



Characterization of Two New *brown midrib1* Mutations From an EMS-Mutagenic Maize Population for Lignocellulosic Biomass Utilization

Wangdan Xiong^{1,2†}, Yu Li^{1,3†}, Zhenying Wu¹, Lichao Ma^{1,2}, Yuchen Liu¹, Li Qin⁴, Jisheng Liu⁴, Zhubing Hu⁵, Siyi Guo⁵, Juan Sun², Guofeng Yang², Maofeng Chai², Chunyi Zhang⁶, Xiaoduo Lu^{4*} and Chunxiang Fu^{1*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Xiaoquan Qi, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

Reviewed by:

Liangcai Peng, Huazhong Agricultural University, China Kewei Zhang, Zhejiang Normal University, China

*Correspondence:

Chunxiang Fu fucx@qibebt.ac.cn Xiaoduo Lu lu.xiaoduo@163.com [†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Metabolism and Chemodiversity, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 14 August 2020 Accepted: 15 October 2020 Published: 16 November 2020

Citation:

Xiong W, Li Y, Wu Z, Ma L, Liu Y, Qin L, Liu J, Hu Z, Guo S, Sun J, Yang G, Chai M, Zhang C, Lu X and Fu C (2020) Characterization of Two New brown midrib1 Mutations From an EMS-Mutagenic Maize Population for Lignocellulosic Biomass Utilization. Front. Plant Sci. 11:594798. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.594798 ¹ Key Laboratory of Biofuels, Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Energy Genetics, Qingdao Institute of Bioenergy and Bioprocess Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China, ² Grassland Agri-Husbandry Research Center, College of Grassland Science, Qingdao Agricultural University, Qingdao, China, ³ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, ⁴ Institute of Molecular Breeding for Maize, Qilu Normal University, Jinan, China, ⁵ Collaborative Innovation Center of Crop Stress Biology, Henan Province and Institute of Plant Stress Biology, Henan University, Kaifeng, China, ⁶ Biotechnology Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China

Gene mutations linked to lignin biosynthesis are responsible for the *brown midrib* (*bm*) phenotypes. The *bm* mutants have a brown-reddish midrib associated with changes in lignin content and composition. Maize *bm1* is caused by a mutation of the cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase gene *ZmCAD2*. Here, we generated two new *bm1* mutant alleles (*bm1-E1* and *bm1-E2*) through EMS mutagenesis, which contained a single nucleotide mutation (*Zmcad2-1* and *Zmcad2-2*). The corresponding proteins, *ZmCAD2-1* and *ZmCAD2-2* were modified with Cys103Ser and Gly185Asp, which resulted in no enzymatic activity *in vitro*. Sequence alignment showed that CAD proteins have high similarity across plants and that Cys103 and Gly185 are conserved in higher plants. The lack of enzymatic activity when Cys103 was replaced for other amino acids indicates that Cys103 is required for its enzyme activity. Enzymatic activity of proteins encoded by *CAD* genes in *bm1-E* plants is 23–98% lower than in the wild type, which leads to lower lignin content and different lignin composition. The *bm1-E* mutants have higher saccharification efficiency in maize and could therefore provide new and promising breeding resources in the future.

Keywords: cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase, EMS mutagenesis, biofuels, C4 grass, forage

INTRODUCTION

Lignin is a phenolic polymer that provides mechanical support to plant organs and protects the plant from pathogen attacks, thus playing a vital role in plant growth and development (Bhuiyan et al., 2009; Barros et al., 2015; Gallego-Giraldo et al., 2020). Lignin is produced from the polymerization of monolignols, which are synthesized through the phenylpropanoid pathway. Lignin polymer consists of three predominant types: *p*-hydroxyphenyl (H), guaiacyl (G) and syringyl (S) subunits (Vanholme et al., 2010). The presence of lignin in the plant cell wall reduces (CWRs) the efficiency of ethanol production from lignocellulosic biomass and the digestibility of

forage for animal consumption (Chen and Dixon, 2007). Thus, modifying lignin content in plants can be an effective approach for forage improvement (Jung and Ni, 1998).

Lignin content and its composition are particularly important in plants. Studying the monolignol metabolic pathways is key to develop successful genetic breeding programs (Dixon and Barros, 2019). Brown midrib (bm) mutants are a good model to study such metabolic pathways. They have a reddish midrib phenotype and variation in lignin content. This phenotype was initially described about 90 years ago and was discovered in C₄ grasses (i.e., maize, sorghum, and pearl millet) (Jorgenson, 1931; Sattler et al., 2010). Six bm mutants (bm1-6) have been isolated in maize. Mutation loci have been analyzed in bm1-5 (Vignols et al., 1995; Halpin et al., 1998; Tang et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015; Xiong et al., 2019). Genes responsible for bm1 and bm3 encode for cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase (CAD) and caffeic acid O-methyltransferase (COMT), respectively (Vignols et al., 1995; Halpin et al., 1998). Mutated genes in both bm2 and *bm4* are involved in one-carbon metabolism, and encode for functional methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) and folypolyglutamate synthase (FPGS) respectively (Tang et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015). The recently cloned gene in bm5 encodes for 4coumarate: coenzyme A ligase (4CL) (Xiong et al., 2019). Besides changes in lignin content and composition, bm mutants show improved forage efficiency (Vignols et al., 1995; Godin et al., 2016), which makes them promising breeding resources.

