



Genetic Architecture and Candidate Genes for Deep-Sowing Tolerance in Rice Revealed by Non-syn GWAS

Yan Zhao^{1†}, Weipeng Zhao^{1†}, Conghui Jiang^{1†}, Xiaoning Wang², Huaiyang Xiong², Elena G. Todorovska³, Zhigang Yin¹, Yanfa Chen¹, Xin Wang¹, Jianyin Xie¹, Yinghua Pan^{1,4}, Muhammad A. R. Rashid^{1,5}, Hongliang Zhang¹, Jinjie Li^{1*} and Zichao Li^{1*}

¹ Key Lab of Crop Heterosis and Utilization of Ministry of Education and Beijing Key Lab of Crop Genetic Improvement, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China, ² Institute of Food Crops, Hainan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Haikou, China, ³ AgroBioInstitute, Bulgarian Agricultural Academy, Sofia, Bulgaria, ⁴ Rice Research Institute, Guangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanning, China, ⁵ Plant Breeding and Genetics Lab, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Vehari, Pakistan

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Hanwei Mei, Shanghai Agrobiological Gene Center, China

Reviewed by:

Xinghua Wei, China National Rice Research Institute (CAAS), China Yongzhong Xing, Huazhong Agricultural University, China

*Correspondence:

Jinjie Li lijinjie@cau.edu.cn Zichao Li lizichao@cau.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Breeding, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 16 November 2017 Accepted: 28 February 2018 Published: 16 March 2018

Citation:

Zhao Y, Zhao W, Jiang C, Wang X, Xiong H, Todorovska EG, Yin Z, Chen Y, Wang X, Xie J, Pan Y, Rashid MAR, Zhang H, Li J and Li Z (2018) Genetic Architecture and Candidate Genes for Deep-Sowing Tolerance in Rice Revealed by Non-syn GWAS. Front. Plant Sci. 9:332. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00332 Dry direct-seeding of rice is rapidly increasing in China, but variable planting depth associated with machine sowing can lead to low seedling emergence rates. Phenotype analysis of 621 rice accessions showed that mesocotyl length (ML) was induced by deep soil covering and was important in deep-sowing tolerance in the field. Here, we performed and compared GWAS using three types of SNPs (non-synonymous SNP, non-synonymous SNPs and SNPs within promoters and 3 million randomly selected SNPs from the entire set of SNPs) and found that Non-Syn GWAS (GWAS using non-synonyomous SNP) decreased computation time and eliminated confounding by other loci relative to GWAS using randomly selected SNPs. Thirteen QTLs were finally detected, and two new major-effect genes, named OsML1 and OsML2, were identified by an integrated analysis. There were 2 and 7 non-synonymous SNPs in OsML1 and OsML2, respectively, from which 3 and 4 haplotypes were detected in cultivated rice. Combinations of superior haplotypes of OsML1 and OsML2 increased ML by up to 4 cm, representing high emergence rate (85%) in the field with 10 cm of soil cover. The studies provide key loci and naturally occurring alleles of ML that can be used in improving tolerance to dry direct-seeding.

Keywords: deep-sowing tolerance, genome-wide association study, mesocotyl length, non-synonymous SNP, Oryza sativa

INTRODUCTION

Rice is one of the most important food crops, feeding more than one-half of the world population. Rice planting is currently carried out in two ways, namely transplanting and direct-seeding (Farooq et al., 2011). Transplanting is a traditional system based on transplanting of seedlings from seedbeds to paddy fields, and is used to ensure high seedling emergence and uniform plant density (Farooq et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2015). Transplanting by hand remains the predominant method in China, accounting for more than 90% of the total area. Direct-seeding is the major cultivation method in Europe and the United States due to mechanized farming practices, and savings in labor and time (Farooq et al., 2011). Recently, dry direct-seeding is increasing rapidly in China, but variable planting depths associated with machine sowing cause agronomic problems that prevent the achievement of optimum plant populations (Farooq et al., 2006a,b). Poor emergence and

1

weak seedling establishment caused by deep soil greatly restrict the application of direct-seedling technology. Thus, an understanding of the genetic mechanisms affecting the plant establishment by dry direct-seeding is needed to support breeding solutions.

The mesocotyl is an embryonic structure between the scutellar node and coleoptilar node, and it can directly push the shoot tip above the soil surface during germination (Lee H. S. et al., 2012). Thus, mesocotyl length (ML) is an important trait affecting plant establishment under deep sown conditions in rice (Turner et al., 1982; Wu et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2016). Earlier studies showed that drill-seeded semi-dwarf rice genotypes emerge more slowly and less uniformly than non-dwarf types with long mesocotyls (Turner et al., 1982). However, further study indicated there was no correlation between ML and mature plant traits such as plant height and internode length (Mgonja et al., 1993). Thus, it should be possible to breed semi-dwarf accessions with long mesocotyls and to identify genes determining ML. Mesocotyls can elongate in dark and water-covered situations as well as under deep sown conditions (Feng et al., 2017). Under dark and water-covered conditions, elite germplasm with long mesocotyls were identified in different subpopulations and ecotypes (Redoña and Mackill, 1996a; Wu et al., 2005; Luo et al., 2007). The previous studies generally showed that accessions with long mesocotyls were rare in cultivated rice. Some elite indica accessions with long mesocotyls were identified under directseeding conditions of 5 cm depth of sand cover (Lu et al., 2016). Given that mesocotyl elongation in soil-sand culture differs from elongation under dark and water-covered conditions (Simon et al., 2011), further deep-sowing field experiments were needed to identify germplasm with long mesocotyls and to determine the relationship between ML and emergence rate (ER).

Traditional bi-parental linkage mapping has been fully applied to detect QTL for complex traits, including ML. Five QTLs for ML were first identified using an F₂ population of 204 plants from a cross between a low-vigor *japonica* "Labelle" and a highvigor *indica* "Black Gora" (Redoña and Mackill, 1996b). Using a DH population crossed between *indica* and *japonica*, eight QTLs for ML were detected (Cao et al., 2002). Additionally, 11, 8, and 27 QTLs for ML were identified by using RIL population, respectively (Cai and Morishima, 2002; Ouyang et al., 2005; Huang C. et al., 2010). In a subsequent study, linkage mapping of ML was conducted by using BIL population from a cross between the cultivated rice and weedy rice with long ML (Lee H. et al., 2012). These identified genomic regions that were associated with ML, and were conducive to breeding for deep-sowing tolerance and gene cloning.

Previous studies demonstrated that genes *OsBRI1*, *D10*, *D17*, *D27*, *D3*, *D14*, *PTOX1*, and *OsTCP5* were involved in ML by comparison of mutants and wild type (Hu et al., 2010, 2014; Gao et al., 2014; Tamiru et al., 2014; Kameoka and Kyozuka, 2015). Studies showed that the elongation of mesocotyls were regulated by strigolactones and cytokinins during germination of rice seeds in darkness (Hu et al., 2010, 2014; Chen et al., 2014; Tamiru et al., 2014; Kameoka and Kyozuka, 2015). Other reports indicated that brassinosteroids, ethephon and gibberellic acid were also involved (Watanabe et al., 2015; Liang et al.,

2016). Dynamic transcriptome analysis suggested plant hormone signal transduction, α -linolenic acid metabolism and diterpenoid biosynthesis were critical processes of mesocotyl growth that were inhibited by light (Feng et al., 2017). Importantly, a single natural variation of the *GY1* gene for ML was identified from 3,000 accessions, and was investigated by map-based cloning (Xiong et al., 2017). The gene functioned at the initial step of jasmonic acid biosynthesis to repress mesocotyl and coleoptile elongation. Despite these reports, identification of natural variation in ML may be a better way to find genes associated with ML for breeding and for gaining insights into the molecular basis of variation in ML.

The advent of the next-generation sequencing technology offers abundant genetic information and a solid basis for genomewide association studies (GWAS). Compared with conventional linkage mapping, GWAS explores a wider range of natural variation and enables a greater number of significant SNPs to be identified. By GWAS of 1,019,883 SNPs in 270 rice accessions, 13 SNPs were identified to be highly associated with ML of rice plants grown in water (Wu et al., 2015). Another GWAS of 4,136 SNPs in 469 *indica* rice accessions identified 17 loci for ML, explaining 19.31% of the phenotypic variation (Lu et al., 2016). These two preliminary GWAS provided reliable QTL regions for ML, although it was hard to distinguish functional loci. High density sequencing and GWAS of a large representative collection of germplasm was necessary to gain further insights into loci and naturally occurring alleles for ML.

To breed rice accessions for dry direct-seeding, the following problems needed to be solved: (1) identification of germplasm with long mesocotyls and investigation of the relationship between ML and seedling ER under deep-sowing conditions in the field; and (2) exploration of QTLs or candidate genes for ML that can be further used in molecular breeding by marker assisted selection. In this study, we evaluated ML and ER of a large population of germplasm under deep sown conditions in the field and identified several accessions with long mesocotyls as possible breeding parents. More than 15 million SNPs were identified in the germplasm following sequencing at an average depth of 15X. We first screened for non-synonymous SNPs linked to ML and performed GWAS between ML and non-synonymous SNPs. Candidate genes/loci for ML were verified by an integrated analysis of GWAS, linkage mapping, allelic frequency differences between phenotypic pools, expression, and sequence alignment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and Sequencing

A total of 621 cultivated rice accessions from the 3000 Rice Genome Project (3KRGP) (Li J. Y. et al., 2014; Alexandrov et al., 2015) formed the materials for identification of ML QTLs. The collection was based on broadly genetic diversity, and comprised mini-core collections selected from an original core set of 4,310 primary accessions of Chinese cultivated rice (Zhang et al., 2011), and 402 lines in the International Rice Molecular Breeding Network (Yu et al., 2003). The sequencing data of the 621 accessions were directly from the 3KRGP, which have an average sequencing depth of 15X and generated >15 million SNPs when compared with the Nipponbare reference genome (Li Z. et al., 2014).

