#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Paola Sbriccoli, Foro Italico University of Rome, Italy

#### REVIEWED BY

Mehrez Hammami, University of Manouba, Tunisia Ghaith Aloui, University of Manouba, Tunisia Luca Ruggiero, University of Konstanz, Germany

\*CORRESPONDENCE Luping Qu, ☑ quluping1980@qfnu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 08 January 2025 ACCEPTED 26 March 2025 PUBLISHED 09 April 2025

#### CITATION

Sun W, Li H, Qu L, Zhou Y, Cao X, Wang K and Li K (2025) Unilateral plyometric training effectively reduces lower limb asymmetry in athletes: a meta-analysis. *Front. Physiol.* 16:1551523. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2025.1551523

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Sun, Li, Qu, Zhou, Cao, Wang and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Unilateral plyometric training effectively reduces lower limb asymmetry in athletes: a meta-analysis

Wei Sun<sup>1,2†</sup>, Hui Li<sup>2†</sup>, Luping Qu<sup>2</sup>\*, Yuehui Zhou<sup>2</sup>, Xiaoyang Cao<sup>3</sup>, Ke Wang<sup>2</sup> and Ke Li<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Sports Training, Tianjin University of Sport, Tianjin, China, <sup>2</sup>School of Sports Science, Qufu Normal University, Qufu, Shandong, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Changshu Riverside Vocational School, Changshu, Jiangsu, China, <sup>4</sup>Sports Teaching and Research Group, Yichang City Gezhouba Middle School, Yichang, Hubei, China

**Background:** Lower limb asymmetry in athletes is associated with impaired performance and elevated injury risk. Plyometric training (PT) and complex training (CT) are commonly used interventions for this problem, but existing evidence on their effectiveness remains inconsistent.

**Objective:** This meta-analysis aimed to evaluate PT and CT's effects on athletes' lower limb asymmetry. The findings could help optimize training protocols and reduce the risk of sports injuries.

**Methods:** A systematic search of Web of Science, PubMed, ProQuest, Scopus, EBSCO, CNKI, and Wanfang databases was conducted up to March 2024. Two researchers independently performed the literature screening, data extraction, and quality assessment processes. A meta-analysis was conducted via Review Manager 5.3 software, including heterogeneity tests, effect size pooling, subgroup analysis, and funnel plot construction.

**Results:** A total of eight randomized controlled trials (RCTs) involving 157 participants were included. PT effectively reduced lower limb asymmetry, particularly improving single-leg countermovement jump (SLCMJ) (SMD = 0.51, P = 0.05), single-leg broad jump (SLBJ) (SMD = 0.56, P = 0.01), and single-leg lateral jump (SLLJ) (SMD = 1.24, P = 0.01), but did not affect single-leg horizontal triple jumps (SLH3J) (SMD = 0.24, P = 0.60). In contrast, CT showed no meaningful reduction in asymmetry. Subgroup analysis indicated that unilateral PT alone significantly decreased asymmetry (SMD = 0.71, P < 0.01), whereas bilateral PT (SMD = 0.23, P = 0.45), unilateral CT (SMD = -0.15, P = 0.15) and bilateral CT (SMD = -0.09, P = 0.78) interventions all failed to demonstrate efficacy.

**Conclusion:** Unilateral PT effectively reduces lower limb asymmetry in athletes. Coaches should integrate this method into training programs to address asymmetry-related performance deficits and injury risks. Further high-quality trials are required to validate clinical applicability.

#### KEYWORDS

lower limb asymmetry, plyometric training, complex training, athletes, meta-analysis

# 1 Introduction

Lower limb asymmetry refers to the difference in performance or function of the left and right limbs (Bishop et al., 2018a; Fox et al., 2023), typically characterized by bilateral imbalances in strength, explosive power, and range of motion (Bishop et al., 2016; Moreno-Villanueva et al., 2025). Excessive asymmetry may adversely affect sports performance and increase the risk of injury (Fort-Vanmeerhaeghe et al., 2020; Helme et al., 2021; Fox et al., 2023). For example, according to Moreno-Apellaniz et al. (2024), among youth tennis players, countermovement jump (CMJ) asymmetry was significantly negatively correlated with horizontal jump performance (r = -0.47 to -0.67). In addition, when the degree of asymmetry is greater than 10%, the risk of injury to athletes increases by 4-fold (Gustavsson et al., 2006; Pardos-Mainer et al., 2020). In rehabilitation, a degree of asymmetry <10% is regarded as the reference standard for successful recovery (Kyritsis et al., 2016). Numerous studies have shown that lower limb asymmetry is prevalent among adolescents and elite athletes (Śliwowski et al., 2024; Vasileiou et al., 2024; Kiba et al., 2025), involved in various sports, such as football (Kalata et al., 2025), short track speed skating (Ojeda-Aravena et al., 2023), volleyball (Jiang et al., 2023), Basketball (Ding et al., 2024), karate (Gaweł et al., 2025). The main reasons include long-term execution of one-sided actions (e.g., basketball shooting, soccer shooting) (Hart et al., 2016; Maloney, 2019), neuromuscular fatigue (Heil et al., 2020; Fort-Vanmeerhaeghe et al., 2023) and injure (Liebermann et al., 2024; Jordan and Bishop, 2024). It is worth noting that asymmetries are exacerbated in the state of competition and fatigue (Heil et al., 2020). For example, Twible et al. (2024) found that the countermovement jump propulsive impulse asymmetry of ice hockey players significantly increased from  $5.1\% \pm 2.6\%$  to 6.3% $\pm$  2.9% after a single tired on-ice training (P < 0.05).

The asymmetry index of the one-leg jump test in different directions, such as the vertical and horizontal directions, is an effective tool for quantifying lower limb performance, injury risk, and rehabilitation outcomes among athletes (Rohman et al., 2015; Guan et al., 2023; Michailidis et al., 2025). However, due to heterogeneity in athletes, sports, and testing methods (Bishop et al., 2019; D'Hondt J et al., 2024), there is no unified conclusion on the optimal threshold of lower limb asymmetry. Early studies support that a range of 10%–15% is more appropriate (Barber et al., 1990; Fousekis et al., 2012), a recent review pointed out that the evidence for universal applicability of this threshold range is still insufficient (Parkinson et al., 2021). Nevertheless, the need to improve lower limb asymmetry through training has been widely recognized (Bishop et al., 2018b; Li et al., 2021; Bettariga et al., 2022).

Plyometric training (PT) and complex training (CT) are effective methods of explosive force training; furthermore, PT and CT are important training approaches for improving asymmetry of the lower limbs among athletes because of their significant effects on improving lower limb muscle strength and high similarity with many unique technical movements (Moreno-Azze et al., 2023; Morris et al., 2022; Bettariga et al., 2023). Using the principle of the stretch-shortening cycle (SSC), PT immediately converts the elastic potential energy stored during rapid muscle elongation into kinetic energy for muscle contraction, thereby increasing the muscle strength and output power of the lower extremities (Morris et al., 2022) and enhancing the response and control of the neuromuscular system (Wang, 2012). CT refers to alternating high-intensity resistance training with reinforcement training (Hammami et al., 2019), and its theoretical basis is postactivation potentiation (PAP). This neuromuscular mechanism posits that muscle explosive power is significantly enhanced after maximum contraction (Docherty et al., 2004). This phenomenon indicates that high-intensity muscle contraction can stimulate the central nervous system, improve the force output from the higher order motor units, increase muscle contraction speed, and enhance neuromuscular strength during follow-up training (Aagaard, 2003; Docherty and Hodgson, 2007; Scott et al., 2017).

Scholars have studied the beneficial effects of PT and CT on lower limb asymmetry, but the findings are inconsistent. Sammoud et al. (2024) reported that after 8 weeks of PT intervention, long jump asymmetry was significantly reduced in preadolescent male soccer players by 4.75% (d = 0.43). Pardos-Mainer et al. (2020) found that after 8 weeks of CT intervention, there was no significant improvement in vertical and horizontal jump asymmetry in adolescent female soccer players (ES = -0.13-0.57). Zghal et al. (2019) performed a 7-week PT and CT intervention on adolescent soccer players. CT is superior to PT in improving the lower extremities' jump height and maximum strength. With the rise of functional theory, scholars have focused on unilateral and bilateral training methods for the asymmetrical shadow of the lower limbs (Lin and Ye, 2022) and have drawn different viewpoints. Li et al. (2021) believe that unilateral training was more effective, improving lower limb asymmetry because it pertained to weak limbs and corresponded with unilateral exertion techniques in actual exercise. Bettariga et al. (2023) reported that after 6 weeks of intervention, unilateral CT significantly reduced the vertical and horizontal jump asymmetry in football players. In contrast, Ramirez-Campillo et al. (2018) conducted unilateral and bilateral CT interventions on adolescent football players for 8 weeks and found no significant difference in improving lower limb asymmetry. Overall, research on using PT and CT to improve lower limb asymmetry in athletes has progressed from general training methods to focusing on unilateral and bilateral movement patterns. However, inconsistent results meant there was a lack of clear intervention strategies. Also, few systematic reviews exist, and the specific mechanisms and differences of unilateral and bilateral PT and CT need clarification. Since meta-analysis can integrate diverse study data and quantitatively assess overall intervention effects, this study uses quantitative methods to summarize existing research findings and qualitative analysis to explore mechanisms. This approach identifies the best way to reduce lower limb asymmetry, offering evidence-based guidance to lower injury risks in athletes.

Therefore, this meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials aimed to compare the effects of unilateral and bilateral PT unilateral and bilateral CT on lower limb asymmetry in athletes. Specifically, the intervention effects of PT and CT on the asymmetry indices of the single-leg countermovement jump (SLCMJ), single-leg broad jump (SLBJ), single-leg horizontal triple jump (SLH3J), and singleleg lateral jump (SLLJ) were investigated to obtain evidence for the reasonable and scientific use of PT and CT to improve asymmetry in athletes' lower limbs, to accurately and to reduce the risk of sports injury.

# 2 Methods

## 2.1 Bibliography retrieval

This meta-analysis was conducted in strict accordance with the PRISMA guidelines. Two independent reviewers searched the Web of Science, PubMed, ProQuest, Scopus, EBSCO CNKI, and Wanfang databases in a double-blinded manner. The databases were searched from inception to 19 March 2024. Boolean logic and truncation were used to develop the search strategies, as follows: ('asymmetr\*') AND (' training' OR 'intervention' OR' strength' OR 'jump' OR' change of direction' OR 'single leg' OR' unilateral' OR 'bilateral' OR' plyometric' OR 'complex training') AND (' athletes' OR 'player'). To identify additional eligible studies, the reference lists of the included studies were also manually searched.

