



# The Role of Structural Maintenance of Chromosomes Complexes in Meiosis and Genome Maintenance: Translating Biomedical and Model Plant Research Into Crop Breeding Opportunities

#### Pablo Bolaños-Villegas<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fabio Baudrit Agricultural Research Station, University of Costa Rica, Alajuela, Costa Rica, <sup>2</sup>Lankester Botanical Garden, University of Costa Rica, Cartago, Costa Rica

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Mónica Pradillo, Complutense University of Madrid, Spain

#### Reviewed by:

Veit Schubert, Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Germany Stefanie Dukowic-Schulze, University of Regensburg, Germany

\*Correspondence:

Pablo Bolaños-Villegas pablo.bolanosvillegas@ucr.ac.cr

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 27 January 2021 Accepted: 15 March 2021 Published: 31 March 2021

#### Citation:

Bolaños-Villegas P (2021) The Role of Structural Maintenance of Chromosomes Complexes in Meiosis and Genome Maintenance: Translating Biomedical and Model Plant Research Into Crop Breeding Opportunities. Front. Plant Sci. 12:659558. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.659558 Cohesin is a multi-unit protein complex from the structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC) family, required for holding sister chromatids together during mitosis and meiosis. In yeast, the cohesin complex entraps sister DNAs within tripartite rings created by pairwise interactions between the central ring units SMC1 and SMC3 and subunits such as the  $\alpha$ -kleisin SCC1 (REC8/SYN1 in meiosis). The complex is an indispensable regulator of meiotic recombination in eukaryotes. In Arabidopsis and maize, the SMC1/SMC3 heterodimer is a key determinant of meiosis. In Arabidopsis, several kleisin proteins are also essential: SYN1/REC8 is meiosis-specific and is essential for double-strand break repair, whereas AtSCC2 is a subunit of the cohesin SCC2/SCC4 loading complex that is important for synapsis and segregation. Other important meiotic subunits are the cohesin EXTRA SPINDLE POLES (AESP1) separase, the acetylase ESTABLISHMENT OF COHESION 1/CHROMOSOME TRANSMISSION FIDELITY 7 (ECO1/CTF7), the cohesion release factor WINGS APART-LIKE PROTEIN 1 (WAPL) in Arabidopsis (AtWAPL1/ AtWAPL2), and the WAPL antagonist AtSWITCH1/DYAD (AtSWI1). Other important complexes are the SMC5/SMC6 complex, which is required for homologous DNA recombination during the S-phase and for proper meiotic synapsis, and the condensin complexes, featuring SMC2/SMC4 that regulate proper clustering of rDNA arrays during interphase. Meiotic recombination is the key to enrich desirable traits in commercial plant breeding. In this review, I highlight critical advances in understanding plant chromatid cohesion in the model plant Arabidopsis and crop plants and suggest how manipulation of crossover formation during meiosis, somatic DNA repair and chromosome folding may facilitate transmission of desirable alleles, tolerance to radiation, and enhanced transcription of alleles that regulate sexual development. I hope that these findings highlight opportunities for crop breeding.

Keywords: meiosis, structural maintenance of chromosomes, cohesin, DNA recombination, food security, stress tolerance

# INTRODUCTION

Our species faces a vexing problem. In the past, agricultural production kept pace with a growing population. However, by the year 2050, crop production will no longer meet demand. Increased consumption of meat and dairy in the developing world is worsened by biofuel production, depletion of water resources, and global warming. Thus, breeding of new varieties is key to boosting yields and matching future demand (Scheben and Edwards, 2018).

Global warming is often mentioned because of its negative effect on rainfall, but anthropogenic climate change is also believed to progressively suppress cloud formation, thus increasing exposure to UVB radiation (320-290 nm; Diffey, 2002; Lindfors and Arola, 2008; Schneider et al., 2019). The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations has well-documented the reduced crop yield and total dry weight resulting from enhanced exposure to UVB radiation in the field for crops such as rice (Oryza sativa L., Poaceae), soybean (Glycine max L., Fabaceae), corn (Zea mays L., Poaceae), potatoes (Solanum tuberosum L., Solanaceae), pea (Pisum sativum L., Fabaceae), and sugar cane (Saccharum officinarum L., Poaceae; Krupa and Jäger, 2006). Exposure to a high dose of UVB radiation in C3 and C4 species exacerbates sensitivity to drought by reducing leaf conductance, water use efficiency, and leaf area. It also decreases floral yield, fruit set, and fruit yield (Krupa and Jäger, 2006). When combined with increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, UVB radiation worsens crop performance (Wijewardana et al., 2016). Depletion of atmospheric ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and the anthropogenic release of atmospheric aerosols such as nitrogen oxides can also alter surface UV flux by their distribution in the atmospheric column (Erickson et al., 2015), which adds a layer of complexity to an already difficult scenario of climate instability.

In plants, the two major products of UVB damage are cyclobutene pyrimidine dimers and pyrimidine pyrimidone photoproducts, which are mostly repaired by cyclobutene pyrimidine dimers and 6-4 photolyases (Sakamoto, 2019). Also, extensive evidence indicates that nucleotide excision repair plays an important role in removing damage caused by UV radiation (Nisa et al., 2019; Sakamoto, 2019). However, UV radiation is also toxic because it distorts the template structure of DNA and prevents replication (Kim et al., 2019; Sakamoto, 2019). In turn, these stalled replication sites create fragile single-strand regions that easily lead to highly toxic doublestrand breaks (DSBs; Nisa et al., 2019; Sakamoto, 2019). DSBs are repaired by translesion synthesis with the help of a translesion synthesis polymerase or by template switch and homologous recombination. The latter process relies on PROLIFERATING CELL NUCLEAR ANTIGEN (PCNA) polyubiquitination, the combined action of RAD51 and BRCA1 (Kim et al., 2019; Nisa et al., 2019; Sakamoto, 2019) and activity of the cohesin complex (Bolaños-Villegas et al., 2013).

Another serious genotoxic stress that affects output in crops such as rice and barley is the soil content of aluminum (Al; Awasthi et al., 2017; Jaskowiak et al., 2018), especially in acidic soils such as in India (Awasthi et al., 2017). In such soils (pH < 5.5), Al solubilizes into the phytotoxic species Al<sup>3+</sup> from non-toxic silicates and oxides (Awasthi et al., 2017; Sjögren and Larsen, 2017). In flooded fields, the presence of Al<sup>3+</sup> leads to an increase in soluble Fe<sup>2+</sup> content, also leading to iron toxicity (Awasthi et al., 2017). The most severe symptoms of Al toxicity are rapid inhibition of root elongation caused by damage in cells at the root apex and reduction in crop yield by 20–40% (Panhwar et al., 2015; Awasthi et al., 2017). In barley roots, exposure to Al<sup>3+</sup> causes the formation of micronuclei and apoptosis (Jaskowiak et al., 2018). Flow cytometry also revealed a delay in cell division, as evidenced by an increase in frequency of cells in the G2/M phase (Jaskowiak et al., 2018). Exposure to Al<sup>3+</sup> in *Arabidopsis* causes roots to undergo DNA damage that requires homologous recombination and the expression of the cohesin subunit *SYN2* (Sjögren and Larsen, 2017).

The goal of this review is to summarize evidence related to the plant cohesin complex involved in genome maintenance and meiosis. The summary aims to help breeding elite cultivars that will be better adapted to cope with marginal environmental conditions and climate change (Panhwar et al., 2015; Shen et al., 2015), whose crop yields will be high enough to cope with population growth (Gerland et al., 2014), and that may transmit and receive valuable wild alleles efficiently (Dempewolf et al., 2017). The overall aim is to guarantee food security. Of particular interest in modern agriculture is the control of crossover (CO) formation during meiosis, because the low frequency and uneven patterning of COs has traditionally required breeders to work with large populations over many generations to produce desirable haplotypes (Taagen et al., 2020). Fortunately, genome sequencing and advanced genome editing by CRISPR-Cas9 in rice (Li et al., 2020) and maize (Zhang et al., 2020) may enable the translation of knowledge obtained in humans, yeast and Arabidopsis thaliana.

## ASSEMBLY OF EUKARYOTIC COHESION AND STRUCTURAL MAINTENANCE OF CHROMOSOMES COMPLEXES

Cohesin is an evolutionarily conserved protein complex, believed to assemble as a tripartite ring, that wraps around DNA and modulates its topology (Muñoz et al., 2019). It has a broad range of functions that affect the organization of the eukaryotic genome. The functions include somatic repair of DNA DSBs, regulation of pericentromeric recombination during meiosis I, regulation of transcription, and establishment of sister chromatid cohesion (Fernandes et al., 2019; Muñoz et al., 2019). Biochemical and genetic evidence with baker's yeast suggest that the canonical cohesin complex contains two structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC) proteins, SMC1 and SMC3; one  $\alpha$ -kleisin subunit named SCC1/RAD21 (mitotic) or REC8/SYN1 (meiotic; Beckouët et al., 2016; Hsieh et al., 2020); and two additional HEAT repeat-containing subunits named SCC3 and PRECOCIOUS DISSOCIATON OF SISTERS 5 (PDS5; Liu et al., 2020). The two SMC proteins assemble as antiparallel coiled coils 50 nm long, with two key features: (1) a hinge domain at one end (N-terminal) and (2) an ABC-like ATPase domain (C-terminal) at the head (Beckouët et al., 2016).

Cohesin stably prevents the sister chromatids from DNA replication until anaphase (G2 to M phases; Elbatsh et al., 2016). In human cells, the loader complex MAU2-NIPBL (SCC2/SCC4 in yeast) loads DNA into the cohesin complex, whereas the activity of the antagonist WINGS APART LIKE (WAPL) releases cohesin from DNA (Faramarz et al., 2020) by opening an exit gate located between SMC3 and the N-terminus of the SCC1  $\alpha$ -kleisin (Elbatsh et al., 2016; Haarhuis et al., 2017). Loading of cohesin by the SCC2/SCC4 loader complex involves stimulation of ATP hydrolysis by the SMC1/SMC3 ATPase domain, which is required for the stable association of cohesin with chromatin (Elbatsh et al., 2016).

Cohesin may also dissociate from chromatin at anaphase by cleavage of the SCC1  $\alpha$ -kleisin by the separase (ESP), whereas at other stages of the cell cycle, the release of cohesin is mediated by WAPL and the HEAT-repeat subunit PDS5 (Dauban et al., 2020). Repression of cohesion release is enforced during replication by acetylation of two conserved lysine residues within the ATP-ase domain of SMC3, an action performed by ECO1 and assisted by PDS5 (see **Table 1**; Yang et al., 2019; Dauban et al., 2020). This process stabilizes the entrapment of DNA by cohesin and establishes sister chromatid cohesion throughout the G2 to M phases until cleavage of SCC1 by the separase and deacetylation of SMC3 by HOS1 (Dauban et al., 2020; see **Figure 1**).

According to the multi-state asymmetric ATPase cycle, the three known SMC complexes - cohesin SMC1/SMC3, condensin SMC2/ SMC4, and SMC5/SMC6 complexes - have a ground state known as the nucleotide-free state, in which only one SMC head can bind to an ATP molecule. Upon binding of the first ATP molecule to the P-loop of the RecA lobe, the glutamine residue in the Q-loop changes its position and establishes hydrogen bonds with  $\gamma$ -phosphate and with an Mg<sup>2+</sup> ion. As a result, the helical lobe tilts by 15°, repositions a tyrosine-lysine pair within the W-loop and causes dissociation of PDS5 from SMC1 (its SMC4 counterpart is YCS4; Hassler et al., 2019). Binding of a second ATP molecule causes the formation of a quasi-symmetric SMC1/SMC3 (or SMC2/ SMC4) head dimer with both RecA active sites occupied by ATP molecules and induces the coiled-coils of SMC1 (or SMC2) to spread apart and dissociate from the SCC1 kleisin (BRN1 in the yeast condensin complex). This model implies that the structural transitions in SMC complexes are governed by nucleotide release, as observed in other ABC-type ATPases. It hints at the existence of directionality in the translocation of the SMC holocomplexes along the DNA double helix (Hassler et al., 2019). In plants, these results should be validated to develop a better understanding of the torsion of the W-loop of SMC1 and how it controls the dissociation of cofactors such as PDS5 during plant meiosis, for instance. Such validation might also open avenues for engineering changes in the rate of ATP-hydrolysis to cope with faster structural transitions under chronic DNA damage stress (see Table 1).

