



Cloning and Functional Identification of Phosphoethanolamine Methyltransferase in Soybean (Glycine max)

Xiaomin Ji, Xiaoyue Wu, Wei Chen, Qianhui Yuan, Yixin Shen and Yingjun Chi*

College of Agro-Grassland Science, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China

Phosphoethanolamine methyltransferase (PEAMT), a kind of S-adenosylmethioninedependent methyltransferases, plays an essential role in many biological processes of plants, such as cell metabolism, stress response, and signal transduction. It is the key rate-limiting enzyme that catalyzes the three-step methylation of ethanolaminephosphate (P-EA) to phosphocholine (P-Cho). To understand the unique function of PEAMT in soybean (Glycine max) lipid synthesis, we cloned two phosphoethanolamine methyltransferase genes GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2, and performed functional identification. Both GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 contain two methyltransferase domains. GmPEAMT1 has the closest relationship with MtPEAMT2, and GmPEAMT2 has the closest relationship with CcPEAMT. GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 are located in the nucleus and endoplasmic reticulum. There are many light response elements and plant hormone response elements in the promoters of GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2, indicating that they may be involved in plant stress response. The yeast cho2 opi3 mutant, co-expressing Arabidopsis thaliana phospholipid methyltransferase (PLMT) and GmPEAMT1 or GmPEAMT2, can restore normal growth, indicating that GmPEAMTs can catalyze the methylation of phosphoethanolamine to phosphate monomethylethanolamine. The heterologous expression of GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 can partially restore the short root phenotype of the Arabidopsis thaliana peamt1 mutant, suggesting GmPEAMTs have similar but different functions to AtPEAMT1.

Keywords: phosphoethanolamine methyltransferase, phosphatidylcholine, lecithin, *Glycine max*, phospholipid metabolism

INTRODUCTION

Phosphatidylcholine (PC) is a type of cell membrane phospholipid (Bolognese and McGraw, 2000), which plays a vital role in various eukaryotic organisms. PC is necessary for the rapid proliferation of malaria parasites in human red blood cells, so its synthesis pathway has become a potent target for malaria chemotherapy (Pessi et al., 2004). PC can also be used as a special nutritional additive to supplement the phospholipids needed in the animals to improve the immunity and survival rate of pups (Zhang et al., 2006). As an essential membrane structure lipid, PC can repair biological membrane damage caused by free radical production and improve antioxidant activity in plants

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

David R. Gang, Washington State University, United States

Reviewed by:

Pedro Carrasco, University of Valencia, Spain Joong-Hoon Ahn, Konkuk University, South Korea

> *Correspondence: Yingjun Chi yingjunchi@njau.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Metabolism and Chemodiversity, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 30 September 2020 Accepted: 30 June 2021 Published: 27 July 2021

Citation:

Ji X, Wu X, Chen W, Yuan Q, Shen Y and Chi Y (2021) Cloning and Functional Identification of Phosphoethanolamine Methyltransferase in Soybean (Glycine max). Front. Plant Sci. 12:612158. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.612158

1

(Asano et al., 2015). PC can be hydrolyzed to produce phosphatidic acid (PA) and choline (Cho) by phosphatase D (Bolognese and McGraw, 2000). As a second messenger in plant cells, PA plays an important role in the signal transduction pathways related to plant stress response (Hong et al., 2010). In spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.), sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.), barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), rye (*Secale cereale* L.), and other plants, PC is the synthetic precursor of glycine betaine (GB) (McNeil et al., 2001). GB is a kind of osmotic protection agent that exists universally in plants. It can increase the osmotic pressure in the cytoplasm, stabilize protein complexes, protect plant cell membranes under stress conditions, and maintain their lipid membrane integrity (Sakamoto and Murata, 2000; Sakamoto et al., 2000).

In mammals and fungi, there are two main pathways for the synthesis of PC: (1) The nucleotide pathway, also known as the "Kennedy pathway" (Kennedy and Lehninger, 1949) or the cytidine diphosphate-choline (CDP-Cho) pathway, which is highly conserved in eukaryotes. Free choline is phosphorylated to form P-Cho by choline kinase, and then P-Cho is converted into CDP-Cho through cytidine triphosphate: phosphocholine cytidylyl transferase (CTP). Then it is converted into PC by cytidine diphosphate-choline: 1,2-diacylglycerol choline phosphotransferase (CEPT). (2) The methylation pathway, with S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) as the methyl donor, phosphatidylethanolamine (Ptd-EA) is converted to PC through three-step continuous methylation catalyzed by phospholipid methyltransferase (PLMT) (Carman and Henry, 1989).

The synthesis pathway of PC in plants is relatively complex, requiring the joint contribution of the nucleotide pathway and the methylation pathway (Hitz et al., 1981). Similar to mammals and yeast, free choline can also be finally converted into PC through the nucleotide pathway in plants. However, when there is no free choline in plants, or when the Kennedy pathway is blocked, the methylation of phosphoethanolamine (ethanolamine-phosphate, P-EA) acts as the first step in the process of PC biosynthesis. Under the catalysis of plantspecific phosphoethanolamine methyltransferase (PEAMT), phosphoethanolamine (ethanolamine-phosphate, P-EA) is converted into phosphorylcholine (P-Cho) via two intermediate products, monomethylethanolamine-phosphate (P-MMEA) and dimethylethanolamine-phosphate (P-DMEA). And, then, it merges into the nucleotide pathway to form PC finally. Alternatively, P-MMEA and P-DMEA can also produce phosphatidylmonomethylethanolamine (Ptd-MMEA) and phosphatidyldimethylethanolamine (Ptd-DMEA), respectively, through the nucleotide pathway, and then PC can be produced by PLMT at the phosphatidyl level (Lykidis, 2007). It is worth noting that PLMT that has been discovered in plants so far can only use Ptd-MMEA or Ptd-DMEA as a catalytic substrate (Keogh et al., 2009). Therefore, even though there are considerable differences in phosphate-level methylation and phosphatidyllevel methylation among different plants, the methylation of P-EA catalyzed by PEAMT to produce P-MMEA is the only entrance for PC synthesis (Chen et al., 2018a). Given the critical role of PEAMT in the PC synthesis, it has been studied in

plants such as *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Bolognese and McGraw, 2000), wheat (Charron et al., 2002), and corn (*Zea mays* L.) (Wu et al., 2007).