## 2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Following the PICOS principle (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome, and Study Design), the inclusion criteria of the literature were formulated. The inclusion criteria were: 1) Study subjects: healthy athletes regularly participate in training and competition every week without any clinical symptoms or history of major lower limb injuries. Regardless of nationality, sex, age, sports, level and years of exercise, etc.; 2)intervention measures: The experimental group adopts at least one PT or CT as the training method. If unilateral or bilateral action mode is used, such as unilateral PT, it is also allowed to be included because it still belongs to the category of PT in essence; 3) control measures: The control group was allowed to be PT, CT, or routine training; 4) outcome index: At least one outcome index was lower limb asymmetry index before and after training, including SLCMJ, SLBJ, SLH3J, or SLLJ; and 5) study design: randomized controlled trial.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) Unhealthy athletes refer to individuals with chronic diseases, acute injuries, recent surgical history, and undergoing rehabilitation training that affects standard training and lower limb function; 2) The experimental group or control group did not take PT or CT as intervention methods; 3) There is no report or insufficient data to support the calculation of lower limb asymmetry index; 4) Non-randomized controlled trials; 5) duplicate publications or studies that had inaccessible full text; and 6) reviews, conference papers and degree papers.

# 2.3 Data extraction

Two researchers independently extracted the following data from the included studies: first author, year of publication, relevant information (sports items, number of people, etc.), training methods, intervention frequency, and outcome indicators. If the lower limb symmetry index was reported in the literature, the average value was converted to an asymmetry score, and the standard deviation remained the same. In cases of missing data, the authors of the studies were contacted.

# 2.4 Evaluation of study quality

Two researchers independently assessed the risk of bias in the included studies using the Cochrane risk of bias tool. The risk of bias domains was assessed: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other biases. The risk of bias for each domain was divided into three levels: low risk of bias (meeting the low risk of five or more items), moderate risk of bias (meeting the low risk of 3-4 items), and high risk of bias (meeting the low risk of less than three items). As it is difficult to implement blinded exercise interventions, we considered the risk of bias regarding blinding to be unclear.

# 2.5 Statistical analysis

Review Manager 5.3 software was used for statistical analysis. The outcome indicators included in the literature are continuous variables assessed via different test methods. Since there are a variety of calculation formulas for limb asymmetry, such as (Nondominant limb/Dominant limb) x 100, different calculation methods may affect the results (Bishop et al., 2018c). To address this heterogeneity, we referred to a previous meta-analysis on limb asymmetry (Bettariga et al., 2022) to extract pre-intervention asymmetry values (mean ± standard deviation) reported in the original literature. To quantify the extent of the effect of the training intervention on limb asymmetry, the effect size (Hedges 'g) was calculated as a standardized mean difference (SMD) and reported with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI). The function of SMD is to eliminate the inconsistency of calculation formulas and units between studies and make the results comparable. The specific calculation formula is:

$$g = \frac{Mean_{post} - Mean_{pre}}{SD_{pooled}}$$

Mean post and Mean pre represent the mean after and before the intervention, respectively, and SD pooled is the pooled standard deviation. The I<sup>2</sup> test was used to assess heterogeneity among the studies; if I<sup>2</sup>< 50% and P > 0.1, the degree of heterogeneity was low, and the fixed effects model was adopted. The random effects model was adopted if I<sup>2</sup>< 50% and P  $\leq$  0.1. Results were visualized using a forest plot. An SMD <0.5 indicated a small effect, an SMD from 0.5–0.8 indicated a moderate effect, and SMD  $\geq$ 0.8 indicated a large effect. P  $\leq$  0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

# **3** Results

## 3.1 Literature search results

The initial search yielded 2,231 relevant documents; 1,583 documents remained after removing duplicates, reviews, etc. Ultimately, eight documents were included after screening the titles, abstracts, and full texts (Figure 1).



# 3.2 Study characteristics

The majority of the eight included studies were published after 2020. The included studies involved 157 athletes, mainly individuals who participated in soccer, taekwondo, and basketball. Both PT and CT were examined in the studies, and most of the exercises were unilateral. The interventions lasted 8–10 weeks, and the intervention frequency ranged from 1–2 times per week (Table 1). Two of the included studies compared the unilateral and bilateral exercises of the same training method (Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2018; Soñén et al., 2021), and one study explored the effect of three exercise sequences of the same training method (Moreno-Azze et al., 2021). Since the current meta-analysis focused on the asymmetric changes in the same limbs before and after the intervention, the data of the above three papers were processed separately and analyzed in the following sections.

Four of the included studies had a low risk of bias, the other four had a low risk of bias, and the other four had a moderate risk of bias. The results of the risk of bias assessment are shown in Figure 2.

# 3.3 Overall effect size

A total of eight papers with 30 datasets were included, and there was a low level of heterogeneity between studies ( $I^2 = 4\%$ , P = 0.41). Therefore, a fixed effects model was used for analysis (Figure 3). When considering the overall effect of both interventions (PT and CT) on lower limb asymmetry in athletes, there was no significant effect compared to before training (SMD = 0.05, 95% CI: 0.09 to 0.19, P = 0.49). However, after stratifying the data training method, PT effectively reduces lower limb asymmetry in athletes (SMD = 0.57, 95% CI: 0.28 to 0.86, P<0.01), yielding a medium effect size. In contrast, CT has no obvious effect on asymmetry (SMD =  $-0.11,\ 95\%$  CI: 0.27 to 0.05, P=0.18).

# 3.4 Meta-analysis of unilateral and bilateral plyometric training and complex training on lower limb asymmetries

# 3.4.1 Unilateral plyometric training and unilateral complex training

A total of six papers with 23 datasets were included, and there was a low level of heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 21\%$ , P = 0.18). Therefore, a fixed effects model was used for analysis (Figure 4). Compared with the pretraining period, unilateral PT and CT had no significant effect on the overall intervention effect of athletes' lower limb asymmetry. However, after stratification according to training methods, PT significantly affected lower limb asymmetry (SMD = 0.71, 95% CI: 0.34–1.08, P < 0.01), yielding a medium effect size. Unilateral CT showed no significant effect (SMD = -0.15, 95%CI: 0.33 to 0.03, P = 0.15).

# 3.4.2 Bilateral plyometric training and bilateral complex training

A total of two papers with four datasets were included. There was no heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 0\%$ , P = 0.91). Therefore, the fixed effects model was used for analysis (Figure 5). Compared with the pretraining period, the overall effect of bilateral PT and CT on athletes' lower limb asymmetry was not significant. After stratification according to training method, neither bilateral PT (SMD = 0.23, 95%CI: 0.36 to 0.82, P = 0.45) nor bilateral CT (SMD = -0.09, 95%CI: 0.75 to 0.56, P = 0.78) significantly improved the effect.

First author	Year	Sports event	Sample size	Characteristi	cs of the training	intervention	Outcome
				Training methods	Action mode	Intervention frequency (Weeks × times)	Index
Sammoud	2024	Soccer	13	РТ	Unlimited	8 × 2	2
Soñén	2021	Karate	22	РТ	Unilateral and Bilateral	6 × 2	00
Moreno-Azze	2023	Karate	10	РТ	Unilateral	$6 \times 1$	1234
Bettariga	2023	Soccer	12	CT	Unilateral	6 × 2	12
Pardos-Mainer	2020	Soccer	19	CT	Unlimited	8 × 2	12
Ramirez-Campillo	2018	Soccer	18	СТ	Unilateral and Bilateral	8 × 2	00
Moreno-Azze	2021	Soccer	45	СТ	Unilateral	$10 \times 1$	1234
Ujaković	2023	Basketball	18	СТ	Unilateral	$8 \times 1$	1

TABLE 1 Basic features of the included studies.

PT, plyometric training; CT, complex training; ①, single-leg countermovement jump; ②, single-leg broad jump; ③, single-leg horizontal triple jump; ④, single-leg lateral jump.

## 3.5 Meta-analysis of plyometric training and complex training on different outcome indicators

#### 3.5.1 Single-leg countermovement jump

A total of seven papers with 11 datasets examined this outcome. There was no heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 0\%$ , P = 0.52); therefore, the fixed effects model was used for analysis (Figure 6). The overall improvement in SLCMJ asymmetry between the two interventions (PT and CT) was not significant compared to pretraining (SMD = -0.03, 95% CI: 0.26 to 0.20, P = 0.80). However, after stratifying the data by training, PT effectively reduced asymmetry in athletes' SLCMJ (SMD = 0.51, 95% CI: 0.01 to 1.02, P = 0.05), yielding a small effect size. CT did not significantly affect asymmetry in athletes' SLCMJ (SMD = -0.18, 95% CI: 0.44 to 0.08, P = 0.18).

#### 3.5.2 Single-leg broad jump

A total of six papers with nine datasets examined this outcome. There was no heterogeneity among the studies ( $I^2 = 0\%$ , P = 0.72). Therefore, the fixed effects model was used for analysis (Figure 7). The two interventions (PT and CT) significantly improved overall asymmetry in the SLBJ compared to pretraining (SMD = 0.30, 95% CI: 0.04 to 0.55, P = 0.02). After stratifying the data by training, PT effectively reduced asymmetry in the SLBJ (SMD = 0.56, 95% CI: 0.14 to 0.99, P = 0.01), yielding a medium effect size. CT did not significantly affect asymmetry in the SLBJ (SMD = 0.15, 95% CI: 0.17 to 0.47, P = 0.36).

#### 3.5.3 Single-leg horizontal triple jump

A total of three papers with six datasets examined this outcome. There was no heterogeneity between the studies ( $I^2 = 0\%$ , P = 0.75). Therefore, the fixed effects model was used for analysis (Figure 8) (SMD = -0.25, 95% CI: 0.58 to 0.08, P = 0.13). The overall improvement in SLH3J asymmetry between the two interventions (PT and CT) was insignificant compared to pretraining. After stratifying the data by training method, neither PT (SMD = 0.24, 95% CI: 0.64 to 1.12, P = 0.60) nor CT (SMD = -0.33, 95%CI: 0.68 to 0.02, P = 0.07) significantly improved asymmetry in the SLH3J.

#### 3.5.4 Single-leg lateral jump

A total of two papers with four datasets examined this outcome. There was a moderate level of heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 53\%$ , P = 0.09); therefore, the random effects model was used for analysis (Figure 9). The overall improvement in SLLJ asymmetry between the two interventions (PT and CT) was insignificant compared to pretraining (SMD = 0.18, 95% CI: 0.39 to 0.74, P = 0.18). However, after stratifying the data by training, PT effectively reduced asymmetry in the SLLJ (SMD = 1.24, 95% CI: 0.272.22, P = 0.01), yielding a large effect size. CT did not significantly affect asymmetry in the SLLJ (SMD = -0.09, 95% CI: 0.50 to 0.33, P = 0.69).

