



Transmission Genetics of a Sorghum bicolor × S. halepense Backcross Populations

Wenqian Kong¹, Pheonah Nabukalu², T. Stan Cox², Valorie H. Goff¹, Gary J. Pierce¹, Cornelia Lemke¹, Jon S. Robertson¹, Rosana Compton¹, Haibao Tang³ and Andrew H. Paterson^{1*}

¹ Plant Genome Mapping Laboratory, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, United States, ² The Land Institute, Salina, KS, United States, ³ Center for Genomics and Biotechnology, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Jose Luis Gonzalez Hernandez, South Dakota State University, United States

Reviewed by:

Melinda Yerka, University of Nevada, Reno, United States Richard Boyles, Clemson University, United States

> *Correspondence: Andrew H. Paterson paterson@uga.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Breeding, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 15 August 2019 Accepted: 30 March 2020 Published: 30 April 2020

Citation:

Kong W, Nabukalu P, Cox TS, Goff VH, Pierce GJ, Lemke C, Robertson JS, Compton R, Tang H and Paterson AH (2020) Transmission Genetics of a Sorghum bicolor × S. halepense Backcross Populations. Front. Plant Sci. 11:467. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00467

Despite a "ploidy barrier," interspecific crosses to wild and/or cultivated sorghum (Sorghum bicolor, 2n = 2x = 20) may have aided the spread across six continents of Sorghum halepense, also exemplifying risks of "transgene escape" from crops that could make weeds more difficult to control. Genetic maps of two BC₁F₁ populations derived from crosses of S. bicolor (sorghum) and S. halepense with totals of 722 and 795 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers span 37 and 35 linkage groups, with 2-6 for each of the 10 basic sorghum chromosomes due to fragments covering different chromosomal portions or independent segregation from different S. halepense homologs. Segregation distortion favored S. halepense alleles on chromosomes 2 (1.06-4.68 Mb, near a fertility restoration gene), 7 (1.20-6.16 Mb), 8 (1.81-5.33 Mb, associated with gene conversion), and 9 (47.5-50.1 Mb); and S. bicolor alleles on chromosome 6 (0-40 Mb), which contains both a large heterochromatin block and the Ma1 gene. Regions of the S. halepense genome that are recalcitrant to gene flow from sorghum might be exploited as part a multi-component system to reduce the likelihood of spread of transgenes or other modified genes. Its SNP profile suggests that chromosome segments from its respective progenitors S. bicolor and Sorghum propinguum have extensively recombined in S. halepense. This study reveals genomic regions that might discourage crop-to-weed gene escape, and provides a foundation for marker-trait association analysis to determine the genetic control of traits contributing to weediness, invasiveness, and perenniality of S. halepense.

Keywords: genetic maps, autopolyploid, genotyping by sequencing, segregation, crop-to-weed

INTRODUCTION

Native to western Asia, *Sorghum halepense* L. ("Johnsongrass," 2n = 4x = 40) finds occasional use as forage and even food (seed/flour), but is most noted as one of the world's most noxious weeds, having spread across much of Asia, Africa, Europe, North and South America, and Australia and with the unusual distinction of being both a noxious weed and an invasive species (Quinn et al., 2013). Cytological, morphological, and molecular genetic data suggest that *S. halepense* is a

naturally formed tetraploid hybrid derivative of *Sorghum bicolor* (2n = 20), an annual, polytypic African grass species which includes cultivated sorghum, and *Sorghum propinquum* (2n = 20), a perennial native of moist habitats in southeast Asia (Oyer et al., 1959; McWhorter, 1971; Paterson et al., 1995) estimated to have diverged from *S. bicolor* \sim 1–2 million years ago.

The invasiveness of *S. halepense* is mainly owing to effective propagation by rapid flowering and disarticulation of mature inflorescences, together with underground rhizomes that can account for up to 70% of an individual plant's dry weight (Oyer et al., 1959), store nutrients, and quickly produce new vegetative growth after quiescent periods (cold or drought). To date, no herbicide has been found to eradicate *S. halepense* without damaging sorghum—moreover, at least 24 herbicide-resistant *S. halepense* biotypes (Heap, 2012) are known.

Its ability to cross with cultivated sorghum (S. bicolor) makes S. halepense a paradigm for the dangers of crop "gene escape" (Dale, 1992; Ellstrand, 2001; Morrell et al., 2005), with engineered improvements of sorghum raising concerns about the potential to increase persistence and/or spread of this weedy and invasive plant (Arriola and Ellstrand, 1996; Tesso et al., 2008). Although differing in ploidy from S. halepense, S. bicolor can serve as the pollen parent of triploid or tetraploid hybrids (Warwick and Black, 1983; Hoang-Tang and Liang, 1988). The "Johnsongrass" of North America has been extensively affected by introgression from S. bicolor (Morrell et al., 2005) like Sorghum almum, commonly known as Columbus Grass (Warwick et al., 1984). Introgression from S. bicolor to S. halepense has persisted in non-random regions of the genome, associated with seed size, rhizomatousness, and levels of lutein, an antioxidant implicated in cold tolerance (Paterson et al., submitted).

From a different perspective, however, *S. halepense* harbors many characteristics that may increase agricultural productivity if transferred to sorghum (Sangduen and Hanna, 1984). It flowers and produces seeds rapidly, is resistant to many diseases and insects, and adapts to a wider range of environments than both of its progenitors. *S. halepense* might also contribute to breeding of genotypes suitable for multiple harvests from single plantings (Cox et al., 2002; Glover et al., 2010; Paterson et al., 2013).

Here, we report genetic maps of two BC1F1 populations derived from different tetraploid F1 progenies from a cross of S. bicolor BTx623 (recurrent parent) \times S. halepense (Gypsum 9E) and reveal chromosomal characteristics and segregation patterns using genotyping by sequencing (GBS). In comparison to its progenitors S. bicolor and S. propinquum, the chromosomal composition of S. halepense sheds light on its evolution. Patterns of transmission of alleles from S. bicolor and S. halepense to interspecific progenies provide evidence of genomic regions that may, respectively, be favorable or recalcitrant to interspecific gene flow. This information identifies potential locations for transgenes or other genetic modifications ("edited" alleles) that may minimize crop-to-weed gene flow. These two populations are also of potential agronomic importance: identifying and incorporating novel alleles conferring yield potential, nitrogen fixation, insect or disease resistance, and rhizomatousness may benefit current or future sorghum breeding programs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genetic Stocks

Two tetraploid F₁ hybrids (H4 and H6) derived from crossing tetraploid *S. bicolor* BTx623 (colchicine-induced) \times *S. halepense* (G9E) (Cox et al., 2018) were backcrossed to a tetraploid version of the recurrent parent, *S. bicolor* BTx623: two BC₁F₁ mapping populations, of 146 H4-derived and 108 H6-derived individuals, respectively, were developed. BC₁F₂ rows derived from selfed seed of a single BC₁F₁ plant were planted at the University of Georgia Plant Science Farm, Watkinsville, GA, United States, on 28 May 2013 and 9 May 2014, and at The Land Institute, Salina, KS, United States, on 3 June 2013 and 17 June 2014. Plants were harvested for phenotyping when the main head reached senescence.