Phosphatidylcholine is essential to improve the quality of soybean (*Glycine max*), which is an important source of plant protein and edible oil. In addition, soybean lecithin, mainly composed of PC, has been widely used as a natural raw material in the food, medical, and cosmetics industries (Gu et al., 2001). The PC synthesis pathway of soybean is slightly different from other plants, mainly in the methylation reactions at the phosphate level (Datko and Mudd, 1988a), suggesting a special function of GmPEAMTs. Since PEAMTs have not been identified from soybean or other legumes, we isolated the coding sequences and promoters of *PEAMTs* from soybean and conducted a systematic study on them. The findings of this study make us better understand the unique role of *GmPEAMTs* in the synthesis of PC. It is also of guiding significance for promoting the molecular breeding process of legumes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana T-DNA mutant *peamt1* (SALK_036291) was obtained from ABRC¹. *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Col-0 and *peamt1* mutant were grown under 16-h light/8-h dark conditions at 24°C/20°C. A ¹/₂-MS solid medium was used for plant culture. *Glycine max* (cv. "Williams82") was cultivated in soil at 24°C with 12-h light/12-h dark.

Identification of *GmPEAMT* and Its Promoter

Potential *GmPEAMT* sequences of soybean were identified, using BLASTN at the Phytozome v12.1 website² based on *Arabidopsis thaliana PEAMT1* (*At3g18000*). The results were filtered with a score value ≥ 100 and an *E*-value $\leq 1e$ -10. The filtered genes were further analyzed for the potential domains, using SMART³ (Letunic and Bork, 2018). The promoter region and the coding sequences of *GmPEAMT* were amplified by PCR (primers are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**), cloned into the pMDTM19-T vector (TaKaRa, Japan) and sequenced (Tsingke, China).

Sequence and Phylogenetic Analysis

Genome structure was analyzed by online program GSDS⁴. Structural domains were predicted through SMART. The sequences of other plant PEAMTs were obtained from NCBI, using BLASTP. Multiple alignments were conducted with ClustalX 2.0 and viewed with GeneDOC. The transmembrane domains were predicted by TMHMM⁵. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted in MEGA X. The evolutionary history was inferred, using the neighbor-joining method.

¹http://www.arabidopsis.org/abrc/

²https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov

³http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/

⁴http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn/

⁵http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/

The bootstrap set value was 1,000 replicates. The possible *cis*-acting elements in the promoters were predicted by PlantCARE (Lescot et al., 2002) and visualized with TBtools (Chen et al., 2020).

RNA Extraction and RT-qPCR

Total RNA was isolated from soybean and reverse transcribed by the HiScript[®] II Q RT SuperMix Kit (Vazyme, China). Tissue expression was determined by qRT-PCR, using QuantStudio 5 (Applied Biosystems, United States), with AceQ[®] qPCR SYBR[®] Green Master Mix (Vazyme, China). A relative transcript level was calculated by the method of $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) and normalized to the *GmTUBLIN* (*NM_001252709.2*) transcript level. The primers are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**.

Subcellular Localization of GmPEAMT

The CDS of *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2* were inserted into the vector pFGC5941-GFP to generate GmPEAMT: GFP fusion protein (primers are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**). By infiltrating with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (GV3101), containing the recombinant plasmids, *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2* were transiently expressed in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves. The fluorescence signals were detected by a confocal laser microscope LSM510 (Zeiss, Germany) 2 days after infiltration.

Transgenic Yeasts

GmPEAMT1, *GmPEAMT2*, and Arabidopsis *PEAMT1* were amplified from the cDNA (primers are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**) and inserted into the vector pESC-Leu through homologous recombination. Arabidopsis *PLMT* (*AT1G80860*) was amplified and linked to the vector pYES2. These constructs, as well as empty vectors pESC-Leu and pYES2, were transformed into *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mutant *cho2 opi3* (ScCHO33), respectively (Pessi et al., 2005).

For spotting assays, single clones for each type of transformed yeast were firstly cultivated on liquid SD-leucine-uracil media, supplemented with 1-mM choline and 2% (w/v) glucose at 30° C, 200 rpm agitation until OD₆₀₀ reached about 1. Yeast cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4,000 *g* for 5 min and then washed two times with ddH₂O. OD₆₀₀ was adjusted to 0.1 with ddH₂O. About 10-µl yeast cells were then spotted on agar, supplemented with minimal media and 2% (w/v) galactose, and 1-mM ethanolamine or 1-mM monomethylethanolamine or 1-mM choline. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 2–5 days (Chen et al., 2018a).

Transgenic Plants

The coding region of *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2* was amplified and recombined into the vector pFGC5941, which was then transferred into the *peamt1* background by the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated floral dip method (Davis et al., 2009; primers are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**). Transformants were screened on ¹/₂-MS media, containing Basta.