Phenotyping

Based on previous studies (Turner et al., 1982; Lu et al., 2016), we chose 10 cm depth of soil cover to measure MLs of different accessions, which could exceed the maximum capacity of mesocotyl elongation in rice. We then designed field experiments with 10 cm depth of soil cover at the Experiment Station of China Agricultural University, Beijing. Ten full and uniformed seeds of each accession were planted in the field. A 10 cm soil layer was placed over the seeds followed by sprinkling with adequate water. The field experiment was conducted in summer of 2014 in two fields as two replications (Figure S1). After 10 days, MLs of all seedlings were measured with a ruler.

For an experiment in plastic boxes, three groups of five accessions with ML in the range of 0–0.5, 2–3, and 5–6 cm were planted on a thick soil layer (Figure S2). Each accession was planted in one column with 30 plants as repetition. The seeds in separate boxes were covered with 1, 3, 5, and 7 cm of soil followed by sprinkling with adequate water. MLs of all seedlings were measured after 10 days.

Non-synonymous SNPs and Population Genetic Analysis

Based on information on coding sequence (CDS) coordinates and transcript from MSU-RGAP 7, we separated the nonsynonymous SNPs from a total of 15 million SNPs using an inhouse Perl script. A neighbor-joining (N-J) tree was generated from more than 75,000 randomly selected SNPs using Tassel 5 and Mega 6 software. Principal components (PC) and kinship matrix were calculated by software GAPIT to verify population structure using more than 3 million SNPs with minor allele frequency > 0.05 and missing rates < 0.5. LD heatmaps of two important QTLs identified in GWAS were generated using the R package "LD heatmaps." Candidate regions were identified using an r^2 > 0.6. Nucleotide diversity (π) (Nei, 1987) and Tajima's D (Tajima, 1989) were calculated using an in-house Perl script.

Screening of SDSs and ESDSs for Mesocotyl Length

For screening of the SDSs (SNPs with significant differences in allele frequency between polar pools, p < 0.05) and ESDSs (SNPs with extremely significant differences in allele frequency between polar pools, p < 0.01) associated with ML by bulked segregant analysis, we selected accessions with polar ML from typical *indica* and *japonica* accessions, followed by chi-squared tests of allele frequency for each non-synonymous SNP. To identify the SDS- and ESDS-enriched windows, we performed a permutation test to obtain significant thresholds by random shuffling of 10,000 iterations of SNP numbers of all 500 kb sliding windows along the entire genome. We finally set 99th percentiles of SDS and ESDS numbers of permutation tests as threshold values.

Comparison of GWAS Using Three Types of SNPs for Mesocotyl Length

To perform GWAS efficiently it is important to eliminate false positives from the population structure and to identify family relationships in natural population. The first three PCs were used to construct the PC matrix. We performed GWAS using CMLM with PC and kinship, which accounts for population structure and identifies the optimal group kinship matrix (Zhang et al., 2010a). Previous studies indicated that a trait-specific kinship derived from weighted SNPs has better genomic prediction accuracy than kinship derived from all SNPs (Zhang et al., 2010b; Wang et al., 2014). Thus, we performed and compared GWAS of the CMLM using 3 groups of SNPs to search for target genes for ML. Group I included non-synonymous SNPs; group II included non-synonymous SNPs and SNPs located in 5' flanking sequences of genes (<1 Kb upstream of the first ATG); and group III included 3 million randomly selected SNPs from the entire SNP set.

Given that the default parameters were too strict for detecting significant associations when the threshold was derived from the total number of markers, we used the formula " $-\log_{10}(0.01/\text{effective number of SNPs}$ with a *p*-value less than 0.01)," i.e., the threshold at a significance level of 1% after Bonferroni-adjusted multiple test correction (Pan et al., 2015). False discovery rate (FDR) was performed to compare with the threshold value (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). All signals at a significance level of 0.01 after Bonferroni-adjusted multiple test corrections were up to a significance level of FDR (p < 0.05).

RNA Extraction and qRT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from mesocotyls of 6 rice accessions using RNAiso Plus (Takara). The cDNA was generated in 25 μ l reaction mixtures containing 2 μ g Dnase I-treated RNA, 200 U M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Takara), 40 U Recombinant RNase Inhibitor (Takara) and 0.1 μ M oligo (dT)₁₈ primer. qRT-PCR was performed in total volumes of 10 μ l containing 5 μ l SYBR premix EX Taq (Takara), 0.2 μ l Rox Reference Dye II (Takara), 0.4 mM gene-specific primers and 0.5 ul of cDNA on an ABI 7500 Real time PCR system (Applied Bio-systems). The gene LOC_Os03g50885 was used as an internal reference.

RESULTS

Population Characterization and Phenotypic Variation in Mesocotyl Length

The PC analysis showed that PC1 could explain more than 90% genetic variation, suggesting the 621 accessions could be classified in two major subpopulations (**Figure 1A** and Figure S3). The result was also supported by the N-J tree and kinship plot (**Figure 1B** and Figure S3). Therefore, referring to the reported classification information of the sample (Yu et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2011), we divided the population into two subgroups, including 390 *indica* and 231 *japonica* accessions. Large variations in ML and ER were observed in repeated phenotypic assays in the field with 10 cm of soil cover, with ML ranging from 0.1 to 6.19 cm and ER ranging from 0 to 85%



FIGURE 1 Mesocotyl lengths (MLs) in 621 cultivated rice and relationship among ML, emergence rate (ER) and planting depth. (A) Principal component plot and distribution of accessions with different ML. (B) Neighbor-joining tree and distribution of accessions with ML of more than 3 cm on the tree. (C) Relationship between ER and ML (upper) and histogram of ML (lower) in field plantings with 10 cm of soil cover. (D) Differentiation of MLs in cultivated accessions. (E) Comparison of MLs of *indica* and *japonica*. (F) Relationship between ER and ML in box plantings with different depths of soil cover. Different letters above bars indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) detected by Duncan's multiple range test. (G) Relationship between ML and depth of soil cover for accessions with different mesocotyl elongation capacities.

(Figures 1C,D and Table S1). Generally, variation in ML in rice fitted a negative binomial distribution, and most of accessions had short mesocotyls ranging from 0 to 1 cm (Figure 1C). There was high ER (85%) when ML exceeded 4 cm in the field

with 10 cm of soil cover (**Figure 1C** and Figure S4). Whereas most accessions had short ML there were significant differences in ML between *indica* and *japonica* (Table S2). There was a higher proportion of accessions with ML of 0–1 cm in *japonica*

(46.7%) than in *indica* (30.2%) (**Figure 1E**). However, more *indica* accessions had long mesocotyls of 4 cm or more than in *japonica* (**Figure 1E**). Finally, we identified 23 *indica* and 4 *japonica* accessions having deep-sowing tolerance with ER of about 80% and with ML of more than 4 cm (**Figures 1A,B** and Table S3). The average plant height of these accessions was about 104 cm. Considering the weak correlations between ML and plant height in rice (Table S4), these accessions were considered to be potentially useful parental germplasm for breeding.

To gain further insight into the relationship among ML, ER and depth of soil cover we measured the ER of accessions with different ML at different depths of soil cover (Figure S2). Three groups of five accessions were selected according to ML in the range of 0-0.5, 2-3, and 5-6 cm in the field. The 15 accessions were planted on a thick soil layer in plastic boxes. The seeds in separate boxes were covered with 1, 3, 5, and 7 cm of soil. Under shallow sowing conditions (covered with 1 and 3 cm of soil), the three groups accessions had higher ER of 60-90% (Figure 1F) and shorter ML of 0.1-2.6 cm (Figure 1G). There were no significant correlations between ML and ER in boxes covered with 1 or 3 cm of soil. Under deep sowing (covered with 5 and 7 cm of soil), only five accessions with long ML (>5 cm) had higher ER ($\approx 87\%$) (Figure 1F), and the other two groups with ML in the range of 0-0.5 and 2-3 cm showed lower ER of 16 and 39%, respectively (Figure 1F). There were highly significant correlations between ML and ER with Pearson correlation coefficients of 0.81 and 0.78 in boxes covered with 5 and 7 cm of soil, respectively. The results showed that ML was induced by the depth of soil cover, and greater ML led to improved ER under deep sowing conditions.

Characteristics of Non-synonymous SNPs Along the Entire Genome and Screening of SDSs and ESDSs

Polymorphisms causing protein-coding differences are most likely to be important functional loci associated with agronomic traits (Yano et al., 2016). Here, we focused on non-synonymous SNPs in all 50,086 annotated genes from MSU-RGAP 7 except transposons and retrotransposons. The 572,511 non-synonymous SNPs were screened from 15 million SNPs across the whole genomes of all accessions. Among them 73,000 SNPs were found to cause premature terminations (**Figures 2A,B**). The analysis of minor allele frequencies (MAFs) indicated that 54, 55, and 60% of the non-synonymous SNPs could be considered as rare variants in the full population, and *indica* and *japonica* for groups with low MAF (<0.05) (**Figures 2C-E**).

