Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ciro Fernando Bustillo LeCompte, Toronto Metropolitan University, Canada

REVIEWED BY Zhi-Lin Luan, Dalian Medical University, China Prabhakar Mishra, REVA University. India

*CORRESPONDENCE

Weiyong Zhang, Isp86686089@139.com Mingqin Kuang, kuangmingqin666@sina.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 13 November 2024 ACCEPTED 09 May 2025 PUBLISHED 22 May 2025 CORRECTED 12 June 2025

CITATION

Luo Y, Xu D, Zhang W, Wang K, Kuang M and Liu Y (2025) Investigating ethylene oxide exposure and its associations with kidney indicators and lipid profiles: the mediating effect of HDL in NHANES 2013-2020. *Front. Physiol.* 16:1523940. doi: 10.3389/fptys.2025.1523940

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Luo, Xu, Zhang, Wang, Kuang and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Investigating ethylene oxide exposure and its associations with kidney indicators and lipid profiles: the mediating effect of HDL in NHANES 2013-2020

Yiheng Luo^{1†}, Duo Xu^{2†}, Weiyong Zhang³*[†], Kai Wang¹, Mingqin Kuang⁴* and Yueyang Liu⁵

¹The First School of Clinical Medicine, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ²School of Public Health, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ³Department of Internal Medicine, Shenzhen TCM Anorectal Hospital (Futian), Shenzhen, China, ⁴Department of Gynecology and Oncology, Ganzhou Cancer Hospital, Ganzhou, China, ⁵Department of Gynecology, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital (Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences), Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China

Introduction: Ethylene oxide (EO) exposure has been associated with various health conditions, such as cancer and cardiovascular diseases. However, its potential relationships with kidney function and lipid profiles require further investigation.

Methods: This study analyzed data from 3,500 US adults participating in NHANES 2013–2020. EO exposure was assessed using hemoglobin adducts of EO (HbEO) as a biomarker. Associations with kidney and lipid parameters were evaluated using multivariate linear regression models. Mediation analysis was performed to explore the role of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) in these associations.

Results: Higher HbEO levels were significantly associated with decreased albumin (Alb) ($\beta = -0.79$, 95% CI: -1.15, -0.43) and increased blood urea nitrogen in the second and third quartiles (Q2: ($\beta = 0.79$, 95% CI: 0.34, 1.24; Q3: ($\beta = 0.81$, 95% CI: 0.35, 1.27). Uric acid (UA) showed an inverse association with the highest quartile of HbEO ($\beta = -0.23$, 95% CI: -0.36, -0.09). Log10-transformed HbEO levels were negatively associated with Alb, UA, and the UA/serum creatinine ratio. Regarding lipids, no significant associations were found with triglycerides, total cholesterol, or LDL. However, EO exposure was negatively associated with HDL levels ($\beta = -3.57$, 95% CI: -5.18, -1.96). Mediation analysis revealed that HDL mediated 6.51% of the association between EO and Alb, 12.44% with UA (inverse), and 11.01% with urinary creatinine.

Discussion: EO exposure is significantly associated with alterations in kidney function and HDL levels. HDL's mediating role suggests a potential mechanism linking EO to renal biomarkers, warranting further mechanistic investigation.

KEYWORDS

ethylene oxide, kidney parameters, lipid profiles, epidemiology, national health and nutrition examination survey

Introduction

Ethylene oxide (EO), a highly toxic industrial compound, is widely used as a chemical precursor and in sterilization, fumigation, cosmetics, and tobacco processing (Chemical agents and related occupations, 2012; Jain, 2020). Animal studies have demonstrated that high concentrations of EO can significantly increase tumor incidence (Kirman et al., 2021). Epidemiological investigations have further suggested that prolonged exposure to EO may contribute to the development of chronic diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disorders, neurological impairments, and various cancers (Guo et al., 2021; Estrin et al., 1987; Steenland et al., 2004; Sun et al., 2025). However, findings from large-scale human studies remain inconsistent, with some research reporting no clear association between EO exposure and increased cancer risk (Jain, 2020). These findings highlight the need for more comprehensive studies on EO's health impacts.

Moreover, individuals are exposed to EO through various pathways, including endogenous production via bacterial activity in the gastrointestinal tract or systemic synthesis in the liver (Swenberg et al., 2011); metabolism of exogenous ethylene sources, including vehicle exhaust, fires, and cigarette smoke (Filser et al., 2013); and inhalation of high EO concentrations near industrial or sterilization facilities (Olaguer et al., 2019). Given these findings, it is essential to understand the effects of EO on the human body and to devise effective public health interventions. Due to EO's multifaceted exposure pathways, a robust biomarker is required to assess cumulative internal exposure. Hemoglobin adducts of ethylene oxide (HbEO) serve as a biomarker for assessing long-term EO exposure in humans (Zhou C. et al., 2024).

Several studies have reported associations between ethylene oxide (EO) exposure and adverse renal outcomes, with elevated HbEO levels positively correlating with an increased risk of kidney stones, chronic kidney disease, and proteinuria (Song et al., 2023; Wu et al., 2024; Zhou W. et al., 2024). However, the association between EO and kidney function remains unclear. Given that blood urea nitrogen (BUN), uric acid (UA), serum creatinine (Scr), serum albumin (Alb), urinary creatinine (Ucr), and urinary albumin (Ualb) are well-validated biomarkers of kidney function, these parameters were systematically analyzed in the present study (Sanders et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2023; Ji et al., 2022). Additionally, the ratio of UA to Scr (UA/Scr) has emerged as a novel biomarker that provides a more accurate reflection of endogenous UA levels and assists in the evaluation of renal function (Gu et al., 2017).

The impact of EO on lipid metabolism is equally significant, as dysregulated lipid levels play a crucial role in the onset of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) and various other health conditions (White-Al Habeeb et al., 2023). Zeng et al. (Zeng et al., 2021) established a positive link between EO exposure and an increased prevalence of CVDs, which are strongly linked to serum total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), and low-density lipoprotein (LDL). High-density lipoprotein (HDL), known for delaying atherosclerosis progression, cannot induce regression (Zhu et al., 2022). Additionally, the atherogenic index of plasma (AIP), a novel and more robust indicator of lipid imbalances, has emerged as a key predictor of CVD risk, especially in populations with metabolic abnormalities (Min et al., 2024).

HDL levels are closely linked to kidney disease (Vaziri, 2016) and may mediate the relationship between lipid parameters and renal function (Sun et al., 2015). While the role of HDL in kidney disease has been extensively characterized, its potential mediating role in the association between EO exposure and changes in kidney function remains unclear. Investigating this mediation may offer novel mechanistic insights into how EO affects physiological processes, particularly cardiovascular and renal health.

