### Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Raimond L. Winslow, Northeastern University, United States

### REVIEWED BY

Jicheng Fu, University of Central Oklahoma, United States Malek Mecherques Carini, Universidad Católica San Antonio de Murcia (UCAM), Spain Sai Natarajan Thrissur Ranganathan, Pompeu Fabra University, Spain

\*CORRESPONDENCE Austin J. Graybeal, austin.graybeal@tcu.edu

RECEIVED 17 February 2025 ACCEPTED 05 June 2025 PUBLISHED 23 June 2025

#### CITATION

Graybeal AJ, Compton AT, Swafford SH, Brandner CF, Johnson MF, Kaylor MG, Haynes H and Stavres J (2025) A predictive model for body water and fluid balance using 3D smartphone anthropometry. *Front. Physiol.* 16:1577049. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2025.1577049

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Graybeal, Compton, Swafford, Brandner, Johnson, Kaylor, Haynes and Stavres. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# A predictive model for body water and fluid balance using 3D smartphone anthropometry

Austin J. Graybeal<sup>1</sup>\*, Abby T. Compton<sup>1</sup>, Sydney H. Swafford<sup>1</sup>, Caleb F. Brandner<sup>2</sup>, Molly F. Johnson<sup>1</sup>, Maria G. Kaylor<sup>1</sup>, Hunter Haynes<sup>1</sup> and Jon Stavres<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Kinesiology and Nutrition, University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS, United States, <sup>2</sup>Department of Health and Human Physiology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, United States

**Background:** Body fluid volumes, including total body water (TBW), extracellular fluid (ECF), and intracellular fluid (ICF), are crucial indicators of body composition, and the distribution of these fluids is essential for assessing hydration status and fluid accumulation. Although fluid volumes are commonly measured with bioelectrical impedance devices, several challenges hinder the application of this technique. However, 3D smartphone scanning applications that automate body volumes and other anthropometric estimates may provide a viable alternative to body fluid assessments.

**Methods:** A total of 338 participants underwent fluid volume assessments using bioelectrical impedance spectroscopy (BIS) and collected body volumes and anthropometric data using a 3D smartphone scanning application. Then, LASSO regression was used to develop new TBW and ECF prediction model in a subset of participants (n = 272), which was subsequently tested in the remaining participants (n = 66). Smartphone-derived ICF was calculated as the difference between smartphone-predicted TBW and ECF. Fluid overload and imbalance were determined using ECF/TBW and ECF/ICF, respectively, and subsequently predicted from the retained variables using receiver operating characteristic curve analyses and logistic regression.

**Results:** Estimates from each of the newly-developed prediction models were not significantly different from the estimates produced using BIS (all  $p \ge 0.70$ ) and revealed acceptable agreement (TBW:  $R^2 = 0.91$ , RMSE = 3.24 L; ECF:  $R^2 = 0.94$ , RMSE = 1.10 L; ICF:  $R^2 = 0.87$ , RMSE = 2.29 L) when evaluated in the testing sample (n = 66), although proportional bias was observed (p < 0.001). Smartphone-predicted fluid overload (AUC: 0.81 [95%CI: 0.70, 0.92]; sensitivity + specificity: 1.53 [95%CI: 1.39, 1.67]) and imbalance (AUC: 0.76 [95%CI: 0.64, 0.88]; sensitivity + specificity: 1.40 [95%CI: 1.24, 1.56]) demonstrated acceptable diagnostic performance.

**Conclusion:** Smartphone scanning applications can accurately assess body fluid volumes and imbalances, presenting new possibilities for health screening beyond clinical environments.

KEYWORDS

anthropometry, body composition, 3D, body fluid, water retention, fluid balance, overhydration, edema

## 1 Introduction

Fluid balance assessments are a critical part of health screening and monitoring, particularly for older adults or individuals with developing cardiovascular, renal, or hepatic pathologies (Roumelioti et al., 2018). For instance, older adults are more prone to reduced kidney function and musculoskeletal atrophy, and assessing fluid balance can provide valuable insights that may help in preventing the onset of dehydration and malnutritionrelated complications (Powers et al., 2009; Tanigu et al., 2017). For conditions such as heart failure and kidney and liver disease, regular monitoring of fluid volume and distribution is crucial in preventing complications like fluid overload and imbalance, which may further aggravate such conditions (Hara et al., 2009; Nongnuch et al., 2015; Hür et al., 2014; Accardi et al., 2021; Horino et al., 2023; Kim et al., 2017). While the clinical utility of these assessments is clear in specific populations, abnormal body fluid may also be indicative of worsening conditions more commonly encountered in the broader population, such as obesity and hypertension, that often precede more serious health issues (Roumelioti et al., 2018; Chang et al., 2016; Cianci et al., 2006). Regular fluid balance assessments may also provide valuable insights beyond specific pathologies, with practical applications in sports science (e.g., performance optimization, prevention of heatrelated illness), general health monitoring, and thermoregulation (e.g., body temperature regulation during extreme environmental conditions).

While often used to estimate body composition parameters such as body fat percentage or fat-free mass ([FFM] standalone or within multi-compartment models), assessing fluid balance typically involves the direct or indirect volumetric measurement of total body water (TBW), which constitutes approximately 45%-60% of an individual's body mass, and the distribution of that fluid across the extracellular (ECF) and intracellular fluid (ICF) compartments (Bhave and Neilson, 2011). Isotope dilution methods offer the most direct measurement of TBW and its distribution across cellular membranes, but the complexity of this approach limits its utility outside of research settings (Chan et al., 2008). Alternatively, non-invasive bioelectrical impedance analyses (BIA) are more commonly used, as they have been shown to provide accurate and reliable estimates that agree with dilution techniques (Moon et al., 2009; Moon et al., 2008; Cataldi et al., 2022; Tinsley et al., 2023; Martinoli et al., 2003). However, due to costs, the need for additional equipment, and the rigorous pre-assessment standardization requirements of BIA, the adoption of this technique in routine care outside of more specialized practice remains limited. Simple anthropometric measurements are often used to predict TBW, though their oversimplified nature limits their precision and their ability to distinguish between ECF and ICF levels, which are crucial for evaluating fluid overload and imbalance (Chamney et al., 2007). Therefore, there is a critical need for accessible, cost effective, and user-friendly anthropometric tools that can accurately estimate body fluid without requiring additional equipment.

Mobile digital anthropometrics, automated through threedimensional (3D) smartphone scanning applications, may offer a potential solution. Initially used to generate 3D representations and automate hundreds of body composition and anthropometric estimates from 2D images, mobile digital anthropometrics are continuously expanding their clinical utility. Recent studies have demonstrated that the estimates produced by these smartphone scanning applications can be used in clinical settings to evaluate body image distortion and dissatisfaction (Braun-Trocchio et al., 2023; Graybeal et al., 2023a), metabolic syndrome (Medina Inojosa et al., 2024; Graybeal et al., 2024a), and, more recently, bone mineral content (BMC) (Graybeal et al., 2024b). Moreover, this technique has not only demonstrated validity against multi-compartment body composition models (Graybeal et al., 2022a; Graybeal et al., 2022b), but the body volume estimates automated by these smartphone scanning applications have also shown agreement with more invasive methods such as underwater weighing (Fedewa et al., 2021), supporting their use as a valid noninvasive proxy for body volume within multi-compartment models (Sullivan et al., 2022). Given the well-established interplay between body volume and fluid estimates (Nickerson et al., 2022), as well as the surging clinical capabilities of this technique, 3D smartphone anthropometry may be equipped to provide accurate estimates of body water and fluid balance that improve upon the limitations of existing methods. However, the ability of this technique to provide such estimates is currently unknown. Therefore, this study aimed to determine whether smartphone-derived body volume measurements could be used to predict: 1) estimates of body water and fluid distribution, and 2) fluid overload and imbalance classifications. We hypothesized that the smartphone-based body fluid prediction models would accurately estimate TBW, ECF, and ICF, and effectively distinguish fluid overload and imbalance classifications with acceptable accuracy.