CAD catalyzes the NADPH-dependent reduction of hydroxycinnamyl aldehydes to their alcohol derivatives which are then incorporated into lignin (Goffner et al., 1992; Vanholme et al., 2010). The bm1 maize mutant was caused by a transposon insertion in the first intron of CAD2 (GRMZM5G844562) gene (Chen et al., 2012). Decreased CAD activity in bm1 reduces its Klason lignin content and G and S monomers yield (Halpin et al., 1998). The bmr6 phenotype is the result of a mutation in the CAD gene in sorghum, same as in maize bm1 (Saballos et al., 2009; Sattler et al., 2009). The bmr6 mutant also exhibits altered lignin content and composition with higher saccharification efficiency (Sattler et al., 2009). CAD catalyzes the final and essential step in the monolignol biosynthesis and genetic modification in the CAD gene leads to changes in lignin content and composition (Yan et al., 2019). CAD deficiency decreases overall lignin content, alters lignin structure and increases enzymatic recovery of sugars in rice, tomato, Brachypodium, and switchgrass (Saathoff et al., 2011; d'Yvoire et al., 2013; Li et al., 2019; Martin et al., 2019).

In this study, two new maize bm1 mutant alleles were obtained through ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) mutagenesis. To study the impacts of the mutation on ZmCAD2 function, the expression level of ZmCAD2 was determined in bm1-E mutants and Z58. Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was used to determine whether the missense mutations have impact on ZmCAD2 gene expression. Unlike previous reported maize bm1 mutants, the new bm1 alleles resulted from a single base mutation and the missense mutation caused the encoded proteins lost activity. The study indicated the conserved amino acids Cys103 and Gly185 were important sites for CAD2 activity and it provided new alleles impacting lignin biosynthesis in maize, as well as cell wall digestibility efficiency and plant breeding.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Maize plants were grown in the greenhouse at 26° C, 16 h of light and 8 h of darkness. Maize *bm1* stock (*bm1-PI228174*) was derived from the Maize Genetics COOP Stock Center. The *bm1-E* mutants (*bm1-E1* and *bm1-E2*) were obtained from an EMS mutagenic population which was generated from the inbred line Z58¹. Phenotypic identification was confirmed through hybrid complementation tests with *bm1* plants. Z58 plants with no reddish midrib phenotype were used as the wild type control.

Gene Cloning and Expression Analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from leaf tissue using the 2 \times CTAB protocol (Porebski et al., 1997). To determine the mutations responsible for the *bm1* phenotype, the *ZmCAD2* gene was cloned and sequenced using the primers listed in **Supplementary Table S1**. The Zm*CAD2* genes were amplified from the mutants, sequenced and aligned against the Z58 genome.

Total RNA was extracted from the midrib of 60 days-old plant using Trizol according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, United States). The extracted RNA was treated with DNaseI. The expression level of the *CAD* gene in the midrib was analyzed using quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assay (Tang et al., 2014).

Expression and Purification of Recombinant Proteins

The *CAD* coding region was amplified from Z58 and *bm1-E* plants and then sub-cloned into pET32a vector. The recombinant vectors were transferred into BL21 *Escherichia coli* cells. Cells were cultured at 37°C in Luria-Bertani medium. Isopropyl β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added to a final concentration of 0.3 mM at mid-log phase (A600 = 0.4~0.6). Cells were continued to be incubated at 18°C for 16 h and harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 × *g* for 5 min. Soluble proteins were extracted by sonication and the recombinant proteins were purified with Ni affinity column (GE Healthcare) for further enzyme activity (Youn et al., 2006).

Enzyme Activity and Protein Structure Analyses

Enzymatic activity was determined as described by Scully et al. (2016). Midribs of the second to fifth leaves from the top were collected from 60-day old *bm1-E* mutants and Z58 wild type plants. Powdered fresh midribs (~0.5 g) were extracted for 3 h at 4°C in protein extraction buffer (Liu et al., 2012). The samples were centrifuged at 13,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C, and the extracts were desalted on PD-10 columns (Pharmacia) and used for CAD enzyme activity assay. The assay was performed using 200 ng of purified recombinant CAD proteins or 100 μ g of total protein for midrib extracts with different substrates. The reaction was

¹http://www.elabcaas.cn/memd/

carried out at 30°C for 30 min in 300 μ L of 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 0.4 mM NADP, 1 mM DTT, 5% ethylene glycol, and 0.14 mM substrates (*p*-coumaraldehyde, coniferaldehyde, and sinapaldehyde).

The three-dimensional structure of CAD in bm1-E mutants was predicted using the SWISS-MODEL². SWISS-MODEL generated models by homology using amino acid sequences to infer the stoichiometry and the overall structure of the assembly (Bertoni et al., 2017).

Chemical Analysis

Maize midribs were collected from the fourth-to-fifth leaves of 60-day-old plants. CWRs were prepared and used for further chemical analysis as described previously (Chen and Dixon, 2007). Lignin content was quantified using the acetyl bromide method. The thioacidolysis method was used to measure the lignin composition (Lapierre et al., 1986; Hatfield et al., 1999). For enzymatic hydrolysis analysis, CWR was digested by direct exposure to a cellulase and cellobiase mixture for 72 h (as untreated samples). Enzymatic saccharification of samples was performed following the analytical procedure of the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (LAP-009). Saccharification efficiency was calculated as the ratio of sugars released by enzymatic hydrolysis versus sugar content in the CWR. Sugar release was analyzed using the phenol-sulfuric acid assay method (Dubois et al., 1956). Cellulose and hemicellulose were extracted as described in Yu et al. (2014). Monomeric sugars were determined by HPLC (Agilent 1200 Series LC system with 1200 Series refractive index detector) equipped with an Aminex HPX-87P column (Agilent Technologies).