RESULTS

Identification of *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2*

Through filtering and domain analysis, two candidate genes named "GmPEAMT1" (Glyma05g33790) and "GmPEAMT2" (Glyma07g11580) were determined. Both GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 contain 11 exons and 10 introns (Supplementary Figure 1). GmPEAMT1 encodes a predicted protein of 488 amino acids with a calculated molecular mass of 56.01 kDa, and GmPEAMT2 encodes a predicted protein of 531 amino acids with a calculated molecular mass of 60.74 kDa. Their isoelectric points are both less than 7. GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 contain a methyltransferase (MT) domain at the N-terminal and the C-terminal, respectively (Figure 1A). Each domain comprises four SAM-binding motifs (I, p-I, II, and III). It is found that the arrangement of motif I in GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 meets the standard requirement proposed by Clawson (Clawson et al., 1990). The alignment analysis shows that both MT1 and MT2 domains of GmPEAMTs are homologous to the respective domains in the PEAMTs of plants, nematodes, and plasmodia. Most phosphorylation sites and catalytic sites found in nematodes and plasmodium PEAMT (Lee and Jez, 2014) are conserved in soybean (Figures 1B,C). Neither GmPEAMT1 nor GmPEAMT2 has a transmembrane domain (Supplementary Figure 2).

To explore the phylogenetic relationship of GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 with other plant PEAMTs, we used the neighbor-joining method of MEGA to construct a phylogenetic tree of plant PEAMTs (**Figure 2**). These plant PEAMTs are separated into two clades, monocotyledon, and dicotyledon. Both GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 are clustered together with other legumes. GmPEAMT1 is closely related to *Medicago truncatula* MtPEAMT2, and GmPEAMT2 shows high similarity with *Cajanus cajan* CcPEAMT, suggesting that they may have similar functions.

Identification of *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2* Promoters

The putative promoters of *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2*, which mainly cover different classes of regulatory motifs, were isolated and sequenced. The possible *cis*-acting elements in the promoters of *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2* were predicted by PlantCARE (**Figure 3**). Light response elements are found to be the most abundant *cis*-acting elements in the regions of *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2* promoters. In addition, there is a methyl jasmonate response element in the promoter region of *GmPEAMT1*. Many plant hormone response elements are also distributed in the promoter region of *GmPEAMT2*, such as abscisic acid response elements.

Tissue Expression Analysis of GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2

To examine the expression patterns of *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2*, the relative expression level of *GmPEAMTs* in



FIGURE 1 | Multiple amino acid sequence alignments of the two domains of PEAMTs. (A) A schematic diagram of plant PEAMTs. Methyltransferase domains MT1 and MT2 are shown in black and gray, respectively. (B,C) Multiple alignments of MT1 (B) and MT2 (C) domains. Dashes represent gaps introduced to improve the alignment. Identical and similar amino acids are shown in black and gray, respectively. The putative phosphorylation sites and catalytic sites deduced from the structural studies (Lee and Jez, 2014) are indicated by triangles and diamonds, respectively. The four SAM-binding motifs (I, p-I, II, and III) are indicated. The alignments of MT1 and MT2 domains were conducted with ClustalX 2.0 and viewed with GeneDOC. GmPEAMT1, *Glycine max* PEAMT1 (NP_001348858.1); GmPEAMT2, *Glycine max* PEAMT2 (XP_025984992); MtPEAMT1, *Medicago truncatula* PEAMT1 (XP_003619836.1); MtPEAMT2, *Medicago truncatula* PEAMT2 (XP_003631124); AtPEAMT, *Arabidopsis thaliana* PEAMT (XP_003631124); OsPEAMT, *Oryza sativa* PEAMT (XP_015622327.1); CaPEAMT, *Chlamydomonas applanata* PEAMT (LC228965-1); CePEAMT1, *Caenorhabditis elegans* PEAMT1 (NP_494991.1); CePEAMT2, *Caenorhabditis elegans* PEAMT2 (NP_504248.1); PfaPEAMT, *Plasmodium falciparum* PEAMT (XP_001350151.1).



FIGURE 2 Phylogenetic analyses of full-length plant PEAMTs. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted in MEGA X. The evolutionary history was inferred, using the neighbor-joining method. The bootstrap consensus tree inferred from 1,000 replicates is taken to represent the evolutionary history of the taxa analyzed. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1,000 replicates) is shown next to the branches. The evolutionary distances were computed, using the Poisson correction method. This analysis involved 24 amino acid sequences of different plant PEAMTs. Groups and subgroups identified are indicated. GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 are highlighted by red triangles.



different tissues during the vegetative and reproductive stages was obtained by qRT-PCR (Figure 4). Transcripts of both *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2* can be detected in all tissues,

but their expression patterns are different. *GmPEAMT1* has high expression levels in roots, leaves, flowers, and seeds, but low expression levels in stems and fruits. *GmPEAMT2* is most



abundant in flowers and seeds, but hardly expressed in roots, stems, leaves, and fruits. The gene expression profile indicates that GmPEAMT1 may play important roles throughout the soybean growth period, while GmPEAMT2 is essential for flower and seed development.

Subcellular Localization of GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2

To determine the proteins localization in plant cells, the GmPEAMT-GFP fusion expression vector was successfully transformed into N. benthamiana leaves by Agrobacterium tumefaciens. The green fluorescent signals of GmPEAMT1-GFP fusion proteins partly coincided with the red fluorescent signals of nucleus marker proteins (Figure 5A). Notably, expression of GmPEAMT1-GFP led to the formation of punctate fluorescent signals with a radial network, particularly around the signals of nucleus marker proteins. Further research found that the radial network signals of GmPEAMT1-GFP overlapped with the red fluorescent signals of the endoplasmic reticulum marker proteins (Figure 5B). The location of GmPEAMT2 was consistent with GmPEAMT1 (Figures 5A,B). The signals of the empty plasmid pFGC5941-GFP were distributed throughout the whole cell (Figures 5A,B). The results reveal that GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 localize in the nucleus and endoplasmic reticulum.

