number, and area of each ROI was then exported to Microsoft Excel. Neuromuscular junction analysis was performed using anti-brp or anti-GluR3 primary antibodies, and fluorescent secondaries. ROIs were then placed using the Nikon elements tool in a similar manner to the DAPI analysis. Bouton average active zone fluorescence, bouton number, and bouton area were subsequently exported to excel. Bouton density was calculated through taking the area of each bouton and manually counting the number of active zone puncta. Density was calculated as puncta number/bouton.

Fecundity

For each genotype assayed: three males and three females were isolated upon eclosion and remained in a vial until reaching day three when they were transferred onto Petri dishes containing grape agar and yeast paste. Flies were left for 24 h on grape agar dishes, and the number of eggs were recorded and organized in rows of ten. 24 h later, the number of hatched eggs were recorded, and larvae were transferred to clean food vials to be later checked for pupation. The grape agar was made with 25% grape juice, 75% water, 3% agar, and 0.3% sucrose. The yeast paste was a mixture of DI water and active dry yeast with a consistency of creamy peanut butter.

Electrophysiology

Wandering third-instar larvae dissected in HL3.1 (0.3 mM Ca²⁺) were dissected and pinned dorsal side up, all nerves emerging from the ventral nerve cord were severed, and the brain and ventral nerve cord were removed (Feng et al., 2004). Severed nerve branches were electrically stimulated with a suction electrode connected to a Master 8 stimulator (A.M.P.I.). Intracellular voltage recordings were obtained using an Axoclamp 2B amplifier (molecular devices) and digitized using a minidigi 1b (molecular devices). Signals were acquired using Clampex and analyzed using Clampfit, MiniAnalysis, Microsoft Excel, and GraphPad Prism.

Larval crawling

Wandering third-instar larvae were removed from the sides of culture vials, washed seven times in deionized water and placed on Petri dishes containing 1% agar. For each recording 10 larvae were placed in the center of a dish. The dishes containing larvae to be recorded were placed within a custom-made black opaque box, creating a completely dark environment. The larvae were recorded using an infrared camera (FLiR blackfly), which connected to a standard computer. Each video was recorded using SpinView (FLIR) software for a length of 5 minutes. The videos were converted to interoperable master format and subsequently analyzed by CTRAX. Files were exported from CTRAX as a CSV file readable by excel. CTRAX extracted x and y coordinates of each larva and the angle of ellipse, or heading, in radians. Using excel, each x and y coordinate of each larva was translated into pixels, and values such as displacement, velocity over a 0.5 s interval, total distance travelled, and angular velocity were found.

Statistical analysis

Prism software (version 10.1.0; GraphPad Software) was used for statistical analysis. Appropriate statistical metrics were performed for each dataset which was included in the results section along with the F, and P statistics. Statistical comparisons were made with controls unless noted. Appropriate sample size was determined using a normality test. Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, n.s. = not significant).

Results

The *Drosophila* neuromuscular system is ideal for investigations of genes and proteins in regulating muscle development, structure, and function (Figure 1). Adult flies mate to produce fertilized eggs which hatch and undergo 3 larval instar stages. Afterwards, the larvae undergo metamorphosis as pupae, to then become adults. Thirdinstar larvae have a well-defined neuromuscular system, with 36 genetically programmed motor neurons forming stereotypical



Schematic representation of critical sarcomeric proteins. (A) Model of third-instar Drosophila larvae highlighting the CNS and main segmental nerve branches projecting to body-wall muscles. (B) Three-dimensional representation of body-wall muscles in third-instar larvae. (C) Schematic of a single abdominal right hemisegment highlighted in green, 5 commonly investigated longitudinal muscles which contribute most substantially to larval peristalsis are colored in green, MF 4, 6, 7, 12, and 13. Orange and pink indicate transverse and oblique muscle respectively. (D) Structure of a sarcomere highlighting 5 critical proteins involved in sarcomeric structure/function, as well as the A- and I-bands, M-line, and Z-disc. (E, F): Immunohistochemical stains of third-instar body-wall muscles using anti-myosin (E), phalloidin (F), and anti-sallimus (G).

connections to the 30 muscles found in each abdominal hemisegment (Figures 1A–C) (Aponte-Santiago et al., 2020). Each muscle is a viscerally located, striated multinucleated muscle fiber, attached directed to the cuticle through apodemes, which can be examined at the cellular or ultrastructural level by immunohistochemistry (Figures 1D–G) (Ho Koh et al., 2000; Keshishian et al., 1996). A subset of muscle fibers (MFs) has been extensively investigated due to their ease of access following dissection, and morphological structure for imaging, and electrophysiological investigations [MFs 4, 6, 7, 12,

13, Figure 1C, (Jan and Jan 1976; Akbergenova et al., 2018)]. Typical muscle contractions are elicited via activation of glutamate receptors following the release of glutamate from presynaptic motor neuron terminals (Ormerod et al., 2022). Motor neuron activity, which controls the release of glutamate, is dictated by neuronal input from descending interneurons onto the motor neuron soma, located in the ventral nerve cord (VNC). Locomotory activity is ultimately controlled by central pattern generators within the VNC (Ormerod et al., 2022).



RNAi knock-down of sallimus in all body-wall muscles results in embryonic lethality. (Ai): Fluorescence image of a filleted entire Mef2-Gal4>UAS-GFP third-instar larvae. Scale bar indicates 1,000 μ m. (Aii): Fluorescence image of part of abdominal hemisegment A3 focused on MF 12. Scale bar indicates 175 μ m. (B): Schematic representation of the egg laying assay followed by egg and first-instar larvae counting after 24 and 48 h respectively. (C): Total eggs laid from the 6 genotypes investigated (N = 5-8 eggs, One-way ANOVA, F = 2.03) (D): Total first-instar larvae that emerged from the egg laid in *C*, plus one additional muscle driver (myosin heavy chain, MHC) expressing sls-RNAi (N = 5-8 larvae, One-way ANOVA, F = 29.69).

Recent work suggests that Drosophila sallimus (sls) encodes an elastic protein which spans from the sarcomeric Z-disc to myosin heads (Loreau et al., 2023). To examine the structural and physiological roles of sls in muscle, we took advantage of the genetic toolkit in Drosophila to alter its endogenous expression. A ubiquitous muscle driver (Mef2-Gal4, Figure 2A) was used to knockdown the expression of sls using RNAi. The number of eggs produced from 3-day old, mated females were tabulated from 2 different sls-RNAi lines (Figure 2B). No significant differences were observed compared to controls (Figure 2C, One-way ANOVA, F = 2.03, p = 0.62). The number of eggs that progressed to first-instar larvae were counted from each of the 6 genotypes in Figure 2C. From the Mef2-Gal4>UAS-sls1-RNAi 0 first-instars emerged from 150 eggs, and 3 first-instars emerged from 121 eggs from Mef2-Gal4>UAS-sls2-RNAi (Figure 2D, One-way ANOVA, F = 29.69, p < 0.0001). None of the larvae from our sls knockdowns in all body-wall muscles progressed beyond first-instar, indicating embryonic lethality. Given that both UAS-sls-RNAi lines were equally effective, the remainder of our experiments were conducted with UAS-sls2-RNAi (hereto forth referred to as sls-RNAi). An additional ubiquitous muscle driver, MHC-Gal4, was crossed with our sls-RNAi line to validate the effects on larval lethality (Figure 2D). Collectively these data support previous findings that knockdown of sls in all body wall muscle fibers leads to early larval lethality (Loreau et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2000).

