

# Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobium facilitate nitrogen uptake and transfer in soybean/maize intercropping system

Lingbo Meng<sup>1,2,3†</sup>, Aiyuan Zhang<sup>1†</sup>, Fei Wang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoguang Han<sup>1</sup>, Dejiang Wang<sup>1</sup> and Shumin Li<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Resource and Environmental College, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin, China, <sup>2</sup> Heilongjiang Provincial Key University Laboratory of Cold Area Vegetable Biology, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin, China, <sup>3</sup> Department of Life Science, Harbin University, Harbin, China

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Michael A. Grusak, United States Department of Agriculture/Agricultural Research Service Children's Nutrition Research Center, USA

#### Reviewed by:

Holger Hesse, KWS SAAT AG, Germany Janette Palma Fett, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

#### \*Correspondence:

Shumin Li, Resource and Environmental College, Northeast Agricultural University, 59 Mucai Road, Harbin 150030, China lishumin113@126.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work.

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Nutrition, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 28 January 2015 Accepted: 29 April 2015 Published: 13 May 2015

#### Citation:

Meng L, Zhang A, Wang F, Han X, Wang D and Li S (2015) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobium facilitate nitrogen uptake and transfer in soybean/maize intercropping system. Front. Plant Sci. 6:339. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00339

The tripartite symbiosis between legumes, rhizobia and mycorrhizal fungi are generally considered to be beneficial for the nitrogen (N) uptake of legumes, but the facilitation of symbiosis in legume/non-legume intercropping systems is not clear. Therefore, the aims of the research are as follows: (1) to verify if the dual inoculation can facilitate the N uptake and N transfer in maize/soybean intercropping systems and (2) to calculate how much N will be transferred from soybean to maize. A pot experiment with different root separations [solid barrier, mesh (30 µm) barrier and no barrier] was conducted, and the <sup>15</sup>N isotopic tracing method was used to calculate how much N transferred from soybean to maize inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and rhizobium in a soybean (Glycine max L.cv. Dongnong No. 42)/maize (Zea mays L.cv. Dongnong No. 48) intercropping system. Compared with the Glomus mosseae inoculation (G.m.), Rhizobium SH212 inoculation (SH212), no inoculation (NI), the dual inoculation (SH212+G.m.) increased the N uptake of soybean by 28.69, 39.58, and 93.07% in a solid barrier system. N uptake of maize inoculated with both G. mosseae and rhizobium was 1.20, 1.28, and 1.68 times more than that of G.m., SH212 and NI, respectively, in solid barrier treatments. In addition, the amount of N transferred from soybean to maize in a dual inoculation system with a mesh barrier was 7.25, 7.01, and 11.45 mg more than that of G.m., SH212 and NI and similarly, 6.40, 7.58, and 12.46 mg increased in no barrier treatments. Inoculating with both AMF and rhizobium in the soybean/maize intercropping system improved the N fixation efficiency of soybean and promoted N transfer from soybean to maize, resulting in the improvement of yield advantages of legume/non-legume intercropping.

Keywords: arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, nitrogen uptake, nitrogen transfer, <sup>15</sup>N, rhizobium, soybean/maize intercropping

1

**Abbreviations:** AMF, Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi; N, nitrogen; NI, no inoculation; SH212, inoculating *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* SH212; *G.m.*, inoculating *Glomus mosseae*; SH212+*G.m.*, inoculating both *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* SH212 and *Glomus mosseae*; PGPR, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria.

# Introduction

Legume and non-legume intercropping cultivation has been widely encouraged in sustainable agriculture because it has the potential to improve the yield significantly and allow plants to use soil N more efficiently (Eaglesham et al., 1981; Li et al., 2001, 2011; Hauggaard-Nielsen et al., 2009; Gao et al., 2014), which is beneficial for reducing the amount of chemical fertilizer supplies and has positive consequences on the environment (Lekberg and Koide, 2005; Pelzer et al., 2012). N could be used efficiently in the intercropping system because the N fixed by legumes can be transferred to companion species, and this part of N is a crucial source for the non-nodulated crop's growth and development (Moyer-Henry et al., 2006). For example, Fujiu et al. (1990) have found that the amount of N transferred to sorghum (Sorghum bicolor Moench cv. Yuldjirushi) accounted for 32-58% of its N uptake in a soybean (Glycine max L. cv. Kurosengoku)/sorghum intercropping system. A substantial amount of N is transferred in different communities including N2-fixed and non-N2 fixed plants (Chu et al., 2004; Sierra and Daudin, 2010; Isaac et al., 2012; Frankow-Lindberg and Dahlin, 2013; Jamont et al., 2013; Chapagain and Riseman, 2014). In addition, inoculating rhizobium can significantly increase the yield and N uptake of wheat (Triticum aestivum L. cv. Long 17) and faba bean (Vicia faba L. cv. Linxia Dacandou) and further improve the intercropping advantages. This has been confirmed by Xiao et al. (2006), who inoculated rhizobia strain NM353 for faba bean in faba bean/wheat intercropping system. Fang et al. (2009) showed that the biomass and grain yield of faba bean (V. faba L. cv. Lincan No. 2) and maize (Zea mays L. cv. Zhongdan No. 2) and the number of faba bean nodules were increased similarly when inoculated with rhizobia strain GS374 in the faba bean/maize intercropping system. Several studies also indicated that inoculating both AMF and rhizobium can promote the growth of crops and improve the yield and nutrient uptake of crops (Lekberg and Koide, 2005; Antunes et al., 2006; Varennesa and Goss, 2007; Tajini et al., 2011; Abd-Alla et al., 2014). AMF is considered to be of great importance in plant symbiosis and promoting nutrient uptake, especially P (Li et al., 2004; Pasqualini et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2010; Tajini et al., 2011; Abd-Alla et al., 2014). The mycelium can extend to the area outside the rhizosphere, connect roots with the surrounding soil microhabitats and enlarge the area that roots have to absorb nutrients (He et al., 2003). Thus, water and nutrients can be transported by the huge hyphae network to be finally absorbed by plants (Tobar et al., 1994; Vassilev et al., 2001; Yao et al., 2001; He et al., 2003). The N transfer in intercropping systems is assumed to be enhanced if N fixation by legumes can be improved by inoculation with AMF and rhizobium, which have the potential to enhance plant productivity. However, the effects of inoculating both rhizobium and AMF in legume/non-legume intercropping systems on N transfer are currently uncertain. Therefore, the objectives of our study are as follows: (1) to verify if the dual inoculation can facilitate N uptake and N transfer in a maize/soybean intercropping system, (2) to use the <sup>15</sup>N isotopic tracing method to calculate how much N will be transferred between maize and soybean

intercropping under the inoculation of both rhizobium and AMF.