# 2 Materials and methods

## 2.1 Participants

A total of 338 male and female (148 M, 190 F) participants between the ages of 18 and 60 (age:  $23.8 \pm 8.2$  yrs; BMI:  $26.0 \pm 5.8$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were prospectively recruited and completed this crosssectional evaluation. Participants were excluded if they were younger than 18 or older than 60 years; were missing any limbs or part of a limb; had a pacemaker or any other electrical implant; had a substantial amount of internal metal such as a metal plate or a complete joint replacement; were diagnosed with renal, liver, or cardiovascular disease; or if they were pregnant, breastfeeding or lactating. All procedures were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the University of Southern Mississippi Institutional Review Board (IRB#22-1012/23-0446). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to participation.

## 2.2 Procedures

Participants arrived at the laboratory for testing after a minimum 8-h overnight fast from food, beverage, and supplements/medications, and after abstaining from exercise for at least 24-h. Upon arrival, participants were instructed to remove any external metal or accessories (e.g., jewelry, shoes, watches, etc.) and to wear only tight, form-fitting athletic clothing (females: compression shorts/tights and a sports bra; males: compression shorts/tights only) before undergoing testing. Once all pre-assessment requirements were confirmed, participants underwent several anthropometric measurements, including height via stadiometer, weight using a calibrated scale, automated anthropometrics using a 3D body scanning smartphone application, and body fluid estimates using bioelectrical impedance spectroscopy (BIS).

## 2.3 Bioelectrical impedance spectroscopy

A comprehensive description of the BIS methods used in this study have been presented elsewhere (Graybeal et al., 2024c; Graybeal et al., 2023b; Brandner et al., 2022; Vallecillo-Bustos et al., 2024). Accordingly, the following section is presented as a summary, with specific aspects of this evaluation discussed in greater detail. A tetrapolar, hand-to-foot BIS device (SFB7; ImpediMed<sup>®</sup>, Carlsbad, CA) was used to measure body composition (body fat %, fat mass, FFM) and TBW, as well as the ICF and ECF. Importantly, body composition and fluid estimates produced by BIS have well-demonstrated agreement with criterion estimates such as dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) and deuterium dilution, respectively (Moon et al., 2009; Moon et al., 2008; Cataldi et al., 2022; Tinsley et al., 2023; Martinoli et al., 2003; Esco et al., 2019; Earthman et al., 2007).

Prior to testing, participants were instructed to lie supine with their hands flat and to spread their hands and feet away from their body for at least 5 min to ensure the even distribution of body fluids. Then, an investigator placed adhesive electrodes at four distinct sites (Vallecillo-Bustos et al., 2024; Tinsley et al., 2022). The first proximal electrode was positioned on the participant's posterior wrist, midway between the radial and ulnar processes. The second proximal electrode was placed on the participant's anterior ankle, midway between the medial and lateral malleoli of the tibia and fibula. The two remaining distal electrodes were positioned approximately 5 cm below their corresponding proximal electrodes (Vallecillo-Bustos et al., 2024; Tinsley et al., 2022). After all electrodes were secured, a single measurement was performed and immediately assessed for quality by a trained investigator via visual inspection of Cole plots.

Quality assurance assessments were conducted each morning prior to testing using the manufacturer provided test-cell, and all testing was performed at a standard frequency of 50 kHz using the default sex and body density, proportion, and hydration coefficients suggested by the manufacturer (Moon et al., 2008). To simulate a level of standardization most likely to be employed outside of a research setting, participants were not required to void their bladder, and hydration status was not confirmed using urine specific gravity or an eight-point color chart (Graybeal et al., 2020) prior to testing. Instead, participants were simply instructed to remain hydrated leading up to the start of their overnight fast, as both bladder fluid volume and hydration status have shown to have minimal effect on BIA assessments when compared to standardization techniques (Ferri-Morales et al., 2024; Randhawa et al., 2021; Ritz and Source Study, 2001) that control for each component.

# 2.4 Smartphone 3D body scanning application

The procedures for obtaining automated anthropometric estimates using a 3D smartphone body scanning application, along with its precision and agreement with criterion body composition techniques, have been described in detail elsewhere (Graybeal et al., 2024b; Graybeal et al., 2022a; Graybeal et al., 2022b; Graybeal et al., 2022c; Graybeal et al., 2023c; Graybeal et al., 2024d; Tinsley et al., 2024). In summary, a 3D smartphone body scanning application (MeThreeSixty®, Size Stream LLC, Cary, NC, USA) was used to automate digital anthropometric estimates. Although this method can automate hundreds of anthropometric estimates through advanced digital imaging and machine learning techniques, we chose to use only the raw estimates for appendicular limb lengths and total and segmental body volumes for our analysis based on their well-established relationships with body fluid measurements (Nickerson et al., 2022; Nishimura et al., 2020). Furthermore, body shape and adiposity indices were calculated from our automated anthropometrics (described in Section 2.5) and used for analysis.

For testing, participants removed all external metal and accessories, wore only tight-fitting athletic clothing, and tied their hair up so that it was above their shoulder line. After entering the participant's age, sex, height, and weight into the application, the smartphone was placed in a stationary tripod in a position confirmed by application's internal quality assurance protocols. Participants were then instructed to stand on a foot guide positioned in front of a neutral-colored (grey) background at a standardized distance from the smartphone. Once positioned, a single image was captured using the smartphone's front-facing camera while the participant performed two distinct poses, resulting in two 2D images that were subsequently used to generate the 3D avatar. For the first pose, participants faced the smartphone while automated verbal prompts instructed them to widen their feet and laterally raise their arms. For the second pose, participants turned to the side so that their shoulder faced the smartphone while automated verbal prompts instructed them to look forward, bring their feet together, and fully extend their arms with their hands flat against their lateral thighs. All images were collected in a room without external light (i.e., windows).

## 2.5 Model development

The methods used to develop the prediction models have been described in detail in prior publications and are summarized hereafter (Graybeal et al., 2024b). Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression procedures were employed to develop prediction equations for TBW and ECF using demographic and smartphone-generated anthropometric predictor variables (18 total predictors). Demographic predictor variables included age (yrs), height (cm), weight (kg), sex (male/female), race (White/Black/Asian), and ethnicity (Hispanic/non-Hispanic). Anthropometric predictor variables included lengths (cm) of the arms and outer legs, and volumes (cm<sup>3</sup>) of the whole-body, arms, legs, torso, and busts. To produce single estimates for analysis, right and left limb lengths were averaged, and right and left volumes of the arms, legs, and busts were summed. Additionally, a body shape

TABLE 1	Descriptive	characteristics	of the	combined,	training,	and
testing sa	amples.					

