



Dissection of Superior Alleles for Yield-Related Traits and Their Distribution in Important Cultivars of Wheat by Association Mapping

Xiaojun Li^{1*}, Xin Xu², Weihua Liu³, Xiuquan Li³, Xinming Yang³, Zhengang Ru^{1*} and Lihui Li^{3*}

¹ School of Life Science and Technology, Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Collaborative Innovation Center of Modern Biological Breeding, Henan Province, Henan Provincial Key Laboratory of Hybrid Wheat, Xinxiang, China, ² Department of Life Sciences and Technology, Xinxiang University, Xinxiang, China, ³ The National Key Facility for Crop Gene Resources and Genetic Improvement (NFCRI), Institute of Crop Sciences, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Hanwei Mei, Shanghai Agrobiological Gene Center, China

Reviewed by:

Sivakumar Sukumaran, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center, Mexico Yusheng Zhao, Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Germany

*Correspondence:

Xiaojun Li lixiaojun227@163.com Zhengang Ru ruzg58@163.com Lihui Li lilihui63@163.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Breeding, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 23 July 2019 Accepted: 05 February 2020 Published: 02 March 2020

Citation:

Li X, Xu X, Liu W, Li X, Yang X, Ru Z and Li L (2020) Dissection of Superior Alleles for Yield-Related Traits and Their Distribution in Important Cultivars of Wheat by Association Mapping. Front. Plant Sci. 11:175. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00175 Uncovering the genetic basis of yield-related traits is important for molecular improvement of wheat cultivars. In this study, a genome-wide association study was conducted using the wheat 55K genotyping assay and a diverse panel of 384 wheat genotypes. The accessions used included 18 founder parents and 15 widely grown cultivars with annual maximum acreages of over 667,000 ha, and the remaining materials were elite cultivars and breeding lines from several major wheat ecological areas of China. Field trials were conducted in five major wheat ecological regions of China over three consecutive years. A total of 460 significant loci were detected for eight yield-related traits. Forty-five superior alleles distributed over 31 loci for which differences in phenotypic values grouped by single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) reached significant levels (P < 0.05) in nine or more environments, were detected; some of these loci were previously reported. Eleven of the 31 superior allele loci on chromosomes 4A, 5A, 3B, 5B, 6B, 7B, 5D, and 7D had pleiotropic effects. For example, AX-95152512 on 5D was simultaneously related to increased grain weight per spike (GWS) and decreased plant height (PH); AX-109860828 on 5B simultaneously led to a high 1,000-kernel weight (TKW) and short PH; and AX-111600193 on 4A was simultaneously linked to a high TKW and GWS, and short PH. The favorable alleles in each accession ranged from 2 to 30 with an average of 16 at the thirtyone loci in the population, and six accessions (Zhengzhou683, Suzhou7829, Longchun7, Ningmai6, Yunmai35 and Zhen7630) contained more than 27 favorable alleles. A significant association between the number of favorable alleles and yield was observed (r = 0.799, p < 0.0001), suggesting that pyramiding multiple QTL with marker-assisted selection may effectively increase yield of wheat. Furthermore, distribution of superior alleles in founder parents and widely grown cultivars was also discussed here. This study is useful for marker-assisted selection for yield improvement and dissecting the genetic mechanism of important cultivars in wheat.

Keywords: bread wheat, association mapping, superior allele, yield-related traits, founder parent, widely grown cultivar

INTRODUCTION

Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most important staple crops globally. In the last decade, wheat yield and total production have increased significantly due to the release and utilization of new cultivars worldwide, however the current yield gain trends are still insufficient to feed a global population of 9 billion by 2050 (Ray et al., 2012). In particular, some authors (Reif et al., 2005; Tian et al., 2005) have suggested that the genetic diversity of wheat has been increasingly narrowed; thus, it is very important to discover more genetic loci controlling yield-related traits to broaden the genetic variation and accelerate varietal improvement in future wheat breeding.

Most important agronomic traits in plants are controlled by multiple genes and are significantly influenced by the environment. The method most widely used way to identify quantitative trait loci (QTLs) in plants generally depends on bi-parental population-based linkage analysis. To date, many QTLs in wheat such as those associated with yield-related traits (Cui et al., 2014), quality (Cabrera et al., 2015), preharvest sprouting tolerance (Kulwal et al., 2005) and flag leaf traits (Wu Q. et al., 2015) have been identified using linkage mapping studies. However, genes identified by this method are restricted to one bi-parental experimental population, and the limited number of reorganization events occurring at genetic loci during the development of the mapping population leads to QTLs with low resolution (Holland, 2007). Common QTLs across different mapping populations are also rarely defined or explored (Cui et al., 2014). Therefore, there is limited potential for these detected QTLs to be used in practical plant breeding.

Alternatively, association mapping is a population-based survey method used to identify trait-marker relationships based on linkage disequilibrium (LD). Compared with traditional linkage analysis, association studies of natural diversity panels can provide higher resolution in terms of defining the genomic position of a gene or QTL. Early association studies in plants used the candidate gene approach to identify specific single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) or genes controlling traits of interest, such as the Glu-B1-1 and Glu1Bx genes in wheat (Ravel et al., 2006), dwarf8 gene in maize (Zea mays L.) (Thornsberry et al., 2001), flowering time genes in barley (Hordeumvulgare L.) (Stracke et al., 2009) and Arabidopsis (Aranzana et al., 2005), and genes governing seed oil concentration in soybean (Glycine max L. Merr.) (Eskandari et al., 2013). Subsequently, because of the multiallelism and higher level of polymorphism at simple sequence repeat (SSR) loci than at other types of markers, a genome-wide association study (GWAS) has successfully been carried out in a few studies using SSR markers. Breseghello and Sorrells (2006) found that 14 and 6 SSR markers were associated with kernel size and milling quality in a collection of hexaploid winter wheat from the eastern USA. Wang et al. (2012); Zhang et al. (2012); Guo et al. (2015), and Yao et al. (2009) detected dozens of SSR loci associated with yield-related traits using different association populations. Kollers et al. (2013) identified 794 significant marker-trait associations (MTAs) involving 323 SSR alleles controlling Fusarium head blight resistance in a panel of 372 European wheat varieties. However, the density of markers in these association studies was relatively low.

With the rapid development of next-generation sequencing and high-density marker genotyping techniques, GWAS has become an increasingly popular and effective method for studying complex traits in various plant species such as wheat (Liu et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017), maize (Tian et al., 2011), rice (Oryza sativa L.) (Wu J. et al., 2015), soybean (Hwang et al., 2014), barley (Kraakman et al., 2006), Aegilopstauschii (Liu et al., 2015), and cotton (Gossypium spp.) (Gapare et al., 2017). Recently, diversity array technology (DArT) markers were used to identify associations with different traits (Yu et al., 2011; Bordes et al., 2014). For example, Crossa et al. (2007) used 813 DArT markers to identify associations with resistance to stem rust, leaf rust, yellow rust, and powdery mildew was well as grain yield in five historical wheat international multienvironment trials at the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT). Gouis et al. (2012) detected 62 markers individually associated to earliness components corresponding to 33 chromosomal regions in a 227-wheat accessions. Three hundred and eighty-five significant MTAs were reported by Neumann et al. (2011) in 96 wheat accessions. At present, high-density SNP genotyping arrays including 9K, 90K, 660K, and 820K SNP assays have been developed in wheat (Rasheed et al., 2017), and some of them have been used to perform a GWAS in a few studies. For instance, the 90K wheat SNP chip has been used to study the genetic control of yield-related traits in a panel of 66 elite wheat accessions derived from Xiaoyan6 and identify a total of 803 significant MTAs that explain up to 35.0% of the phenotypic variation (Ma et al., 2018). A GWAS of 163 bread wheat cultivars from the Yellow and Huai Valley of China using the 90K SNP genotyping chip identified dozens of loci controlling 13 agronomic traits that explained approximately 20% of the phenotypic variation on average (Sun et al., 2017). Four QTLs for grain colour and 12 QTLs for preharvest sprouting resistance were identified in at least two environments in a panel of 185 U.S. elite breeding lines and cultivars using the wheat 9K and 90K SNP arrays (Lin et al., 2016). Recently, a newly developed wheat 55K SNP genotyping assay was designed by the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences based on the wheat 660K SNP array, and it is genome-specific with higher polymorphism and informativeness and is highly cost-effective with a wide range of potential applications.

China is the largest wheat producer in the world, and the annual wheat production shares total approximately 20% of global wheat production (He, 2001). Recently, GWASs were performed for vieldrelated traits in 163 wheat cultivars from the Yellow and Huai Valley of China (Sun et al., 2017) and for quality-related traits in 192 wheat lines from southwest China using the 90K SNP genotyping chip, for agronomic traits in Chinese wheat landraces using DArT markers (Liu et al., 2017), and for phosphorus-deficiency tolerance traits in Aegilopstauschii using a 10K SNP array (Liu et al., 2015). In this study, a GWAS for yield and yield-related traits in 15 environments across five main agro-ecological zones was performed in a diverse panel of 384 wheat cultivars and excellent germplasm collections from several major wheat ecological areas by using the newly developed wheat 55K genotyping assay. Our main objectives were to identify some major stable SNPs with superior alleles for yieldrelated traits and to investigate the genetic difference between founder parents and widely planted cultivars. This study is useful for marker-assisted selection for yield improvement and dissecting the genetic mechanism of important cultivars in wheat.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Phenotyping

A set of 384 diverse wheat cultivars was used in this study (Table S1). Three hundred and thirty-eight of them originated from eleven Chinese provinces, namely Hebei (65), Henan (62), Jiangsu (56), Shaanxi (53), Gansu (23), Shandong (20), Sichuan (15), Shanxi (12), Hubei (12), Heilongjiang (10), and Fujian (10). Twenty foreign varieties were also included. These cultivars included 18 founder parents and 15 widely grown cultivars with annual maximum acreages of over 667,000 ha (Zhuang, 2003). These accessions were planted in randomized complete blocks with two or three replicates in five major wheat ecological regions, including Yangling (108.08°E, 34.27°N) in Shaanxi Province, Tai'an (117.09°E, 36.21°N) in Shandong Province, Shijiazhuang (114.52°E, 38.05°N) in Hebei Province, Chengdou (104.08°E, 30.66°N) in Sichuan Province, and Yangzhou (119.42°E, 32.40°N) in Jiangsu Province in the 2007, 2008, and 2009 planting seasons. Each plot consisted of 200 plants that were grown in five rows 2 m long and spaced 30 cm apart. Ten plants from the centre of each plot were measured to investigate the following traits: plant height (PH), spike length (SL), fertile spikelet number per spike (FSNS), total spikelet number per spike (TSNS), kernel number per spike (KNS), spike number per plant (SNPP), 1,000-kernel weight (TKW), and grain weight per spike (GWS). The yield (YD) of each plot was also surveyed. However, not all the traits were recorded in all environments (Table S2). Broad sense heritability was calculated based on the ANOVA model as described by Ma et al. (2018).

SNP Genotyping

Genomic DNA of each accession was extracted using the method from Saghai-Maroof et al. (1984). The DNA was genotyped with the high-density Illumina Infinium iSelect 55K SNP array. Markers with a minor allele frequency of less than 5% or showed more than 10% missing values were removed from the data set. Finally, 50,374 SNP markers were used for association analysis.

Population Structure

Population structure was characterized using 8,000 SNPs distributed evenly on 21 wheat chromosomes in STRUCTURE2.3.4 (Pritchard et al., 2000). The number of presumed subpopulations (K) was 2 to 19 and an admixture model and correlated allelic frequencies were assumed. Ten runs of STRUCTURE were performed with 50,000 replicates for a burn-in and 10,000 replicates for Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis. The number of subpopulations and the best output were determined following the Δ K method (Evanno, 2005). A neighbour-joining tree was also constructed by TASSEL3.0 software.

Association Study

Association analysis was conducted between SNP markers and phenotypic data in individual environments and best linear

unbiased prediction (BLUP) values across all environments with TASSEL3.0 software. The mixed linear model (MLM) with population structure and kinship coefficients was applied. The threshold for the P value (1.98×10^{-5}) was calculated based on the number of the markers (P = 1/n, n = total number of SNPs used) according to the method reported by Li et al. (2013). For multiple comparison adjustment, false discovery rate (FDR)adjusted P values (q values) were also calculated for each trait (Storey, 2002).

RESULTS

Agronomic Traits and Population Structure

The investigated traits in the association population showed abundant variation in the surveyed environments, as shown in **Table S3**. The average CV of all traits across all environments was 0.16, indicating that trait values differed between cultivars. The Pearson's correlation coefficients based on the average values across all environments for the eight agronomic traits and yield ranged from 0.056 to 0.919 (**Table S4**). SNPP was negatively correlated with all other traits except for PH. KNS and GWS simultaneously showed positive correlations with SL, YD, and TSNS. Broad sense heritability of the eight traits and yield ranged from 0.77 for YD to 0.98 for PH.