To explore non-synonymous SNPs associated to ML, we constructed separate pools with extreme differences in ML based on conventional bulked segregant analysis for *indica* and *japonica*. To reduce genetic differences unrelated to ML between polar pools, we took no account of accessions at PC1 values ranging from -400 to 300. The accessions with PC1 < -400 were defined as typical *indica*, and those with PC1 > 300 were considered to be typical *japonica*. Each pool included 20 accessions of each subgroup (Table S5). On the basis of chi-squared tests of allele frequency between the polar pools,

we selected out the SDSs and ESDSs associated with ML (**Figures 2F,G** and Figure S5). As a result we obtained 35,911, and 40,615 SDSs in *indica* and *japonica*, respectively. Among them, there were 19,073 and 26,055 ESDSs associated with ML in each subgroup. These SNPs appeared to be randomly distributed over the entire genome except for a few enriched peaks. Using thresholds of extreme significance for each window established by permutation tests we selected the regions with peaks higher than the threshold as candidate loci associated with ML (**Figures 2F,G** and Figure S5).

Comparison of GWAS Using Three Types of SNPs for Mesocotyl Length

Quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots for ML showed that CMLM accounted for false positives arising from the population structure and family relationships in our population on the basis of GLM (Figures 3A-C). By performing GWAS using each group separately, we identified 23, 53, and 91 SNPs for ML at significance levels of 0.01 after Bonferroni-adjusted multiple test correction in the full population (Figures 3A-C and Table 1 and Tables S6, S7). Due to lower genome-wide linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay rates in *indica* and *japonica* at 123 and 167 kb (Huang X. et al., 2010), adjacent significant SNP with distances <170 kb were merged into an independent QTL. Thirteen, 11 and 20 QTLs were identified by GWAS using the separate groups (Table 1 and Tables S6, S7). We also performed GWAS of ML in *indica* with its wider phenotypic variation (Figure S6). Here we identified 3, 5, and 4 QTLs, including 11, 21, and 17 SNPs associated with ML, respectively (Tables S8-S10). With GLM, these SNPs and QTLs showed higher $-\log(p)$ values as well (Figures S7, S8 and Table 1 and Tables S6-S10). By comparison of GWAS results using the three sets of SNPs, five QTL in the full population (named as qFML3-2, qFML7-2, qFML7-3, qFML7-4, and qFML11-1) from GWAS using group I were detected in GWAS using groups II and III; one QTL in indica (named as qIML7-2) from GWAS using group I were also detected in GWAS of groups II and III. The results demonstrated that Non-Syn GWAS (GWAS using SNPs from group I) was a feasible method to identify QTL regions as well as GWAS of 3 million randomly selected SNPs.

Due to PC and kinship derived from genetic markers different sets of markers result in different PC and kinship. That is the biggest difference among GWAS using three groups of SNPs. To assess the effect of the original GWAS using groups I and II, we performed complementary GWAS between ML and SNPs in these groups using PC and kinship data derived from group III. As shown by the Q-Q and Manhattan plots, similar GWAS results were obtained using PC and kinships derived from groups I, II ,and III in CMLM (Figure 3 and Figures S6, S9). By further comparing peak $-\log(p)$ values of each QTL between the original and complementary GWAS using the full population 9 of 13 and 8 of 11 QTLs in the original GWAS of the full population had higher signals than in the complementary GWAS using groups I and II, respectively (Table 1 and Table S6). Furthermore, all 3 and 5 QTLs in the original GWAS in *indica* also had higher signals than the complementary GWAS using groups I and II (Tables S8, S9). These results indicated that PC and kinship derived from







using groups (D) I and (E) II with PC and kinship derived from group III in full population. In quantile-quantile plots, gray dots show GLM, and other colored points show CMLM. The horizontal black dashed lines in Manhattan plots of CMLM show thresholds at p = 0.01 after Bonferroni-adjusted multiple test correction. Yellow stripes show two important signals in three GWAS using three sets of SNPs in the full population.

groups I and II effectively reduced the frequency of false positives. Moreover, Non-Syn GWAS markedly reduced the computational burden.

Exploration of Candidate Gene OsML1

By comparing Non-Syn GWAS to previous bi-parental mapping results (Redoña and Mackill, 1996b; Cai and Morishima, 2002; Cao et al., 2002; Ouyang et al., 2005; Huang C. et al., 2010; Lee H. et al., 2012; Lee H. S. et al., 2012; Eizenga et al., 2016), we confirmed 4 QTL for ML, including *qFML2-1*, *qFML3-1*, *qFML3-2*, and *qFML11-1*. Among them, QTL *qFML3-2* was previously identified for four times (Table S11). Based on highdensity sequencing of four parental accessions in two of the bi-parental mapping studies (Table S11), we identified 28 nonsynonymous SNPs with different alleles between the parents in the range of 300 kb around peak SNP (Chr3_30603087). LD analysis showed that 9 of 28 non-synonymous SNPs were in the same LD block with $r^2 > 0.6$, including 2 non-synonymous SNPs (Chr3_30603087, Chr3_30606285) above the threshold with $-\log(p)$ values of 7.31 and 6.74, respectively (**Figure 4A** and (**Table 1**). The both loci were ESDSs with χ^2 -values of 20.72 and 19.12 in *indica* (**Table 1**). The analysis of association and linkage mapping and screening of ESDSs indicates that one or both non-synonymous SNPs could be functional loci located in candidate genes LOC_Os03g53320 and LOC_Os03g53340, respectively.

To confirm the functional gene for ML, we further investigated the relationship between ML and other polymorphic protein-coding differences in the two genes. There were three InDel (Chr3_30605444, Chr3_30605446 and Chr3_30606411) in the CDS of LOC_Os03g53340 in 621 accessions but none in LOC_Os03g53320 (Table S12). However, no significant difference in ML was detected between alleles of each InDel of