### ENGINEERING OF COHESION, SUPERCOILING, AND CELL CYCLE PROGRESSION

During DNA replication in yeast, instead of entrapping just one DNA molecule, cohesin holds together two sister

DNAs (Liu et al., 2020). Experimental evidence in yeast strain W303 suggests that this might be achieved in two ways: (1) the replisome is able to replicate through cohesin rings or (2) cohesin transiently loses contact with DNA and is reloaded behind the replication fork. In addition to the essential ECO1 acetyltransferase, several other non-essential replisome components contribute to the establishment of sister chromatid cohesion, such as the downstream Ctf18replication factor-C (Ctf18-RFC) complex. Ctf18-RFC is a member of the RFC group, which are complexes of pentameric AAA<sup>+</sup> ATPases that load and unload PCNA sliding clamps and function as DNA-replication checkpoint factors. PCNA is involved in a wide array of DNA functions, mostly as a processivity factor for DNA polymerases but also as a docking platform for other proteins, possibly including ECO1. Yeast cells lacking the Ctf18 subunit show impaired SMC3 acetylation (K112 and K113) as well as cohesion defects. Notably while these defects are reversed by deletion of the PCNA unloader ELG1-RFC, the DNA replication checkpoint response only worsens (Liu et al., 2020). In Arabidopsis, CTF18 may have a role in the establishment of cohesion and plant growth but not in somatic DNA repair (Takahashi et al., 2010). New alleles may need to be developed by CRISPR-Cas9 to fully characterize the function of CTF18 in plants. The maize SMC3 homolog has been successfully characterized with genome editing by CRISPR-Cas9 (Zhang et al., 2020). The homolog fulfills a crucial role during the establishment of centromeric pairing during meiosis and sister chromatid cohesion during mitosis (in root tips; Zhang et al., 2020). So, maize might be amenable to the study of SMC3 acetylation dynamics during meiosis and mitosis and perhaps lead to the discovery of novel phenotypes.

In yeast, a mechanism that links DNA replication and SMC3 acetylation has been characterized (Liu et al., 2020). CTF18 works upstream of SMC3 acetylation by facilitating loading of PCNA onto the leading strand, where it can face ECO1/CTF7 during the late stages of DNA replication, possibly by stimulating interaction at the PCNA interacting peptide (PIP) box of ECO1/ CTF7 (QxxLxxFF; Moldovan et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2020). As a result, ECO1/CTF7 becomes well-placed behind the replication fork, where it can better acetylate freshly deposited cohesin, which causes both sister DNA molecules to become embraced by cohesin (see Table 1; Liu et al., 2020; see Figure 1). The cohesion defects present in yeast ctf18 cells can be overcome by the wapl allele (Liu et al., 2020; Table 1), a result also observed in Arabidopsis ctf7/wapl mutants (De et al., 2016). Hence, in plants, weak wapl alleles may facilitate cohesion under replicative stress, although fertility may be compromised (De et al., 2016). Fusion of the PIP box to the PCNA also restores growth in the presence of ECO1<sup>-pip</sup> (Liu et al., 2020). Comparison of DNA repair efficiencies and plant growth in wapl mutants and plants engineered to carry new PCNA-ECO1 modules may be an interesting research topic in plants exposed to chronic DNA damage, including crops exposed to high doses of UVB radiation such as high-altitude maize (Rius et al., 2016) or plants grown in the aluminum-rich, acidic soils of most developing countries (Chen et al., 2019b).

SMC gene and associated factors	Eunctional features in the literature and public databases <sup>1,2</sup> Distribution of COs along meiotic chromosomes in a REC8/SYN1 dependent manner	Locus ID as determined by TAIR, NCBI, and the Human Genome Organization (HUGO) <sup>5</sup>	Presumed homologs of interest (rice and maize) retrieved from databases <sup>3,4,6</sup> by the respective coding sequences (CDS)	Likely agricultural use	
Arabidopsis HORMA-domain protein ASYNAPTIC 1 (ASY1)		At1g67370	Os09g32930, GRMZM2G035996		
Human cohesin interacting factors <i>BRG1/SMARCA4</i> and <i>ARID2</i> (SWI/SNF subunits)	Cohesin-mediated repression of transcriptional activity, genome maintenance	HGNC:6597 and HGNC:196528	Os05g05230, GRMZM2G102625, Os07g33860, GRMZM2G009412	Promotion of seed longevity, intentional induction of inversions and translocations	
Human ATP-dependent DNA helicase <i>DEAD/H-box helicase</i> 11 (DDX11)	Synthetically lethal with human EC01 homolog ESC02 (HGNC:27230) and epistatic to human WAPL (HGNC:23293). Promotes cohesion in arms and centromeres during replication, probably by promotion of SMC3 acetylation, and enhanced stability of replication forks	HGNC:1663	Os05g13300, GRMZM2G100067	Promotion of replication fork stability. May constitute an important layer of genome maintenance under stress across all eukaryotes	
Arabidopsis N-acetylase ECO1/CTF7	Acetylation of the ATP-ase domain of SMC proteins, establishment of cohesion, homology-dependent DNA repair. Its function is antagonized by WAPL	At4g31400	Os05g31230, GRMZM2G100067; and Os04g42120, GRMZM2G075145	Prevention of large-scale rearrangements, targeted manipulation of chromosome folding during MSUC and MSCI in melocytes of papaya, gene expression.	
Yeast chromatin-remodeling ATPase <i>ISW1/YBR245C</i>	Cohesin-dependent processes such as DNA repair and transcription in promoters	SGD:S000000449	Os03g22900, GRMZM2G469162	May stabilize transcription unde stress across eukaryotic organisms	
Cohesin subunit <i>PRECOCIOUS</i> <i>DISSOCIATION OF SISTERS 5</i> ( <i>PDS5A/E</i> )	Cohesion-promoting cofactor; has a role in fork protection and stable DNA replication	At5g47690, At1g77600, At4g31880, At1g80810, and At1g15940	For PDS5A: Os06g17840, GRMZM2G010637	May stabilize DNA replication under stress, may be a target for the manipulation of DNA looping and chromosome folding during MSUC and MSCI in melocytes of papaya	
Arabidopsis SCC2	Initial CO formation during meiosis, 3D-chromosome folding, loading of cohesin complexes, chromosome looping and DNA repair	At5g15540	Os07g01940, GRMZM2G132504, Carica papaya evm.model. supercontig_2744.1	Promotion of crossover resolution, targeted manipulatio of chromosome folding during MSUC and MSCI in meiocytes of papaya	
Arabidopsis SCC3	Regulates release of $\alpha$ -kleisins. Regulates meiotic orientation of kinetochores in <i>Arabidopsis</i> , and may have a role in the prevention of large-scale rearrangements during replication, dimerization of cohesin, and chromosome looping	At2g47980	Os05g09620, GRMZM2G131443	May prevent chromosomal rearrangements during replication, in plants, it may provide a valuable layer of genome maintenance under stress	
Maize SCC4/DEK15	Mitotic chromosome segregation, endosperm and embryo formation, transcriptional regulation of kernel development in maize	GRMZM2G079796	Os04g28010	Promotion of embryo and endosperm development	
Arabidopsis condensin subunit STRUCTURAL MAINTENANCE OF CHROMOSOMES 4 (SMC4)	Silencing of pericentromeric TEs, DNA methylation, transcription of genes related to translesion synthesis, male gamete development, tolerance to Boron toxicity	At5g48600	Os05g41750, GRMZM2G416501	May lead to enhanced tolerance to exogenous DNA damage, and improved genome maintenance in crops	

TABLE 1 | Selection of cohesin/SMC genes and cofactors with potential for inclusion in breeding initiatives in major crops.

(Continued)

SMC gene and associated factors	Functional features in the literature and public databases <sup>1,2</sup>	Locus ID as determined by TAIR, NCBI, and the Human Genome Organization (HUGO) <sup>5</sup>	Presumed homologs of interest (rice and maize) retrieved from databases <sup>3,4,6</sup> by the respective coding sequences (CDS)	Likely agricultural use	
Arabidopsis EXTRA SPINDLE POLES (AESP1), Separase	Cleavage of the REC8/SYN1 $\alpha$ -kleisin during anaphase I and anaphase II, allowing disjunction of homologs and sister centromeres, respectively. Proper assembly of radial microtubule system after telophase II, embryo development, cellularization of the endosperm, and vesicle trafficking	At4g22970	Os02g53120, GRMZM2G300624	Promotion of crossovers resolution and proper tetrad formation. May facilitate breeding of fertile cultivars in major crops	
Arabidopsis SWITCH1/DYAD (SWI1)	Sister chromatid cohesion and meiotic chromosome organization, assembly of the chromosome axis	At5g51330	Known as AMEIOTIC (OsAM1) in rice: Os03g44760, and AMEIOTIC (AM1) in maize (possibly GRMZM5G883855)	May facilitate the identification of new alternative meiotic α-kleisins in crops	
Arabidopsis WINGS APART- LIKE PROTEIN 1 (WAPL1/2) Release of meiotic α-kleisin   REC8/SYN1 during prophase I. Cohesin removal by WAPL is required to complete DNA synthesis under conditions of persistent DNA replication stress. Regulates chromosome folding		<i>At1g11060</i> and <i>At1g61030</i>	Os10g35380, GRMZM2G034276; Os10g35380, GRMZM2G034276	New alleles may facilitate DNA replication under persistent damage. May confer tolerance to chronic DNA damage in crops	

MSUC, meiotic silencing of unsynapsed chromatin; MSCI, meiotic sex chromosome inactivation; TE, transposable elements.

<sup>1</sup>Database consulted was The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR): https://www.arabidopsis.org/.

<sup>2</sup>Database consulted was National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI): https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/.

<sup>3</sup>Database consulted was Phytozome v12.1: https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html.

<sup>4</sup>Database consulted was Maize GDB: https://www.maizegdb.org/.

<sup>5</sup>Database consulted was the Human Genome Organization (HUGO): http://www.hugo-international.org/.

<sup>6</sup>Database consulted was the Rice Genome Annotation Project: http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/cgi-bin/gbrowse/rice/.

# PATHWAYS TO ACHIEVE ROBUST TRANSCRIPTION DURING PLANT MITOSIS AND MEIOSIS: NEW ROLES FOR COHESINS AND SMC5/SMC6 COMPLEXES

In addition to its role in establishing sister chromatid cohesion, the cohesin complex is also believed to mediate transcription, and it is recruited to sites of DNA DSBs to promote repair during the S and G2 phases of the cell cycle (Dorsett and Ström, 2012; Meisenberg et al., 2019). In response to a DNA DSB, eukaryotic cells usually respond by repressing transcriptional activity in neighboring chromatin. This pathway depends on the ATAXIA-TELANGIECTASIA MUTATED (ATM) kinase and involves the activity of chromatin remodeling complexes of the SWItch/sucrose non-fermentable (SWI/SNF) family that ubiquitylate H2AK119 at sites of damage. Recently, cohesin was found required for the activity of SWI/SNF complexes after DNA damage, mainly at centromeres. Repression of transcription by subunits BRG1 and ARID2 of the mammalian PBAF complex (a SWI/SNF complex; see Table 1) contributed to genome stability by preventing the formation of large-scale rearrangements during the S, G1, and G2 phases in the

prostate cancer cell line LNCaP (Meisenberg et al., 2019). This novel function may not depend on the presence of a sister chromatid and may operate differently from non-homologous end joining or homologous repair. Cohesin may loop DNA in areas flanking the break to reorganize the chromosome. This situation may prevent transcription and remove the broken DNA end from the vicinity of other actively transcribed genes to prevent misrepair (Meisenberg et al., 2019). Notably, subunit SA2 (SCC3) and ECO1 are crucial for this process (see Table 1), but WAPL is dispensable (Meisenberg et al., 2019), which suggests that in human cells, SA2/SCC3 is a true tumor suppressor gene. In yeast, the activity of SCC3 is required for the release of the mitotic α-kleisin SCC1 (known as SYN2 and SYN4 in Arabidopsis), while during meiosis in Arabidopsis, the activity of Arabidopsis SCC3 is essential for the proper orientation of kinetochores (Chelysheva et al., 2005; Yuan et al., 2014; Beckouët et al., 2016). Further research could investigate whether SCC3 might also have a role in preventing rearrangements during the mitotic and meiotic S-phase.

To our knowledge, this SWI/SNF and SCC3-mediated mechanism of preventing large-scale re-arrangements has not been characterized in *A. thaliana* or in crops such as rice or maize and might constitute an entirely new system of DNA



repair that may lead to far-reaching discoveries in plant developmental biology and plant breeding. For instance, genome maintenance is crucial for seed longevity (Waterworth et al., 2019), so this topic seems promising in plant breeding and plant science because it may allow for the engineering of ultralong seed storage.