To circumvent lethality a genetic screen was conducted for Gal4drivers that express in a subset of third-instar larval muscle fibers. Ten different muscle drivers were obtained from BDRC, crossed with UAS-Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP), and imaged using fluorescence microscopy. Of those lines, 3 exhibited GFP expression in a subset of muscle fibers; but 2 of which also had visible expression in the nervous system (NS). One line revealed expression only in muscle fiber 12, with no GFP expression in the NS (Figure 3Ai, ii). This driver line was used in the remainder of this study and is subsequently referred to as 5053A-Gal4. To determine if altering the expression of sls in only a subset of muscle fibers was sufficient to circumvent lethality, 5053A-Gal4 was crossed with sls-RNAi. An egg-laying assay revealed no significant differences in total eggs laid between 5053A-Gal4>sls-RNAi (5053A > sls) and controls (Figure 3D, One-way ANOVA, F = 1.39, p = 0.23). There was also no significant difference between the 5053A > sls lines and the number of first-, second-, and third-instar larvae that emerged (only first-instar shown, Figure 3E One-way ANOVA, F = 15.18, *p* > 0.05). Next, 20 third-instar larvae were transferred to fresh food vials, and the percentage of larvae that enclosed to become pupae and subsequently adults were scored. Here again, no significant difference was observed in the pupal viability (Figure 3F, One-Way ANOVA, F = 520.1, p > 0.05) nor the number of adults that emerged from the initial 20 larvae (Figure 3G, One-way ANOVA, F = 357.8, p > 0.05).



FIGURE 3

Muscle-fiber specific driver leads to larval, pupal, and adult viability. (Ai): Fluorescence image of an immunohistochemical stain of an entire 5053A-Gal4>UAS-GFP third-instar larvae. Scale bar indicates 800 μ m. (Aii): Immunostain image of part of abdominal hemisegment A3 focused on MFs 6, 7, 12, 13, and 4. Scale bar indicates 100 μ m. (B): Schematic representation of the egg laying assay followed by egg and first-instar larvae counting after 24 and 48 h respectively. (C): Schematic of pupal and adult viability assay. (D): Total eggs laid from the 8 genotypes investigated (N = 5–10 eggs, One-way ANOVA, F = 1.39, 0.22). (E): Total first-instar larvae that emerged from the egg laid in *D*, from the 8 genotypes investigated (N = 5–10 larvae, One-way ANOVA, F = 15.18, p > 0.0001). (F): Percent of 20 third-instar larvae that pupated (N = 5 pupae, F = 520.1, p < 0.0001). (G): Percent of 20 third-instar larvae that pupated (N = 5 pupae, F = 520.1, p < 0.0001). (G): Percent of 20 third-instar larvae that pupated (N = 5 pupae, F = 520.1, p < 0.0001). (G): Percent of 20 third-instar larvae that pupated (N = 5 pupae, F = 520.1, p < 0.0001). (G): Percent of 20 third-instar larvae that pupated (N = 5 pupae, F = 520.1, p < 0.0001). (G): Percent of 20 third-instar larvae that pupated (N = 5 pupae, F = 50.1, p < 0.0001). (G): Percent of 20 third-instar larvae that pupated (N = 5 pupae, F = 50.1, p < 0.0001). (G): Percent of 20 third-instar larvae that pupated (N = 5 pupae, F = 50.1, p < 0.0001). (G): Percent of 20 third-instar larvae that pupated (N = 5 pupae, F = 50.1, p < 0.0001). (G): Percent of 20 third-instar larvae that pupated (N = 5 pupae, F = 50.1, p < 0.0001). (G): Percent of 20 third-instar larvae that pupated (N = 5 pupae, F = 50.1, p < 0.0001). (G): Percent of 20 third-instar larvae that pupated (N = 5 pupae, F = 50.1, p < 0.0001). (G): Percent of 20 third-instar larvae that pupated (N = 5 pupae, F = 50.1, p < 0.0001). (G): Percent of 20 third-instar larvae that pupated (N = 5 pupae





Next, morphological metrics were obtained from third-instar larvae. No significant differences were observed for larval length, width, or area (Figure 4, One-way ANOVA, F = 2.13, p = 0.95). To examine the role of *sls* in muscle structure, first, immunohistochemical analyses were conducted for actin (phalloidin, Figures 5A–C). A dramatic gross structural change was observed in the morphology of MF 12 compared to controls (Figures 5A, C). The gross changes in muscle structure were first quantified by measuring the length, width, and area of MF 12 (Figure 5B). Significant differences were observed for muscle length (Figure 5Di, One-way ANOVA, F = 20.2, p = 0.0001), muscle width (Figure 5Dii, One-way ANOVA, F = 368.0, p = 0.0001), and muscle area (Figure 5Diii, One-way ANOVA, F = 320.1, p = 0.0001). The gross morphology of muscles 13 and 4 were also used as internal controls for all genotypes, and no significant differences were observed (Figure 5Ei–iii, Fi–iii).

Next, we established a novel approach to determine changes in MF ultrastructure by examining sarcomere, A-band, and I-band lengths (Figure 6). Using a fluorescence line profile feature from Nikon Elements, calculations of both sarcomere and I-band length were made from animals immunostained with phalloidin (Figure 6Ai, ii). Individual sarcomere measurements were



FIGURE 5

Muscle sallimus significantly impacts gross muscle morphology. (A) Immunohistochemical stain for actin (phalloidin) in 5053A-Gal4/+ larvae showing a single abdominal hemisegment. (B) Immunohistochemical stain for actin highlighting muscle 12, along with indications for how length and width measurements were conducted. (C) Immunostain from 5053A-Gal4 > UAS-sls-RNAi, white arrow indicates profound gross morphological change in MF12. (D): Quantification of muscle fiber 12 length ((Di), N = 12–40 muscles, One-way ANOVA, F = 20.22, p < 0.0001), width ((Dii), N = 12–40 muscles, One-way ANOVA, F = 320.1, p < 0.0001). (E): Quantification of muscle fiber 13 length (Ei), width (Eii) and area (Eiii). (F): Quantification of muscle fiber 4 length (Fi), width (Fii) and area (Fiii). Inset: cartoon depiction of abdominal larval segment, green highlights muscle being quantified.