# **Materials and Methods**

## Experiment Design

A pot experiment was conducted at a greenhouse in Northeast Agricultural University in China. Three root separation patterns between soybean and maize were designed (**Figure 1**) to study N uptake facilitation in an intercropping system. They were as follows: (1) solid barrier, roots were separated by hard plastic sheet (0.5 mm) and had no root contact or material exchange; (2) mesh barrier, roots were separated by a 30- $\mu$ m nylon mesh and had no contact but water, nutrient and hyphae were allowed to exchange and permeate; (3) no barrier, which allowed for complete contact between the roots of soybean and maize. Plastic pots (3 kg capacity) were cut in the middle, separated into two compartments and then reconstructed for solid barrier and mesh barrier patterns.

### **Plant Growth Medium**

The soil used in the experiment contained 6.28 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of organic matter, 1.2 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of total N, 30.4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of available N, 5.9 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of Olsen P and 167 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of available K. The soil was sieved (2 mm) and sterilized at  $120^{\circ}$ C for 2 h to eliminate the AMF spores, and 1.4 kg of the soil was then put into each compartment of the plastic pot. Then, basal nutrients were added in solution to the pot (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil): N 100 mg (NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>), P 80 mg (KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>), K 150 mg (K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), Mg 50 mg (MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O), the microelement Fe (FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O), Mn (MnCl<sub>2</sub>), Cu (CuCl<sub>2</sub>), Zn (ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O), and Mo [(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>] 5 mg and were then thoroughly mixed, and each compartment was provided with 200 ml of water.

### Seeding and Inoculating

Seeds of soybean (*Glycine max* L. cv. Dongnong No. 42) and maize (*Z. mays* L. cv. Dongnong No. 48) were sterilized by immersion in 10% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 30 min before seeding. Then, four seeds of soybean were sown into one compartment of the pot



solid barrier, (B) is mesh (30 tm) barrier and (C) is no barrier.

on May 19th, and two seeds of maize were sown into the other compartment on May 24th for intercropping. When the seeds germinated, the soybean seedlings were thinned to two plants per compartment and the maize seedlings were thinned to one plant for further growth.

The experiment involved four microbial treatments: inoculating with Bradyrhizobium japonicum SH212 (SH212), inoculating with Glomus mosseae (G.m.), dual inoculation (both of Bradyrhizobium japonicum SH212 and G. mosseae, SH212+G.m.) and NI as a control. The total was 12 treatments (3) barriers  $\times$  4 inoculations) with four replicates for each treatment. The rhizobium used was B. japonicum SH212 obtained from the rhizobium research group of Northeast Agricultural University in China, and the AMF used was G. mosseae, originating from a mycorrhizal research group of China Agricultural University. At sowing, 30 g per compartment of AMF inoculum and 15 ml per compartment of rhizobium (density was  $8.2 \times 10^8$ /ml) were thoroughly mixed with the soil for inoculated treatments. Because the AMF inoculum consisted of the AMF spores, sand and colonized root fragments, the non-AMF inoculated treatments were amended with steam-sterilized inoculum. All of the pots were placed randomly.

## <sup>15</sup>N Labeling

When the soybean was undergoing pod growth, an isotopic labeling experiment was conducted utilizing  $(^{15}NH_4)_2SO_4$ , enriched with 99%  $^{15}N$ . Before labeling, a PVC board was inserted between soybean and maize shoots, and a plastic film with two layers of filter paper on top was set on the surface of soil to prevent pollution from isotopic N. A microinjector (25 µL) was used to inject 10 µL of 88 mM ( $^{15}NH_4$ )<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution into the petioles of soybeans every day. Each labeling was replicated four times. Soybean petioles were labeled for 9 days. The plants without labeling were used as a control to examine the natural  $^{15}N$  abundance.

#### Sampling and Analysis

Plants were harvested on July 18th. The shoots were first cut off at ground level and separated by their different inoculated treatments and root separation patterns; then, the whole soil in the pot was removed and placed on a sieve with 1-mm mesh to pick up the nodules. The roots of soybean and maize were then washed with running tap water and separated the same way as shoots. All of the fresh nodules (including the nodules removed from the soil) of the soybean roots were counted and recorded.

Samples of fresh roots were cut into segments of  $\sim 1$  cm and mixed thoroughly. One gram of fresh root was randomly collected to estimate the root-colonization of AMF. The root samples were stained with Trypan blue and faded with lactic acid and glycerin; then, 30 pieces of root segments were observed under a visible light microscope to estimate AMF colonization (Phillips and Hayman, 1970). Every root segment was defined according to the standard of the mycorrhizal infection. Next, "MYCOCALC" software was used to calculate arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization (Trouvelot et al., 1986).

The shoots and the remaining fresh roots were dried at  $70^{\circ}$ C to a constant weight after killing the enzymatic activity at  $105^{\circ}$ C for

0.5 h. The plant samples were digested with  $H_2SO_4$ - $H_2O_2$  methods for N analysis, and the total N content of plants was measured using the Kjeldahl procedure.

The <sup>15</sup>N abundance of shoots was determined using a DELTA PLUS XP isotope ratio mass spectrometer (FINNIGAN).

#### **Calculating and Statistical Analysis**

N transfer was calculated as follows:

$$N\% = N_1\% - N_c\%$$
(1)

Where N% indicates the atomic percentage of <sup>15</sup>N excess of the plant (maize or soybean),  $N_l\%$  indicates the atomic percentage of <sup>15</sup>N in the labeled plant and  $N_c\%$  indicates the atomic percentage of <sup>15</sup>N in the control plant;

$$N_{\rm t}\% = \frac{N_{\rm m} \times N_{\rm m}\%}{N_{\rm m} \times N_{\rm m}\% + N_{\rm s} \times N_{\rm s}\%} \times 100 \tag{2}$$

Where  $N_t$ % indicates the percentage of N uptake by soybean transfer to associated maize,  $N_m$  and  $N_s$  indicate the uptake of maize and soybean (mg/pot) and  $N_m$ % and  $N_s$ % indicate the atomic percentage of <sup>15</sup>N excess in maize and soybean, respectively;

$$N_{\rm t} = N_{\rm t}\% \times N_{\rm s} \tag{3}$$

Where  $N_t$  indicates the amount of N that soybean transferred to maize (mg/pot); and

$$N_{\rm o}\% = \frac{N_{\rm t}}{N_{\rm m}} \times 100 \tag{4}$$

Where  $N_o$ % indicates the percentage of transferred N that occupies the maize N uptake.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS19.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The differences of treatments were compared using the least significant difference (LSD) and the *t*-test at a significance level of  $p \le 0.05$  after analysis of variance (ANOVA).