	Combined sample	Training sample	Testing sample	
Ν	338	272	66	
Sex (F/M)	190/148	152/120	38/28	
Race (W/B/A)	158/109/71	124/88/60	34/21/11	
Ethnicity (H/NH)	16/322	11/261	5/61	
Age (y)	23.8 ± 8.2	23.7 ± 8.1	24.3 ± 8.5	
Height (cm)	$169.0\pm74.6$	$168.7\pm9.7$	$170.0 \pm 9.4$	
Weight (kg)	74.6 ± 19.9	$74.8\pm20.5$	73.9 ± 17.4	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	26.0 ± 5.8	26.1 ± 5.9	25.4 ± 5.1	
Body fat (%) <sup>a</sup>	29.4 ± 8.5	29.5 ± 8.5	28.9 ± 8.7	
Fat mass (kg) <sup>a</sup>	22.4 ± 11.0	22.6 ± 11.4	21.6 ± 9.3	
Fat-free mass (kg) <sup>a</sup>	52.2 ± 12.9	52.2 ± 12.9	52.2 ± 12.9	
TBW (L) <sup>a</sup>	38.3 ± 9.40	38.3 ± 9.4	38.2 ± 9.4	
Predicted TBW (L) <sup>b</sup>	-	-	$38.2 \pm 7.4$	
ECF (L) <sup>a</sup>	16.0 ± 3.9	$16.0 \pm 4.0$	15.9 ± 3.9	
Predicted ECF (L) <sup>b</sup>	-	-	16.0 ± 3.2	
ICF (L) <sup>a</sup>	$22.3\pm5.60$	$22.3\pm5.6$	22.3 ± 5.7	
Predicted ICF (L) <sup>b</sup>	-	-	$22.3\pm4.2$	
ECF/TBW <sup>a</sup>	$0.42 \pm 0.02$	$0.42 \pm 0.02$	$0.42 \pm 0.02$	
Predicted ECF/TBW <sup>b</sup>	-	-	$0.42 \pm 0.01$	
ECF/ICF <sup>a</sup>	$0.72 \pm 0.06$	$0.72 \pm 0.07$	$0.72 \pm 0.06$	
Predicted ECF/ICF <sup>b</sup>	-	-	$0.72 \pm 0.03$	
Overhydration <sub>ECF/TBW</sub> <sup>a</sup>	115 (34.0%)	93 (34.2%)	22 (33.3%)	
Predicted Overhydration <sub>ECF/TBW</sub> <sup>b</sup>	-	-	31 (47.0%)	
Excess Fluid ECF/ICF <sup>a</sup>	83 (24.6%)	66 (24.3%)	17 (25.8%)	
Predicted Excess Fluid <sub>ECF/ICF</sub> <sup>b</sup>	-	-	27 (40.9%)	

(Continued on the following page)

TABLE 1 (Continued) Descriptive characteristics of the combined, training, and testing samples.

	Combined sample	Training sample	Testing sample	
Arm length (cm)	58.3 ± 3.6	58.2 ± 3.7	58.7 ± 3.2	
Outer leg length (cm)	102.9 ± 5.4	$102.7\pm5.5$	$103.5 \pm 5.3$	
Body volume (cm <sup>3</sup> )	72,689 ± 21,142	72,578 ± 21,763	72,407 ± 18,512	
Arm volume (cm <sup>3</sup> )	7,848 ± 2,513	7,836 ± 2,542	7,900 ± 2,410	
Leg volume (cm <sup>3</sup> )	18,880 ± 4,748	18,841 ± 4,905	19,040 ± 4,063	
Torso volume (cm <sup>3</sup> )	45,882 ± 14,563	46,000 ± 15,017	45,395 ± 12,613	
Bust volume (cm <sup>3</sup> )	773 ± 476	768 ± 488	796 ± 426	
TLV (cm <sup>3</sup> )	$2.41\pm0.31$	$2.43\pm0.31$	$2.37\pm0.28$	
ABSI	0.79 ± 0.06	0.79 ± 0.06	$0.79 \pm 0.05$	
ATI	2.88 ± 0.15	$2.87 \pm 0.15$	2.91 ± 0.14	

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, N, or N (percent of the column total). Appendicular and bust volumes were calculated as the sum of the right and left sides. For ATI, all variables were collected using smartphone-derived measurements. <sup>a</sup>estimates produced using bioelectrical impedance spectroscopy.

<sup>b</sup>estimates produced using the new smartphone prediction model in the testing sample. F: female; M: male; W: white; B: black; H: hispanic; NH: non-Hispanic; BMI: body mass index; TBW: total body water; ECF: extracellular fluid; ICF: intracellular fluid; TLV: trunk-to-leg volume; ABSI: a body shape index; ATI: appendage-to-trunk circumference index.

index (ABSI) (Krakauer and Krakauer, 2012) and an appendage-totrunk circumference index (ATI) (Harty et al., 2020) were included and calculated using the following equations:

$$ABSI = \frac{\text{waist circumference}}{BMI^{2/3} \times height^{1/2}}$$
$$ATI = \frac{\text{Lupper arm} + \text{Rupper arm} + \text{Lthigh} + \text{Rthigh} + \text{Lcalf} + \text{Rcalf}}{\text{stomach circumference}}$$

where all estimates represent smartphone-derived circumference (cm) estimates other than BMI  $(kg/m^2)$  and height. Trunk-toleg volume (TLV), which has also shown to be indicative of chronic disease (Bennett et al., 2024), was also included and calculated as the smartphone-derived trunk volume divided by the summed leg volume.

To formulate and cross-validate the new TBW and ECF prediction equations, a training dataset comprising 80% of the sample (n = 272) and a testing dataset consisting of the remaining 20% (n = 66) were generated using random sampling techniques (The R Project, 2024). The descriptive characteristics of the training and testing samples, as well as the combined sample (n = 338) are presented in Table 1. Using the 10-fold cross-validation and one SE rule (McCarthy et al., 2023) to identify the optimal  $\lambda$ 

value, LASSO regression was applied to fit models in the training dataset. This method works by identifying the predictor variables that reduce prediction error while simultaneously shrinking the coefficients of unnecessary variables towards zero, effectively omitting the variables from the model (McCarthy et al., 2023; Friedman et al., 2010; Tibshirani, 1996). This approach aims to produce the most parsimonious model, in addition to minimizing both multicollinearity and model overfitting. After the TBW and ECF prediction models were formulated using the training sample, the models were applied to the testing sample to predict each variable. Notably, smartphone-predicted ICF was calculated as the difference between the smartphone-predicted TBW and ECF estimates in the testing sample. Similar to recent investigations (Haynes et al., 2024), ICF was calculated (as opposed to predicted) to ensure that the summation of smartphone-derived ECF and ICF were equivalent with smartphone-predicted TBW.

## 2.6 Statistical analyses

The smartphone-predicted body fluid estimates were evaluated against those produced by the criterion BIS in the testing sample using various agreement analyses, including null hypothesis significance tests, equivalence tests, coefficients of determination  $(R^2)$ , root mean squared error (RMSE), standard error of the estimate (SEE), concordance correlation coefficients (CCC), and Bland-Altman and Deming regression analyses. For equivalence testing, equivalence regions were defined as ±1.0 L for TBW using two-sided TOST tests (Dixon et al., 2018). Given that ECF and ICF constitute approximately 40% and 60% of TBW, respectively, ECF equivalence regions were defined as ±0.40 L, and ICF equivalence regions were defined as ±0.60 L (Bhave and Neilson, 2011). The 95% limits of agreement (LOA) were determined using Bland-Altman analyses, and linear regression techniques were used to evaluate proportional bias. Agreement between the smartphonepredicted body fluid estimates and the line-of-identity from Deming regression was confirmed if the 95% confidence intervals for the intercept and slope contained the values 0 and 1, respectively. Mean difference (MD) between methods was calculated as the smartphone-predicted body fluid estimates minus the estimates produced by BIS (reference method).