The cluster analysis showed that the genotypes were classified into two groups (**Figure 1A**), which was also supported by the structure analysis. The number of subpopulations (k) was plotted against the delta k calculated from STRUCTURE software. The peak of the broken line graph was observed at k = 2, indicating that the 384 accessions could be divided into two subpopulations (**Figures 1B, C**). The group I (257) was composed of eight founder parents (Funo, Xiaoyan6, Fan6, Abbondanza, Nanda2419, Wuyimai, Orofen and Aimengniu), and most of the remaining accessions were their derivatives. The group II (127) contained 10 other founder parents used in this study, and the remaining accessions were dominated by the lines with Beijing8, Bima4, Early Piemium, Mazhamai, Yanda1817, and Lovrin10 background.

Marker-Trait Associations

A total of 1,566 MTAs mapping to 460 loci were detected for eight yield-related traits using 50,374 SNPs (**Table S5**, **Figure 2**). Out of these, 19.0% of the MTAs were found only in a single environment and the remaining 81.0% were repeatedly detected in two or more environments. The phenotypic variation explained (PVE) by each marker ranged from 4.9% to 32.0%, with an average of 8.7%. The maximum number of significant SNPs was detected for SNPP (157), followed by SL (109), TSNS (107), and GWS (81). Forty-three, 41, 34, and 13 significant markers were identified for PH, FSNS, KNS, and TKW, respectively. Chromosome-wise, more significant SNPs were detected on chromosome 7D for SL, TSNS, and GWS. Distribution of the significant SNPs for eight agronomic traits on 21 wheat chromosomes was showed in **Table S6**.





significance. For TKW, the red dots on 4A and 7D represent markers AX-111600193 and AX-108838800, which showed positive effects in 12 and 10 environme respectively. For KNS, the dot on 2D represents the marker AX-110982403, which showed a positive effect in 15 environments.

Allelic Effects on Agronomic Traits

The allele effects of the significant markers associated with at least two environments were estimated. The markers for which differences in phenotypic values grouped by SNP polymorphism simultaneously reached significant levels (P < 0.05) in nine or more environments were considered as important genetic loci in this study. Finally, a total of forty-five superior alleles mapping to 31 loci (two alleles at the same SNP locus might have showed positive effects for different traits) were selected (**Table 1** and **Table S7**). The percentages of cultivars with superior alleles at the 31 loci for the six traits were showed in **Figure 3**.

For TKW, three genetic loci, namely, AX-111600193, AX-109860828, and AX-108838800 on 4A, 5B, and 7D, respectively, had superior alleles. The three superior alleles showed percentages greater than 70%, implying that they had large advantages in increasing the TKW of wheat. At each of the three loci, cultivars carrying the AA allele showed a higher TKW than cultivars with the GG or CC allele in all 15 environments. The two hundred and four cultivars containing the three superior alleles showed an average TKW of 39.21 g (ranging from 36.13 to 42.90 g), and the 95 cultivars containing two of the three superior alleles showed an average TKW of 38.37 g (ranging from 35.84 to 41.82 g). In contrast, the 66 cultivars containing only one superior allele and 19 cultivars without any superior alleles displayed an average TKW of 37.14 g (ranging from 34.40 to 40.77 g) and 34.71 g (ranging from 31.76 to 38.51 g), respectively. A significant association between the number of favorable alleles and TKW was observed (r = 0.971, p = 0.029). The average TKW in cultivars with a varying number of superior alleles were showed in Figure 4.

For KNS, only one marker, AX-110982403 on 2D, showed a positive effect. One hundred and forty-four (37.5%) of all the used accessions contained the superior TT allele at this locus and showed an average KNS of 54.12 (ranging from 35.28 to 59.93), while 214 accessions with the inferior GG allele showed an average KNS of 45.90 (ranging from 31.51 to 54.65), suggesting that more attention should be paid to this locus to improve KNS.

For TSNS, nine markers had superior alleles across 14 environments surveyed, which were located on 1B, 1D, 2D, 3A, 4D, 5A, 5B, 7B, and 7D. Three accessions (Wilhelmina, Kehan2 and Long90-05634) contained all nine superior alleles and showed an average TSNS of 22.88 (ranging from 19.51 to 26.67), whereas the five accessions without any superior alleles showed an average TSNS of 20.85 (ranging from 16.66 to 23.78). Most cultivars (68) had five superior alleles, followed by 65, 65, 53, and 50 cultivars with 6, 4, 3, and 1 superior alleles, respectively. The number of favorable alleles in each accession was approximately correlated with TSNS (r = 0.614, p = 0.059). At four (AX-110627624, AX-110967909, AX-110589268, and AX-111541781 on 1D, 7D, 3A, and 5B, respectively) of the nine loci, cultivars with superior alleles showed a percentage of less than 40% in the accessions surveyed, indicating that they should be paid more attention in the improvement of TSNS.

For GWS, fourteen markers have superior alleles across 15 environments surveyed, which were located on 3B, 4A, 5A, 5B, 5D, 6B, 6D, 7B, and 7D. The interval between markers AX-

111600193 and AX-110630537 on 4A was only 5.76 Mb. Between markers AX-110913995 and AX-110967909 on 7D, the physical distance was only 21.02 Mb. The four cultivars (Zhengzhou683, Longchun7, Wanya2, and E31846) containing all 14 superior alleles showed an average GWS of 2.20 g (ranging from 1.56 to 3.01 g), whereas the three cultivars without any superior alleles showed an average GWS of 1.48 g (ranging from 0.85 to 1.97 g). Most cultivars (63) had ten superior alleles, followed by 45, 43, 38, and 32 cultivars with 9, 8, 6, and 11 superior alleles, respectively. A significant association between the number of favorable alleles and GWS was also found (r =0.988, p < 0.0001). Further analysis indicated that cultivars with superior alleles at AX-109084084 and AX-110630537 on 6B and 4A, respectively, were prevalent; however, cultivars with superior alleles at the other three loci (AX-111600193, AX-89703681, and AX-95152512 on 4A, 7B, and 5D, respectively) were very rare (< 15%), indicating that the three loci should be paid more attention in increasing GWS.

For PH, nine markers had superior alleles across 15 environments surveyed, which were located on 1B, 2D, 4A, 5B, 5D, 7B, and 7D. The twelve accessions containing all nine superior alleles showed an average PH of 96.03 cm (ranging from 84.89 to 108.67 cm), whereas the two cultivars without any superior alleles showed an average PH of 126.11 cm (ranging from 109.90 to 138.00 cm). Most cultivars (84) had seven superior alleles, followed by 80, 73, 41, and 40 cultivars with 6, 8, 5, and 4 superior alleles, respectively. A negative correlation was detected between the number of favorable alleles and PH (r =-0.941, p < 0.0001). Further analysis indicated that four superior alleles played important roles in regulating the PH of cultivars due to their percentage of more than 70% in the accessions surveyed. However, cultivars with superior alleles at AX-95152512 and AX-111132985 on 5D and 2D, respectively, were very rare (< 20%), indicating that they should be further applied to decrease PH in wheat breeding.

For SNPP, at nine of the 31 superior loci, there was a negative relationship between the SNPP effect versus the effect on the traits mentioned above, i.e., the alleles associated with an increased SNPP were always related to a decreased GWS, TSNS, or TKW, or an increased PH. The two cultivars (Mazhamai and Yutong286) containing all nine superior alleles showed an average SNPP of 10.52 (ranging from 4.53 to 15.66), whereas the seven cultivars containing eight of the nine superior alleles showed an average SNPP of 11.89 (ranging from 5.25 to 22.46). Forty-three cultivars without any superior alleles showed the minimum of 8.02 (ranging from 4.61 to 12.42). Most cultivars (72) had three superior alleles, followed by 66, 56, and 55 cultivars with 2, 4, and 1 superior alleles, respectively. Similarly, the number of favorable alleles in each accession was correlated with SNPP (r = 0.899, p = 0.0004). The percentage of cultivars with the superior allele of AX-89703681 was only 13.5% in the accessions surveyed, indicating that this locus should be paid more attention in improving the SNPP, whereas the opposite effect on other traits should be taken into account.

In general, the favorable alleles in each accession ranged from 2 to 30 with an average of 16 at the thirty-one loci in this