Coincidentally, the activity of the SMC5/SMC6 complex is crucial for successful maintenance of the genome during interphase in cancer (HCT116) and immortalized non-cancer cells (RPE1; Venegas et al., 2020). DNA damage is increased in cells deficient in SMC5/SMC6 function that are stained with the DNA damage marker  $\gamma$ -H2AX, which suggests that SMC5/SMC6 is required for proper homologous recombination. Also during the S-phase, activity of the SMC5/SMC6 complex is required to prevent fragmentation of chromosomes later on during anaphase (Venegas et al., 2020). This second requirement might be linked to the role of the SMC5/SMC6 subunit NON-SMC ELEMENT2 (NSE2) during SUMOylation of topoisomerase II $\alpha$  (Venegas et al., 2020). In general, the activity of the SMC5/SMC6 complex could be a target for plant breeding, specifically for selecting seed longevity and smooth endosperm proliferation. The Arabidopsis genome contains one SMC5 and two SMC6 homologs (SMC6A/B) as well as two γ-kleisins, NON-SMC ELEMENT4 A/B (NSE4A/B), and five other NSE subunits (see Figure 2). Their combined activity is required for proper seed development and for efficient homologous recombination in somatic tissues (Watanabe et al., 2009; Díaz et al., 2019; Zelkowski et al., 2019). Functional characterization of NSE4A/B indicates that their activity is required for pollen development, tolerance to the radiomimetic agent Bleomycin, proper chromosome segregation during meiosis, and proper assembly of the synaptonemal complex (Hesse et al., 2019; Zelkowski et al., 2019). The association between the SMC5/SMC6 complex, topoisomerase II $\alpha$ , and prevention of mitotic chromosome fragmentation has not been functionally characterized in crops.

# THE ROLE OF SMC COMPLEXES IN DNA REPAIR: OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENGINEERING TOLERANCE TO DNA DAMAGE

In humans, chronic DNA replication stress is a source of generalized DNA damage and a hallmark of cancer (Macheret and Halazonetis, 2015; Minchell et al., 2020), but in plants, little is known about the impact of chronic DNA replication stress or chronic DNA damage. Work in *Arabidopsis* suggests that a low dose of gamma radiation (2000  $\mu$ Gy h<sup>-1</sup> for 54 days) is enough to reduce plant growth by 50% (Vandenhove et al., 2010). Exposure to a high dose (200 Gy) at the early reproduction stage (33 days after sowing) led to a robust increase in the expression of genes for homologous DNA repair, such as *PROLIFERATING CELL NUCLEAR ANTIGEN 1/2 (PCNA1/2*, At1g07370, and At2g29570) and *POLY(ADP-RIBOSE) POLYMERASE 2 (PARP2*, At4g02390; Kim et al., 2007). In *P. sativum*, seedlings irradiated with 0.4–10 Gy showed reduced



proteins have a wide variety of functions that affect pollen development and homologous DNA repair. Topo-IIa, topoisomerase-IIa.

pod set by 20-40%, but with >10 Gy, they were no longer able to flower. At all doses, male meiotic tetrad formation was defective, as evidenced by the formation of micronuclei at a rate of 10%. All F2 plants from irradiated F1 individuals showed reduced pod set and a significant formation of meiotic micronuclei (Zaka et al., 2004). Evidence in yeast suggests that DNA damage related to DNA replication is prevalent within genomic contexts that are not conducive to normal progression of the replication fork. Structures that block free rotation of DNA also cause accumulation of topological stress and exceed the relaxation activity of topoisomerases. Impaired progression of the replication fork caused by topological stress may lead to fork reversal, to stabilize an arrested fork, or to fork rotation that transforms overwinding ahead of the fork into precatenates behind the replication fork and thus allow elongation without intervention by topoisomerases (Minchell et al., 2020). Thus, further study is needed to understand how to facilitate replication while reducing topological stress and damage, possibly as a strategy to assist plant growth at critical moments such as during cotyledon emergence and seed ripening.

Work in budding yeast indicates that centromeres, telomeres, long terminal repeat sites, replication origins, and rDNA repeats consistently accumulate DNA damage, as seen by enrichment of  $\gamma$ -H2AX on ChIP-chip experiments (Szilard et al., 2010). In budding yeast, the cohesin complex promotes genome stability following replication stress, especially during the S phase when it is preferentially loaded onto centromeres and rDNA sites (Frattini et al., 2017; Minchell et al., 2020). However, paradoxically, the cohesin complex could also represent a large barrier to the diffusion of topological stress by preventing free rotation of DNA (Minchell et al., 2020). In fact, depletion of SCC1 in topoisomerase II $\alpha$ -depleted yeast cells (strain W303-1a) suppresses the accumulation of  $\gamma$ -H2AX across centromeres and rDNA sites, whereas depletion of ECO1/CTF7 partially reduces y-H2AX accumulation in centromeres. Surprisingly, depletion of the condensin SMC2 subunit reduces the abundance of the  $\gamma$ -H2AX mark in rDNA, which suggests that both SMC holocomplexes affect the accumulation of DNA damage following topological stress, perhaps as a mechanism to prevent ectopic recombination in rDNA sites and maintains the bi-orientation of centromeres stress (Minchell et al., 2020). In fact, use of the yeast mini-chromosomes YCp50 and YRp21 has shown that inactivation of SMC2 leads to increased formation of DNA knots generated by the activity of topoisomerase IIa, possibly by affecting condensin-mediated loop extrusion (Dyson et al., 2021; see Table 1). This type of work has not been validated in plants, but double or triple mutants might be obtained in Arabidopsis. If so, the impact of increased topological stress in centromeres during replication could be determined by characterizing alleles that might make the process more robust during plant meiosis and mitosis.

In human cells, the super family 2 (SF2) helicase DEAD/ H-box helicase 11 (DDX11, named Chl1 in budding yeast) is synthetically lethal with ESCO2, and knockdown of WAPL partially restored cohesion defects in DDX11-deficient cells (see **Table 1**). In humans, loss of DDX11 activity causes the cohesion syndrome Warsaw Breakage syndrome, whereas loss of ESCO2 causes Roberts syndrome. DDX11 interacts with several replication factors, such as PCNA, the 5'-flap endonuclease Flap Structure-Specific Endonuclease 1 (FEN1), the fork protection complex subunit Timeless, and CTF4, which links the activity of the Minichromosome Maintenance Protein Complex (MCM) helicase with DNA polymerases (Faramarz et al., 2020). DDX11 is believed to specialize in resolving DNA structures such as forked duplexes, 5'-flap duplexes, and antiparallel G-quadruplexes, therefore, promoting replication fork progression (Faramarz et al., 2020). DDX11 may promote the establishment of cohesion in three ways: (1) subtle promotion of SMC3 acetylation, (2) promotion of cohesin activity by facilitating second-strand capture, and (3) enhanced overall replication fork stability (see **Figure 3**). Rescue of cohesion in DDX1-deficient cells by knockdown of WAPL suggests that the helicase may facilitate chromatid cohesion in arms and centromeres (Faramarz et al., 2020). Once again, promotion of cohesion occurs in ddx1 and wapl mutants could be tested in Arabidopsis or in crops such as rice and maize, as well as whether this genetic interaction may protect against chronic DNA damage (see **Table 1**).

In budding yeast, a strong link has also been observed between the establishment of cohesion, replicative stress during the S-phase, and nuclease activity by the Mre11-Rad50-Xrs2/ Nbs1 (MRX/N) complex. Several other factors may participate as well, such as the histone remodelers Chd1 and Isw1. Collapse of replication forks and replication resumption requires extensive processing of stalled forks by DNA helicases, endo- and exonucleases, and recombinases. Of great importance for this process is the activity of the MRX/N complex, which is known for its role in repair of DSBs. At DNA DSBs, the endo- and exonuclease catalytic activity of MRE11 generates gaps seen as short single-strand DNAs that are then extended by the Exo1 nuclease or the Sgs1 complex. Under replication stress conditions, the MRX/N complex facilitates repair via recombination of damaged forks by resecting available nascent DNA strands. In budding yeast, the MRX/N complex promotes recruitment of cohesin to stalled forks to facilitate sisterchromatid recombination required for fork restart (Delamarre et al., 2020; see Figure 3). The exact mechanism by which the complex promotes the loading of cohesin to stalled forks is currently unknown (Delamarre et al., 2020), and their precise role in establishing cohesion in plants is ignored. In Arabidopsis, mre11 mutants show chromosomal fragmentation during mitosis and meiosis and do not exhibit synapsis during meiosis. Plants are small, misshapen, and sterile (Puizina et al., 2004). Facilitating fork restart under active transcription might prove valuable to guarantee and boost growth in quickly developing tissues and organs such as flowers, fruits, and grains. Novel gain-offunction alleles may need to be developed in Arabidopsis and crops.

In yeast, the DSB processing activity of the MRX complex leads to the formation of excess single-strand DNA coated with replication protein A (RPA), which triggers the recruitment of the Mec1 kinase (ATAXIA-TELANGIECTASIA AND RAD3-RELATED, ATR in *Arabidopsis*; Bourbousse et al., 2018; Delamarre et al., 2020). In *Arabidopsis*, analysis of  $\gamma$ -H2AX foci in *mre11* and *rad50* mutants suggested that DNA damage signaling in these plants is ATR-dependent,



**FIGURE 3** Proposed simplified functional network between the plant cohesin complex and associated genome-maintenance factors. The cohesin complex performs a wide repertoire of functions including homologous recombination during meiosis and mitosis. The complex is loaded onto chromatin by SCC2/SCC4; it is stably locked onto chromatids by ECO1/CTF7, an acetyltransferase that targets lysine residues on the ATPase domains of SMC3 (and possibly SMC1); and entrapment of DNA is promoted by factors such as PDS5 and SWI1 and antagonized by WAPL and SCC3, which may target the meiotic  $\alpha$ -kleisin REC8/SYN1 or the mitotic  $\alpha$ -kleisin SCC1, which in *Arabidopsis* is represented by orthologs SYN2 and SYN4, while SYN3 is unique to plants and is both meiotic and miotic. The Separase (ESP1 in *Arabidopsis*) also targets kleisins for degradation. Several other factors promote its function, such as PCNA during homologous recombination; CTF18, which might promote its loading onto DNA; DDX11, which might promote capture of DNA; SWItch/sucrose non-fermentable (SWI/SNF) complexes that promote cohesin-mediated looping on DNA breaks; and the MRX complex that promotes recruitment of cohesin on replication forks that stall. Characterization of these processes in plants may facilitate the understanding of meiosis, DNA repair, and genome organization.

may facilitate recombination at stalled or collapsed replication forks, and may affect processes such as telomere maintenance, cell cycle regulation, and cell proliferation in roots (Amiard et al., 2010). In fact, in Arabidopsis, the ATM and ATR kinases are involved in targeting the master regulator of the DNA damage response, the SUPPRESSOR OF GAMMA RESPONSE 1 (SOG1) transcription factor, which then controls the expression of SMC5/SMC6, SYN2, SCC2, ASY3, and NSE4A (Yoshiyama et al., 2014; Bourbousse et al., 2018). This pathway regulates responses to Al<sup>3+</sup> toxicity and DNA damage in roots of Arabidopsis. RAD51-dependent, homologymediated repair is involved in these responses to Al<sup>3+</sup> (Chen et al., 2019b). Further study could determine whether MRX/N complexes in conjunction with cohesin and other SMC complexes control tolerance to DNA damage by Al<sup>3+</sup> in crops such as rice.

In humans, removal of cohesin by WAPL-and PDS5-dependent cohesin is important for fork protection and smooth DNA replication (Benedict et al., 2020). Tightly regulated cohesin loading and unloading occurs under conditions of DNA replication stress, characterized by stalling replication forks that frequently collapse and cause DNA DSBs (Benedict et al., 2020). In human cancer cells, a decrease in sister chromatid cohesion is a common trait resulting from oncogenic pathway activation and DNA replication stress (Benedict et al., 2020). Moreover, in the absence of WAPL or PDS5B, mouse embryonic fibroblasts with inactivation of Retinoblastoma (Rb; TKO-Bcl2-p53KO) and deprived of mitogens fail to repair DSBs at collapsed replication forks, which leads to reduced proliferation and increased apoptosis (see Table 1; Benedict et al., 2020). Increased cohesin removal by WAPL in human HAP1 cells is required to complete DNA synthesis under conditions of persistent DNA replication stress, probably by allowing RAD51-dependent repair of forks. This condition may also cause premature loss of sister chromatid cohesion during mitosis (Benedict et al., 2020). Whether overexpression of WAPL in Arabidopsis or crops may confer enhanced tolerance to DNA damage by UVB or Al3+ is unclear.