### 3.6 Publication bias results

In the subgroup analysis of the included studies, there were relatively more studies examining unilateral training than bilateral training and more studies of SLCMJ and SLBJ. Therefore, a funnel plot was developed based on the abovementioned data groups. The results showed that there may be a certain degree of publication bias in the study results (Figure 10).



	Pre	Trainin	a	Post	-Train	ina		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean	Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed	1, 95% CI
1.1.1 Plyometric Training										
Moreno-Azze.2023-SLBJ	5.06	9.51	10	0.47	6.85	10	2.5%	0.53 [-0.37, 1.43]		
Moreno-Azze 2023-SLCM.	9.11	8 99	10	0.12	8 56	10	2.3%	0.98 [0.04, 1.92]		
Moreno-Azze 2023-SLH3.	1 4 9	4 02	10	0.46	4 33	10	2.6%	0.24 [-0.64, 1.12]		
Moreno-Azze 2023-SLL I	6.61	4.02	10	-0.61	6 15	10	2.0%	1 24 [0 27 2 22]		
Sammoud 2024-SLB I	8 11	12 47	13	3 36	1 1	13	2.1%	0.52 [-0.26, 1.30]	_	
Saninoud,2024-SEB5	7 25	5.46	11	3.00	2.25	11	2.5%			
Soñén(a),2021 SLCM I	12 01	6.9	11	10.25	2.20	11	2.0%			
Solien(a),2021-SLGWJ	13.91 E 26	2.05	11	10.35	0.4	11	2.0 /0	0.45 [-0.40, 1.50]		
Solien(b),2021-SLDJ	10.00	3.95 0 E 4	11	4.47	2.70	11	2.0%	0.25 [-0.59, 1.09]		
Subtotal (05% CI)	12.29	8.54	07	10.42	8.91	07	2.8%	0.21 [-0.03, 1.04]		•
		12 00/	97			97	23.1%	0.57 [0.28, 0.86]		•
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 5.36$ , df = 8 (P	= 0.72);	$l^2 = 0\%$								
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.84 (P =	0.0001)									
1.1.2 Complex Training										
Bettariga,2023-SLBJ	4.73	2.84	12	3.59	2.62	12	3.1%	0.40 [-0.41, 1.21]		
Bettariga,2023-SLCMJ	7.85	5.7	12	7.2	5.79	12	3.1%	0.11 [-0.69, 0.91]		-
Moreno-Azze(a),2021-SLBJ	3.62	2.43	16	3.31	2.85	16	4.2%	0.11 [-0.58, 0.81]		
Moreno-Azze(a).2021-SLCMJ	7.7	7.44	16	10.02	9.12	16	4.1%	-0.27 [-0.97, 0.42]		
Moreno-Azze(a),2021-SLH3J	3.55	2.52	16	4.56	2.53	16	4.1%	-0.39 [-1.09, 0.31]		
Moreno-Azze(a),2021-SLLJ	5.13	4.31	16	5.42	4.7	16	4.2%	-0.06 [-0.76, 0.63]		
Moreno-Azze(b) 2021-SI B.I	3 71	3 24	15	3.91	2 85	15	3.9%	-0.06 [-0.78, 0.65]	<u> </u>	
Moreno-Azze(b) 2021-SLCM.	8 24	6.92	15	9.8	4 87	15	3.9%	-0.25 [-0.97 0.47]		
Moreno-Azze(b),2021-SLH31	2 77	1 55	15	2 93	3 41	15	3.9%	-0.06 [-0.77, 0.66]		
Moreno-Azze(b) 2021-SLL I	43	3.81	15	5.43	4 98	15	3.9%	-0.25 [-0.97, 0.47]		
Moreno-Azze(c) 2021-SLB	3 06	3 33	1/	3.46	2 75	14	3.6%	0.16[-0.58,0.90]		
Moreno-Azze(c) 2021-SLCM	6.52	3 14	1/	0.58	7.08	14	3.5%	-0.54 [-1.30, 0.21]		_
Morono Azzo(c) 2021 SLU2	2.25	2 91	14	5.50	1.00	14	2 40/	0.63 [ 1.30, 0.21]		-
Morono Azzo(o) 2021 SLL	6.05	4.52	14	6.60	2 75	14	2.6%			
Bordoo Moiner 2020 SLB	6.00	4.55	10	0.09 E 06	5.75	14	1.0%			
Pardos-Mainer,2020-SLBJ	0.23	0.41	19	5.20	0.00	19	4.9%	0.18 [-0.46, 0.62]		
Pardos-Mainer,2020-SLCMJ	11.5	8.99	19	11.5	11.3	19	5.0%	0.00 [-0.64, 0.64]		
Ramirez-Campillo(a),2018-SLCMJ	0.1	0.3	9	0.9	0.0	9	2.3%	-0.12 [-1.04, 0.81]		
Ramirez-Campilio(a),2018-SLH3J	3.5	2.8	9	4.8	3.3	9	2.3%	-0.40 [-1.34, 0.53]		
Ramirez-Campilio(b),2018-SLCMJ	9.2	4.6	9	9.3	4.8	9	2.3%	-0.02 [-0.94, 0.90]		
Ramirez-Campillo(b),2018-SLH3J	3.2	4.2	9	3.9	3.9	9	2.3%	-0.16 [-1.09, 0.76]		
Ujakovic,2023-SLCMJ	4.84	5.12	18	6.11	4.57	18	4.6%	-0.26 [-0.91, 0.40]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			296			296	76.3%	-0.11 [-0.27, 0.05]	•	
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 8.68$ , df = 20 (	P = 0.99)	); I² = 0%	ίο Ο							
l est for overall effect: Z = 1.35 (P =	0.18)									
Total (95% CI)			393			393	100.0%	0.05 [-0.09, 0.19]	•	
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 30.15, df = 29	(P = 0.4	1); I² = 4	%					_		
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.68 (P =	0.49)								-2 -1 ( Dro Troining	Post Training
Test for subaroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	: 16.11. d	df = 1 (P	< 0.00	)01). I² =	= 93.89	%			re-iraining	Fost-fraining
tal effect size forest nicture										
ינמו בחבכו אבל וטופאן טוכועול.										

# 4 Discussion

This meta-analysis of eight articles found that unilateral PT significantly improved asymmetry in athletes' lower limbs, yielding a moderate effect, whereas the effects of bilateral PT and unilateral and bilateral CT were limited. Regarding outcome indicators, PT significantly improved asymmetry in the SLCMJ, SLBJ, and SLLJ but had no significant effect on SLH3J, whereas CT did not have significant effects on the outcomes.

## 4.1 Effects of plyometric training and complex training on lower limb asymmetry in athletes

Theoretically, due to high-intensity stimulation induction and the comprehensive strength-speed training mode, the effect of CT on asymmetry in athletes' lower limbs should be superior to that of PT (Wang et al., 2023). However, this meta-analysis showed that PT effectively reduced athletes' lower limb asymmetry, particularly in SLCMJ, SLBJ, and SLLJ. Our findings are consistent with recent reviews showing that PT can significantly improve athletes' jumping performance and improve lower limb asymmetry (Bishop et al., 2018b; Bettariga et al., 2022; Xie et al., 2024). Recent randomized controlled trials have further confirmed this result (Ricart-Luna et al., 2025; Prvulović et al., 2024); for example, Prvulović et al. (2024) showed significant improvement in lower limb asymmetry in female athletes after 6 weeks of PT. In addition, the acute effect of CT on lower limb explosive force is insufficient, but the long-term effect over 10 weeks is noticeable (Hammami et al., 2017; Thapa et al., 2024) can further explain why CT has no significant improvement effect on lower limb asymmetry. For example, Hammami et al. previously published two randomized controlled trials on CT with an intervention period of 8-10 weeks, which found that CT can significantly improve the athletic performance of athletes (Hammami et al., 2022; Hammami et al., 2024). The duration of CT intervention in the literature included in this study was 6-8 weeks. This shows that providing high acceleration within the time typical for SSC is more evident