Chr2.386229 6.12 8.13 6.07 1.39 0 TN 0.011 Tanaglyand Chr2.386229 6.12 8.13 6.07 1.39 0.51 CT PL 0.014 Expressed Chr3.28676315 6.26 9.65 4.66 11.86 0.51 CT PL 0.074 Expressed Chr3.29676315 6.53 7.31 12.69 7.24 20.72 0.51 GL AV 0.006 Hypotheic Chr3.29605685 6.74 11.89 6.6 9.28 0.51 GL VL $DO17$ Expressed Chr1.21362665 6.53 3.52 3.54 D 2.37 AT LP $DO17$ Expressed Chr1.213626962 6.71 9.71 7.04 2.852 0.51 AT LP $DO17$ Expressed Chr1.213626926 6.71 9.71 7.04 2.852 0.51 AT LP $DO11$ $PLAncoin Expressed$		–log(p) ^a				2000	variation	variation		Functional annotation
$ \begin{array}{ ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			8.13	6.07	1.39	0	GЛ	T/N	0.011	Transglycosylase SLT domain containing
Loc_Oscogassas Transmission Transmissio	-		9 65	466	11 86	15.0	C/T	ЬЛ	0.074	protein, expressed Expressed protein
LOC_060953340 Chri3.3000235 6.7 11.89 6.6 19.12 0.51 G/T D/E 0.076 H/S-ryher LOC_0504555340 Chr/3.4307207 6.53 3.52 3.54 0 2.37 A/T L/P 0.01 RMA recognition LOC_0504555340 Chr/3.4307207 6.53 3.578 6.54 9.26 0.51 A/T L/P 0.01 RMA recognition LOC_0507923980 Chr/1.12552125 6.53 5.78 6.54 9.26 0.51 A/T M/L 0.036 Retrations LOC_0507924010 Chr/1.12552125 6.53 5.78 6.54 9.26 0.51 A/T M/L 0.366 Retrations LOC_0507924010 Chr/1.12552029 6.18 9.26 6.53 2.422 0.51 A/T M/L 0.366 Retrations LOC_0507924010 Chr/1.13728024 6.11 7.04 2.66 3.368 0.51 A/T M/L 0.366 Retrations LOC_0507925400 <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>12.69</td> <td>7.24</td> <td>20.72</td> <td>0.51</td> <td>G/A</td> <td>AV</td> <td>0.069</td> <td>Hypothetical protein</td>	-		12.69	7.24	20.72	0.51	G/A	AV	0.069	Hypothetical protein
LOC_050495850 Chri_3487207 6.53 3.52 3.54 0 2.37 AT LP 0.01 RM record putative.ab LOC_050792380 Chri_12552126 6.53 5.78 6.54 9.26 0 G/A VI 0.047 Expressed LOC_050792390 Chri_13601431 1051 14.9 10.55 14.4 26.52 0.51 AT NH 0.047 Expressed LOC_0507923900 Chri_13601431 1051 14.9 10.35 31 26.45 0.51 AT NH 0.36 Expressed LOC_0507924010 Chri_13728020 6.18 9.26 6.95 28.82 0.51 AT NH 0.36 Expressed LOC_0507924010 Chri_13728020 6.18 9.26 6.95 28.82 0.51 AT NH 0.36 Expressed COC_0507924010 Chr_13728020 6.18 9.26 6.95 28.82 0.51 AT NH 0.36 Expressed Chr			11.89	6.6	19.12	0.51	GЛ	D/E	0.076	HSF-type DNA-binding domain containing protein, expressed
L0C_080792380 Chr_17355125 6.53 5.78 6.54 9.26 0 G/I NI 0.047 Expressed L0C_080792390 Chr_1360266 6.77 9.71 7.04 26.52 0.51 ÅT ML 0.366 Terratrope L0C_0807924010 Chr_13601491 10.51 14.9 10.35 31 0 ÅT ML 0.366 Terratrope L0C_080792410 Chr_13728029 6.17 9.16 6.33 216.45 0.51 17 0.36 Terratrope Chr_1372870 6.27 9.16 6.33 216.45 0.51 17 0.36 Expressed L0C_080792410 Chr_14579644 6.11 9.06 6.53 216.45 0.51 6.7 0.385 Expressed L0C_0807927610 Chr_14579644 6.11 7.06 5.96 5.96 5.96 5.96 6.57 0.51 6.7 0.44 6.59 5.96 6.59 5.96 6.51 7.0 0.7 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>3.52</td><td>3.54</td><td>0</td><td>2.37</td><td>A/T</td><td>L/P</td><td>0.01</td><td>RNA recognition motif containing protein, putative, expressed</td></t<>			3.52	3.54	0	2.37	A/T	L/P	0.01	RNA recognition motif containing protein, putative, expressed
LOC_050723390 Chr7_13602658 6.77 9.71 7.04 26.52 0.51 AT ML 0.366 Tenatricope porten, put optien, put opt optien, put optien,			5.78	6.54	9.26	0	G/A	١٧٨	0.047	Expressed protein
L0C_0507924010 Chr/13611491 1051 14.9 10.35 31 0 A/T S/T 0.26 Hypothatic L0C_0507924170 Chr/13728692 6.73 9.66 6.95 28.82 0.51 7.4 N/K 0.39 Expressed Chr/13728029 6.18 9.26 6.32 31.25 0 G/A N/M 0.38 Expressed Chr/13728029 6.18 9.26 6.53 31.25 0 G/A N/M 0.38 Expressed Chr/13746039 6.13 9.26 6.53 24.22 0 G/A N/M 0.38 Expressed L0C_0507926460 Chr/1457964 6.11 9.06 5.96 23.65 0.51 G/A N/M 0.385 CESA3-ce L0C_0507925460 Chr/1612890 7.58 13.11 7.56 23.65 0.51 G/A N/M 0.385 Expressed L0C_0507927680 Chr/16138165 6.65 12.31 7.56 23.65 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>9.71</td><td>7.04</td><td>26.52</td><td>0.51</td><td>AT</td><td>M/L</td><td>0.366</td><td>Tetratricopeptide repeat domain containing protein, putative, expressed</td></td<>			9.71	7.04	26.52	0.51	AT	M/L	0.366	Tetratricopeptide repeat domain containing protein, putative, expressed
L0C_050724170 Chr7_13728692 6.73 9.66 6.95 28.82 0.51 T/A NK 0.39 Expressed Chr7_13728704 6.27 9.1 6.43 26.45 0.51 6/7 0/H 0.38 Chr7_13728704 6.27 9.1 6.43 26.45 0.51 6/7 0/H 0.38 Chr7_13729329 6.18 9.26 6.53 24.22 0 6/A V/M 0.38 CESA3-cs L0C_050725460 Chr7_1613746039 6.32 31.55 0 6/A V/M 0.385 CESA3-cs L0C_0507257610 Chr7_16151905 6.58 13.11 7.56 33.63 0 6/A N/A 0.385 Expressed L0C_050727630 Chr7_16151805 6.65 13.11 7.56 33.63 0 6/A N/A 0 0.457 Expressed L0C_0507927630 Chr7_16151805 6.65 12.31 6.65 23.65 0 7/A 0.176 0.185 </td <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>14.9</td> <td>10.35</td> <td>31</td> <td>0</td> <td>A/T</td> <td>SЛ</td> <td>0.26</td> <td>Hypothetical protein</td>	-		14.9	10.35	31	0	A/T	SЛ	0.26	Hypothetical protein
Chr7_113728704 6.27 9.1 6.43 26.45 0.51 G/T 0.14 0.388 Chr7_113728329 6.18 9.26 6.32 31.25 0 G/A V/M 0.388 Chr7_113746039 6.32 9.05 6.53 24.22 0 G/A W1 0.385 CESA3-cs LOC_0507925460 Chr7_14579544 6.11 9.06 5.96 5.265 0.51 G/A M1 0.385 CESA3-cs LOC_0507925460 Chr7_16129890 7.58 13.11 7.56 33.63 0 G/A R/C 0.391 Ankyrinrep LOC_050792630 Chr7_16135146 6.57 12 6.5 29.53 0 G/A R/O 0.385 Expressed LOC_050792630 Chr7_16135146 6.57 12 6.5 29.53 0 G/A R/O 0.385 Expressed LOC_050792680 Chr7_16135146 6.57 12 6.5 29.53 0 G/A R/O			9.66	6.95	28.82	0.51	T/A	N/K	0.39	Expressed protein
Chr7_13729329 6.18 9.26 6.32 31.25 0 G/A V/M 0.393 LOC_0s07924190 Chr7_13746039 6.32 9.05 6.53 24.22 0 C/T M/1 0.385 CESA3 - cc LOC_0s07924190 Chr7_13746039 6.32 9.05 6.53 24.22 0 C/T M/1 0.385 CESA3 - cc LOC_0s07927610 Chr7_16129890 7.58 13.11 7.56 33.63 0 G/A R/C 0.394 Ankyrin rep LOC_0s07927630 Chr7_1613805 6.65 13.11 7.56 33.63 0 G/A N/2 0.385 CESA3 - cc LOC_0s07927630 Chr7_1613805 6.65 13.11 7.56 33.63 0 G/A N/2 0.385 Expressed LOC_0s07927680 Chr7_16151805 6.65 12.31 6.65 23.63 0 G/A N/2 0.457 Expressed LOC_0s07927680 Chr1/2151805 6.65 12.31	Chr7_1372870		9.1	6.43	26.45	0.51	G/T	a/H	0.388	
LOC_Oso7g24190 Chr_113746039 6.32 9.05 6.53 24.22 0 C/T M/I 0.385 CESA3-cc LOC_Oso7g25460 Chr7_14579544 6.11 9.06 5.96 22.65 0.51 G/A R/C 0.385 CESA3-cc LOC_Oso7g25460 Chr7_1615990 7.58 13.11 7.56 33.63 0 G/A R/C 0.394 Ankyin rep LOC_Oso7g27630 Chr7_16151905 6.57 12 6.5 29.53 0 G/A R/C 0.385 Expressed LOC_Oso7g27630 Chr7_16151805 6.57 12 6.5 29.53 0 G/A R/C 0.385 Expressed LOC_Oso7g27630 Chr7_16151805 6.55 12.31 6.65 33.63 0 G/A R/C 0.457 Expressed LOC_Oso7g2763060 Chr7_16151805 6.65 12.31 6.17 27.42 0 A/T 1/L 0.251 Hypothetic LOC_Oso9g17800 Chr3_16192231 <td>Chr7_1372935</td> <td></td> <td>9.26</td> <td>6.32</td> <td>31.25</td> <td>0</td> <td>G/A</td> <td>M/M</td> <td>0.393</td> <td></td>	Chr7_1372935		9.26	6.32	31.25	0	G/A	M/M	0.393	
LOC_OS0725460 Chr7_14579544 6.11 9.06 5.96 22.65 0.51 G/A R/C 0.394 Ankyin reportson LOC_OS0727610 Chr7_16129890 7.58 13.11 7.56 33.63 0 G/A R/C 0.334 Ankyin reportson LOC_OS0727610 Chr7_16151805 6.57 12 6.5 29.53 0 G/A R/C 0.438 Expressed LOC_OS0727680 Chr7_16151805 6.65 12.31 6.65 29.53 0 G/A R/Q 0.457 Expressed LOC_OS0727680 Chr7_16151805 6.65 12.31 6.65 23.63 0 G/A R/Q 0.457 Expressed LOC_OS0727680 Chr7_16151805 6.65 12.31 6.65 23.63 0 G/A N/L 0.457 Expressed LOC_OS0727680 Chr7_126121805 6.65 12.31 6.65 23.63 0 G/A N/L 0.457 Expressed LOC_OS0991800 Chr8_			9.05	6.53	24.22	0	СЛ	M/I	0.385	CESA3 - cellulose synthase, expressed
LOC_Os0727610 Chr7_16129890 7.58 13.11 7.56 33.63 0 G/A R/Q 0.438 Expressed LOC_Os0727630 Chr7_16135146 6.57 12 6.5 29.53 0 G/A S/L 0.136 Expressed LOC_Os0727680 Chr7_16151805 6.65 12.31 6.65 29.53 0 G/A S/L 0.136 Expressed LOC_Os0727680 Chr7_16151805 6.65 12.31 6.65 33.63 0 T/A N/L 0.1457 Expressed LOC_Os0739660 Chr1_1613231 6.14 9.65 6.27 27.42 0 A/T H/L 0.231 Hypothetic LOC_Os09317300 Chr8_10613231 6.17 6.26 2.37 0 A/T H/L 0.221 Hypothetic LOC_Os09317300 Chr8_10613231 6.17 6.26 2.337 0 T/A N/E 0.004 Expressed LOC_Os09317300 Chr8_10613231 6.17 6.18	0		9.06	5.96	22.65	0.51	G/A	R/C	0.394	Ankyrin repeat domain containing protein, expressed
LOC_0507g27630 Chr7_16135146 6.57 12 6.5 29.53 0 G/A S/L 0.185 Expressed LOC_0507g27680 Chr7_16151805 6.65 12.31 6.65 33.63 0 T/C T/A 0.457 Expressed LOC_0507g39660 Chr7_16151805 6.65 12.31 6.65 33.63 0 T/C T/A 0.457 Expressed LOC_0507g39660 Chr7_23772528 6.14 9.65 6.47 27.42 0 A/T H/L 0.211 Hypothetic LOC_0509g17550 Chr8_10613231 6.17 6.24 6.18 0 7/A N/F 0.004 Expressed LOC_0509g1730 Chr10_1681425 6.63 8.52 6.25 2.37 0 T/A V/F 0.004 Expressed LOC_0510g03730 Chr10_1681425 6.64 9.13 6.63 4.8 0 T/C E/G 0.004 Expressed LOC_0510g03780 Chr10_1713500 6.69			13.11	7.56	33.63	0	G/A	R/Q	0.438	Expressed protein
LOC_0507g27680 Chr7_16151805 6.65 12.31 6.65 33.63 0 T/C T/A 0.457 Expressed LOC_0507g39660 Chr7_23772528 6.14 9.65 6.47 27.42 0 A/T H/L 0.21 Hypothetic LOC_0508g17350 Chr8_10613231 6.17 6.24 6.18 0 0 G/A N/L 0.21 Hypothetic LOC_0508g17350 Chr8_10613231 6.17 6.24 6.18 0 0 G/A N/L 0.247 Expressed LOC_0508g17350 Chr8_10613231 6.17 6.28 2.37 0 7/A V/E 0.009 Expressed LOC_0510g03730 Chr10_1681425 6.64 9.13 6.63 4.8 0 7/C E/G 0.024 0.878347 LOC_0510g03780 Chr10_1713500 6.69 9.5 6.59 5.64 0 0.7 6.7 0.023 0.7 0.023 0.0145 0.024 0.745 0.011			12	6.5	29.53	0	G/A	S/L	0.185	Expressed protein
LOC_0507g39660 Chr.23772528 6.14 9.65 6.47 27.42 0 A/T H/L 0.221 Hypothetic: LOC_0508g17350 Chr8_10613231 6.17 6.24 6.18 0 0 G/A S/F 0.004 Expressed LOC_0508g17350 Chr9_658055 6.63 8.52 6.25 2.37 0 T/A V/E 0.009 Expressed LOC_0509g11800 Chr9_658055 6.63 8.52 6.25 2.37 0 T/A V/E 0.009 Expressed LOC_0510g03730 Chr10_1681425 6.64 9.13 6.63 4.8 0 T/C E/G 0.009 Expressed LOC_0510g03730 Chr10_1713500 6.69 9.5 6.59 5.64 0 6/A 0.024 0.024 0.024 0.024 0.024 0.024 0.024 0.024 0.0024 0.003 0.012 0.003 0.014 0.024 0.003 0.011 CanboxVI-te 0.011 0.011			12.31	6.65	33.63	0	T/C	T/A	0.457	Expressed protein
LOC_0508g17350 Chr8_10613231 6.17 6.24 6.18 0 6/A S/F 0.004 Expressed LOC_0509911800 Chr9_6598055 6.63 8.52 6.25 2.37 0 T/A V/E 0.003 Expressed LOC_0509911800 Chr9_6598055 6.63 8.52 6.25 2.37 0 T/A V/E 0.003 Expressed LOC_0510903730 Chr10_1681425 6.64 9.13 6.63 4.8 0 T/C E/G 0.024 0.58354 LOC_0510903780 Chr10_1713500 6.69 9.5 6.59 5.64 0 G/A 0.02 0.02835353 LOC_0511910920 Chr11_6031396 8.07 9.64 8.18 2.37 0 A/C V/G 0.011 Carbox/Het	-		9.65	6.47	27.42	0	AT	НЛ	0.221	Hypothetical protein
LOC_0509g11800 Chr0_6558055 6.63 8.52 6.25 2.37 0 T/A V/E 0.009 Expressed LOC_0510g03730 Chr10_1681425 6.64 9.13 6.63 4.8 0 T/C E/G 0.024 05BX347 LOC_0510g03730 Chr10_1681425 6.64 9.13 6.63 4.8 0 T/C E/G 0.024 05BX347 LOC_0510g03780 Chr10_1713500 6.69 9.5 6.59 5.64 0 G/A 0.02 05BX351 LOC_0511g10920 Chr11_6031396 8.07 9.64 8.18 2.37 0 A/C V/G 0.011 CarboxVI-ts			6.24	6.18	0	0	G/A	S/F	0.004	Expressed protein
LOC_Os10g03730 Chr10_1681425 6.64 9.13 6.63 4.8 0 T/C E/G 0.024 0SFBX347 LOC_Os10g03780 Chr10_1713500 6.69 9.5 6.59 5.64 0 G/A 0.02 0.024 0SFBX347 LOC_Os10g03780 Chr10_1713500 6.69 9.5 6.59 5.64 0 G/A 0.02 0SFBX351 LOC_Os11g10920 Chr11_6031396 8.07 9.64 8.18 2.37 0 A/C V/G 0.011 CarboxVI-te			8.52	6.25	2.37	0	T/A	V/E	0.009	Expressed protein
LOC_0s10g03780 Chr10_1713500 6.69 9.5 6.59 5.64 0 G/A Q/* 0.02 0sFBX351 expressed	LOC_Os10g03730		9.13	6.63	4.8	0	T/C	E/G	0.024	OsFBX347 - F-box domain containing protein, expressed
LOC_0s11g10920 Chr11_6031396 8.07 9.64 8.18 2.37 0 A/C V/G 0.011			9.5	6.59	5.64	0	G/A	Q/*	0.02	OsFBX351 - F-box domain containing protein, expressed
	LOC_Os11g10920		9.64	8.18	2.37	0	A/C	D//G	0.011	Carboxyl-terminal proteinase, putative, expressed
LOC_Os11g10990 Chr11_6065939 6.91 8.42 6.92 2.37 0 C/T G/R 0.01 Heat shock protein Dr			8.42	6.92	2.37	0	C/T	G/R	0.01	Heat shock protein DnaJ, putative, expressed