Muscle sallimus significantly impacts sarcomere assembly. Immunohistochemical stain for actin in (Ai) 5053A-Gal4/+ and (Ci) 5053A > sls highlighting MF12. Arrow indicates location and direction of fluorescence intensity profile line for (Aii) and (Ci). Y-axis scale bar for (Aii), (Cii) = 10 μ m, 1000AU. (Ai') and (Ci') magnified images in (Ai) and (Ci). Scale bars: 20 μ m. (Aii): Fluorescence intensity profile line for GFP (actin). Dashed white and magenta lines indicate how sarcomere and I-band measurements were calculated respectively. Immunohistochemical stain for myosin in (Bi) 5053A-Gal4/+ highlighting MF12 (Di) 5053A > sls highlighting MF12. Arrow indicates location and direction of fluorescence intensity profile line. (Bi') and (Di') magnified images in (Bi) and (Di). Scale bars: 20 μ m. (Bii): Fluorescence intensity profile line for RFP (myosin). Dashed-line indicates a single myosin cycle, used for calculating A-band measurements. (Dii): fluorescence intensity profile line for myosin from 5053A > sls. Scale bar for (Bii), (Dii) = 10 μ m, 500AU. (E): A longer profile line for 5053A > sls, highlighting regions of disruption and more structured areas. (Eii): Number of phalloidin peaks per line profile for MF12. (F): A longer profile line for 5053A > sls, highlighting regions of disruption and more structured areas with myosin stain. Quantification of muscle fiber 12 interpeak distance (Gi, N = 12-18 muscles, One-way ANOVA, F = 20.22, *p* < 0.0001), I-band length (Gii, N = 12-18 muscles, One-way ANOVA, F = 320.1, *p* < 0.0001). (H): Quantification of muscle fiber 13 sarcomere length (Hii, N = 8-9, muscles, One-way ANOVA, F = 320.1, *p* < 0.0001). (I): Quantification of muscle fiber 4 sarcomere length (Ii, N = 12-18, muscles, One-way ANOVA, F = 320.1, *p* < 0.0001). (I): Quantification of muscle fiber 4 sarcomere length (Ii, N = 12-18, muscles, One-way ANOVA, F = 320.1, *p* < 0.0001). (I): Quantification of muscle fiber 4 sarcomere length (Iii, N = 12-18, muscles, One-way ANOVA, F = 320.1, *p* < 0.00

determined by measuring the distance between two phalloidin peaks (Figure 6Aii). Individual sarcomere lengths were $14.1 \pm 0.2 \,\mu\text{m}$ and 14.4 \pm 0.2 μ m, for the control genotypes 5053A-Gal4 and sls-RNAi, respectively (Figure 6Gi). Noticeably, 5053A > sls animals revealed severe disruptions in phalloidin staining compared to controls (Figure 6Ci). The middle of the muscle, where our line profile lines are generated (Figure 6Ai, white arrow), showed the most severe disruptions in phalloidin staining in 5053A-Gal4>sls animals (Figure 6Cii), while other areas revealed more typical ultrastructural organization (Figure 6Ei). To estimate the structural changes the distance between two phalloidin peaks was measured and referred to as the interpeak distance for MF 12 in 5053A > sls animals. An additional calculation was conducted by counting the number of phalloidin peaks per line profile distance (Figure 6Eii). Estimations of I-band measurements also revealed a broad range from 16-33 µm with an average of 21.8 \pm 5.5 μ m, compared to 7.7 \pm 0.1 μ m and 7.8 \pm 0.2 μ m, for controls (Figure 6Gii: One-way ANOVA, F = 100.9, *p* < 0.0001). To examine changes in A-band measurements we costained animals with anti-myosin. A-band measurements showed an even greater range, from 16-27 μm with an average of 21.5 \pm 4.3 μ m, compared to controls 9.1 \pm 0.2 μ m and 9.2 \pm 0.3 μ m (Figure 6Giii: One-way ANOVA, F = 163.2, p < 0.0001). Internal controls for sarcomere, I-band, and A-band measurements from muscles 13 and 4 were not significantly different from 5053A controls, nor between genotypes (Figures 6H, I). Collectively these results show a dramatic change in ultrastructural

composition and organization following sls-knockdown, and demonstrate incredible instability in the maintenance and formation of sarcomere assembly when *sls* expression is disrupted.

To directly examine changes in sls protein ultrastructure, an immunohistochemical analysis for sls was conducted, which revealed rhythmic striations, emblematic of striated MFs (Figures 7A, B). Vibrant profile lines were observed for sls stained muscle fibers from control animals, and fluorescence intensity plots generated rhythmic peaks with an average width value of 9.1 \pm 0.3 μm for 5053A-Gal4, and 9.2 \pm 0.3 μm for sls-RNAi. Sls immunostains of 5053A > sls showed reduced fluorescence, and like the phalloidin and myosin stains, incredible disruptions in sls staining compared to controls (Figures 7B, C). Like the phalloidinstain, the distance between two sls peaks was measured and plotted as the interpeak distance (Figure 7D). To quantify the reduction in sls protein expression, the average fluorescence intensity of MF12 area was calculated for each genotype, along with MF 13 to serve as an internal control. Average fluorescence for MF12 was 2,641.3 ± 695.1 AU for 5053A-Gal4, and 3,074 ± 395.4 AU for sls-RNAi. For 5053A > sls, average fluorescence of 5053A was 1058.9 \pm 455.5, resulting in a significant reduction of 59% and 65% compared to the two controls (Figure 7E, One-way ANOVA, F = 31.0, p < 0.0001). This data confirms our sls-RNAi significantly reduces sls levels at MF12. Fluorescence intensity for MF13 was not significantly different between the three genotypes (data not shown).



A previous study using Drosophila noted that embryonic lethal mutations in sls resulted in malformations of multi-nucleate syncytia in embryonic muscles (Zhang et al., 2000). The nuclear stain DAPI was used to examine changes in nuclei number and intensity (Figure 8). The average number of nuclei was 10.8 ± 0.4 for 5053A-Gal4, 11.7 \pm 1.0 for UAS-sls-RNAi, and 3.9 \pm 0.9 for 5053A > sls, demonstrating a 64% and 67% reduction in nuclei number in the sls knockdown flies compared to controls (Figure 8Ci, One way ANOVA, F = 360.3, p < 0.0001). The mean area of DAPI was also significantly reduced in 5053A > sls MF 12, showing a 61% reduction compared to controls (Figure 8Cii, 488.5 ± 24, 433 ± 17, 188 \pm 9, 5053A-Gal4, sls-RNAi, 5053A > sls respectively; oneway ANOVA, F = 101.2, p < 0.0001). The mean DAPI fluorescence was significantly increased in 5053A > sls muscle 12, on average showing 3.5 times greater fluorescence intensity compared to controls (Figure 8Ciii, 1,400 ± 154 AU, 3,410 ± 198 AU, and 8,514 ± 577 AU, 5053A-Gal4, sls-RNAi, 5053A > sls respectively, one-way ANOVA, F = 65.33, p < 0.0001).