## COHESINS, CHROMOSOME FOLDING, AND MEIOTIC SILENCING OF UNSYNAPSED CHROMATIN: ENGINEERING SEX DETERMINATION IN CROPS

In yeast, the interplay between cohesin, ECO1, PDS5, and WAPL is complex, and the processes regulated by this interplay may also extend into chromosome folding, a process that is associated with condensin-dependent formation of loops that then facilitate folding of mitotic chromosomes into compact structures (Dauban et al., 2020). However, cohesin rings organize DNA within chromatids *via* a loop extrusion process, in which small loops are captured and enlarged into large Mb-sized structures that contribute to long-range gene regulation during interphase, not compaction. This process

also segments interphase chromosomes into topologically associating domains (TADs; Dauban et al., 2020). TADs also exist in Nipponbare rice and cover about one quarter of the genome. The boundaries feature (1) CG and CHG methylation; (2) euchromatic histone marks H3K4me2/3, H3K9ac, H3K36me3, and H3K12ac; and (3) active expression; and (4) are enriched with a GC-rich motif that is recognized by transcription factors of the TEOSINTE BRANCHED 1, CYCLOIDEA, PCF1 family (Liu et al., 2017a). The length of cohesin-mediated loops is considered to depend on the residence time of cohesin in DNA and a poorly understood extrusion driving force, perhaps related to SCC2-mediated ATP-hydrolysis (see Table 1), pushing of cohesin along the DNA by RNA polymerases or the frequency of cohesin injection. Hi-C maps of mitotic chromosomes from yeast cells (strain W303) depleted in CDC45 (which reach mitosis without replication) suggest that the establishment of loops is independent of sister chromatid cohesion. Inactivation of WAPL and PDS5 activity in mammalian cells abolish cohesin turnover and lead to an increase in loop length (see Figure 4). In yeast, the activity of ECO1 inhibits the loop translocation process that extends DNA loops. Moreover, depletion of ECO1 in yeast wpl1*A* cells promotes long intra-chromosomal contacts identical to those observed in PDS5-depleted cells. Hence, in yeast, PDS5 may recruit both ECO1 and WAPL to cohesin and the length of loops may be regulated by two independent pathways controlled by ECO1 and WAPL (see Table 1; see Figure 4; Dauban et al., 2020).

In mammals, deposition of chromosome axis proteins is required for meiotic silencing of unsynapsed chromatin (MSUC), the process whereby unsynapsed chromosomal regions in the Y chromosome undergo transcriptional inactivation during prophase I. This process constitutes a meiotic checkpoint activated in response to the presence of partially or completely unsynapsed regions such as extra chromosomes or chromosomal translocations and triggers epigenetic silencing. A related process is meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (MSCI), whereby transcriptional silencing of the X and Y chromosomes occurs during prophase I (see Figure 4). Usually, DSBs generated in leptotene are repaired during zygotene via homology search, but for sex chromosomes, large portions do not synapse, and thus DSB markers (such as RPA and RAD51) accumulate along their axes. The presence of such markers induces the ATM/ ATR pathway to trigger two rounds of histone H2AX phosphorylation (y-H2AX) deposition that coats both the X and Y chromosomes. The presence of  $\gamma$ -H2AX is followed by the accumulation of repressive histone marks such as H3K9me3/2, H2A ubiquitylation, H3K27m1/3, H3K9ac, and H4K16ac and the absence of active RNA polymerase II (Waters and Ruiz-Herrera, 2020). By Hi-C analysis in mice germ cells, MSCI was linked to 3D higher-order chromatin remodeling, which features reduced loading of meiotic cohesin α-kleisins REC8 and RAD21 Cohesin Complex Component Like 1 (RAD21L) and the organization of sexual chromosomes into TADs (see Figure 4). Sex chromosomes feature few and large loops and significant clustering of cohesins into



the promoter regions of highly expressed genes most probably located in DNA loops out of the axes (Vara et al., 2019; see **Figure 4**).

In mice, MSCI is essential for avoiding ectopic expression of male "pachytene-lethal" genes (also known as "executioner" genes). These are Y-linked genes that encode zinc finger transcription factors, which, when expressed, induce pachytene arrest (Waters and Ruiz-Herrera, 2020). Notably, the tropical crop and emerging model system Carica papaya Linn features a sex chromosome system but with two slightly different Y chromosomes: Y for males and Y<sup>h</sup> for hermaphrodites (Liao et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2018). Papaya has three sex types: female (XX), male (XY), and hermaphrodite (XY<sup>h</sup>), males being rare because the trait is usually lethal (Chen et al., 2019a). The hermaphrodite-specific region of the Y<sup>h</sup> chromosome (HSY) is 8.1 Mb long and the X chromosome is 3.5 Mb long (Liao et al., 2017) and heavily methylated (Zhang et al., 2008). Papaya is an important source of vitamin A, vitamin C, potassium, folate, niacin, thiamine, riboflavin, iron, and calcium. Clearly, suppression of recombination and degeneration of the Y chromosome occurs (Zhang et al., 2008; Charlesworth, 2017). Therefore, Hi-C could be used with papaya meiocytes to determine whether MSUC and MSCI occur and whether cohesins and especially PDS5 are important for such processes. Such research may help clarify how sex is determined in papaya, perhaps by differential loading of cohesins in DNA loops or by differential activity of ECO1 and WAPL. This type of work may allow for the deliberate engineering and breeding of constitutively hermaphrodite cultivars, the most agriculturally desirable (Lee et al., 2018; see Table 1). Constitutively hermaphrodite papaya cultivars are known to occur occasionally in farms. One example is the all-hermaphrodite progeny of BH\*-TSS No. 7, an inbred line derived from the rare X chromosome mutant S, which may contain a recessive lethal allele, ml, on the X chromosome (Chen et al., 2019a).

# THE ROLE OF ECO1 COMPLEMENTATION GROUP IN MITOSIS AND MEIOSIS: OPPORTUNITIES FOR PLANT BREEDING

In yeast, from the S-phase onward, cohesin stably holds together the sister chromatids. For this, cohesin must be protected from removal by WAPL. Protection is provided by the ECO1 acetyltransferase, which performs this task by targeting the SMC3 subunit at two highly conserved lysines on the outside of its ATPase domain, and as a result, locks cohesin and makes it resistant to WAPL (see **Table 1**; Elbatsh et al., 2016).

A genetic screen of about 500 eco-1 mutants in haploid yeast strain W303 allowed for the identification of a complementation group that had no identifiable mutations in WAPL, SMC3, SCC3, and PDS5 (Elbatsh et al., 2016). The SMC1 mutation L1129V, located in the signature motif, is an integral part of the ATP binding pocket, and D1164E is part of the D-loop, a motif required for the hydrolysis reaction and the proper orientation of another loop, the P loop, which is required for proper nucleotide binding (Elbatsh et al., 2016; Hassler et al., 2019). Although these mutations in SMC1 allow for binding to DNA in the absence of ECO1 and confer cohesion and viability, scanning force microscopy results suggest the mutants are unable to hydrolyze ATP, and the overall amount of cohesin is reduced by 30%. The analogous SMC3 mutation L1126V partially supports viability, whereas the SMC3 mutation D1161E is lethal. The SMC1 mutation L1129V shows impairment in the opening of the

cohesin exit gate due to failure to properly orientate the ATPase for hydrolysis (Elbatsh et al., 2016). This mechanism seems to be conserved in human cells (HCT116 *p53<sup>-/-</sup>*) cells (Elbatsh et al., 2016), but has not been studied in plants. In yeast (strain W303), the acetylation of the ATPase heads by ECO1 is believed to occur preferentially during a conformation called the J mode (SMC1-S161/SMC3-K160), which allows for better entrapment of sister DNAs and is characteristic of cohesion (Chapard et al., 2019). Hence, mutations within the D loop of SMC1 (L1129V and D1164E) and in the J compartment need to be isolated in *Arabidopsis* to determine the corresponding phenotypes. Most likely, these might correspond to weak alleles.

In yeast, ECO1 has been proposed to interact physically with PCNA through the PIP box (also known as the QxxL motif). Introducing the alternate sequence AxxA causes cohesion defects (Moldovan et al., 2006). Fusion of the PIP box directly to the PCNA restores cell growth, sister chromatid cohesion, and SMC3 acetylation in yeast strain W303. Therefore, a possible explanation is that ECO1 is placed close to the PCNA during the late stages of DNA replication to function during the establishment of cohesion. A proposed mechanism is that the CTF18-RFC complex may operate upstream of this process by loading the PCNA at DNA replication forks, away from sites of active replication, possibly in a post-replicative manner (Liu et al., 2020). In yeast, the role of the PIP box during the establishment of cohesion has been thoroughly characterized, especially the role of post-translational modifications such as SUMOylation (Moldovan et al., 2006), and performing similar studies in plants might be scientifically relevant.

In yeast (YSD17 and several other haploid strains) and human cells (HeLa, 293 T), self-interaction of the cohesin subunits SCC1/RAD21 and SCC3 causes cohesin to dimerize in the S phase and monomerize in mitosis (see **Table 1**). Also, deletion of the deacetylase HOS1 (which erases SMC3 acetylation) or the ECO1 antagonist WAPL1 could increase cohesin dimer levels by 20%, whereas depletion of ECO1 had the opposite effect. Dimerization of SMC complexes (cohesin and condensin) is considered as a key for DNA loop formation (Shi et al., 2020), so ECO1/CTF7 appears indispensable for high-order chromosome structure and gene expression (see **Table 1**).

In *Arabidopsis*, *ECO1/CTF7* regulates tolerance to DNA damage, pairing at zygotene, proper segregation of meiotic and mitotic chromosomes at anaphase, tapetum integrity, mitotic cell cycle progression, somatic DNA repair, root development, pollen mitosis, seed development, and chromatin condensation (Bolaños-Villegas et al., 2013), in a manner that is epistatic to *WAPL1/2* (De et al., 2016). However, we have no biochemical information regarding its interaction with SMC3, SCC3, and PDS5 or the PCNA, and its role in CO formation is ignored as well. Rice has two *ECO1/CTF7* homologs that might be essential for the proper acetylation of SMC3 (K105 and K106) during meiosis. Thus, genome editing by CRISPR-Cas9 may facilitate the retrieval of suitable mutant alleles (Li et al., 2018).

Five homologs of *Arabidopsis PDS5* have been characterized. In the *Atpds5a/Atpds5b/Atpds5c/Atpds5e* mutant, the impact on meiosis is restricted to a minor reduction in chiasmata formation in chromosome 1, but the *Atpds5a/Atpds5b/Atpds5c* mutant shows significantly impaired somatic homologous repair after exposure of seedlings to Bleomycin (5 µg/ml), as observed with the *IC9* recombination reporter, in which the recombinant sectors appear blue after *GUS* staining. Double, triple, and quadruple mutants are also sensitive to  $\gamma$ -radiation (150–450 Gy) and the cross-linking agent mitomycin C. The authors indicated that this phenotype is similar to that reported for *Arabidopsis SMC6*, which may suggest a functional relationship between PDS5 and the SMC5/SMC6 complex (Pradillo et al., 2015).

In conclusion, the activity of *ECO1/CTF7* and its interacting partners PDS5 and WAPL is required for overall plant development, including meiosis, mitotic DNA repair, and most likely gene expression. It may be necessary to isolate mutants in crops to identify novel phenotypes relevant to plant breeding and perhaps determine whether these genes behave as a narrow complementation group as reported in yeast (Elbatsh et al., 2016).

## ENGINEERING SYSTEMS REDUNDANCY: COMPLEMENTARY ROLES OF COHESIN AND CONDENSIN IN PLANT GENOME ORGANIZATION, SILENCING OF TRANSPOSONS, AND TOLERANCE TO DNA DAMAGE

Eukaryotes have three sets of canonical SMC protein complexes (Municio et al., 2021): (1) the cohesin complex composed of the core proteins SMC1 and SMC3, mentioned previously, mostly involved in sister chromatid cohesion; (2) the SMC5/SCM6 complex, which is mostly linked to DNA repair and recombination; and (3) the condensin complex, which is composed of core units SMC2 and SMC4 and is required for chromosome condensation and segregation (Sakamoto et al., 2019).

In A. thaliana, condensin is further divided into complexes I and II (Sakamoto et al., 2019). The plant condensin complex I consists of core units SMC2/SMC4, y-kleisin (CAP)-H, β-kleisin (CAP)-H2, and HEAT repeat-containing proteins CAP-G and CAP-D2. However, the association of SMC2/SMC4 with CAP-H2 (β-kleisin), CAP-G2, and CAP-D3 form condensin II (Sakamoto et al., 2019; Municio et al., 2021), a complex that may be required for tolerance to DSBs caused by the radiomimetic agent zeocin for tolerance to boron (Sakamoto et al., 2011; see Figure 5). In Arabidopsis, defects in the function of SMC2 (2 genes) or SMC4 (3 genes) affect segregation of mitotic and meiotic chromosomes (Sakamoto et al., 2019). Also, defects in Arabidopsis SMC4 (At5g48600, also known as AtCAP-C) cause deregulation in the expression of centromeric and pericentric transposable elements (such as COPIA), reduced CG methylation, and chromocenter decondensation (see Table 1), phenotypes reminiscent of those reported for ECO1/CTF7 mutants (Bolaños-Villegas and Jauh, 2015; De et al., 2016) and



organization of 45S ribosomal DNA arrays, and homologous recombination that affect pollen and embryo development. Much of this activity is facilitated by HEATrepeat proteins CAP-G/G2 and CAP-D2/D3. Topo-IIα, topoisomerase-IIα.

perhaps suggesting a regulatory link between SMC4 acetylation and maintenance of the genome.