The underlying pathophysiology of EO-mediated organ toxicity has been primarily characterized in animal models, while their human implications require further investigation. This study systematically evaluated the potential nephrotoxic and dyslipidemic effects of EO exposure in human populations, hypothesizing that higher EO exposure is associated with impaired renal markers, and that this relationship is partially mediated by changes in lipid metabolism, particularly HDL. Using data from the 2013–2020 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), our study investigated the relationship between human EO levels and kidney function while assessing the potential mediating role of HDL.

Methods

Study population

This study leveraged data from the NHANES conducted between 2013 and 2020, as HbEO measurements were only available during this period. Initially, 35,706 participants were enrolled. To refine the population, we excluded 27,209 individuals with missing HbEO data, resulting in 8,497 participants. To minimize potential bias and ensure the study population represented adults with stable metabolic profiles, individuals under the age of 20 were excluded, resulting in the removal of an additional 2,396 participants, leaving 6,101 eligible subjects.

Further exclusions were made for participants with incomplete covariate data, including gender, age, race, Poverty-Income Ratio (PIR), education level, alcohol consumption, smoking status, Body Mass Index (BMI), vigorous work activity, diabetes, and hypertension. This step eliminated 2,601 subjects, resulting in a final analysis group of 3,500 individuals. Participants without available data on kidney parameters and lipid profiles were also excluded, with specific details outlined in Figure 1. The Ethics Review Board of the National Center for Health Statistics approved the NHANES study protocol, and all participants provided their written informed consent (http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes).

Assessment of ethylene oxide

The assessment of EO exposure was conducted by measuring hemoglobin adducts, specifically N-terminal valine adducts, using

Abbreviations: AIP, Atherogenic index of plasma; Alb, Serum albumin; BMI, Body mass index; BUN, Blood urea nitrogen; CVD, Cardiovascular disease; EO, Ethylene oxide; HbEO, Hemoglobin adducts of ethylene oxide; HDL, High-density lipoprotein; LDL, Low-density lipoprotein; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; PIR, Poverty income ratio; RCS, Restricted cubic spline; Scr, Serum creatinine; TC, Total cholesterol; TG, Triglycerides; UA, Uric acid; Ualb, Urinary albumin; Ucr, Urinary creatinine.



a modified Edman reaction for enhanced sensitivity. Quantification was performed via high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry, allowing for accurate detection of EO from both exogenous and endogenous sources. More information is available on the NHANES website (https:// wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/2017-2018/labmethods/ETHOX-J-MET-508.pdf).

Kidney parameters and lipid profiles

We assessed kidney parameters, including Alb (g/L), BUN (mg/dL), UA (mg/dL), Scr (mg/dL), Ucr (mg/dL), Ualb (mg/L), and the UA/Scr ratio, calculated as serum uric acid (mg/dL) divided by serum creatinine (mg/dL). Lipid parameters included HDL (mg/dL), TC (mg/dL), TG (mg/dL), LDL (mg/dL), and AIP, calculated as log10 (TG/HDL).

Covariates

To reduce confounding bias and ensure the consistency and comparability of our results, we adjusted for several covariates based on prior studies, including gender, age, race, PIR, education level, alcohol consumption, smoking status, BMI, vigorous work activity, diabetes, and hypertension (Zhou C. et al., 2024; Politis et al., 2021).

Participants were classified into five racial categories: Mexican American, Other Hispanic, Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, and Other Race, which includes multi-racial individuals. Education level was classified into three categories: below high school, high school, and above high school (Zhu et al., 2022). For alcohol consumption, data were obtained from the questionnaire asking the average number of alcoholic drinks per day in the past 12 months. Smoking status was classified according to whether participants had smoked at least 100 cigarettes in their lifetime (Zhu et al., 2022). BMI was categorized into three groups: <25 kg/m² (normal weight), 25–29.9 kg/m² (overweight), and \geq 30 kg/m² (obese) for all participants (Li et al., 2024).

Data on vigorous work activity were gathered through questionnaires, inquiring whether participants' jobs involved high-intensity physical activity, such as carrying heavy loads or construction work, that significantly increased breathing or heart rate for at least ten continuous minutes. For diabetes and hypertension, we did not rely solely on direct measurements of blood glucose and blood pressure, as single measurements are insufficient for diagnosing these two conditions. Instead, we included self-reported data, asking whether participants had ever received a physician diagnosis of diabetes or hypertension.

Statistical analysis

We applied a log10 transformation to HbEO to account for its skewed distribution and then categorized the log10-transformed values into quartiles. Only participants with complete data on all variables used in the models were included in the analysis, and a complete-case analysis approach was adopted. To investigate the

independent associations between HbEO and kidney parameters as well as lipid profiles, multivariate logistic regression models were employed, incorporating both continuous log10-transformed HbEO and quartile categories across three different models: Model 1 with no adjustments, Model 2 adjusted for gender, race, age, and BMI, and Model 3 with full adjustments for additional covariates. Multicollinearity among independent variables was assessed using variance inflation factors, and no significant multicollinearity was detected (ranged from 1.1 to 1.5). Smooth curve fitting and restricted cubic spline (RCS) regression were utilized to evaluate further the nonlinear relationships involving HbEO and the various parameters, with four knots placed at the 5th, 35th, 65th, and 95th percentiles of HbEO distribution. Additionally, we conducted mediation analyses to investigate whether lipid profiles mediate the effect of HbEO on kidney parameters, aiming to determine the role of lipid levels in the relationship between HbEO and kidney function. Multiple linear regression and mediation analyses were performed using Empower version 4.1 (www.empowerstats.com) and R version 4.2.0. Restricted cubic spline modeling and curve plotting were conducted with R version 4.4.0 and Zstats 1.0 (www.zstats.net).

Results

Baseline characteristics

Our analytic sample included 3,500 participants. The median age was 47.0 years, and 52.1% of participants were male. The majority were Non-Hispanic White (40.8%) and completed education beyond high school (61.6%). The median HbEO level was 22.3 pmol/g Hb. Participants were categorized by BMI as 27.1% normal weight, 31.8% overweight, and 41.0% obese. Nearly half of the participants were smokers (47.4%), with a median alcohol consumption of 2.0 drinks per week. Additionally, 34.3% had hypertension, and 14.7% had diabetes. Further details are provided in Table 1.