	Pre-	Traini	ng	Post	-Train	ing		Std. Mean Difference		Std. N	ean Diffei	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	S CI	
1.2.1 Plyometric Training													
Moreno-Azze,2023-SLBJ	5.06	9.51	10	0.47	6.85	10	3.3%	0.53 [-0.37, 1.43]					
Moreno-Azze,2023-SLCMJ	9.11	8.99	10	0.12	8.56	10	3.0%	0.98 [0.04, 1.92]					
Moreno-Azze,2023-SLH3J	1.49	4.02	10	0.46	4.33	10	3.4%	0.24 [-0.64, 1.12]		-	<u> </u>		
Moreno-Azze,2023-SLLJ	6.61	4.91	10	-0.61	6.15	10	2.7%	1.24 [0.27, 2.22]			-		
Soñén(a),2021-SLBJ	7.35	5.46	11	3.03	2.25	11	3.3%	1.00 [0.10, 1.89]					
Soñén(a),2021-SLCMJ	13.91	6.8	11	10.35	8.4	11	3.6%	0.45 [-0.40, 1.30]				-	
Subtotal (95% CI)			62			62	19.2%	0.71 [0.34, 1.08]					
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 3.48, df = 5 (F	e = 0.63);	$I^2 = 0^{\circ}$	6										
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.79 (P =	0.0002)												
1.2.2 Complex Training													
Bettariga,2023-SLBJ	4.73	2.84	12	3.59	2.62	12	4.0%	0.40 [-0.41, 1.21]					
Bettariga,2023-SLCMJ	7.85	5.7	12	7.2	5.79	12	4.1%	0.11 [-0.69, 0.91]		_			
Moreno-Azze(a),2021-SLBJ	3.62	2.43	16	3.31	2.85	16	5.4%	0.11 [-0.58, 0.81]		-	<del>_</del>	_	
Moreno-Azze(a),2021-SLCMJ	7.7	7.44	16	10.02	9.12	16	5.4%	-0.27 [-0.97, 0.42]					
Moreno-Azze(a),2021-SLH3J	3.55	2.52	16	4.56	2.53	16	5.3%	-0.39 [-1.09, 0.31]					
Moreno-Azze(a).2021-SLLJ	5.13	4.31	16	5.42	4.7	16	5.4%	-0.06 [-0.76, 0.63]		_		-	
Moreno-Azze(b),2021-SLBJ	3.71	3.24	15	3.91	2.85	15	5.1%	-0.06 [-0.78, 0.65]				-	
Moreno-Azze(b),2021-SLCMJ	8.24	6.92	15	9.8	4.87	15	5.1%	-0.25 [-0.97, 0.47]					
Moreno-Azze(b),2021-SLH3J	2.77	1.55	15	2.93	3.41	15	5.1%	-0.06 [-0.77, 0.66]				-	
Moreno-Azze(b),2021-SLLJ	4.3	3.81	15	5.43	4.98	15	5.1%	-0.25 [-0.97, 0.47]					
Moreno-Azze(c),2021-SLBJ	3.96	3.33	14	3.46	2.75	14	4.8%	0.16 [-0.58, 0.90]		-	<u> </u>		
Moreno-Azze(c),2021-SLCMJ	6.52	3.14	14	9.58	7.08	14	4.6%	-0.54 [-1.30, 0.21]					
Moreno-Azze(c),2021-SLH3J	3.25	2.81	14	5.64	4.39	14	4.5%	-0.63 [-1.39, 0.13]					
Moreno-Azze(c),2021-SLLJ	6.95	4.53	14	6.69	3.75	14	4.8%	0.06 [-0.68, 0.80]		_		_	
Ramirez-Campillo(a),2018-SLCMJ	8.1	6.3	9	8.9	6.8	9	3.1%	-0.12 [-1.04, 0.81]				_	
Ramirez-Campillo(a),2018-SLH3J	3.5	2.8	9	4.8	3.3	9	3.0%	-0.40 [-1.34, 0.53]					
Uiaković.2023-SLCMJ	4.84	5.12	18	6.11	4.57	18	6.1%	-0.26 [-0.91, 0.40]					
Subtotal (95% CI)			240			240	80.8%	-0.15 [-0.33, 0.03]			•		
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 7.57, df = 16 (	P = 0.96	);   <sup>2</sup> = (	)%										
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.59 (P =	0.11)												
Total (95% CI)			302			302	100.0%	0.02 [-0.14, 0.18]			•		
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 27.87, df = 22	(P = 0.1	8); l <sup>2</sup> =	21%										<u> </u>
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.24 (P =	0.81)	<i>,,</i>							-2	-1	0	1	2
Toot for subgroup differences: Chi2:	- 16.82	df = 1 (	P < 0	0001) l <sup>2</sup>	= 94	1 %				Pre-Trair	iing Post	· I raining	

A meta-analysis of the effects of unilateral plyometric and complex training on athletes' lower limb asymmetry.

	Pre-	Traini	ng	Post	-Traini	ing		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.3.1 Plyometric Training									
Soñén(b),2021-SLBJ	5.36	3.95	11	4.47	2.78	11	27.4%	0.25 [-0.59, 1.09]	
Soñén(b),2021-SLCMJ	12.29	8.54	11	10.42	8.91	11	27.5%	0.21 [-0.63, 1.04]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			22			22	54.9%	0.23 [-0.36, 0.82]	
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.01, df = 1 (P	= 0.94);	$ ^2 = 0^{\circ}$	%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.75 (P =	0.45)								
1.3.2 Complex Training									
Ramirez-Campillo(b),2018-SLCMJ	9.2	4.6	9	9.3	4.8	9	22.6%	-0.02 [-0.94, 0.90]	
Ramirez-Campillo(b),2018-SLH3J	3.2	4.2	9	3.9	3.9	9	22.5%	-0.16 [-1.09, 0.76]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			18			18	45.1%	-0.09 [-0.75, 0.56]	
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.05, df = 1 (P	= 0.83);	$ ^{2} = 0$	%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.28 (P =	0.78)								
Total (95% CI)			40			40	100.0%	0.08 [-0.36, 0.52]	-
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.56, df = 3 (P	= 0.91);	$ ^2 = 0^{\circ}$	%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.37 (P = 0	0.71)								-2 -1 0 1 2
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.51. df	= 1 (F	P = 0.48	3), $ ^2 = 0$	%				Pre-Training Post-Training

than that of high-intensity stimulation provided by strength training the asymmetry in jump tests (Kons et al., 2023; Sanchez-Sanchez et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024).

There are several explanations to support this view. First, there is a specificity between strength training and testing (Bishop et al.,

2023). The included studies were all jump tests in different directions, which depend more on high speed neuromuscular contraction ability (Kons et al., 2023). PT can ensure the activation of higher order motor units due to the high accelerations required in a short time (Norgeot and Fouré, 2024), and the conversion rate

	Pre-	Traini	ng	Post	-Traini	ng	:	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.4.1 Plyometric Training									
Moreno-Azze,2023-SLCMJ	9.11	8.99	10	0.12	8.56	10	6.2%	0.98 [0.04, 1.92]	
Soñén(a),2021-SLCMJ	13.91	6.8	11	10.35	8.4	11	7.6%	0.45 [-0.40, 1.30]	
Soñén(b),2021-SLCMJ	12.29	8.54	11	10.42	8.91	11	7.7%	0.21 [-0.63, 1.04]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			32			32	21.5%	0.51 [0.01, 1.02]	
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.49, df = 2 (P	= 0.47);	<sup>2</sup> = 0 <sup>0</sup>	6						
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.00 (P =	0.05)								
1.4.2 Complex Training									
Bettariga,2023-SLCMJ	7.85	5.7	12	7.2	5.79	12	8.5%	0.11 [-0.69, 0.91]	
Moreno-Azze(a),2021-SLCMJ	7.7	7.44	16	10.02	9.12	16	11.2%	-0.27 [-0.97, 0.42]	
Moreno-Azze(b),2021-SLCMJ	8.24	6.92	15	9.8	4.87	15	10.5%	-0.25 [-0.97, 0.47]	
Moreno-Azze(c),2021-SLCMJ	6.52	3.14	14	9.58	7.08	14	9.5%	-0.54 [-1.30, 0.21]	
Pardos-Mainer,2020-SLCMJ	11.5	8.99	19	11.5	11.3	19	13.5%	0.00 [-0.64, 0.64]	
Ramirez-Campillo(a),2018-SLCMJ	8.1	6.3	9	8.9	6.8	9	6.4%	-0.12 [-1.04, 0.81]	
Ramirez-Campillo(b),2018-SLCMJ	9.2	4.6	9	9.3	4.8	9	6.4%	-0.02 [-0.94, 0.90]	
Ujaković,2023-SLCMJ	4.84	5.12	18	6.11	4.57	18	12.6%	-0.26 [-0.91, 0.40]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			112			112	78.5%	-0.18 [-0.44, 0.08]	
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.98, df = 7 (P	= 0.96);	$I^2 = 0^{\circ}$	6						
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.33 (P =	0.18)								
Total (95% CI)			144			144	100.0%	-0.03 [-0.26, 0.20]	+
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 9.18, df = 10 (	P = 0.52	);  ² = (	)%					-	
	0.80)							-	-2 -1 U I 2
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.25 (P =	0.00)								

	Pre	- i rainir	g	Post	-iraini	ng	3	to. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.5.1 Plyometric Training									
Moreno-Azze,2023-SLBJ	5.06	9.51	10	0.47	6.85	10	8.1%	0.53 [-0.37, 1.43]	
Sammoud,2024-SLBJ	8.11	12.47	13	3.36	1.1	13	10.6%	0.52 [-0.26, 1.30]	
Soñén(a),2021-SLBJ	7.35	5.46	11	3.03	2.25	11	8.1%	1.00 [0.10, 1.89]	
Soñén(b),2021-SLBJ	5.36	3.95	11	4.47	2.78	11	9.2%	0.25 [-0.59, 1.09]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			45			45	36.0%	0.56 [0.14, 0.99]	
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.44, df	= 3 (P =	0.70); I	² = 0%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.5	8 (P = 0.	010)							
1.5.2 Complex Training									
Bettariga,2023-SLBJ	4.73	2.84	12	3.59	2.62	12	9.9%	0.40 [-0.41, 1.21]	
Moreno-Azze(a),2021-SLBJ	3.62	2.43	16	3.31	2.85	16	13.5%	0.11 [-0.58, 0.81]	
Moreno-Azze(b),2021-SLBJ	3.71	3.24	15	3.91	2.85	15	12.7%	-0.06 [-0.78, 0.65]	
Moreno-Azze(c),2021-SLBJ	3.96	3.33	14	3.46	2.75	14	11.8%	0.16 [-0.58, 0.90]	
Pardos-Mainer,2020-SLBJ	6.23	5.41	19	5.26	5.08	19	16.0%	0.18 [-0.46, 0.82]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			76			76	64.0%	0.15 [-0.17, 0.47]	-
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.74, df	= 4 (P =	0.95); I	² = 0%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.9	1 (P = 0.	36)							
Total (95% CI)			121			121	100.0%	0.30 [0.04, 0.55]	◆
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 4.48, df	= 8 (P =	0.81); I	<sup>2</sup> = 0%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.2	8 (P = 0.	02)							-2 -1 U 1
Test for subaroup differences	: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2	2.30. df	= 1 (P =	= 0.13).	l² = 56	.6%			Fre-maining Fost-maining
GURE 7									
ngle-leg broad jump forest	picture.								

of jump performance is better (Yalçin et al., 2025). Strength training via CT can reduce the proportion of fast muscle fibers (Lamas et al., 2010). Second, the effect of PAP does not fully meet the ideal expectations. According to previous studies, the best time interval for PAP is 4–10 min (Jensen and Ebben, 2007; Jo et al., 2010), and the time range included in this study is shorter. Fatigue caused by insufficient recovery may inhibit PAP, thus mitigating CT's effect on asymmetry in athletes' lower limbs (Yen Yeh et al., 2024; Sousa et al.,

2024). The above explanation further supports the reliability of this study's results.

Therefore, PT intervention alone seems more effective in reducing lower limb asymmetry in athletes. This suggests that in the actual training process, coaches and athletes should consider PT an important component to reduce lower limb asymmetry and improve lower limb explosive power, significantly increasing movement speed requirements, rather than simply increasing weight.