Statistical Analysis

Three biological replicates were used for all collected data and the mean values were used for statistical analyses. Data from each trait were subjected to Student's *t*-test. One or two asterisks indicate significance corresponding to P < 0.05 or 0.01. Standard errors were provided in all tables and figures as appropriate.

RESULTS

Identification of New bm1 Alleles

Two putative *bm* mutants were isolated from the EMS-mutagenic population. Stained midrib cross sections with phloroglucinol-HCl showed a darker brown in these two new *bm* mutants than in Z58. This indicates higher accumulation of aldehyde derivatives in their lignified tissues and the incorporation of cinnamyl aldehydes, the substrates for CAD (**Figures 1A–C**). Indeed, the allelism tests with the previously described maize *bm1* mutant (Gene stock ID: *bm1*-PI267186) confirmed that two new EMS-mutagenized *bm* mutants were *bm1* alleles (*bm1-E*) (**Figures 1D–I**).

In bm1-E mutants, two transition missense mutations (T357A and G554A) were identified in the ZmCAD2 coding region



FIGURE 1 Morphological characterization of the *bm1-E* mutants. Midribcross sections stained with acid phloroglucinolof the fourth leaf collected from 60-days old wild-type Z58 (**A**), *bm1-E1* (**B**), and *bm1-E2* (**C**). Adaxial view of themidrib of 45-days old wild-type Z58 (**D**), *bm1-E1* (**E**), *bm1-E2* (**F**), *bm1* (**G**), hybrid *bm1* × *bm1-E1* (**H**), and hybrid *bm1* × *bm1-E2* (**I**). Cross sections and adaxial view of the midribs from three independent materials of each plants were used for histochemical assay and phenotypic measurements.

(Figure 2). In *bm1-E1* plants, Cys103 was converted into Ser, while Gly185 was converted into Asp in *bm1-E2* plants (Figure 2).

Impacts of the Mutation on ZmCAD2 Function

To study the impacts of the mutation on ZmCAD2 function, the expression level of ZmCAD2 were determined in bm1-Emutants and Z58. No significant differences were detected in the expression levels of ZmCAD2 between the wild type and two bm1-E mutants (Figure 3A). Although the missense mutation did not affect the expression of the ZmCAD2 gene in bm1-Eplants, its impact in the enzymatic activity remains uncertain. The recombinant corresponding mutation proteins of Zmcad2of bm1-E (ZmCAD2-1 and ZmCAD2-2) were expressed *in vitro*. The point mutations Cys103Ser and Gly185Asp both resulted in no CAD activity compared with the wild type (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure S1).

In vivo assays showed that CAD enzymatic activity significantly decreased in the midrib of two *bm1-E* mutants (**Figure 3B**). In the *p*-coumaraldehyde substrate, CAD activity in *bm1-E1* and *bm1-E2* was 51.1 and 71.1% lower than in the wild type. In coniferaldehyde, it was only 20.0 and 25.4% compared to the wild type (**Figure 3B**). In sinapalaldehyde, the enzymatic activity of *bm1-E1* and *bm1-E2* was reduced to 3.3 and 1.6% of the wild type (**Figure 3B**).

²https://swissmodel.expasy.org/

ZmCAD2	1	MGSLAS-ERKVVGWAARDATGHLSPYSYTLRNTGPEDVVVKVLYCGICHTDIHQAKNHLGASKYP
ZmCAD2-1	1	MGSLAS-ERKVVGWAARDATGHLSPYSYTLRNTGPEDVVVKVLYCGICHTDIHQAKNHLGASKYP
ZmCAD2-2	1	${\tt MGSLAS-ERKVVGWAARDATGHLSPYSYTLRNTGPEDVVVKVLYCGICHTDIHQAKNHLGASKYP}$
AtCAD4	1	${\tt MGSVEAGEKKALGWAARDPSGVLSPYSYTLRSTGADDVYIKVICCGICHTDIHQIKNDLGMSNYP}$
ZmCAD2	65	MVPGHEVVGEVVEVGPEVAKYGVGDVVGVGVIVGCCRECSPCKANVEQYCNKKIWSYNDVYTDGR
ZmCAD2-1	65	MVPGHEVVGEVVEVGPEVAKYGVGDVVGVGVIVGCCRESSPCKANVEQYCNKKIWSYNDVYTDGR
ZmCAD2-2	65	MVPGHEVVGEVVEVGPEVAKYGVGDVVGVGVIVGCCRECSPCKANVEQYCNKKIWSYNDVYTDGR
AtCAD4	66	MVPGHEVVGEVLEVGSDVSKFTVGDVVGVGVVVGCCGSCKPCSSELEQYCNKRIWSYNDVYTDGK
		▲ <i>bm1-E1</i> : T307A
ZmCAD2	130	${\tt PTQGGFASTMVVDQKFVVKIPAGLAPEQAAPLLCAGVTVYSPLKHFGLTTPGLRGGILGLGGVGH}$
ZmCAD2-1	130	${\tt PTQGGFASTMVVDQKFVVKIPAGLAPEQAAPLLCAGVTVYSPLKHFGLTTPGLRGGILGLGGVGH}$
ZmCAD2-2	130	${\tt PTQGGFASTMVVDQKFVVKIPAGLAPEQAAPLLCAGVTVYSPLKHFGLTTPGLRGDILGLGGVGH}$
AtCAD4	131	${\tt PTQGGFADTMIVNQKFVVKIPEGMAVEQAAPLLCAGVTVYSPLSHFGLMASGLKGGILGLGGVGH}$
		<i>bm1-E2</i> : G544A
ZmCAD2	195	MGVKVAKAMGHHVTVISSSSKKRAEAMDHLGADAYLVSSDAAAMAAAADSLDYIIDTVPVHHPLE
ZmCAD2-1	195	MGVKVAKAMGHHVTVISSSSKKRAEAMDHLGADAYLVSSDAAAMAAAADSLDYIIDTVPVHHPLE
ZmCAD2-2	195	MGVKVAKAMGHHVTVISSSSKKRAEAMDHLGADAYLVSSDAAAMAAAADSLDYIIDTVPVHHPLE
AtCAD4	196	MGVKIAKAMGHHVTVISSSDKKKEEAIEHLGADDYVVSSDPAEMQRLADSLDYIIDTVPVFHPLD
ZmCAD2	260	
ZmCAD2-1	-00	PYLALLKLDGKLVLLGVIGEPLSFVSPMVMLGRKAITGSFIGSIDETAEVLOFCVDKGLTSOIEV
ZmCAD2-2		PYLALLKLDGKLVLLGVIGEPLSFVSPMVMLGRKAITGSFIGSIDETAEVLQFCVDKGLTSQIEV
AtCAD4		PYLACLKLDGKLILMGVINTPLQFVTPLVILGRKVISGSFIGSIKETEEVLAFCKEKGLTSTIET
		nulcotide binding domain
ZmCAD2	325	VKMGYVNEALERLERNDVRYRFVVDVAGSNVEAEAAAADAASN
ZmCAD2-1	325	VKMGYVNEALERLERNDVRYRFVVDVAGSNVEAEAAAADAASN
ZmCAD2-2	325	VKMGYVNEALERLERNDVRYRFVVDVAGSNVEAEAAAADAASN
AtCAD4	326	VKIDELNIAFERLRKNDVRYRFVVDVAGSNLVEEAATTTN