Considering the significant clinical implications of assessing fluid overload and imbalance in the context of cardiovascular, renal, and hepatic abnormalities (Hara et al., 2009; Nongnuch et al., 2015; Accardi et al., 2021; Horino et al., 2023; Kim et al., 2017; Park et al., 2018), we also evaluated the ability of the retained smartphone variables to accurately predict fluid overload and imbalance classifications in the testing sample. Using the estimates produced by BIS, fluid overload was classified as having an ECF/TBW ≥0.43, and fluid imbalance was classified as having an ECF/ICF  $\geq$ 0.76. Notably, these cutoffs correspond to the 75th percentile values for these measurements in our training sample, and align with previously reported values (Chamney et al., 2007). A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to identify the optimal cutoff values for both ECF/TBW (cutoff:  $\geq 0.30$ ) and ECF/ICF (cutoff:  $\geq 0.25$ ), defined as the point at which the sum of the sensitivity and specificity was maximized (Park et al., 2018). Then, the ability of the retained smartphone variables to accurately

predict fluid overload and imbalance classifications was evaluated using binomial logistic regression. Although the final TBW and ECF prediction models retained different variables (see Section 3), all predictor variables from both models were included in each logistic regression analysis, as both ECF/TBW and ECF/ICF are predicted using the outputs of each smartphone prediction model. Specifically, calculating the smartphone-derived ECF/TBW ratio requires the use of both models. Similarly, determining the ECF/ICF also necessitates both models, as ICF is derived from the difference between smartphone-predicted TBW and ECF. The positive and negative proportions of the smartphone-predicted fluid overload and imbalance were compared to the proportions determined by BIS using the ROC area under the curve (AUC), chi-square tests with corrections for continuity, R<sup>2</sup><sub>McFadden</sub>, and sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and positive (LR+) and negative (LR-) likelihood ratios. Acceptable accuracy of the smartphone-predicted classifications were defined as having an AUC ≥0.70 (Mandrekar, 2010) or having a summed sensitivity and specificity of  $\geq 1.50$  (Power et al., 2013).

## **3** Results

The coefficients of the variables retained in both the TBW and ECF models are presented in Supplementary Table S1. For TBW, the retained variables included sex, race (Asian), height, weight, arm volume, ABSI, and TLV, which produced following prediction model:

Total body water (L) = 1.9547 + 2.3819 (female = 0; male = 1)- 1.0516 (0 = non-Asian; 1 = Asian)+ 0.1714 (height) + 0.2243 (weight)+ 0.0004 (arm volume) - 18.9566 (ABSI)+ 0.5808 (trunk-to-leg volume)

For ECF, retention of the variables age, sex, height, weight, arm volume, and ABSI resulted in the following model:

Extracellular fluid(L) = -5.9009 + 0.0007(age) + 1.9928(female = 0; male = 1)

+ 0.0832(height) + 0.1057(weight)

 $+ \ 0.00005 (arm volume) - 1.7374 (ABSI)$ 

All variance inflation factors and tolerance statistics were  $\leq$  5.77 and  $\geq$  0.173, respectively, when evaluated in the training sample.

Figures 1A-F shows linear regression and equivalence tests demonstrating the agreement between the TBW, ECF, and ICF estimates produced by the smartphone prediction model and those measured using BIS, while Figures 2A-F presents agreement between each method using Bland-Altman the and Deming regression analyses. All body fluid estimates produced using the smartphone application (TBW<sub>MD</sub>: 0.048; ECF<sub>MD</sub>: 0.053; ICF<sub>MD</sub>: 0.006) demonstrated equivalence with BIS (Figures 1B,D,F; all TOST 90%CI:  $p \le 0.020$ ) and null hypothesis significance tests revealed no significant differences between methods (Figures 2A,C,E; all  $p \ge 0.701$ ).  $R^2$  (0.87-0.94), CCC (0.89-0.97), RMSE (1.10-3.24 L), and SEE (0.27-0.97 L) values revealed excellent agreement between methods (Figures 1A,C,E), though proportional biases (Figures 2A,C,E; all p < 0.001), moderate-to-large 95% LOA (Figures 2A,C,E; TBW: ±6.41 L; ECF:



(A-F). Simple regression (A,C,E), and equivalence plots (B,D,F) demonstrating the agreement between smartphone-predicted and BIS TBW, ECF, and ICF in the testing sample (n = 66). For the simple regression plots, the solid blue line and its corresponding shaded area represents the regression line and its 95%CI, respectively. For the equivalence plots, the average MDs and TOST 90%CIs are presented, where the colored regions represent the TOST CIs displayed in the CI legend, the black circles and intersecting horizontal lines represent the MD and the TOST 90%CIs, respectively, and the vertical dashed lines indicate the equivalence regions. BIS: bioelectrical impedance spectroscopy; CCC: concordance correlation coefficient; CI: confidence interval; ECF: extracellular fluid; ICF: intracellular fluid; MD: mean difference calculated as the smartphone-predicted fluid estimate minus the actual estimate produced by BIS;  $R^2$ : coefficient of determination; RMSE: root mean square error; SEE: standard error of the estimate; TBW: total body water; TOST: values from the TOSTER package in R.

# $\pm 2.18$ L; ICF: $\pm 4.52$ L) and differences from the line-of-identity were observed (Figures 2B,D,F).

Figures 3A,B illustrates the accuracy of detecting fluid overload and imbalance using the retained smartphone predictor variables. The smartphone prediction models revealed a prevalence of 47.0% (n = 31) for overhydration and 40.9% (n = 27) for fluid excess, overestimating the 33.3% (n = 22) and 25.8% (n = 17) prevalence determined by BIS, respectively. While chi-square tests were significant for both fluid overload ( $\chi^2$ : 14.1, p < 0.001) and imbalance ( $\chi^2$ : 6.8, p = 0.009), both model AUCs (overload [95%CI]: 0.81 [0.70, 0.92]; imbalance [95%CI]: 0.76 [0.64, 0.88]) exceeded the 0.70 threshold, indicating acceptable model performance. Although the diagnostic accuracies of smartphone-predicted fluid overload (Figure 3A; 74%) and imbalance (Figure 3B; 70%) were similar, only smartphone-predicted fluid overload met the threshold for summed sensitivity and specificity of 1.50 (Figures 3A,B; overload [95%CI]: 1.53 [1.39, 1.67]; imbalance [95%CI]: 1.40 [1.24, 1.56]).

# 4 Discussion

BIA is becoming increasingly popular in clinical settings. This is particularly true for raw bioelectrical impedance parameters, which are used to monitor shifts in cellular health in many chronic disease states (Mattiello et al., 2020). However, recent findings indicate that raw impedance information may having conflicting associations with chronic disease risk factors (Graybeal et al., 2024c; Oliveira et al., 2022; Bučan Nenadić et al., 2022), resulting in a lack of clinical confidence in these measurements. Given the inconsistent findings for the expanded use of BIA, traditional body fluid and distribution estimates remain crucial for tracking the dynamic fluid shifts that mirror many chronic diseases. However, there are inherent barriers to the widespread use of BIA (discussed in Section 1.). To address the concerns with existing methods, our study aimed to determine whether the automated anthropometrics produced by a smartphone scanning application could be adapted to provide accurate predictions of body water and fluid distribution, as well as distinguish more clinically relevant measures such as fluid overload and imbalance. This is the first study, to our knowledge, to demonstrate that all body fluid estimates predicted by a newly-developed smartphone prediction model showed excellent agreement with those produced by BIS and revealed acceptable accuracy in classifying fluid overload and imbalance. This advancement further expands the utility of this technique, addressing the critical need for mobile and remote health assessment options in modern healthcare systems.