Genotyping by Sequencing (GBS)

Leaf samples of the BC_1F_1 individuals were frozen at $-80^{\circ}C$ and lyophilized for 48 h. Genomic DNA was extracted from the lyophilized leaf samples based on Aljanabi et al. (1999). Genome sequencing was conducted in Fujian Agricultural and Forestry University (FAFU) genome sequencing center. The GBS platform used a slightly modified version of Multiplex Shotgun Genotyping (MSG) (Andolfatto et al., 2011) combined with the Tassel GBS5 v2 analysis pipeline (Glaubitz et al., 2014). Sequencing used an Illumina HiSeq 2500, Rapid V2 kit that generated about 150 million reads of 100 base pair (bp) fragments per run with single-end sequencing. The restriction enzymes Hinp1I and HaeIII were used in GBS to construct the library. Adapter sequences can be found in the Supplementary **Material**. The dsDNA concentration was measured (20 ng/ μ L) and normalized across 96 individuals before library construction. Libraries were PCR-amplified to enrich for adapter-ligated fragments. Size selection was performed at 250-300 bp using "QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit."

Genotype Calling and Filtering

Genotypes were determined by single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) "calling" based on the reference genome of *S. bicolor* BTx623 v1.4 (Paterson et al., 2009). Using Tassel-GBS 5 (Glaubitz et al., 2014), the first 90 bp of each read were mapped onto the reference genome. SNPs were "called" based on alignment of the reads to the reference genome. An in-house pipeline was used to determine the genotypes for these two populations, as follows:

- 1 Raw SNPs were first thinned out within 100 bp, since SNP sites close to each other or on the same read provide little non-redundant information in early generations following crossing.
- 2 Biallelic SNP markers with an average depth of 10 were selected.
- 3 The PL (phred-scaled genotype likelihoods) field from the raw VCF file consisted of three floating point log10-scaled likelihoods for AA, AB, and BB genotypes where A is the reference allele and B is the alternative allele (Danecek et al., 2011). The PL field was transformed into probability scales by $10^{(-PL/10)}$. Genotype calling used the field with

the minimum PL value, except that a missing genotype was assigned if the second largest probability of a genotype is greater than 0.05 for each individual at each locus.

4 Homozygous genotypes with lower than 6x coverage were considered missing data.

A total of 2240 raw polymorphic markers were obtained after the *genotyping* and *filtering* steps described above for both H4- and H6-derived populations and used to analyze patterns of segregation.

Map Construction

For each sorghum chromosome, we clustered markers based on a minimum LOD score of 10. Genetic distances were first estimated based on the physical orders of markers in the published sorghum genome (Paterson et al., 2009) and then markers within 1cM bins were combined. Bin genotypes were defined as follows: If there was only one marker in the bin, the bin genotype would be the same as the marker genotype; if there were more than one marker in the bin, bin genotypes would be determined by merging marker genotypes to minimize missing data points. Using the combined genotype file, de novo marker ordering was implemented for each corresponding sorghum chromosome and the final genetic map was constructed using R/qtl with the Kosambi mapping function. The map distance was calculated with an error probability of 0.01 (Broman et al., 2003). SNP marker co-ordinates to sorghum reference genome v3.1 are provided in Supplementary File S1.

Analysis of Segregation

Using the R program (R Core Team, 2013), a chi-squared test was applied to each marker to test the hypothesis that it deviated significantly from a ratio of 5:1.

Whole Genome Polymorphism Analysis

A total of four genotypes, *S. bicolor* IS3620C (SRX2158431), *S. propinquum* from University of Georgia (SRX030701 and SRX030703), *S. propinquum* from Australia (SRX208587 and SRX208588), and *S. halepense* (SRX142088), were included in whole-genome SNP analysis against the *S. bicolor* BTx623 v1.4 reference genome. The Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) MEM algorithm was used for read alignment (Li and Durbin, 2009). Variant calling used samtools/Bcftools (Li, 2011). Data were filtered with a minimum phred score of Q20, and a minimum depth of 10 with a maximum missing data of 30% for each SNP locus.

RESULTS

Genetic Mapping and Patterns of Segregation

For three sets of 96 individuals, sequencing read depths of 360.7, 181.2, and 175.6 million yielded 689,684 raw SNP markers, which were thinned to 215,341 by removing loci within 100 bp of another locus. Of the 254 genotyped individuals, eight were deleted due to very low sequence coverage leaving 141 from the

H4 population, and 105 from H6. After filtering steps (see section "Materials and Methods"), the same 2240 polymorphic markers with a minimum average depth of 10 at each locus were used for genetic mapping of each population.

Ratios of heterozygotes to homozygotes for all mapped markers after square-root transformation (Figure 1) show a continuous distribution, indicating a mixture of disomic and polysomic inheritance as observed in other tetraploids (Jannoo et al., 2004; Stift et al., 2008). Autotetraploids can segregate in a variety of manners, including random chromosome segregation, random chromatid segregation, and maximum equational segregation, and can be further complicated by varying degrees of double reduction (Gupta, 2007). Random chromosome segregation assumes no crossing over between a gene and the centromere, while maximum equational segregation assumes that such crossing over always occurs. An intermediate state between random chromosome segregation and maximum equational segregation is often more frequent than the two extremes (Gupta, 2007). With random chromosome segregation (Muller, 1914), the expected segregation ratios for these populations are 1:1 (heterozygotes:homozygotes) for simplex markers and 5:1 for duplex markers. Under random chromatid segregation, where a chromatid can end up with any chromatid in a gamete with equal frequency, the segregation ratio can be 13:15 (simplex) or 11:3 (duplex) (Haldane, 1930). With maximum equational segregation (Mather, 1935), the segregation ratio can be 11:13 (simplex) or 7:2 (duplex).