A striking observation was made during the muscle ultrastructural analysis; the composition of MF12 NMJ was severely impacted when *sls* expression was reduced (Figure 9). The extent of innervation as well as the size and morphology of glutamatergic MN terminals appeared to be severely affected (Figure 9A). *Drosophila* larvae have two different types of glutamatergic MN terminals, MN-Ib and MN-Is, comparable to mammalian tonic and phasic terminals, respectively. We first quantified the impact of *sls* disruption on NMJ morphology by examining the extent of innervation along the surface of each of these MN subtypes separately, then summated them together to generate a total (Figure 9, Total, Ib, and Is rows). The length of NMJ innervation of MN-Ib was reduced by over 50% in 5053A > sls compared to the two controls (Figure 9Cii, 5053A-Gal4: 269.0 ± 67.0 μ m, sls-RNAi: 241.9 ± 68.8 μ m, 5053A > sls: 124.2 ± 27.1 μ m, One-way ANOVA, F = 14.73, p < 0.0001). The length of innervation MN-Is were reduced by nearly 60% in 5053A > sls compared to controls (Figure 9Ciii, 5053A-Gal4: 313.0 ± 86.1 µm, sls-RNAi: $319.4 \pm 78.5 \ \mu\text{m}$, $5053 \text{A} > \text{sls:} 135.0 \pm 38.4 \ \mu\text{m}$, One-way ANOVA, F = 19.02, p < 0.0001). Combining the two showed a 55% reduction in total innervation length (Figure 9Ci). Next, we assessed how disrupting sls impacted the total number of boutons per MN subtype innervating MF12. A 55% reduction in MN-Ib boutons was observed compared to controls (Figure 9Dii, 5053A-Gal4: 15.0 ± 2.5, sls-RNAi: 15.4 ± 4.3, 5053A > sls: 6.8 ± 1.3, One-way ANOVA, F = 13.34, p < 0.0009), and a 61% reduction in MN-Is boutons (Figure 9Diii, 5053A-Gal4: 29.6 ± 7.3, sls-RNAi: 28.6 ± 13.1, $5053A > sls: 11.2 \pm 3.4$, One-way ANOVA, F = 13.34, p < 0.0108). The combined total of 58% reduction in bouton size was observed for 5053A > sls compared to controls (Figure 9Di). To examine changes in bouton ultrastructure more thoroughly, an assessment of active zone (AZ) composition was initially conducted using an immunostain against bruchpilot (brp), a presynaptic active zone (AZ) scaffold protein (Figure 9B). No changes in brp-positive puncta were observed (Figure 9Ei). However, significantly lower brp fluorescence intensity per puncta was observed for MN-Is and MN-Ib boutons in 5053A > sls lines compared to controls (Figure 9Eii, Eiii, One-way ANOVA: MN-Is: F = 22.67, *p* < 0.0001; MN-Ib: F = 27.53, *p* < 0.0001). Next, we examined postsynaptic ultrastructure via an immunostain for glutamate receptor III (GluRIII), one of the core subunits of postsynaptic glutamate receptors (Figure 9A). Neither GluRIII



5053A > sls (**Bi–Biii**), scale bars 100 µm. Blue: DAPI, green: anti-phalloidin red: anti-myosin. (**Ci**) Quantification of the number of nuclei per MF12 (N = 5–13 muscles, One-way ANOVA, F = 360.3, p < 0.0001). (**Cii**) Quantification of DAPI area from all DAPI-positive puncta from MF12 (N = 61–119 nuclei from 5-13 muscles, One-way ANOVA, F = 101.2, p < 0.0001). (**Ciii**) Quantification of DAPI fluorescence intensity from puncta in ((**Cii**), N = 61–119 nuclei from 5-13 muscles, One-way ANOVA, F = 65.33, p < 0.0001.

density nor fluorescence intensity was significantly different between 5053A-Gal4 and 5053A > sls for either MN-terminal subtype (Figures 9G, H).

Given the pronounced changes in MN and muscle ultrastructural, sharp intracellular electrophysiological recordings were taken from MF 12 and MF 6. Excitatory junctional potentials (EJPs) were elicited at low frequency stimulation (0.2 Hz) for 5 min. A significant, 40% reduction in the amplitude of EJPs was observed for 5053A > sls compared to controls (Figure 10, 5053A-Gal4: 28.25 ± 3.1 mV, sls-RNAi: 28.96 ± 4.8 mV, 5053A > sls: 16.01 ± 2.9 mV, Canton S.: 26.7 ± 2.6, One-Way ANOVA, F = 14.12, *p* < 0.0001). Each MF has its own Ib-subtype glutamatergic motor neuron, MN-Ib, but MFs 6, 7, 12, and 13 all share a common Is-subtype motor neuron, MN-Is [MNSNb/d-Is, (Hoang and Chiba, 2001)]. To explore putative homeostatic compensation across NMJs, EJPs measurements were taken from MFs 6 and 13, the muscles on



FIGURE 9

Disruption of muscle sls significantly impairs NMJ formation. (A): Immunohistochemical image of MN innervation along MF12 of a third-instar abdominal hemisegment with emphasis on muscles 12 and 13 from 5053A-Gal4/+ (Ai) and 5053A > sls (Aii), scale bar, 100 µm. Immunostain images for the AZ-scaffold protein brp highlighting MF12 from 5053A-Gal4/+ (Bi) and 5053A > sls (Bii), scale bar, 15 µm. Quantification of the impacts of *sls* disruption on synaptic innervation and NMJ formation (Ci–Hiii). Data are in rows presented based on total innervation (i), or broken down by MN subtype, MN-Ib (ii), MN-Is (iii). Changes in total innervation (Ci–Ciii) and bouton number (Di–Diii) from an HRP stain. Results from brp immunostaining depict AZ density ((Ei–Eiii), brp density), and brp fluorescence intensity (Fi–Fiii). Examining postsynaptic NMJ changes using a GluRIII stain for changes in GluR density (Gi–Giii) and fluorescence intensity (Hi–Hiii).

either side of MF 12. No significant differences were observed in EJP amplitude for either muscle compared to controls (Figure 10). Muscle-fiber specific input resistant measurements were also

taken as they serve as a proxy for muscle health and viability, and no significant differences were observed between the three genotypes in MF12 (5053A-Gal4: 2.2 \pm 0.2 M Ω , sls-RNAi: 2.1 \pm

Michael et al.



0.2 MΩ, 5053A > sls: 2.1 ± 0.1 MΩ, One-Way ANOVA, F = 0.92, p = 0.42).

Neuromuscular transduction is an integral component of the circuitry underlying rhythmic peristalsis for larval locomotion. Given the changes in NMJ and muscle ultrastructure, we next assessed whether a behavioral change was observable following sls disruption. We have previously demonstrated that muscles 6, 7, 12 and 13 contribute most substantially to larval peristalsis, with MF 12 and 13 together generating ~33% of longitudinal force producing underlying motivated forward crawling (Ormerod et al., 2013). A larval crawling assay was conducted to assess changes in velocity, distance travelled, displacement, and angular velocity of third-instar larvae. One hundred larvae from each genotype were tracked for 5 min in a dark box using an infrared camera. The average velocity for controls was 0.88 \pm 0.2 $\mu m/s$ for 5053A-Gal4/+, 0.80 \pm 0.2 $\mu m/s$ for sls-RNAi. The velocity for 5053A > sls was reduced significantly by 25% compared to controls (Figure 11, 5053A > sls: $0.7 \pm 0.2 \mu m/s$, One way ANOVA, F = 6.5, p = 0.005). No significant differences were observed between trials within each genotype, but were observed within 5053A > sls, consequently the effect is likely minor (One way ANOVA: 5053A-Gal4: F = 1.9, p = 0.07, sls-RNAi: F = 1.4, p = 0.26, 5053A > sls: F = 36.6, p < 0.001). The distance traveled was also significantly reduced in 5053A > sls larvae compared to controls (data not shown, One-way ANOVA, F = 4.3, p < 0.01). Angular velocity provides a metric to assess how much a



larva turns during the recording period (Branson et al., 2009). This was not significantly different between the three genotypes (data not shown). Taken together, these data reveal that, despite 5053A-Gal4 expressing in a single muscle fiber within each hemisegment, disruptions in *sls* are sufficient to significantly reduce locomotory, larval crawling behavior.