Body volumes obtained from smartphone scanning applications have previously shown to be a reliable body volume replacement method for underwater weighing and DXA, and have demonstrated acceptable accuracy when applied to multicompartment models (Fedewa et al., 2021; Sullivan et al., 2022). Given that BIS produces volumetric fluid estimates, and considering the overlap between body volume (shown to be accurately assessed using smartphone anthropometry) and body water, it is unsurprising that this smartphone scanning application exhibited excellent accuracy in predicting body fluid. Since ECF and ICF are encapsulated in total body water (TBW) estimates, often within a narrow range, it is also unsurprising that the fluid compartment estimates derived from smartphone-based body volumes showed excellent agreement. With the addition of our newly-developed TBW prediction model, smartphone scanning applications can now accurately quantify multicompartment body composition estimates



#### FIGURE 2

(A-F). Bland-Altman (A,C,E) and Deming regression (B,D,F) plots demonstrating the agreement between smartphone-predicted and BIS TBW, ECF, and ICF in the testing sample (n = 66). For the Bland-Altman plots, the upper and lower dashed lines represent the 95% LOA, the middle-dashed line represents the MD (bias) between the smartphone-predicted fluid estimate and the measurement produced by BIS, and the solid blue line represents the regression line. The shaded areas within each Bland-Altman plot represent the 95%CI for each intersecting dashed line. For the Demming regression plots, the solid black line represents the ine of identity and the red dashed line represents the regression line. B: proportional bias coefficient; BIS: bioelectrical impedance spectroscopy; CI: confidence interval; ECF: extracellular fluid; ICF: intracellular fluid; LOA: 95% limits of agreement; MD: mean difference calculated as the smartphone-predicted fluid estimate minus the actual estimate produced by BIS; TBW: total body water. \*statistically significant at p < 0.050.

(Graybeal et al., 2022a; Harty et al., 2020), as well as each major body composition compartment independently (TBW, BMC, FM, body volume). Given the continual advancement of mobile anthropometry, the increasing preference for mobile health tools, and the joint and standalone relevance of these measurements in both research and clinical practice, mobile applications may soon be integrated into routine and remote health screening procedures.

Though smartphone-derived body volumes were our primary variables of interest, other variables were retained that are worthy of further discussion. Notably, our final models included indices of ABSI, which estimates WC relative to body stature, and TLV, which represents the volume of the torso relative to the summed volume of the legs. Interestingly, ABSI (Dhana et al., 2016) and TLV (Wilson et al., 2013) have shown to be positively and negatively associated with fat mass (FM) and FFM, respectively-associations often used to indicate sarcopenic obesity. Given that the largest proportion of FFM consists of ICF, which overlaps with skeletal muscle mass (Serra-Prat et al., 2019), it is unsurprising that greater ABSI resulted in lower TBW concentrations in our study. Supporting these findings, ABSI has previously been retained in smartphone BMC prediction models, where higher ABSI resulted in lower BMC estimates (Graybeal et al., 2024b). The skeletal muscle and bone reflect a singular system (i.e., musculoskeletal) (Battafarano et al., 2020), where forces exerted by the muscle are required to effectively remodel the bone (Graybeal et al., 2024b). Therefore, if higher ABSI indicates lower FFM, its consistent inclusion in models predicting variables highly related to skeletal muscle is expected. Our findings for TLV contradicted these results, as greater TLV resulted in greater TBW. When combined with arm volume, TLV accounts for the volumes of each major body segment, potentially providing a more mathematically parsimonious model for predicting wholebody estimates such as TBW. However, unlike ABSI, TLV was not retained in the ECF model. This may be because TLV does not adequately represent ECF, often used to indicate fluid retention and disease risk, in a younger, healthier sample like our own, which is at a lower risk of developing discernible lower-leg edema. Importantly, ABSI and TLV serve as indirect indicators of body composition and require careful consideration when used for clinical inference.

Predicting raw body fluid estimates from a smartphone scanning application has broad applicability and improves clinical flexibility, but these continuous estimates may provide little value as a prognosticator of disease risk when clinical decision-making most often relies on discrete diagnostic cutoffs. Therefore, our finding that smartphone anthropometrics could accurately identify fluid overload and imbalance classifications may have several clinical implications. For instance, BIA is not typically implemented during routine care outside of specialized healthcare settings or fitness facilities and requires frequent assessments (sometimes within a single day) that present additional constraints for both patients and staff. Due to the limited alternative assessment methods, earlystage fluid imbalances often go undiagnosed, leading to disease progression that eventually necessitates more rigorous testing.



FIGURE 3

(A, B). ROC curves and corresponding AUCs demonstrating the ability of the predictor variables retained during LASSO regression to predict fluid overload (A) and imbalance (B) in the testing sample (n = 66). Fluid overload was classified as having an ECF/TBW >0.43, and fluid imbalance was classified as having an ECF/ICF ≥0.76, which correspond to the 75th percentile values for these measurements in our training sample. Using the measurements produced by BIS, an ROC curve identified the optimal cutoff values, defined as the point at which the sum of the sensitivity and specificity was maximized, for both ECF/TBW (cutoff: ≥0.30) and ECF/ICF (cutoff: ≥0.25). Positive and negative cases are presented for both smartphone-predicted and BIS fluid overload and imbalance, as well as the AUC (acceptable performance =  $\geq$ 0.70) sensitivity, specificity, joint sensitivity and specificity (acceptable performance = ≥1.50), and accuracy of the smartphone-predicted fluid estimates. 95% CI: 95% confidence intervals; AUC: area under the curve; BIS: bioelectrical impedance spectroscopy; ECF: extracellular fluid; ICF: intracellular fluid; LASSO: least absolute shrinkage and selection operator; ROC: receiver-operating characteristic; TBW: total body water.

Given the simplicity of our newly-developed smartphone scanning model, this technique could be easily implemented as an early screening tool at point-of-care, with the potential to better inform preventative measures before disease progression or the need for more comprehensive cardiorenal and hepatic health assessments. Moreover, the remote capability of this technique increases the ease of continual monitoring, eliminating the need for frequent or prolonged office visits that are often burdensome for both patients and practitioners. However, while the performance of our models was adequate, the minor discrepancies between methods warrants consideration when employed as a screening tool or when used in clinical decision-making. At present, further follow-up testing is still recommended.