Study or Subaroup		Traini	ng	Post	-Train	ing	:	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.6.1 Plyometric Training									
Moreno-Azze,2023-SLH3J	1.49	4.02	10	0.46	4.33	10	13.9%	0.24 [-0.64, 1.12]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			10			10	13.9%	0.24 [-0.64, 1.12]	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.53 (P =	0.60)								
1.6.2 Complex Training									
Moreno-Azze(a),2021-SLH3J	3.55	2.52	16	4.56	2.53	16	21.9%	-0.39 [-1.09, 0.31]	
Moreno-Azze(b),2021-SLH3J	2.77	1.55	15	2.93	3.41	15	21.0%	-0.06 [-0.77, 0.66]	
Moreno-Azze(c),2021-SLH3J	3.25	2.81	14	5.64	4.39	14	18.5%	-0.63 [-1.39, 0.13]	
Ramirez-Campillo(a),2018-SLH3J	3.5	2.8	9	4.8	3.3	9	12.3%	-0.40 [-1.34, 0.53]	
Ramirez-Campillo(b),2018-SLH3J	3.2	4.2	9	3.9	3.9	9	12.5%	-0.16 [-1.09, 0.76]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			63			63	86.1%	-0.33 [-0.68, 0.02]	$\bullet$
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.32, df = 4 (F	<b>?</b> = 0.86)	; I <sup>2</sup> = 0	%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.83 (P =	0.07)								
Total (95% CI)			73			73	100.0%	-0.25 [-0.58, 0.08]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2.69, df = 5 (F	e = 0.75)	; l <sup>2</sup> = 0	%						
Test for overall effect: 7 = 1 51 (P =	0.13)								-2 -1 0 1 2
1000000000000000000000000000000000000		f = 1 (	D = 0.2	4) $ ^2 = 4$	27 0%				Pre-Training Post-Training

	Pre-	Trainir	ng	Post	-Traini	ng	:	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.7.1 Plyometric Training									
Moreno-Azze,2023-SLLJ	6.61	4.91	10	-0.61	6.15	10	19.6%	1.24 [0.27, 2.22]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			10			10	19.6%	1.24 [0.27, 2.22]	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.49	) (P = 0.	01)							
1.7.2 Complex Training									
Moreno-Azze(a),2021-SLLJ	5.13	4.31	16	5.42	4.7	16	27.6%	-0.06 [-0.76, 0.63]	
Moreno-Azze(b),2021-SLLJ	4.3	3.81	15	5.43	4.98	15	26.8%	-0.25 [-0.97, 0.47]	
Moreno-Azze(c),2021-SLLJ	6.95	4.53	14	6.69	3.75	14	26.1%	0.06 [-0.68, 0.80]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			45			45	80.4%	-0.09 [-0.50, 0.33]	$\bullet$
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Cl	ni² = 0.3	5, df =	2 (P =	0.84); I	² = 0%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.41	(P = 0.	69)							
Total (95% CI)			55			55	100.0%	0.18 [-0.39, 0.74]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.17; Cl	ni² = 6.3	8, df =	3 (P =	0.09); I	² = 53%	6		-	
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.61	(P = 0.	54)							-2 -1 U 1 2
					12 - 0	2 40/			Pre-fraining Post-fraining

# 4.2 Effects of unilateral and bilateral plyometric training and complex training on lower limb asymmetry in athletes

This meta-analysis revealed that unilateral PT significantly improved asymmetry in athletes' lower limbs, whereas unilateral CT had no significant effects. Relevant studies have confirmed unilateral PT's apparent advantages in improving athletes' lower limb explosive power and jumping performance (Aztarain-Cardiel et al., 2025; Gharaat, 2024; Mujezinović et al., 2024). In this study, unilateral PT mainly focused on jumping, involving one-sided dominance events in karate and football (Soñén et al., 2021; Moreno-Azze et al., 2023; Sammoud et al., 2024). In these sports, explosive movements are used frequently, and perennial special training has formed a relatively stable habit of unilateral limb strength (Fox et al., 2023). The unilateral PT is highly similar to the actual force mode, which enhances athletes' training adaptability and efficiency while improving lower limb asymmetry (Gonzalo-Skok et al., 2019; Gonzalo-Skok et al., 2017).

In contrast, the effect of low-speed strength training via unilateral CT on explosive force is limited (Kompf and Arandjelović, 2016). Additionally, considering the asymmetry changes pre and post-intervention in the included literature, unilateral PT is may more effective than unilateral CT for strengthening weak lower limb parts (Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2018; Guan et al., 2022; Moreno-Azze et al., 2023). The physiological mechanism of unilateral training, which is based on cross-transfer, means the functional improvement of the trained side positively impacts the other limb (Lee and Carroll, 2007). This shows that unilateral PT may comprehensively affect the SSC effect and cross-migration (Green and Gabriel, 2018), especially



A funnel plot was constructed to examine the risk of publication bias. Figures (A–D) show unilateral plyometric training and unilateral complex training, bilateral rapid plyometric training and bilateral complex training, single-leg countermovement jumps, and single-leg broad jumps.

with weak lateral limb support, as athletes must jump quickly and maintain balance in unstable conditions (Drouzas et al., 2020). As body receptors receive signals and feedback from the nervous system, the brain rapidly mobilizes more motor neurons (Farthing, 2009; Manca et al., 2021), enhancing neuromuscular coordination and control (Lei and Zhang, 2018), thereby reducing sports injuries.

Bilateral PT and bilateral CT had no significant effect on improving lower limb asymmetry in athletes. This result supports previous studies, such as Cao et al. (2024), which compared 10 weeks of unilateral, bilateral, and combined PT interventions and found that only unilateral PT effectively reduced lower limb asymmetry in athletes. Gonzalo-Skok et al. (2019) did not find significant improvement in lower limb asymmetry with bilateral CT after 6 weeks of intervention, suggesting that the dominant leg may be too strong. Ottinger et al. (2023) further proved that bilateral traditional resistance training or offset loading (adding extra weight on the dominant or non-dominant side) could not effectively improve lower limb asymmetry, suggesting that unilateral training is the first choice to reduce asymmetry. This suggests that bilateral PT and CT may have two limitations in improving lower limb asymmetry: First, the action compensation phenomenon causes excessive force on the strong limb (Twible et al., 2024), resulting in insufficient stimulation of the weak limb (Qu, 2021). Second, bilateral movement induces interhemispheric activation (Qu, 2021; Shanmugam et al., 2024), simultaneous muscle contraction induces mutual inhibition between hemispheres (Anders et al., 2021), and reduced activation of high-threshold motor units (Zhang et al., 2023), bilateral force loss occurred, where the combined force of both sides is less than the sum of unilateral forces (Botton and Pinto, 2012; Rejc et al., 2024), which may weaken the improvement of lower limb asymmetry (Ottinger et al., 2023).

Therefore, in actual training, coaches and athletes should prioritize unilateral PT to improve athletes' lower limb asymmetry and improve athletes' explosive power and performance. Given that the asymmetry is the ratio between the two limbs (Tillin and Bishop, 2009; Qu et al., 2021) and that unilateral PT has a significant lifting effect on the weak limbs. It is recommended that the PT exercise of the weak limb be strengthened to reduce the risk of sports injury.

# 4.3 Effects of plyometric training and complex training on different outcome indicators

The study found that PT can significantly improve asymmetry in SLCMJ, SLBJ, and SLLJ but has limited effects on SLH3J asymmetry. CT did not significantly affect asymmetry in any examined jumps. Research shows that athletes' lower limb asymmetry in the same direction is closely related to jumping performance, and interventions with similar force patterns and directions are effective (Bishop et al., 2017; Murtagh et al., 2018). The PT jump protocol included in the literature was consistent with the direction of the jump test, and the lower limb asymmetry was significantly improved; for example, Soñén et al. (2021) found that 6 weeks of depth jump training could significantly enhance SLCMJ performance and reduce asymmetry after 8 weeks of vertical and horizontal jump training. Sammoud et al. (2024) reported similar improvements in SLCMJ, SLBJ, and jump asymmetry. However, most CT programs combine multidirectional strength training with single-direction jump training, or the strength training direction differs from PT, possibly reducing the intervention effect on lower limb asymmetry (Madruga-Parera et al., 2021; Ujaković and Šarabon, 2023). Another key factor is the difference in the rate of force development RFD; higher RFD means better jump performance and asymmetry improvement (Smajla et al., 2021; Graham et al., 2024). According to the force-time curve, the shorter the ground contact time, the higher the RFD level (Scarr et al., 2021; Nagahara et al., 2025). Generally, 250 m is regarded as the critical value, and the touchdown time less than 250 m is called fast, and the reverse is called slow (Ünver et al., 2024). The PT program included in the literature in this study focuses on rapid action, such as deep jump and long jump (Sammoud et al., 2024). Enhancing RFD can improve the nerves and muscles' rapid response ability and coordination (Scarr et al., 2021) and reduce lower limb asymmetry. However, a recent study has shown that CT has a limited effect on RFD, with little effect on overall jumping fitness (Houlton et al., 2024), and neurological fatigue caused by high-weight stimulation in the early stage of CT can also reduce RFD (Yang et al., 2025).

The SLH3J's technical and strength demands may explain the insignificant effects of both trainings. This jump requires athletes to master rapid, continuous take-off and landing skills, strong explosive power, stability, and overcoming leg stiffness (Sharp et al., 2024; Pleša et al., 2024). Common mistakes like knee valgus during jumps (Ramzan et al., 2021) and the varied backgrounds and intervention programs of athletes in the study may have influenced the intervention's effectiveness. Moreover, calculating lower limb asymmetry could also be a factor (Cheng et al., 2023). Postintervention data showed a significant decrease in the average value of SLH3J asymmetry, but the standard deviation remained essentially unchanged, indicating substantial individual differences in asymmetry. Recent research highlights the y asymmetry test and measurement specificity (Bishop et al., 2021). Thus, lower limb asymmetry may be different in different directions. Using PT in the same direction can improve training efficiency and effectiveness. Since the calculation method of asymmetry may affect the evaluation results, it is recommended to adopt multiple test methods, such as jumping tests in different directions, and regularly evaluate the lower limb asymmetry from different dimensions, which is of great significance for formulating and optimizing personalized training programs and preventing sports injuries.

# 4.4 Limitations

- (1) Comprehensive searches yielded limited eligible studies, particularly RCTs directly comparing the two methods, which may introduce publication bias. Furthermore, existing research lacks exploration of sport-specific or skill-level variations in lower limb asymmetry, limiting conclusion generalizability.
- (2) The study strictly followed the PICOS principle but did not restrict healthy athletes' sport, age, or gender, leading to demographic and sport-specific factors. Different kinds of literature's varying training intensities, test methods, and formulas might skew lower limb asymmetry data results. SMD standardization reduced the impact of differences but did not eliminate all biases from tools and standards. Future research should explore these factors' influence on lower limb asymmetry.
- (3) Most studies involved 6 to 10-week short and medium-term interventions. Given the long-term nature of athletes' training and competition, the effects of different training methods on lower limb asymmetry require further investigation.