Zhao et al.

8



LOC_Os03g53340. We also measured expression levels of the two candidate genes in mesocotyls using accessions with long- and short-mesocotyls by qRT-PCR. One (LOC_Os03g53320) showed more than 13.8-fold higher expression in short-mesocotyl accessions compared to long-mesocotyl accessions (**Figure 4B**), whereas the other (LOC_Os03g53340) showed no difference in expression levels in mesocotyls between long-mesocotyl and short-mesocotyl genotypes (Figure S10). Since there were not obvious differences in ML between alleles of 3 InDel as well as expression level between long- and short-mesocotyl accessions in LOC_Os03g53340, we suggest that LOC_Os03g53320 is the most likely functional gene for ML.

Here, we rename gene LOC_Os03g53320, which encodes a hypothetical protein, as *OsML1*. There were two nonsynonymous SNPs in *OsML1* among the 621 accessions; only one of these (Chr3_30603087) showed an obvious signal in the integrated analysis (**Figure 4C**). Based on the two nonsynonymous SNPs, we identified three haplotypes of *OsML1* in *indica* with distinct phenotypes. Accessions with haplotype A produced significantly longer mesocotyls than those containing haplotypes B or C (**Figures 4D,E**). Further sequence alignment between the long mesocotyl haplotype A and short mesocotyl haplotypes B and C showed that SNP (Chr3_30603087) could be the functional locus of *OsML1*.

Exploration of Candidate Gene OsML2

We focused on *qFML7-2* with the highest signal in the whole genome. In view of the short physical distance covering *qFML7-2*, *qFML7-3*, and *qFML7-4* we redefined the QTL region based on local LD. As indicated in the LD heatmap seven non-synonymous

SNPs above threshold in *qFML7-2* and *qFML7-3* are in the same LD block (named as Block 1) (**Figure 5A**). We also found strong LDs with r^2 of ≈ 0.9 among the seven SNPs, except for the peak non-synonymous SNP (Chr7_13611491) with r^2 of ≈ 0.5 . These results indicated that one of the seven non-synonymous SNPs within Block 1 could be the functional locus for ML.

After comparisons with previous bi-parental mapping studies we established that the QTL was a new locus for ML. We checked the alleles of all 7 non-synonymous SNPs between the parental accessions of the previous bi-parental mapping populations (Table S13) and no allelic difference could explain why this QTL was not identified in previous mapping studies.

To search for functional SNPs and genes for ML in Block 1, we performed a sequence alignment analysis of the 7 nonsynonymous SNPs in the LD block. In the full population there were four main haplotypes (A–D) involving more than three accessions. Three (B, C, and D) and all four haplotypes were detected in *japonica* and *indica*, respectively (**Figure 5B**). Accessions carrying haplotype C had shorter ML than those carrying B or D, with significant differences in *indica*. The peak non-synonymous SNP (Chr7_13611491) was the only locus with a consistent allele (A) in long mesocotyl haplotypes B and D, whereas allele (T) was present in short mesocotyl haplotype C (**Figure 5B**). We suggest that the peak non-synonymous SNP might be the functional locus for ML in Block 1.