AX-10860826 56 422.0 Kardon Status McGamba et al. (2011) Kardon Status McGamba et a	Trait	Marker	Chr	Physical position (Mb)	Loci or genes and the position (Mb) previously reported on the same chromosome	Literature
AX:109800828 56 422.0 Kurd/07142_026 (250.0) - ware_bc.c6632_900/112 Marg et al. (2015) (b7.7) Marg et al. (2015) (b7.7) AX:109800828 58 422.0 Kurd/07142_026 (250.0) - ware_bc.c6632_900/112 Wing et al. (2017) AX:109800829 7D 524.7 Aburd/0408 (677.1) Part et al. (2017) AX:10882400 7D 524.7 Core Part et al. (2017) AX:10882403 7D 524.7 Core Part et al. (2017) AX:10882403 7D 524.7 Core Summissi (27.6) Bart et al. (2017) AX:1082403 2D 595.9 Core Summissi (29.6) Core Summissi (29.7) Huterg et al. (2017) AX:1082037 4A 681.3 Xemme597 (56.0), Xemme39 (76.3) Sum et al. (2017) Huterg et al. (2017) AX:1082057 4A 681.3 Xemme597 (56.0), Xemme39 (76.6), Xemme39 (76.6) Core et al. (2017) AX:101420133 5A 71.2 Xpermid 40 (77.7) Heter et al. (2016) AX:101420133 5A 71.2 Xpermid 42 (10.1) Xpermid 42 (10.1)	TKW	AX-111600193	0 ()		· · · ·	
Ward 24 Xamm26 [677.1] Ward 24 Xamm26 [77.1] Xamm		AX-109860828	5B	422.0	TaCWI(607.9)	Jiang et al. (2015)
K-10839800 7D 624.3 Security - 28300000-060_51 (701.3) Bur et al. (2010) K4-108838800 7D 624.7 Ware D_C.P.Q.: 608051_64/962429 (403.8) - IAAV4074 Haung et al. (2013) K4-108838800 7D 624.7 Orne/ D.1. (701.7) Daily et al. (2013) K4-108838800 7D 624.7 Orne/ D.1. (701.7) Daily et al. (2013) K4 K4-108838800 7D 624.7 Orne/ D.1. (701.7) Daily et al. (2013) K4 K4-108838800 7D 624.7 Orne/ D.1. (701.7) Daily et al. (2014) K4 K4-11086243 2D 625.9 Krich4 (60.8.6) - Xyum:34 (629.6) Wang et al. (2017) K4 K4 K4 K4.710142073 BB 661.3 Ware 276 (62.7), Xum:326 (77.8, 7) Meater et al. (2017) K4 K4.110601938 KA 642.4 Xpars626 (17.6) Maas et al. (2017) K4.110601938 KA 62.4 Xpars64 (68.6) Xpars61 (68.2), Xpars15 (68.2), Xpars15 (68.2), Xpars14 (2018) Maas et al. (2017) K4.100630560 KA 21.4 Xpars61 (68.2), X					(357.6)	
Xgrom406 (57.1) Reif et al. (2011) Xgrom406 (57.1) Rerrys et al. (2013) AX-108838900 7D \$24.7 Xgrom544 (7.8) Hung et al. (2013) XWS AX-11082403 2D \$25.9 XWS AX-11042073 3B 661.3 Xurmc527 (50.2), Xurm252 (778.7) XWS AX-110800393 4A 648.1 Xurmc527 (78.0) Meaon et al. (2010) XX-11083037 4A 648.1 Xurmc527 (50.2), Xurm252 (778.7) Meaon et al. (2010) XX-11083037 4A 648.1 Xurm257 (50.2), Xurm250 (78.5) Meaon et al. (2010) XX-11083037 4A 648.1 Xurm257 (50.2), Xurm250 (78.3) Meaon et al. (2010) XX-110830387 4A 648.1 Xurm257 (50.2), Xurm250 (78.3) Meaon et al. (2010) XX-11083045 5A 21.4 Xgrom51 (56.5) Xurm257 (50.2) Xurt 10.2 XX-1100807000 7D 449.1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>e ()</td>						e ()
Xpmm71 (47-2) warp, Ex, rep_c60615, A002429 (402.8) – IAAV4074 (430.6) Pampa et al. (2010) AX-108633800 7D 52.67 0706-72 1000-72 Pampa et al. (2010) AX-108633800 7D 52.67 0706-72 0706-72 Call et al. (2011) AX-110182013 2D 52.5.9 Xet644 (603.6) – Xpwm360 (629.6) Warp et al. (2011) AX-1101803057 AA 64.1 Xet694 (603.6) – Xpwm360 (629.6) Warp et al. (2011) AX-1101803057 AA 64.1 Xet694 (603.7) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110033054 AA 64.2.4 Xpp30282 (17.5) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110033054 5A 21.4 Xpp30282 (17.5) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110033054 5A 21.4 Xpp30282 (17.5) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110033054 5A 21.4 Xpp30492 (17.5) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110033054 5A 21.4 Xpp30492 (17.5) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110033054 5A 27.4 Xpp30492 (17.5) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110033054 5A <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
warp_Dx.rp.2c.gor_06661_64962429 (403.8) ~ WAV4074 An et al. (2015) AX-1068238000 7D 52.7.7 Yapm754 (77.8) Huang et al. (2003) NNS AX-110682403 2D 525.9 Critik (60.6) - Yapm736 (92.6) (70.7) Ci et al. (2011) NNS AX-110142073 3B 661.3 Xemc527 (64.02, Xemc326 (77.8,7) Macon et al. (2010) AX-110630537 4A 648.1 Xemc527 (64.02, Xemc326 (77.8,7) Macon et al. (2010) AX-110630537 4A 648.1 Xemc527 (64.02, Xemc326 (77.8,7) Macon et al. (2010) AX-110630537 4A 648.1 Critik (60.8), Xemc151 (56.5).3 Macon et al. (2010) AX-110103331 5A 72.2 Xemr51 (56.5).3 Macon et al. (2010) AX-110083045 5B 68.7 Xemc21 (44.05, Aypm7506 Macon et al. (2011) AX-110083045 5B 68.7 Xemc28 (44.6), Aypm7506 Heidati et al. (2011) AX-10084054 6B 67.7 Xemc58 (46.4), Aypm7506 Heidati et al. (2011) AX-100847060 7D 448.1 Xemc522 (40.1), Axem724 (40.28, Aym64 (60.3.5)						
(430.6) Hang et al. (2003) AX-108838800 7D 524.7 OrW6 OrW6 OrW6 NS AX-108828800 2D 525.9 OrW6 OrW6 OrW6 OrW6 NS AX-10142073 3B 61.3 Xmm2021 (57.9) XMS AX-110142073 3B 61.3 Xmm2021 (57.9) Hadian tal. (2017) AX-110033054 AA 62.4 Xpag3028 (17.5) Mason et al. (2016) Mason et al. (2017) AX-110033054 5A 21.4 Xpag3028 (17.5) Mason et al. (2017) Mason et al. (2017) AX-110033054 5A 21.4 Xpag9028 (17.5) Mason et al. (2017) Mason et al. (2017) AX-110033054 5B 584.7 Xpam408 (57.7) Mason et al. (2017) CLi et al. (2017) AX-110038044 6B 567.7 Xpam408 (57.7) Mason et al. (2010) CLi et al. (2017) AX-11003905022 7B 568.7 Xpam402 (57.1) Xpam124 (50.2) Mason et al. (2017) AX-110903404 6B					5 (),	
Ax-168338800 7D 52.4.7 Ware 54 (77.8) Hung of al (2003) KNS Ax-110382403 2D 525.9 X26444 (608.6) Varge 34 (2014) Cat al (2014) GKnss Ax-110142073 3B 661.3 Xmmc527 (560.2) Xmmc527 (560.2) Xmmc527 (560.2) Cat al (2017) AX-110630537 4A 642.4 Xgam540 (20.3) Xmmc527 (560.2) Xmmc527 (560.2) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ain et al. (2015)</td>						Ain et al. (2015)
AX-10833800 7D 524.7 origination Part et al. (2011) CNB AX-110882403 2D 525.9 Xcte44 (608.6) - Xgum349 (629.6) Wang et al. (2014) SWS AX-110142073 2B 641.3 Xcte44 (608.6) - Xgum349 (629.6) Use at (2014) AX-111600193 4A 642.4 Xpes3028 (17.5) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110033001 5A 21.4 Xpes3028 (17.5) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110033004 5A 21.4 Xpes3028 (17.5) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110033004 5A 21.4 Xgum251 (693.9) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110038004 5A 21.4 Xgum264 (694.5) - Xgum790a Cui et al. (2014) AX-110586945 5B 594.7 Xgum26 (697.7) Xgum328 (69.0) Mason et al. (2010) AX-100380202 7B 586.7 Xgum328 (69.0) Mason et al. (2011) Xdue et al. (2011) AX-100380202 7B 548.7 Xdue et al. (2011) Xdue et al. (2011) AX-100380202 7B 548.7 Xdue et al. (2011)						Huppa at al. (2002)
AN6 A::110882403 2D 525.9 2014044 (608.6) Wang et al. (2011) Okrops.20.2 Ba.,272517, 3081 Sun et al. (2017) Mason et al. (2017) SWS AX:11080103 3B 661.3 Xwmc527 (540.2), Xwmc326 (778.7) Mason et al. (2017) AX:110801037 4A 648.1 Cytops.20.2 Mason et al. (2017) AX:110801037 4A 648.1 Cytops.20.2 Mason et al. (2017) AX:110801031 5A 21.4 Xymm291 (698.2), Xbarc118 (550.3) Mason et al. (2010) AX:11085845 55 54.7 Xymm284 (657.1) Coldatal et al. (2010) AX:010958694 68 657.7 Xymm284 (657.1) Coldatal et al. (2010) AX:010950904 68 657.7 Xymm294 (60.5) Wang et al. (2010) AX:010950906 7D 428.1 Xymm294 (60.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX:010950906 7D 428.1 Xymm291 (69.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX:010950906 7D 428.1 Xymm291 (69.3) Wang et al. (2011) AX:110852655		AX-108838800	70	524 7		J ()
AN-110982403 2D 55.5 Xcht44 (60.6) Xgwn124 (602.6) Wang et al. (2011) 3WS AX-11042073 B 661.3 Xmm527 (540.2), Xmm0326 (778.7) Mesor et al. (2011) AX-110830537 4A 642.1 Xpag3028 (17.5) Hedari et al. (2014) AX-110033504 5A 21.4 Xpag3028 (17.5) Mesor et al. (2016) AX-1101033504 5A 21.4 Xpam154 (21.6) Sum et al. (2016) AX-110033504 5A 21.4 Xpam154 (21.6) Sum et al. (2016) AX-110033504 5B 284.7 Xpam124 (20.4) Assoc (20.2) Sum et al. (2016) AX-110033504 5B 284.7 Xpam124 (20.4) Assoc (20.2) Sum et al. (2017) AX-10033504 5B 284.7 Xpam126 (20.3) Sum et al. (2017) AX-100360602 7B 586.7 Xpam26 (20.0) Meson et al. (2010) AX-100980680 7D 428.1 Xpam126 (20.4) Xpam26 (20.0) Meson et al. (2011) AX-109306602 7D 543.8 Xpam126 (20.1.4) <td< td=""><td></td><td>AX-100050000</td><td>10</td><td>024.7</td><td></td><td>()</td></td<>		AX-100050000	10	024.7		()
Okrop-SD.2 Cull et al. (2011) Sun et al. (2017) SWS AX-110142073 3B 661.3 Xumc622 (540.2), Xumc326 (778.7) Hason et al. (2010) AX-110630537 4A 664.2 Xpap3028 (17.5) Hedari et al. (2011) AX-110630537 4A 664.2 Xpap3028 (17.5) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110633504 5A 21.4 Xpam291 (680.2), Xbarc151 (558.3) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110636645 5B 684.7 Xmm282 (645.5), Sqym790a Heidari et al. (2011) AX-45152512 5D 14.4 GKwpp-5D Cull et al. (2014) AX-30006004 6B 667.7 Xpam302 (680.5) Numc82 (645.1) AX-4900681 7B 669.7 Xpam325 (80.0) Mason et al. (2010) AX-100690682 7D 428.1 Xpam325 (87.1) Mason et al. (2011) AX-100690682 7D 428.1 Xpam32 (864.1603.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110687604 HD Auto10667602 Wang et al. (2011) Xpam124 (603.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110687698 T	KNS	AX-110982403	2D	525.9		
Ba Constraint Sum et al. (2017) Mason et al. (2010) AX-111620133 4A 642.4 Xperg3028 (17.5) Mason et al. (2010) AX-11062057 4A 648.1 Xperg3028 (17.5) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110620564 5A 21.4 Xgerm3028 (202.7) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110620564 5B 684.7 Xgerm3028 (692.3), Caport 51 (568.3) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110620665 5B 684.7 Xgerm3028 (693.5), Caport 51 (568.3) Mason et al. (2010) AX-100620646 6B 567.7 Golabal et al. (2011) Golabal et al. (2011) AX-69009666 6B 68.1 Xgerm3025 (80.0) Mason et al. (2010) AX-100804024 6B 569.7 Mason et al. (2010) Mason et al. (2011) AX-60090665 7D 428.1 Xgerm30281 Mason et al. (2011) AX-100802021 7D 569.7 Mason et al. (2011) Mason et al. (2011) AX-100802021 7D 428.1 Xgerm3025 (80.0) Mason et al. (2011) AX-110687090 7D <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>20</td><td>02010</td><td></td><td>° ()</td></t<>			20	02010		° ()
AX-111600193 4A 648.1 OKwpp-4A.1 Cui et al. (2011) AX-110033504 5A 21.4 Xgwm291 (98.2), Xbarc151 (55.3.3) Mason et al. (2010) AX-1101913131 5A 70.2.2 Xgwm291 (98.2), Xbarc150 (330.3) Sun et al. (2010) AX-110586945 5B 594.7 Xgwm291 (98.2), Xbarc150 (330.3) Sun et al. (2011) AX-110586945 5B 594.7 Xgwm296 (63.5), Xgwm730a Heldari et al. (2011) AX-100944084 6B 567.7 Xgwm306 (67.7.1) Golabadi et al. (2010) AX-100940895 7D 428.1 Xgwm306 (60.0) Mason et al. (2010) AX-100940895 7D 428.1 Xgwm306 (60.0) Mason et al. (2010) AX-10904084 68 567.7 Xgwm302 (80.0) Mason et al. (2010) AX-109050829 7D 428.1 Xgwm302 (80.0) Mason et al. (2011) AX-110937809 7D 428.1 Xgwm302 (80.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110827824 1D 40.0 Xgwm304 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-1106827624 1						· · · ·
AX-110630637 4A 648.1 Ckopp-4A.1 Cuit tal. (2014) AX-110033504 5A 21.4 Xgwm291 (698.2), Xbarc151 (558.3) Mason et al. (2010) AX-11013131 5A 702.2 Xgwm790 (677.9) Hidari et al. (2010) AX-110566945 5B 558.7 Xgwm281 (698.2), Xbarc151 (558.3) Hidari et al. (2010) AX-1010569046 5B 558.7 Xgwm280 (677.1) Golabadi et al. (2010) AX-100904064 6B 567.7 Golabadi et al. (2010) Cui et al. (2014) AX-109059066 6D 68.1 Xgwm325 (80.0) Mason et al. (2010) AX-109059060 7D 449.1 AX-10967000 7D 449.1 AX-110647020 7D 449.1 AX-10650882 1D AX-10650882 1D AX-110687080 7D 449.1 Xumc622 (14.9) - Xcd54 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-106892683 73.0 Xumc622 (14.9) - Xcd54 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110689268 7A 730.0 Xumc622 (14.9) - Xcd54 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110689268 7A 730.0 Xumc622 (14.9) - Xcd54 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110689268 7A 730.0 Xumc622 (14.9) - Xcd54 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011)	GWS	AX-110142073	3B	661.3	Xwmc527 (540.2), Xwmc326 (778.7)	Mason et al. (2010)
XAX-110033504 5A 21.4 Xgm/291 (596.2), Xbarc150 (58.3) Meson et al. (2010) AX-110913131 5A 702.2 Xgm/191 (696.2), Xbarc150 (350.3) Sun et al. (2010) AX-110586945 5B S94.7 Xgm/291 (696.2), Xgm/1700a Heidari et al. (2011) AX-69152512 5D 14.4 OKupp-5D Cui et al. (2014) AX-100904094 6B 567.7 Gabadai et al. (2017) Gabadai et al. (2017) AX-69005020 7B 568.7 Kason et al. (2017) Mason et al. (2017) AX-110637999 7D 428.1 Kason et al. (2017) Kason et al. (2017) AX-110647309 7D 428.1 Kason et al. (2017) Kason et al. (2017) AX-110647309 7D 428.1 Kason et al. (2017) Kason et al. (2017) AX-110647309 7D 428.1 Kason et al. (2017) Kason et al. (2017) AX-110627624 1D 40.0 Kason et al. (2017) Kason et al. (2017) AX-110627624 1D 40.0 Kason et al. (2017) Kason et al. (2017) AX-11062762		AX-111600193	4A	642.4	Xpsp3028 (17.5)	Heidari et al. (2011)