The Arabidopsis condensin II complex is also required for genome integrity and for pollen and embryo development (Schubert et al., 2013; Sakamoto et al., 2019; Municio et al., 2021). A combined spatial analysis of the localization of the 180-bp centromeric repeat and the 5S and 45S rDNA probes was performed in the Arabidopsis cap-h2-2, cap-g2-1, and cap-d3-1 mutants (see Figure 5). Results indicated disassociation of the rDNA arrays from the centromeres, thus suggesting that direct binding of condensin II to rDNA array regions is necessary for their association with centromeres in A. thaliana (Sakamoto et al., 2019). However, cytological analysis of Arabidopsis cap-d3 interphase nuclei indicated specific clustering of 45 rDNA sites, so CAP-D3 might localize in euchromatic loops and somehow determine the rigidity needed to maintain separation of chromocenters (Municio et al., 2021) In any case, an analysis of 3D chromatin organization by Hi-C may help clarify the distribution pattern of condensin II during interphase and its role in the clustering of rDNA arrays during interphase (Sakamoto et al., 2019). Evidence also indicates that SMC4/condensin is required for repression of a wide range of methylated genes subject to conditional expression (Wang et al., 2017). Gene examples are embryo and pollen vegetative cell-specific GAMETE EXPRESSED-PROTEIN 1 (GEX1, At5g5590; Alandete-Saez et al., 2011), pollen tube-expressed POLYMERASE DELTA 4 (POLD4, At1g09815; Wang et al., 2008), radiation-response factors such as GAMMA-IRRADIATION AND MITOMYCIN C INDUCED 1 (GMI1, At5g24280; Böhmdorfer et al., 2011), and translesion-synthesis/homologous recombination factor RECQ3 (At4g35740; Kobbe et al., 2009). Thus, breeding for condensin alleles may confer resistance to mitotic DNA damage caused by UVB and Al<sup>3+</sup> and boost allele reshuffling via homologous recombination. Also because of the crucial role played by condensin in genome organization, these

alleles may contribute to advance our knowledge in processes such as the regulation of MSUC and MSCI in crops.

# OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENGINEERING MODIFIED CROSSOVER FORMATION DURING MEIOSIS WITH COHESINS, CONDENSINS, AND HORMAD PROTEINS

During meiosis, the reciprocal exchange of DNA between homologous chromosomes enables the reshuffling of parental genetic information and the transfer of the recombined material to the progeny (de Maagd et al., 2020). The formation of DSBs by the topoisomerase SPORULATION-DEFICIENT 11 (SPO11) is crucial for the initiation of synapsis between homologous chromosomes and CO formation (Esposito and Esposito, 1969; Ning et al., 2020). Then the MRX/N complex generates 3' single-strand DNAs at DSB sites that allow the recombinases RAD51 and DMC1 to bind to the singlestrand DNA overhangs to promote search of homology and pairing. The subsequent simultaneous assembly of the multilayered chromosome axis and synaptonemal complex by the  $\alpha$ -kleisin REC8/SYN1, the coiled-coil proteins ASY3 and ASY4, the HORMA-domain protein ASY1 and transverse filament protein ZYP1 catalyze the successful synapsis of homologous chromosomes and the formation of COs (Ning et al., 2020). Thus, meiotic CO formation is an important target in crop breeding (de Maagd et al., 2020), especially because in modern crops, successive rounds of selection have reduced their genetic variation, leaving them with less allelic diversity than their wild relatives, called the "domestication bottleneck" (Dempewolf et al., 2017).

Meiosis starts with the formation of many DNA DSBs, most of which are repaired in non-CO events and do not result in recombinant chromosomes. In plants, only one to three DSBs per chromosome are processed into actual COs (de Maagd et al., 2020), and most of these COs exhibit interference, the phenomenon that prevents multiple COs from occurring in close proximity along each chromosome pair (Lambing et al., 2020b). In Arabidopsis, type I COs are sensitive to interference and are associated with the activity of the ZMM class of proteins, including mutS homolog 4 (MSH4), a meiotic-specific reciprocal-recombination factor. However, type II COs are insensitive to interference and are the product of the activity of MMS and UV sensitive 81 (MUS81), a restriction endonuclease (Taagen et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). At least three independent pathways suppressing CO formation have been identified in Arabidopsis (Taagen et al., 2020), featuring the activity of (1) RECQ4, a homolog of the human Bloom syndrome helicase (BLM) that forms the BTR complex along with TOP3α and RECQ MEDIATED INSTABILITY 1 (RMI1) and unwinds recombination intermediates to suppress class I COs; (2) FANCM, a DEAD/ DEAH box RNA helicase, that is a direct DNA-binding cofactor along with MHF1 and MHF2, may unwind recombination intermediates, limits class II COs, and promotes synthesisdependent strand annealing (SDSA); and finally (3) FIDGETIN-LIKE 1 (FIGL1), a Holliday junction resolvase, that forms a complex with FIDGETIN-LIKE 1 INTERACTING PROTEIN (FLIP), suppresses RAD51 and DMC1 recombination activity, and constrains strand invasion (Séguéla-Arnaud et al., 2017; Taagen et al., 2020).

Arabidopsis has a single SCC2 homolog, and homozygous knockout alleles are embryonic-lethal. However, use of the non-lethal Atscc2-5 allele revealed that during meiosis, SCC2 participates in chromosomal axis formation, pairing of homologous chromosomes, synapsis, and recombination upstream of MSH4 and MUS81 (see Table 1). Genetic analyses also suggested that SSC2 is epistatic to RAD51 during DSB formation, participates in the same pathway as REC8/SYN1 and WAPL1/2, and is required for efficient CO resolution. Results from plant pull-down assays suggest that the N-terminus of AtSCC2 interacts with AtSCC4 in vivo, but knockdown of AtSCC4 during meiosis does not cause any obvious meiotic defects, which suggests a divergence in the roles played by SCC2/ SCC4 during mitosis and meiosis. For instance, in maize, SCC4 is called DEK15 (Zm00001d052197/GRMZM2G079796) and the corresponding dek15/scc4 EMS-mutants do not show any obvious defects during meiosis. However, mitosis in endosperm and embryos is defective, with precocious sister chromatid separation, misaligned chromosomes, lagging chromosomes, and micronuclei. These mutants develop kernels with only 40% of the weight observed in the W22 reference line, with few and small starch grains in endosperm, and the embryos are arrested in development and die after 18 days (He et al., 2019). Notably, DEK15 is required for proper expression of genes for the starch biosynthetic pathway, such as Shrunken2, Starch synthase I (SSI), and SSIIa (He et al., 2019), a finding of great agricultural importance.

The likely answer for the functional divergence between plant SCC2 and SCC4 may lie in the presence of a plantspecific homeodomain (with a C4-H-C3 amino acid motif) that is found only in SCC2, which is believed to mediate binding to histones and be required for meiotic function but not vegetative growth (Wang et al., 2020). Thus, in plants, only the activity of SCC2 is required for key meiotic processes such as CO resolution, a process of huge relevance for plant breeders.

Accurate and faithful transmission of chromosomes into the gametes relies on the establishment of COs between paternal and maternal homologous chromosomes during prophase I, just prior to first meiotic division, when COs, together with sister chromatid cohesion, guarantee proper chromosome orientation on the meiotic spindle. Meiotic chromosome modeling requires the assembly of axial elements that include meiosis-specific subunits of cohesin such as the meiotic α-kleisin REC8/SYN1 (Castellano-Pozo et al., 2020). In Arabidopsis, REC8/SYN1 is associated with regions of high nucleosome occupancy; histone methylation at H3K4 (expressed genes), H3K27 (silent genes), and H3K9 (silent transposons); as well as suppression of meiotic DSBs and COs (Lambing et al., 2020b). It may be a mechanism to guarantee that repeat-rich regions do not undergo meiotic recombination, in that these are highly susceptible to nonallelic COs that may threaten genome stability (Shahid, 2020).

In addition to its primary role in establishing sister chromatid cohesion, meiotic cohesin loading promotes the recruitment of HORMA-domain proteins (HORMADs) to the axial elements, so that chromosomes can initiate meiotic recombination *via* the formation of DNA DSBs and to undergo pairing of homologous chromosomes. Homolog pairing features the assembly of the synaptonemal complex (SC), a ladder-like structure that bridges the axial elements of aligned homologs. This process, known as synapsis, stabilizes homolog interactions and is essential to ensure that a subset of DSBs become CO-designated sites during the pachytene stage of meiotic prophase, which is defined by full synapsis. Thus, the processes leading to CO formation require the presence of meiosis-specific chromosome structures built over a cohesin scaffold (Castellano-Pozo et al., 2020).

In addition to the REC8/SYN1 α-kleisin, major components of the plant meiotic chromosome axis include the HORMAD protein ASY1 and the coiled-coil proteins ASY3 and ASY4 (Lambing et al., 2020a). In this primary configuration, coaligned chromatin loops project laterally from the axis, but at late prophase I, the axis is remodeled by the simultaneous depletion of HORMAD proteins and the loading of transverse filament SC proteins, including ZYP1a and ZYP1b (Lambing et al., 2020a). Recent work that combines chromatin immunolocalization techniques with tetrad analysis and immunostaining suggests that the Arabidopsis ASY1 protein is enriched in the centromeres, it antagonizes telomere-led recombination and it promotes spaced CO formation along the chromosomes via CO interference (see Table 1), during which a set of pro-CO factors act to interhomolog strand invasion events protect from antirecombination pathways (Lambing et al., 2020a). This finding hints at the possibility of reducing ASY1 activity to modify CO distribution in the genome and reduce linkage drag in major crops, which is major problem during the introgression of valuable alleles (Lambing et al., 2020a). For instance, results

Rice		Maize	Рарауа	
Genes	Target Crops	Predicted Result of Novel Alleles		
ASY1	All	Reduction of linkage drag, accelerated plant breeding		
CTF18/PCNA/WAPL/PDS5 /EC01/SCC3	Rice and maize	Enhanced cohesion during replicative stress. Tolerance to chronic DNA damage caused by UVB or Aluminum		
BRG1 and ARID2 (SWI/SNF), SCC3 and ECO1	All	Prevention of large-scale rearrangements, ultra-long seed storage		
DDX11	All	Promotion of cohesion and stability of replication fork during chronic DNA damage		
ESP1	All	Promotion of crossover resolution, promotion of embryo development, accelerated breeding		
ISW1/YBR245C	All	Promotion of cohesion and stability of replication fork during chronic DNA damage		
MRX/N complex	Rice	Tolerance to soil Aluminum via the ATM/ATR-response to DNA damage		
SCC2	All	Reduction of linkage drag, accelerated plant breeding		
SCC4/DEK15	Maize	Enhanced crop yield		
SMC2	All	Tolerance to topological stress during DNA replication, tolerance to chronic DNA damage		
SMC4, CAP-H2, CAP-G2, and CAP-D3	All	Tolerance to chronic DNA damage caused by UVB, may contribute to meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (MSCI)		
SMC5, SMC6A/B, NSE4A/B, five other NSE genes, PDS5	Rice and Maize	Enhanced pollen development, enhanced meiotic synapsis and enhanced mitosis during exposure to UVB and soil Aluminum		
SWITCH1/DYAD (SWI1)/AMEIOTIC	All	May facilitate identification of alternative meiotic $\alpha$ -kleisins with high processivity		
REC8/SYN1	Rice	Breeding of apomictic rice, novel alleles may facilitate ASY1-dependent formation of COs under heat- stress		
REC8/SYN1 and WAPL		Enhanced formation of COs, acce	elerated plant breeding	
WAPL/PDS5/ECO1, perhaps SMC4	Rice	Changes in chromosome looping, unknown response to DNA damage		
WAPL/PDS5/ECO1/REC8/ SCC2	Papaya	Deregulated meiotic silencing of unsynapsed chroma inactivation (MSCI), breeding of constitutivel		

FIGURE 6 | Suggested agricultural targeting of genes involved in SMC-regulated processes. In major crops such as rice and maize, it might be possible to stabilize transcription and homologous recombination under chronic stress caused by UVB radiation and soil aluminum, and in the case of papaya, it might be possible to manipulate sex chromosome silencing to breed all hermaphrodite progenies. Illustrative images were obtained from Google Images and Wikipedia (CC BY-SA 2.0 and CC BY-SA 4.0).

from modeling with R suggest that when a quantitative trait locus is within a region that is normally CO-poor, boosting the recombination rate may decrease the linkage drag nearly 10-fold after the foreground selection and may improve the return to the recurrent parent (Falque and Martin, 2020). In *Arabidopsis*, high temperature during meiosis (36–38°C, 24 h) reduces SPO11-dependent DSB formation, as observed by labeling with  $\gamma$ -H2AX, formation of DMC1 foci, recruitment of ASY4 to the chromosome axis during zygotene, and recruitment of ASY1 to the SC (during zygotene as well). Although heat treatment does not affect the distribution and abundance of REC8/SYN1 in chromosomes during zygotene and pachytene (Ning et al., 2020), loading of ASY1 is not defective in *syn* mutants exposed to heat, which suggests that the presence of REC8/SYN1 disturbs the proper loading of ASY1 during high temperatures (Ning et al., 2020). The biochemical nature of this interaction during heat has not been determined, but if it were optimized, it might allow the adaptation of crops to high temperatures.