Association between HbEO and kidney parameters

Table 2 illustrates the association between HbEO and kidney parameters. In this analysis, log10-transformed HbEO was significantly associated with lower Alb levels. In the fully adjusted model, the highest quartile of HbEO exposure showed a $\beta = -0.79$ (95% CI: -1.15, -0.43), p < 0.0001. Continuous log10-transformed HbEO also demonstrated a significant inverse association (β = -0.72, 95% CI: -1.00, -0.44, p < 0.0001), further underscoring the consistent relationship between HbEO exposure and reduced Alb levels. For BUN, higher HbEO exposure in Q2 and Q3 was positively associated with increased levels. In Q2, the fully adjusted model indicated a significant positive relationship with blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels, showing a ß of 0.79 (95% CI: 0.34, 1.24, p = 0.0006). The association persisted in Q3, with a β of 0.81 (95%) CI: 0.35, 1.27, p = 0.0006). However, continuous log10-transformed HbEO exposure revealed a significant inverse association with BUN, demonstrating a β of -0.52 (95% CI: -0.94, -0.10, p = 0.0159), indicating a complex relationship between HbEO exposure and BUN levels. Uric acid (UA) levels also exhibited a significant inverse TABLE 1 Survey-weighted, sociodemographic and health status characteristics of adult NHANES 2013–2020 participants with available blood ethylene oxide data (n = 3500).

Variable	Median (IQR) or N (%)
Age, years	47.0 (33.0, 61.0)
Gender	
Male, %	1825 (52.1%)
Female, %	1675 (47.8%)
Education level, %	
Below high school	504 (14.4%)
High school	837 (23.9%)
Above high school	2159 (61.6%)
Race/ethnicity, %	
Mexican American	461 (13.1%)
Other Hispanic	339 (9.6%)
Non-Hispanic White	1431 (40.8%)
Non-Hispanic Black	788 (22.5%)
Other race	481 (13.7%)
Poverty, %	594 (17.0%)
Smoking status, %	1661 (47.4%)
Alcohol consumption, %	2.0 (1.0, 3.0)
Body mass index, kg/m ²	
Normal weight	949 (27.1%)
Overweight	1113 (31.8%)
Obese	1438 (41.0%)
Physical activity, %	852 (24.3%)
Hypertension, %	1203 (34.3%)
Diabetes, %	516 (14.7%)
HbEO, pmol/g Hb	22.3 (15.9, 56.7)
Serum albumin, mg/dL	42.0 (40.0, 45.0)
Urinary albumin, mg/dL	7.8 (4.0, 16.0)
Urinary creatinine, mg/dL	108.0 (60.0, 166.0)
Blood urea nitrogen, mg/dL	13.0 (11.0, 17.0)
Uric acid, mg/dL	5.4 (4.4, 6.3)
Creatinine, refrigerated serum, mg/dL	0.9 (0.7, 1.0)
	62 (52, 73)

(Continued on the following page)

Variable	Median (IQR) or N (%)
Triglyceride, mg/dL	91.0 (60.0, 136.0)
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	185.0 (159.0, 213.0)
Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL	107.0 (85.0, 132.0)
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL	52.0 (42.0, 63.0)
Plasma atherogenic index, AIP	0.2 (0, 0.5)

TABLE 1 (*Continued*) Survey-weighted, sociodemographic and health status characteristics of adult NHANES 2013–2020 participants with available blood ethylene oxide data (n = 3500).

Data are presented as median (IQR) or N (%); sampling weights were applied for calculation of demographic descriptive statistics. N reflects the study sample, whereas percentages reflect the survey-weighted data.

association with HbEO exposure. In the fully adjusted model, the highest quartile (Q4) showed a β of -0.23 (95% CI: -0.36, -0.09, p = 0.0009). Additionally, continuous log10-transformed HbEO demonstrated a significant inverse correlation with UA levels ($\beta = -0.27$, 95% CI: -0.38, -0.17, p < 0.0001). The UA/Scr ratio displayed a similar trend, with Q4 exhibiting a β of -0.32 (95% CI: -0.50, -0.14, p = 0.0005), and continuous HbEO also showed a significant relationship ($\beta = -0.35$, 95% CI: -0.49, -0.21, p < 0.0001).

Although the association with Ucr was marginally significant, a potential upward trend was observed (β = 7.91, 95% CI: -0.39, 16.22, p = 0.0619) in the highest exposure quartile. Continuous log10 HbEO also revealed a significant positive relationship with Ucr (β = 6.43, 95% CI: -0.11, 12.98, p = 0.05). However, no significant association was observed for Scr, with a β = 0.02 (95% CI: -0.01, 0.05), p = 0.1623.

In addition, the cubic spline analysis (with log10-transformed HbEO as a continuous variable) demonstrated significant nonlinear associations between HbEO and multiple kidney parameters, including BUN (p for nonlinear <0.001), UA (p for nonlinear = 0.004), UA/Scr (p for nonlinear = 0.032), and Alb (p for nonlinear = 0.019), as illustrated in Figure 2. These findings highlight that the relationship between HbEO and these parameters is nonlinear, indicating a complex dose-response trend rather than a straightforward linear pattern.

Association between HbEO and lipid profiles

In the regression analysis shown in Table 3, a significant inverse relationship between HDL and HbEO exposure was observed across both log-transformed continuous HbEO and quartiles. In the unadjusted model, participants in the highest quartile (Q4) of HbEO exposure demonstrated a β of -3.32 (95% CI: -4.89, -1.74, p < 0.0001), indicating lower HDL levels. This association persisted in model 3, with a β of -3.57 (95% CI: -5.18, -1.96, p < 0.0001). Similarly, the continuous log-transformed HbEO variable was inversely associated with HDL ($\beta = -3.14$, 95% CI: -4.41, -1.87, p < 0.0001), showing a consistent negative relationship across models.

The associations between HbEO and TC, TG, and LDL were generally non-significant after adjustments, with no distinct patterns emerging. Notably, AIP, a novel lipid marker, showed a statistically significant association with EO in the fully adjusted model, despite the limited effect size. The β coefficient for the log-transformed EO and AIP was 0.06 (95% CI: 0.02, 0.10, p = 0.002). While this effect reached statistical significance, its overall impact remained moderate.

HDL mediated the association between HbEO and multiple kidney parameters

We performed a mediation analysis to assess the influence of HDL on the relationships between HbEO levels and kidney parameters. As shown in Figure 3 and Table 4, HDL significantly mediated these relationships, accounting for 6.51% of the variation in Alb, -12.44% in UA, and 11.01% in Ucr. Although partial mediation was observed, the negative mediation effect observed for UA indicates a complex relationship that may require further exploration. Overall, these findings highlight a modest mediating effect of HDL in the association between EO levels and kidney parameters. While this result is correlational, causal relationships warrant further investigation.