AX-11038504 5A 21.4 Xgmm101 (19862), Xbarc116 (58.3), Xbarc128 (210) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110586945 5B 584.7 Xmmc28 (24.0) Xbarc180 (350.3) Sun et al. (2010) AX-95152512 5D 14.4 QKwym50 (577.1) Goldbadi et al. (2010) AX-9600966 6D 66.1 Xgwm325 (80.0) Mason et al. (2010) AX-10930960202 7B 566.7 Mason et al. (2010) Mason et al. (2010) AX-1093995 7D 449.1 Mason et al. (2010) Mason et al. (2010) AX-10967909 7D 449.1 Mason et al. (2011) Mag et al. (2011) AX-10967909 7D 449.1 Mason et al. (2011) Mag et al. (2011) AX-108682821 1B 541.0 Mason et al. (2011) Mag et al. (2011) AX-10868682 2D 247.4 Mason et al. (2011) Mag et al. (2011) AX-10868682 2D 247.4 Mason et al. (2011) Mag et al. (2011) AX-11086969 7D 449.1 Xbarc278 (696.1) - Xagmr281 (696.2) Wang et al. (2011)		AX-110630537	4A	648.1	QKwpp-4A.1	Cui et al. (2014)
AX-11013131 5A 702.2 Xgmm154 [21.0] - Xbarc180 [350.3] Sun et al. [2010] AX-11058945 5B 584.7 Xumc28 (64.9.5) - Xgum790a Haldari at al. (2011) AX-95152512 5D 14.4 QKupp-5D Cui et al. (2014) AX-9009866 6D 68.1 Xgum325 (80.0) Mason et al. (2010) AX-9009866 6D 68.1 Xgum325 (80.0) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110913996 7D 428.1 Ax-110413996 TD 428.1 AX-1108448329 7D 548.8 TD Ax-110413996 Wang et al. (2011) AX-11087864 1D 40.0 Ax-110582682 ZD 247.4 AX-110884453 5A 453.9 Xgum126 (671.4) - Xgum216 (688.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-11088265 7B 453.5 Xbarc74 (402.8) - Xbarc340 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110827657 7B 453.5 Xbarc276 (402.8) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110826157 7B 453.5 Xbarc276 (402.8) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110826157						· · · ·
AX-110586945 5B 584.7 Xumrc28 (64.9,) - Xgwm790a Xgwm7408 (577.1) Heideni et al. (2011) Golabadi et al. (2014) AX-965152512 5D 14.4 Qikupp-5D Cui et al. (2014) AX-96500966 6D 68.1 Xgwm325 (80.0) Mason et al. (2010) AX-96900966 6D 68.1 Xgwm325 (80.0) Mason et al. (2010) AX-10080202 7B 568.7 Mason et al. (2010) At (10617900) AX-110697909 7D 49.1 At (10627624 1D 40.0 AX-100828282 2D 247.4 At (10687624 1D 40.0 AX-110827615 7B 43.3 Xbacr24 (603.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110827615 7B 43.5 Xbacr27 (65.1) - Xord54 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-11082615 7B 43.5 Xbacr27 (65.1) - Xbarrd181 (650.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-11082615 7B 43.5 Xbacr27 (65.1) - Xbarrd340 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-11082615 7B 43.5 Xbacr27 (46.4) - Xaymr201 (608.9) Xbarrd40 (42.0) Wang						, ,
Xgwm408 (577, 1) Golabadi et al. (2010). Cui et al. (2014) AX-9503084054 6B 567, 7 AX-95030866 6D 68.1 Xgwm25 (80.0) Mason et al. (2010). AX-103306202 7B 568, 7 Mason et al. (2010). Mason et al. (2010). AX-103306202 7B 568, 7 Mason et al. (2010). Mason et al. (2010). AX-1010413095 7D 449, 1 AX-110967909 7D 449, 1 AX-110967909 7D 449, 1 AX-11062622 207, 4 AX-11062622 AX-110828435 5A 730, 0 Xwmc622 (14.9) - Xofd54 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-1108284453 5A 433, 0 Xywm216 (671.4) - Xgwm221 (688.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-1108284453 5A 433, 0 Xwmc6124 (40.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-1108284515 7B 449, 1 Xort658 (17.4) - Xgwm221 (698.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-1108284515 7D 449, 1 Xort6658 (17.4) - Xgwm221 (180.4) Wang et al. (2011) AX-111082815 7B 435, 5 Xgwm26 (644.9) - Xgw						
AX-96152512 5D 14.4 Okwpp-5D Cui et al. (2014) AX-109084084 6B 567.7 Mason et al. (2010) Mason et al. (2010) AX-1090840202 7B 668.7 Mason et al. (2010) Mason et al. (2010) AX-1093095 7D 428.1 Mason et al. (2010) Mason et al. (2010) AX-110813995 7D 428.1 Mason et al. (2011) Mason et al. (2011) AX-11046329 7D 554.8 Mason et al. (2011) Marcel (2011) AX-109506822 2D 247.4 Marcel (2011) Marge et al. (2011) AX-109506823 5A 453.9 Xgwm126 (67.14) - Xgm231 (688.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110862060 4D Xumc622 (14.9) - Xcdd54 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011) Wang et al. (2011) AX-109506822 2D 2A7.4 Xgwm231 (688.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-11082615 7B 43.5 Xbarc278 (595.1) - Xbarc1181 (550.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-1111402615 7B 43.5 Xbarc278 (44.9) - Xgmm231 (608.9) Gmiffth s et al. (2017) <		AX-110586945	5B	584.7		· · · ·
AX-10904084 AX-109306202 6B 567.7 Mason et al. (2010) AX-109306202 7B 568.7 Mason et al. (2010) AX-69703681 7B 633.8 France AX-110446329 7D 449.1 France AX-110967809 7D 449.1 France France AX-10908082 2D 449.1 France France AX-109080822 1D 40.0 France France AX-100808682 2D 247.4 France France AX-100808682 2D 47.3 Xbarc74 (402.8) - Xbarc340 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-1104692615 7B 43.5 Xbarc74 (402.8) - Xbarc340 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110967909 7D 449.1 Xdf68 (174.8) - Xbarc1341 (650.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110967909 7D 449.1 Xdf68 (174.8) - Xbarc132 (191.1) Wang et al. (2017) AX-111531574 1B 554.5 Xgwm124 (643.9) - Xgwm124 (638.9) Grafiths et al. (2017) AX-111132985 2D 8.7		AV 05152512	50	14.4	5 (),	
AX-95009966 6D 68.1 Xgwm325 (80.0) Mason et al. (2010) AX-90036202 7B 568.7 AX-9703681 7B 639.8 AX-110913995 7D 428.1 AX-110913995 7D 428.1 AX-110446329 7D 554.8 AX-100506862 2D 247.4 AX-100506862 2D 247.4 Varantic (14.9) - Xctd54 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-105058628 3A 730.0 Varantic (14.9) - Xctd54 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-105058628 3A 730.0 Wang et al. (2011) Wang et al. (2011) AX-105837600 4D Xgwm126 (671.4) - Xgwm291 (693.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-11082815 7B 43.5 Xbarc276 (595.1) - Xbmr2311 (590.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-111082815 7B 449.1 Xctd668 (174.8) - Xbarc232 (191.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110967909 7D 449.1 Xctd683 (23.0) Cheit al. (2012) AX-111082815 2D 8.7 RAC675_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-1110967909					QKwpp-5D	Gui et al. (2014)
AX-10306202 7B 568.7 AX-89703681 7B 639.8 AX-110913995 7D 428.1 AX-11046329 7D 554.8 FISNS AX-10508682 2D AX-10508282 2D 247.4 AX-105082882 2D 247.4 AX-105082883 5A 453.9 AX-10832435 5A 453.9 AX-10884453 5A 453.9 AX-10884453 5A 453.9 X-1010689268 3A 730.0 AX-10832453 5A 453.9 X-101082615 7B 33.5 Xbarc74 (402.8) - Xbarc141 (620.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-1108615 7B 43.5 Xbarc78 (195.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110867309 7D 449.1 Xcd68 (174.8) Xbarc281 (190.9) Wang et al. (2012) AX-110867309 7D 449.1 Xcd68 (174.8) Xbarc21 (191.4) Wang et al. (2017) AX-110867309 7D 449.1 Xcd68					Xawm325 (80 0)	Mason et al. (2010)
AX-89703681 7B 639.8 AX-119913995 7D 428.1 AX-110967090 70 449.1 AX-110862702 7D 564.8 TSNS AX-10862821 1B 541.0 AX-10062762 2D 247.4 247.1 AX-10582682 2D 247.4 247.1 AX-10892680 3A 730.0 Wang et al. (2011) AX-10892680 4A 737.3 Xbarc74 (402.8) ~ Xbarc391 (698.2.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-108944453 5A 453.9 Xymm126 (686.17.4) ~ Xbarc311 (690.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-10967909 7D 449.1 Xd688 (74.4) ~ Xbarc322 (191.1) Wang et al. (2011) XX-111521574 1B 554.5 Xgwm456 (464.9) ~ Xgwm124 (638.9) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-111132985 2D 8.7 Fht8 201.7 Rht8 AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc249 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Chai et al. (2015) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc249 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Ch					/g////b20 (00.0)	Wabbit of al. (2010)
AX-110913995 7D 428.1 AX-110967909 7D 449.1 AX-11046027924 1D 40.0 AX-108928321 1B 541.0 AX-10892868 3A 730.0 AX-10892868 3A 730.0 AX-108928321 5B 377.3 AX-10839283 5A 435.9 AX-10839283 5A 435.9 AX-10839283 5B 377.3 Xbarc74 (402.8) - Xbarc340 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-11058215 7B 435.5 Xbarc74 (402.8) - Xbarc340 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110967909 7D 449.1 Xcfd58 (17.4) - Xgwm231 (688.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110967909 7D 449.1 Xcfd58 (17.4) - Xgwm24 (638.9) Griffiths et al. (2012) Xumc517 (615.5) - Xwm124 (638.9) Griffiths et al. (2017) AX-11132985 2D 8.7 RAc875_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) - Xksm130						
AX-110446329 TD 554.8 TSNS AX-108928521 1B 541.0 AX-109508682 2D 247.4 AX-106589268 3A 70 AX-106589268 3A 70 AX-108584453 5A 453.9 Xgwm126 (671.4) - Xgwm291 (698.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110844453 5A 453.9 Xgwm126 (671.4) - Xgwm291 (698.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-111541781 5B 37.3 Xbarc278 (595.1) - Xbarc340 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110987099 7D 449.1 Xcfd88 (174.8) - Xbarc278 (595.1) - Xbarc1181 (550.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110967909 7D 449.1 Xcfd88 (174.8) - Xbarc252 (191.1) Wang et al. (2017) AX-1113295 2D 8.7 FAC875_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-11132985 2D 8.7 FAC875_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) - Xksm130 Liu et al. (2015) XX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) - Xksm130 Liu et al. (2015)						
TSNS AX-108928321 1B 541.0 AX-10627624 1D 40.0 AX-10627624 1D 40.0 AX-10560826 2D 247.4 AX-10589268 3A 730.0 XX-108337600 4D Xwmc622 (14.9) ~ Xcdf34 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-10884453 5A 453.9 Xywm291 (698.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-11082615 7B 43.5 Xbarc278 (595.1) ~ Xbarc340 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110967909 7D 449.1 Xcdf68 (174.8) ~ Xbarc352 (191.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-111531574 1B 554.5 Xgwm355_131 Sun et al. (2017) AX-11132985 2D 8.7 RAC375_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-11132985 2D 8.7 RAC375_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2011) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2015) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2015) AX-109264543		AX-110967909	7D	449.1		
AX-10627624 1D 40.0 AX-109508682 2D 247.4 AX-105808632 3A 730.0 AX-169337600 4D Xwmc622 (14.9) - Xcfd54 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-108844453 5A 453.9 Xymr126 (671.4) - Xymr291 (698.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-108844453 5A 453.9 Xymr126 (671.4) - Xymr291 (698.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-111541781 5B 377.3 Xbarc74 (402.8) - Xbarc340 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-11082615 7B 43.5 Xbarc278 (595.1) - Xbarc1181 (550.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-11082615 7B 43.5 Xpurn456 (64.4) - Xgurn124 (633.9) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-111531574 1B 554.5 Xgurn320 (64.3) - 529tc Sun et al. (2017) AX-111132985 2D 8.7 RAC6375_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc282 (65.2) - Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-109272207 4A 642.4 Kufr_c77040_87 (625.9) An et al. (2015) AX-109272207 <td></td> <td>AX-110446329</td> <td>7D</td> <td>554.8</td> <td></td> <td></td>		AX-110446329	7D	554.8		
AX-109508682 2D 247.4 AX-110589268 3A 730.0 AX-169337600 4D Xwmc622 (14.9) ~ Xcfd54 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-108844453 5A 453.9 Xywm126 (671.4) ~ Xgwm291 (698.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-111541781 5B 377.3 Xbarc278 (595.1) ~ Xbarc340 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-11086615 7B 43.5 Xbarc278 (595.1) ~ Xbwrc311 (690.9) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110967909 7D 449.1 Xcfd68 (174.8) ~ Xbarc278 (595.1) ~ Xwmc311 (690.9) Wang et al. (2011) AX-111531574 1B 554.5 Xgwm456 (46.4) ~ Xgwm124 (638.9) Griffths et al. (2012) AX-111132985 2D 8.7 RAC675_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-111132985 2D 8.7 RAC637_c648703_148 Sun et al. (2012) AX-1111120985 2D 8.7 RAC637_c648703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-1111120985 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2011) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (62.9) McCartney et	TSNS	AX-108928321	1B	541.0		