# EFFICIENT TRANSMISSION OF NEW ALLELES: BOOSTING CO FORMATION WITH MEIOTIC COHESINS

During meiosis in mouse oocytes, mutual recombination between parental homologous chromosomes creates chiasmata, the physical linkages that bind bivalents during

meiosis I (Silva et al., 2020). Cell cycle-dependent cleavage of the meiotic  $\alpha$ -kleisin protein REC8/SYN1 that is present on the chromosome arms allows segregation of homologous chromosomes during anaphase I. Then during meiosis II, proteolytic cleavage of REC8/SYN1 at the centromeres by the Cys-protease separase (ESP1) allows centromeres to segregate from one another in the metaphase to anaphase transition (see Table 1; Yang et al., 2009, 2019; Hsieh et al., 2020). In general, the meiotic cohesin complex may regulate recombination and topology. In comparison to the mitotic α-kleisin SCC1, loading of meiotic α-kleisin REC8/SYN1 leads to early firing of replication origins and fast progression of replication forks, perhaps as a mechanism to facilitate passage from the G1 to the S phase (Hsieh et al., 2020). These results suggest that directed evolution in plant  $\alpha$ -kleisins might optimize replication during meiosis, and perhaps may lead to the discovery of (a) heat-tolerant REC8/SYN1 alleles better able to load ASY1 or (b) discovery of useful, weak or loss-of function REC8/SYN1 alleles. For instance, in Kitaake rice, an rec8 allele is combined with the alleles osd1 (OSD1, OMISSION OF SECOND DIVISION; regulation of cell cycle progression) and pair1 (PAIR1, HOMOLOGOUS PAIRING ABERRATION IN RICE MEIOSIS1, control of DSB formation) to induce the apomictic-like and commercially valuable phenotype known as Mitosis instead of Meiosis (MiMe, Osrec8/Ososd1/Ospair1; Khanday et al., 2019). In this way, hybrid vigor (e.g., heterosis) can be fixed and maintained in the genotype of clonal progenies (Wang, 2020).

Cohesin is actively released from DNA by the separase protease (Silva et al., 2020), which targets the  $\alpha$ -kleisin REC8/ SYN1 (Faramarz et al., 2020). In yeast, before the metaphaseto-anaphase transition, the securin protein (the corresponding Arabidopsis orthologs are PATRONUS1/2) inhibits the protease activity of the separase. Then at the onset of anaphase, securin is itself degraded by the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C), thus freeing the separase (Yang et al., 2009; Cromer et al., 2019). Cleavage of the REC8/SYN1 α-kleisin along chromosome arms at anaphase I by the separase allows for resolution of chiasmata, formed as a result of homologous chromosome recombination and the faithful segregation of homologous chromosomes (Yang et al., 2009; Silva et al., 2020). However, centromeric cohesion is protected by the conserved SUGOSHIN (SGO1/2 in Arabidopsis) family of proteins until anaphase II, when separase-mediated cleavage of REC8/SYN1 facilitates the separation of sister chromatids (Yang et al., 2009; Cromer et al., 2013). In Arabidopsis, the AESP1 separase regulates a wide range of important developmental processes such as meiotic chromosome segregation, tetrad formation, embryo development up to the globular stage, cellularization of the endosperm, proper assembly of the radial microtubule system after telophase II, and even N-acetylation of proteins required for vesicle trafficking such as the H<sup>+</sup> and V-ATPases (Yang et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2017b; see Table 1). However, separase homologs have not been characterized in major crops, and this gap may be limiting our understanding of chiasmata resolution, among many other processes of great agricultural relevance.

In eukaryotic organisms such as budding yeast and Arabidopsis, ample evidence indicates that WAPL is involved in the release of cohesin from meiotic chromosomes and its activity is essential for normal meiosis, especially for the release of REC8/SYN1 during prophase I (De et al., 2016; Silva et al., 2020). In mouse oocytes, deletion of WAPL leads to the formation of aberrant chiasmata-like structures, not because of an increase in CO formation but because of an increase in DNA damage, as observed by an increase in y-H2AX foci, presumably linked to retention of the kleisin and increased rigidity, leading to inefficient homologous repair and formation of chromosome bridges. Structural analysis by Hi-C revealed that loss of WAPL during meiosis also leads to the formation of chromatin loops within loops (Silva et al., 2020), a phenotype not yet reported in Arabidopsis. Formation of aberrant chiasmata has not been documented in Arabidopsis wapl mutants either.

Perhaps we must consider how cohesin is loaded onto chromatin in the first place. Work in budding yeast suggests that during the G1 phase, proper loading of cohesin onto chromosomes depends on the remodeling structure of chromatin (RSC) chromatin remodeler, a member of the SWI/SNF family of ATPases (Tsukiyama et al., 1999; Muñoz et al., 2020). The RSC complex consists of 19 subunits, is enriched in centromeres, and is believed to function as a chromatin receptor that allows direct recruitment of SCC2/SCC4 on broad nucleosome-freeregions, which are probably remodeled by RSC via nucleosome eviction or DNA translocation. These nucleosome-free regions usually correspond to promoters where the cohesin loader is normally found (Muñoz et al., 2020). Other chromatin remodelers known to act as cohesin loaders in humans such as Imitation Switch 1 (ISW1) and Chromo-ATPase/helicase-DNA-binding domain (CHD1) support cell proliferation, so the SCC2/SCC4 module may be somehow promiscuous and may become functional in the proximity of alternative chromatin remodelers during cohesin-dependent processes such as DNA repair (Stokes et al., 1996; Tsukiyama et al., 1999; Muñoz et al., 2020; see Table 1). Further research could determine whether alternative meiotic chromatin remodelers exist in plants and identify the corresponding phenotypes.

In Arabidopsis, thorough work has helped uncover a meiotic antagonist of WAPL that may operate during prophase I by binding to PDS5 and displacing PDS5 from WAPL. This antagonist is the SWITCH1/DYAD protein (SWI1), a protein of unknown biochemical function and whose actual modus operandi is also ignored. The phenotype of the swi-1 mutant features failure to assemble the chromosome axis and to establish sister chromatid cohesion. The biochemical evidence (GST pull-down assay in Escherichia coli and bimolecular fluorescence complementation in tobacco) indicates that SWI1 strongly interacts with the N-terminus of PDS5A, specifically the N-terminal 300 amino acids, but is also able to interact strongly with PDS5C/E and mildly with PDS5B/D, that is, all PDS5 proteins in Arabidopsis. WAPL was also found to bind to the N-terminus of PDS5A, which suggests the existence of a potentially antagonistic entanglement that was confirmed by a competitive binding assay in tobacco leaf cells, in which WAPL was displaced from PDS5 by SWI1. The APC is believed

to target SWI1 for degradation from zygotene onward, as suggested by prolonged chromatin residency and reduced fertility in *SWI1* mutants with mutation of five tentative D-boxes. Of note, in the *rec8* mutants, the SWI1-GFP signal is retained, which suggests that SWI1 may interact with yet unidentified meiotic cohesin complexes with alternative  $\alpha$ -kleisins and that *swi1* mutants might show an increase in the formation of multiple female meiocytes, thus suggesting a role in the specification of meiocyte identity (see **Table 1**; Yang et al., 2019). The *Arabidopsis SWITCH1/DYAD* gene has homologs in rice and maize, called *AMEIOTIC1* (Pawlowski et al., 2009; Che et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2019), which indicates the possibility of validating these phenotypes in these crops.

#### CONCLUSION

Biomedical research in yeast and mammalian cohesin and condensin complexes and their respective regulators has contributed significantly to our understanding of the processes they affect, namely genome maintenance, meiotic recombination, CO formation, and regulation of high-order chromatin structure in chromosomes. Research in the model plant A. thaliana and crops has also pushed the boundaries of our knowledge and facilitated charting the way to applied plant breeding by providing clear mutant phenotypes and solid genetic evidence (de Maagd et al., 2020). Translation of basic research into crop breeding may speed the screening and retrieval of valuable alleles for tolerance to DNA damage by UVB and aluminum exposure (for a summary see Figure 6). It may help in manipulating CO frequency and distribution for enhanced transmission of key traits, both wild and mutant, at a time when climate change and population growth threaten food security

#### REFERENCES

- Alandete-Saez, M., Ron, M., Leiboff, S., and McCormick, S. (2011). Arabidopsis thaliana GEX1 has dual functions in gametophyte development and early embryogenesis. Plant J. 68, 620–632. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X. 2011.04713.x
- Amiard, S., Charbonnel, C., Allain, E., Depeiges, A., White, C. I., and Gallego, M. E. (2010). Distinct roles of the ATR kinase and the Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 complex in the maintenance of chromosomal stability in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 22, 3020–3033. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.078527
- Awasthi, J. P., Saha, B., Regon, P., Sahoo, S., Chowra, U., Pradhan, A., et al. (2017). Morpho-physiological analysis of tolerance to aluminum toxicity in rice varieties of north East India. *PLoS One* 12:e0176357. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0176357
- Beckouët, F., Srinivasan, M., Roig, M. B., Chan, K. L., Scheinost, J. C., Batty, P., et al. (2016). Releasing activity disengages cohesin's Smc3/Scc1 interface in a process blocked by acetylation. *Mol. Cell* 61, 563–574. doi: 10.1016/j. molcel.2016.01.026
- Benedict, B., van Schie, J. J. M., Oostra, A. B., Balk, J. A., Wolthuis, R. M. F., Te Riele, H., et al. (2020). WAPL-dependent repair of damaged DNA replication forks underlies oncogene-induced loss of sister chromatid cohesion. *Dev. Cell* 52, 683–698.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2020.01.024
- Böhmdorfer, G., Schleiffer, A., Brunmeir, R., Ferscha, S., Nizhynska, V., Kozák, J., et al. (2011). GMI1, a structural-maintenance-of-chromosomes-hinge domaincontaining protein, is involved in somatic homologous recombination in *Arabidopsis. Plant J.* 67, 420–433. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04604.x

(Gerland et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2017; Lambing et al., 2020b; see **Figure 6**). Efficient genome-editing methods by CRISP-Cas9 have been developed for crops (Qi, 2019), so we may finally be able to perform detailed genetic, cytological, and biochemical characterization of SMCs in maize and rice. In conclusion, a better understanding of the establishment of cohesion and genome organization in crops may contribute to increases in yield under less-than-ideal environmental conditions.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The author confirms being the sole contributor of this work and has approved it for publication.

#### FUNDING

This work was funded by grant CO244 by the Vicerrectoría de Investigación, Universidad de Costa Rica.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Vicerrectoría de Investigación at the University of Costa Rica for kind financial support and payment of publishing fees, Christopher A. Makaroff at Miami University (Oxford, OH, United States) for helpful suggestions on the text, Laura Smales at BioMedEditing (Toronto, Canada) for English editing, and Ernesto Bolaños-Villegas for designing and editing of the figures. I would also like to thank the American Society of Plant Biologists and TWAS/UNESCO for encouragement, guidance, and professional support.