We grouped all 2240 selected SNP markers based on pairwise recombination fractions using relatively stringent thresholds in R/qtl (Broman et al., 2003), mapping 722 and 795 to 38 and 36 linkage groups spanning 3896.5 and 6048.4 cM for the H4- and H6-derived populations, respectively (**Tables 1**, **2** and **Figure 2**). For individual sorghum chromosomes, we obtained two to six linkage groups, some covering only portions of the underlying chromosome (**Figure 2**) but others due to highly divergent segregation patterns of different allele groups (below).

Transmission Genetics

Simplex markers should have segregation ratios of 1:1, 13:15, and 11:13, while duplex markers should have segregation ratios of 5:1, 11:3, and 7:2 for random chromosome segregation, random chromatid segregation, and maximum equational segregation, respectively. We lack the statistical power to distinguish with confidence among the three possible variations of simplex or duplex ratios, or intermediates. Therefore, we expect to find a total of four linkage groups for each sorghum chromosome including one S. halepense enriched group comprised of duplex alleles, two allele balanced groups with S. halepense simplex markers (one representing each S. halepense homolog in the F1 parent), and one S. bicolor enriched group comprised of duplex alleles. Since the S. bicolor parent is largely homozygous, linkage groups of S. bicolor simplex alleles are not expected. If chromosome pairing is disomic, the S. bicolor enriched group would be in repulsion phase with S. halepense groups, though the sample sizes of the two populations limit our ability to test this hypothesis.



We define linkage groups as either *S. halepense* or *S. bicolor* enriched based on statistically significant deviation from the expected segregation ratio of 1:1 for the average of all markers in the group. Linkage groups were deemed *S. halepense* enriched if the average segregation ratio of the entire linkage group is greater than 1.82 or *S. bicolor* enriched if it is smaller than 0.55 (calculated for 105 individuals by a Chi-squared test with 1 degree of freedom and an alpha value of 0.001), otherwise it is allele balanced (**Table 3**).

In the H4-derived population, six chromosomes (2, 3, 4, 7, 8, and 10) are largely congruent with the expected four linkage groups, although allele balanced groups are fragmented and do not provide full chromosome coverage of 4 and 8. Chromosome 1 is largely covered by both *S. halepense* and *S. bicolor* enriched groups, with three allele balanced fragmented linkage groups covering non-overlapping parts of the chromosome. Chromosome 2 has two *S. halepense* enriched groups and one *S. bicolor* enriched group but only one allele balanced group, with the less *S. halepense* enriched group (average 2.54 segregation ratio) possibly reflecting segregation distortion. For

chromosomes 5 and 6, we only find one allele balanced linkage group, with both *S. halepense* enriched and one *S. bicolor* enriched (6) group only partly covering the chromosome(s). No linkage group segregating with an average ratio not significantly different from 1 was found on chromosome 9, perhaps suggesting a high density of duplex markers.

In the H6-derived population, chromosomes 6 and 7 have four linkage groups with the expected segregation ratios (albeit with incomplete chromosome coverage), while chromosomes 1 and 4 both have one *S. bicolor* enriched group but only one balanced group and two *S. halepense* enriched groups this may reflect segregation distortion because both linkage group 1B and 4B have segregation ratios of 2.27 and 2.64. Chromosomes 2, 5, 9, and 10 each had only one allele balanced linkage group, while chromosome 8 had no allele balanced linkage groups. Chromosome 3 was particularly unusual, with a total of five linkage groups, deviating from our model in having two *S. halepense* enriched groups. However, the two allele balanced groups were extremely sparse, with questions about whether they truly overlap. If in fact they do not

		Length (cM)	Avg spacing (cM)	Max spacing (cM)	Avg No. AA ‡	Avg No. AB	AB/AA ratio
1A	49	271.1	5.65	34.45	24.63	94.61	3.84
1B	16	74.8	4.98	18.95	42.94	74.31	1.73
1C	9	35.9	4.49	7.08	47.11	77.11	1.64
1D	5	10.8	2.71	3.14	56.40	52.00	0.92
1E	20	110.6	5.82	27.36	95.15	27.10	0.28
2A	11	63.4	6.34	32.51	23.55	89.55	3.80
2B	19	39.3	2.18	8.04	33.74	85.74	2.54
2C	22	91.7	4.37	14.18	52.32	64.41	1.23
2D	47	164.2	3.57	18.09	89.04	29.28	0.33
3A	31	146.8	4.89	27.67	28.94	88.65	3.06
3B	35	166.7	4.90	22.33	45.43	72.17	1.59
3C	22	182.3	8.68	42.99	60.59	60.18	0.99
3D	33	112.0	3.50	14.36	82.73	34.27	0.41
4A	29	208.8	7.46	24.88	25.62	89.93	3.51
4B	9	67.7	8.47	24.43	46.00	69.89	1.52
4C	18	198.8	11.69	56.32	56.56	57.50	1.02
4D	29	149.6	5.34	19.48	81.14	36.66	0.45
5A	4	17.1	5.71	9.65	39.75	86.25	2.17
5B	7	37.8	6.30	16.42	62.71	55.57	0.89
5C	21	121.0	6.05	21.07	87.19	33.67	0.39
6A	26	99.3	3.97	11.20	30.35	88.35	2.91
6B	23	135.4	6.16	21.14	44.26	75.13	1.70
6C	11	61.6	6.16	15.65	80.36	33.91	0.42
7A	24	236.0	10.26	38.84	27.04	90.29	3.34
7B	5	9.6	2.40	5.68	58.20	67.20	1.15
7C	25	101.6	4.23	9.91	58.60	56.68	0.97
7D	11	41.2	4.12	11.96	84.82	33.36	0.39
8A	12	110.5	10.05	26.00	24.50	92.50	3.78
8B	7	46.7	7.78	12.20	44.43	65.86	1.48
8C	4	22.0	7.33	8.39	53.50	63.00	1.18
8D	8	23.6	3.37	6.89	94.13	25.25	0.27
9A	13	121.7	10.14	32.60	20.92	92.15	4.40
9B	26	98.2	3.93	18.93	86.50	31.27	0.36
10A	15	79.9	5.71	24.93	24.20	92.80	3.83
10B	7	40.2	6.70	14.01	49.14	67.57	1.38
10C	35	248.9	7.32	18.81	62.91	59.29	0.94
10D	34	149.6	4.53	23.21	88.74	28.82	0.32
+LG: linka	ige group.						
‡AA: hom	iozygous genotype	es matching S. bicoloi	FBTx623; AB: heterozygous	s genotypes.			