AX-110589268 3A 730.0 Wang et al. (2011) AX-169337600 4D Xwmc622 (14.9) ~ Xcfd54 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-169844453 5A 453.9 Xgwm126 (671.4) ~ Xgwm291 (698.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-11054171 5B 377.3 Xbarc74 (402.8) ~ Xbarc340 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-11082615 7B 43.5 Xbarc77 (402.8) ~ Xbarc3240 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110967090 7D 449.1 Xcfd68 (174.8) ~ Xbarc3240 (191.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-111531574 1B 554.5 Xgwm165 (646.9) ~ Xgwm124 (638.9) Griffths et al. (2017) AX-111132985 2D 8.7 RAC875_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-11132985 2D 8.7 RAC875_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-111520133 4A 642.4 Kukri_o77040_87 (625.9) Chai et al. (2012) BE497718-260 Chai et al. (2013) Xin et al. (2015) Ain et al. (2015) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc640 (665.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffths et al. (2012) AX-109860828 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
AX-169337600 4D Xwmc622 (14.9) ~ Xcfd54 (503.5) Wang et al. (2011) AX-108844453 5A 453.9 Xgwm126 (671.4) ~ Xgwm291 (688.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-111541781 5B 377.3 Xbacr74 (402.8) ~ Xbarc340 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-11152157 7B 43.5 Xbarc278 (595.1) ~ Xbarc1181 (550.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110967909 7D 449.1 Xcfd68 (174.8) ~ Xbarc252 (191.1) Wang et al. (2017) AX-111531574 1B 554.5 Xgwm124 (674.9) ~ Xgwm124 (638.9) Giffiths et al. (2017) AX-11132985 2D 8.7 RAC875_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2015) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (655.9) Accatrsev et al. (2015) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (655.9) Ain et al. (2015) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (655.9) Ain et al. (2015) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (655.9) Ain et al. (2015) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (655.9) Ain et al. (2						
AX-108844453 5A 453.9 Xgwm126 (671.4) - Xgwm291 (698.2) Wang et al. (2011) AX-111541781 5B 377.3 Xbarc74 (402.8) - Xbarc340 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-111082615 7B 43.5 Xbarc74 (402.8) - Xbarc1181 (550.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110967909 7D 449.1 Xcfd68 (174.8) - Xbarc252 (191.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-111531574 1B 554.5 Xgwm456 (464.9) - Xgwm124 (638.9) Griffiths et al. (2017) AX-111132965 2D 8.7 RAC875_c4870_3148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) - Xksm130 Liu et al. (2015) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc249 (556.2) - Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2015) AX-109274433 4A 642.4 Kukri_c77040_87 (625.9) Ain et al. (2015) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc249 (556.2) - Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc249 (556.2) - Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2015) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc249 (556.2) - Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2015) <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>730.0</td><td></td><td></td></t<>				730.0		
AX-111541781 5B 377.3 Xbarc74 (402.8) ~ Xbarc340 (42.0) Wang et al. (2011) AX-111082615 7B 43.5 Xbarc278 (595.1) ~ Xbarc1181 (550.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110967909 7D 449.1 Xcfd68 (174.8) ~ Xbarc252 (191.1) Wang et al. (2011) AX-111531574 1B 554.5 Xgwm456 (464.9) ~ Xgwm124 (638.9) Griffiths et al. (2017) AX-111132985 2D 8.7 RAC875_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2018) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2011) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2015) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2011) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc249 (55.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-109260828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2015) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2015) AX-111568844 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>450.0</td><td></td><td>- · · · ·</td></t<>				450.0		- · · · ·
AX-111082615 7B 43.5 Xbarc278 (595.1) ~ Xbarc1181 (550.1) Wang et al. (2011) Xwmc517 (651.5) ~ Xwmc311 (690.9) Wang et al. (2011) AX-110967909 7D 449.1 Xcfd68 (174.8) ~ Xbarc252 (191.1) Wang et al. (2012) AX-111531574 1B 554.5 Xgwm456 (464.9) ~ Xgwm124 (638.9) Griffiths et al. (2017) AX-111132985 2D 8.7 RAC875_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-111132985 2D 8.7 RAC875_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2018) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc28 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-109660828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-109660828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-109660828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-109660828 5D 14.4 Xgwm190 (8.7) ~ Xbarc28.2 Cui et al. (2011) AX-111568844 7B 505.4 Xgwm46 (168.1) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0 1 1</td>						0 1 1
AX-110967909 7D 449.1 Xcfd68 (174.8) ~ Xbarc252 (191.1) Wang et al. (2011) PH AX-111531574 1B 554.5 Xgwm456 (464.9) ~ Xgwm124 (638.9) Griffths et al. (2012) AX-111132985 2D 8.7 RAC875_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-11132985 2D 8.7 RAC875_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2018) AX-108745433 4A 642.4 Kutric_77040_87 (625.9) Ain et al. (2015) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffths et al. (2012) AX-95152512 5D 14.4 Xgwm46 (158.1) Ain et al. (2011) Ain et al. (2011) AX-111568844 7B 505.4 Xgwm46 (158.1) Huang et al. (2011) Huang et al. (2011) AX-109526545 7D 397.6 397.6 Ain et al. (2011) Ain et al. (2011)						
AX-110967909 7D 449.1 Xcfd68 (174.8) ~ Xbarc252 (191.1) Wang et al. (2011) PH AX-111531574 1B 554.5 Xgwm456 (464.9) ~ Xgwm124 (638.9) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-111132985 2D 8.7 RAC875_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-111132985 2D 8.7 RAC875_c48703_148 Pestsova and Roder (20 Chai et al. (2018) Xgwm320 (644.3) ~ 529tc Griffiths et al. (2018) Xgwm320 (644.3) ~ 529tc Griffiths et al. (2012) BE497718-260 McCartney et al. (2015) Xgwm320 (644.3) ~ 529tc Griffiths et al. (2015) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2015) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2011) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2015) AX-109745433 4A 642.4 Kwriac 7704_87 (625.9) An et al. (2015) AX-108745433 4A 703.6 McCartney et al. (2012) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc249 (56.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-1095265512 5D 14.4		/00111002010	10	40.0		
PH AX-111531574 1B 554.5 Xgwm456 (464.9) ~ Xgwm124 (638.9) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-111132985 2D 8.7 RAC875_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) AX-111132985 2D 8.7 RAC875_c48703_148 Pestsova and Roder (20 Cdi et al. (2018) Xgwm320 (644.3) ~ 529tc Griffiths et al. (2012) BE497718-260 McCartney et al. (2005) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2011) AX-111600193 4A 642.4 Kukri_c77040_87 (625.9) Ain et al. (2015) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-95152512 5D 14.4 Xgwm190 (8.7) ~ Xbarc28.2 McCartney et al. (2005) AX-111568844 7B 505.4 Xgwm46 (158.1) Huag et al. (2011) Xgdm36 ~ Xbarc50 (172.4), Xcau130 ~ Xgwm537 (26.8) Liu et al. (2011) McCartney et al. (2005) Xgdm36 ~ Xbarc50 (172.4), Xcau130 ~ Xgwm537 (26.8) Liu et al. (2011) Xgwm33 (475.6) McCartney et al. (2005) McCartney et al. (2005)		AX-110967909	7D	449.1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
AX-111132985 2D 8.7 RAC875_c48703_148 Sun et al. (2017) <i>Rht8</i> Pestsova and Roder (2C Xcfd53 (23.0) Chai et al. (2018) Xgwm320 (644.3) ~ 529tc Griffiths et al. (2018) Kgwm320 (644.3) ~ 529tc Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2017) AX-109272207 4A 642.4 Kukri_c77040_87 (625.9) Ain et al. (2015) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2015) AX-95152512 5D 14.4 Xgwm190 (8.7) ~ Xbarc28.2 Cui et al. (2011) AX-111568844 7B 505.4 Xgwm303 (475.6) Liu et al. (2011) Xgwm333 (475.6) Liu et al. (2011) Liu et al. (2011) Liu et al. (2011) AX-109526545 7D 397.6 Kcartney et al. (2005)	PH					
Rht8 Pestsova and Roder (20 Xcfd53 (23.0) Chai et al. (2018) Xgwm320 (644.3) ~ 529tc Griffiths et al. (2012) BE497718-260 McCartney et al. (2005) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2011) AX-111600193 4A 642.4 Kukri_c77040_87 (625.9) Ain et al. (2015) AX-1098745433 4A 703.6						()
Xcfd53 (23.0) Chai et al. (2018) Xgwm320 (644.3) ~ 529tc Griffiths et al. (2012) BE497718-260 McCartney et al. (2005) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2011) AX-111600193 4A 642.4 Kukri_c77040_87 (625.9) Ain et al. (2015) AX-108745433 4A 703.6		AX-111132985	2D	8.7	RAC875_c48703_148	Sun et al. (2017)
Xgwm320 (644.3) ~ 529tc Griffiths et al. (2012) BE497718-260 McCartney et al. (2005) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2011) AX-111600193 4A 642.4 Kukri_c77040_87 (625.9) Ain et al. (2015) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2015) AX-95152512 5D 14.4 Xgwm190 (8.7) ~ Xbarc28.2 Cui et al. (2011) AX-111568844 7B 505.4 Xgwm46 (158.1) Huang et al. (2003) Xgdm36 ~ Xbarc50 (172.4), Xcau130 ~ Xgwm537 (26.8) Liu et al. (2011) McCartney et al. (2005) AX-109526545 7D 397.6 397.6 McCartney et al. (2005)					Rht8	Pestsova and Roder (200
BE497718-260 McCartney et al. (2005) AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2011) AX-111600193 4A 642.4 Kukri_c77040_87 (625.9) Ain et al. (2015) AX-108745433 4A 703.6 Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-95152512 5D 14.4 Xgwm190 (8.7) ~ Xbarc28.2 Cui et al. (2011) AX-111568844 7B 505.4 Xgwm46 (158.1) Huang et al. (2003) Xgdm36 ~ Xbarc50 (172.4), Xcau130 ~ Xgwm537 (26.8) Liu et al. (2011) McCartney et al. (2005) AX-109526545 7D 397.6 397.6					Xcfd53 (23.0)	
AX-109272207 4A 133.1 Xwmc24 (27.3) ~ Xksm130 Liu et al. (2011) AX-111600193 4A 642.4 Kukri_c77040_87 (625.9) Ain et al. (2015) AX-108745433 4A 703.6 Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-95152512 5D 14.4 Xgwm190 (8.7) ~ Xbarc28.2 Cui et al. (2011) AX-111568844 7B 505.4 Xgwm46 (158.1) Huang et al. (2003) Xgdm36 ~ Xbarc50 (172.4), Xcau130 ~ Xgwm537 (26.8) Liu et al. (2011) McCartney et al. (2005) AX-109526545 7D 397.6 397.6						Griffiths et al. (2012)
AX-111600193 4A 642.4 Kukri_c77040_87 (625.9) Ain et al. (2015) AX-108745433 4A 703.6 Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) BS00009311_51 Ain et al. (2015) Xwmc640 (666.8) McCartney et al. (2005) AX-95152512 5D 14.4 Xgwm190 (8.7) ~ Xbarc28.2 Cui et al. (2011) AX-111568844 7B 505.4 Xgwm46 (158.1) Huang et al. (2003) Xgdm36 ~ Xbarc50 (172.4), Xcau130 ~ Xgwm537 (26.8) Liu et al. (2011) McCartney et al. (2005) AX-109526545 7D 397.6 397.6 AX-109526545						
AX-108745433 4A 703.6 AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) AX-95152512 5D 14.4 Xgwm190 (8.7) ~ Xbarc28.2 Cui et al. (2011) AX-111568844 7B 505.4 Xgwm46 (158.1) Huang et al. (2003) Xgwm333 (475.6) Xdvarc50 (172.4), Xcau130 ~ Xgwm537 (26.8) Liu et al. (2011) AX-109526545 7D 397.6						
AX-109860828 5B 422.0 Xwmc289 (556.2) ~ Xbarc140 (598.0) Griffiths et al. (2012) BS00009311_51 Ain et al. (2015) Xwmc640 (666.8) McCartney et al. (2005) AX-95152512 5D 14.4 Xgwm190 (8.7) ~ Xbarc28.2 Cui et al. (2011) AX-111568844 7B 505.4 Xgwm46 (158.1) Huang et al. (2003) Xgwm333 (475.6) Liu et al. (2011) McCartney et al. (2005) AX-109526545 7D 397.6					KUKTI_C//U4U_8/ (625.9)	Ain et al. (2015)
AX-95152512 5D 14.4 Xgwm190 (8.7) ~ Xbarc28.2 Cui et al. (2015) AX-111568844 7B 505.4 Xgwm46 (158.1) Huang et al. (2003) Xgwm333 (475.6) Xgwm333 (475.6) Liu et al. (2011)					$X_{WDO}280$ (556.2) Yhoro140 (508.0)	Griffithe at al. (2012)
AX-95152512 5D 14.4 Xgwm190 (8.7) ~ Xbarc28.2 Cui et al. (2011) AX-111568844 7B 505.4 Xgwm46 (158.1) Huang et al. (2003) Xgdm36 ~ Xbarc50 (172.4), Xcau130 ~ Xgwm537 (26.8) Liu et al. (2011) McCartney et al. (2005) AX-109526545 7D 397.6 Gui et al. (2011)		AV-103000050	JD	422.0	BS00009311_51	Ain et al. (2015)
AX-111568844 7B 505.4 Xgwm46 (158.1) Huang et al. (2003) Xgdm36 ~ Xbarc50 (172.4), Xcau130 ~ Xgwm537 (26.8) Liu et al. (2011) Xgwm333 (475.6) McCartney et al. (2005) AX-109526545 7D 397.6		AX-95152512	5D	14.4		, , ,
AX-109526545 7D 397.6					Xgwm46 (158.1) Xgdm36 ~ Xbarc50 (172.4), Xcau130 ~ Xgwm537 (26.8)	Huang et al. (2003) Liu et al. (2011)
		AV 100500545	70	007.0	Xgwm333 (475.6)	McCartney et al. (2005)
	SNPP	AX-109526545 AX-110142073	7D 3B	397.6 661.3	Xgwm264b (145.7)	Huang et al. (2003)

