



Corrigendum: Waterlogging of Winter Crops at Early and Late Stages: Impacts on Leaf Physiology, Growth and Yield

Rocío Antonella Ploschuk¹, Daniel Julio Miralles¹, Timothy David Colmer², Edmundo Leonardo Ploschuk³ and Gustavo Gabriel Striker^{1,2*}

¹ IFEVA, Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires, CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina, ² Faculty of Science, School of Agriculture and Environment, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, Australia, ³ Facultad de Agronomía, Cátedra de Cultivos Industriales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Keywords: waterlogging, crops, aerenchyma, photosynthesis, yield

A Corrigendum on

Waterlogging of Winter Crops at Early and Late Stages: Impacts on Leaf Physiology, Growth and Yield

by Ploschuk RA, Miralles DJ, Colmer TD, Ploschuk EL and Striker GG. (2018). *Front. Plant Sci.* 9:1863. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01863

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Johannes Kromdijk,
University of Cambridge,
United Kingdom

*Correspondence:

Gustavo Gabriel Striker
striker@agro.uba.ar

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Crop and Product Physiology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 16 December 2019

Accepted: 24 December 2019

Published: 04 February 2020

Citation:

Ploschuk RA, Miralles DJ, Colmer TD,
Ploschuk EL and Striker GG (2020)

Corrigendum: Waterlogging of Winter Crops at Early and Late Stages: Impacts on Leaf Physiology, Growth and Yield.
Front. Plant Sci. 10:1806.
doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01806

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Table 3** and legend as published. There were some unintentional errors in the values of the reported shoot dry mass. The corrected **Table 3** and legend appears below.

TABLE 3 | Shoot, root and seed dry mass (g per plant) of mature plants of wheat, barley, rapeseed and field pea under control, and after early-waterlogging (Early wl) and late-waterlogging (Late wl) treatments followed by a recovery period.

	Control	Early wl	Late wl
Wheat			
Shoot	22.4 ± 0.4 a	23.3 ± 0.9 (104) a	16.9 ± 1.1 (75) b
Root	5.3 ± 0.3 a	4.8 ± 0.02 (90) a	3.5 ± 0.3 (66) b
Seed	8.9 ± 0.3 a	7.6 ± 0.5 (86) b	6.3 ± 0.4 (71) c
Barley			
Shoot	29.9 ± 1.4 a	28.9 ± 1.6 (97) a	10.5 ± 1.3 (35) b
Root	7.5 ± 0.6 a	5.1 ± 1.0 (69) b	0.5 ± 0.1 (7) c
Seed	10.6 ± 0.4 a	9.0 ± 0.5 (85) b	3.4 ± 0.4 (32) c
Rapeseed			
Shoot	19.3 ± 0.5 a	16.3 ± 0.7 (84)b	10.4 ± 1.6 (54) c
Root	5.0 ± 0.3 a	3.0 ± 0.4 (60) b	2.5 ± 0.3 (50) b
Seed	5.7 ± 0.2 a	4.5 ± 0.1 (79) b	1.5 ± 0.3 (26) c
Field pea			
Shoot	13.7 ± 2.1 a	2.0 ± 0.3 (15) b	4.2 ± 1.0 (31) b
Root	0.9 ± 0.1 a	0.1 ± 0.02 (10) b	0.3 ± 0.1 (29) b
Seed	7.5 ± 0.8 a	0.3 ± 0.1 (4) b	0.6 ± 0.2 (8) b

Values attained by plants following waterlogging and recovery periods are given as the percentage of controls in brackets. Different letters across a row denote significant differences among treatments within a species based on Fisher's LSD test ($P = 0.05$). Values are means ± standard errors of 6 replicates.

Further, due to the error reported above, a correction has also been made to the Results section, subsection Dry Mass and Seed Mass Responses Are Affected by Early- and Late Waterlogging, paragraphs one, two, and four:

"In wheat, waterlogging at the early-stage did not impact on shoot or root dry mass, but seed per plant produced was 86% of controls (**Table 3**). In contrast, late-waterlogging significantly reduced both root and shoot dry mass as they attained 75% of controls, and there was a reduction in seed mass (71% of controls) (**Table 3**)."

"In barley, early-waterlogged plants attained 69% of controls in root dry mass, but shoots were unaffected. Seed mass of stressed plants represented 85% of controls (**Table 3**). Conversely, late-waterlogging caused a drastic reduction in dry masses of both roots and shoots (stressed plants attained 7 and 35% of controls, respectively), and these plants produced seed mass about 32% of controls (**Table 3**)."

"Field pea was the most adversely impacted species by waterlogging. Early-waterlogging provoked great losses of root and shoot mass (plants attained 10 and 15% of controls, respectively) (**Table 3**). Late-waterlogging reduced these components to 29 and 31% of controls for roots and shoots, respectively (**Table 3**). Seed production was considerably reduced by both waterlogging treatments, where early- and late-waterlogged plants had only 4.4 and 9.5% of seed mass compared to controls (**Table 3**)."

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2020 Ploschuk, Miralles, Colmer, Ploschuk and Striker. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.