FIGURE 2 | Amino acid sequence alignment of predicted cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase proteins. The mutant amino acid was highlighted in red color. The protein sequences of ZmCAD2 was aligned with ZmCAD2-1, ZmCAD2-2, and AtCAD4 proteins using ClustalW. AtCAD4: *Arabidopsis thaliana* P48523.1; ZmCAD2: *Zea maize* GRMZM5G844562. ▲ indicates the mutant amino acids; the line indicates the nucleotide biding domain.



Impacts of *ZmCAD2* Point Mutations on Predicted Protein Structure

CAD belongs to the medium chain dehydrogenase/reductase (MDR) superfamily. It is composed of two distinct domains: the nucleotide-binding domain and the catalytic domain (**Figure 2**) (Jornvall and Hoog, 1995). Here, a mutation in Cys103Ser observed in ZmCAD2-1 protein led to no CAD activity (**Table 1** and **Supplementary Figure S1**). The

predicted protein structure showed that Cys103Ser would not change the three-dimensional structure of ZmCAD2 (**Supplementary Figure S2**). When Cys103 was replaced with any other amino acid (His, Asp, Lys, Gly, and Met), there was no enzymatic activity using *p*-coumaraldehyde, coniferaldehyde, and sinapalaldehyde as substrates (**Table 1** and **Supplementary Figure S2**). Therefore, our results suggest that Cys103 is essential for CAD catalytic activity. TABLE 1 | Enzymatic activity of ZmCAD2 and its mutated versions in different substrates *in vitro*.

Name	Amino acids	Substrates p-Coumaraldehyde Coniferaldehyde Sinapaldehyde					
Z58	Cys103Gly185	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
bm1-E1	Ser103Gly185	ND	ND	ND			
bm1-E2	Cys103Asp185	ND	ND	ND			
M1	His103Gly185	ND	ND	ND			
M2	Lys103Gly185	ND	ND	ND			
MЗ	Gly103Gly185	ND	ND	ND			
M4	Asp103Gly185	ND	ND	ND			
M5	Met103Gly185	ND	ND	ND			

M: mutation;

ND: no enzymatic activity detected.

The nucleotide-binding domain of ZmCAD2 is located in the 163–301 region (**Figure 2**). The Gly185Asp mutation in ZmCAD2-2 protein did not change ZmCAD2 three-dimensional protein structure except for the amino acid substitution (**Supplementary Figure S2**). Gly and Asp were different in structure, as Asp contained a side-chain. A replacement of Gly185 for Asp resulted in the lack of CAD enzymatic activity for ZmCAD2-2. This is consistent with former observations in sorghum (Scully et al., 2016). In *bmr6-23* and *bmr6-1103*, Gly184 was mutated into Asp, and no CAD enzyme activity was detected (Scully et al., 2016).

Impact of *bm1-E* Mutant on Lignin Content and Composition

Reduction of CAD activity in plants would affect the biosynthesis of lignin. Herein, the lignin content and its composition were analyzed in Z58 and *bm1-E* plants. Lignin content in *bm1-E* mutants decreased by 5.11 and 4.38% compared to Z58 (**Figure 4A**). Furthermore, levels of H, G, and S lignin were also lower than in the wild types (reduced to 43.3, 21.7, and 40.9%, respectively) (**Figure 4B**).

bm1-E Biomass Has Increased Glucose Yields Following Enzymatic Saccharification

To determine the impact of bm1-E mutant on fermentable sugars, the cellulose and hemicellulose contents were measured with CWRs. The cellulose content in bm1-E mutants was 6.02% higher (346.9 mg/g CWR) than in the wild type Z58 (327.2 mg/g CWR) (**Table 2**). The hemicellulose content was also changed in bm1-E plants. Xylose and arabinose contents were lower in bm1-E, while glucose, galactose, rhamnose, and galacturonic acid levels were higher (**Table 2**). The xylose content accounts for 73.4% of the total hemicellulose in the wild type Z58, and in bm1-E mutant was reduced from 256.7 to 200.8 mg/g CWR (i.e., a relative decrease of 21.8%) (**Table 2**). The total cell wall polysaccharide was not significantly changed in bm1-E plants (**Figure 5A**). Additionally, bm1-E mutants showed an enzymatic saccharification efficiency of 67.1% at 72 h against 42.5% in Z58 plants (**Figure 5B**).