# 4.5 Practical application

There are different degrees of lower limb asymmetry in athletes, and excessive differences may hurt sports performance and injury risk. Our meta-analysis shows unilateral PT effectively reduces this asymmetry, particularly in athletes who frequently use single-limb explosive power (e.g., in basketball, triple jump, and badminton) or exhibit lower limb asymmetry in jump tests (such as SLCMJ or SLBJ). Thus, when devising a targeted training plan, it is crucial to assess the direction and degree of lower limb asymmetry through multidirectional jumps and tests. Based on the assessment and the athletes' specific conditions, weak-side unilateral PT should be strengthened to lower sports injury incidence. However, the optimal modes of unilateral PT (actions, intensity, duration) for different sports, ages, and genders are still unclear and need further study. Future research should focus on regular and dynamic assessments of lower limb asymmetry, establishing personal optimal ranges and training programs. This meta-analysis offers a theoretical basis and method for coaches and athletes to reduce lower limb asymmetry.

# **5** Conclusion

Unilateral PT effectively reduces lower limb asymmetry in athletes. Delivering high-acceleration stimuli through unstable conditions further enhances explosive power and joint stability, improving sports performance and reducing injury risks associated with unilateral overload. However, bilateral PT and unilateral/bilateral CT show no significant effects on asymmetry reduction. Given the limited number of included studies, these conclusions require verification through more high-quality randomized controlled trials.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Author contributions

WS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing. HL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft. LQ: Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing. YZ: Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review and editing. XC: Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review and editing. KW: Methodology, Resources, Visualization, Writing – review and editing. KL: Resources, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review and editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

# References

Aagaard, P. (2003). Training-induced changes in neural function. *Exerc Sport Sci. Rev.* 31 (2), 61–67. doi:10.1097/00003677-200304000-00002

Anders, J. P. V., Keller, J. L., Neltner, T. J., Housh, T. J., Schmidt, R. J., and Johnson, G. O. (2021). Task-specific performance fatigability and the bilateral deficit during isokinetic leg extensions. *J. Musculoskelet. Neuronal Interact.* 21 (1), 4–12.

Aztarain-Cardiel, K., Garatachea, N., and Pareja-Blanco, F. (2025). Effects of bilateral and unilateral plyometric training on physical performance in male postpubertal basketball players. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 20 (3), 429–437. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2024-0208

Barber, S. D., Noyes, F. R., Mangine, R. E., McCloskey, J. W., and Hartman, W. (1990). Quantitative assessment of functional limitations in normal and anterior cruciate ligament-deficient knees. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* 255, 204–214. doi:10.1097/00003086-199006000-00028

Bettariga, F., Maestroni, L., Martorelli, L., Jarvis, P., Turner, A., and Bishop, C. (2023). The effects of a unilateral strength and power training intervention on inter-limb asymmetry and physical performance in male amateur soccer players. *J. Sci. Sport Exerc* 5 (4), 328–339. doi:10.1007/s42978-022-00188-8

Bettariga, F., Turner, A., Maloney, S., Maestroni, L., Jarvis, P., and Bishop, C. (2022). The effects of training interventions on interlimb asymmetries: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Strength Cond. J.* 44 (5), 69–86. doi:10.1519/SSC.0000000000000701

Bishop, C., de Keijzer, K. L., Turner, A. N., and Beato, M. (2023). Measuring interlimb asymmetry for strength and power: a brief review of assessment methods, data analysis, current evidence, and practical recommendations. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 37 (3), 745–750. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000004384

Bishop, C., Lake, J., Loturco, I., Papadopoulos, K., Turner, A., and Read, P. (2021). Interlimb asymmetries: the need for an individual approach to data analysis. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 35 (3), 695–701. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000002729

Bishop, C., Read, P., Chavda, S., Jarvis, P., and Turner, A. (2019). Using unilateral strength, power and reactive strength tests to detect the magnitude and direction of asymmetry: a test-retest design. *Sports (Basel)* 7 (3), 58. doi:10.3390/sports7030058

Bishop, C., Read, P., Chavda, S., and Turner, A. (2016). Asymmetries of the lower limb: the calculation conundrum in strength training and conditioning. *Strength Cond. J.* 38 (6), 27–32. doi:10.1519/SSC.00000000000264

Bishop, C., Read, P., Lake, J., Chavda, S., and Turner, A. (2018b). Interlimb asymmetries: understanding how to calculate differences from bilateral and unilateral tests. *Strength and Cond. J.* 40 (4), 1–6. doi:10.1519/SSC.00000000000371

National Social Science Foundation pedagogy general project (BLA240186).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Bishop, C., Turner, A., Jarvis, P., Chavda, S., and Read, P. (2017). Considerations for selecting field-based strength and power fitness tests to measure asymmetries. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 31 (9), 2635–2644. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000002023

Bishop, C., Turner, A., and Read, P. (2018a). Training methods and considerations for practitioners to reduce interlimb asymmetries. *Strength Cond. J.* 40 (2), 40–46. doi:10.1519/SSC.00000000000354

Bishop, C., Turner, A., and Read, P. (2018c). Effects of inter-limb asymmetries on physical and sports performance: a systematic review. *J. Sports Sci.* 36 (10), 1135–1144. doi:10.1080/02640414.2017.1361894

Botton, C. E., and Pinto, R. S. (2012). Déficit bilateral: origem, mecanismos e implicações para o treino de força. *Rev. Bras. Cin. Des. Hum.* 14 (6). doi:10.5007/1980-0037.2012v14n6p749

Cao, J., Xun, S., Zhang, R., and Zhang, Z. (2024). Effects of unilateral, bilateral and combined plyometric jump training on asymmetry of muscular strength and power, and change-of-direction in youth male basketball players. *J. Sports Sci. Med.* 23 (4), 754–766. doi:10.52082/jssm.2024.754

Cheng, Z., Jiang, J., and Chai, G. (2023). Lower limb functional asymmetry in athletes: review, reflection and prospect. *J. Beijing Sport Univ.* 46 (03), 132–145. doi:10.19582/j.cnki.11-3785/g8.2023.03.012

D'Hondt, J., Chapelle, L., Bishop, C., Aerenhouts, D., De Pauw, K., Clarys, P., et al. (2024). Association between inter-limb asymmetry and determinants of middle- and long-distance running performance in healthy populations: a systematic review. *Sports Med. Open* 10 (1), 127. doi:10.1186/s40798-024-00790-w

Ding, L., Lyu, M., Chen, Z., Wu, J., Wang, Y., Bishop, C., et al. (2024). Associations between inter-limb asymmetry in lower limb strength and jump performance in 14–15-year-old basketball players. *Symmetry* 16 (11), 1421. doi:10.3390/sym16111421

Docherty, D., and Hodgson, M. J. (2007). The application of postactivation potentiation to elite sport. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 2 (4), 439-444. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2.4.439

Docherty, D., Robbins, D., and Hodgson, M. (2004). Complex training revisited: a review of its current status as a viable training approach. *Strength and Cond. J.* 26 (6), 52–57. doi:10.1519/00126548-200412000-00011

Drouzas, V., Katsikas, C., Zafeiridis, A., Jamurtas, A. Z., and Bogdanis, G. C. (2020). Unilateral plyometric training is superior to volume-matched bilateral training for improving strength, speed and power of lower limbs in preadolescent soccer athletes. *J. Hum. Kinet.* 74, 161–176. doi:10.2478/hukin-2020-0022 Farthing, J. P. (2009). Cross-education of strength depends on limb dominance: implications for theory and application. *Exerc Sport Sci. Rev.* 37 (4), 179–187. doi:10.1097/JES.0b013e3181b7e882

Fort-Vanmeerhaeghe, A., Bishop, C., Buscà, B., Aguilera-Castells, J., Vicens-Bordas, J., and Gonzalo-Skok, O. (2020). Inter-limb asymmetries are associated with decrements in physical performance in youth elite team sports athletes. *PLoS One* 15 (3), e0229440. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0229440

Fort-Vanmeerhaeghe, A., Bishop, C., Montalvo, A. M., Buscà-Safont, B., and Arboix-Alió, J. (2023). Effects of exercise-induced neuromuscular fatigue on jump performance and lower-limb asymmetries in youth female team sport athletes. *J. Hum. Kinet.* 89, 19–31. doi:10.5114/jhk/174073

Fousekis, K., Tsepis, E., and Vagenas, G. (2012). Intrinsic risk factors of noncontact ankle sprains in soccer: a prospective study on 100 professional players. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 40 (8), 1842–1850. doi:10.1177/0363546512449602

Fox, K. T., Pearson, L. T., and Hicks, K. M. (2023). The effect of lower inter-limb asymmetries on athletic performance: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 18 (6), e0286942. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0286942

Gaweł, E., Drozd, M., Maszczyk, A., and Zając, A. (2025). Acute effects of a simulated karate bout on muscular strength asymmetries of the lower limbs in elite athletes of different age categories. *Appl. Sci.* 15 (2), 888. doi:10.3390/app15020888

Gharaat, M. A. (2024). The comparison of the effects of unilateral vs. Bilateral plyometric training on performance indicators in trained adolescent boys. *Phys. Activity Child.* 1, 35–42. doi:10.61186/pach.2024.482038.1031

Gonzalo-Skok, O., Moreno-Azze, A., Arjol-Serrano, J. L., Tous-Fajardo, J., and Bishop, C. (2019). A comparison of 3 different unilateral strength training strategies to enhance jumping performance and decrease interlimb asymmetries in soccer players. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 14 (9), 1256–1264. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2018-0920

Gonzalo-Skok, O., Tous-Fajardo, J., Suarez-Arrones, L., Arjol-Serrano, J. L., Casajús, J. A., and Mendez-Villanueva, A. (2017). Single-leg power output and betweenlimbs imbalances in team-sport players: unilateral versus bilateral combined resistance training. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 12 (1), 106–114. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2015-0743

Graham, M. C., Reeves, K. A., Janatova, T., and Noehren, B. (2024). The relationship of open- and closed-kinetic-chain rate of force development with jump performance following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 19 (6), 585–592. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2023-0361

Green, L. A., and Gabriel, D. A. (2018). The cross education of strength and skill following unilateral strength training in the upper and lower limbs. *J. Neurophysiol.* 120 (2), 468–479. doi:10.1152/jn.00116.2018

Guan, Y., Bredin, S. S. D., Taunton, J., Jiang, Q., Wu, N., and Warburton, D. E. R. (2022). Association between inter-limb asymmetries in lower-limb functional performance and sport injury: a systematic review of prospective cohort studies. *J. Clin. Med.* 11 (2), 360. doi:10.3390/jcm11020360