Discussion

These findings provide novel insights into the role of sls in muscle and neuromuscular junction structure and function. We found that sls critically mediates proper muscle development, highlighted by our immunohistochemical analyses. Furthermore, muscle impairments in sls expression severely disorganizes the NMJ, leading to reduced neuromuscular transduction. Our initial examination of sls disruption using ubiquitously expressing muscle drivers validates the critical roles this gene plays in muscle development, highlighted by embryonic lethality resulting from a reduction in sls expression. This observation was also recently noted in a Drosophila investigation of sls (Loreau et al., 2023). Comparing across the 8 genotypes investigated here, a similar number of eggs were produced from the egg-laying assay. Given that strong, rhythmic muscle contractions are necessary for hatching, it is likely that this prevented the transition from egg to larvae in our assays resulting from severe defects in the development of the NMJ and muscle fibers (Baylies et al., 1998; Abmayr and Pavlath, 2012; Suster and Bate, 2002). During vertebrate myogenesis, titin is among the earliest proteins expressed, visible before actin or myosin, and in vertebrate cell culture, developmental perturbations in titin expression profoundly alters muscle structure (Van Der Ven and Fürst, 1997; Furst et al., 1989). In Drosophila, sls has shown to begin expressing at stage 11 (7 h after egg laying), and precedes expression of myofilaments, similar to vertebrates (Zhang et al., 2000). Our screen for Gal4 drivers was a critical step in circumventing the impacts of *sls*-RNAi on embryonic viability, and subsequently enabled a thorough examination of the role of *sls* in *Drosophila*. Using the 5053A-Gal4 driver, not only were eggs able to hatch, but animals progressed from egg to adult without any significant impacts on larval, pupal, or adult viability. An examination of whole larvae revealed that disruptions in *sls* expression using 5053A-Gal4 did not cause any significant morphological deficits in third-instar larvae. Collectively, *sls* is critically important for the transition from egg to larvae, but the specific cause remains unknown. By limiting the expression of *sls*-RNAi to a single muscle fiber within each abdominal hemisegment, we can circumvent lethality, without affecting the developmental progression from egg to adult. Consequently, we have established the tools necessary for the developmental, molecular, and cellular examination of *sls* in an *in vivo* model.

Upon immunohistochemical examination of individual bodywall muscles from dissected third-instar larvae, the effects of sls knockdown on the size and morphology of MF12 were visually striking. Most immediately noticeable were the dramatic 67% decrease in muscle width and 70% reduction in muscle area compared to controls. Since sls-RNAi was selectively expressed in MF12, no other muscle fibers examined were significantly impacted morphologically. Upon closer examination it is improbable the defects in muscle morphology are due to aberrant muscle attachment, as they appear normal for MF12 at each of the abdominal segmental attachment points. Previously in Drosophila, an ethylmethane sulfonate (EMS) screen for NMJ disruptions identified novel mutations in sls resulting in embryonic lethality (Zhang et al., 2000). These mutations caused mislocalization, reduction, or complete absence of sls expression in embryonic muscles. Most notably these sls mutations resulted in a loss of the characteristic striations as well as gross changes in embryonic muscle morphology. In vertebrate skeletal muscle, removal of titin causes muscle atrophy and sarcomeric disassembly (Horowits et al., 1986; Radke et al., 2019). In the present study, it is noteworthy that considerable variability in muscle morphology was exhibited both within individual MF12s and between individuals from the 5053A > sls genotype. Within individual fibers, most demonstrated a small, and thin phenotype, with areas completely lacking muscle striations, or displaying a spindly appearance. However, there were small, isolated areas along the muscle, particularly the distal ends, where muscles appeared sarcomerically organized. Collectively, sls disruption significantly altered gross morphological muscle structure, likely occurring during myogenesis.

During embryogenesis, the somatic muscle system gives rise to the larval musculature (Abmayr and Pavlath, 2012). Numerous molecular and cellular processes transform mononucleated muscle precursor cells into multinucleated functional muscles during myogenesis (Baylies et al., 1998). Previous work suggests *sls* is required for myoblast fusion via rearrangement of actin-based cytoskeletal elements in founder cells (Clark et al., 2007). The significant reduction in nuclei observed here supports this idea. *Drosophila sls* is also known to interact with the Z-disc protein mlp84B during development, mediating sarcomeric organization and cellular reorganization during myogenesis (Clark et al., 2007). Alterations in this interaction could explain the lack of sarcomeric organization seen in *sls* disrupted animals. The increase in DAPI fluorescence herein is suggestive of increased gene expression, reflective of a compensatory mechanism. Recent work in mammalian skeletal muscle cells sequentially reduced myonuclear numbers and uncovered that myonuclei possess a reserve capacity to support larger functional cytoplasmic volumes in myofibers (Cramer et al., 2020). They proposed a mechanism of negative correlation between myonuclei number and transcription, which is also supported by our data here (Cramer et al., 2020). The changes in muscle morphology reported here suggest *sls* disruption impairs myogenesis, likely attributed to impairments in myoblast fusion and cytoplasmic reorganization of cellular elements like nuclei.

Synaptogenesis, where synaptic partner cells recognize one another using a multitude of signaling cues, occurs simultaneously with myogenesis (Chou et al., 2020). In Drosophila, prior to neuromuscular synapse formation, the embryonic muscles extend dynamic actin-based myopodia, while presynaptic motor neurons extend dynamic growth cones at axon tips searching for extracellular guidance cues (Chou et al., 2020; Ritzenthaler et al., 2000). Myopodia initially extend randomly, but progressively localize to sites of contact with filopodia from innervating growth cones, in a process of myopodia clustering (Ritzenthaler et al., 2000). Up to 10 distinct motor axons can innervate a single muscle fiber prior to activity-dependent competition leading to single axon retention (Tapia et al., 2012). Consequently, embryonic muscles are systematically orchestrating chronically occurring dynamic cytoskeletal reorganization throughout myogenesis and synaptogenesis. Here we demonstrate a dramatic consequence of sls disruption on the formation and function of neuromuscular synapses. In 5053A from sls disrupted animals, NMJ formation largely occurred in the center of muscles, with severely reduced innervation length and bouton number along the muscle. Synapses tended to cluster together, making it difficult to distinguish between the different MN subtypes without tracing back to their separate axonal branches. While significant impacts were observed for both MN subtypes, similar effects indicate MN subtype-specific synaptic targeting precedes synaptogenesis and indicates an earlier developmentally aberrant process.