Discussion

Previous research indicates that ethylene oxide exposure exerts multisystemic effects, with documented impacts on respiratory function, hematological parameters, endocrine regulation, reproductive health (Agency for Toxic Substances and and Disease Registry ATSDR Toxicological Profiles, 2022; Lewis et al., 1986). Additionally, EO has been linked to tumor development. A two-year inhalation study demonstrated significant increases in benign and malignant tumors in B6C3F1 mice exposed to 50 ppm and 100 ppm EO, confirming its carcinogenic potential (NTP Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies, 1987). In the renal system, researchers reported kidney abnormalities induced by EO exposure, including enlargement, mild congestion, and cloudy swelling in the convoluted tubules of both rats and guinea pigs (Hollingsworth et al., 1956). Furthermore, studies indicate that repeated EO exposure increases lipid peroxidation and alters glutathione metabolism in the liver, as evidenced by elevated malondialdehyde (Katoh et al., 1989). While most EO data derived from animal studies, recent work focuses on human exposurehealth linkages. For example, Li et al. (2024) reported significant correlations between elevated HbEO and liver damage markers, while Huang et al. (2023) observed a J-shaped relationship between EO exposure and the risk of developing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Moreover, cardiovascular conditions like angina, heart attacks, and overall CVD have been associated with EO, and inflammation mediates these effects (Zhou W. et al., 2024; Zeng et al., 2021; Cheang et al., 2022). Nevertheless, the effects of EO exposure on renal function and lipid metabolism in general human populations have not been comprehensively demonstrated.

In this study, HbEO levels were associated with various kidney parameters. Higher HbEO levels correlated with lower

Kidney	Model	Continuous	Quartile1	Quartile2	Quartile3	Quartile4	p for
parameters		transformed EO	β	β (95%CI)	β (95%CI)	β(95%CI)	trena
	Model 1	16.68 (10.97, 22.38)***	0.00(Ref.)	2.39 (-5.12, 9.90)	7.05 (-0.46, 14.56)	21.52 (14.00, 29.03)***	
Ucr(n = 3475)	Model 2	7.66 (2.16, 13.17)**	0.00(Ref.)	1.29 (-5.71, 8.30)	4.95 (-2.14, 12.03)	9.32 (2.11, 16.54)*	0.0541
	Model 3	6.43 (-0.11, 12.98)	0.00(Ref.)	1.45 (-5.60, 8.50)	4.96 (-2.23, 12.15)	7.91 (-0.39, 16.22)	
	Model 1	-1.27 (-1.65, -0.89)***	0.00(Ref.)	0.84 (0.33, 1.34)***	0.56 (0.06, 1.07)*	-1.11 (-1.62, -0.61)***	
BUN(n = 3433)	Model 2	-0.79 (-1.15, -0.43)***	0.00(Ref.)	0.83 (0.38, 1.29)***	0.87 (0.41, 1.33)***	-0.52 (-0.98, -0.05)*	0.0159
	Model 3	-0.52 (-0.94, -0.10)*	0.00(Ref.)	0.79 (0.34, 1.24)***	0.81 (0.35, 1.27)***	-0.26 (-0.79, 0.28)	
	Model 1	-0.12 (-0.22, -0.01)*	0.00(Ref.)	0.10 (-0.04, 0.23)	0.09 (-0.05, 0.22)	-0.02 (-0.16, 0.11)	
UA (n = 3433)	Model 2	-0.16 (-0.26, -0.07)***	0.00(Ref.)	0.03 (-0.09, 0.14)	0.06 (-0.06, 0.17)	-0.12 (-0.24, 0.00)	<0.0001
	Model 3	-0.27 (-0.38, -0.17)***	0.00(Ref.)	0.05 (-0.06, 0.17)	0.06 (-0.05, 0.18)	-0.23 (-0.36, -0.09)***	
	Model 1	0.04 (0.01, 0.06)***	0.00(Ref.)	0.02 (-0.01, 0.05)	0.02 (-0.01, 0.05)	0.05 (0.02, 0.08)***	
Scr(n = 3433)	Model 2	0.00 (-0.02, 0.02)	0.00(Ref.)	0.01 (-0.01, 0.04)	0.02 (-0.01, 0.04)	0.01 (-0.02, 0.04)	0.2342
	Model 3	0.01 (-0.01, 0.04)	0.00(Ref.)	0.01 (-0.01, 0.04)	0.02 (-0.01, 0.04)	0.02 (-0.01, 0.05)	
	Model 1	-0.36 (-0.48, -0.24)***	0.00(Ref.)	0.02 (-0.14, 0.18)	0.03 (-0.13, 0.19)	-0.31 (-0.47, -0.15)***	
UA/Scr(n = 1622)	Model 2	-0.17 (-0.29, -0.05)**	0.00(Ref.)	-0.03 (-0.18, 0.12)	-0.01 (-0.16, 0.15)	-0.13 (-0.29, 0.02)	<0.0001
	Model 3	-0.35 (-0.49, -0.21)***	0.00(Ref.)	-0.01 (-0.16, 0.14)	-0.01 (-0.16, 0.15)	-0.32 (-0.50, -0.14)***	
	Model 1	-0.42 (-0.67, -0.17)**	0.00(Ref.)	-0.43 (-0.76, -0.09)*	-0.30 (-0.63, 0.04)	-0.53 (-0.86, -0.19)**	
Alb(n = 3433)	Model 2	-0.81 (-1.05, -0.57)***	0.00(Ref.)	-0.46 (-0.76, -0.16)**	-0.36 (-0.66, -0.05)*	-0.97 (-1.28, -0.66)***	<0.0001
	Model 3	-0.72 (-1.00, -0.44)***	0.00(Ref.)	-0.46 (-0.76, -0.16)**	-0.34 (-0.65, -0.03)*	-0.79 (-1.15, -0.43)***	
	Model 1	6.87 (-13.99, 27.74)	0.00(Ref.)	4.27 (-23.21, 31.76)	32.89 (5.43, 60.36)*	7.33 (-20.18, 34.83)	
Ualb (n = 3475)	Model 2	10.44 (-11.24, 32.12)	0.00(Ref.)	1.07 (-26.49, 28.64)	32.60 (4.73, 60.46)*	9.53 (-18.84, 37.89)	0.8118
	Model 3	3.10 (-22.39, 28.59)	0.00(Ref.)	-0.98 (-28.43, 26.47)	22.95 (-5.03, 50.93)	-3.34 (-35.65, 28.97)	

TABLE 2 Multiple linear regression associations of HbEO with kidney parameters in adults.

Model 1 was not adjusted.

Model 2 was adjusted for age, gender, race, and BMI.

Model 3 was adjusted as Model 2 plus education level, PIR, vigorous work activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, diabetes, and hypertension.