Guan, Y., Yang, H., and Jiang, Q. (2023). Difference in functional performance of lower limbs predicts the risk of non-contact ankle sprain: a follow-up study of 318 adolescent taekwondo athletes. *Sports Sci.* 43 (07), 56–64. doi:10.16469/j.css.202307006

Gustavsson, A., Neeter, C., Thomeé, P., Silbernagel, K. G., Augustsson, J., Thomeé, R., et al. (2006). A test battery for evaluating hop performance in patients with an ACL injury and patients who have undergone ACL reconstruction. *Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc.* 14 (8), 778–788. doi:10.1007/s00167-006-0045-6

Hammami, M., Gaamouri, N., Aloui, G., Shephard, R. J., and Chelly, M. S. (2019). Effects of a complex strength-training program on athletic performance of junior female handball players. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 14 (2), 163–169. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2018-0160

Hammami, M., Gaamouri, N., Cherni, Y., Gaied, S., Chelly, M. S., Hill, L., et al. (2022). Effects of complex strength training with elastic band program on repeated change of direction in young female handball players: randomized control trial. *Int. J. Sports Sci. and Coach.* 17 (6), 1396–1407. doi:10.1177/17479541211062152

Hammami, M., Morgans, R., and Zmijewski, P. (2024). The effects of complex elastic band training on physical fitness components in junior female handball players. *Baltic J. Health Phys. Activity* 16 (1), 11. doi:10.29359/BJHPA.16.1.11

Hammami, M., Negra, Y., Shephard, R. J., and Chelly, M. S. (2017). The effect of standard strength vs. contrast strength training on the development of sprint, agility, repeated change of direction, and jump in junior male soccer players. *J. Strength and Cond. Res.* 31 (4), 901–912. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000001815

Hart, N. H., Nimphius, S., Weber, J., Spiteri, T., Rantalainen, T., Dobbin, M., et al. (2016). Musculoskeletal asymmetry in football athletes: a product of limb function over time. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc* 48 (7), 1379–1387. doi:10.1249/MSS.00000000000897

Heil, J., Loffing, F., and Büsch, D. (2020). The influence of exercise-induced fatigue on inter-limb asymmetries: a systematic review. *Sports Med. Open* 6 (1), 39. doi:10.1186/s40798-020-00270-x

Helme, M., Tee, J., Emmonds, S., and Low, C. (2021). Does lower-limb asymmetry increase injury risk in sport? A systematic review. *Phys. Ther. Sport* 49, 204–213. doi:10.1016/j.ptsp.2021.03.001

Houlton, L. J., Moody, J. A., Bampouras, T. M., and Esformes, J. I. (2024). Acute effects of intracontrast rest after back squats on vertical jump performance during complex training. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 38 (11), e645–e655. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000004878

Jensen, R. L., and Ebben, W. P. (2007). Quantifying plyometric intensity via rate of force development, knee joint, and ground reaction forces. J. Strength Cond. Res. 21 (3), 763–767. doi:10.1519/R-18735.1

Jiang, D., Liu, Z., Ling, X., Dai, J., Long, L., Lu, Y., et al. (2023). Investigating the impact of inter-limb asymmetry in hamstring strength on jump, sprint, and strength performance in young athletes: comparing the role of gross force. *Front. Physiol.* 14, 1185397. doi:10.3389/fphys.2023.1185397

Jo, E., Judelson, D. A., Brown, L. E., Coburn, J. W., and Dabbs, N. C. (2010). Influence of recovery duration after a potentiating stimulus on muscular power in recreationally trained individuals. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 24 (2), 343–347. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181cc22a4

Jordan, M. J., and Bishop, C. (2024). Testing limb symmetry and asymmetry after anterior cruciate ligament injury: 4 considerations to increase its utility. *Strength and Cond. J.* 46 (4), 406–414. doi:10.1519/SSC.000000000000821

Kalata, M., Williams, C. A., Verbruggen, F. F., De Ste Croix, M., Lehnert, M., Zahalka, F., et al. (2025). Strength and jumping performance in youth athletes: do sport specialization and age categories affect strength asymmetry? *Res. Sports Med.* 16, 1–18. doi:10.1080/15438627.2025.2465544

Kiba, H., Miaki, H., Yokogawa, M., and Asai, H. (2025). Lower-limb asymmetry in healthy male athletes. J. Phys. Ther. Sci. 37 (3), 118–125. doi:10.1589/jpts.37.118

Kompf, J., and Arandjelović, O. (2016). Understanding and overcoming the sticking point in resistance exercise. *Sports Med.* 46 (6), 751–762. doi:10.1007/s40279-015-0460-2

Kons, R. L., Orssatto, L. B. R., Ache-Dias, J., De Pauw, K., Meeusen, R., Trajano, G. S., et al. (2023). Effects of plyometric training on physical performance: an umbrella review. *Sports Med. Open* 9 (1), 4. doi:10.1186/s40798-022-00550-8

Kyritsis, P., Bahr, R., Landreau, P., Miladi, R., and Witvrouw, E. (2016). Likelihood of ACL graft rupture: not meeting six clinical discharge criteria before return to sport is associated with a four times greater risk of rupture. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 50 (15), 946–951. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2015-095908

Lamas, L., Aoki, M. S., Ugrinowitsch, C., Campos, G. E., Regazzini, M., Moriscot, A. S., et al. (2010). Expression of genes related to muscle plasticity after strength and power training regimens. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* 20 (2), 216–225. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.00905.x

Lee, M., and Carroll, T. J. (2007). Cross education: possible mechanisms for the contralateral effects of unilateral resistance training. *Sports Med.* 37 (1), 1–14. doi:10.2165/00007256-200737010-00001

Lei, F., and Zhang, Y. (2018). Effect of integrated neuromuscular training on functional asymmetry and balance ability of lower limbs of table tennis players with hearing impairment. *Sports Sci.* 38 (11), 28–38+97. doi:10.16469/j.css.201811003

Li, D., Cheng, X., Que, Y., and Ni, L. (2021). The influence of interlimb asymmetry on sports performance and intervention methods. *J. Wuhan Phys. Educ. Univ.* 55 (08), 94–100. doi:10.15930/j.cnki.wtxb.2021.08.013

Liebermann, D. G., Markström, J. L., Selling, J., and Häger, C. K. (2024). Spatiotemporal lower-limb asymmetries during stair descent in athletes following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol* 75, 102868. doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2024.102868

Lin, Y., and Ye, W. (2022). The effect of unilateral training on lower limb explosive power and its neural mechanism. *Chin. J. Sports Med.* 41 (05), 383–397. doi:10.16038/J.1000-6710.2022.05.002

Madruga-Parera, M., Dos'Santos, T., Bishop, C., Turner, A., Blanco, D., Beltran-Garrido, V., et al. (2021). Assessing inter-limb asymmetries in soccer players: magnitude, direction and association with performance. *J. Hum. Kinet.* 79, 41–53. doi:10.2478/hukin-2021-0081

Maloney, S. J. (2019). The relationship between asymmetry and athletic performance: a critical review. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 33 (9), 2579–2593. doi:10.1519/JSC.000000000002608

Manca, A., Hortobágyi, T., Carroll, T. J., Enoka, R. M., Farthing, J. P., Gandevia, S. C., et al. (2021). Contralateral effects of unilateral strength and skill training: modified delphi consensus to establish key aspects of cross-education. *Sports Med.* 51 (1), 11–20. doi:10.1007/s40279-020-01377-7

Michailidis, Y., Stafylidis, A., Mandroukas, A., Kyranoudis, A. E., Antoniou, G., Kollias, R., et al. (2025). Correlation of the asymmetry index from the single-leg countermovement jump with the asymmetry index from isokinetic strength in elite youth football players. *Appl. Sci.* 15 (5), 2779. doi:10.3390/app15052779

Moreno-Apellaniz, N., Villanueva-Guerrero, O., Villavicencio-Álvarez, V. E., Calero-Morales, S., and Mainer-Pardos, E. (2024). Impact of lower-limb asymmetries on physical performance among adolescent female tennis players. *Life (Basel)* 14 (12), 1561. doi:10.3390/life14121561

Moreno-Azze, A., Arjol-Serrano, J. L., Falcón-Miguel, D., Bishop, C., and Gonzalo-Skok, O. (2021). Effects of three different combined training interventions on jump, change of direction, power performance, and inter-limb asymmetry in male youth soccer players. *Sports (Basel)* 9 (12), 158. doi:10.3390/sports9120158

Moreno-Azze, A., Prad-Lucas, E., Fandos Soñén, D., Pradas de la Fuente, F., and Falcón-Miguel, D. (2023). Plyometric training's effects on young male karatekas' jump, change of direction, and inter-limb asymmetry. *Sports (Basel)* 12 (1), 1. doi:10.3390/sports12010001

Moreno-Villanueva, A., Soler-López, A., Cuartero-Martínez, J. C., and Pino-Ortega, J. (2025). Assessment of limb imbalance in professional soccer players. *Appl. Sci.* 15 (4), 1875. doi:10.3390/app15041875

Morris, S. J., Oliver, J. L., Pedley, J. S., Haff, G. G., and Lloyd, R. S. (2022). Comparison of weightlifting, traditional resistance training and plyometrics on strength, power and speed: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* 52 (7), 1533–1554. doi:10.1007/s40279-021-01627-2

Mujezinović, E., Babajić, F., Užičanin, E., Pavlinović, V., and Veršić, Š. (2024). Application of unilateral and bilateral plyometric exercises on the ability of planned agility and acceleration; effectis in young soccer players. *Sport Mont.* 22 (3), 81–84. doi:10.26773/smj.241013

Murtagh, C. F., Nulty, C., Vanrenterghem, J., O'Boyle, A., Morgans, R., Drust, B., et al. (2018). The neuromuscular determinants of unilateral jump performance in soccer players are direction-specific. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 13 (5), 604–611. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2017-0589

Nagahara, R., Girard, O., and Messou, P. A. (2025). Rates of ground reaction force development are associated with running speed during sprint acceleration. *Int. J. Sports Sci. and Coach.* 20 (1), 123–129. doi:10.1177/17479541241276476

Norgeot, F., and Fouré, A. (2024). Effects of vertical and horizontal plyometric training on jump performances and sprint force-velocity profile in young elite soccer players. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 124 (9), 2591–2601. doi:10.1007/s00421-024-05477-4

Ojeda-Aravena, A., Warnier-Medina, A., Brand, C., Morales-Zúñiga, J., Orellana-Lepe, G., Zapata-Bastias, J., et al. (2023). Relationship between body composition asymmetry and specific performance in taekwondo athletes: a cross-sectional study. *Symmetry* 15 (11), 2087. doi:10.3390/sym15112087