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the genetic map of the H4-derived BC₁F₁ population of S. bicolor BTx623 × S. halepense G9E.

overlap, then segregation distortion could account for the extra *S. halepense* enriched group.

Detailed descriptions for each chromosome can be found in **Supplementary File S1**. Genetic maps can be found in **Supplementary File S2**.

Segregation Distortion

From the 2240 filtered markers, we detected totals of 53 and 80 SNP markers enriched for *S. halepense* alleles in the H4- and H6-derived populations, respectively, with heterozygote versus homozygote ratios significantly higher than 5:1 (P < 0.05, df = 1). Noting that these frequencies (53 and 80) are near the levels that could be expected by chance, further evidence

was considered to discern whether some of these were true positives. The most compelling case for segregation distortion can be made for 22 markers significant at an alpha level of 0.05 in not just one but both populations. Finally, 57 markers are found significant from pooling the result of two populations (**Supplementary File S3** and **Figure 3**). Regions on chromosomes 2 (1.06–4.68 Mb), 7 (1.20–6.16 Mb), 8 (1.81–5.33 Mb), and 9 (47.5–50.1 Mb) harbored at least three markers showing significant segregation distortion in each population. Interestingly, those regions completely lack markers segregating at 1:1 ratios, indicating aberrant transmission affected by selection or illegitimate recombination, hypotheses that warrant further investigation.

LG‡	Marker No.	Length (cM)	Avg spacing (cM)	Max spacing (cM)	Avg No. AA ‡	Avg No. AB	AB/AA ratio
1A	38	257.5	6.96	40.72	17.97	68.63	3.82
1B	22	124.6	5.94	16.66	26.73	60.68	2.27
1C	31	172.9	5.76	21.01	34.23	50.77	1.48
1D	18	166.6	9.80	28.76	70.06	14.83	0.21
2A	48	266.3	5.67	18.33	12.90	73.10	5.67
2B	11	93.6	9.36	17.29	31.91	52.00	1.63
2C	22	173.5	8.26	32.11	67.45	14.82	0.22
3A	52	344.7	6.76	17.23	17.38	68.38	3.93
3B	30	147.8	5.10	15.71	19.37	65.63	3.39
3C	7	34.3	5.71	11.11	42.43	44.14	1.04
3D	5	26.6	6.65	9.12	45.00	42.80	0.95
3E	33	225.0	7.03	17.26	67.21	17.24	0.26
4A	15	148.7	10.62	19.71	15.33	66.47	4.33
4B	42	289.9	7.07	17.61	23.71	62.60	2.64
4C	13	138.7	11.56	33.11	51.62	29.92	0.58
1D	38	327.7	8.86	24.14	63.89	17.08	0.27
5A	5	59.6	14.89	18.80	11.00	72.20	6.56
5B	16	78.1	5.21	14.50	49.13	34.31	0.70
5C	19	135.6	7.53	22.71	76.16	15.21	0.20
6A	27	215.4	8.28	23.06	30.00	57.19	1.91
6B	17	142.9	8.93	19.86	38.24	47.59	1.24
6C	7	59.6	9.93	13.65	39.00	48.29	1.24
6D	27	264.9	10.19	27.41	60.56	22.22	0.37
7A	24	182.5	7.93	16.07	12.96	72.92	5.63
7B	4	30.5	10.18	13.25	34.25	57.00	1.66
7C	3	19.5	9.75	16.09	30.67	45.33	1.48
7D	26	223.5	8.94	20.07	54.58	25.50	0.47
ЗA	31	269.2	8.97	26.05	12.84	68.29	5.32
3B	22	299.0	14.24	29.05	48.23	29.50	0.61
9A	34	231.7	7.02	22.51	14.00	67.50	4.82
9B	13	95.5	7.96	16.56	35.77	47.15	1.32
9C	32	260.0	8.39	30.14	63.78	17.38	0.27
10A	20	126.7	6.67	19.38	21.35	67.10	3.14
10B	19	250.6	13.92	48.90	34.89	50.74	1.45
10C	24	165.3	7.19	36.34	65.00	21.29	0.33
†LG: lii	nkage group.						

TABLE 2 Characteristics of the genetic map of the H6-derived BC₁F₁ population of S. bicolor BTx623 × S. halepense G9E.

‡AA: homozygous genotypes matching S. bicolor BTx623; AB: heterozygous genotypes.

Genomic Composition of S. halepense

Noting that *S. halepense* is a naturally occurring polyploid thought to derive from hybridization between *S. bicolor* and *S. propinquum*, to investigate its genomic composition we performed SNP "calling" with four genotypes: *S. bicolor* IS3620C, a race "guinea" accession that is highly diverged from BTx623 as a control; two *S. propinquum* accessions; and *S. halepense*. After filtering (see section "Materials and Methods"), we obtained a total of 8,703,936 SNP markers genome-wide with 1,777,782 (36.64%) identical to BTx623, 744,924 (15.35%) identical to *S. propinquum*, 447,479 (9.22%) heterozygous with one allele each from *S. bicolor* and *S. propinquum* (**Table 4**), 1,873,115 (38.61%) non-progenitor alleles, presumably arising from new mutation, and 3,852,257 unknown alleles due to missing data

or polymorphism in *S. bicolor* (not included in calculating the percentages). A much smaller sample with SNP markers only from the genetic maps was also categorized into groups matching *S. bicolor*, *S. propinquum* alleles, and new mutations. For mapped SNP markers that were not polymorphic between the divergent *S. bicolor* races represented by BTx623 and IS3620C, a total of 36.72 and 42.41% of *S. halepense* loci retained *S. propinquum* alleles, while 52.48 and 46.56% are novel in the H4- and H6-derived populations, respectively (**Table 4**).

The distribution of *S. halepense* alleles putatively derived from *S. bicolor* and *S. propinquum* indicates extensive recombination between progenitor chromosomes. There are only 26 (16 in H4, 19 in H6, and nine in both) non-random "runs" of 3 or more consecutive mapped loci with *S. propinquum* derived



alleles, covering roughly 18.7% (H4) and 11.3% (H6) of the genome (**Table 5**).

DISCUSSION

Genetic maps of two BC_1F_1 populations derived from crossing of *S. bicolor* BTx623 and *S. halepense* G9E provide important new information about the genome-wide transmission genetics of crosses which may have aided the spread across six continents of *S. halepense* ("Johnsongrass"), and confer risks to "escape" of sorghum genes that could make *S. halepense* more difficult to control. Identification of DNA markers and construction of genetic maps will facilitate marker-trait association analysis and comparative studies with other sorghum populations. Revealing chromosomal characteristics, especially identifying non-random patterns of DNA marker distribution, provides information

TABLE 3	Expected linkage groups and the thresholds.