To check the inference we divided the population into groups carrying alleles (A) and (T) of the peak locus, and reexamined the relationship between ML and each of other 6 nonsynonymous SNPs above threshold in Block 1. In 222 and 151 accessions carrying allele (A) in *indica* and *japonica*, respectively,



(bottom) of 10 non-synonymous SNPs above the threshold. A total of 10 red points show non-synonymous SNPs above threshold in *qFML7-2*, *qFML7-3* and *qFML7-4*, and blue arrow indicates possible functional locus in *OsML2* (LOC_Os07g24010). Triangular block (named Block 1) shows region with strong local LD ($r^2 > 0.6$). (**B**) Haplotype analysis (left) of 7 non-synonymous SNPs above threshold in *Block* 1 and comparison of ML (right) among haplotypes in *indica* and *japonica*. Different letters above the violins indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) when analyzed by Duncan's test. Red arrow indicates possible functional locus. (**C**) Independent-sample 7-tests for ML differences between alleles of each of the 6 non-synonymous SNPs in Block 1 using accessions carrying allele (**A**) of the peak signal. (Ch7_13611491). Data in parentheses show number of accessions. Manhattan plots for ML in Block 1. using (**D**) 373 accessions and (**E**) 222 indica accessions carrying allele (**A**) of the peak signal. Red points show 6 non-synonymous SNPs in Block 1. (**F**) Expression analysis of *OsML2* in the mesocotyls from 6 accessions with long- and short-mesocotyls. Names and ML of accessions are plotted on the *X*-axis. Red and blue bars show long- and short-mesocotyl accessions, respectively. Data represent means $\pm s.d$ (n = 3). Asterisks represent significant differences between accessions with long- and short-mesocotyls (**p < 0.01, Student's *t* test). (**G**) Haplotype analysis (left) of 12 non-synonymous SNPs in *OsML2* and comparison of ML (right) among haplotypes of *OsML2* in *indica* and *japonica*.

there was no significant difference in ML between alleles of each non-synonymous SNP (**Figure 5C**). In 104 and 2 accessions carrying allele (T) in *indica* and *japonica*, we detected no allelic diversity in the other 6 non-synonymous SNPs (**Figure 5B**). We performed another complementary GWAS using accessions carrying allele (A) of the peak SNP in the full population and *indica*, respectively. There was no signal for ML in Block 1, and the 6 non-synonymous SNPs showed *p*-values $\langle -\log(p) = 1$ in the full population and *indica* (**Figures 5D,E**). These results showed that the other 6 non-synonymous SNPs were not functional loci associated with ML, despite the higher $-\log(p)$ values in the original Non-Syn GWAS of the full population and *indica* (**Tables 1** and Table S8). We suggest that the signals in the other 6 non-synonymous SNPs were produced in accessions carrying allele (T) of the peak SNP (Chr7_13611491).

We checked the expression level of the candidate LOC_Os07g24010 including non-synonymous SNP Chr7_13611491. There was about a 15.9-fold higher expression

in short-mesocotyl accessions compared to long-mesocotyl accessions (**Figure 5F**). We renamed LOC_Os07g24010 as *OsML2*; it also encoded a hypothetical protein. There were 12 non-synonymous SNPs in *OsML2*. Among them, six (13611166, 13611223, 13611304, 13611463, 13611491, and 13611535) showed high signals with $-\log(p) > 4$ in the Non-Syn GWAS using the full population (Table S14). Based on the 12 non-synonymous SNPs in *OsML2*, four and two haplotypes were identified in *indica* and *japonica*, respectively. There were clear differences in ML among accessions carrying haplotypes A, B, C, or D (**Figure 5G**). The results showed that *OsML2* was an important expressed gene in regulating mesocotyl elongation, and several non-synonymous SNPs were associated with ML.

Cross-Validation of Two Genes for Mesocotyl Length

For efficient utilization of *OsML1* and *OsML2* in breeding for deep-sowing tolerance, it is important to uncover the genotypic

effect of each haplotype and the combined haplotypes of two genes. Based on the above sequence alignment analysis of each gene, we focused on OsML1-A, OsML2-A, OsML2-B, and OsML2-D as long ML haplotypes, whereas OsML1-B, OsML1-C, and OsML2-C were considered to be short ML haplotypes. Among 12 possible allelic combinations of the two genes, eleven could be detected in 621 rice accessions. There were more genetic diversity in *indica*, including 11 allelic combinations, but only 3 allelic combinations were identified in japonica (Figure 6A). Considering the complexity of population structure and genetic background, we performed statistical analysis in indica by one-way ANOVA. The MLs were the shortest in accessions without long ML genotypes, OsML1-C + OsML2-C (0.62 cm) and OsML1-B + OsML2-C (0.87 cm), followed by accessions with only one long ML genotypes, OsML1-C + *OsML2*-A (1.12 cm), *OsML1*-C + *OsML2*-B (1.15 cm), *OsML1*-B + OsML2-A (1.35 cm), OsML1-B + OsML2-B (1.66 cm), OsML1-B + OsML2-D (1.91 cm), OsML1-C + OsML2-D (2.27 cm), while accessions with both long ML genotypes had longest ML, OsML1-A + OsML2-B (3.07 cm), OsML1-A + OsML2-A (3.69 cm), and OsML1-A + OsML2-D (3.76 cm) (Figure 6A). Haplotypes OsML1-A and OsML2-D formed the best combination with longest ML and should be the best genotype for use in molecular marker assisted breeding for long ML.

For validation, we identified accessions with long ML haplotypes for each gene from 3,024 cultivated rice accessions (Li J. Y. et al., 2014; Alexandrov et al., 2015). Three hundred and sixty seven and 982 accessions were detected with *OsML1*-A and *OsML2*-D, respectively, and 110 had both alleles. We selected 7 lines with *OsML2*-D, 2 lines with *OsML1*-A and *OsML2*-A and 1 line with *OsML1*-A and *OsML2*-D, and grew them in a plastic box with 10 cm of soil cover. The mean MLs were 4.57, 4.70, and 3.74 cm, respectively (**Figure 6B**). Unfortunately no seed of other accessions was available, but the results supported the hypothesis that *OsML1* and *OsML2* are functional genes and that the long ML haplotypes acting in an additive manner can be used in molecular marker assisted breeding. Additionally, we demonstrated a powerful strategy for efficient cloning of complex trait QTL that combines Non-Syn GWAS, known linkage map

information, allelic frequencies differences between phenotypic pools, expression analyses and haplotype analyses.

Evidence of Positive Selection on *OsML1* in *Japonica*

To explore whether the two genes were targeted by natural or human selection during rice domestication, we performed signature identification of selection using 621 cultivated and 446 wild rice accessions (Huang et al., 2012a). We extracted the sequencing data including the gene and a 2 kb promoter region, respectively, within *OsML1* and *OsML2*. Selective signal scan were performed within the both genes using the ratio of the genetic diversity in wild rice to that in *indica* and *japonica* (π_W/π_I and π_W/π_J), respectively (**Table 2**). High selective signal was detected within *OsML1* in *japonica* ($\pi_W/\pi_J = 4.59$). The Tajima's *D* was lowest at the value of -2.19, suggesting strong positive selection across *OsML1* in *japonica*.

DISCUSSION

Mesocotyl Elongation Is a Key Trait for Deep-Sowing Tolerance

Rice accessions for dry direct-seeding need have the ability to withstand deep planting for access to moisture or to tolerate

TABLE 2 | Nucleotide diversity and Tajima's *D* Test.

Gene_Population	π	Tajima's D
OsML1_Wild	0.00224	-0.65
OsML1_Cultivated	0.00301	0.20
OsML1_Ind.	0.00365	1.97
OsML1_Jap.	0.00049	-2.20
OsML2_Wild	0.0061	1.31
OsML2_Cultivated	0.01671	1.27
OsML2_Ind.	0.01093	0.30
OsML2_Jap.	0.00604	-1.02

۱,	Han	Jap.		Ind.		В	HAP.	Variety	Subpop.	ML (cm)
	Нар.	Num.	ML (cm)	Num.	ML (cm)		OsML2-D	IRIS 313-9032	Indica	4.3
	OsML1-A + OsML2-A	0	-	3	3.69ª		(Mean: 4.57 cm)	_		
	OsML1-A + OsML2-B	1	1.57	2	3.07 ^{ab}		(,	IRIS_313-11144	Indica	3.38
	OsML1-A + OsML2-C	0	-	0	-			IRIS_313-8731	Indica	6.67
	OsML1-A + OsML2-D	0	-	14	3.76ª			IRIS_313-10134	Indica	4.64
	OSML1-B + OSML2-A	0	-	23	1.35 ^{cde}			IRIS 313-10929	Indica	5.55
Γ	OsML1-B + OsML2-B	141	1.28	14	1.66 ^{cde}			_		
Γ	OsML1-B + OsML2-C	0	-	31	0.87 ^{de}			IRIS_313-10971	Indica	2.68
	OsML1-B + OsML2-D	7	1.59	145	1.91 ^{bcd}			IRIS_313-10978	Indica	4.78
	OsML1-C + OsML2-A	0	-	7	1.12 ^{cde}		OsML1-A+OsML2-A	IRIS 313-11204	Indica	4.14
	OsML1-C + OsML2-B	0	-	1	1.15		(Mean: 4.70 cm)	- IRIS 313-8283	Aus/boro	E 0E
Γ	OsML1-C + OsML2-C	0	-	7	0.62 ^e			IRIS_313-0203	AUS/DUIU	
	OsML1-C + OsML2-D	0	-	9	2.27bc		OsML1-A+OsML2-D	IRIS_313-10980	Indica	3.74

FIGURE 6 | Functional validation of haplotype combinations of OsML1 and OsML2. (A) ML of different combinations of haplotypes in OsML1 and OsML2. Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) detected by one-way ANOVA. (B) Cross-validation by phenotyping of 10 accessions representing different genotypes of OsML1 and OsML2.

variable planting depth associated with machine sowing. Many studies have been carried out to identify deep-sowing tolerant cereal genotypes and to examine the relationship between mesocotyl and/or coleoptile length and deep-sowing tolerance (Turner et al., 1982; Kirby, 1993; Luo et al., 2007; Chung, 2010; Wu et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2016). Here a diverse set of 621 cultivars was tested for deep-sowing tolerance under field conditions and in subsequent depth-of-sowing experiments in plastic boxes. Our results, like previous studies, confirmed two points: (1) ML is induced by deeper soil covering (Wu et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2016), (2) and variation in ML fits a negative binomial distribution and there are few elite cultivated rice genotypes with long mesocotyls (Luo et al., 2007). Moreover, our results showed that ER was not affected greatly until the sowing depth reached 3 cm. Therefore, we suggest that new accessions for dry direct-seeding should have ML of more than 3 cm.