DISCUSSION

The ZmCAD2 gene was first identified and mapped in maize bm1 mutants in Halpin et al. (1998). Mutations in this gene dramatically reduce its transcription rate and enzymatic activity, which results in reduced lignin content and changes in lignin composition (Halpin et al., 1998). In this study, two new maize bm mutants (bm1-E1 and bm1-E2) were obtained through EMS mutagenesis and were certified to be bm1 alleles. The expression level of ZmCAD2 did not significantly changed in bm1-E in comparison to the wild type Z58 (Figure 3A). Both ZmCAD2-1 and ZmCAD2-2 showed no enzymatic activity in vitro (Table 1). The missense mutations in bm1-E reduced CAD activity by 75-98% in the midribs of *bm1-E* plants, especially in coniferaldehyde and sinapaldehyde (Figure 3B). CAD catalyzes the final step in the monolignol biosynthesis. A mutation in the CAD gene leads to the accumulation of hydroxycinnamyl aldehyde derivatives in maize and Medicago truncatula (Halpin et al., 1998; Zhao et al., 2013). The accumulation of cinnamyl aldehydes in the midrib was confirmed with phloroglucinol-HCl staining (Halpin et al., 1998). The bm1-E mutants exhibited a decrease in lignin content and altered lignin composition due to changes in the phenylpropanoid metabolic pathways (Figure 4).

Total lignin content in bm1-E was 4.7% lower, while it was significantly reduced by 20% in former identified maize bm1(Halpin et al., 1998). There are two possible explanations for this: one is that different methods were adopted to extract and analyze the lignin content. The other reason is that maize stalk was examined instead of the midrib. Although the lignin content did not vary, lignin composition was significantly reduced for H, G, and S lignin in bm1-E plants.

Conserved CAD proteins are important for its enzymatic activity. They have two main domains: the nucleotide-binding domain and the catalytic domain (Figure 2). Cys103 and Gly185 are generally conserved in CAD proteins (Youn et al., 2006; Scully et al., 2016). Cys103 is in the catalytic domain in ZmCAD2, which is an important function in the MDR family (Youn et al., 2006). ZmCAD2-1 protein displayed no enzymatic activity, and no activity was detected when Cys103 was replaced for other amino acids (Table 1). When Gly185 was changed for Asp, ZmCAD2 also lost its enzymatic activity (Table 1). Previous study has suggested that a point mutation Gly185Asp in OsCAD2 protein sequence of rice gh2 results in complete loss of the CAD and SAD activities which leads to impaired lignin biosynthesis and a reddish-brown pigmentation in the hull and internode in rice (Zhang et al., 2006). The same point mutation Gly185Asp was observed in the ZmCAD2 of bm1-E2, implying that Gly185 is crucial for CAD structure and function. Interestingly, another point mutation Gly184Asp in CAD sequence of sorghum Bmr6-23 and -1103 mutants can lead to nearly undetectable bmr6 protein in vitro (Scully et al., 2016). It was formerly suggested that changing Gly for Asp without a side-chain would impact hydrophilicity, and that Gly185 occurred in close to residues predicted for dimerization, which would



FIGURE 4 [Effect of *bm1-E* mutation on lignin content **(A)** and lignin composition **(B)**. Midribs of the second to fifth leaves from the top were collected from 60-days old *bm1-E* mutant and Z58 wild type maize. Values are means \pm SE from three biological replicates. Two asterisks indicate significance corresponding to P < 0.01 (Student's *t*-test).

TABLE 2 Cellulose content and monosaccharide composition (mg/g CWR) of non-cellulosic cell wall carbohydrates in Z58 and bm1-E plants.

Plant	Cellulose	Xylose	Arabinose	Glucose	Mannose	Galactose	Rhamnose	Galacturonic acid
Z58	327.2 ± 6.42	256.7 ± 22.65	28.8 ± 1.68	44.3 ± 2.46	5.5 ± 2.20	5.1 ± 0.29	1.8 ± 0.09	7.4 ± 0.26
bm1-E	$346.9 \pm 5.88^{**}$	200.8 ± 12.92**	$23.1 \pm 2.39^{**}$	$56.8 \pm 2.03^{**}$	4.7 ± 1.08	$6.5 \pm 0.72^{**}$	$2.4\pm0.47^{\star\star}$	$8.2 \pm 2.11^{**}$

Midrib samples were collected from 60-days old Z58 and bm1-E1 plants.

Values are means \pm SE from three biological replicates.

One or two asterisks indicate significance corresponding to P < 0.05 or 0.01 (Student's t-test).



interfere the enzyme forming a homodimer (Scully et al., 2016). In contrast, the changing Gly185 for Asp in OsCAD2 only affects CAD activity rather than gh2 protein expression *in vitro* (Zhang et al., 2006). Thus, the conserved amino acids in CAD may play different roles in its enzymatic structure and function.