Expected linkage groups	Possible marker type	Threshold	
S. halepense enriched	Duplex	>1.82	
Allele balanced	Simplex	>0.55 and =1.82	
S. bicolor enriched	Duplex	=0.55	

about underlying features of sorghum genome organization. An SNP profile sampling the breadth of the Sorghum genus illustrates the evolutionary path and fate of alleles from progenitors of *S. halepense*.

GBS and Genetic Mapping in Polyploids

While GBS is a cost- and time-efficient method of finding SNP markers (Elshire et al., 2011; Poland et al., 2012), our coverage of each locus was not high enough to differentiate heterozygous genotypes with different dosages—nonetheless, we obtained adequate numbers of SNP markers to construct linkage maps in these two populations using allele presence/absence, and the unmapped markers may still be useful in analysis of marker-trait association.

For each of the basic sorghum chromosomes, we expect to find one linkage group segregating with a ratio of 5:1 (heterozygotes: homozygotes) derived from homozygous *S. halepense* loci, two linkage groups segregating with ratios of 1:1 from heterozygous loci on different *S. halepense* homologs, and one linkage group segregating with a ratio of 1:5 derived from homozygous *S. bicolor* loci.

Genetic maps of 722 and 795 loci comprising 38 and 36 linkage groups were generally congruent with the expected four linkage groups for each sorghum chromosome. However, noting that about 300 markers were necessary for the



FIGURE 3 Physical coverage of the sorghum genome by each *S. bicolor* BTx623 × *S. halepense* G9E linkage group. The H4 population is on the left of the black line and the H6 population is on the right. The x-axis is the segregation ratio after square root transformation and that of the H4 population (left) is assigned a negative sign.

TABLE 4 | Inferred SNP origins in the H4 and H6 populations of S. bicolor BTx623 × S. halepense G9E and in the whole genome.

SNP types	B [†]	H-BP [†]	H-PM [†]	N-M [†]	P [†]	Unknown [†]
Counts H4	7	79	1	423	296	300
(Proportion H4)	(0.87%)	(9.8%)	(0.12%)	(52.48%)	(36.72%)	-
Counts H6	5	71	1	325	296	296
(Proportion H6)	(0.72%)	(10.17%)	(0.14%)	(46.56%)	(42.41%)	-
Whole genome	1,777,782	447,479	8,379	1,873,115	744,924	3,852,257
(Proportion whole genome)	36.64%	9.22%	0.17%	38.61%	15.35%	-

[†]B: alleles matching S. bicolor but not S. propinquum; H-BP: heterozygotes, matching both S. bicolor and S. propinquum; H-PM: heterozygotes, matching S. propinquum and a new allele; N-M: alleles not matching S. bicolor of S. propinquum, inferred to be new mutations; P: alleles matching S. propinquum, but not S. bicolor; Unknown: missing data from either S. propinquum or S. halepense, or polymorphism between S. bicolor BTx623 and IS3620C. Not included in calculating the percentages.

sorghum chromosomes to coalesce into largely complete linkage groups (Chittenden et al., 1994), it was not surprising to find incomplete chromosome coverage by some linkage groups. Marker distribution patterns of the H4 and H6derived populations are generally similar (**Figure 4**), although varying somewhat in the number and segregation patterns of homologous chromosomes, suggesting differences in allele dosage. We consistently found at least one linkage group for each sorghum chromosome enriched with *S. halepense* alleles, segregating with ratios greater than 1.82 (heterozygotes: homozygotes), which is the upper 95% confidence limit for simplex markers segregating with a ratio of 1:1 (**Table 1**). We found one to three allele balanced linkage groups segregating with average ratios near 1 for most chromosomes; failure of finding two allele balanced linkage groups may be due to either fragmented pieces covering different portion of the chromosome, independent segregation from different homologous *S. halepense* chromosomes or not enough markers to coalesce the linkage group. In three cases (H4-2, H6-1, 4), segregation distortion along much of a linkage group appeared to shift otherwise allele-balanced groups into the *S. halepense* enriched category.

Chr	LGH4	LGH6	H4 start (Mb)	H4 end (Mb)	H4 range	H6 start (Mb)	H6 end (Mb)	H6 range
1	1A	1A	1,002,293	2,075,903	1,073,610	1,002,293	2,075,903	1,073,610
1	-	1A	-	-	-	4,118,965	5,421,700	1,302,735
1	1A	1A	9,156,903	9,747,197	590,294	9,156,903	9,747,197	590,294
1	1A	1A	10,172,236	11,113,096	940,860	10,172,236	11,113,096	940,860
1	1A	-	58,398,011	60,856,958	2,458,947	-	-	-
1	-	1B	-	-	-	60,795,489	61,898,805	1,103,316
1	-	1C	-	-	-	68,343,440	69,007,210	663,770
2	-	2A	-	-	-	37,375,688	49,746,108	12,370,420
2	2B	-	70,855,137	71,665,944	810,807	-	-	-
2	-	2A	-	-	-	72,907,102	73,093,086	185,984
3	ЗA	ЗA	7,974,628	10,505,659	2,531,031	7,974,628	10,505,659	2,531,031
3	-	ЗA	-	-	-	57,155,624	57,669,990	514,366
3	-	ЗA	-	-	-	66,256,314	66,512,680	256,366
3	3B	-	57,050,572	57,258,601	208,029	-	-	-
3	3B	ЗA	71,350,072	73,236,656	1,886,584	72,084,184	73,236,656	1,152,472
3		ЗE	-	-	-	5,529,567	7,162,059	1,632,492
3	3C	-	8,639,654	60,878,509	52,238,855	-	-	-
4	4A	4B	60,169,823	66,355,590	6,185,767	58,910,354	64,899,883	5,989,529
4	4B	-	64,423,184	64,876,657	453,473	-	-	-
4	4D		61,407,366	64,036,600	2,629,234	-	-	-
6	6A	6A	55,862,094	64,036,600	8,174,506	55,862,094	57,182,238	1,320,144
6	6A	6A	55,862,094	64,036,600	8,174,506	58,155,004	58,738,149	583,145
6	6B	6B	3,622,183	37,245,941	33,623,758	3,327,280	37,245,941	33,918,661
9	9A		57,335,220	58,856,483	1,521,263	-	-	-
9	-	9A	-	-	-	55,778,912	57,267,091	1,488,179
10		10B	-	-	-	52,116,222	59,317,021	7,200,799

TABLE 5 | S. halepense G9E genomic regions with non-random "runs" of more than three consecutive S. propinguum alleles.