Within individual boutons, neurotransmitters (NTs) contained within synaptic vesicles (SVs) fuse at AZs, at the core of which is the electron dense scaffolding protein, brp, responsible for SV clustering and organization of the AZ components and fusion machinery [e.g., calcium channels, (Cunningham et al., 2022; Fouquet et al., 2009). AZ brp levels strongly correlate with SV release probability, and their density varies considerably in responses to presynaptic structural changes (Akbergenova et al., 2018; Bae et al., 2016; Medeiros et al., 2023). Recently, much attention has been focused on the role AZ proteins in homeostatic plasticity in compensating for altered SV release (Rozenfeld et al., 2023; Goel et al., 2019; Böhme et al., 2019)]. The significant reduction in synaptic efficacy resulting from sls knockdown here may also trigger these pathways, resulting in changes in AZ structure. Thus, the changes in AZ brp density we observed could reflect developmental structural changes resulting from aberrant synaptogenesis, or a more dynamic processes ongoing mediating mechanisms of SV release. Postsynaptic ionotropic glutamate receptors depolarize muscle cells in response to SV fusion and NT release. Our GluRIII immunostains did not reveal any differences in postsynaptic density or AZ density. This provides evidence that postsynaptic

receptor complex formation is occurring normally, likely in response to presynaptic AZ seeding (DiAntonio, 2006). However, GluRIIA/B are known to be dynamically regulated during synapse maturation, and the two exhibit different ionic conductances (Akbergenova et al., 2018; Featherstone et al., 2005). Postsynaptic GluRIII density has been shown to remain unchanged, even when presynaptic AZ proteins were altered significantly (Han et al., 2023; Goel and Dickman, 2018). Noteworthy, the data do not preclude the possibility of typical embryonic/early larval development, and subsequent muscle damage or atrophy leading to presynaptic changes (Piccirillo et al., 2014). Consequently, numerous well characterized pathways, e.x. FOXO, may mediate synaptic and muscle changes observed herein (Piccirillo et al., 2014; Demontis and Perrimon, 2010). Nonetheless, to our knowledge, this is the first time sls, a putative homologous structure of human titin, has been demonstrated to significantly impact synapse formation and synaptic communication.

One of the long-seeded postulates for the structural role of sls/ titin is to maintain A-band stability during and after muscle contraction (Horowits et al., 1986; Higuchi, 1992). In our experimental setup, wandering third-instar larvae were isolated, dissected and fixed at varying phases of peristaltic locomotion, i.e., forward vs. reverse, contraction vs. relaxation phases of crawling. Given the highly variable nature of larval crawling, it is logical to predict that if sls was responsible for A-band stability, then the results of sls disruption in our model would be highly variable I-band lengths, which is indeed what we observed. Previously it has been suggested that the length of the so-called super-repeats domains within mouse titin, matches the 43-nm distance of the myosin heads in the C-zone, a region of each half-sarcomere flanking the A-band containing the myosin binding sites (Trinick, 1996). Recent work in the mouse demonstrated that deletion of a subset of these super-repeats resulted in shorter A-bands (Tonino et al., 2017). Our results revealed a doubling of the average A-band length following sls knockdown; therefore, it seems plausible that *sls* may be involved in regulating A-band length. It is noteworthy that in Drosophila, Loreau et al. (2023), revealed that Projectin decorates the entire thick filament of larval body-wall muscle sarcomeres, while sls only interacts with the lateral-most aspect of myosin. Therefore, Projectin is uniquely positioned to interact with sls in its role of molecular ruler for thickfilament length.

Another putative role for *sls*/titin is as a stretch sensor which activates super-relaxed cross-bridges, and therefore provides a mechanism for compensating for reduced actin-myosin overlap (elongated sarcomere) by increasing the density of cross-bridges at locations of myofilament overlap (Fusi et al., 2016). Drosophila body-wall muscles are supercontractile, able to contract well below 50% of resting length (Goldstein and Burdette, 1971). Drosophila sls could serve a role in regulating cross-bridge kinetics in sarcomeres of different lengths to regulate stability (Fusi et al., 2016). Furthermore, since adjacent half-sarcomeres overlap in the Z-disc and M-band regions, there is the capacity for continuous structural and functional (force) transmission, providing a mechanism for slsmediated orchestration across sarcomeres (Yasuda et al., 1996). This would facilitate synchronicity across the entire muscle, making sls an integral aspect of the contraction generating machinery by transmitting myofibril-based forces along adjacent

sarcomeres. In recent mammalian studies, novel titin-mediated mechanisms to control and regulate intersarcomere dynamics have been reported, which differentially regulate resting sarcomere length, and alter work performance during cell lengthening (Li et al., 2023).

The role of titin in active, passive, and residual force enhancement is by far the most well characterized and discussed [reviewed: (Herzog, 2018; Freundt and Linke, 2019; Nishikawa, 2020)]. We looked beyond the effects of sls on muscle contractile properties and examined changes in muscle contractile behavior, via a crawling assay. Surprisingly, reducing the expression of *sls* in a single muscle fiber within each abdominal hemisegment was sufficient to significantly reduce larval crawling behavior. Our previous work demonstrated that MFs 6, 7, 12, and 13 contribute most substantially to longitudinal force production underlying motivated forward peristalsis along the ventral substrate (Ormerod et al., 2015). Previously, we serially ablated these fibers and found that muscles 12/13 contributed ~33% of the total longitudinal force production, and likely explains the significantly reduced larval crawling velocity shown here (Ormerod et al., 2022; Ormerod et al., 2015). In addition to these putative roles of titin/sls, this elastic-protein has also been suggested to serve a multitude of other roles via its interactions with sarcomeric and non-sarcomeric proteins. These functions are incredibly diverse and include the Blaschko effect (a.k.a catch-tension), mechanosensory, signaling hub, target of proteostasis mechanisms, substrate for calcium binding, and posttranslational modifications (phosphorylation or oxidation) regulating stiffness (Herzog, 2018; Freundt and Linke, 2019; Nishikawa, 2020; Horrowits and Podolsky, 1987). Given the robust toolkit assembled herein along with those within the Drosophila community, many of these processes can, and should be investigated in future studies. Here we have demonstrated profound effects for sls on muscle structure, function, neuromuscular transduction, and ultimately, locomotory behavior.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on animals in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

AM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. TH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. VM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. KO: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Research supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R15GM155985 to KGO. Generous financial support from Middle Tennessee State University to KGO.

References

Abmayr, S. M., and Pavlath, G. K. (2012). Myoblast fusion: lessons from flies and mice. Development 139, 641-656. doi:10.1242/DEV.068353

Ajayi, P. T., Katti, P., Zhang, Y., Willingham, T. B., Sun, Y., Bleck, C. K. E., et al. (2022). Regulation of the evolutionarily conserved muscle myofibrillar matrix by cell type dependent and independent mechanisms. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 2661. doi:10.1038/S41467-022-30401-9

Akbergenova, Y., Cunningham, K. L., Zhang, Y. V., Weiss, S., and Littleton, J. T. (2018). Characterization of developmental and molecular factors underlying release heterogeneity at Drosophila synapses. *Elife* 7, e38268. doi:10.7554/ELIFE.38268

Aponte-Santiago, N. A., Ormerod, K. G., Akbergenova, Y., and Littleton, J. T. (2020). Synaptic plasticity induced by differential manipulation of tonic and phasic motoneurons in *Drosophila. J. Neurosci.* 40, 6270–6288. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 0925-20.2020

Bae, H., Chen, S., Roche, J. P., Ai, M., Wu, C., Diantonio, A., et al. (2016). Rab3-GEF controls active zone development at the Drosophila neuromuscular junction. *ENeuro* 3, ENEURO.0031-16.2016. doi:10.1523/ENEURO.0031-16.2016

Baylies, M. K., Bate, M., and Ruiz Gomez, M. (1998). Myogenesis: a view from Drosophila. Cell 93, 921-927. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81198-8