- Bolaños-Villegas, P., and Jauh, G.-Y. (2015). Reduced activity of Arabidopsis chromosome-cohesion regulator gene CTF7/ECO1 alters cytosine methylation status and retrotransposon expression. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 10:e1013794. doi: 10.1080/15592324.2015.1013794
- Bolaños-Villegas, P., Yang, X., Wang, H. J., Juan, C. T., Chuang, M. H., Makaroff, C. A., et al. (2013). Arabidopsis CHROMOSOME TRANSMISSION FIDELITY 7 (AtCTF7/ECO1) is required for DNA repair, mitosis and meiosis. *Plant J.* 75, 927–940. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12261
- Bourbousse, C., Vegesna, N., and Law, J. A. (2018). SOG1 activator and MYB3R repressors regulate a complex DNA damage network in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, E12453–E12462. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1810582115
- Castellano-Pozo, M., Pacheco, S., Sioutas, G., Jaso-Tamame, A. L., Dore, M. H., Karimi, M. M., et al. (2020). Surveillance of cohesin-supported chromosome structure controls meiotic progression. *Nat. Commun.* 11:4345. doi: 10.1038/ s41467-020-18219-9
- Chapard, C., Jones, R., van Oepen, T., Scheinost, J. C., and Nasmyth, K. (2019). Sister DNA entrapment between juxtaposed Smc heads and kleisin of the cohesin complex. *Mol. Cell* 75, 224–237. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2019.05.023
- Charlesworth, D. (2017). Evolution of recombination rates between sex chromosomes. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 372:20160456. doi: 10.1098/ rstb.2016.0456
- Che, L., Tang, D., Wang, K., Wang, M., Zhu, K., Yu, H., et al. (2011). OsAM1 is required for leptotene-zygotene transition in rice. *Cell Res.* 21, 654–665. doi: 10.1038/cr.2011.7
- Chelysheva, L., Diallo, S., Vezon, D., Gendrot, G., Vrielynck, N., Belcram, K., et al. (2005). AtREC8 and AtSCC3 are essential to the monopolar orientation

of the kinetochores during meiosis. J. Cell Sci. 118, 4621–4632. doi: 10.1242/ jcs.02583

- Chen, P., Sjögren, C. A., Larsen, P. B., and Schnittger, A. (2019b). A multilevel response to DNA damage induced by aluminium. *Plant J.* 98, 479–491. doi: 10.1111/tpj.14231
- Chen, J. R., Urasaki, N., Matsumura, H., Chen, I. C., Lee, M. J., Chang, H. J., et al. (2019a). Dissecting the all-hermaphrodite phenomenon of a rare X chromosome mutant in papaya (*Carica papaya L.*). *Mol. Breed.* 39:14. doi: 10.1007/s11032-018-0918-7
- Cromer, L., Jolivet, S., Horlow, C., Chelysheva, L., Heyman, J., De Jaeger, G., et al. (2013). Centromeric cohesion is protected twice at meiosis, by SHUGOSHINs at anaphase I and by PATRONUS at interkinesis. *Curr. Biol.* 23, 2090–2099. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2013.08.036
- Cromer, L., Jolivet, S., Singh, D. K., Berthier, F., De Winne, N., De Jaeger, G., et al. (2019). Patronus is the elusive plant securin, preventing chromosome separation by antagonizing separase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 116, 16018–16027. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1906237116
- Dauban, L., Montagne, R., Thierry, A., Lazar-Stefanita, L., Bastié, N., Gadal, O., et al. (2020). Regulation of cohesin-mediated chromosome folding by Eco1 and other partners. *Mol. Cell* 77, 1279–1293.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2020.01.019
- De, K., Bolaños-Villegas, P., Mitra, S., Yang, X., Homan, G., Jauh, G.-Y., et al. (2016). The opposing actions of arabidopsis CHROMOSOME TRANSMISSION FIDELITY7 and WINGS APART-LIKE1 and 2 differ in mitotic and meiotic cells. *Plant Cell* 28, 521–536. doi: 10.1105/tpc.15.00781
- de Maagd, R. A., Loonen, A., Chouaref, J., Pelé, A., Meijer-Dekens, F., Fransz, P., et al. (2020). CRISPR/Cas inactivation of RECQ4 increases homeologous crossovers in an interspecific tomato hybrid. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 18, 805–813. doi: 10.1111/pbi.13248
- Delamarre, A., Barthe, A., de la Roche Saint-André, C., Luciano, P., Forey, R., Padioleau, I., et al. (2020). MRX increases chromatin accessibility at stalled replication forks to promote nascent DNA resection and cohesin loading. *Mol. Cell* 77, 395–410.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2019.10.029
- Dempewolf, H., Baute, G., Anderson, J., Kilian, B., Smith, C., and Guarino, L. (2017). Past and future use of wild relatives in crop breeding. *Crop Sci.* 57, 1070–1082. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2016.10.0885
- Díaz, M., Pečinková, P., Nowicka, A., Baroux, C., Sakamoto, T., Gandha, P. Y., et al. (2019). The SMC5/6 complex subunit NSE4A is involved in DNA damage repair and seed development. *Plant Cell* 31, 1579–1597. doi: 10.1105/ tpc.18.00043
- Diffey, B. L. (2002). Sources and measurement of ultraviolet radiation. *Methods* 28, 4–13. doi: 10.1016/S1046-2023(02)00204-9
- Dorsett, D., and Ström, L. (2012). The ancient and evolving roles of cohesin in gene expression and DNA repair. *Curr. Biol.* 22, R240–R250. doi: 10.1016/j. cub.2012.02.046
- Dyson, S., Segura, J., Martínez-García, B., Valdés, A., and Roca, J. (2021). Condensin minimizes topoisomerase II-mediated entanglements of DNA *in vivo. EMBO J.* 40:e105393. doi: 10.15252/embj.2020105393
- Elbatsh, A. M. O., Haarhuis, J. H. I., Petela, N., Chapard, C., Fish, A., Celie, P. H., et al. (2016). Cohesin releases DNA through asymmetric ATPase-driven ring opening. *Mol. Cell* 61, 575–588. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2016.01.025
- Erickson, D. J., Sulzberger, B., Zepp, R. G., and Austin, A. T. (2015). Effects of stratospheric ozone depletion, solar UV radiation, and climate change on biogeochemical cycling: interactions and feedbacks. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 14, 127–148. doi: 10.1039/C4PP90036G
- Esposito, M. S., and Esposito, R. E. (1969). The genetic control of sporulation in Saccharomyces. I. the isolation of temperature-sensitive sporulation-deficient mutants. *Genetics* 61, 79–89. doi: 10.1093/genetics/61.1.79
- Falque, M., and Martin, O. C. (2020). Enhancing backcross programs through increased recombination. bioRxiv [Preprint]. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.05.078287
- Faramarz, A., Balk, J. A., van Schie, J. J. M., Oostra, A. B., Ghandour, C. A., Rooimans, M. A., et al. (2020). Non-redundant roles in sister chromatid cohesion of the DNA helicase DDX11 and the SMC3 acetyl transferases ESCO1 and ESCO2. *PLoS One* 15:e0220348. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.02 20348
- Fernandes, J. B., Wlodzimierz, P., and Henderson, I. R. (2019). Meiotic recombination within plant centromeres. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 48, 26–35. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2019.02.008
- Frattini, C., Villa-Hernández, S., Pellicanò, G., Jossen, R., Katou, Y., Shirahige, K., et al. (2017). Cohesin ubiquitylation and mobilization facilitate stalled

replication fork dynamics. Mol. Cell 68, 758-772. doi: 10.1016/j. molcel.2017.10.012

- Gerland, P., Raftery, A. E., Ševčíková, H., Li, N., Gu, D., Spoorenberg, T., et al. (2014). World population stabilization unlikely this century. *Science* 346, 234–237. doi: 10.1126/science.1257469
- Haarhuis, J. H. I., van der Weide, R. H., Blomen, V. A., Yáñez-Cuna, J. O., Amendola, M., van Ruiten, M. S., et al. (2017). The cohesin release factor WAPL restricts chromatin loop extension. *Cell* 169, 693–707.e14. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2017.04.013
- Hassler, M., Shaltiel, I. A., Kschonsak, M., Simon, B., Merkel, F., Thärichen, L., et al. (2019). Structural basis of an asymmetric condensin ATPase cycle. *Mol. Cell* 74, 1175–1188.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2019.03.037
- He, Y., Wang, J., Qi, W., and Song, R. (2019). Maize Dek15 encodes the cohesin-loading complex subunit scc4 and is essential for chromosome segregation and kernel development. *Plant Cell* 31, 465–485. doi: 10.1105/ tpc.18.00921
- Hesse, S., Zelkowski, M., Mikhailova, E. I., Keijzer, C. J., Houben, A., and Schubert, V. (2019). Ultrastructure and dynamics of synaptonemal complex components during meiotic pairing and synapsis of standard (A) and accessory (B) rye chromosomes. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:773. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00773
- Hsieh, Y. Y. P., Makrantoni, V., Robertson, D., Marston, A. L., and Murray, A. W. (2020). Evolutionary repair: changes in multiple functional modules allow meiotic cohesin to support mitosis. *PLoS Biol.* 18:e3000635. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pbio.3000635
- Jaskowiak, J., Tkaczyk, O., Slota, M., Kwasniewska, J., and Szarejko, I. (2018). Analysis of aluminum toxicity in *Hordeum vulgare* roots with an emphasis on DNA integrity and cell cycle. *PLoS One* 13:e0193156. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0193156
- Khanday, I., Skinner, D., Yang, B., Mercier, R., and Sundaresan, V. (2019). A male-expressed rice embryogenic trigger redirected for asexual propagation through seeds. *Nature* 565, 91–95. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0785-8
- Kim, J. H., Ryu, T. H., Lee, S. S., Lee, S., and Chung, B. Y. (2019). Ionizing radiation manifesting DNA damage response in plants: an overview of DNA damage signaling and repair mechanisms in plants. *Plant Sci.* 27, 44–53. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.10.013
- Kim, J. H., Yu, R. M., Kim, J. S., Oh, M. H., Lee, J. W., and Byung, Y. C. (2007). Transcriptomic profile of *Arabidopsis* rosette leaves during the reproductive stage after exposure to ionizing radiation. *Radiat. Res.* 168, 267–280. doi: 10.1667/RR0963.1
- Kobbe, D., Blanck, S., Focke, M., and Puchta, H. (2009). Biochemical characterization of AtRECQ3 reveals significant differences relative to other RecQ helicases. *Plant Physiol.* 151, 1658–1666. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.144709
- Krupa, S. V., and Jäger, H.-J. (2006). "Adverse effects of elevated levels of ultraviolet (UV)-B radiation and ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) on grop growth and productivity" in *Global climate change and agricultural production. Direct and indirect effects of changing hydrological, pedological and plant physiological processes.* eds. F. Bazzaz and W. Sombroek (Chichester: Food and Agriculture Organization Of The United Nations and John Wiley & Sons).
- Lambing, C., Kuo, P. C., Tock, A. J., Topp, S. D., and Henderson, I. R. (2020a). ASY1 acts as a dosage-dependent antagonist of telomere-led recombination and mediates crossover interference in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 117, 13647–13658. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1921055117
- Lambing, C., Tock, A. J., Topp, S. D., Choi, K., Kuo, P. C., Zhao, X., et al. (2020b). Interacting genomic landscapes of REC8-cohesin, chromatin, and meiotic recombination in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 32, 1218–1239. doi: 10.1105/ tpc.19.00866
- Lee, C. Y., Lin, H. J., Viswanath, K. K., Lin, C. P., Chang, B. C. H., Chiu, P. H., et al. (2018). The development of functional mapping by three sex-related loci on the third whorl of different sex types of *Carica papaya L. PLoS One* 13:e0194605. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0194605
- Li, X., Ye, J., Ma, H., and Lu, P. (2018). Proteomic analysis of lysine acetylation provides strong evidence for involvement of acetylated proteins in plant meiosis and tapetum function. *Plant J.* 93, 142–154. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13766
- Li, C., Zhang, R., Meng, X., Chen, S., Zong, Y., Lu, C., et al. (2020). Targeted, random mutagenesis of plant genes with dual cytosine and adenine base. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 38, 875–882. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0393-7
- Liao, Z., Yu, Q., and Ming, R. (2017). Development of male-specific markers and identification of sex reversal mutants in papaya. *Euphytica* 213:53. doi: 10.1007/s10681-016-1806-z