Functional Analysis of GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 in Yeast

Yeast cells lack PEAMT activity, and the synthesis of PC *in vivo* relies on PLMT1/CHO2 and PLMT2/OPI3 to catalyze the three-step methylation of Ptd-EA to PC (**Figure 6C**). The deletion of *CHO2* and *OPI3* in yeast will completely hinder the biosynthesis of PC, resulting in a temperature-sensitive growth defect at 37°C, which is rescued by exogenous supplementation of choline (Kodaki and Yamashita, 1987, 1989; Keogh et al., 2009). To examine whether GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 encode a functional methyltransferase to produce P-Cho, we took advantage of the *cho2 opi3* mutant and observed its growth

when expressing *GmPEAMT1* or *GmPEAMT2* under the control of GAL1 inducible promoters. The yeast *cho2 opi3* mutant transformed into empty vectors was used as a negative control, and the yeast *cho2 opi3* mutant transformed into *AtPEAMT1* was used as a positive control, as *AtPEAMT1* expression could fully rescue *cho2 opi3* mutant growth in the absence of exogenous choline (**Figure 6D**; Bolognese and McGraw, 2000). As shown in **Figure 6A**, the yeast *cho2 opi3* mutant expressing GmPEAMT1 or GmPEAMT2 alone could not restore normal growth in the absence of exogenous choline. These results suggest that GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 cannot continuously catalyze the three-step methylation of P-EA to P-Cho. At least one of the three steps has defects.

To further determine the catalytic abilities of GmPEAMT at the phosphate level, we co-expressed *AtPLMT* and *GmPEAMT* in the yeast *cho2 opi3* mutant. AtPLMT has a similar function to OPI3, which can catalyze the two-step methylation from Ptd-MMEA to PC *via* Ptd-DMEA (**Figure 6D**; Keogh et al., 2009). As shown in **Figure 6B**, the yeast *cho2 opi3* mutant co-expressing GmPEAMT1 and AtPLMT could restore normal growth without exogenous additives. In addition, co-expressing of GmPEAMT2 and AtPLMT could partly restore the growth of *cho2 opi3* cells. These results indicate that GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 can at least catalyze the methylation from P-EA to P-MMEA (**Figure 6D**).

Functional Verification of GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 in Arabidopsis

To further investigate the biochemical functions of GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 *in planta*, we individually expressed *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2* in the Arabidopsis *peamt1* mutant, which exhibits a distinctive short-root phenotype (Cruz-Ramírez et al., 2004). As shown in **Figure 7A**, *peamt1* roots were significantly shorter than wild-type roots, as expected. The root length of the *peamt1* mutant expressing *GmPEAMT1* is longer significantly than *peamt1* (**Figure 7C**), indicating that the heterologous expression of *GmPEAMT1* in the *peamt1* mutant can partially restore normal root development but



cannot revert to the wild-type level. The *peamt1* mutants expressing *GmPEAMT2* have a similar phenotype to the *peamt1* mutants, expressing *GmPEAMT1* (Figures 7B,D). These results

demonstrate that GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 are partly homologous to AtPEAMT1 *in planta*, and there is some functional redundancy between GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2.



S. cerevisiae cho2 op/3 mutant. Yeast mutant strain cho2 op/3 harboring empty vectors (negative controls), Arabidopsis PEAMT1 (positive controls), GmPEAMT1, GmPEAMT2, AtPLMT, GmPEAMT1+AtPLMT, and GmPEAMT2+AtPLMT were grown on agar supplemented with minimal media and 2% (w/v) galactose, and 0-mM ethanolamine (CK) or 1-mM ethanolamine (EA) or 1-mM monomethylethanolamine (MEA) or 1-mM choline (CHO). These transformants were grown at 37°C for 3 days. Different transformants and additives are indicated at the left and the top of the images, respectively (**C,D**). The currently proposed PC biosynthesis pathway in *S. cerevisiae* and plants, respectively (Keogh et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2018). The methyltransferases involved in the synthesis of PC in *S. cerevisiae*, Arabidopsis, and soybeans are shown in blue, green, and red. Ptd-EA, phosphatidylethanolamine; Ptd-MMEA, phosphatidylmonomethylethanolamine; Ptd-DMEA, phosphatidyldimethylethanolamine; PC, phosphatidylcholine; CDP-EA, cytidine diphosphate-ethanolamine; CDP-CHO, cytidine diphosphate-choline; P-EA, ethanolamine-phosphate; P-MMEA, monomethylethanolamine-phosphate; P-DMEA, dimethylethanolamine-phosphate; P-CHO, phosphate-choline; EA, ethanolamine; Cho, choline; PEAMT, phosphoethanolamine methyltransferase; and PLMT, phospholipid methyltransferase.

DISCUSSION

Possible Functional Differences Between GmPEAMTs and Other Plants PEAMTs

Phosphatidylcholine is an essential component of plant cell membranes (Meijer and Munnik, 2003). The PC synthesis pathways of different plants are distinct (Datko and Mudd, 1988b; Williams and Harwood, 1994; Lykidis, 2007). This difference is mainly reflected in the methylation at the level of phosphate and phosphatidyl mediated by PEAMT and PLMT, respectively (Keogh et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2018a). In this study, the coding sequences and promoters of PEAMTs were successfully isolated from soybeans. GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 are very similar to PEAMTs of other plants, such as Arabidopsis (Bolognese and McGraw, 2000) and spinach (Nuccio et al., 2000) in terms of protein size and an isoelectric point. Protein domain analysis shows that the MT1 and MT2 domain sequences of plant PEAMT are highly conserved, especially the catalytic residues and phosphorylation sites, which found in some nematodes or plasmodia (Lee and Jez, 2014), are also present

in GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2, indicating that GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 may have similar biological functions to other plant PEAMTs.

GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 were predicted to localize in the cytoplasm based on the protein properties, such as hydrophilicity, the lack of transmembrane structure, and the lack of organelle-targeting signal sequences. The reported plant PEAMTs are all located in the cytoplasm (Bolognese and McGraw, 2000; Smith et al., 2000). However, the results of subcellular localization show that GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 are located in the nucleus and endoplasmic reticulum, which indicates that GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 may have different properties from other plant PEAMTs. The phenomenon of non-unique subcellular localization is common in plants. The localization of some transporters, transcription factors or photoreceptor proteins will change with external signals. For example, it is reported that the localization of phosphate transporter PHT1 is affected by phosphorus content. When phosphorus is sufficient, PHT1 is phosphorylated and localized in the endoplasmic reticulum, while, when phosphorus is deficient,



PHT1 is dephosphorylated and carried to the cell membrane by PHF1 (Ham et al., 2018). Therefore, the non-uniqueness of GmPEAMTs position may have a certain relationship with its response to external signals, which requires further experimental verification.

The Potential of *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2* to Participate in Plant Stress Response

When responding to various abiotic stresses, plants will induce the expression of specific genes through their signal transduction mechanisms, thereby reducing the harm of stress to plants, and this induced expression is related closely to the *cis*acting elements in the upstream promoter region of the gene and the corresponding transcription factors (Liu et al., 2014). The prediction of the *cis*-acting elements in the promoters of *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2* shows that a large number of light response elements, some plant hormone response elements, and stress response elements are distributed in the promoter region. The promoters of corn (Gou, 2017), *Suaeda liaotungensis* (Xie, 2013), and *Salicornia* (Ma, 2008) PEAMTs also contain these elements. PEAMTs of many dicotyledonous plants have light-responsive characteristics. For example, when measuring the phosphoethanolamine methyltransferase activity of spinach, it was found that its activity was highest at the end of the photoperiod and lowest at the end of the dark period. When the plant was exposed to the light again, the activity would be restored (Weretilnyk et al., 1995). Nuccio found that salt treatment improved the expression level of PEAMT in spinach, and then increased the production of choline to promote the accumulation of betaine (Nuccio et al., 2000). Low-temperature treatment and salt treatment will lead to the upregulation of wheat PEAMT and corresponding protein expression. The corresponding increase in enzyme activity may be related to the accumulation of betaine in wheat (Charron et al., 2002). These findings are consistent with the results in this study. It is preliminarily inferred that GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 are both plant stress-related genes, and their promoters may have the characteristics of responding to abiotic stress.

The Specificity of GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 Function

Through the application of yeast heterologous complementation, some plant PEAMTs have been biochemically verified, such as

spinach (Nuccio et al., 2000) and Arabidopsis (Bolognese and McGraw, 2000; Chen et al., 2018a,b). Deletion of CHO2 and OPI3 involved in the methylation reaction of PC biosynthesis at the phosphatidyl level is lethal unless choline is exogenously provided (Kodaki and Yamashita, 1987; Summers et al., 1988; Preitschopf et al., 1993; Keogh et al., 2009). AtPLMT is homologous to OPI3, which can catalyze the transformation of Ptd-MMEA \rightarrow Ptd-DMEA \rightarrow PC (Keogh et al., 2009). The independent expression of GmPEAMT1 or GmPEAMT2 in the yeast cho2 opi3 mutant cannot compensate for its growth defect. In the absence of exogenous choline, co-expression of AtPLMT and GmPEAMT1 or GmPEAMT2 enabled the cho2 opi3 mutant to grow. These results indicate that GmPEAMTs can at least catalyze the first step of methylation at the phosphate level to convert P-EA to P-MMEA. Then P-MMEA is converted into Ptd-MMEA through the nucleotide pathway, and finally, PC is formed under the catalysis of AtPLMT in cho2 opi3 cells. In soybeans, it has been proved that only the first step methylation (P-EA \rightarrow P-MMEA) conducts at the phosphate level by the metabolic analysis (Datko and Mudd, 1988a). Therefore, it is deduced that GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 can only catalyze the methylation of PEA to P-MMEA.

Studies have shown that the MT1 domain of PEAMT is responsible for catalyzing the initial methylation reaction from P-EA to P-MMEA; the MT2 domain catalyzes the two-step subsequent methylation reaction from P-MMEA to P-Cho via P-DMEA (BeGora et al., 2010; Lee and Jez, 2013, 2014, 2017). Although GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 have the MT2 domain, they cannot catalyze the last two steps of methylation. The loss of catalytic activity may result from mutations in some key positions of the MT2 domain. However, studies have also shown that there is no absolute correspondence between structural domains and catalytic functions. For example, Caenorhabditis elegans PEAMT1 can only methylate P-EA to P-MMEA, while the second enzyme PEAMT2 can methylate P-MMEA and P-DMEA, but they both have two domains. However, a single protein has only one methyltransferase domain to function, and the other does not have catalytic activity (Lee and Jez, 2013). What is more, Plasmodium falciparum PEAMT only has one domain to catalyze a continuous three-step methylation reaction (Reynolds et al., 2008).