## Results

#### Biomass

Dual inoculation treatment (SH212+G.m.) significantly increased soybean total biomass by 67.70% with a solid barrier, by 70.40% with a mesh barrier and by 72.80% with no barrier patterns compared with NI treatment (**Table 1**). Rhizobium SH212 and *G. mosseae* as single inocula also significantly facilitated soybean's growth; the biomass of the soybean shoots and roots were significantly higher than that of the NI group in all three root separation patterns (**Table 1**). However, no significant difference was observed in soybean shoots, roots, and total biomass between SH212 and *G.m.* treatments (**Table 1**). The root separation had no significant influence on soybean biomass in each inoculated and non-inoculated treatment (**Table 1**). Additionally, no

Treatments		Soybean			Maize		
		Shoot	Root	Total	Shoot	Root	Total
Solid barrier	NI	$4.36\pm0.04~\mathrm{c^aA^b}$	$1.12 \pm 0.04 \text{ cA}$	$5.48 \pm 0.07 \text{ cA}$	$8.48\pm0.03~\text{cC}$	$5.02\pm0.05~\mathrm{cC}$	$13.5 \pm 0.08 \text{ cC}$
	SH212	$5.33\pm0.02~\text{bA}$	$2.18\pm0.03~\text{bA}$	$7.50\pm0.05~\text{bA}$	$9.50\pm0.02~\text{bB}$	$6.03\pm0.05~\mathrm{bB}$	$15.53 \pm 0.04 \text{ bB}$
	G.m.	$5.36\pm0.04~\text{bA}$	$2.22\pm0.07~\mathrm{bA}$	$7.58 \pm 0.11 \text{ bA}$	$9.51\pm0.03~\mathrm{bB}$	$6.05\pm0.03~\mathrm{bB}$	$15.56 \pm 0.07 \; \text{BC}$
	SH212+ G.m.	6.67 ± 0.13 aA	$2.53 \pm 0.01 \text{ aA}$	$9.19 \pm 0.04 \text{ aA}$	$10.79 \pm 0.04 \text{ aB}$	$7.31 \pm 0.05 \text{ aB}$	18.10 ± 0.08 aB
Mesh barrier	NI	$4.30\pm0.06~\text{cA}$	$1.14\pm0.08~\mathrm{cA}$	5.44 ± 0.13 cA	$8.60\pm0.02~\mathrm{cB}$	$5.15\pm0.03~\mathrm{cB}$	$13.75 \pm 0.03 \text{ cB}$
	SH212	$5.37\pm0.02~\text{bA}$	$2.24\pm0.02~\text{bA}$	$7.61 \pm 0.03 \text{ bA}$	$9.64\pm0.02~\text{bAB}$	$6.24\pm0.03~\text{bA}$	$15.88 \pm 0.06$ bA
	G.m.	$5.44\pm0.03~\text{bA}$	$2.25 \pm 0.01 \text{ bA}$	$7.69\pm0.08~\text{bA}$	$9.65\pm0.03~\mathrm{bB}$	$6.22\pm0.02~\text{bA}$	$15.87 \pm 0.05 \text{ bB}$
	SH212+ G.m.	$6.72\pm0.03~\text{aA}$	$2.55 \pm 0.04 \text{ aA}$	$9.27\pm0.07~\mathrm{aA}$	$10.95 \pm 0.03 \text{ aA}$	$7.53 \pm 0.03 \text{ aA}$	$18.48 \pm 0.06 \text{ aAE}$
No barrier	NI	$4.21\pm0.03~\text{cA}$	$1.12\pm0.04~\mathrm{cA}$	$5.33\pm0.06~\text{cA}$	$8.70\pm0.05~\text{cA}$	$5.28\pm0.02~\text{cA}$	$13.98 \pm 0.07 \text{ cA}$
	SH212	$5.36\pm0.03~\text{bA}$	$2.21 \pm 0.04 \text{ bA}$	$7.57 \pm 0.06$ bA	$9.83\pm0.05~\text{bA}$	$6.30\pm0.02~\text{bA}$	$16.12 \pm 0.03$ bA
	G.m.	$5.34\pm0.06~\text{bA}$	$2.19\pm0.07~\text{bA}$	$7.53\pm0.13~\text{bA}$	$9.90\pm0.06~\text{bA}$	$6.31 \pm 0.02 \text{ bA}$	$16.21 \pm 0.07 \text{ bA}$
	SH212+ G.m.	$6.70\pm0.08~\text{aA}$	$2.51 \pm 0.04 \text{ aA}$	$9.21 \pm 0.08 \text{ aA}$	$11.09 \pm 0.06 \text{ aA}$	$7.66 \pm 0.06 \text{ aA}$	18.75 ± 0.06 aA
Inoculation		*	*	*	**	**	**
Root separation		ns	ns	ns	**	**	**
Inoculation × root separation		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

TABLE 1 | The biomass of shoots and roots of soybean and maize inoculated with AMF and rhizobium with solid barrier, mesh barrier and no barrier (g/pot).

The data above are expressed as the means  $\pm$  SD (n = 4).

<sup>a</sup>Mean values of inoculated treatments with same root barrier followed by different lower case letters (a, b, c, and d) are significantly different (p < 0.05).

<sup>b</sup>Mean values of three root barriers with the same inoculation treatment followed by different capital letters (A, B, and C) are significantly different (p < 0.05).

ns, indicates no significant difference; \* and \*\* mean significant at 5 and 1% levels, respectively.

interaction was found between root separation and inoculation treatments.