There are a few notable limitations of this study that warrant discussion. Swim caps, which are often recommended during wholebody volume assessments, were not worn during the smartphone scanning assessments. However, this likely represents the assessment attire that would be used in practice and does not impact the segmental volume estimates that were retained in our final prediction models. Our study sample was primarily young and apparently healthy, limiting our ability to generalize findings to more

clinical populations at the greatest risk of cardiovascular, renal, or hepatic diseases. However, the aim of our study was to provide proofof-concept for the ability of this smartphone scanning application to evaluate body fluids and imbalances. Including individuals with known diseases experiencing more dynamic day-to-day fluid shifts or undergoing treatments (including the use of prescription medication to manipulate body fluids) may have inhibited our ability to provide such evidence. It should be noted that, at present, smartphone-based assessments cannot be extrapolated to older or more clinical populations without further validation and should be used as a screening tool that informs further testing. Those at the greatest risk of cardiovascular, kidney, or renal disease should undergo more thorough testing provided by a healthcare professional until further evaluation of this technique confirms its diagnostic ability (Graybeal et al., 2024b). We did not perform repeated scans for BIS nor the smartphone scanning application, so we are unable to demonstrate the repeatability of either technique. However, BIS (Brandner et al., 2022; Siedler et al., 2023; Looney et al., 2024) and the smartphone application (Graybeal et al., 2022b; Graybeal et al., 2023c; Smith et al., 2022) have well-established precision and reliability. Proportional biases and LOA were large, potentially limiting clinical applicability; however, large limits of agreement and proportional biases are common due to systemic differences between methodologies. So, while these biases do not completely invalidate this technique, users should interpret results with caution. Although stringent model development techniques that limit multicollinearity were performed, it is possible that this model performs differently in alternate samples due to its specificity to the developmental population. Therefore, while we cannot guarantee its performance in external groups that significantly differ from our training sample, we showed excellent performance of the model during cross-validation (and low multicollinearity), which should improve confidence in its application. Finally, anthropometrics collected by various smartphone applications may

differ from those used in our study and should not be used

interchangeably within our newly-developed prediction model. In conclusion, this study demonstrated that that all body fluid estimates produced by our smartphone prediction model showed excellent agreement with those predicted by BIS and revealed acceptable accuracy when classifying cutoffs for fluid overload and imbalance. Given the expansion of this technique, smartphone body scanning applications demonstrate promise as a clinically meaningful body fluid assessment tool that addresses the growing need for mobile and remote health assessments. As digital anthropometry continues to advance, there may soon be a time when this automated method replaces the need for highly-trained technicians/specialists and larger, more sophisticated equipment for anthropometric evaluation in routine healthcare. Although our models demonstrated excellent agreement, further research in external samples is required before broad implementation. Because the findings of our study are preliminary, they should not be used to guide clinical decisions, and those choosing to employ these models should do so with caution until future research can confirm our findings.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by University of Southern Mississippi Institutional Review Board (IRB#22-1012/23-0446). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# Author contributions

AG: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. AC: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review and editing. SS: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review and editing. CB: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review and editing. MJ: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review and editing. MK: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review and editing. HH: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review and editing. JS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Resources, Writing – review and editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under grant number NOT-GM-23-034 (FAIN#U54GM115428). The funding agency had no role in the study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of the data, writing the manuscript, or the decision to submit the paper for publication.

# Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Ryan Aultman, Ta'Quoris Newsome, and Anabelle Vallecillo-Bustos for their assistance with data collection and Megan Renna and Tanner Thorsen for their role in resources obtainment.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2025. 1577049/full#supplementary-material

## References

Accardi, A. J., Matsubara, B. S., Gaw, R. L., Daleiden-Burns, A., and Heywood, J. T. (2021). Clinical utility of fluid volume assessment in heart failure patients using bioimpedance spectroscopy. *Front. Cardiovasc Med.* 8, 636718. doi:10.3389/fcvm.2021.636718

Battafarano, G., Rossi, M., Marampon, F., Minisola, S., and Fattore, A. D. (2020). Bone control of muscle function. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21, 1178. doi:10.3390/ijms21041178

Bennett, J. P., Wong, M. C., Liu, Y. E., Quon, B. K., Kelly, N. N., Garber, A. K., et al. (2024). Trunk-to-leg volume and appendicular lean mass from a commercial 3-dimensional optical body scanner for disease risk identification. *Clin. Nutr.* 43, 2430–2437. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2024.09.028

Bhave, G., and Neilson, E. G. (2011). Body fluid dynamics: back to the future. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 22, 2166–2181. doi:10.1681/ASN.2011080865

Brandner, C. F., Tinsley, G. M., and Graybeal, A. J. (2022). Smartwatch-based bioimpedance analysis for body composition estimation: precision and agreement with a 4-compartment model. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* 48, 172–182. doi:10.1139/apnm-2022-0301

Braun-Trocchio, R., Ray, A., Graham, R., Brandner, C., Warfield, E., Renteria, J., et al. (2023). Validation of a novel perceptual body image assessment method using mobile digital imaging analysis: a cross-sectional multi-center evaluation in a multiethnic sample. *Behav. Ther.* 55, 558–569. doi:10.1016/j.beth.2023.08.009

Bučan Nenadić, D., Radić, J., Kolak, E., Vučković, M., Novak, I., Selak, M., et al. (2022). Phase angle association with dietary habits and metabolic syndrome in diabetic hypertensive patients: a cross-sectional study. *Nutrients* 14, 5058. doi:10.3390/nu14235058

Cataldi, D., Bennett, J. P., Quon, B. K., Liu, Y. E., Heymsfield, S. B., Kelly, T., et al. (2022). Agreement and precision of deuterium dilution for total body water and multicompartment body composition assessment in collegiate athletes. *J. Nutr.* 152, 2048–2059. doi:10.1093/jn/nxac116

Chamney, P. W., Wabel, P., Moissl, U. M., Müller, M. J., Bosy-Westphal, A., Korth, O., et al. (2007). A whole-body model to distinguish excess fluid from the hydration of major body tissues<sup>2</sup>. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 85, 80–89. doi:10.1093/ajcn/85.1.80

Chan, C., Smith, D., Spanel, P., McIntyre, C. W., and Davies, S. J. (2008). A Non-invasive, On-line deuterium dilution technique for the measurement of total body water in haemodialysis patients. *Nephrol. Dial. Transpl.* 23, 2064–2070. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfn045

Chang, T., Ravi, N., Plegue, M. A., Sonneville, K. R., and Davis, M. M. (2016). Inadequate hydration, BMI, and obesity among US adults: NHANES 2009–2012. *Ann. Fam. Med.* 14, 320–324. doi:10.1370/afm.1951

Cianci, R., Citro, F., Migneco, A., Baldoni, F., Minisci, M. C., Di Daniele, N., et al. (2006). Body fluid compartments in hypertension. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 10, 75–78.

Dhana, K., Koolhaas, C. M., Schoufour, J. D., Rivadeneira, F., Hofman, A., Kavousi, M., et al. (2016). Association of anthropometric measures with fat and fat-free mass in the elderly: the Rotterdam study. *Maturitas* 88, 96–100. doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2016.03.018

Dixon, P. M., Saint-Maurice, P. F., Kim, Y., Hibbing, P., Bai, Y., and Welk, G. J. (2018). A primer on the use of equivalence testing for evaluating measurement agreement. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc* 50, 837–845. doi:10.1249/MSS.00000000001481

Earthman, C., Traughber, D., Dobratz, J., and Howell, W. (2007). Bioimpedance spectroscopy for clinical assessment of fluid distribution and body cell mass. *Nutr. Clin. Pract.* 22, 389–405. doi:10.1177/0115426507022004389

Esco, M. R., Fedewa, M. V., Freeborn, T. J., Moon, J. R., Wingo, J. E., Cicone, Z., et al. (2019). Agreement between supine and standing bioimpedance spectroscopy devices and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry for body composition determination. *Clin. Physiol. Funct. Imaging* 39, 355–361. doi:10.1111/cpf.12585