TABLE 1 | Comparison of important SNP loci between this study and previous studies.

TABLE 1 | Continued

Trait	Marker	Chr	Physical position (Mb)	Loci or genes and the position (Mb) previously reported on the same chromosome	Literature	
			[)i (iiia)	Xbarc344 (710.4) ~ Xwmc291 (790.3)	Wang et al. (2011)	
	AX-111600193	4A	642.4	QSnpp-4A.1	Cui et al. (2014)	
	AX-110033504	5A	21.4	tplb0049a09 1302 (698.0)	Ain et al. (2015)	
	AX-110586945	5B	584.7			
	AX-109084084	6B	567.7			
	AX-109306202	7B	568.7	Xbarc243 ~ Xbarc59	Wang et al. (2011)	
	AX-89703681	7B	639.8		- ' '	
	AX-110913995	7D	428.1			
	AX-110967909	7D	449.1			



association panel. The favorable alleles in 74.5% of all cultivars varied from 13 to 25 (**Figure 5**). The cultivar Zhengzhou683 had the largest number of favorable alleles (30) followed by Suzhou7829 (29). Each of four cultivars (Longchun7, Ningmai6, Yunmai35, and Zhen7630) had 28 favorable alleles. The cultivars with more than 20 favorable alleles and their traits were showed in **Table S8**. Moreover, mean yields of accessions were gradually increased with a

varying number of favorable alleles at thirty-one loci (**Figure 5**). The accessions that contained only two favorable alleles had a mean yield of 805.96 g, whereas those accessions containing more than 27 favorable alleles had an average of 1,120.63 g. A significant association between the number of favorable alleles and yield was observed (r = 0.799, p < 0.0001), suggesting that pyramiding multiple QTL with marker-assisted selection may effectively increase yield of wheat.





Pleiotropic Effects Revealed by GWAS

Eleven of the 31 markers were found to be associated with more than one trait based on GWAS. At four of these loci, the superior alleles showed a positive effect for two or three traits. That is, the superior AA allele of AX-111600193 on 4A was simultaneously associated with an increased TKW and GWS, and a decreased PH. The TT allele at AX-110967909 on 7D was simultaneously associated with increased GWS and TSNS. The superior AA allele of AX-109860828 on 5B regulated a high TKW and short PH, and the superior CC allele of AX-95152512 on 5D regulated a high GWS and short PH. However, the alternative GG allele at AX-111600193 and TT allele at AX-110967909 increased the SNPP. In addition, seven markers (AX-109084084, AX-109306202, AX-110033504, AX-110142073, AX-110586945, AX-110913995, and AX-89703681) were associated with increased GWS, whereas all of them showed negative allelic effects on SNPP.

Distribution of Superior Alleles in Founder Parents and Widely Grown Cultivars

The phenotypic variation in the eight surveyed traits and yield was compared between 18 founder parents and 15 widely grown cultivars (**Table 2**). Significant differences were found between the groups of cultivars for all traits except for SL and YD. Widely grown cultivars displayed a higher TKW and GWS and shorter PH than founder parents, whereas founder parents showed a greater TSNS, KNS, and SNPP than widely grown cultivars.

The superior alleles at the 31 loci mentioned above were compared between founder parents and widely grown cultivars (Figure 6). For TKW, the percentages of 3 superior alleles reached 93.3%, 66.7%, and 73.3% in widely grown cultivars, which were higher than those (50.0%, 38.9%, and 44.4%, respectively) in founder parents. For KNS, the superior allele of AX-110982403 showed a higher frequency (44.4%) in founder parents than in widely grown cultivars (33.3%). For GWS, TSNS, PH, and SNPP, the percentages of superior alleles in these two groups were diverse at different loci. In general, for GWS and PH, the average frequencies of the superior alleles in widely grown cultivars were slightly higher than those in founder parents. For TSNS and SNPP, higher proportions of superior alleles were detected in founder parents than in widely grown cultivars. Overall, the percentage (49.7%) of superior alleles in widely grown cultivars was slightly higher than that (45.2%) in founder parents across all 31 loci. The distribution of superior alleles and their yield in widely grown cultivars and founder parents were showed in Figure 7.

To illustrate the important role of the 31 superior loci for yield in founder parents and widely grown cultivars, ten high yield accessions (mean yield > 1,100 g) and 10 low yield accessions (mean yield < 1,000 g) were selected. In general, the frequencies of favorable alleles in the high yield accessions were higher than in the low yield accessions across all 31 loci except

AX-110982403 and AX-111082615 (Figure 8). Of the 31 superior loci, 15 had a frequency equal or higher than 0.60 in the high yield accessions, indicating that they possibly play an important role in modulating yield of wheat. However, the frequencies of superior alleles at only two loci (AX-111132985 and AX-111082615) were higher than 0.60 in the low yield accessions, indicating that they are important to increase wheat yield in these accessions.

DISCUSSION

Population Construction and Structure

In this study, the population panel included 384 accessions from more than eleven Chinese provinces. By comparison, the sample size used in our study is larger than used in the previous studies (Liu et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017). The evaluation of yield-related traits in 15 environments also showed wide variations. These results indicated that this population panel is suitable for association analysis of quantitative traits. Additionally, the evaluation of population genetic structure is a prerequisite of genome-wide association studies to reduce false associations between markers and traits. The cluster and model-based analysis in STRUCTURE affirmed the presence of two subpopulations in the population panel. In addition, in this study, the MLM approach, which takes into account population structure and genotype relationship and is widely used in association mapping in previous studies, could effectively control false positives (Edae et al., 2014).

Discovery of Superior Alleles and Applications in Wheat Breeding

GWAS is an important tool for detecting favorable alleles for various traits in many plants (Rafalski, 2010). In this study, the diversity panel used was planted in five major wheat ecological regions of China over three consecutive years. The phenotyping data obtained here improved the accuracy in identifying stable genomic regions controlling interesting traits. The association study resulted in the identification of a large number of MTAs; however, the major focus of the discussion is on the identified superior alleles which may play a key role in modulating the agronomic traits of wheat cultivars (**Table**

1). Our study identified thirty-one superior allele loci, particularly the superior alleles at four loci (AX-95152512, AX-109860828, AX-111600193 and AX-110967909), each of which simultaneously showed a positive effect for two or three traits. The potential molecular functions of the significant markers need be researched.

Uncovering superior allele loci were beneficial to pyramid breeding. Although the associated markers may individually explain minor phenotypic variance, the assembling of favorable alleles from different marker loci into one recipient parent can exhibit much larger effects and may result in elite cultivars (Cai et al., 2014). Indeed, our study also found that the number of favorable alleles in each accession was significantly associated with TKW, GWS, TSNS, PH, and yield, respectively. Therefore, the superior alleles for yield-related traits should be integrated properly by marker-assisted selection in order to increase wheat yield. Additionally, in the present study, a total of 124 accessions were found, each of which carried more than 20 favorable alleles. Of these, the cultivar Zhengzhou683 had as much as 30 favorable alleles. The agronomic traits of these accessions were also showed in **Table S8**, and they can be selected as parents in wheat breeding.

Marker-Trait Associations and Comparison With Previous Studies

In the present study, the GWAS identified only six significant markers associated with TKW in at least two environments. Three of these loci mapping on 4A, 5B, and 7D showed a positive effect. By BLAST-searching against the genome sequence from Chinese Spring wheat (IWGSC V1.0) (http://www.wheatgenome.org/), the significant SNPs detected in our study were compared with those reported previously. The three wheat chromosomes were found to harbour factors affecting TKW. In the current study, AX-111600193, which was associated with TKW, was located on 4A at position 642.4 Mb. Jiang et al. (2015) reported a TKW-associated gene, TaCWI, on 4A at position 607.9 Mb, and the physical distance between the TaCWI gene and AX-111600193 was 34.5 Mb. Reif et al. (2011) identified an SSR locus, Xgwm160, related to TKW on 4A at position 719.3 Mb. McCartney et al. (2005) identified a TKW-associated gene, QGwt.crc-4A, near position 129.3 Mb. In our study, AX-109860828, which was associated with TKW, was located on 5B at position 422.0 Mb. Ramya et al. (2010) and Ain et al. (2015) identified one SSR locus, Xgwm371, related to QTkw.ncl-5B.2 and

TABLE 2	The phenotypic variation in eight traits	and vield between founder	parents and widely grown cultivars
IADLE Z	The phenotypic variation in eight traits	s and yield between rounder	parents and widely grown cultivars.

Trait		Widely grown cu	Widely grown cultivar		Founder parent		
	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean	
PH	60.00	173.72	100.94 ± 24.53**	68.20	146.00	109.01 ± 19.00	
SL	5.51	21.00	9.25 ± 2.08	5.50	15.95	9.04 ± 1.55	
TSNS	11.20	28.33	19.53± 2.71**	8.50	25.53	20.13 ± 2.35	
FSNS	13.00	26.00	18.20 ± 2.08*	13.00	24.30	18.69 ± 2.04	
KNS	20.55	94.50	45.04 ± 9.70**	13.18	86.50	48.03 ± 11.78	
TKW	18.49	55.00	39.51 ± 5.30**	18.00	53.00	34.95 ± 6.63	
SNPP	3.87	22.30	9.61 ± 3.96*	4.40	31.03	10.39 ± 4.64	
GWS	0.58	3.96	$1.82 \pm 0.49^{**}$	0.28	3.34	1.71 ± 0.53	
YD	386.67	1838.42	1061.77 ± 357.18	228.66	1796.67	1000.45 ± 359.41	

TKW, 1000-kernel weight; KNS, kernel number per spike; TSNS, total spikelet number per spike; FSNS, fertile spikelet number per spike; GWS, grain weight per spike; PH, plant height; SNPP, spike number per plant; YD, yield. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.



FIGURE 6 | The percentage of cultivars with superior alleles at different significant loci for six traits in widely grown cultivars and founder parents.

four SNPs (between wsnp_Ex_rep_c66651_64962429 and IAAV4074) associated with TKW on 5B at position 447.2 Mb and in the interval 403.8–430.5 Mb, respectively, and the physical distances between AX-109860828 and *QTkw.ncl-5B.2* and between AX-109860828 and the SNP IAAV4074 were only 25.2 Mb and 8.5 Mb, respectively. In addition, Wang et al. (2011) and Sun et al. (2017) identified a QTL and three significant SNPs associated with TKW in the interval 670.7–685.0 Mb and near 700.8 Mb on 5B, respectively. Groos et al. (2003); Reif et al. (2011) and Cui et al. (2014) also identified genomic regions related to TKW on 5B. Similarly, our results indicated that AX-108838800, which was related to TKW, was located on 7D at position 524.7 Mb, and some QTLs linked to TKW were previously detected on 7D (Reif et al., 2011; Cui et al., 2014).