The Cys103 and Asp185 mutations resulted in loss of its enzyme activities (**Table 1**). In spite of no enzymatic activity in the mutant ZmCAD2 proteins, other CAD proteins compensated the cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase activity. Still, CAD enzyme activities were dramatically reduced *in vivo*, especially in coniferaldehyde and sinapaldehyde (**Figure 3**). CAD enzymatic activity in *bm1-E* was 75.6–80% lower than the wild type in coniferaldehyde, and 96.7–98.4% in sinapalaldehyde (**Figure 3B**). The OsCAD2 protein sequences in *gh2* (Zhefu802

as the background), undergoing a same point mutation with ZmCAD2 in *bm1-E2*, lost its function (Zhang et al., 2006). And *gh2* plant obviously exhibited reddish-brown in the internode (Zhang et al., 2006). The CAD activities for total *gh2* plant proteins in all tested tissues (except for midrib) were dramatically reduced using coniferaldehyde as substrate, while its activity was not detectable using *gh2* proteins from panicle, hull, sheath, internode, and root tissues using sinapylaldehyde as substrate (Zhang et al., 2006). It was speculated that CAD isoenzymes unevenly exist in maize different tissues and less CAD homologs using sinapylaldehyde as substrate exists in maize midrib. Still, the enzyme activity reduction seems not be consistent the reduced S lignin content. The main reason for this is that the thioacidolysis method (**Figure 3B**) mainly proceeds by the cleavage of β -O-4 aryl ether

lignin structures which account for about 50% of all lignin linkages in maize.

Lignin abundance and structure are correlated to saccharification efficiency in plants, which could improve the saccharification efficiency of cell wall polysaccharides (Sattler et al., 2010). Indeed, cell wall saccharification efficiency of polysaccharides was higher in *bm1-E* plants. Three main reasons could explain it: (i) The lignin content is reduced in bm1-E(Figure 4A). Lignin is a major obstacle to cell wall degradation, and its content is negatively correlated with biomass enzymatic saccharification efficiency (Tarasov et al., 2018). (ii) S/G ratio was increased in *bm1-E* mutant compared with Z58 wild type (Figure 4B). Recent articles have indicated that the S/G ratio may play dual roles in biomass enzymatic hydrolysis for sugar yields (Studer et al., 2011; Yoo et al., 2017; Alam et al., 2019). (iii) The arabinose and xylose level of hemicellulose was significantly reduced in *bm1-E* mutant (Table 2). It has been proposed that the major arabinose should be associated with lignin for cell wall network construction, which somehow explains the reduced arabinose level in both mutants should somewhat disassociate with lignin inter-linkage, resulting in raised biomass porosity for high enzymatic hydrolysis (Wang et al., 2016). ZmCAD2 is the major gene responsible for lignin biosynthesis in maize. CAD2 mutants have a brown midrib phenotype, and variable lignin accumulation. The missense mutation in the ZmCAD2 in bm1-E showed no enzyme activity in vitro, and CAD enzymatic activity was severely affected in vivo (Figure 3B and Table 1). However, catalysis of the hydroxycinnamyl aldehydes to their corresponding alcohols derivatives was still observed (Figure 4). It is possible that other CAD genes were responsible for this catalytic activity. CAD genes belong to the MDR family and has many homologous in plants (Youn et al., 2006). There are nine CAD-like putative genes in Arabidopsis, of which AtCAD4 and AtCAD5 show the highest catalytic activity and are mainly responsible for lignin formation in vivo (Sibout et al., 2005; Youn et al., 2006). Twelve CAD-like genes have been previously identified in rice and OsCAD2 was the major CAD gene responsible for lignin biosynthesis in rice (Zhang et al., 2006; Hirano et al., 2012). Interestingly, AtCAD4, AtCAD5, OsCAD2, Bmr6, and ZmCAD2 were clustered in a clade together (Hirano et al., 2012). This indicates that CAD gene function is conserved across different plant groups, and that ZmCAD2 is the main gene responsible for CAD catalytic ability in maize.

Like other bm mutants in maize, the saccharification efficiency was improved in bm1-E mutants (Chen and Dixon, 2007). The new bm1 mutations presented here would provide more

REFERENCES

- Alam, A., Zhang, R., Liu, P., Huang, J., Wang, Y., Hu, Z., et al. (2019). A finalized determinant for complete lignocellulose enzymatic saccharification potential to maximize bioethanol production in bioenergy *Miscanthus. Biotechnol. Biofuels* 12:99. doi: 10.1186/s13068-019-1 437-4
- Barros, J., Serk, H., Granlund, I., and Pesquet, E. (2015). The cell biology of lignification in higher plants. Ann. Bot. 115, 1053–1074. doi: 10.1093/aob/ mcv046

resources for research study, lignocellulosic biomass utilization, and plant breeding.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CF, XL, and WX conducted experimental design and manuscript writing. WX, YL, ZW, LM, YCL, LQ, JL, JS, GY, and MC conducted in experiments and data collection. CF, YL, WX, ZH, SG, and CZ analyzed the data. All authors approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from the National Key Technologies Research & Development Program-Seven Major Crops Breeding Project (No. 2016YFD0101803), Agricultural Variety Improvement Project of Shandong Province (Nos. 2019LZGC010 and 2019LZGC002), the Major Program of Shandong Province Natural Science Foundation (No. ZR2018ZB0213), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 31872879 and 31800254), Forage Industrial Innovation Team, Shandong Modern Agricultural Industrial and Technical System (SDAIT-23-01), and China Agriculture Research System (CARS-34).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge Hanzhong Deng from Qingdao Institute of Bioenergy and Bioprocess Technology, the Chinese Academy of Sciences for modeling structure of ZmCAD2 and related proteins.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2020. 594798/full#supplementary-material

- Bertoni, M., Kiefer, F., Biasini, M., Bordoli, L., and Schwede, T. (2017). Modeling protein quaternary structure of homo- and heterooligomers beyond binary interactions by homology. Sci. Rep. 7: 10480.
- Bhuiyan, N. H., Selvaraj, G., Wei, Y., and King, J. (2009). Role of lignification in plant defense. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 4, 158–159. doi: 10.4161/psb.4.2. 7688
- Chen, F., and Dixon, R. A. (2007). Lignin modification improves fermentable sugar yields for biofuel production. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 25, 759–761. doi: 10.1038/ nbt1316