In principle, *S. halepense* enriched markers (segregating with ratios of approximately 5:1) and *S. bicolor* enriched markers (1:5) might comprise repulsion-phase associations of disomic alleles. To test this hypothesis, we reversed the genotyping of groups segregating with patterns of 1:5 and tried merging and ordering them together with groups segregating near 5:1. Such pairs of linkage groups either failed to coalesce or were only loosely connected to each other with relatively large genetic distances. Therefore, linkage groups segregating with average ratios of approximately 5:1 and 1:5 appear not to be in the repulsion state, although it remains possible that the sample sizes of the two populations are not large enough to detect linkage between some loci (Wu et al., 1992).

Chromosomes 5, 6, 8, and 9 are of particular interest, in that we fail to find linkage groups for certain ratios, or markers only cover parts of the corresponding sorghum chromosomes, suggesting aberrant chromosomal behavior caused by factors such as selection or preferential pairing. Only small portions of chromosomes 5 and 6 are covered by markers enriched with *S. halepense* alleles. A previous study (Bowers et al., 2003) of *S. bicolor* BTx623 × *S. propinquum* F2 population discovered a ribosomal DNA-enriched region with *S. propinquum*-dominated loci spanning 32.3–40 cM on chromosome 5, corresponding to 5–20 Mb in physical distance (Zhang et al., 2013). We find few *S. halepense* alleles in this region (**Figure 4**), possibly due to selection favoring an rDNA allele. Similarly, a large

heterochromatin block on sorghum chromosome 6 is enriched for *S. bicolor* alleles. Chromosomes 8 and 9 each have a paucity of markers segregating with a ratio of 1:1. Further investigation is needed to understand these biases of marker distribution across the genome.

Segregation Distortion

The overall distributions of segregation (Figure 1) in H4- and H6-derived populations suggest more intermediate than extreme segregation ratios (chromosome segregation and maximum equational segregation), consistent with other autopolyploids (Jannoo et al., 2004; Stift et al., 2008). Segregation distorted regions in these two populations may have causes including illegitimate recombination, unusual chromosomal events such as translocation and gene conversion (Wang et al., 2009), and gametic or zygotic selection. Fitness of progeny associated with particular alleles is being further investigated by QTL mapping. Regions of the S. halepense genome under strong selection may provide relatively "safe landing sites" for transgenes, i.e., with strong selection for S. halepense alleles reducing cropto-weed gene flow from cultivated sorghum (Arriola and Ellstrand, 1996). With many different segregation patterns occurring in these populations, testing for segregation distorted regions requires stringent measures to avoid false positives. Nonetheless, four regions, on chromosomes 2 (1.06-4.68 Mb), 7 (1.20-6.16 Mb), 8 (1.81-5.33 Mb), and 9 (47.5-50.1 Mb),



consistently have more *S. halepense* alleles than expected, and one region on chromosome 6 (0–40 Mb) has fewer than expected (**Supplementary File S3**).

Markers displaying segregation distortion might be linked to genes affecting fitness, for example, controlling fertility. To date, three sorghum genes controlling fertility have been located (Klein et al., 2005; Jordan et al., 2010, 2011), all proposed to encode pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) proteins that are essential in the post-transcriptional process (Schmitz-Linneweber and Small, 2008). The interval on chromosome 2 (1.06–4.68 Mb) enriched for *S. halepense* alleles might be associated with *Rf2*, which is within the region from 5.4 to 5.7 Mb (Jordan et al., 2010). Similarly, the chromosome 8 interval (43.98-55.35 Mb in H6) enriched for *S. halepense* alleles in the H6 population harbors *Rf1*, based on flanking SSR markers Xtxp18-Xtxp250 located from 50.5 to 51.0 Mb. Segregation distortion on the short arm of chromosome 8 (1.81–5.33 Mb) overlaps with a region that has experienced frequent gene conversion (0.94–2.8 Mb), a mechanism that may cause segregation distortion (Wang et al., 2009, 2011).

The risk of "gene escape" into *S. halepense* constrains improvement of sorghum through biotechnology—many substantial benefits that could be realized by commercial use of transgenic *S. bicolor* are sacrificed due to risk of transgene escape into Johnsongrass, which has spread across more of the United States than sorghum is cultivated in, and continues to spread.

An attractive method for containment that is potentially effective and has minimal risk of public opposition is the targeting of transgenes to genomic regions recalcitrant to gene flow from sorghum. We identify several such candidate regions here, albeit based only on segregation in two populations derived from a cross between a single S. halepense genotype and an S. bicolor elite inbred, in a single environment. Clearly, the use of such regions for gene containment will depend first upon validating that the observed segregation distortions are reproduced in a broad sampling of genotypes and environments. Further, targeting of transgenes will require greater clarity as to the physical bounds of the genomic region that is recalcitrant to gene flow-such information might be obtained from finescale study either of large segregating populations or of large numbers of diverse accessions collected across the United States (for example), to precisely determine the loci responsible for segregation distortion in these regions. The co-evolution of its S. bicolor- and S. propinguum-derived subgenomes to adapt to cohabitation of a common nucleus in polyploid S. halepense may have resulted in many small chromosomal regions in which introgression from one ancestor may reduce fitness.

Evolution of S. halepense

The *S. halepense* chromosomes consist of largely random distributions of *S. bicolor*-derived, *S. propinquum*-derived, and novel alleles, which indicates extensive recombination between *S. bicolor* and *S. propinquum*-derived "subgenomes." It has been controversial whether *S. halepense* is an allo- or auto-tetraploid (Endrizzi, 1957; de Wet, 1978; Hoang-Tang and Liang, 1988; Fernandez et al., 2013). Since progenies of *S. bicolor* \times *S. propinquum* crosses are fertile and show near-normal recombination, our previous studies (Paterson et al., 1995; Kong et al., 2013) have favored that *S. halepense* was auto-tetraploid. Comparing segregation patterns among two mapping populations and SNP distributions across the entire genome each further support the hypothesis that *S. halepense* is an autotetraploid, with its chromosomes a mosaic of alleles from *S. bicolor*, *S. propinquum*, and novel mutations (**Table 4**).