Ucr: Urinary creatinine; BUN: blood urea nitrogen; UA: uric acid; Scr: serum creatinine; UA/Scr: UA/Scr ratio; Alb: serum albumin; Ualb: urinary albumin; Ref: reference; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

Alb. BUN showed negative associations with log10-transformed HbEO but positive associations in lower quartiles. Both the highest quartile of HbEO and continuous log10-transformed values demonstrated an inverse relationship with uric acid. The UA/Scr ratio displayed a similar pattern. RCS analysis indicated nonlinear relationships between HbEO and BUN, UA, UA/Scr, and Alb. Higher HbEO exposure was also associated with lower HDL levels. Mediation analysis found that HDL contributed to 6.51% of the Alb reduction, -12.44% of the UA decline, and 11.01% of the Ucr elevation.

The inverse association between HbEO and Alb observed in our study aligns with prior reports of EO-induced renal impairment in CKD populations (Wu et al., 2024). This consistency is further reinforced by existing evidence demonstrating EOrelated albuminuria risk elevation (Zhou W. et al., 2024), providing a potential explanatory framework for the Alb findings in this study. The observed lipid disturbances gain biological plausibility from established literature documenting EO's disruptive effects on metabolic pathways, particularly lipid metabolism (Sun et al., 2022; Cheang et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2024). Published mechanistic



studies support HDL's renoprotective role during systemic toxic exposures (Sun et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2024), suggesting its potential mediation in EO-related renal effects. Interestingly, we observed inverse associations between HbEO levels and certain conventional renal markers (BUN and UA)—parameters that typically increase with renal dysfunction (Heuchel et al., 2023; Johnson et al., 2013; Kanbay et al., 2010). This contrasts with the well-documented association between EO exposure and elevated CKD risk (Wu et al., 2024).

Notably, while prior CKD research focused on clinically confirmed cases with manifest renal dysfunction, this populationbased study reveals early-stage adaptive responses to EO exposure. A plausible explanation for the reduced UA and BUN levels is that, akin to certain environmental toxins (Orr and Bridges, 2017; Petejova et al., 2019), EO might induce compensatory mechanisms (e.g., enhanced renal excretion) during early or high-exposure phases, thereby leading to reduced UA and BUN levels. It has been suggested that EO can react with amino acid residues, such as cysteine and methionine, potentially leading to protein degradation through adduct formation with Alb (Funatsu et al., 2019). Given the observed association between HbEO levels and reduced Alb in our study, future research could explore whether the formation of such adducts plays a role in altering Alb levels. Moreover, EO exposure has been linked to DNA damage in various tissues, including the kidneys (Zhang et al., 1997). Specifically, repeated EO exposure in animal studies has resulted in the accumulation of DNA adducts like HEtVal and 7-HEG in multiple organs (Walker et al., 1993; Rusyn et al., 2005). Future studies could examine if these adduct-mediated genomic alterations mechanistically underlie the renal biomarker changes in EOexposed humans. EO has also been reported to form adducts with hemoglobin, raising questions about its long-term effects on the hematological system (Walker et al., 1993).

Inflammatory pathways may represent another mechanism linking EO exposure to renal dysfunction. Multiple studies have highlighted the role of inflammation in EO-related kidney damage and proteinuria (Wu et al., 2024; Zhou W. et al., 2024). However, its mediating role between EO exposure and kidney function markers has yet to be fully explored. Inflammatory processes are known to affect kidney markers (Chang et al., 2022; Graterol Torres et al., 2022). For example, UA has been implicated in both acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease, with inflammation driving increased UA levels (Jung et al., 2020; Kang et al., 2005). Nevertheless, the potential involvement of inflammatory mediators in the observed association between EO exposure and alterations in early renal biomarkers necessitates additional mechanistic studies.

Lipid profiles Model Continuous log10- transformed EO		Continuous	Quartile1	Quartile2	Quartile3	Quartile4	p for
		transformed EO	β	β (95%CI)	β (95%CI)	β(95%CI)	trena
	Model1	-2.26 (-3.46, -1.07)***	0.00(Ref.)	-1.96 (-3.54, -0.39)*	-2.16 (-3.73, -0.58)**	-3.32 (-4.89, -1.74)***	
HDL (n = 3444)	Model2	-3.33 (-4.43, -2.24)***	0.00(Ref.)	-1.31 (-2.70, 0.08)	-2.37 (-3.78, -0.96)**	-3.91 (-5.34, -2.48)***	<0.0001
	Model3	-3.14 (-4.41, -1.87)***	0.00(Ref.)	-0.82 (-2.19, 0.55)	-1.53 (-2.92, -0.13)*	-3.57 (-5.18, -1.96)***	
	Model1	-4.28 (-7.21, -1.36)*	0.00(Ref.)	-1.61 (-5.46, 2.24)	-1.05 (-4.90, 2.80)	-4.41 (-8.27, -0.56)*	
TC (n = 3444)	Model2	-1.03 (-4.03, 1.97)	0.00(Ref.)	-1.86 (-5.68, 1.95)	-0.78 (-4.65, 3.08)	-0.58 (-4.51, 3.34)	0.5704
	Model3	-1.02 (-4.55, 2.51)	0.00(Ref.)	-1.29 (-5.09, 2.50)	0.51 (-3.37, 4.38)	-0.19 (-4.66, 4.28)	
	Model1	-5.57 (-17.18, 6.04)	0.00(Ref.)	-5.91 (-21.32, 9.50)	-0.20 (-15.66, 15.27)	-7.72 (-23.20, 7.77)	
TG (n = 1622)	Model2	5.59 (-6.27, 17.46)	0.00(Ref.)	-6.03 (-21.06, 9.00)	4.80 (-10.53, 20.14)	4.68 (-11.06, 20.43)	0.4424
	Model3	5.46 (-8.48, 19.40)	0.00(Ref.)	-7.45 (-22.58, 7.67)	3.20 (-12.34, 18.74)	3.90 (-13.91, 21.71)	
	Model1	-2.97 (-6.72, 0.78)	0.00(Ref.)	-0.65 (-5.65, 4.34)	-0.20 (-5.20, 4.81)	-2.07 (-7.08, 2.94)	
LDL (n = 1607)	Model2	-0.61 (-4.53, 3.30)	0.00(Ref.)	-0.46 (-5.44, 4.52)	0.58 (-4.49, 5.65)	0.90 (-4.31, 6.10)	0.9431
	Model3	0.17 (-4.39, 4.73)	0.00(Ref.)	0.41 (-4.56, 5.38)	2.06 (-3.04, 7.15)	2.40 (-3.43, 8.23)	
AIP(n = 1622)	Model1	0.02 (-0.02, 0.05)	0.00(Ref.)	0.02 (-0.03, 0.06)	0.02 (-0.03, 0.07)	0.02 (-0.03, 0.07)	
	Model2	0.08 (0.04, 0.11)***	0.00(Ref.)	0.02 (-0.03, 0.06)	0.05 (0.01, 0.10)*	0.09 (0.04, 0.13)***	0.0020
	Model3	0.06 (0.02, 0.10)**	0.00(Ref.)	0.01 (-0.04, 0.05)	0.04 (-0.00, 0.08)	0.07 (0.02, 0.12)*	

TABLE 3 Multiple linear regression associations of HbEO with lipid profiles in adults.