In animals and yeast, the three-step methylation reaction on the phosphatidyl group is the only way for these organisms to *de novo* synthesis PC, and PLMT is the key enzyme that functions in this pathway (Keogh et al., 2009). However, the phosphorylation pathway for *de novo* synthesis of PC in plants starts with the methylation of P-EA by PEAMT (McNeil et al., 2001). The subsequent two-step methylation may occur at the phosphate level or the phosphatidyl level, depending on the species (Lykidis, 2007). For example, in *Lemna* and carrot (*Daucus carota* L.), the sequential methylation of P-EA and the sequential methylation of Ptd-MMEA are carried out simultaneously (Datko and Mudd, 1988b). Olive (*Olea europaea* L.) is more extraordinary than the first two-step methylation occurs at the phosphate level, and the last step occurs at the phosphatidyl level (Williams and Harwood, 1994). These results all illustrate the considerable differences in the PC synthesis pathway between different plants and the diversity of PEAMT functions. The differences in the role and necessity status of PEAMT among plants are also worthy of our in-depth exploration.

Possible Reasons for the Difference in the PEAMT Function Between Arabidopsis and Soybeans

In order to better understand the role of *PEAMT* in plants, we analyzed the biological function of GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 through the genetic transformation in Arabidopsis. Similar to PEAMTs in most plants, AtPEAMT1 has two methyltransferase domains, MT1 and MT2, which can catalyze the three-step methylation reaction of P-EA to P-Cho at the phosphate level (Bolognese and McGraw, 2000). When AtPEAMT1 was mutated by a T-DNA insertion, the root growth of the mutant plants was greatly restricted (Mou et al., 2002; Cruz-Ramírez et al., 2004). There were severe defects in the meristem and elongation zone, such as the abnormal morphology of the epidermal cell. In addition, the inhibition of PC synthesis also induces the death of root epidermal cells (Cruz-Ramírez et al., 2004). In this study, GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 were driven by the 35S promoter and transferred into the Arabidopsis peamt1 mutant. The overexpression of GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 in the *peamt1* mutant partially restored the root growth of the mutant, indicating that GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 are similar to AtPEAMT1, which play an essential role in maintaining the normal growth and development of the root.

The introduction of *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2* did not restore the root length of the mutant to the level of wild type, which indicates that, in the biosynthesis of PC, GmPEAMT1, and GmPEAMT2 may have similar but not identical biochemical functions to AtPEAMT1. This may result from the difference of substrate catalytic ability between soybeans and Arabidopsis. Yeast complementation verification results show that GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 can catalyze the methylation of PEA to P-MMEA. In contrast, other plant PEAMTs represented by Arabidopsis can catalyze the triple methylation of PEA to P-Cho (Chen et al., 2018b). The difference in tissue expression patterns and the subcellular localization between *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2* may also result in the different functions between soybeans and Arabidopsis.

It is also worth noting that the overexpression of *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2* in the *peamt1* mutant has similar phenotypes, indicating that *GmPEAMT1* and *GmPEAMT2* have a certain degree of functional redundancy in maintaining the normal growth and development of the root. This kind of genetic redundancy is widespread in organisms. It is a coping strategy to reduce the impact of unfavorable external environmental factors on their survival, which gradually formed in the evolution process of organisms adapting to the environment. Through the genetic redundancy, phenotypic changes caused by a knockout or loss of function of a gene can be reduced (Li et al., 2018).

Given the difference in tissue expression patterns of GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2, whether they have different functions in other processes of plant growth and development remains to be explored. Elucidating the synergistic but unequal functions of GmPEAMT1 and GmPEAMT2 in soybean PC synthesis, and the contribution of GmPEAMT-mediated phosphate level methylation to PC synthesis will be important future effort.

In summary, the identification and functional analysis of PEAMTs in soybeans enhanced our understanding of the synthesis pathway of phosphatidylcholine in legumes. It provides valuable materials for further exploration of the specific pathways of lipid synthesis between different plants and the massive variability in the regulation of this process. At the same time, it is also of great significance for improving stress resistance and soybean quality.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/ **Supplementary Material**.

REFERENCES

- Asano, T., Hayashi, N., Kobayashi, M., Aoki, N., Miyao, A., Mitsuhara, I., et al. (2015). A rice calcium-dependent protein kinase oscpk12 oppositely modulates salt-stress tolerance and blast disease resistance. *Plant J.* 69, 26–36. doi: 10.1111/ j.1365-313X.2011.04766.x
- BeGora, M. D., Macleod, M. J. R., Mccarry, B. E., Summers, P. S., and Weretilnyk, E. A. (2010). Identification of phosphomethylethanolamine n-methyltransferase from arabidopsis and its role in choline and phospholipid metabolism. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 29147–29155. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.11 2151
- Bolognese, C. P., and McGraw, P. (2000). The isolation and characterization in yeast of a gene for arabidopsis s-adenosylmethionine: *Phospho-ethanolaminenmethyltransferase*. *Plant Physiol*. 124, 1800–1813. doi: 10.1104/pp.124.4.1800
- Carman, G. M., and Henry, S. A. (1989). Phospholipid biosynthesis in yeast. Ann. Rev. Biochem. 58:635. doi: 10.1146/annurev.bi.58.070189.003223
- Charron, J. B. F., Breton, G., Danyluk, J., Muzac, I., Ibrahim, R. K., and Sarhan, F. (2002). Molecular and biochemical characterization of a cold-regulated phosphoethanolaminen-methyltransferase from wheat. *Plant Physiol.* 129, 363– 373. doi: 10.1104/pp.001776
- Chen, C., Chen, H., Zhang, Y., Thomas, H. R., Frank, M. H., He, Y., et al. (2020). TBtools: an integrative toolkit developed for interactive analyses of big biological data. *Mol. Plant* 13, 1194–1202. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009
- Chen, W., Salari, H., Taylor, M. C., Jost, R., Berkowitz, O., Barrow, R., et al. (2018a). NMT1 and NMT3 N-methyltransferase activity is critical to lipid homeostasis, morphogenesis, and reproduction. *Plant Physiol.* 177, 1605–1628. doi: 10.1104/pp.18.00457
- Chen, W., Taylor, M. C., Barrow, R., Croyal, M., and Masle, J. (2018b). Loss of phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferases abolishes phosphatidylcholine synthesis and is lethal. *Plant Physiol.* 179, 694. doi: 10.1104/pp.18.00694
- Clawson, G. A., Willis, D. B., Weissbach, A., and Jones, P. A. (1990). Proceedings of a Hoffman-La Roche-UCLA Colloquium on Nucleic Acid Methylation (No. CONF-8903257-). New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons Inc.
- Cruz-Ramírez, A., López-Bucio, J., Ramírez-Pimentel, G., Zurita-Silva, A., Sánchez-Calderon, L., Ramírez-Chávez, E., et al. (2004). The xipotl mutant of Arabidopsis reveals a critical role for phospholipid metabolism in root system development and epidermal cell integrity. *Plant Cell* 16, 2020–2034. doi: 10.1105/tpc.103.018648