REFERENCES

- Aljanabi, S. M., Forget, L., and Dookun, A. (1999). An improved and rapid protocol for the isolation of polysaccharide- and polyphenol-free sugarcane DNA. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 17, 281–281.
- Andolfatto, P., Davison, D., Erezyilmaz, D., Hu, T. T., Mast, J., Sunayama-Morita, T., et al. (2011). Multiplexed shotgun genotyping for rapid and efficient genetic mapping. *Genome Res.* 21, 610–617. doi: 10.1101/gr.1154 02.110
- Arriola, P. E., and Ellstrand, N. C. (1996). Crop-to-weed gene flow in the genus *Sorghum* (Poaceae): spontaneous interspecific hybridization

Nevertheless, we found a total of 26 regions of the genome in either H4- or H6-derived population with non-random distribution of consecutive *S. propinquum* alleles in both populations (**Table 5**), including a total of eight regions occurring in both populations, on chromosomes 1 (3 regions), 3 (2), 4 (1), and 6 (2).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

WK performed the experiment, conducted the analysis, and drafted the manuscript. PN and TC developed the populations, collected phenotypic data, and conducted the analysis. VG, GP, CL, JR, and RC collected phenotypic and genotypic data. HT performed GBS sequencing. AP supervised the experiment and drafted and revised the manuscript.

FUNDING

We appreciate the support of the USDA Biotechnology Risk Assessment Program (2012-01658 to AP and TC), USAID Feed The Future program (AID-OAA-A-13-00044 to AP and TC), and NIFA Global Food Security CAP (2015-68004-23492 to AP).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2020.00467/ full#supplementary-material

TABLE S1 | Loci with non-Mendelian segregation combined for two BC₁F₁ populations of S. bicolor BTx623 \times S. halepense G9E.

FILE S1 | Details for each chromosome.

FILE S2 | Details of genetic maps for the *S. halepense*-derived H4 and H6 populations.

FILE S3 | Loci with non-Mendelian segregation combined for two BC_1F_1 populations of *S. bicolor* BTx623 × *S. halepense* G9E.

between johnsongrass, *Sorghum halepense*, and crop *Sorghum*, *Sbicolor. Am. J. Bot.* 83, 1153–1159. doi: 10.1002/j.1537-2197.1996.tb 13895.x

- Bowers, J. E., Abbey, C., Anderson, S., Chang, C., Draye, X., Hoppe, A. H., et al. (2003). A high-density genetic recombination map of sequencetagged sites for *Sorghum*, as a framework for comparative structural and evolutionary genomics of tropical grains and grasses. *Genetics* 165, 367–386.
- Broman, K. W., Wu, H., Sen, S., and Churchill, G. A. (2003). R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental crosses. *Bioinformatics* 19, 889–890. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/ btg112

- Chittenden, L., Schertz, K., Lin, Y., Wing, R., and Paterson, A. (1994). A detailed RFLP map of Sorghum bicolor x S. propinquum, suitable for highdensity mapping, suggests ancestral duplication of Sorghum chromosomes or chromosomal segments. TAG Theor. Appl. Genet. 87, 925–933. doi: 10.1007/ bf00225786
- Cox, S., Nabukalu, P., Paterson, A., Kong, W., and Nakasagga, S. (2018). Development of perennial grain Sorghum. Sustainability 10:172. doi: 10.3390/ su10010172
- Cox, T. S., Bender, M., Picone, C., Van Tassel, D. L., Holland, J. B., Brummer, E. C., et al. (2002). Breeding perennial grain crops. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 21, 59–91.
- Dale, P. J. (1992). Spread of engineered genes to wild relatives. *Plant Physiol.* 100, 13–15. doi: 10.1104/pp.100.1.13
- Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., Depristo, M. A., et al. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2156–2158. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330
- de Wet, J. M. J. (1978). Systematics and evolution of Sorghum sect. Sorghum (Gramineae). Am. J. Bot. 65, 477–484. doi: 10.1002/j.1537-2197.1978.tb 06096.x
- Ellstrand, N. C. (2001). When transgenes wander, should we worry? *Plant Physiol.* 125, 1543–1545. doi: 10.1104/pp.125.4.1543
- Elshire, R. J., Glaubitz, J. C., Sun, Q., Poland, J. A., Kawamoto, K., Buckler, E. S., et al. (2011). A robust, simple genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach for high diversity species. *PLoS One* 6:e19379. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0019379
- Endrizzi, J. E. (1957). Cytological Studies of some Species and Hybrids in the Eu-Sorghums. Bot. Gazette 119, 1-10. doi: 10.1086/335954
- Fernandez, L., De Haro, L. A., Distefano, A. J., Martinez, M. C., Lia, V., Papa, J. C., et al. (2013). Population genetics structure of glyphosate-resistant Johnsongrass (*Sorghum halepense* L. Pers) does not support a single origin of the resistance. *Ecol. Evol.* 3, 3388–3400.
- Glaubitz, J. C., Casstevens, T. M., Lu, F., Harriman, J., Elshire, R. J., Sun, Q., et al. (2014). TASSEL-GBS: a high capacity genotyping by sequencing analysis pipeline. *PLoS One* 9:e90346. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0090346
- Glover, J. D., Reganold, J. P., Bell, L. W., Borevitz, J., Brummer, E. C., Buckler, E. S., et al. (2010). Increased food and ecosystem security via perennial grains. *Science* 328, 1638–1639. doi: 10.1126/science.1188761
- Gupta, P. K. (2007). Cytogenetics (2). Meerut, IN: Rastogi Publications.
- Haldane, J. B. S. (1930). Theoretical genetics of autopolyploids. J. Genet. 22, 359-372. doi: 10.1007/bf02984197
- Heap, I. (2012). *The International Survey of Herbicide Resistant Weeds [Online]*. Available: www.weedscience.org (accessed December 7 2012).
- Hoang-Tang, and Liang, G. H. (1988). The genomic relationship between cultivated Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] and Johnsongrass [S. halepense (L.) Pers.]: a re-evaluation. Theor. Appl. Genet. 76, 277–284. doi: 10.1007/ bf00257856
- Jannoo, N., Grivet, L., David, J., D'hont, A., and Glaszmann, J. C. (2004). Differential chromosome pairing affinities at meiosis in polyploid sugarcane revealed by molecular markers. *Heredity* 93, 460–467. doi: 10.1038/sj.hdy. 6800524
- Jordan, D. R., Klein, R. R., Sakrewski, K. G., Henzell, R. G., Klein, P. E., and Mace, E. S. (2011). Mapping and characterization of Rf 5 : a new gene conditioning pollen fertility restoration in A1 and A2 cytoplasm in *Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 123, 383–396. doi: 10.1007/s00122-011-1591-y
- Jordan, D. R., Mace, E. S., Henzell, R. G., Klein, P. E., and Klein, R. R. (2010). Molecular mapping and candidate gene identification of the Rf2 gene for pollen fertility restoration in *Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 120, 1279–1287. doi: 10.1007/s00122-009-1255-3
- Klein, R. R., Klein, P. E., Mullet, J. E., Minx, P., Rooney, W. L., and Schertz, K. F. (2005). Fertility restorer locus Rf1 [corrected] of Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) encodes a pentatricopeptide repeat protein not present in the colinear region of rice chromosome 12. Theor. Appl. Genet. 111, 994–1012. doi: 10.1007/s00122-005-2011-y
- Kong, W., Jin, H., Franks, C. D., Kim, C., Bandopadhyay, R., Rana, M. K., et al. (2013). Genetic Analysis of Recombinant Inbred Lines for Sorghum bicolor × Sorghum propinquum. G3 3, 101–108. doi: 10.1534/g3.112. 004499