Model 1 was not adjusted.

Model 2 was adjusted for age, gender, race, and BMI.

Model 3 was adjusted as Model 2 plus education level, PIR, vigorous work activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, diabetes, and hypertension.

Alterations in lipid parameters observed in this study may be related to oxidative stress and inflammation associated with EO exposure. Numerous animal studies have shown that EO exposure leads to increased glutathione consumption and enhanced lipid peroxidation in the liver, both of which can aggravate oxidative stress (Katoh et al., 1989; Katoh et al., 1988). These mechanisms plausibly contribute to the HDL reduction observed in our study. Emerging evidence suggests an association between EO exposure and lipid alterations mediated through inflammatory pathways (Zhu et al., 2022). Changes in lipid levels, particularly increases in TG and decreases in HDL, are often closely linked to inflammation (Curley et al., 2021). Inflammatory cascade activation elevates TG and suppresses HDL, thereby disrupting cholesterol transport (Esteve et al., 2005). Further research is needed to elucidate the precise mechanisms by which EO may contribute to reductions in HDL. Beyond its role in lipid metabolism, HDL has also been proposed to exert protective effects on renal parenchymal cells through its antioxidant and anti-inflammatory functions (Zhong et al., 2019). However, the negative indirect effect of HDL on Alb levels in our mediation analysis indicates that its role may involve mechanisms beyond renal protection, warranting further exploration. Although the direct effect of EO on Ucr demonstrated marginal statistical significance, mediation analysis was conducted given its unique capacity to reveal mechanistic pathways that conventional direct association analyses might overlook.

In conclusion, this study examines the associations between EO exposure and various kidney and lipid parameters, highlighting the regulatory role of HDL. Our investigation into the nonlinear relationships between EO and kidney parameters, including UA, BUN, UA/Scr, and Alb, offers evidence contributing to the understanding of the complex biological processes involved. The findings underscore the importance of lipid markers, particularly HDL, in mediating EO's effects on kidney health, providing a foundation for further exploration into EO's broader systemic impacts. Although the observed effect sizes were moderate, they may still be of clinical concern given the widespread exposure to EO; further studies are needed to clarify the exposurerisk threshold. The analysis utilized a large population dataset, with careful adjustments for multiple potential confounders to enhance the robustness of the models. However, several limitations warrant consideration. The cross-sectional design of this study precludes causal inference, limiting the findings to demonstrated associations rather than definitive relationships. Additionally, the specific demographic and environmental characteristics of the NHANES dataset may restrict the generalizability of results



Mediation analysis of HDL on the interaction between HbEO and kidney parameters. The models were adjusted for gender, age, race, PIR, education level, alcohol consumption, smoking status, BMI, vigorous work activity, diabetes, and hypertension. Note: I.E., Indirect effect; TE, Total effect; DE, Direct effect.

TADIE / The	modiation offects of UI) on the accordination.	of log10_transformed	UhEO with kidnov	parameters in adults
IADLE 4 INC	mediation enects of Fil	L OII THE association	or togeo-transformed	HDEO WITH KITHEY	parameters in auulls.

Kidney parameters	Mediator	Indirect effects	Direct effects	Total effects	Mediated proportion (%)	p value
		β (95%CI)	β (95%CI)	β (95%CI)		
Alb		-0.03 (-0.05, -0.01)***	-0.42 (-0.65, -0.20)***	-0.45 (-0.67, -0.24)***	6.51%	<0.001
UA	HDL	0.01 (0.01, 0.02)***	-0.12 (-0.20, -0.03)*	-0.11 (-0.19, -0.01)*	-12.44%	0.02
Ucr		0.60 (0.19, 1.18)**	4.85 (-0.19, 10.06)	5.45 (0.51, 10.61)*	11.01%	0.04

Model was adjusted for age, gender, education level, race, PIR, BMI, vigorous work activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, diabetes, and hypertension.

to broader populations. Furthermore, the use of complete-case analysis raises potential selection bias if excluded participants systematically differed from those included in the analysis. Finally, residual confounding remains possible due to unmeasured or imperfectly controlled factors, such as genetic predisposition, socioeconomic status, or environmental co-exposures, that could influence both exposure and outcomes. Collectively, these limitations underscore the need for longitudinal studies to validate the findings and elucidate the underlying biological mechanisms.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates significant associations between EO exposure and various markers of kidney function and lipid

metabolism. The results indicate EO may affect renal physiology and lipid regulation through mechanisms more complex than direct toxicity alone. Further research is needed to clarify the underlying biological pathways and dose-response relationships. These results underscore the importance of public health vigilance regarding EO exposure and its potential multi-system effects.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) Research Ethics Review Committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

YiL: Methodology, Writing – review and editing, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Software, Writing – original draft. DX: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. WZ: Writing – review and editing, Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision. KW: Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing – review and editing. MK: Methodology, Writing – review and editing, Project administration, Supervision. YuL: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was

References

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) Toxicological Profiles (2022). in *Toxicological profile for ethylene oxide* (Atlanta (GA): Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry US).

Chang, Y. M., Chou, Y. T., Kan, W. C., and Shiao, C. C. (2022). Sepsis and acute kidney injury: a review focusing on the bidirectional interplay. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23 (16), 9159. doi:10.3390/ijms23169159

Cheang, I., Zhu, X., Zhu, Q., Li, M., Liao, S., Zuo, Z., et al. (2022). Inverse association between blood ethylene oxide levels and obesity in the general population: NHANES 2013-2016. Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne) 13, 926971. doi:10.3389/fendo.2022.926971

Chemical agents and related occupations (2012). Chemical agents and related occupations. *IARC Monogr. Eval. Carcinog. Risks Hum.* 100 (Pt F), 9–562.

Curley, S., Gall, J., Byrne, R., Yvan-Charvet, L., and McGillicuddy, F. C. (2021). Metabolic inflammation in obesity-at the crossroads between fatty acid and cholesterol metabolism. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 65 (1), e1900482. doi:10.1002/mnfr.201900482

supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82203515, 82002732, 81760469), the Science and Technology Foundation of Guangzhou City (202102020835, 202201011428), Yunnan Fundamental Research Projects: 202401AY070001-015,202201AT070009, 202201AY070001-140.