With regard to maize, the highest biomass of maize was also observed in the group treated with SH212+G.m. and was significantly higher than that of SH212, G.m. and NI treatments in every root separation pattern (Table 1). Rhizobium SH212 inoculation increased maize shoot and root biomass by 12.99 and 19.32%, respectively, compared with NI in the no root separation pattern (Table 1). In addition, G. mosseae inoculation also increased both shoot biomass and root biomass (Table 1). Moreover, a trend was observed that maize biomass in the no barrier pattern was significantly higher than that of mesh barrier or solid barrier patterns whether inoculated or not (Table 1). For example, the total biomass of maize in the no barrier system increased by 3.56 and 1.67% compared with solid barrier and mesh barrier systems under non-inoculated treatments (Table 1). However, no interaction was found between inoculation and root separation treatments.

#### The AMF Colonization Rate

No AMF colonization was found in the roots of soybean and maize not inoculated with *G. mosseae*, and the AMF colonization rate of soybean was increased when plants were inoculated with *G. mosseae*, and the increase was more significant when rhizobium SH212 was also inoculated concurrently (**Figure 2A**). Hence, AMF colonization increased by 35.55, 26.73, and 43.59% in solid barrier, mesh barrier and no barrier systems when co-inoculated with SH212 (**Figure 2A**). The AMF colonization rate of soybean plants was significantly increased through intercropping with maize. In addition, the AMF colonization

rate of maize in a no barrier system was higher than that of a solid barrier both in *G.m.* and SH212+G.m., but no significant difference was observed (**Figure 2B**).

### The Number of Soybean Nodules

The number of soybean root nodules increased as a result of inoculation of microsymbionts (**Figure 2C**). The *G. mosseae* inoculation alone (*G.m.*) and rhizobium SH212 inoculation alone (SH212) significantly increased the number of nodules. However, the greatest increase was observed when both *G. mosseae* and rhizobium SH212 were inoculated (**Figure 2C**). Compared to NI, the number of nodules was increased 2.6, 2.0, and 2.0 times with dual inoculation in solid barrier, mesh barrier and no barrier system, respectively (**Figure 2C**). A small but insignificant increase in the amount of soybean root nodules was found in the no barrier pattern compared with the mesh barrier and solid barrier systems regardless of inoculation status (**Figure 2C**).

### N Concentration and N Uptake

SH212+G.m. treatment significantly increased the N concentrations in soybean shoots and roots by 13.72 and 18.47%, respectively, compared with NI treatment in no barrier patterns (**Table 2**). In addition, a uniform facilitation was found in maize shoots and roots, as the N concentrations increased by 28.34 and 34.94%, respectively (**Table 2**). The root separation patterns had little influence on the N concentration of soybean shoots and roots (**Table 2**). However, separating maize from soybean plants by a plastic sheet significantly decreased the N concentration of maize shoots (by 5.04–13.25%) compared with no barrier patterns in all inoculated treatments (**Table 2**).



The highest N uptake of soybean was found with SH212+*G.m.* treatment in all three root separation patterns (**Table 2** and **Figure 3A**). No significant difference was observed in the N uptake of soybean shoots and roots between different root separation patterns (**Table 2**). For maize, both the root separation and microbial inoculation had significant effects on N uptake (**Table 2** and **Figure 3B**). Dual inoculation increased maize shoot N uptake by 59.93, 63.00, and 63.62% and root N uptake by 78.57, 93.87, and 96.08% compared with NI treatment in solid barrier, mesh barrier and no barrier patterns, respectively (**Table 2**). In addition, the N uptake of maize was significantly enhanced by intercropping with soybean, and the N uptake of the no barrier pattern was 12.01% higher than the solid barrier pattern under non-inoculated conditions (**Figure 3B**).

# The N Transfer in Soybean/Maize Intercropping Systems

The results of <sup>15</sup>N labeling showed that *G. mosseae* and rhizobium SH212 inoculation alone enhanced the N transfer from soybean to maize in a soybean/maize intercropping system (**Table 3**). However, the more significant enhancement was observed in dual inoculation in mesh barrier and no barrier systems (**Table 3**). The amount of N transferred from soybean to maize ( $N_t$ ) of SH212+*G.m.* was 11.45 and 12.46 mg more than that of NI,

and it was also significantly more than SH212 or *G.m.* alone in mesh barrier and no barrier patterns (**Table 3**). In addition, the transferred N from soybean to intercropped maize accounted for 3.13-6.01% of the N uptake of maize (**Table 3**). However, no significant difference was observed in the percentage of transferred N that occupied maize N uptake ( $N_o\%$ ) between *G.m.* and SH212 (**Table 3**). The N transfer was also increased by intercropping. For example, the amount of N transferred from soybean to maize ( $N_t$ ) in a no barrier system was 19.63–43.33% more than that in a mesh barrier system (**Table 3**).

# Discussion

The growth of maize plants in a no barrier system was facilitated greatly over those with a mesh barrier or solid barrier, regardless of the status of inoculation, confirming the yield advantage in maize/soybean intercropping systems in agreement with previous reports (Hauggaard-Nielsen and Jensen, 2005; Chapagain and Riseman, 2014). The biomass of soybean and maize inoculated with both AMF and rhizobium were more than that of NI in all root separation patterns, which illustrates that inoculating rhizobium and AMF can enhance the biological yield advantages of soybean and maize. This is consistent with our