Böhme, M. A., McCarthy, A. W., Grasskamp, A. T., Beuschel, C. B., Goel, P., Jusyte, M., et al. (2019). Rapid active zone remodeling consolidates presynaptic potentiation. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1085. doi:10.1038/S41467-019-08977-6

Branson, K., Robie, A. A., Bender, J., Perona, P., and Dickinson, M. H. (2009). High-throughput ethomics in large groups of Drosophila. *Nat. Methods* 6, 451–457. doi:10. 1038/NMETH.1328

Burkart, C., Qiu, F., Brendel, S., Benes, V., Hååg, P., Labeit, S., et al. (2007). Modular proteins from the Drosophila sallimus (sls) gene and their expression in muscles with different extensibility. *J. Mol. Biol.* 367, 953–969. doi:10.1016/J.JMB.2007.01.059

Chou, V. T., Johnson, S. A., and Van Vactor, D. (2020). Synapse development and maturation at the drosophila neuromuscular junction. *Neural Dev.* 15, 11. doi:10.1186/S13064-020-00147-5

Clark, K. A., Bland, J. M., and Beckerle, M. C. (2007). The Drosophila muscle LIM protein, Mlp84B, cooperates with D-titin to maintain muscle structural integrity. *J. Cell Sci.* 120, 2066–2077. doi:10.1242/JCS.000695

Cramer, A. A. W., Prasad, V., Eftestøl, E., Song, T., Hansson, K.-A., Dugdale, H. F., et al. (2020). Nuclear numbers in syncytial muscle fibers promote size but limit the development of larger myonuclear domains. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 6287. doi:10.1038/ s41467-020-20058-7

Cunningham, K. L., Sauvola, C. W., Tavana, S., and Troy Littleton, J. (2022). Regulation of presynaptic Ca2+ channel abundance at active zones through a balance of delivery and turnover. *Elife* 11, e78648. doi:10.7554/ELIFE.78648

Demontis, F., and Perrimon, N. (2010). FOXO/4E-BP signaling in Drosophila muscles regulates organism-wide proteostasis during aging. *Cell* 143, 813–825. doi:10.1016/J.CELL.2010.10.007

DiAntonio, A. (2006). Glutamate receptors at the Drosophila neuromuscular junction. Int. Rev. Neurobiol. 75, 165–179. doi:10.1016/S0074-7742(06)75008-5

Featherstone, D. E., Rushton, E., Rohrbough, J., Liebl, F., Karr, J., Sheng, Q., et al. (2005). An essential Drosophila glutamate receptor subunit that functions in both central neuropil and neuromuscular junction. *J. Neurosci.* 25, 3199–3208. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4201-04.2005

Feng, Y., Ueda, A., and Wu, C. F. (2004). A modified minimal hemolymph-like solution, HL3.1, for physiological recordings at the neuromuscular junctions of normal

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

and mutant Drosophila larvae. J. Neurogenet. 18, 377-402. doi:10.1080/01677060490894522

Fouquet, W., Owald, D., Wichmann, C., Mertel, S., Depner, H., Dyba, M., et al. (2009). Maturation of active zone assembly by Drosophila Bruchpilot. *J. Cell Biol.* 186, 129–145. doi:10.1083/JCB.200812150

Freundt, J. K., and Linke, W. A. (2019). Titin as a force-generating muscle protein under regulatory control. J. Appl. Physiol. (1985) 126, 1474–1482. doi:10.1152/ JAPPLPHYSIOL.00865.2018

Furst, D. O., Osborn, M., and Weber, K. (1989). Myogenesis in the mouse embryo: differential onset of expression of myogenic proteins and the involvement of titin in myofibril assembly. *J. Cell Biol.* 109, 517–527. doi:10.1083/JCB.109.2.517

Fusi, L., Brunello, E., Yan, Z., and Irving, M. (2016). Thick filament mechano-sensing is a calcium-independent regulatory mechanism in skeletal muscle. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 13281. doi:10.1038/NCOMMS13281

Goel, P., Bergeron, D. D., Böhme, M. A., Nunnelly, L., Lehmann, M., Buser, C., et al. (2019). Homeostatic scaling of active zone scaffolds maintains global synaptic strength. *J. Cell Biol.* 218, 1706–1724. doi:10.1083/JCB.201807165

Goel, P., and Dickman, D. (2018). Distinct homeostatic modulations stabilize reduced postsynaptic receptivity in response to presynaptic DLK signaling. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1856. doi:10.1038/S41467-018-04270-0

Goldstein, M. A., and Burdette, W. J. (1971). Striated visceral muscle of drosophila melanogaster. J. Morphol. 134, 315–334. doi:10.1002/JMOR.1051340305

Gramlich, M., Michely, B., Krohne, C., Heuser, A., Erdmann, B., Klaassen, S., et al. (2009). Stress-induced dilated cardiomyopathy in a knock-in mouse model mimicking human titin-based disease. *J. Mol. Cell Cardiol.* 47, 352–358. doi:10.1016/J.YJMCC. 2009.04.014

Han, Y., Goel, P., Chen, J., Perry, S., Tran, N., Nishimura, S., et al. (2023). Excess glutamate release triggers subunit-specific homeostatic receptor scaling. *Cell Rep.* 42, 112775. doi:10.1016/J.CELREP.2023.112775

Herzog, W. (2017). Skeletal muscle mechanics: questions, problems and possible solutions. J. Neuroeng Rehabil. 14, 98. doi:10.1186/S12984-017-0310-6

Herzog, W. (2018). The multiple roles of titin in muscle contraction and force production. *Biophys. Rev.* 10, 1187–1199. doi:10.1007/S12551-017-0395-Y

Higuchi, H. (1992). Changes in contractile properties with selective digestion of connectin (titin) in skinned fibers of frog skeletal muscle. J. Biochem. 111, 291–295. doi:10.1093/OXFORDJOURNALS.JBCHEM.A123752

Hoang, B., and Chiba, A. (2001). Single-cell analysis of Drosophila larval neuromuscular synapses. *Dev. Biol.* 229, 55–70. doi:10.1006/DBIO.2000.9983

Ho Koh, Y., Sian Gramates, L., and Budnik, V. (2000). Drosophila larval neuromuscular junction: molecular components and mechanisms underlying synaptic plasticity. *Res. Tech.* 49, 14–25. doi:10.1002/(sici)1097-0029(20000401)49: 1<14::aid-jemt3>3.0.co;2-g

Horowits, R., Kempner, E. S., Bisher, M. E., and Podolsky, R. J. (1986). A physiological role for titin and nebulin in skeletal muscle. *Nature* 323 (6084), 160–164. doi:10.1038/323160a0

Horrowits, R., and Podolsky, R. J. (1987). The positional stability of thick filaments in activated skeletal muscle depends on sarcomere length: evidence for the role of titin filaments. *J. Cell Biol.* 105, 2217–2223. doi:10.1083/JCB.105.5.2217

Huang, G., Bisaria, A., Wakefield, D. L., Yamawaki, T. M., Luo, X., Zhang, J. A., et al. (2023). Titin-truncating variants in hiPSC cardiomyocytes induce pathogenic

proteinopathy and sarcomere defects with preserved core contractile machinery. *Stem Cell Rep.* 18, 220–236. doi:10.1016/J.STEMCR.2022.11.008