Fedewa, M. V., Sullivan, K., Hornikel, B., Holmes, C. J., Metoyer, C. J., and Esco, M. R. (2021). Accuracy of a mobile 2D imaging system for body volume and subsequent composition estimates in a three-compartment model. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc* 53, 1003–1009. doi:10.1249/MSS.00000000002550

Ferri-Morales, A., Ando-Lafuente, S., Lirio-Romero, C., Marzetti, E., and Bravo-Esteban, E. (2024). Influence of bladder filling on parameters of body composition by bioimpedance electrical analysis: observational study. *Sensors (Basel)* 24, 7343. doi:10.3390/s24227343

Friedman, J., Hastie, T., and Tibshirani, R. (2010). Regularization paths for generalized linear models via coordinate descent. J. Stat. Softw. 33, 1–22. doi:10.18637/jss.v033.i01

Graybeal, A. J., Brandner, C. F., Aultman, R., Ojo, D. E., and Braun-Trocchio, R. (2023a). Differences in perceptual and attitudinal body image between White and African-American adults matched for sex, age, and body composition. *J. Racial Ethn. Health Disparities* 11, 3466–3477. doi:10.1007/s40615-023-01799-9

Graybeal, A. J., Brandner, C. F., Compton, A. T., Swafford, S. H., Aultman, R. S., Vallecillo-Bustos, A., et al. (2024a). Differences in metabolic syndrome severity and prevalence across nine waist circumference measurements collected

from smartphone digital anthropometrics. Clin. Nutr. ESPEN 64, 390-399. doi:10.1016/j.clnesp.2024.10.158

Graybeal, A. J., Brandner, C. F., Compton, A. T., Swafford, S. H., Henderson, A., Aultman, R., et al. (2024d). Smartphone derived anthropometrics: agreement between a commercially available smartphone application and its parent application intended for use at point-of-care. *Clin. Nutr. ESPEN* 59, 107–112. doi:10.1016/j.clnesp.2023.11.021

Graybeal, A. J., Brandner, C. F., and Stavres, J. (2024c). Conflicting associations among bioelectrical impedance and cardiometabolic health parameters in young White and Black adults. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc* 56, 418–426. doi:10.1249/mss.000000000003321

Graybeal, A. J., Brandner, C. F., and Tinsley, G. M. (2022a). Visual body composition assessment methods: a 4-compartment model comparison of smartphone-based artificial intelligence for body composition estimation in healthy adults. *Clin. Nutr.* 41, 2464–2472. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2022.09.014

Graybeal, A. J., Brandner, C. F., and Tinsley, G. M. (2022b). Validity and reliability of a mobile digital imaging analysis trained by a four-compartment model. *J. Hum. Nutr. Diet.* 36, 905–911. doi:10.1111/jhn.13113

Graybeal, A. J., Brandner, C. F., and Tinsley, G. M. (2023c). Evaluation of automated anthropometrics produced by smartphone-based machine learning: a comparison with traditional anthropometric assessments. *Br. J. Nutr.* 130, 1077–1087. doi:10.1017/S0007114523000090

Graybeal, A. J., Brandner, C. F., Tinsley, G. M., Haynes, H., and Stavres, J. (2022c). Associations between visceral adipose tissue estimates produced by near-infrared spectroscopy, mobile anthropometrics, and traditional body composition assessments and estimates derived from dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry. *Br. J. Nutr.* 130, 525–535. doi:10.1017/S0007114522003488

Graybeal, A. J., Moore, M. L., Cruz, M. R., and Tinsley, G. M. (2020). Body composition assessment in male and female bodybuilders: a 4-compartment model comparison of dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry and impedance-based devices. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 34, 1676–1689. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000002831

Graybeal, A. J., Swafford, S. H., Compton, A. T., Renna, M. E., Thorsen, T., and Stavres, J. (2024b). Predicting bone mineral content from smartphone digital anthropometrics: evaluation of an existing application and the development of new prediction models. *J. Clin. Densitom.* 28, 101537. doi:10.1016/j.jocd.2024.101537

Graybeal, A. J., Tinsley, G. M., Brandner, C. F., and Aultman, R. (2023b). Raw bioelectrical impedance measurements are not different between White and Black adults when matched for sex, age, BMI, and other physical characteristics. *Nutr. Res.* 112, 1–10. doi:10.1016/j.nutres.2023.02.003

Hara, N., Iwasa, M., Iwata, K., Miyachi, H., Tanaka, H., Takeo, M., et al. (2009). Value of the extracellular water ratio for assessment of cirrhotic patients with and without ascites. *Hepatol. Res.* 39, 1072–1079. doi:10.1111/j.1872-034X.2009. 00546.x

Harty, P. S., Sieglinger, B., Heymsfield, S. B., Shepherd, J. A., Bruner, D., Stratton, M. T., et al. (2020). Novel body fat estimation using machine learning and 3dimensional optical imaging. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 74, 842–845. doi:10.1038/s41430-020-0603-x

Haynes, H., Tinsley, G. M., Swafford, S. H., Compton, A. T., Moore, J., Donahue, P. T., et al. (2024). Mobile anthropometry in division i baseball athletes: evaluation of an existing application and the development of new equations. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 39, 447–456. doi:10.1519/JSC.000000000005039

Horino, T., Tokunaga, R., Miyamoto, Y., Akiyama, T., Daitoku, N., Sakamoto, Y., et al. (2023). Extracellular water to total body water ratio, a novel predictor of recurrence in patients with colorectal cancer. *Ann Gastroenterol Surg* 8, 98–106. doi:10.1002/ags3.12728

Hür, E., Özişik, M., Ural, C., Yildiz, G., Mağden, K., Budak Köse, S., et al. (2014). Hypervolemia for hypertension pathophysiology: a population-based study. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2014, 895401. doi:10.1155/2014/895401

Kim, E.-J., Choi, M.-J., Lee, J.-H., Oh, J.-E., Seo, J.-W., Lee, Y.-K., et al. (2017). Extracellular fluid/intracellular fluid volume ratio as a novel risk indicator for all-cause mortality and cardiovascular disease in hemodialysis patients. *PLoS One* 12, e0170272. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170272

Krakauer, N. Y., and Krakauer, J. C. (2012). A new body shape index predicts mortality hazard independently of body mass index. *PLoS One* 7, e39504. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039504

Looney, D. P., Schafer, E. A., Chapman, C. L., Pryor, R. R., Potter, A. W., Roberts, B. M., et al. (2024). Reliability, biological variability, and accuracy of multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis for measuring body composition components. *Front. Nutr.* 11, 1491931. doi:10.3389/fnut.2024.1491931

Mandrekar, J. N. (2010). Receiver Operating characteristic curve in diagnostic test assessment. J. Thorac. Oncol. 5, 1315–1316. doi:10.1097/JTO.0b013e3181ec173d

Martinoli, R., Mohamed, E. I., Maiolo, C., Cianci, R., Denoth, F., Salvadori, S., et al. (2003). Total body water estimation using bioelectrical impedance: a meta-analysis of the data available in the literature. *Acta Diabetol.* 40, s203–s206. doi:10.1007/s00592-003-0066-2

Mattiello, R., Amaral, M. A., Mundstock, E., and Ziegelmann, P. K. (2020). Reference values for the phase angle of the electrical bioimpedance: systematic review and meta-analysis involving more than 250,000 subjects. *Clin. Nutr.* 39, 1411–1417. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2019.07.004