Comparison of ML between the two main rice subgroups showed that average ML in *indica* was significantly longer than in *japonica*. Given that *indica* rice was originally developed from crosses between *japonica* and local wild rice (Huang et al., 2012a) and that weedy and wild rice have longer ML (Cai and Morishima, 2002; Chung, 2010; Eizenga et al., 2016), we suggest that short mesocotyls are probably the result of an evolutionary change due to the long period of traditional rice cultivation by transplantation. Weedy and wild rice could be elite genetic resources for solving the problem of poor seedling establishment in direct-seeded rice (Chung, 2010). However, in the present work we also identified several germplasm with long mesocotyls and good seedling emergence under deep sown conditions and those elite germplasm can be used as parents in breeding rice accessions for dry direct-seeding.

Non-syn GWAS Was Conducive in Identifying Functional Loci and Alleles

GWAS has become a common method in searching for candidate genes underlying target traits in rice (Huang X. et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2012b; Si et al., 2016; Yano et al., 2016). The Mixed Linear Model (MLM) with PC and kinship is efficient in decreasing false positives due to population structure and relationships among individuals (Yu et al., 2006). However, for complex traits affected by population structure, MLM weakens the real association and reduces signal strength of known genes. CMLM improves the statistical power by using group kinship (Zhang et al., 2010a). Constructing a trait-specific kinship derived from weighted SNP analysis is another strategy to improve MLM, as achieved by SUPER and FarmCPU (Wang et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016). We derived two sets of SNPs (groups I and II) from 15 million polymorphisms according to annotated gene locations and possible influence on gene function, and then we obtained 572,511 non-synonymous SNPs and 1,801,421 SNPs located in the 5' sequences of genes (≤ 1 Kb upstream of the first ATG) by this approach. Finally, we performed and compared GWAS using these groups to search for candidate genes affecting ML. this provides an alternative solution for constructing a trait-specific kinship derived from polymorphisms that can be screened out according to biological function of target traits. Additionally, this greatly reduced the computational burden by performing GWAS using these SNPs that accounted for amino acid sequence and expression.

There were two differences between Non-Syn GWAS and GWAS using a random sample of genetic markers (group III), one was the loci, the other was PC and kinship matrix derived from these loci. In our studies, we assessed their impact on the GWAS results. By comparison of GWAS between ML and SNPs in group I using different PC and kinship, Non-Syn GWAS detected the same SNPs for ML as GWAS with PC and kinship derived from group III. The results suggested that CMLM using PC and kinship derived from group I had same efficiency as CMLM using PC and kinship from group III. Further comparison of Non-Syn GWAS and GWAS using group III indicated that there were less loci in QTL regions (local LD block of peak SNP) identified by Non-Syn GWAS. Thus, we were able to quickly and conveniently identify functional loci by the integrated analysis without confounding by other loci with little likelihood of biological function.

OsML1 and *OsML2* Are Natural Variants for Mesocotyl Length, and Could Be Useful in Breeding for Deep-Sowing Tolerance

Four of 13 QTLs in Non-Syn GWAS of the full population overlapped with loci identified in previous linkage mapping studies (Cai and Morishima, 2002; Ouyang et al., 2005; Huang C. et al., 2010; Lee H. S. et al., 2012). Among them, *qFML3-2* located in chromosome 3 was previously detected four times in bi-parental mapping studies. However, none QTL in Non-Syn GWAS overlapped with association signal in previous GWAS (Wu et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2016). The most likely reason for this finding was that different depths of soil cover were applied in these GWAS (Wu et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2016).

Interestingly, both genes showed higher expression in shortmesocotyl accessions compared to long-mesocotyl accessions. Furthermore, long ML haplotypes of both genes (*OsML1*-A, *OsML2*-A, *OsML2*-B, and *OsML2*-D) could be loss-of-function or partial loss-of-function variations. Nucleotide diversity analysis and Tajima's D Test showed that *OsML1* was strongly directly selected in *japonica* accessions. These results supported that short ML was a target of selection during the long term process of conversion from wild rice to *japonica* under the traditional transplanting system.

Sequence alignment of two genes also showed that there was less genetic diversity of *OsML1* and *OsML2* in *japonica*, and most of *japonica* rice had haplotypes *OsML1*-B and *OsML2*-B associated with short mesocotyls. However, there were significant differences among *OsML1* and *OsML2* haplotypes in *indica*. Superior haplotypes with long mesocotyls (*OsML1*-A and *OsML2*-D) can be used for molecular marker assistant selection, and those two genes can also be further cloned and analyzed, then used for gene transformation and breeding of rice accessions for deep-sowing tolerance.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YZ, WZ, CJ, JL, and ZL designed the research, performed most of experiments and analyzed the data. XiaW, HX, ET, ZY, YC, and

XinW performed part of the experiments. JX, YP, MR, and HZ conceived and supervised the project. YZ and ZL conceived the experiment and wrote the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Program of Science and Technology cooperation (2015DFG31900), Key Program of Hainan Department of Science and Technology (ZDYF2016217),

REFERENCES

- Alexandrov, N., Tai, S., Wang, W., Mansueto, L., Palis, K., Fuentes, R. R., et al. (2015). SNP-seek database of SNPs derived from 3000 rice genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, 1023–1027. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1039
- Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. 57, 289–300.
- Cai, W., and Morishima, H. (2002). QTL clusters reflect character associations in wild and cultivated rice. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 104, 1217–1228. doi: 10.1007/s00122-001-0819-7
- Cao, L., Zhu, J., Yan, Q., He, L., Wei, X., and Cheng, S. (2002). Mapping QTLs with epistasis for mesocotyl length in a DH population from *indica-japonica* cross of rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Chin. J. Rice Sci.* 16, 221–224.
- Chen, F., Jiang, L., Zheng, J., Huang, R., Wang, H., Hong, Z., et al. (2014). Identification of differentially expressed proteins and phosphorylated proteins in rice seedlings in response to strigolactone treatment. *PLoS ONE* 9:e93947. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0093947
- Chung, N. J. (2010). Elongation habit of mesocotyls and coleoptiles in weedy rice with high emergence ability in direct-seeding on dry paddy fields. *Crop Pas. Sci.* 61, 911–917. doi: 10.1071/CP10099
- Eizenga, G. C., Neves, P. C. F., Bryant, R. J., Agrama, H. A., and Mackill, D. J. (2016). Evaluation of a M-202 × Oryza nivara advanced backcross mapping population for seedling vigor, yield components and quality. *Euphytica* 208, 157–171. doi: 10.1007/s10681-015-1613-y
- Farooq, M., Barsa, S. M. A., and Wahid, A. (2006a). Priming of field-sown rice seed enhances germination, seedling establishment, allometry and yield. *Plant Growth Regul.* 49, 285–294. doi: 10.1007/s10725-006-9138-y
- Farooq, M., Basra, S. M. A., Tabassum, R., and Afzal, I. (2006b). Enhancing the performance of direct seeded fine rice by seed priming. *Plant Prod. Sci.* 9, 446–456. doi: 10.1626/pps.9.446
- Farooq, M., Siddique, K. H. M., Rehman, H., Aziz, T., Lee, D. J., and Wahid, A. (2011). Rice direct seeding: experiences, challenges and opportunities. *Soil Tillage Res.* 111, 87–98. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2010.10.008
- Feng, F., Mei, H., Fan, P., Li, Y., Xu, X., Wei, H., et al. (2017). Dynamic transcriptome and phytohormone profiling along the time of light exposure in the mesocotyl of rice seedling. *Sci. Rep.* 7:11961. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-12326-2
- Gao, Y., Wang, G., Yuan, S., Qin, Y., Zhao, J., Zhang, Y., et al. (2014). Phenotypic analysis and molecular characterization of an allelic mutant of the *D61* gene in rice. *Crop J.* 2, 175–182. doi: 10.1016/j.cj.2014.04.003
- Hu, Z., Yamauchi, T., Yang, J., Jikumaru, Y., Tsuchida-Mayama, T., Ichikawa, H., et al. (2014). Strigolactone and cytokinin act antagonistically in regulating rice mesocotyl elongation in darkness. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 55, 30–41. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pct150
- Hu, Z., Yan, H., Yang, J., Yamaguchi, S., Masahiko, M., Takamure I., et al. (2010). Strigolactones negatively regulate mesocotyl elongation in rice during germination and growth in darkness. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 51, 1136–1142. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcq075
- Huang, C., Jiang, S. K., Feng, L. L., Xu, Z. J., and Chen, W. F. (2010). QTL analysis for mesocotyl length in rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). Acta Agron. Sin. 36, 1108–1113. doi: 10.1016/S1875-2780(09)60060-2
- Huang, X., Kurata, N., Wei, X., Wang, Z. X., Wang, A., Zhao, Q., et al. (2012a). A map of rice genome variation reveals the origin of cultivated rice. *Nature* 490, 497–501. doi: 10.1038/nature11532

and the China National Key Technologies Research and Developments Program (2015BAD02B01, 2013BAD01B02-15 and 2016YFD0100101).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2018. 00332/full#supplementary-material