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YC and YS conceived the study. XW contributed to the cultivation of test materials. WC performed the qRT-PCR. QY performed the subcellular localization experiment. XJ carried out the functional verification experiments in Arabidopsis and yeast, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31601324) and the open funds of the State Key Laboratory of Crop Genetics and Germplasm Enhancement (ZW201904).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021. 612158/full#supplementary-material

- Datko, A. H., and Mudd, S. H. (1988b). Phosphatidylcholine synthesis: differing patterns in soybean and carrot. *Plant Physiol.* 88, 854–861. doi: 10.1104/pp.88. 3.854
- Datko, A. H., and Mudd, S. H. (1988a). Enzymes of phosphatidylcholine synthesis in Lemna, soybean, and carrot. *Plant Physiol.* 88, 1338–1348. doi: 10.1104/pp. 88.4.1338
- Davis, A. M., Hall, A., Millar, A. J., Darrah, C., and Davis, S. J. (2009). Protocol: streamlined sub-protocols for floral-dip transformation and selection of transformants in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Methods* 5, 1–7. doi: 10.1186/ 1746-4811-5-3
- Gou, W. (2017). Cloning and Functional Analysis of the Promoter of Corn Phosphoethanolamine Nitrogen Methyltransferase (PEAMT) Gene. Ph. D., Thesis. Northwest Agriculture and Forestry University.
- Gu, X., Beardslee, T., Zeece, M., Sarath, G., and Markwell, J. (2001). Identification of IgE-binding proteins in soy lecithin. *Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol.* 126, 218– 225. doi: 10.1159/000049517
- Ham, B. K., Chen, J., Yan, Y., and Lucas, W. J. (2018). Insights into plant phosphate sensing and signaling. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 49, 1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio. 2017.07.005
- Hitz, W. D., Rhodes, D., and Hanson, A. D. (1981). Radiotracer evidence implicating phosphoryl and phosphatidyl bases as intermediates in betaine synthesis by water-stressed barley leaves. *Plant Physiol.* 68, 814–822. doi: 10. 1104/pp.68.4.814
- Hong, Y., Zhang, W., and Wang, X. (2010). Phospholipase D and phosphatidic acid signalling in plant response to drought and salinity. *Plant Cell Environ*. 33, 627–635. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02087.x
- Kennedy, E. P., and Lehninger, A. L. (1949). Oxidation of fatty acids and tricarboxylic acid cycle intermediates by isolated rat liver mitochondria. J. Biol. Chem. 179, 957–972.
- Keogh, M. R., Courtney, P. D., Kinney, A. J., and Dewey, R. E. (2009). Functional characterization of phospholipid N-methyltransferases from arabidopsis and soybean. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 15439–15447. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.00 5991
- Kodaki, T., and Yamashita, S. (1987). Yeast phosphatidylethanolamine methylation pathway. cloning and characterization of two distinct methyltransferase genes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 262, 15428–15435. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(18)47744-7
- Kodaki, T., and Yamashita, S. (1989). Characterization of the methyltransferases in the yeast phosphatidylethanolamine methylation pathway by selective gene

disruption. Eur. J. Biochem. 185, 243–251. doi: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1989. tb15109.x