Huxley, A. F. (1957). Muscle structure and theories of contraction. Prog. Biophys. Biophys. Chem. 7, 255-318. doi:10.1016/S0096-4174(18)30128-8

Huxley, H., and Hanson, J. (1954). Changes in the cross-striations of muscle during contraction and stretch and their structural interpretation. *Nature* 173, 973–976. doi:10. 1038/173973A0

Huxley, H. E. (1971). The structural basis of muscular contraction. Proc. R. Soc. Lond B Biol. Sci. 178, 131–149. doi:10.1098/RSPB.1971.0057

Jan, L. Y., and Jan, Y. N. (1976). Properties of the larval neuromuscular junction in Drosophila melanogaster. J. Physiol. 262, 189–214. doi:10.1113/JPHYSIOL.1976.SP011592

Keshishian, H., Broadie, K., Chiba, A., and Bate, M. (1996). The drosophila neuromuscular junction: a model system for studying synaptic development and function. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 19, 545–575. doi:10.1146/ANNUREV.NE.19.030196. 002553

Kreipke, R. E., Kwon, Y. V., Shcherbata, H. R., and Ruohola-Baker, H. (2017). *Drosophila melanogaster* as a model of muscle degeneration disorders. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* 121, 83–109. doi:10.1016/BS.CTDB.2016.07.003

Li, J., Sundnes, J., Hou, Y., Laasmaa, M., Ruud, M., Unger, A., et al. (2023). Stretch harmonizes sarcomere strain across the cardiomyocyte. *Circ. Res.* 133, 255–270. doi:10. 1161/CIRCRESAHA.123.322588

Loreau, V., Rees, R., Chan, E. H., Taxer, W., Gregor, K., Mußil, B., et al. (2023). A nanobody toolbox to investigate localisation and dynamics of Drosophila titins and other key sarcomeric proteins. *Elife* 12, e79343. doi:10.7554/ELIFE.79343

Machado, C., and Andrew, D. J. (2000). D-TITIN: a giant protein with dual roles in chromosomes and muscles. J. Cell Biol. 151, 639-652. doi:10.1083/jcb.151.3.639

Maruyama, K. (1976). Connectin, an elastic protein from myofibrils. J. Biochem. 80, 405–407. doi:10.1093/OXFORDJOURNALS.JBCHEM.A131291

Medeiros, A. T., Gratz, S. J., Delgado, A., Ritt, J. T., and O'Connor-Giles, K. M. (2023). Ca^{2+} channel and active zone protein abundance intersects with input-specific synapse organization to shape functional synaptic diversity. BioRxiv, 2023.04.02.535290. doi:10. 1101/2023.04.02.535290

Nishikawa, K. (2020). Titin: a tunable spring in active muscle. *Physiol. (Bethesda)* 35, 209–217. doi:10.1152/PHYSIOL.00036.2019

Ormerod, K. G., Hadden, J. K., Deady, L. D., Mercier, A. J., and Krans, J. L. (2013). Action of octopamine and tyramine on muscles of *Drosophila melanogaster* larvae. *J. Neurophysiol.* 110, 1984–1996. doi:10.1152/JN.00431.2013

Ormerod, K. G., Krans, J. L., and Mercier, A. J. (2015). Cell-selective modulation of the Drosophila neuromuscular system by a neuropeptide. *J. Neurophysiol.* 113, 1631–1643. doi:10.1152/JN.00625.2014

Ormerod, K. G., Scibelli, A. E., and Littleton, J. T. (2022). Regulation of excitationcontraction coupling at the Drosophila neuromuscular junction. *J. Physiol.* 600, 349–372. doi:10.1113/JP282092 Piccirillo, R., Demontis, F., Perrimon, N., and Goldberg, A. L. (2014). Mechanisms of muscle growth and atrophy in mammals and Drosophila. *Dev. Dyn.* 243, 201–215. doi:10.1002/DVDY.24036

Radke, M. H., Polack, C., Methawasin, M., Fink, C., Granzier, H. L., and Gotthardt, M. (2019). Deleting full length titin versus the titin M-band region leads to differential mechanosignaling and cardiac phenotypes. *Circulation* 139, 1813–1827. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.037588

Rall, J. A. (2018). What makes skeletal muscle striated? Discoveries in the endosarcomeric and exosarcomeric cytoskeleton. *Adv. Physiol. Educ.* 42, 672–684. doi:10.1152/ADVAN.00152.2018

Ritzenthaler, S., Suzuki, E., and Chiba, A. (2000). Postsynaptic filopodia in muscle cells interact with innervating motoneuron axons. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3, 1012–1017. doi:10. 1038/79833

Rozenfeld, E., Ehmann, N., Manoim, J. E., Kittel, R. J., and Parnas, M. (2023). Homeostatic synaptic plasticity rescues neural coding reliability. *Nat. Commun.* 14, 2993. doi:10.1038/S41467-023-38575-6

Schöck, F., and Gonzaíez-Morales, N. (2022). The insect perspective on Z-disc structure and biology. J. Cell Sci. 135, jcs260179. doi:10.1242/jcs.260179

Squire, J. M. (2016). Muscle contraction: sliding filament history, sarcomere dynamics and the two Huxleys. *Glob. Cardiol. Sci. Pract.* 2016, e201611. doi:10.21542/GCSP. 2016.11

Suster, M. L., and Bate, M. (2002). Embryonic assembly of a central pattern generator without sensory input. *Nature* 416, 174–178. doi:10.1038/416174A

Tapia, J. C., Wylie, J. D., Kasthuri, N., Hayworth, K. J., Schalek, R., Berger, D. R., et al. (2012). Pervasive synaptic branch removal in the mammalian neuromuscular system at birth. *Neuron* 74, 816–829. doi:10.1016/J.NEURON.2012.04.017

Tonino, P., Kiss, B., Strom, J., Methawasin, M., Smith, J. E., Kolb, J., et al. (2017). The giant protein titin regulates the length of the striated muscle thick filament. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 1041. doi:10.1038/S41467-017-01144-9

Trinick, J. (1996). Interaction of titin/connectin with the thick filament. *Adv. Biophys.* 33, 81–90. doi:10.1016/0065-227X(96)81665-0

Van Der Ven, P. F. M., and Fürst, D. O. (1997). Assembly of titin, myomesin and M-protein into the sarcomeric M band in differentiating human skeletal muscle cells *in vitro*. *Cell Struct. Funct.* 22, 163–171. doi:10.1247/CSF.22.163

Weinert, S., Bergmann, N., Luo, X., Erdmann, B., and Gotthardt, M. (2006). M line-deficient titin causes cardiac lethality through impaired maturation of the sarcomere. *J. Cell Biol.* 173, 559–570. doi:10.1083/JCB.200601014

Yasuda, K., Shindo, Y., and Ishiwata, S. (1996). Synchronous behavior of spontaneous oscillations of sarcomeres in skeletal myofibrils under isotonic conditions. *Biophys. J.* 70, 1823–1829. doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(96)79747-3

Zhang, Y., Featherstone, D., Davis, W., Rushton, E., and Broadie, K. (2000). Drosophila D-Titin is required for myoblast fusion and skeletal muscle striation. *J. Cell Sci.* 113, 3103–3115. doi:10.1242/JCS.113.17.3103