	Treatments		Soybean	ean			Maize	Ð	
		<del>เ</del> ร	Shoot	Root	ot	Ō	Shoot	Root	ot
		N concentration	N uptake	N concentration	N uptake	N concentration	N uptake	N concentration	N uptake
Solid barrier	Z	$19.93 \pm 0.50 \text{ b}^{a}\text{A}^{b}$	86.88 ± 1.46 cA	18.87 ± 0.80 cA	21.06 ± 1.36 cA	11.78 ± 0.50 cB	99.87 ± 4.20 dB	14.15 ± 0.20 cA	70.99 ± 1.44 cB
	SH212	19.96 ± 0.20 bA	106.32 ± 1.15 bcA	19.74 ± 0.60 bcA	42.96 ± 1.15 bA	13.77 ± 0.30 bB	$130.88 \pm 2.69 \text{ cB}$	15.39 ± 0.40 bA	92.71 ± 1.92 bB
	G.m.	21.19 ± 0.40 abA	113.57 ± 1.84 bA	21.71 ± 0.80 abA	48.33 ± 2.91 bA	15.27 ± 0.10 aB	145.21 ± 1.76 bB	15.46 ± 0.20 bA	93.52 ± 1.93 bB
	SH212+G.m.	22.63 ± 0.80 aA	150.83 ± 5.37 aA	22.78 ± 0.90 aA	57.59 ± 2.43 aA	14.73 ± 0.50 abB	158.89 ± 5.62 aB	$17.35 \pm 0.10 \text{ aB}$	126.86 ± 1.79 aB
Mesh barrier	Z	19.71 ± 0.40 bA	84.78 ± 1.76 cA	18.90 ± 0.20 cA	21.49 ± 5.30 cA	11.99 ± 0.40 bB	103.02 ± 2.99 cB	$14.22 \pm 0.10 \text{ cA}$	$73.23 \pm 0.91 \text{ cAB}$
	SH212	20.77 ± 1.00 abA	111.53 ± 5.35 bA	20.90 ± 0.40 bA	46.78 ± 1.15 bA	14.27 ± 0.50 aAB	137.54 ± 5.41 bB	15.55 ± 0.20 bA	96.98 ± 0.95 bAB
	G.m.	20.29 ± 1.20 abA	110.34 ± 6.48 bA	21.57 ± 0.50 bA	49.48 土 1.41 bA	15.86 ± 0.50 aAB	153.00 ± 5.68 abA	15.71 ± 0.20 bA	97.68 ± 1.34 bAB
	SH212+G.m.	22.52 ± 0.30 aA	151.30 ± 2.84 aA	22.80 ± 0.20 aA	58.21 ± 1.15 aA	15.33 ± 1.00 aAB	167.87 ± 10.70 aAB	$18.85\pm0.30$ aAB	141.93 ± 2.54 aA
No barrier	Z	19.61 ± 0.30 bA	82.48 ± 1.70 cA	18.79 ± 0.30 cA	21.10 ± 0.85 cA	13.23 ± 0.20 dA	115.08 ± 1.65 dA	14.48 ± 0.50 bA	76.36 ± 2.26 cA
	SH212	20.22 ± 0.50 bA	108.27 ± 2.89 bA	19.85 ± 0.10 bcA	43.86 ± 0.63 bA	15.24 ± 0.10 cA	149.67 ± 0.68 cA	15.89 ± 0.80 bA	100.06 ± 4.91 bA
	G.m.	19.94 ± 0.70 bA	106.50	$20.70 \pm 0.70 \text{ bcA}$	45.24 ± 0.53 bA	16.08 ± 0.10 bA	159.14 ± 1.87 bA	15.90 ± 0.60 bA	100.31 ± 3.79 bA
	SH212+G.m.	22.30 ± 0.50 aA	149.45 ± 5.23 aA	22.26 ± 0.30 aA	55.97 ± 1.59 aA	16.98 ± 0.20 aA	188.31 ± 2.26 aA	$19.54 \pm 0.80 \text{ aA}$	149.61 ± 6.11 aA
Ч	Inoculation	×	×	*	*	×	* *	×	* *
Roo	Root separation	NS	NS	NS	NS	ns	××	ns	**
Inoculation	Inoculation × Root separation	NS	NS	ns	NS	ns	NS	ns	ns
The data abo <sup>a</sup> Mean values	we are expressed as s of inoculated treatr	The data above are expressed as the means $\pm$ SD ( $n = 4$ ). <sup>A</sup> Mean values of inoculated treatments with the same root barrier follow		ed by different lower case letters (a, b, c, and d) are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ )	ed by different lower case letters (a, b, c, and d) are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ).	gnificantly different (p	< 0.05).		

TABLE 2 | Shoots and roots N concentration (mg/g) and N uptake (mg/pot) of soybean and maize inoculated with AMF and rhizobium with three roots separation patterns.



(B) inoculated with AMF and rhizobium and with three root separation patterns in a soybean/maize intercropping system. The NI, SH212, *G.m.*, and SH212+*G.m.* in the figures represent NI treatment, SH212 inoculation treatment, *G. mosseae* inoculation treatment and both SH212 and *G. mosseae* 

inoculation treatment, respectively. Bars with different lower case letters indicate significant differences between different inoculated treatments in the same root separation pattern, and bars with different capital letters indicate significant differences between different root separation patterns in the same inoculated treatment (p < 0.05). Means  $\pm$  SD of four replicates.

TABLE 3 | Nitrogen transferred from the <sup>15</sup>N labeled soybean to the associated maize with three root separation patterns and inoculation with AMF and rhizobium.

Treatments	N <sub>t</sub> %		N <sub>t</sub> (mg/pot)		<b>N</b> o%	
	Mesh barrier	No barrier	Mesh barrier	No barrier	Mesh barrier	No barrier
NI	5.19 c <sup>a</sup> B <sup>b</sup>	7.57 cA	5.52 cB	7.84 bA	3.13 bB	4.10 bA
SH212	6.29 bB	8.36 bA	9.96 bB	12.72 aA	4.25 bB	5.09 aA
G.m.	6.08 bB	9.16 bA	9.72 bB	13.90 aA	3.88 bB	5.36 aA
SH212+ G.m.	8.10 aB	9.88 aA	16.97 aB	20.30 aA	5.48 aB	6.01 aA

The data above are expressed as the means (n = 4).

<sup>a</sup>Mean values of inoculated treatments with the same root barrier followed by different lower case letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).

<sup>b</sup>Mean values of three root barriers with the same inoculation treatments followed by different capital letters (A and B) are significantly different (p < 0.05).

former research results that the biomass of soybean supplied with different phosphorus sources was improved significantly when inoculated AMF and rhizobium (Tong et al., 2009). Xiao et al. (2010) have found that inoculating AMF in upland rice (Oryza sativa ssp. Japonica Nipponbare) and mungbean (Vigna radiata L. cv. Chuanyuan) intercropping systems increased the biomass of mungbean by 288.8%. In addition, Mei et al. (2012) found that the average grain yields of faba bean (V. faba L.) and maize (Z. mays L.) increased by 30-197% and 0-31%, respectively, after inoculating with rhizobium in maize and faba bean intercropping systems in reclaimed desert soil. In our study, we inoculated both rhizobium and AMF in a soybean/maize intercropping system. The soybean and maize biomass was 21.66 and 16.32% higher than that of SH212 alone and 22.31 and 15.67% higher than that of *G.m.* alone in a no barrier pattern (Table 1). That suggested synergistic facilitation for yield advantage was observed in maize/soybean intercropping because of inoculating both AMF and rhizobium.