Acknowledgments

Figure 3 was created by Biorender (https://www.biorender.com).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Correction note

A correction has been made to this article. Details can be found at: 10.3389/fphys.2025.1633971.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Esteve, E., Ricart, W., and Fernández-Real, J. M. (2005). Dyslipidemia and inflammation: an evolutionary conserved mechanism. *Clin. Nutr.* 24 (1), 16–31. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2004.08.004

Estrin, W. J., Cavalieri, S. A., Wald, P., Becker, C. E., Jones, J. R., and Cone, J. E. (1987). Evidence of neurologic dysfunction related to long-term ethylene oxide exposure. *Arch. Neurol.* 44 (12), 1283–1286. doi:10.1001/archneur.1987. 00520240057012

Filser, J. G., Kessler, W., Artati, A., Erbach, E., Faller, T., Kreuzer, P. E., et al. (2013). Ethylene oxide in blood of ethylene-exposed B6C3F1 mice, Fischer 344 rats, and humans. *Toxicol. Sci.* 136 (2), 344–358. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kft218

Funatsu, K., Kiminami, H., Abe, Y., and Carpenter, J. F. (2019). Impact of ethylene oxide sterilization of polymer-based prefilled syringes on chemical degradation of a model therapeutic protein during storage. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 108 (1), 770–774. doi:10.1016/j.xphs.2018.09.029

Graterol Torres, F., Molina, M., Soler-Majoral, J., Romero-González, G., Rodríguez Chitiva, N., Troya-Saborido, M., et al. (2022). Evolving concepts on inflammatory biomarkers and malnutrition in chronic kidney disease. *Nutrients* 14 (20), 4297. doi:10.3390/nu14204297

Gu, L., Huang, L., Wu, H., Lou, Q., and Bian, R. (2017). Serum uric acid to creatinine ratio: a predictor of incident chronic kidney disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with preserved kidney function. *Diab Vasc. Dis. Res.* 14 (3), 221–225. doi:10.1177/1479164116680318

Guo, J., Wan, Z., Cui, G., Pan, A., and Liu, G. (2021). Association of exposure to ethylene oxide with risk of diabetes mellitus: results from NHANES 2013-2016. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 28 (48), 68551–68559. doi:10.1007/s11356-021-15444-7

Heuchel, K. M., Ebach, F., Alsat, E. A., Reutter, H., Mueller, A., and Hilger, A. C. (2023). Blood pressure and kidney function in neonates and young infants with intrauterine growth restriction. *Pediatr. Nephrol.* 38 (4), 1223–1232. doi:10.1007/s00467-022-05713-z

Hollingsworth, R. L., Rowe, V. K., Oyen, F., Mccollister, D. D., and Spencer, H. C. (1956). Toxicity of ethylene oxide determined on experimental animals. *AMA Arch. Ind. Health* 13 (3), 217–227.

Huang, Q., Li, S., Wan, J., Nan, W., and He, B. (2023). Association between ethylene oxide exposure and prevalence of COPD: evidence from NHANES 2013-2016. *Sci. Total Environ.* 885, 163871. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.163871

Jain, R. B. (2020). Associations between observed concentrations of ethylene oxide in whole blood and smoking, exposure to environmental tobacco smoke, and cancers including breast cancer: data for US children, adolescents, and adults. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 27 (17), 20912–20919. doi:10.1007/s11356-020-08564-z

Ji, M. S., Wu, R., Feng, Z., Wang, Y. D., Wang, Y., Zhang, L., et al. (2022). Incidence, risk factors and prognosis of acute kidney injury in patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: a retrospective study. *Sci. Rep.* 12 (1), 18752. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-21912-y

Johnson, R. J., Nakagawa, T., Jalal, D., Sánchez-Lozada, L. G., Kang, D. H., and Ritz, E. (2013). Uric acid and chronic kidney disease: which is chasing which? *Nephrol. Dial. Transpl.* 28 (9), 2221–2228. doi:10.1093/ndt/gft029

Jung, S. W., Kim, S. M., Kim, Y. G., Lee, S. H., and Moon, J. Y. (2020). Uric acid and inflammation in kidney disease. *Am. J. Physiol. Ren. Physiol.* 318 (6), F1327–f1340. doi:10.1152/ajprenal.00272.2019

Kanbay, M., Solak, Y., Dogan, E., Lanaspa, M. A., and Covic, A. (2010). Uric acid in hypertension and renal disease: the chicken or the egg? Blood Purif. *Blood Purif.* 30 (4), 288–295. doi:10.1159/000321074

Kang, D. H., Park, S. K., Lee, I. K., and Johnson, R. J. (2005). Uric acidinduced C-reactive protein expression: implication on cell proliferation and nitric oxide production of human vascular cells. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 16 (12), 3553–3562. doi:10.1681/ASN.2005050572

Katoh, T., Higashi, K., Inoue, N., and Tanaka, I. (1988). Effects of chronic inhalation of ethylene oxide on lipid peroxidation and glutathione redox cycle in rat liver. *Res. Commun. Chem. Pathol. Pharmacol.* 61 (2), 281–284.

Katoh, T., Higashi, K., Inoue, N., and Tanaka, I. (1989). Lipid peroxidation and the metabolism of glutathione in rat liver and brain following ethylene oxide inhalation. *Toxicology* 58 (1), 1–9. doi:10.1016/0300-483x(89)90099-1

Kirman, C. R., Li, A. A., Sheehan, P. J., Bus, J. S., Lewis, R. C., and Hays, S. M. (2021). Ethylene oxide review: characterization of total exposure via endogenous and exogenous pathways and their implications to risk assessment and risk management. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health B Crit. Rev.* 24 (1), 1–29. doi:10.1080/10937404.2020.1852988

Lewis, S. E., Barnett, L. B., Felton, C., Johnson, F. M., Skow, L. C., Cacheiro, N., et al. (1986). Dominant visible and electrophoretically expressed mutations induced in male mice exposed to ethylene oxide by inhalation. *Environ. Mutagen* 8 (6), 867–872. doi:10.1002/em.2860080609

Li, S., Wang, J., Lei, D., Peng, D., Zong, K., Li, K., et al. (2024). Associations between ethylene oxide exposure and liver function in the US adult population. *Toxics* 12 (8), 551. doi:10.3390/toxics12080551

Min, Q., Wu, Z., Yao, J., Wang, S., Duan, L., Liu, S., et al. (2024). Association between atherogenic index of plasma control level and incident cardiovascular disease in middle-aged and elderly Chinese individuals with abnormal glucose metabolism. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 23 (1), 54. doi:10.1186/s12933-024-02144-y

NTP Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of ethylene oxide (CAS No. 75-21-8) in B6C3F1 mice (inhalation studies) (1987). *Natl. Toxicol. Program Tech. Rep. Ser.* 326: p. 1–114.