- Chen, W., VanOpdorp, N., Fitzl, D., Tewari, J., Friedemann, P., Greene, T., et al. (2012). Transposon insertion in a cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase gene is responsible for a brown midrib1 mutation in maize. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 80, 289–297. doi: 10.1007/s11103-012-9948-4
- Dixon, R. A., and Barros, J. (2019). Lignin biosynthesis: old roads revisited and new roads explored. *Open Biol.* 9:190215. doi: 10.1098/rsob.190215
- Dubois, M., Gilles, K. A., Hamilton, J. K., Rebers, P. A., and Smith, F. (1956). Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Anal. Chem.* 28, 350–356. doi: 10.1021/ac60111a017
- d'Yvoire, M. B., Bouchabke-Coussa, O., Voorend, W., Antelme, S., Cezard, L., Legee, F., et al. (2013). Disrupting the cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase 1 gene (BdCAD1) leads to altered lignification and improved saccharification in *Brachypodium distachyon*. *Plant J.* 73, 496–508. doi: 10.1111/tpj. 12053
- Gallego-Giraldo, L., Liu, C., Pose-Albacete, S., Pattathil, S., Peralta, A. G., Young, J., et al. (2020). Arabidopsis dehiscence zone polygalacturonase 1 (ADPG1) releases latent defense signals in stems with reduced lignin content. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 117, 3281–3290. doi: 10.1073/pnas.19144 22117
- Godin, B., Nagle, N., Sattler, S., Agneessens, R., Delcarte, J., and Wolfrum, E. (2016). Improved sugar yields from biomass sorghum feedstocks: comparing low-lignin mutants and pretreatment chemistries. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 9:251. doi: 10.1186/s13068-016-0667-y
- Goffner, D., Joffroy, I., Grimapettenati, J., Halpin, C., Knight, M. E., Schuch, W., et al. (1992). Purification and characterization of isoforms of cinnamyl alcohol-dehydrogenase from *Eucalyptus xylem. Planta* 188, 48–53. doi: 10. 1007/bf01160711
- Halpin, C., Holt, K., Chojecki, J., Oliver, D., Chabbert, B., Monties, B., et al. (1998). Brown-midrib maize (bm1) a mutation affecting the cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase gene. *Plant J.* 14, 545–553. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998. 00153.x
- Hatfield, R. D., Grabber, J., Ralph, J., and Brei, K. (1999). Using the acetyl bromide assay to determine lignin concentrations in herbaceous plants: Some cautionary notes. J. Agr. Food Chem. 47, 628–632. doi: 10.1021/jf9808776
- Hirano, K., Aya, K., Kondo, M., Okuno, A., Morinaka, Y., and Matsuoka, M. (2012). OsCAD2 is the major CAD gene responsible for monolignol biosynthesis in rice culm. *Plant Cell Rep.* 31, 91–101. doi: 10.1007/s00299-011-1142-7
- Jorgenson, L. R. (1931). Brown midrib in maize and its linkage relations. *J. Am. Soc. Agron.* 23, 549–557. doi: 10.2134/agronj1931.00021962002300070005x
- Jornvall, H., and Hoog, J. O. (1995). Nomenclature of alcohol dehydrogenases. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 30, 153–161.
- Jung, H. J., and Ni, W. (1998). Lignification of plant cell walls: impact of genetic manipulation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 95, 12742–12743. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 95.22.12742
- Lapierre, C., Monties, B., and Rolando, C. (1986). Thioacidolysis of poplar lignins identification of monomeric syringyl products and characterization of guaiacylsyringyl lignin fractions. *Holzforschung* 40, 113–118. doi: 10.1515/hfsg.1986.40. 2.113
- Li, L., Hill-Skinner, S., Liu, S. Z., Beuchle, D., Tang, H. M., Yeh, C. T., et al. (2015). The maize brown midrib4 (bm4) gene encodes a functional folylpolyglutamate synthase. *Plant J.* 81, 493–504. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12745
- Li, M., Cheng, C., Zhang, X., Zhou, S., Li, L., and Yang, S. (2019). Overexpression of pear (Pyrus pyrifolia) CAD2 in tomato affects lignin content. *Molecules* 24:2595. doi: 10.3390/molecules24142595
- Liu, J., Shi, R., Li, Q., Sederoff, R. R., and Chiang, V. L. (2012). A standard reaction condition and a single HPLC separation system are sufficient for estimation of monolignol biosynthetic pathway enzyme activities. *Planta* 236, 879–885. doi: 10.1007/s00425-012-1688-9
- Martin, A. F., Tobimatsu, Y., Kusumi, R., Matsumoto, N., Miyamoto, T., Lam, P. Y., et al. (2019). Altered lignocellulose chemical structure and molecular assembly in CINNAMYL ALCOHOL DEHYDROGENASE-deficient rice. *Sci. Rep.* 9:17153. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-53156-8
- Porebski, S., Bailey, L. G., and Baum, B. R. (1997). Modification of a CTAB DNA extraction protocol for plants containing high polysaccharide and

polyphenol components. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 15, 8-15. doi: 10.1007/bf027 72108