Earlier studies reported eight well-known reduced height (*Rht*) genes, namely, *Rht-B1b*, *RhtD1b*, *Rht4*, *Rht5*, *Rht8*, *Rht9*, *Rht12*, and *Rht13* on chromosomes 4BS, 4DS, 2BL, 3BS, 2DS, 5AL, 5AL, and 7BS, respectively (Ellis et al., 2005). In this study, a total of 118 MTAs mapping to 43 loci, which were distributed on all chromosomes except 2A and 3D, were detected for PH in 15 environments. Nine of these loci showed a positive effect, and some were previously reported to have significant effects on PH. For example, AX-111132985, which was significantly related to PH and located on 2D at position 8.7 Mb in this study, may be linked to the *Rht8* dwarfing gene, because the marker Xgwm261

linked to the gene Rht8 has a chromosome location (19.6 Mb) near that of AX-111132985. In addition, Chai et al. (2018) detected two novel QTLs linked in the coupling phase on 2DS with pleiotropic effects on PH and SL in the same genomic interval as *Rht8*. Other QTLs for PH were also reported on 2D in previous studies (McCartney et al., 2005; Griffiths et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2017). Nevertheless, further research is needed to identify whether these genes are identical. Similarly, in this study, AX-111531574 was found to affect PH and to be on 1B at position 554.5 Mb, which was consistent with the result obtained by Griffiths et al. (2012) who identified QTLs controlling PH between SSR markers Xgwm456 and Xgwm124 in the region 464.9-638.9 Mb. Ma et al. (2018) also detected a different QTL related to PH on 1B at position 111.0 Mb. In addition, a SNP (Tdurum_contig27385_131) linked to PH was also found in multiple environments on the same chromosome by Sun et al. (2017). Three markers, namely, AX-109272207, AX-111600193, and AX-108745433 on 4A, were associated with PH in this study. At a similar location to AX-111600193 (642.4 Mb), marker Kukri_c77040_87 (625.9 Mb) on 4A affecting PH was reported by Ain et al. (2015). Similarly, marker AX-95152512, which was related to PH, was identified on 5D at position 14.4 Mb in our study, and a QTL controlling PH at a nearby position was reported by Cui et al. (2011). Marker AX-111568844, which was related to PH, was identified on 7B at position 505.4 Mb in







this study. McCartney et al. (2005) also reported an SSR locus, Xgwm333, linked to PH on 7B at position 475.6 Mb. In addition, Huang et al. (2003) and Liu et al. (2011) also detected different QTLs related to PH on 7B.

Similarly, 14, 9, and 9 superior alleles associated with GWS, TSNS and SNPP, respectively, were found in our study, of which three (AX-110630537, AX-110586945, and AX-95009966 on 4A, 5B, and 6D, respectively), one (AX-111541781 on 5B), and one (AX-110142073 on 3B) may have corresponded to previously mapped QTL regions because they had similar chromosome locations (**Table 1**). Furthermore, some superior alleles identified in this study with significant effects on TKW, GWS, TSNS, PH, and SNPP, for which no information has previously been reported in the literature for these genomic regions, may be novel QTLs. For example, nine markers (AX-110142073, AX-111600193, AX-110033504, AX-95152512, AX-109084084, AX-109306202, AX-110913995, AX-110967909, and AX-110446329) associated with GWS were consistently identified in all 15 environments in our results. More work is needed to confirm these detected loci.

Distribution of Superior Alleles in Founder Parents and Widely Grown Cultivars

Founder parents and widely grown cultivars have played particularly crucial roles in the improvement of wheat breeding and production worldwide. For example, more than 2,000 wheat cultivars were developed from 1949 to 2000 in China, most of which can be tracked to only 16 ancestral founder parents, and 59 of them have an annual maximum acreage over 667,000 ha (Zhuang, 2003). Previous studies have identified many QTLs associated with important traits in widely planted cultivars of crops such as wheat (An et al., 2006), maize (Ordas et al., 2009) and rice (Tian et al., 2006). Moreover, a dozen of important chromosomal regions that underwent rigorous selection during breeding and may be associated with advantageous traits have been detected in founder parents of wheat (Pestsova and Roder, 2002; Han et al., 2009; Li et al., 2012), barley (Christopher et al., 2006), soybean (Lorenzen et al., 1995), potato (Solanumtuberosum L.) (Simko et al., 2004) and sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) (Jordan et al., 2004). However, little information is available on the difference between founder parents and widely grown cultivars, which may be useful for future wheat improvement and breeding. In this study, the widely grown cultivars showed a higher TKW and GWS, and shorter PH than the founder parents (Table 2), possibly because the former had more superior alleles for these traits than the latter (Figure 6). Similarly, Chang et al. (2018) investigated the genetic characteristics of a founder parent (Bima4), a widely planted cultivar (Bima1) and four other

REFERENCES

- Ain, Q., Rasheed, A., Anwar, A., Mahmood, T., Imtiaz, M., Mahmood, T., et al. (2015). Genome-wide association for grain yield under rainfed conditions in historical wheat cultivars from Pakistan. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 743. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00743
- An, D., Su, J., Liu, Q., Zhu, Y., Tong, Y., Li, J., et al. (2006). Mapping QTLs for nitrogen uptake in relation to the early growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Plant Soil* 284 (1-2), 73–84. doi: 10.1007/s11104-006-0030-3

sibling lines that were derived from the same cross. The authors found that the widely planted cultivar Bima1 showed a higher TKW than the founder parent Bima4, and phenotypic and genomic comparisons indicated that both Bima1 and Bima4 were superior to the remaining sibling lines. We also found that the widely grown cultivars showed higher average yields than the founder parents, although the difference was not significant between them. It may be that the percentage (49.7%) of superior alleles in widely grown cultivars was slightly higher than that (45.2%) in founder parents across all 31 loci.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets generated for this study are included in the article/ Supplementary Material.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XL designed the experiments, analyzed all data, and wrote and extensively revised this manuscript. XX participated in phenotype measurement and data analysis. WL, XQL and XY guided data analysis. ZR and LL guided the experiment. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was supported by a grant from the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31571752) and the Project of Henan Provincial Youth Backbone Teachers (No. 2019GGJS246).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the help of Dr. Rui Wang from the Department of Plant Sciences, University of Idaho, Aberdeen, ID, USA for the analysis of multiple comparison adjustment.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2020.00175/ full#supplementary-material

- Aranzana, M. J., Kim, S., Zhao, K., Bakker, E., Horton, M., Jakob, K., et al. (2005). Genome-wide association mapping in *Arabidopsis* identifies previously known flowering time and pathogen resistance genes. *PloS Genet.* 1 (5), e60. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0010060
- Bordes, J., Goudemand, E., Duchalais, L., Chevarin, L., Oury, F. X., Heumez, E., et al. (2014). Genome-wide association mapping of three important traits using bread wheat elite breeding populations. *Mol. Breed.* 33 (4), 755–768. doi: 10.1007/s11032-013-0004-0

- Breseghello, F., and Sorrells, M. E. (2006). Association mapping of kernel size and milling quality in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars. *Genetics* 172 (2), 1165–1177. doi: 10.1534/genetics.105.044586
- Cabrera, A., Guttieri, M., Smith, N., Souza, E., Sturbaum, A., Hua, D., et al. (2015). Identification of milling and baking quality QTL in multiple soft wheat mapping populations. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 128 (11), 2227–2242. doi: 10.1007/ s00122-015-2580-3
- Cai, D., Xiao, Y., Yang, W., Ye, W., Wang, B., Younas, M., et al. (2014). Association mapping of six yield-related traits in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 127 (1), 85–96. doi: 10.1007/s00122-013-2203-9
- Chai, L., Chen, Z., Bian, R., Zhai, H., Cheng, X., Peng, H., et al. (2018). Dissection of two quantitative trait loci with pleiotropic effects on plant height and spike length linked in coupling phase on the short arm of chromosome 2D of common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 131 (12), 2621– 2637. doi: 10.1007/s00122-018-3177-4
- Chang, L., Li, H., Wu, X., Lu, Y., Zhang, J., Yang, X., et al. (2018). Genetic characteristics of a wheat founder parent and a widely planted cultivar derived from the same cross. *J. Integr. Agr.* 17 (4), 775–785. doi: 10.1016/s2095-3119 (17)61710-6
- Christopher, M., Mace, E., Jordan, D., Rodgers, D., McGowan, P., Delacy, I., et al. (2006). Applications of pedigree-based genome mapping in wheat and barley breeding programs. *Euphytica* 154 (3), 307–316. doi: 10.1007/s10681-006-9199-z
- Crossa, J., Burgueno, J., Dreisigacker, S., Vargas, M., Herrera-Foessel, S. A., Lillemo, M., et al. (2007). Association analysis of historical bread wheat germplasm using additive genetic covariance of relatives and population structure. *Genetics* 177 (3), 1889–1913. doi: 10.1534/genetics.107.078659
- Cui, F., Li, J., Ding, A., Zhao, C., Wang, L., Wang, X., et al. (2011). Conditional QTL mapping for plant height with respect to the length of the spike and internode in two mapping populations of wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 122 (8), 1517–1536. doi: 10.1007/s00122-011-1551-6
- Cui, F., Zhao, C., Ding, A., Li, J., Wang, L., Li, X., et al. (2014). Construction of an integrative linkage map and QTL mapping of grain yield-related traits using three related wheat RIL populations. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 127 (3), 659–675. doi: 10.1007/s00122-013-2249-8
- Edae, E. A., Byrne, P. F., Haley, S. D., Lopes, M. S., and Reynolds, M. P. (2014). Genome-wide association mapping of yield and yield components of spring wheat under contrasting moisture regimes. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 127 (4), 791– 807. doi: 10.1007/s00122-013-2257-8
- Ellis, M. H., Rebetzke, G. J., Azanza, F., Richards, R. A., and Spielmeyer, W. (2005). Molecular mapping of gibberellin-responsive dwarfing genes in bread wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 111 (3), 423–430. doi: 10.1007/s00122-005-2008-6
- Eskandari, M., Cober, E. R., and Rajcan, I. (2013). Using the candidate gene approach for detecting genes underlying seed oil concentration and yield in soybean. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 126 (7), 1839–1850. doi: 10.1007/s00122-013-2096-7
- Evanno, G. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Mol. Ecol.* 14, 2611–2620. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x
- Gapare, W., Conaty, W., Zhu, Q.-H., Liu, S., Stiller, W., Llewellyn, D., et al. (2017). Genome-wide association study of yield components and fibre quality traits in a cotton germplasm diversity panel. *Euphytica* 213 (3), 66. doi: 10.1007/ s10681-017-1855-y
- Golabadi, M., Arzani, A., Mirmohammadi Maibody, S. A. M., Sayed Tabatabaei, B. E., and Mohammadi, S. A. (2010). Identification of microsatellite markers linked with yield components under drought stress at terminal growth stages in durum wheat. *Euphytica* 177 (2), 207–221. doi: 10.1007/s10681-010-0242-8
- Gouis, J., Bordes, J., Ravel, C., Heumez, E., Faure, S., Praud, S., et al. (2012). Genome-wide association analysis to identify chromosomal regions determining components of earliness in wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 124 (3), 597–611. doi: 10.1007/s00122-011-1732-3
- Griffiths, S., Simmonds, J., Leverington, M., Wang, Y., Fish, L., Sayers, L., et al. (2012). Meta-QTL analysis of the genetic control of crop height in elite European winter wheat germplasm. *Mol. Breed.* 29 (1), 159–171. doi: 10.1007/s11032-010-9534-x
- Groos, C., Robert, N., Bervas, E., and Charmet, G. (2003). Genetic analysis of grain protein-content, grain yield and thousand-kernel weight in bread wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 106 (6), 1032–1040. doi: 10.1007/s00122-002-1111-1