Olaguer, E. P., Robinson, A., Kilmer, S., Haywood, J., and Lehner, D. (2019). Ethylene oxide exposure attribution and emissions quantification based on ambient air measurements near a sterilization facility. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17 (1), 42. doi:10.3390/ijerph17010042

Orr, S. E., and Bridges, C. C. (2017). Chronic kidney disease and exposure to nephrotoxic metals. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 18 (5), 1039. doi:10.3390/ijms18051039

Petejova, N., Martinek, A., Zadrazil, J., and Teplan, V. (2019). Acute toxic kidney injury. *Ren. Fail* 41 (1), 576–594. doi:10.1080/0886022X.2019.1628780

Politis, M. D., Freedman, J. C., Haynes, E. N., and Sanders, A. P. (2021). Association of manganese biomarker concentrations with blood pressure and kidney parameters among healthy adolescents: NHANES 2013-2018. *Child. (Basel)* 8 (10), 846. doi:10.3390/children8100846

Rusyn, I., Asakura, S., Li, Y., Kosyk, O., Koc, H., Nakamura, J., et al. (2005). Effects of ethylene oxide and ethylene inhalation on DNA adducts, apurinic/apyrimidinic sites and expression of base excision DNA repair genes in rat brain, spleen, and liver. *DNA Repair (Amst)* 4 (10), 1099–1110. doi:10.1016/j.dnarep.2005.05.009

Sanders, A. P., Mazzella, M. J., Malin, A. J., Hair, G. M., Busgang, S. A., Saland, J. M., et al. (2019). Combined exposure to lead, cadmium, mercury, and arsenic and kidney health in adolescents age 12-19 in NHANES 2009-2014. *Environ. Int.* 131, 104993. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2019.104993

Song, W., Hu, H., Ni, J., Zhang, H., Zhang, H., Yang, G., et al. (2023). The relationship between ethylene oxide levels in hemoglobin and the prevalence of kidney stones in US adults: an exposure-response analysis from NHANES 2013-2016. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 30 (10), 26357–26366. doi:10.1007/s11356-022-24086-2

Steenland, K., Stayner, L., and Deddens, J. (2004). Mortality analyses in a cohort of 18 235 ethylene oxide exposed workers: follow up extended from 1987 to 1998. *Occup. Environ. Med.* 61 (1), 2–7.

Sun, K., Lin, D., Huang, C., Qi, Y., Xue, S., Tang, J., et al. (2015). Discordant associations of lipid parameters with albuminuria and chronic kidney disease: a population-based study. *Lipids Health Dis.* 14, 152. doi:10.1186/s12944-015-0153-8

Sun, M., Cai, M., Sun, S., Liu, H., and Chen, G. (2025). Association between ethylene oxide exposure and cognitive function in older adults from NHANES data. *Sci. Rep.* 15 (1), 3472. doi:10.1038/s41598-025-87384-y

Swenberg, J. A., Lu, K., Moeller, B. C., Gao, L., Upton, P. B., Nakamura, J., et al. (2011). Endogenous versus exogenous DNA adducts: their role in carcinogenesis, epidemiology, and risk assessment. *Toxicol. Sci.* 120 (Suppl. 1), S130–S145. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfq371

Vaziri, N. D. (2016). HDL abnormalities in nephrotic syndrome and chronic kidney disease. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* 12 (1), 37–47. doi:10.1038/nrneph.2015.180

Walker, V. E., Fennell, T. R., Upton, P. B., MacNeela, J. P., and Swenberg, J. A. (1993). Molecular dosimetry of DNA and hemoglobin adducts in mice and rats exposed to ethylene oxide. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 99, 11–17. doi:10.1289/ehp.939911

Wang, Z., Wei, J., Zhao, W., Shi, R., Zhu, Y., Li, X., et al. (2024). SGLT2 inhibition, high-density lipoprotein, and kidney function: a mendelian randomization study. *Lipids Health Dis.* 23 (1), 84. doi:10.1186/s12944-024-02072-6

White-Al Habeeb, N. M. A., Higgins, V., Wolska, A., Delaney, S. R., Remaley, A. T., and Beriault, D. R. (2023). The present and future of lipid testing in cardiovascular risk assessment. *Clin. Chem.* 69 (5), 456–469. doi:10.1093/clinchem/hvad012

Wu, S., Yang, Y. M., Zhu, J., Wang, L. L., Xu, W., Lyu, S. Q., et al. (2024). Impact of hemoglobin adducts of ethylene oxide on the prevalence and prognosis of chronic kidney disease in US adults: an analysis from NHANES 2013-2016. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 31 (2), 2802–2812. doi:10.1007/s11356-023-30712-4

Zeng, G., Zhang, Q., Wang, X., and Wu, K. H. (2021). Association between blood ethylene oxide levels and the risk of cardiovascular diseases in the general population. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 28 (45), 64921–64928. doi:10.1007/s11356-021-15572-0

Zhang, L., Zhong, X., and Zhang, M. (1997). A study on the mechanism of damage to DNA in rats by ethylene oxide. *Zhonghua Yu Fang. Yi Xue Za Zhi* 31 (4), 199–201.

Zhang, Y. Y., Chen, B. X., Chen, Z., and Wan, Q. (2023). Correlation study of renal function indices with diabetic peripheral neuropathy and diabetic retinopathy in T2DM patients with normal renal function. *Front. Public Health* 11, 1302615. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2023.1302615

Zhao, Y., Liu, D., Pan, X., and Tan, Y. (2024). Ethylene oxide exposure increases impaired glucose metabolism in the US general population: a national cross-sectional study. *Environ. Health Prev. Med.* 29, 68. doi:10.1265/ehpm.24-00199

Zhong, J., Yang, H., and Kon, V. (2019). Kidney as modulator and target of "good/bad" HDL. Pediatr. Nephrol. 34 (10), 1683–1695. doi:10.1007/s00467-018-4104-2

Zhou, C., Wang, S., Ju, L., Zhang, R., Yang, Y., and Liu, Y. (2024a). Positive association between blood ethylene oxide levels and metabolic syndrome: NHANES 2013-2020. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne)* 15, 1365658. doi:10.3389/fendo.2024.1365658

Zhou, W., Zhao, Y., Jin, J., Cheng, M., Bai, Y., and Xu, J. (2024b). The association of hemoglobin ethylene oxide levels with albuminuria in US adults: analysis of NHANES 2013-2016. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 31 (3), 4130–4139. doi:10.1007/s11356-023-31083-6

Zhu, X., Kong, X., Chen, M., Shi, S., Cheang, I., Zhu, Q., et al. (2022). Blood ethylene oxide, systemic inflammation, and serum lipid profiles: results from NHANES 2013-2016. *Chemosphere* 299, 134336. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.134336