McCarthy, C., Tinsley, G. M., Yang, S., Irving, B. A., Wong, M. C., Bennett, J. P., et al. (2023). Smartphone prediction of skeletal muscle mass: model development and validation in adults. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 117, 794–801. doi:10.1016/j.ajcnut.2023.02.003

Medina Inojosa, B. J., Somers, V. K., Lara-Breitinger, K., Johnson, L. A., Medina-Inojosa, J. R., and Lopez-Jimenez, F. (2024). Prediction of presence and severity of metabolic syndrome using regional body volumes measured by a multisensor whitelight 3D scanner and validation using a mobile technology. *Eur. Heart J. - Digit. Health* 5, 582–590. doi:10.1093/ehjdh/ztae059

Moon, J. R., Smith, A. E., Tobkin, S. E., Lockwood, C. M., Kendall, K. L., Graef, J. L., et al. (2009). Total body water changes after an exercise intervention tracked using bioimpedance spectroscopy: a deuterium oxide comparison. *Clin. Nutr.* 28, 516–525. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2009.04.025

Moon, J. R., Tobkin, S. E., Roberts, M. D., Dalbo, V. J., Kerksick, C. M., Bemben, M. G., et al. (2008). Total body water estimations in healthy men and women using bioimpedance spectroscopy: a deuterium oxide comparison. *Nutr. Metab.* 5, 7. doi:10.1186/1743-7075-5-7

Nickerson, B. S., Narvaez, S. V., Juarez, M. I., and Czerwinski, S. A. (2022). Effect of total body water estimates via bioimpedance on bod pod-based three-compartment body fat models. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 76, 581–587. doi:10.1038/s41430-021-00982-7

Nishimura, M., Kato, T., and Onodera, S. (2020). Evaluation methods for the measurement of lower leg edema in healthy young adults. *Yonago Acta Med.* 63, 294–300. doi:10.33160/yam.2020.11.007

Nongnuch, A., Campbell, N., Stern, E., El-Kateb, S., Fuentes, L., and Davenport, A. (2015). Increased postdialysis systolic blood pressure is associated with extracellular overhydration in hemodialysis outpatients. *Kidney Int.* 87, 452–457. doi:10.1038/ki.2014.276

Oliveira, R., Leão, C., Silva, A. F., Clemente, F. M., Santamarinha, C. T., Nobari, H., et al. (2022). Comparisons between bioelectrical impedance variables, functional tests and blood markers based on BMI in older women and their association with phase angle. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 19, 6851. doi:10.3390/ijerph19116851

Park, C. S., Lee, S.-E., Cho, H.-J., Kim, Y.-J., Kang, H.-J., Oh, B.-H., et al. (2018). Body fluid status assessment by bio-impedance analysis in patients presenting to the emergency department with dyspnea. *Korean J. Intern Med.* 33, 911–921. doi:10.3904/kjim.2016.358

Power, M., Fell, G., and Wright, M. (2013). Principles for high-quality, high-value testing. *BMJ Evidence-Based Med.* 18, 5–10. doi:10.1136/eb-2012-100645

Powers, J. S., Choi, L., Bitting, R., Gupta, N., and Buchowski, M. (2009). Rapid measurement of total body water to facilitate clinical decision making in hospitalized elderly patients. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 64, 664–669. doi:10.1093/gerona/glp018

Randhawa, A. K., Jamnik, V., Fung, M. D. T., Fogel, A. S., and Kuk, J. L. (2021). No differences in the body fat after violating core bioelectrical impedance measurement assumptions. *BMC Public Health* 21, 495. doi:10.1186/s12889-021-10552-y

Ritz, P., and Source Study (2001). Bioelectrical impedance analysis estimation of water compartments in elderly diseased patients: the source study. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 56, M344–M348. doi:10.1093/gerona/56.6.m344

Roumelioti, M.-E., Glew, R. H., Khitan, Z. J., Rondon-Berrios, H., Argyropoulos, C. P., Malhotra, D., et al. (2018). Fluid balance concepts in medicine: principles and practice. *World J. Nephrol.* 7, 1–28. doi:10.5527/wjn.v7.i1.1

Serra-Prat, M., Lorenzo, I., Palomera, E., Ramírez, S., and Yébenes, J. C. (2019). Total body water and intracellular water relationships with muscle strength, frailty and functional performance in an elderly population. *J. Nutr. Health Aging* 23, 96–101. doi:10.1007/s12603-018-1129-y

Siedler, M. R., Rodriguez, C., Stratton, M. T., Harty, P. S., Keith, D. S., Green, J. J., et al. (2023). Assessing the reliability and cross-sectional and longitudinal validity of fifteen bioelectrical impedance analysis devices. *Br. J. Nutr.* 130, 827–840. doi:10.1017/S0007114522003749

Smith, B., McCarthy, C., Dechenaud, M. E., Wong, M. C., Shepherd, J., and Heymsfield, S. B. (2022). Anthropometric evaluation of a 3D scanning mobile application. *Obesity* 30, 1181–1188. doi:10.1002/oby.23434

Sullivan, K., Hornikel, B., Holmes, C. J., Esco, M. R., and Fedewa, M. V. (2022). Validity of a 3-compartment body composition model using body volume derived from a novel 2-dimensional image analysis program. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 76, 111–118. doi:10.1038/s41430-021-00899-1

Taniguchi, M., Yamada, Y., Fukumoto, Y., Sawano, S., Minami, S., Ikezoe, T., et al. (2017). Increase in echo intensity and extracellular-to-intracellular water ratio is independently associated with muscle weakness in elderly women. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 117, 2001–2007. doi:10.1007/s00421-017-3686-x

The R Project (2024). The R Project for statistical computing. Available online at: https://www.r-project.org/.

Tibshirani, R. (1996). Regression shrinkage and selection via the lasso. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Methodol. 58, 267–288. doi:10.1111/j.2517-6161.1996.tb02080.x

Tinsley, G. M., Siedler, M. R., Rodriguez, C., Harty, P. S., Stratton, M. T., White, S. J., et al. (2022). Evaluation of novel beverage formulations for hydration enhancement in humans. *J. Electr. Bioimpedance* 14, 3–12. doi:10.2478/joeb-2023-0002

Tinsley, G. M., Park, K.-S., Saenz, C., Mehra, A., Esco, M. R., Czerwinski, S. A., et al. (2023). Deuterium oxide validation of bioimpedance total body water estimates in Hispanic adults. *Front. Nutr.* 10, 1221774. doi:10.3389/fnut.2023.1221774

Tinsley, G. M., Rodriguez, C., Siedler, M. R., Tinoco, E., White, S. J., LaValle, C., et al. (2024). Mobile phone applications for 3-dimensional scanning and digital anthropometry: a precision comparison with traditional scanners. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 78, 509–514. doi:10.1038/s41430-024-01424-w

Vallecillo-Bustos, A., Compton, A. T., Swafford, S. H., Renna, M. E., Thorsen, T., Stavres, J., et al. (2024). The effect of postural orientation on body composition and total body water estimates produced by smartwatch bioelectrical impedance analysis: an intra- and inter-device evaluation. *J. Electr. Bioimpedance* 15, 89–98. doi:10.2478/joeb-2024-0010

Wilson, J. P., Kanaya, A. M., Fan, B., and Shepherd, J. A. (2013). Ratio of trunk to leg volume as a new body shape metric for diabetes and mortality. *PLoS One* 8, e68716. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068716