- Guo, J., Hao, C., Zhang, Y., Zhang, B., Cheng, X., Qin, L., et al. (2015). Association and validation of yield-favored alleles in Chinese cultivars of common wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *PloS One* 10 (6), e0130029. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0130029
- Han, J., Zhang, L., Li, J., Shi, L., Xie, C., You, M., et al. (2009). Molecular dissection of core parental cross 'Triumph/Yanda 1817' and its derivatives in wheat breeding program. Acta Agron. Sin. 35 (8), 1395–1404. doi: 10.3724/ SP.J.1006.2009.01395
- He, Z. H. (2001). "Wheat Quality Requirements in China," in Wheat in a Global Environment. Developments in Plant Breeding, vol. 9 . Eds. Z. Bedö and L. Láng (Dordrecht: Springer).
- Heidari, B., Sayed-Tabatabaei, B. E., Saeidi, G., Kearsey, M., and Suenaga, K. (2011). Mapping QTL for grain yield, yield components, and spike features in a doubled haploid population of bread wheat. *Genome* 54 (6), 517–527. doi: 10.1139/g11-017
- Holland, J. B. (2007). Genetic architecture of complex traits in plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 10 (2), 156–161. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2007.01.003
- Huang, X. Q., Coster, H., Ganal, M. W., and Roder, M. S. (2003). Advanced backcross QTL analysis for the identification of quantitative trait loci alleles from wild relatives of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 106 (8), 1379–1389. doi: 10.1007/s00122-002-1179-7
- Hwang, E., Song, Q., Jia, G., Specht, J. E., Hyten, D. L., Costa, J., et al. (2014). A genome-wide association study of seed protein and oil content in soybean. *BMC Genomics* 15, 1. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-1
- Jiang, Y., Jiang, Q., Hao, C., Hou, J., Wang, L., Zhang, H., et al. (2015). A yieldassociated gene *TaCWI*, in wheat: its function, selection and evolution in global breeding revealed by haplotype analysis. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 128 (1), 131–143. doi: 10.1007/s00122-014-2417-5
- Jordan, D. R., Tao, Y. Z., Godwin, I. D., Henzell, R. G., Cooper, M., and McIntyre, C. L. (2004). Comparison of identity by descent and identity by state for detecting genetic regions under selection in a sorghum pedigree breeding program. *Mol. Breed.* 14 (4), 441–454. doi: 10.1007/s11032-005-0901-y
- Kollers, S., Rodemann, B., Ling, J., Korzun, V., Ebmeyer, E., Argillier, O., et al. (2013). Whole genome association mapping of Fusarium head blight resistance in European winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *PloS One* 8 (2), e57500. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0057500
- Kraakman, A. T. W., Martínez, F., Mussiraliev, B., van Eeuwijk, F. A., and Niks, R. E. (2006). Linkage disequilibrium mapping of morphological, resistance, and other agronomically relevant traits in modern spring barley cultivars. *Mol. Breed.* 17 (1), 41–58. doi: 10.1007/s11032-005-1119-8
- Kulwal, P. L., Kumar, N., Gaur, A., Khurana, P., Khurana, J. P., Tyagi, A. K., et al. (2005). Mapping of a major QTL for pre-harvest sprouting tolerance on chromosome 3A in bread wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 111 (6), 1052–1059. doi: 10.1007/s00122-005-0021-4
- Li, X. J., Xu, X., Yang, X. M., Li, X. Q., Liu, W. H., Gao, A. N., et al. (2012). Genetic diversity among a founder parent and widely grown wheat cultivars derived from the same origin based on morphological traits and microsatellite markers. *Crop Pasture Sci.* 63 (4), 303–310. doi: 10.1071/cp11302
- Li, H., Peng, Z. Y., Yang, X. H., Wang, W. D., Fu, J. J., Wang, J. H., et al. (2013). Genome-wide association study dissects the genetic architecture of oil biosynthesis in maize kernels. *Nat. Genet.* 45 (1), 43–50. doi: 10.1038/ ng.2484
- Lin, M., Zhang, D., Liu, S., Zhang, G., Yu, J., Fritz, A. K., et al. (2016). Genomewide association analysis on pre-harvest sprouting resistance and grain color in U.S. winter wheat. *BMC Genomics* 17 (1), 794. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-3148-6
- Liu, G., Xu, S., Ni, Z., Xie, C., Qin, D., Li, J., et al. (2011). Molecular dissection of plant height QTLs using recombinant inbred lines from hybrids between common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and spelt wheat (*Triticum spelta* L.). *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 56 (18), 1897–1903. doi: 10.1007/s11434-011-4506-z
- Liu, Y., Wang, L., Deng, M., Li, Z., Lu, Y., Wang, J., et al. (2015). Genome-wide association study of phosphorus-deficiency-tolerance traits in *Aegilops tauschii*. Theor. Appl. Genet. 128 (11), 2203–2212. doi: 10.1007/s00122-015-2578-x
- Liu, Y., Lin, Y., Gao, S., Li, Z., Ma, J., Deng, M., et al. (2017). A genome-wide association study of 23 agronomic traits in Chinese wheat landraces. *Plant J.* 91 (5), 861–873. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13614
- Lorenzen, L. L., Boutin, S., Young, N., Specht, J. E., and Shoemaker, R. C. (1995). Soybean pedigree analysis using map-based molecular markers: I. Tracking

RFLP markers in cultivars. Crop Sci. 35 (5), 1326–1336. doi: 10.2135/ cropsci1995.0011183x003500050012x

- Ma, F., Xu, Y., Ma, Z., Li, L., and An, D. (2018). Genome-wide association and validation of key loci for yield-related traits in wheat founder parent Xiaoyan 6. *Mol. Breed.* 38 (7), 91. doi: 10.1007/s11032-018-0837-7
- Mason, R. E., Mondal, S., Beecher, F. W., Pacheco, A., Jampala, B., Ibrahim, A. M. H., et al. (2010). QTL associated with heat susceptibility index in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under short-term reproductive stage heat stress. *Euphytica* 174 (3), 423–436. doi: 10.1007/s10681-010-0151-x
- McCartney, C. A., Somers, D. J., Humphreys, D. G., Lukow, O., Ames, N., Noll, J., et al. (2005). Mapping quantitative trait loci controlling agronomic traits in the spring wheat cross RL4452 x 'AC Domain'. *Genome* 48 (5), 870–883. doi: 10.1139/g05-055
- Neumann, K., Kobiljski, B., Dencic, S., Varshney, R. K., and Borner, A. (2011). Genome-wide association mapping: a case study in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Mol. Breed.* 27 (1), 37–58. doi: 10.1007/s11032-010-9411-7
- Ordas, B., Malvar, R. A., Santiago, R., Sandoya, G., Romay, M. C., and Butron, A. (2009). Mapping of QTL for resistance to the Mediterranean corn borer attack using the intermated B73 x Mo17 (IBM) population of maize. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 119 (8), 1451–1459. doi: 10.1007/s00122-009-1147-6
- Pestsova, E., and Roder, M. (2002). Microsatellite analysis of wheat chromosome 2D allows the reconstruction of chromosomal inheritance in pedigrees of breeding programmes. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 106 (1), 84–91. doi: 10.1007/ s00122-002-0998-x
- Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., and Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* 2 (155), 945–959.
- Rafalski, J. A. (2010). Association genetics in crop improvement. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 13 (2), 174–180. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2009.12.004
- Ramya, P., Chaubal, A., Kulkarni, K., Gupta, L., Kadoo, N., Dhaliwal, H., et al. (2010). QTL mapping of 1000-kernel weight, kernel length, and kernel width in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). J. Appl. Genet. 51 (4), 421–429. doi: 10.1007/BF03208872
- Rasheed, A., Hao, Y., Xia, X., Khan, A., Xu, Y., Varshney, R. K., et al. (2017). Crop breeding chips and genotyping platforms: progress, challenges, and perspectives. *Mol. Plant* 10 (8), 1047–1064. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2017.06.008
- Ravel, C., Praud, S., Murigneux, A., Linossier, L., Dardevet, M., Balfourier, F., et al. (2006). Identification of *Glu-B1-1* as a candidate gene for the quantity of highmolecular-weight glutenin in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) by means of an association study. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 112 (4), 738–743. doi: 10.1007/ s00122-005-0178-x
- Ray, D. K., Ramankutty, N., Mueller, N. D., West, P. C., and Foley, J. A. (2012). Recent patterns of crop yield growth and stagnation. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 1293. doi: 10.1038/ncomms2296
- Reif, J. C., Zhang, P., Dreisigacker, S., Warburton, M. L., van Ginkel, M., Hoisington, D., et al. (2005). Wheat genetic diversity trends during domestication and breeding. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 110 (5), 859–864. doi: 10.1007/s00122-004-1881-8
- Reif, J. C., Gowda, M., Maurer, H. P., Longin, C. F., Korzun, V., Ebmeyer, E., et al. (2011). Association mapping for quality traits in soft winter wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 122 (5), 961–970. doi: 10.1007/s00122-010-1502-7
- Saghai-Maroof, M. A., Soliman, K. M., Jorgensen, R. A., and Allard, R. W. (1984). Ribosomal DNA spacer-length polymorphisms in barley: mendelian inheritance, chromosomal location, and population dynamics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 81 (24), 8014–8018. doi: 10.1073/pnas.81.24.8014
- Simko, I., Haynes, K. G., and Jones, R. W. (2004). Mining data from potato pedigrees: tracking the origin of susceptibility and resistance to Verticillium dahliae in North American cultivars through molecular marker analysis. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 108 (2), 225–230. doi: 10.1007/s00122-003-1448-0
- Storey, J. D. (2002). A direct approach to false discovery rates. J. R. Stat. Soc Ser. B Stat. Methodol. 64, 479–498. doi: 10.1111/1467-9868.00346
- Stracke, S., Haseneyer, G., Veyrieras, J. B., Geiger, H. H., Sauer, S., Graner, A., et al. (2009). Association mapping reveals gene action and interactions in the

determination of flowering time in barley. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 118 (2), 259–273. doi: 10.1007/s00122-008-0896-y

- Sun, X., Marza, F., Ma, H., Carver, B. F., and Bai, G. (2010). Mapping quantitative trait loci for quality factors in an inter-class cross of US and Chinese wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 120 (5), 1041–1051. doi: 10.1007/ s00122-009-1232-x
- Sun, C., Zhang, F., Yan, X., Zhang, X., Dong, Z., Cui, D., et al. (2017). Genomewide association study for 13 agronomic traits reveals distribution of superior alleles in bread wheat from the Yellow and Huai Valley of China. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 15 (8), 953–969. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12690
- Thornsberry, J. M., Goodman, M. M., Doebley, J., Kresovich, S., Nielsen, D., and Buckler, E. S. (2001). *Dwarf8* polymorphisms associate with variation in flowering time. *Nat. Genet.* 28, 286–289. doi: 10.1038/90135
- Tian, Q. Z., Zhou, R. H., and Jia, J. Z. (2005). Genetic diversity trend of common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in China. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.* 52, 325–331. doi: 10.1007/s10722-005-5716-5
- Tian, F., Li, D. J., Fu, Q., Zhu, Z. F., Fu, Y. C., Wang, X. K., et al. (2006). Construction of introgression lines carrying wild rice (*Oryza rufipogon* Griff.) segments in cultivated rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) background and characterization of introgressed segments associated with yield-related traits. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 112 (3), 570–580. doi: 10.1007/s00122-005-0165-2
- Tian, F., Bradbury, P. J., Brown, P. J., Hung, H., Sun, Q., Flint-Garcia, S., et al. (2011). Genome-wide association study of leaf architecture in the maize nested association mapping population. *Nat. Genet.* 43 (2), 159–162. doi: 10.1038/ ng.746
- Wang, J., Liu, W., Wang, H., Li, L., Wu, J., Yang, X., et al. (2011). QTL mapping of yield-related traits in the wheat germplasm 3228. *Euphytica* 177, 277–292. doi: 10.1007/s10681-010-0267-z
- Wang, L., Ge, H., Hao, C., Dong, Y., and Zhang, X. (2012). Identifying loci influencing 1,000-kernel weight in wheat by microsatellite screening for evidence of selection during breeding. *PloS One* 7 (2), e29432. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0029432
- Wu, J., Feng, F., Lian, X., Teng, X., Wei, H., Yu, H., et al. (2015). Genome-wide Association Study (GWAS) of mesocotyl elongation based on re-sequencing approach in rice. *BMC Plant Biol.* 15, 218. doi: 10.1186/s12870-015-0608-0
- Wu, Q., Chen, Y., Fu, L., Zhou, S., Chen, J., Zhao, X., et al. (2015). QTL mapping of flag leaf traits in common wheat using an integrated high-density SSR and SNP genetic linkage map. *Euphytica* 208 (2), 337–351. doi: 10.1007/s10681-015-1603-0
- Yao, J., Wang, L. X., Liu, L. H., Zhao, C. P., and Zheng, Y. L. (2009). Association mapping of agronomic traits on chromosome 2A of wheat. *Genetica* 137 (1), 67–75. doi: 10.1007/s10709-009-9351-5
- Yu, L. X., Lorenz, A., Rutkoski, J., Singh, R. P., Bhavani, S., Huerta-Espino, J., et al. (2011). Association mapping and gene-gene interaction for stem rust resistance in CIMMYT spring wheat germplasm. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 123 (8), 1257–1268. doi: 10.1007/s00122-011-1664-y
- Zhang, D., Hao, C., Wang, L., and Zhang, X. (2012). Identifying loci influencing grain number by microsatellite screening in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Planta* 236 (5), 1507–1517. doi: 10.1007/s00425-012-1708-9
- Zhuang, Q. S. (2003). Chinese wheat varieties and their genealogics analysis. (Beijing: China Agricultural Press)

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Li, Xu, Liu, Li, Yang, Ru and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.