- Saathoff, A. J., Sarath, G., Chow, E. K., Dien, B. S., and Tobias, C. M. (2011). Downregulation of cinnamyl-alcohol dehydrogenase in switchgrass by RNA silencing results in enhanced glucose release after cellulase treatment. *PLoS One* 6:e16416. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0016416
- Saballos, A., Ejeta, G., Sanchez, E., Kang, C., and Vermerris, W. (2009). A genomewide analysis of the cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase family in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] identifies SbCAD2 as the brown midrib6 gene. Genetics 181, 783–795. doi: 10.1534/genetics.108.09 8996
- Sattler, S. E., Funnell-Harris, D. L., and Pedersen, J. F. (2010). Brown midrib mutations and their importance to the utilization of maize, sorghum, and pearl millet lignocellulosic tissues. *Plant Sci.* 178, 229–238. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci. 2010.01.001
- Sattler, S. E., Saathoff, A. J., Haas, E. J., Palmer, N. A., Funnell-Harris, D. L., Sarath, G., et al. (2009). A nonsense mutation in a cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase gene is responsible for the sorghum brown midrib6 phenotype. *Plant Physiol.* 150, 584–595. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.136408
- Scully, E. D., Gries, T., Funnell-Harris, D. L., Xin, Z., Kovacs, F. A., Vermerris, W., et al. (2016). Characterization of novel Brown midrib 6 mutations affecting lignin biosynthesis in sorghum. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 58, 136–149. doi: 10.1111/ jipb.12375
- Sibout, R., Eudes, A., Mouille, G., Pollet, B., Lapierre, C., Jouanin, L., et al. (2005). Cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase-C and -D are the primary genes involved in lignin biosynthesis in the floral stem of *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 17, 2059–2076. doi: 10.1105/tpc.105.030767
- Studer, M. H., DeMartini, J. D., Davis, M. F., Sykes, R. W., Davison, B., Keller, M., et al. (2011). Lignin content in natural *Populus* variants affects sugar release. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 6300–6305. doi: 10.1073/pnas.10092 52108
- Tang, H., Liu, S., Hill-Skinner, S., Wu, W., Reed, D., Yeh, C. T., et al. (2014). The maize brown midrib2 (bm2) gene encodes a methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase that contributes to lignin accumulation. *Plant J.* 77, 380–392. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12394
- Tarasov, D., Leitch, M., and Fatehi, P. (2018). Lignin-carbohydrate complexes: properties, applications, analyses, and methods of extraction: a review. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 11:269. doi: 10.1186/s13068-018-1262-1
- Vanholme, R., Demedts, B., Morreel, K., Ralph, J., and Boerjan, W. (2010). Lignin biosynthesis and structure. *Plant Physiol.* 153, 895–905. doi: 10.1104/pp.110. 155119
- Vignols, F., Rigau, J., Torres, M. A., Capellades, M., and Puigdomenech, P. (1995). The brown midrib3 (bm3) mutation in maize occurs in the gene encoding caffeic acid O-methyltransferase. *Plant Cell* 7, 407–416. doi: 10.2307/38 70079
- Wang, Y., Fan, C., Hu, H., Li, Y., Sun, D., Wang, Y., et al. (2016). Genetic modification of plant cell walls to enhance biomass yield and biofuel production in bioenergy crops. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 34, 997–1017. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv. 2016.06.001
- Xiong, W., Wu, Z., Liu, Y., Li, Y., Su, K., Bai, Z., et al. (2019). Mutation of 4coumarate: coenzyme A ligase 1 gene affects lignin biosynthesis and increases the cell wall digestibility in maize brown midrib5 mutants. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 12:82.
- Yan, X., Liu, J., Kim, H., Liu, B., Huang, X., Yang, Z., et al. (2019). CAD1 and CCR2 protein complex formation in monolignol biosynthesis in *Populus trichocarpa*. *New Phytol.* 222, 244–260. doi: 10.1111/nph.15505
- Yoo, C. G., Yang, Y., Pu, Y., Meng, X., Muchero, W., Yee, K. L., et al. (2017). Insights of biomass recalcitrance in natural *Populus trichocarpa* variants for biomass conversion. *Green Chem.* 19, 5467–5478. doi: 10.1039/c7gc0 2219k
- Youn, B., Camacho, R., Moinuddin, S. G. A., Lee, C., Davin, L. B., Lewis, N. G., et al. (2006). Crystal structures and catalytic mechanism of the *Arabidopsis cinnamyl* alcohol dehydrogenases AtCAD5 and AtCAD4. Org. Biomol. Chem. 4, 1687–1697. doi: 10.1039/b601672c

- Yu, L., Shi, D., Li, J., Kong, Y., Yu, Y., Chai, G., et al. (2014). CELLULOSE SYNTHASE-LIKE A2, a glucomannan synthase, is involved in maintaining adherent mucilage structure in *Arabidopsis* seed. *Plant Physiol.* 164, 1842–1856. doi: 10.1104/pp.114.2 36596
- Zhang, K., Qian, Q., Huang, Z., Wang, Y., Li, M., Hong, L., et al. (2006). GOLD HULL AND INTERNODE2 encodes a primarily multifunctional cinnamylalcohol dehydrogenase in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 140, 972–983. doi: 10.1104/pp. 105.073007
- Zhao, Q., Tobimatsu, Y., Zhou, R., Pattathil, S., Gallego-Giraldo, L., Fu, C., et al. (2013). Loss of function of cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase 1 leads to unconventional lignin and a temperature-sensitive growth defect in *Medicago truncatula. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 13660–13665. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1312234110

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The handling editor declared a shared affiliation, though no other collaboration, with several of the authors WX, YL, ZW, LM, YCL, and CF.

Copyright © 2020 Xiong, Li, Wu, Ma, Liu, Qin, Liu, Hu, Guo, Sun, Yang, Chai, Zhang, Lu and Fu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.