- Lindfors, A., and Arola, A. (2008). On the wavelength-dependent attenuation of UV radiation by clouds. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 35:L05806. doi: 10.1029/ 2007GL032571
- Liu, H. W., Bouchoux, C., Panarotto, M., Kakui, Y., Patel, H., and Uhlmann, F. (2020). Division of labor between PCNA loaders in DNA replication and sister chromatid cohesion establishment. *Mol. Cell* 78, 725–738.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2020.03.017
- Liu, C., Cheng, Y., Wang, J., and Weigel, D. (2017a). Prominent topologically associated domains differentiate global chromatin packing in rice from *Arabidopsis. Nat. Plants* 3, 742–748. doi: 10.1038/s41477-017-0005-9
- Liu, C., Stael, S., Gevaert, K., Van Breusegem, F., Bozhkov, P. V., and Moschou, P. N. (2017b). The proteolytic landscape of an *Arabidopsis* separase-deficient mutant reveals novel substrates associated with plant development. bioRxiv [Preprint], 140962, 1–24. doi: 10.1101/140962
- Macheret, M., and Halazonetis, T. D. (2015). DNA replication stress as a hallmark of cancer. Annu. Rev. Pathol. Mech. Dis. 10, 425–448. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-pathol-012414-040424
- Meisenberg, C., Pinder, S. I., Hopkins, S. R., Wooller, S. K., Benstead-Hume, G., Pearl, F. M. G., et al. (2019). Repression of transcription at DNA breaks requires cohesin throughout interphase and prevents genome instability. *Mol. Cell* 73, 212–223.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.11.001
- Minchell, N. E., Keszthelyi, A., and Baxter, J. (2020). Cohesin causes replicative DNA damage by trapping DNA topological stress. *Mol. Cell* 78, 739–751.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2020.03.013
- Moldovan, G. L., Pfander, B., and Jentsch, S. (2006). PCNA controls establishment of sister chromatid cohesion during S phase. *Mol. Cell* 23, 723–732. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2006.07.007
- Municio, C., Antosz, W., Grasser, K. D., Kornobis, E., Van Bel, M., Eguinoa, I., et al. (2021). The Arabidopsis condensin CAP-D subunits arrange interphase chromatin. *New Phytol.* doi: 10.1111/nph.17221 [Epub ahead of print]
- Muñoz, S., Minamino, M., Casas-Delucchi, C. S., Patel, H., and Uhlmann, F. (2019). A role for chromatin remodeling in cohesin loading onto chromosomes. *Mol. Cell* 74, 664–673.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2019.02.027
- Muñoz, S., Passarelli, F., and Uhlmann, F. (2020). Conserved roles of chromatin remodellers in cohesin loading onto chromatin. *Curr. Genet.* 77, 1–21. doi: 10.1007/s00294-020-01075-x
- Ning, Y., Liu, Q., Wang, C., Qin, E., Wu, Z., Wang, M., et al. (2020). Heat stress interferes with formation of double-strand breaks and homology synapsis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. bioRxiv [Preprint]. doi: 10.1101/2020. 10.02.324269
- Nisa, M. U., Huang, Y., Benhamed, M., and Raynaud, C. (2019). The plant DNA damage response: signaling pathways leading to growth inhibition and putative role in response to stress conditions. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:653. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00653
- Panhwar, Q. A., Naher, U. A., Radziah, O., Shamshuddin, J., and Razi, I. M. (2015). Eliminating aluminum toxicity in an acid sulfate soil for rice cultivation using plant growth promoting bacteria. *Molecules* 20, 3628–3646. doi: 10.3390/ molecules20033628
- Pawlowski, W., Wang, C., Golubovskaya, I. N., Szymaniak, J. M., Shi, L., Hamant, O., et al. (2009). Maize AMEIOTIC1 is essential for multiple early meiotic processes and likely required for the initiation of meiosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106, 3603–3608. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0810115106
- Pradillo, M., Knoll, A., Oliver, C., Varas, J., Corredor, E., Puchta, H., et al. (2015). Involvement of the cohesin cofactor PDS5 (SPO76) during meiosis and DNA repair in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:1034. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2015.01034
- Puizina, J., Siroky, J., Mokros, P., Schweizer, D., and Riha, K. (2004). Mre11 deficiency in Arabidopsis is associated with chromosomal instability in somatic cells and Spo11-dependent genome fragmentation during meiosis. *Plant Cell* 16, 1968–1978. doi: 10.1105/tpc.104.022749
- Qi, Y. (ed.) (2019). "Plant genome editing with CRISPR systems" in *Methods in protocols* (New York: Humana Press).
- Rius, S. P., Emiliani, J., and Casati, P. (2016). P1 epigenetic regulation in leaves of high altitude maize landraces: effect of UV-B radiation. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:523. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00523
- Sakamoto, A. N. (2019). Translesion synthesis in plants: ultraviolet resistance and beyond. Front. Plant Sci. 10:1208. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01208
- Sakamoto, T., Inui, Y. T., Uraguchi, S., Yoshizumi, T., Matsunaga, S., Mastui, M., et al. (2011). Condensin II alleviates DNA damage and is essential for

tolerance of boron overload stress in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 23, 3533-3546. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.086314

- Sakamoto, T., Sugiyama, T., Yamashita, T., and Matsunaga, S. (2019). Plant condensin II is required for the correct spatial relationship between centromeres and rDNA arrays. *Nucleus* 10, 116–125. doi: 10.1080/19491034.2019.1616507
- Scheben, A., and Edwards, D. (2018). Towards a more predictable plant breeding pipeline with CRISPR/Cas-induced allelic series to optimize quantitative and qualitative traits. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 45, 218–225. doi: 10.1016/j. pbi.2018.04.013
- Schneider, T., Kaul, C. M., and Pressel, K. G. (2019). Possible climate transitions from breakup of stratocumulus decks under greenhouse warming. *Nat. Geosci.* 12, 163–167. doi: 10.1038/s41561-019-0310-1
- Schubert, V., Lermontova, I., and Schubert, I. (2013). The Arabidopsis CAP-D proteins are required for correct chromatin organisation, growth and fertility. *Chromosoma* 122, 517–533. doi: 10.1007/s00412-013-0424-y
- Séguéla-Arnaud, M., Choinard, S., Larchevêque, C., Girard, C., Froger, N., Crismani, W., et al. (2017). RMI1 and TOP3α limit meiotic CO formation through their C-terminal domains. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 1860–1871. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1210
- Shahid, S. (2020). The rules of attachment: Rec8 cohesin connects chromatin architecture and recombination machinery in meiosis. *Plant Cell* 32, 808–829. doi: 10.1105/tpc.20.00094
- Shen, X., Dong, Z., and Chen, Y. (2015). Drought and UV-B radiation effect on photosynthesis and antioxidant parameters in soybean and maize. Acta Physiol. Plant. 37:25. doi: 10.1007/s11738-015-1778-y
- Shi, D., Zhao, S., Zuo, M. Q., Zhang, J., Hou, W., Dong, M. Q., et al. (2020). The acetyltransferase Ecol elicits cohesin dimerization during S phase. J. Biol. Chem. 295, 7554–7565. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA120.013102
- Silva, M. C. C., Powell, S., Ladstätter, S., Gassler, J., Stocsits, R., Tedeschi, A., et al. (2020). Wapl releases Scc1-cohesin and regulates chromosome structure and segregation in mouse oocytes. *J. Cell Biol.* 219:e201906100. doi: 10.1083/ jcb.201906100
- Sjögren, C. A., and Larsen, P. B. (2017). SUV2, which encodes an ATR-related cell cycle checkpoint and putative plant ATRIP, is required for aluminiumdependent root growth inhibition in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Environ.* 40, 1849–1860. doi: 10.1111/pce.12992
- Stokes, D. G., Tartof, K. D., and Perry, R. P. (1996). CHD1 is concentrated in interbands and puffed regions of Drosophila polytene chromosomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 93, 7137–7142. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.14.7137
- Szilard, R. K., Jacques, P. T., Laramée, L., Cheng, B., Galicia, S., Bataille, A. R., et al. (2010). Systematic identification of fragile sites via genome-wide location analysis of γ-H2AX. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 17, 299–305. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.1754
- Taagen, E., Bogdanove, A. J., and Sorrells, M. E. (2020). Counting on crossovers: controlled recombination for plant breeding. *Trends Plant Sci.* 25, 455–465. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2019.12.017
- Takahashi, N., Quimbaya, M., Schubert, V., Lammens, T., Vandepoele, K., Schubert, I., et al. (2010). The MCM-binding protein ETG1 aids sister chromatid cohesion required for postreplicative homologous recombination repair. *PLoS Genet.* 6:e1000817. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000817
- Tsukiyama, T., Palmer, J., Landel, C. C., Shiloach, J., and Wu, C. (1999). Characterization of the imitation switch subfamily of ATP-dependent chromatin-remodeling factors in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genes Dev.* 13, 686–697. doi: 10.1101/gad.13.6.686
- Vandenhove, H., Vanhoudt, N., Cuypers, A., van Hees, M., Wannijn, J., and Horemans, N. (2010). Life-cycle chronic gamma exposure of *Arabidopsis thaliana* induces growth effects but no discernable effects on oxidative stress pathways. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 48, 778–786. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.06.006
- Vara, C., Paytuví-Gallart, A., Cuartero, Y., Le Dily, F., Garcia, F., Salvà-Castro, J., et al. (2019). Three-dimensional genomic structure and cohesin occupancy correlate with transcriptional activity during spermatogenesis. *Cell Rep.* 28, 352–367. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.06.037
- Venegas, A. B., Natsume, T., Kanemaki, M., and Hickson, I. D. (2020). Inducible degradation of the human SMC5/6 complex reveals an essential role only during interphase. *Cell Rep.* 31:107533. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep. 2020.107533
- Wang, K. (2020). Fixation of hybrid vigor in rice: synthetic apomixis generated by genome editing. *aBIOTECH* 1, 15–20. doi: 10.1007/s42994-019-00001-1
- Wang, J., Blevins, T., Podicheti, R., Haag, J. R., Tan, E. H., Wang, F., et al. (2017). Mutation of Arabidopsis SMC4 identifies condensin as a corepressor

of pericentromeric transposons and conditionally expressed genes. *Genes Dev.* 31, 1601–1614. doi: 10.1101/gad.301499.117

- Wang, H., Xu, W., Sun, Y., Lian, Q., Wang, C., Yu, C., et al. (2020). The cohesin loader SCC2 contains a PHD finger that is required for meiosis in land plants. *PLoS Genet*. 16:e1008849. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008849
- Wang, Y., Zhang, W. Z., Song, L. F., Zou, J. J., Su, Z., and Wu, W. H. (2008). Transcriptome analyses show changes in gene expression to accompany pollen germination and tube growth in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 148, 1201–1211. doi: 10.1104/pp.108.126375
- Watanabe, K., Pacher, M., Dukowic, S., Schubert, V., Puchta, H., and Schubert, I. (2009). The STRUCTURAL MAINTENANCE OF CHROMOSOMES 5/6 complex promotes sister chromatid alignment and homologous recombination after DNA damage in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell* 21, 2688–2699. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.060525
- Waters, P. D., and Ruiz-Herrera, A. (2020). Meiotic executioner genes protect the Y from extinction. *Trends Genet.* 36, 728–738. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2020.06.008
- Waterworth, W. M., Bray, C. M., and West, C. E. (2019). Seeds and the art of genome maintenance. Front. Plant Sci. 10:706. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00706
- Wijewardana, C., Henry, W. B., Gao, W., and Reddy, K. R. (2016). Interactive effects on CO<sub>2</sub>, drought, and ultraviolet-B radiation on maize growth and development. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B Biol. 160, 198–209. doi: 10.1016/j. jphotobiol.2016.04.004
- Yang, X., Boateng, K. A., Strittmatter, L., Burgess, R., and Makaroff, C. A. (2009). Arabidopsis separase functions beyond the removal of sister chromatid cohesion during meiosis. *Plant Physiol.* 151, 323–333. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.140699
- Yang, C., Hamamura, Y., Sofroni, K., Böwer, F., Stolze, S. C., Nakagami, H., et al. (2019). SWITCH 1/DYAD is a WINGS APART-LIKE antagonist that maintains sister chromatid cohesion in meiosis. *Nat. Commun.* 10:1755. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-09759-w
- Yoshiyama, K. O., Kimura, S., Maki, H., Britt, A. B., and Umeda, M. (2014). The role of SOG1, a plant-specific transcriptional regulator, in the DNA damage response. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 9, 1–8. doi: 10.4161/psb.28889

- Yuan, L., Yang, X., Auman, D., and Makaroff, C. A. (2014). Expression of epitope-tagged SYN3 cohesin proteins can disrupt meiosis in Arabidopsis. J. Genet. Genom. 41, 153–164. doi: 10.1016/j.jgg.2013.11.006
- Zaka, R., Chenal, C., and Misset, M. (2004). Effects of low doses of short-term gamma irradiation on growth and development through two generations of *Pisum sativum. Sci. Total Environ.* 320, 121–129. doi: 10.1016/j. scitotenv.2003.08.010
- Zelkowski, M., Zelkowska, K., Conrad, U., Hesse, S., Lermontova, I., Marzec, M., et al. (2019). Arabidopsis NSE4 proteins act in somatic nuclei and meiosis to ensure plant viability and fertility. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:774. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2019.00774
- Zhang, J., Feng, C., Su, H., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., and Han, F. (2020). The cohesin complex subunit ZmSMC3 participates in meiotic centromere pairing in maize. *Plant Cell* 32, 1323–1336. doi: 10.1105/tpc.19.00834
- Zhang, W., Wang, X., Yu, Q., Ming, R., and Jiang, J. (2008). DNA methylation and heterochromatinization in the male-specific region of the primitive Y chromosome of papaya. *Genome Res.* 18, 1938–1943. doi: 10.1101/gr.078808.108
- Zhao, C., Liu, B., Piao, S., Wang, X., Lobell, D. B., Huang, Y., et al. (2017). Temperature increase reduces global yields of major crops in four independent estimates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 114, 9326–9331. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1701762114

**Conflict of Interest:** The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Bolaños-Villegas. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.