### Why Did Inoculating Rhizobium and AMF in Soybean/Maize Intercropping System Improve Growth of Maize and Soybean?

In our experiment, both AMF and rhizobium colonization independently increased the total biomass of soybean in solid barrier patterns compared with their respective controls, and the total biomass of soybean with dual inoculation was 1.68 times as much as that of NI (Table 1). We found synergistic effects of AMF and rhizobium on soybean growth, which was consistent with the results of Abd-Alla et al. (2014), who found that dual inoculation with rhizobium and AMF was more efficient for promoting growth of faba beans (V. faba L.). Rhizobium symbiosis is involved in the fixation of atmospheric N, whereas AMF improves the ability of a plant to absorb P and other nutrients (Li et al., 2006; Erman et al., 2011; Tajini et al., 2012; Pellegrino and Bedini, 2014). Our previous study found that maize overyielding in maize/faba bean or soybean intercropping resulted from its uptake of phosphorus mobilized by the acidification of the rhizosphere via fababean root by using mesh (permeable) and solid (impermeable) root barriers. The level of soybean to acidify rhizosphere is lower than faba bean (Li et al., 2007). The present study showed that N uptake by soybean inoculated with both AMF and rhizobium with no barriers was 1.98 times as much as that of the NI group (Figure 3A). Therefore, the increase in dry matter accumulation could be attributed to the incremental increase on nodulation, N fixation and nutrient acquisition.

In this experiment, we found that the N uptake of maize with no barriers was 8.63 and 12.01% more than that with mesh barriers or solid barriers under non-inoculated conditions, and 9.08 and 17.94% more under dual inoculated conditions (**Figure 3B**). In addition, the results showed that N transfer

from soybean inoculated with both AMF and rhizobium to maize in no barrier and mesh barrier patterns increased 12.46 and 11.45 mg/pot compared with the NI group (**Table 3**), which means that the N transfer was improved due to the dual inoculation. Therefore, the biomass of maize was improved due to the increase of N uptake after intercropping with soybean and inoculating with AMF and rhizobium. This is in agreement with the results of Zarea et al. (2011), Larimer et al. (2014), and Pellegrino and Bedini (2014).

# AM Fungal Hyphae Contribute to N Transfer in Soybean/Maize Intercropping Systems

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are important components in intercropping agrosystems (Li et al., 2009; Yan et al., 2014). In our study, N was transferred under non-inoculation conditions in mesh barrier patterns, but the rate and amount of N transferred in SH212+*G.m.* inoculations were 1.56 and 3.07 times more than that of the NI group (**Table 3**), which resulted from the improved AMF colonization rate of soybean and maize by inoculating with both rhizobium and AMF. The 30- $\mu$ m nylon-net prevented the direct contact of the roots of soybean and maize but allowed hyphae to penetrate and link, and the hyphae enhanced the degree of contact of soybean and maize and the degree of contact of roots affected N transfer significantly, in agreement with Chu et al. (2004).

Many researchers suggested that there were two pathways for N transfer. One is a direct transfer that N fixed by legumes is transferred to associated non-N<sub>2</sub> fixed plants via a mycorrhizal fungal hyphae network (Cardoso and Kuyper, 2006; Sierra and Nygren, 2006). The N concentration of legumes is generally higher than graminaceous; therefore, N could transfer to intercropped graminaceous along the gradient of concentration via hyphae (Chu et al., 2004). The other pathway is an indirect transfer, in which the residual and root exudates (Jalonen et al., 2009) of legumes release N to the rhizosphere when they decompose,

## References

- Abd-Alla, M. H., El-Enany, A. E., Nafady, N. A., Khalaf, D. M., and Morsy, F. M. (2014). Synergistic interaction of Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. viciae and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi as a plant growth promoting biofertilizers for faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) in alkaline soil. *Microbiol. Res.* 169, 49–58. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2013.07.007
- Antunes, P. M., Varennes, A., Rajcan, I., and Goss, M. J. (2006). Accumulation of specific flavonoids in soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) as a function of the early tripartite symbiosis with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* (Kirchner) Jordan. Soil. Biol. Biochem. 38, 1234– 1242. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.09.016
- Cardoso, I. M., and Kuyper, T. W. (2006). Mycorrhizas and tropical soil fertility. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 116, 72–84. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2006. 03.011
- Chapagain, T., and Riseman, A. (2014). Barley–pea intercropping: effects on land productivity, carbon and nitrogen transformations. *Field Crop Res.* 166, 18–25. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2014.06.014
- Chu, G. X., Shen, Q. R., Li, Y. L., Zhang, J., and Wang, S. Q. (2004). Researches on Bi-directional N transfer between the intercropping systems of groundnut with rice cultivated in aerobic soil using 15N foliar labeling method. *Acta Ecologica Sinica* 24, 278–283.

and the mineralized inorganic N can then be absorbed by the intercropped graminaceous or mycorrhizal hyphae (Tomm et al., 1994; Johansen and Jensen, 1996; He et al., 2003). In our experiment, the rate and the amount of N transferred from soybean to maize were improved by microbial inoculations. Hence, no matter which way the N is transferred, the hyphae play an important role in N transfer from soybean to associated maize.

In addition, we found that inoculating rhizobium also promoted the growth of maize. Some studies have confirmed that PGPR were beneficial for plant growth, yield and crop quality (Zafar et al., 2012; Stefan et al., 2013; Güneş et al., 2014; Yadav and Verma, 2014). PGPRs could enhance asymbiotic N<sub>2</sub> fixation and nutrient uptake and compete against detrimental microorganisms (Dey et al., 2004; Lucy et al., 2004; Khan, 2005; Yadav and Verma, 2014), which would be the reason that the growth of maize increased with rhizobium inoculation in our experiment.

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobium establish beneficial symbiosis with legumes and enhance the advantage of intercropping, and the nutrient uptake and biomass of intercropped crops were significantly increased. Therefore, co-inoculation with both AMF and rhizobium should be considered for the sustainable development of the legume/graminaceous intercropping pattern.

## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Foundation of National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project number 30670382) and the major laboratory of "Resource Utilization and Protection of Cold Region Soil." Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin, China. Heilongjiang Provincial Key University Laboratory of Cold Area Vegetable Biology Project (CVB2012-007).