- Lee, S. G., and Jez, J. M. (2013). Evolution of structure and mechanistic divergence in di-domain methyltransferases from nematode phosphocholine biosynthesis. *Structure* 21, 1778–1787. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2013.07.023
- Lee, S. G., and Jez, J. M. (2014). Nematode phospholipid metabolism: an example of closing the genome–structure-function circle. *Trends Parasitol.* 30, 241–250. doi: 10.1016/j.pt.2014.03.001
- Lee, S. G., and Jez, J. M. (2017). Conformational changes in the di-domain structure of Arabidopsis phosphoethanolamine methyltransferase leads to active-site formation. J. Biol. Chem. 292, 21690–21702. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA117. 000106
- Lescot, M., Déhais, P., Thijs, G., Marchal, K., Moreau, Y., Van de Peer, Y., et al. (2002). PlantCARE, a database of plant cis-acting regulatory elements and a portal to tools for in silico analysis of promoter sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 325–327. doi: 10.1093/nar/30.1.325
- Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2018). 20 years of the SMART protein domain annotation resource. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D493–D496. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx922
- Li, Z., Woo, H. R., and Guo, H. (2018). Genetic redundancy of senescenceassociated transcription factors in Arabidopsis. J. Exp. Bot. 69, 811–823. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erx345
- Liu, J. H., Peng, T., and Dai, W. (2014). Critical cis-acting elements and interacting transcription factors: key players associated with abiotic stress responses in plants. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 32, 303–317. doi: 10.1007/s11105-013-0667-z
- Liu, Y. C., Lin, Y. C., Kanehara, K., and Nakamura, Y. (2018). A pair of phosphobase methyltransferases important for phosphatidylcholine biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis. Plant J.* 96, 1064-1075. doi: 10.1111/tpj.14090
- Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2-△△Ct Method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262
- Lykidis, A. (2007). Comparative genomics and evolution of eukaryotic phospholipid biosynthesis. Prog. Lip. Res. 46, 171–199. doi: 10.1016/j. plipres.2007.03.003
- Ma, S. J. (2008). Cloning and Expression Analysis of the Phosphoethanolamine N-Methyltransferase Gene (PEAMT) of Salicornia Salina and Its Promoter. Ph., D. Thesis. Dalian University of Technology.
- McNeil, S. D., Nuccio, M. L., Ziemak, M. J., and Hanson, A. D. (2001). Enhanced synthesis of choline and glycine betaine in transgenic tobacco plants that overexpress phosphoethanolamine N-methyltransferase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 98, 10001–10005. doi: 10.1073/pnas.171228998
- Meijer, H. J., and Munnik, T. (2003). Phospholipid-based signaling in plants. Ann. Rev. Plant Biol. 54, 265–306. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.54.031902.13 4748
- Mou, Z., Wang, X., Fu, Z., Dai, Y., Han, C., Ouyang, J., et al. (2002). Silencing of phosphoethanolamine N-methyltransferase results in temperature-sensitive male sterility and salt hypersensitivity in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 14, 2031–2043. doi: 10.1105/tpc.001701
- Nuccio, M. L., Ziemak, M. J., Henry, S. A., Weretilnyk, E. A., and Hanson, A. D. (2000). cDNA Cloning of PhosphoethanolamineN-methyltransferase from spinach by complementation in schizosaccharomyces pombe and characterization of the recombinant enzyme. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 14095–14101. doi: 10.1074/jbc.275.19.14095
- Pessi, G., Choi, J. Y., Reynolds, J. M., Voelker, D. R., and Mamoun, C. B. (2005). In vivo evidence for the specificity of plasmodium falciparum phosphoethanolamine methyltransferase and its coupling to the kennedy pathway. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 12461–12466. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m41462 6200
- Pessi, G., Kociubinski, G., and Mamoun, C. B. (2004). A pathway for phosphatidylcholine biosynthesis in *Plasmodium falciparum* involving

phosphoethanolamine methylation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 101, 6206–6211. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0307742101

- Preitschopf, W., Lückl, H., Summers, E., Henry, S. A., Paltauf, F., and Kohlwein, S. D. (1993). Molecular cloning of the yeast OPI3 gene as a high copy number suppressor of the cho2 mutation. *Curr. Genet.* 23, 95–101. doi: 10.1007/ BF00352006
- Reynolds, J. M., Takebe, S., Choi, J. Y., El Bissati, K., Witola, W. H., Bobenchik, A. M., et al. (2008). Biochemical and genetic analysis of the phosphoethanolamine methyltransferase of the human malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 7894–7900. doi: 10.1074/jbc. M709869200
- Sakamoto, A., and Murata, N. (2000). Genetic engineering of glycine betaine synthesis in plants: current status and implications for enhancement of stress tolerance. J. Exp. Bot. 51, 81–88. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/51.342.81
- Sakamoto, A., Valverde, R., Chen, T. H., and Murata, N. (2000). Transformation of Arabidopsis with the codA gene for choline oxidase enhances freezing tolerance of plants. *Plant J.* 22, 449–453. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2000.00749.x
- Smith, D. D., Summers, P. S., and Weretilnyk, E. A. (2000). Phosphocholine synthesis in spinach: characterization of phosphoethanolamine N-methyltransferase. *Physiol. Plantar.* 108, 286–294. doi: 10.1034/j.1399-3054.2000.108003286.x
- Summers, E. F., Letts, V. A., McGraw, P., and Henry, S. A. (1988). Saccharomyces cerevisiae cho2 mutants are deficient in phospholipid methylation and crosspathway regulation of inositol synthesis. *Genetics* 120, 909–922. doi: 10.1093/ genetics/120.4.909
- Weretilnyk, E. A., Smith, D. D., Wilch, G. A., and Summers, P. S. (1995). Enzymes of choline synthesis in spinach (response of phospho-base N-methyltransferase activities to light and salinity). *Plant Physiol.* 109, 1085–1091. doi: 10.1104/pp. 109.3.1085
- Williams, M., and Harwood, J. L. (1994). Alternative pathways for phosphatidylcholine synthesis in olive (Olea europaea L.) callus cultures. Biochem. J. 304, 463–468. doi: 10.1042/bj3040463
- Wu, S., Yu, Z., Wang, F., Li, W., Ye, C., Li, J., et al. (2007). Cloning, characterization, and transformation of the phosphoethanolamine N-methyltransferase gene (ZmPEAMT1) in maize (Zea mays L.). *Mol. Biotechnol.* 36, 102–112. doi: 10. 1007/s12033-007-0009-1
- Xie, J. H. (2013). Analysis of SIPEAMT Gene Expression Characteristics and SIPEAMT Promoter Function in Suaedaliaoningensis. Ph, D. Thesis. Liaoning Normal University.
- Zhang, X. H., Zhao, C., Seleznev, K., Song, K., Manfredi, J. J., and Ma, Z. A. (2006). Disruption of G1-phase phospholipid turnover by inhibition of Ca2+independent phospholipase A2 induces a p53-dependent cell-cycle arrest in G1 phase. J. Cell Sci. 119, 1005–1015. doi: 10.1242/jcs.02821

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Ji, Wu, Chen, Yuan, Shen and Chi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.