- Huang, X., Wei, X., Sang, T., Zhao, Q., Feng, Q., Zhao, Y., et al. (2010). Genomewide association studies of 14 agronomic traits in rice landraces. *Nat. Genet.* 42, 961–967. doi: 10.1038/ng.695
- Huang, X., Zhao, Y., Wei, X., Li, C., Wang, A., Zhao, Q., et al. (2012b). Genome-wide association study of flowering time and grain yield traits in a worldwide collection of rice germplasm. *Nat. Genet.* 44, 32–39. doi: 10.1038/ ng.1018
- Kameoka, H., and Kyozuka, J. (2015). Downregulation of rice DWARF 14 LIKE suppress mesocotyl elongation via a strigolactone independent pathway in the dark. J. Genet. Genomics 42, 119–124. doi: 10.1016/j.jgg.2014.12.003
- Kirby, E. J. M. (1993). Effect of sowing depth on seedling emergence, growth and development in barley and wheat. *Field Crops Res.* 35, 101–111. doi: 10.1016/0378-4290(93)90143-B
- Lee, H., Kang, J., Chung, N., Choi, K., and Ahn, S. (2012). Identification of molecular markers for mesocotyl elongation in weedy rice. *Korean J. Breed. Sci.* 44, 238–244.
- Lee, H. S., Sasaki, K., Higashitani, A., Ahn, S. N., and Sato, T. (2012). Mapping and characterization of quantitative trait loci for mesocotyl elongation in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Rice* 5, 1–10. doi: 10.1186/1939-8433-5-13
- Li, J. Y., Wang, J., and Zeigler, R. S. (2014). The 3,000 rice genomes project: new opportunities and challenges for future rice research. *Gigascience* 3:8. doi: 10.1186/2047-217X-3-8
- Li, Z., Fu, B., Gao, Y., Wang, W., Xu, J., Zhang, F., et al. (2014). The 3,000 rice genomes project. *Gigascience* 3:7. doi: 10.1186/2047-217X-3-7
- Liang, Q., Wang, C., Ma, D., Li, L., Cui, Z., Wang, X., et al. (2016). Cortical microtubule disorganized related to an endogenous gibberellin increase plays an important role in rice mesocotyl elongation. *Plant Biotechnol.* 33, 59–69. doi: 10.5511/plantbiotechnology.16.0205a
- Liu, X., Huang, M., Fan, B., Buckler, E. S., and Zhang, Z. (2016). Iterative usage of fixed and random effect models for powerful and efficient genome-wide association studies. *PLoS Genet.* 12:e1005767. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005767
- Lu, Q., Zhang, M., Niu, X., Wang, C., Xu, Q., Feng, Y., et al. (2016). Uncovering novel loci for mesocotyl elongation and shoot length in *indica* rice through genome-wide association mapping. *Planta* 243, 645–657. doi: 10.1007/s00425-015-2434-x
- Luo, J., Tang, S., Hu, P., Aleman, L., Jiao, G., and Tang, J. (2007). Analysis on factors affecting seedling establishment in rice. *Rice Sci.* 14, 27–32. doi: 10.1016/S1672-6308(07)60005-5
- Mgonja, M. A., Ladeinde, T. A. O., and Aken'Ova, M. E. (1993). Genetic analysis of mesocotyl length and its relationship with other agronomic characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Euphytica* 72, 189–195. doi: 10.1007/BF00034157
- Nei, M. (1987). *Molecular Evolutionary Genetics*. Manhattan: Columbia University Press.
- Ouyang, Y. N., Zhang, Q. Y., Zhang, K. Q., Yu, S. M., Zhuang, J. Y., Jin, Q. Y., et al. (2005). QTL mapping and interaction analysis of genotype x environment (Fe²⁺-concentrations) for mesocotyl length in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Acta Genet. Sin. 32, 712–718.
- Pan, Y., Zhang, H., Zhang, D., Li, J., Xiong, H., Yu, J., et al. (2015). Genetic analysis of cold tolerance at the germination and booting stages in rice by association mapping. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0120590. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0120590
- Redoña, E. D., and Mackill, D. J. (1996a). Genetic variation for seedling vigor traits in rice. *Crop Sci.* 36, 285–290. doi: 10.2135/cropsci1996.0011183X003600020012x

- Redoña, E. D., and Mackill, D. J. (1996b). Mapping quantitative trait loci for seedling vigor in rice using RFLPs. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 92, 395–402. doi: 10.1007/BF00223685
- Si, L., Chen, J., Huang, X., Gong, H., Luo, J., Hou, Q., et al. (2016). OsSPL13 controls grain size in cultivated rice. Nat. Genet. 48, 447–458. doi: 10.1038/ng.3518
- Simon, A., Yuri, S., Hironobu, S., and Kenji, I. (2011). Relationship between coleoptile and mesocotyl elongation of upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seedlings under submergence and soil-sand culture. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.* 6, 6463–6472. doi: 10.5897/AJAR11.1506
- Tajima, F. (1989). Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. *Genetics* 123, 585–595.
- Tamiru, M., Abe, A., Utsushi, H., Yoshida, K., Takagi, H., Fujisaki, K., et al. (2014). The tillering phenotype of the rice plastid terminal oxidase (PTOX) loss-offunction mutant is associated with strigolactone deficiency. *New Phytol.* 202, 116–131. doi: 10.1111/nph.12630
- Turner, F. T., Chen, C. C., and Bollich, C. N. (1982). Coleoptile and mesocotyl lengths in semidwarf rice seedlings. *Crop Sci.* 22, 43–46. doi: 10.2135/cropsci1982.0011183X002200010010x
- Wang, Q., Tian, F., Pan, Y., Buckler, E. S., and Zhang, Z. (2014). A SUPER powerful method for genome wide association study. *PLoS ONE* 9:e107684. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0107684
- Watanabe, H., Adachi, Y., and Saigusa, M. (2015). Synergistic effects of ethephon and gibberellic acid on the growth of rice seedlings grown under field and environmentally controlled conditions. J. Agron. 14, 87–92. doi: 10.3923/ja.2015.87.92
- Wu, J., Feng, F., Lian, X., Teng, X., Wei, H., Yu, H., et al. (2015). Genome-wide Association Study (GWAS) of mesocotyl elongation based on re-sequencing approach in rice. *BMC Plant Biol.* 15:218. doi: 10.1186/s12870-015-0608-0
- Wu, M., Zhang, G., Lin, J., and Cheng, S. (2005). Screening for rice germplasms with specially-elongated mesocotyl. *Rice Sci.* 12, 226–228.
- Xiong, Q., Ma, B., Lu, X., Huang, Y. H., He, S. J., Yang, C., et al. (2017). Ethyleneinhibited jasmonic acid biosynthesis promotes mesocotyl/coleoptile elongation of etiolated rice seedlings. *Plant Cell* 29, 1053–1072. doi: 10.1105/tpc.16.00981

- Yano, K., Yamamoto, E., Aya, K., Takeuchi, H., Lo, P. C., Hu, L., et al. (2016). Genome-wide association study using whole-genome sequencing rapidly identifies new genes influencing agronomic traits in rice. *Nat. Genet.* 48, 927–934. doi: 10.1038/ng.3596
- Yu, J., Pressoir, G., Briggs, W. H., Vroh, B. I., Yamasaki, M., Doebley, J. F., et al. (2006). A unified mixed-model method for association mapping that accounts for multiple levels of relatedness. *Nat. Genet.* 38, 203–208. doi: 10.1038/ ng1702
- Yu, S. B., Xu, W. J., Vijayakumar, C. H., Ali, J., Fu, B. Y., Xu, J. L., et al. (2003). Molecular diversity and multilocus organization of the parental lines used in the International Rice Molecular Breeding Program. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 108, 131–140. doi: 10.1007/s00122-003-1400-3
- Zhang, H., Zhang, D., Wang, M., Sun, J., Qi, Y., Li, J., et al. (2011). A core collection and mini core collection of *Oryza sativa* L. in China. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 122, 49–61. doi: 10.1007/s00122-010-1421-7
- Zhang, Z., Ersoz, E., Lai, C. Q., Todhunter, R. J., Tiwari, H. K., Gore, M. A., et al. (2010a). Mixed linear model approach adapted for genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* 42, 355–360. doi: 10.1038/ng.546
- Zhang, Z., Liu, J., Ding, X., Bijma, P., de Koning, D. J., and Zhang, Q. (2010b). Best linear unbiased prediction of genomic breeding values using a trait-specific marker-derived relationship matrix. *PLoS ONE* 5:e12648. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0012648

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Zhao, Zhao, Jiang, Wang, Xiong, Todorovska, Yin, Chen, Wang, Xie, Pan, Rashid, Zhang, Li and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.