- Dey, R., Pal, K. K., Bhatt, D. M., and Chauhan, S. M. (2004). Growth promotion and yield enhancement of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*) by application of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Microbiol. Res.* 159, 371–394. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2004.08.004
- Eaglesham, A. R. J., Ayanaba, A., Rao, V. R., and Eskew, D. L. (1981). Improving the nitrogen nutrition of maize by intercropping with cowpea. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 13, 169–171. doi: 10.1016/0038-0717(81)90014-6
- Erman, M., Demir, S., Ocak, E., Tüfenkçi, Ş., Oğuz, F., and Akköprü, A. (2011). Effects of Rhizobium, arbuscular mycorrhiza and whey applications on some properties in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under irrigated and rainfed conditions 1—Yield, yield components, nodulation and AMF colonization. *Field Crop Res.* 122, 14–24. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2011.02.002
- Fang, G. Z., Zhao, X. F., Sun, J. H., Bao, X. G., Zhang, F. S., and Li, L. (2009). Effects of rhizobium inoculation on yield and nodulation in fababean/maize intercropping system. *Acta Pedologica Sinica* 46, 887–892.
- Frankow-Lindberg, B. E., and Dahlin, A. S. (2013). N2 fixation, N transfer, and yield in grassland communities including a deep-rooted legume or non-legume species. *Plant Soil* 370, 567–581. doi: 10.1007/s11104-013-1650-z
- Fujiu, K., Ogata, S., Matsumoto, K., Masuda, T., Ofosu-Budu, G. K., and Kuwata, K. (1990). Nitrogen transfer and dry matter production in soybean and sorghum mixed cropping system at different population densities. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 36, 233–241. doi: 10.1080/00380768.1990.10414988

- Gao, Y., Wu, P. T., Zhao, X. N., and Wang, Z. K. (2014). Growth, yield, and nitrogen use in the wheat/maize intercropping system in an arid region of northwestern China. *Field Crop Res.* 167, 19–30. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2014.07.003
- Güneş, A., Turan, M., Güllüce, M., and Şahin, F. (2014). Nutritional content analysis of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria species. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 60, 88–97. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2013.10.010
- Hauggaard-Nielsen, H., Gooding, M., Ambus, P., Corre-Hellou, G., Crozat, Y., Dahlmann, C., et al. (2009). Pea-barley intercropping for efficient symbiotic N2-fixation, soil N acquisition and use of other nutrients in European organic cropping systems. *Field Crop Res.* 113, 64–71. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2009.04.009
- Hauggaard-Nielsen, H., and Jensen, E. S. (2005). Facilitative root interactions in intercrops. *Plant Soil* 274, 237–250. doi: 10.1007/s11104-004-1305-1
- He, X. H., Critchley, C., and Bledsoe, C. (2003). Nitrogen transfer within and between plants through common mycorrhizal networks (CMNs). CRC Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 22, 531–567. doi: 10.1080/713608315
- Isaac, M. E., Hinsinger, P., and Harmand, J. M. (2012). Nitrogen and phosphorus economy of a legume tree-cereal intercropping system under controlled conditions. *Sci. Total Environ.* 434, 71–78. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011. 12.071
- Jalonen, R., Nygren, P., and Sierra, J. (2009). Transfer of nitrogen from a tropical legume tree to an associated fodder grass via root exudation and common mycelial networks. *Plant Cell Environ.* 32, 1366–1376. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02004.x
- Jamont, M., Piva, G., and Fustec, J. (2013). Sharing N resources in the early growth of rapeseed intercropped with faba bean: does N transfer matter? *Plant Soil* 371, 641–653. doi: 10.1007/s11104-013-1712-2
- Johansen, A., and Jensen, E. S. (1996). Transfer of N and P from intact or decomposing roots of pea to barley interconnected by an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 28, 73–81. doi: 10.1016/0038-0717(95)00117-4
- Khan, A. G. (2005). Role of soil microbes in the rhizospheres of plants growing on trace metal contaminated soils in phytoremediation. J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol. 18, 355–364. doi: 10.1016/j.jtemb.2005.02.006
- Larimer, A. L., Clay, K., and Bever, J. D. (2014). Synergism and context dependency of interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobia with a prairie legume. *Ecology* 95, 1045–1054. doi: 10.1890/13-0025.1
- Lekberg, Y., and Koide, R. T. (2005). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, rhizobia, available soil P and nodulation of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) in Zimbabwe. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 110, 143–148. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2005. 03.011
- Li, C.-J., Li, Y.-Y., Yu, C.-B., Sun, J.-H., Peter, C., An, M., et al., (2011). Crop nitrogen use and soil mineral nitrogen accumulation under different crop combinations and patterns of strip intercropping in northwest China. *Plant Soil* 342, 221–231. doi: 10.1007/s11104-010-0686-6
- Li, H. Y., Smith, S. E., Holloway, R. E., Zhu, Y. G., and Smith, F. A. (2006). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi contribute to phosphorus uptake by wheat grown in a phosphorus-fixing soil even in the absence of positive growth responses. *New Phytol.* 172, 536–543. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006. 01846.x
- Li, L., Li, S. M., Sun, J. H., Zhou, L. L., Bao, X. G., Zhang, H. G., et al. (2007). Diversity enhances agricultural productivity via rhizosphere phosphorus facilitation on phosphorus-deficient soils. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 11192–11196. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0704591104
- Li, L., Sun, J. H., Zhang, F. S., Li, X. L., Yang, S. C., and Rengel, Z. (2001). Wheat/maize or Wheat/soybean strip intercropping 1. Yield advantage and interspecific interactions on nutrients. *Field Crop Res.* 71, 123–137. doi: 10.1016/S0378-4290(01)00156-3
- Li, S. M., Li, L., Zhang, F. S., and Tang, C. (2004). Acid phosphatase role in chickpea/maize intercropping. Ann. Bot. 94, 297–303. doi: 10.1093/aob/mch140
- Li, Y. F., Ran, W., Zhang, R. P., Sun, S. B., and Xu, G. H. (2009). Facilitated legume nodulation, phosphate uptake and nitrogen transfer by arbuscular inoculation in an upland rice and mung bean intercropping system. *Plant Soil* 315, 285–296. doi: 10.1007/s11104-008-9751-9
- Lucy, M., Reed, E., and Glick, B. R. (2004). Application of free living plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 86, 1–25. doi: 10.1023/B:ANTO.0000024903.10757.6e
- Mei, P. P., Gui, L. G., Wang, P., Huang, J. C., Long, H. Y., Peter, C., et al. (2012). Maize/faba bean intercropping with rhizobia inoculation enhances productivity and recovery of fertilizer P in a reclaimed desert soil. *Field Crop Res.* 130, 19–27. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2012.02.007