- Li, H. (2011). A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2987–2993. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/ btr509
- Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754–1760. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/ btp324
- Mather, K. (1935). Reductional and equational separation of the chromosomes in bivalents and multivalents. J. Genet. 30, 53–78. doi: 10.1007/bf02982205
- McWhorter, C. G. (1971). Introduction and Spread of Johnsongrass in the United States. Weed Sci. 19, 496–500. doi: 10.1017/s004317450 0050517
- Morrell, P. L., Williams-Coplin, D., Bowers, J. E., Chandler, J. M., and Paterson, A. H. (2005). Crop-to-weed introgression has impacted allelic composition of johnsongrass populations with and without recent exposure to cultivated *Sorghum. Mol. Ecol.* 14, 2143–2154. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294x.2005.02579.x
- Muller, H. J. (1914). A new mode of segregation in gregory's tetraploid primulas. *Am. Nat.* 48, 508–512. doi: 10.1086/279426
- Oyer, E. B., Gries, G., and Rogers, B. (1959). The seasonal development of Johnson grass plants. *Weeds* 7, 13–19.
- Paterson, A. H., Bowers, J. E., Bruggmann, R., Dubchak, I., and Grimwood, J. (2009). The Sorghum bicolor genome and the diversification of grasses. Nature 457, 551–556.
- Paterson, A. H., Cox, T. S., Kong, W., and Navarro, M. (2013). "Viewpoint: multiple-harvest Sorghums toward improved food securit," in Perennial Crops for Food Security, eds C. Batello, L. Wade, S. Cox, N. Pogna, A. Bozzini, and J. Choptiany (Athens, GA: University of Georgia).
- Paterson, A. H., Schertz, K. F., Lin, Y. R., Liu, S. C., and Chang, Y. L. (1995). The weediness of wild plants - molecular analysis of genes influencing dispersal and persistence of johnsongrass, *Sorghum-halepense* (L) Pers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 92, 6127–6131. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92. 13.6127
- Poland, J. A., Brown, P. J., Sorrells, M. E., and Jannink, J. L. (2012). Development of high-density genetic maps for barley and wheat using a novel two-enzyme genotyping-by-sequencing approach. *PLoS One* 7:e32253. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0032253
- Quinn, L., Barney, J. N., Mccubbins, J., and Endres, A. (2013). Navigating the "Noxious" and "Invasive" regulatory landscape: suggestions for improved regulation. *Bioscience* 63, 124–131. doi: 10.1525/bio.2013. 63.2.8
- R Core Team (2013). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vennia: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Sangduen, N., and Hanna, W. W. (1984). Chromosome and fertility studies on reciprocal crosses between two species of autotetraploid *Sorghum: Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench and *S. halepense* (L.) Pers. *J. Heredity* 75, 293–296. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a109936
- Schmitz-Linneweber, C., and Small, I. (2008). Pentatricopeptide repeat proteins: a socket set for organelle gene expression. *Trends Plant Sci.* 13, 663–670. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2008.10.001
- Stift, M., Berenos, C., Kuperus, P., and Van Tienderen, P. H. (2008). Segregation models for disomic, tetrasomic and intermediate inheritance in tetraploids: a general procedure applied to rorippa (Yellow Cress) microsatellite data. *Genetics* 179, 2113–2123. doi: 10.1534/genetics.107. 085027
- Tesso, T., Kapran, I., Grenier, C., Snow, A., Sweeney, P., Pedersen, J., et al. (2008). The potential for crop-to-wild gene flow in *Sorghum* in ethiopia and Niger: a geographic survey. *Crop Sci.* 48, 1425–1431. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2007.08. 0441
- Wang, X., Tang, H., and Paterson, A. H. (2011). Seventy million years of concerted evolution of a homoeologous chromosome pair, in parallel, in major Poaceae lineages. *Plant Cell* 23, 27–37. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110. 080622
- Wang, X. Y., Tang, H. B., Bowers, J. E., and Paterson, A. H. (2009). Comparative inference of illegitimate recombination between rice and *Sorghum* duplicated genes produced by polyploidization. *Genome Res.* 19, 1026–1032. doi: 10.1101/ gr.087288.108
- Warwick, S., and Black, L. (1983). the biology Of Canadian weeds.: 61. Sorghum halepense (L.) PERS. Can. J. Plant Sci. 63, 997–1014. doi: 10.4141/cjps83-125

- Warwick, S. I., Thompson, B., and Black, L. (1984). Population variation in Sorghum halepense, Johnson grass, at the northern limits of its range. Can. J. Bot. 62, 1781–1790. doi: 10.1139/b84-242
- Wu, K. K., Burnquist, W., Sorrells, M. E., Tew, T. L., Moore, P. H., and Tanksley, S. D. (1992). The detection and estimation of linkage in polyploids using singledose restriction fragments. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 83, 294–300. doi: 10.1007/ bf00224274
- Zhang, D., Guo, H., Kim, C., Lee, T. H., Li, J. P., Robertson, J., et al. (2013). CSGRqtl, a comparative quantitative trait locus database for saccharinae grasses. *Plant Physiol.* 161, 594–599. doi: 10.1104/pp.112. 206870

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Kong, Nabukalu, Cox, Goff, Pierce, Lemke, Robertson, Compton, Tang and Paterson. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.