Ottinger, C. R., Tufano, J. J., Cochrane-Snyman, K. C., Gheith, R. H., and McBride, J. M. (2023). Offset loading in a bilateral squatting movement pattern influences groundreaction force and muscle activity in the dominant and nondominant limb. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 18 (5), 523–529. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2022-0407

Pardos-Mainer, E., Casajús, J. A., Bishop, C., and Gonzalo-Skok, O. (2020). Effects of combined strength and power training on physical performance and interlimb asymmetries in adolescent female soccer players. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 15 (8), 1147–1155. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2019-0265

Parkinson, A. O., Apps, C. L., Morris, J. G., Barnett, C. T., and Lewis, M. G. C. (2021). The calculation, thresholds and reporting of inter-limb strength asymmetry: a systematic review. *J. Sports Sci. Med.* 20 (4), 594–617. doi:10.52082/jssm.2021.594

Pleša, J., Ribič, A., Ujaković, F., Kozinc, Ž., and Šarabon, N. (2024). Agreement between inter-limb asymmetries in single and triple unilateral hops, and associations with bilateral jumping and sprint performance in U17 and U19 soccer players. *Symmetry* 16 (2), 186. doi:10.3390/sym16020186

Prvulović, N., Žuža Praštalo, M., Lilić, A., Pantelić, S., Katanić, B., Čoh, M., et al. (2024). TMG symmetry and kinematic analysis of the impact of different plyometric programs on female athletes' lower-body muscles. *Symmetry* 16 (10), 1393. doi:10.3390/sym16101393

Qu, L. (2021). Study on the construction of physical health promotion model and exercise intervention for Chinese adolescents. Beijing,

Qu, L., Sun, W., Yang, F., Guo, H., and Zhang, X. (2021). Construction of cooperative linkage organization mechanism of traditional sports schools from the perspective of Sports Education Integration. *J. Wuhan Phys. Educ. Inst.* 55 (10), 63–69+85. doi:10.13297/j.cnki.issn1005-0000.2021.05.003

Ramirez-Campillo, R., Sanchez-Sanchez, J., Gonzalo-Skok, O., Rodríguez-Fernandez, A., Carretero, M., and Nakamura, F. Y. (2018). Specific changes in young soccer player's fitness after traditional bilateral vs. unilateral combined strength and plyometric training. *Front. Physiol.* 9, 265. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.00265

Ramzan, J., Osama, M., Riffat, G., Waqar Baig, M. M., and Aiman, F. (2021). Dynamic knee valgus alignment in healthy young adults and its association with single leg triple hop distance and athletic single leg stability. *J. Pak Med. Assoc.* 71 (11), 2687–2691. doi:10.47391/JPMA.011047

Rejc, E., Zaccaron, S., D'Alleva, M., Botter, A., and Lazzer, S. (2024). Effects of bilateral or unilateral plyometric training of lower limbs on the bilateral deficit during explosive efforts. *J. Musculoskelet. Neuronal Interact.* 24 (2), 200–208.

Ricart-Luna, B., Domínguez-Navarro, F., Gene-Morales, J., Colado, J. C., Juesas, Á., Portes, R., et al. (2025). Effects of a 4-week weightlifting or plyometric training mesocycle on physical performance in highly trained adolescent basketball players. *J. Sports Sci.* 19, 1–12. doi:10.1080/02640414.2025.2469023

Rohman, E., Steubs, J. T., and Tompkins, M. (2015). Changes in involved and uninvolved limb function during rehabilitation after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: implications for Limb Symmetry Index measures. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 43 (6), 1391–1398. doi:10.1177/0363546515576127

Sammoud, S., Negra, Y., Bouguezzi, R., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Moran, J., Bishop, C., et al. (2024). Effects of plyometric jump training on measures of physical fitness and lower-limb asymmetries in prepubertal male soccer players: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Sports Sci. Med. Rehabil.* 16 (1), 37. doi:10.1186/s13102-024-00821-9

Sanchez-Sanchez, J., Rodriguez-Fernandez, A., Granacher, U., Afonso, J., and Ramirez-Campillo, R. (2024). Plyometric jump training effects on maximal strength in soccer players: a systematic review with meta-analysis of randomized-controlled studies. *Sports Med. Open* 10 (1), 52. doi:10.1186/s40798-024-00720-w

Scarr, T., Oranchuk, D. J., and Rafferty, D. (2021). Comparison of drop jump forcetime profiles of team sport athletes and active controls. *Mot. Rev. Educ. Física* 27, e10210015121. doi:10.1590/s1980-657420210015121

Scott, D. J., Ditroilo, M., and Marshall, P. A. (2017). Complex training: the effect of exercise selection and training status on postactivation potentiation in rugby league players. J. Strength Cond. Res. 31 (10), 2694–2703. doi:10.1519/JSC.000000000001722

Shanmugam, S. V., Rameshkumar, S., and Balasundar, G. (2024). Impact of unilateral bilateral and complex training on selected motor fitness components and physiological variables among college level men kabaddi players. *Int. J. Sports Exerc. Phys.* 6 (2), 202–204. doi:10.33545/26647281.2024.v6.i2c.128

Sharp, A. P., Neville, J., Diewald, S. N., Oranchuk, D. J., and Cronin, J. B. (2024). Videographic variability of triple and quintuple horizontal hop performance. *J. Sport Rehabil.* 33 (7), 570–581. doi:10.1123/jsr.2023-0245

Śliwowski, R., Paillard, T., Bojkowski, Ł., Dudziński, W., Patek, M., and Marynowicz, J. (2024). Intra- and inter-limb strength imbalance and asymmetry in soccer: a comparison of elite senior and junior players. *PLoS One* 19 (4), e0302474. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0302474

Smajla, D., Žitnik, J., and Šarabon, N. (2021). Quantification of inter-limb symmetries with rate of force development and relaxation scaling factor. *Front. Physiol.* 12, 679322. doi:10.3389/fphys.2021.679322

Soñén, D. F., Miguel, D. F., Azze, A. M., and La Fuente, F. P. (2021). The influence of unilateral and bilateral plyometric training on lower limb explosive strength and asymmetry correction in karate athletes. Retos: Nuevas Tendencias en Educación Física. *Deporte Recreación* (39), 367–371. doi:10.47197/retos.v0i39.788184

Sousa, C. A., Zourdos, M. C., Storey, A. G., and Helms, E. R. (2024). The importance of recovery in resistance training microcycle construction. *J. Hum. Kinet.* 91 (Spec Issue), 205–223. doi:10.5114/jhk/186659

Thapa, R. K., Weldon, A., Freitas, T. T., Boullosa, D., Afonso, J., Granacher, U., et al. (2024). What do we know about complex-contrast training? A systematic scoping review. *Sports Med. Open* 10 (1), 104. doi:10.1186/s40798-024-00771-z

Tillin, N. A., and Bishop, D. (2009). Factors modulating post-activation potentiation and its effect on performance of subsequent explosive activities. *Sports Med.* 39 (2), 147–166. doi:10.2165/00007256-200939020-00004

Twible, B. D., Ruggiero, L., McNeil, C. J., and Dalton, B. H. (2024). A single bout of on-ice training leads to increased interlimb asymmetry in competitive youth hockey athletes. *J. Appl. Biomech.* 40 (5), 390–398. doi:10.1123/jab.2023-0196

Ujaković, F., and Šarabon, N. (2023). The effects of workload difference between limbs in plyometric and strength exercises in reducing asymmetry in change of direction ability during basketball season. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 37 (11), 2282–2288. doi:10.1519/JSC.000000000004573

Ünver, E., Konşuk Ünlü, H., Yıldız, A. E., and Cinemre, Ş. A. (2024). A new approach for classification of stretch-shortening cycle: beyond 250 ms of ground contact time. *J. Sports Sci.* 42 (17), 1617–1626. doi:10.1080/02640414.2024.2403873

Vasileiou, S. S., Asimakidis, N. D., Dalamitros, A. A., and Manou, V. (2024). Effects of an 8-week in-season explosive power training program on neuromuscular performance and lower-limb asymmetries in young male soccer players. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 38 (12), 2121–2128. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000004917

Wang, L. (2012). Plyometric training: interpretation and application. J. Beijing Sport Univ. 35 (04), 133–136. doi:10.19582/j.cnki.11-3785/g8.2012.04.027

Wang, X., Lv, C., Qin, X., Ji, S., and Dong, D. (2023). Effectiveness of plyometric training vs. complex training on the explosive power of lower limbs: a Systematic review. *Front. Physiol.* 13, 1061110. doi:10.3389/fphys.2022.1061110

Xie, L., Chen, J., Dai, J., Zhang, W., Chen, L., Sun, J., et al. (2024). Exploring the potent enhancement effects of plyometric training on vertical jumping and sprinting ability in sports individuals. *Front. Physiol.* 15, 1435011. doi:10.3389/fphys.2024. 1435011

Yalçin, O., Açak, M., Kurtoğlu, A., Eken, Ö., Bayer, R., and Aldhahi, M. I. (2025). The efficacy of Air Alert plyometric training program on enhancing biomotor skills in adolescent basketball players. *Med. Baltim.* 104 (9), e41644. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000001644

Yang, H. S., Atkins, L. T., and James, C. R. (2025). Effects of mental fatigue on isometric mid-thigh pull performance and muscle activities. *PLoS One* 20 (3), e0318238. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0318238

Yen Yeh, T., Wimmenauer, H. M., Lamont, H. S., and Smith, J. C. (2024). Acute effect of heavy load back squat and foam rolling on vertical jump performance. *Res. Q. Exerc Sport* 95 (2), 416–422. doi:10.1080/02701367.2023.2230282

Zghal, F., Colson, S. S., Blain, G., Behm, D. G., Granacher, U., and Chaouachi, A. (2019). Combined Resistance and plyometric training is more effective than plyometric training alone for improving physical fitness of pubertal soccer players. *Front. Physiol.* 10, 1026. doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.01026

Zhang, J., Zhou, W., Liu, H., and Guo, C. (2024). A meta-analysis of the effect of enhancement on sports performance after activation of lower extremity relative strength level. *Tissue Eng. Res. China* 28 (28), 4586–4592. doi:10.12307/2024.468

Zhang, W., Chen, X., Xu, K., Xie, H., Li, D., Ding, S., et al. (2023). Effect of unilateral training and bilateral training on physical performance: a meta-analysis. *Front. Physiol.* 14, 1128250. doi:10.3389/fphys.2023.1128250