- Moyer-Henry, K. A., Burton, J. W., Israel, D. W., and Rufty, T. W. (2006). Nitrogen transfer between plants: a 15N natural abundance study with crop and weed species. *Plant Soil* 28, 7–20. doi: 10.1007/s11104-005-3081-y
- Pasqualini, D., Uhlmann, A., and Stürmer, S. L. (2007). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities influence growth and phosphorus concentration of woody plants species from the Atlantic rain forest in South Brazil. Forest Ecol. Manag. 245, 148–155. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2007. 04.024
- Pellegrino, E., and Bedini, S. (2014). Enhancing ecosystem services in sustainable agriculture: biofertilization and biofortification of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 68, 429–439. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.09.030
- Pelzer, E., Bazot, M., Makowski, D., Corre-Hellou, G., Naudin, C., and Rifaï, M. A., et al. (2012). Pea–wheat intercrops in low-input conditions combine high economic performances and low environmental impacts. *Europ. J. Agron.* 40, 39–53. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2012.01.010
- Phillips, J. M., and Hayman, D. S. (1970). Improved procedures for clearing and staining parasitic and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* 55, 158–161. doi: 10.1016/S0007-1536(70)80110-3
- Sierra, J., and Daudin, D. (2010). Limited 15N transfer from stemlabeled leguminous trees to associated grass in an agroforestry system. *Eur. J. Agron.* 32, 240–242. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2009. 11.003
- Sierra, J., and Nygren, P. (2006). Transfer of N fixed by a legume tree to the associated grass in a tropical silvopastoral system. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 38, 1893–1903. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.12.012
- Stefan, M., Munteanu, N., Stoleru, V., Mihasan, M., and Hritcu, L. (2013). Seed inoculation with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria enhances photosynthesis and yield of runner bean (*Phaseolus coccineus* L.). Sci. Hortic. 151, 22–29. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2012.12.006
- Tajini, F., Trabelsi, M., and Drevon, J. (2011). Co-inoculation with *Glomus intraradices* and *Rhizobium tropici* CIAT899 increases P use efficiency for N2 fixation in the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) under P deficiency in hydroaeroponic culture. *Symbiosis* 53, 123–129. doi: 10.1007/s13199-011-0117-3
- Tajini, F., Trabelsi, M., and Drevon, J. (2012). Combined inoculation with Glomus intraradices and Rhizobium tropici CIAT899 increases phosphorus use efficiency for symbiotic nitrogen fixation in common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 19, 157–163. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2011. 11.003
- Tobar, B. R., Azcón, R., and Barea, J. M. (1994). Improved nitrogen uptake and transport from 15N-labelled nitrate by external hyphae of arbuscular mycorrhiza under water-stressed conditions. *New Phytol.* 126, 119–122. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1994.tb07536.x
- Tong, L. N., and Li, S. M., Meng, L. B. (2009). Effect of inoculating arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobium on soybean by utilizating organic phosphorus source(in Chinese). J. Northeast Agric. Univers. 40, 37–47.
- Tomm, G. O., Kessel, C., and Slinkard, A. E. (1994). Bi-directional transfer of nitrogen between alfafa and bromegrass: short and long term evidence. *Plant Soil* 164, 77–86. doi: 10.1007/BF00010113
- Trouvelot, A., Koug, H. J. L., and Gianiazzi-Pearson, V. (1986). Physiological and Genetical Aspects of Mycorrhizae. Paris: INRA Press.
- Varennesa, A., and Goss, M. J. (2007). The tripartite symbiosis between legumes, rhizobia and indigenous mycorrhizal fungi is more efficient in undisturbed soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 39, 2603–2607. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2007. 05.007
- Vassilev, N., Vassileva, M., Azcon, R., and Medina, A. (2001). Interactions of an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus with free or co-encapsulated cells of *Rhizobium trifoliand* and *Yarowia lipolytica* inoculated into a soilplant system. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 23, 149–151. doi: 10.1023/A:1010395 813017
- Xiao, T. J., Yang, Q. S., Ran, W., Xu, G. H., and Shen, Q. R. (2010). Effect of inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus on nitrogen and phosphorus utilization in upland rice-mungbean intercropping system. *Agr. Sci. China* 9, 528–535. doi: 10.1016/S1671-2927(09)60126-7
- Xiao, Y. B., Li, L., and Zhang, F. S. (2006). The enhancement of growth and nutrients uptake by crops with inoculating Rhizobium strain NM353

in wheat and fababean intercropping system. J. Plant Nutr. Fertilizer 12, 89-96.

- Yadav, J., and Verma, J. P. (2014). Effect of seed inoculation with indigenous Rhizobium and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on nutrients uptake and yields of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 63, 70–77. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2014.05.001
- Yan, S., Du, X. Q., Wu, F., Li, L., Li, C. Y., and Meng, Z. (2014). Proteomics insights into the basis of interspecific facilitation for maize (*Zea mays*) in faba bean (*Vicia faba*)/maize intercropping. *J. Proteomics* 109, 111–124. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2014.06.027
- Yao, Q., Li, X. L., Feng, G., and Christie, P. (2001). Mobilization of sparingly soluble inorganic phosphates by the external mycelium of an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. *Plant Soil* 230, 279–285. doi: 10.1023/A:10103675 01363
- Zafar, M., Abbasi, M. K., Khan, M. A., Khaliq, A., Sultan, T., and Aslam, M. (2012). Effect of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on growth, nodulation and nutrient accumulation of Lentil under controlled

conditions. *Pedosphere* 22, 848–859. doi: 10.1016/S1002-0160(12) 60071-X

Zarea, M. J., Karimi, N., Goltapeh, E. M., and Ghalavand, A. (2011). Effect of cropping systems and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on soil microbial activity and root nodule nitrogenase. *J. Saudi Soc. Agric. Sci.* 10, 109–120. doi: 10.1016/j.jssas.2011.04.003

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Meng, Zhang, Wang, Han, Wang and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.