



Rhizobial Volatiles: Potential New Players in the Complex Interkingdom Signaling With Legumes

María J. Soto^{1*}, Isabel M. López-Lara², Otto Geiger², María C. Romero-Puertas¹ and Pieter van Dillewijn¹

¹Estación Experimental del Zaidín, CSIC, Granada, Spain, ²Centro de Ciencias Genómicas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Cuernavaca, Mexico

Bacteria release a wide range of volatile compounds that play important roles in intermicrobial and interkingdom communication. Volatile metabolites emitted by rhizobacteria can promote plant growth and increase plant resistance to both biotic and abiotic stresses. Rhizobia establish beneficial nitrogen-fixing symbiosis with legume plants in a process starting with a chemical dialog in the rhizosphere involving various diffusible compounds. Despite being one of the most studied plant-interacting microorganisms, very little is known about volatile compounds produced by rhizobia and their biological/ ecological role. Evidence indicates that plants can perceive and respond to volatiles emitted by rhizobia. In this perspective, we present recent data that open the possibility that rhizobial volatile compounds have a role in symbiotic interactions with legumes and discuss future directions that could shed light onto this area of investigation.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by: Katharina Pawlowski

Stockholm University, Sweden

Reviewed by:

Cecile Vriet, UMR7267 Ecologie et Biologie des Interactions (EBI), France Xavier Perret, Université de Genève, Switzerland

*Correspondence:

María J. Soto mariajose.soto@eez.csic.es

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Symbiotic Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 22 April 2021 Accepted: 27 May 2021 Published: 22 June 2021

Citation:

Soto MJ, López-Lara IM, Geiger O, Romero-Puertas MC and van Dillewijn P (2021) Rhizobial Volatiles: Potential New Players in the Complex Interkingdom Signaling With Legumes. Front. Plant Sci. 12:698912. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.698912 Keywords: *Rhizobium*, volatile compounds, signaling, symbiosis, plant defense, iron uptake, interkingdom communication

INTRODUCTION

Microorganisms can produce a broad variety of chemical signals, many of which play important roles in communication with neighboring organisms. In addition to the better-studied small diffusible chemical signals, in the last decades microbial volatile compounds (VCs) are receiving increased attention. Accumulating evidence indicates that VCs are not just by-products of microbial metabolism but also exhibit relevant biological activities with important roles in intermicrobial communication and interkingdom interactions with eukaryotic hosts (Weisskopf et al., 2021). Microbial volatiles are characterized by their low molecular mass (<300 Daltons) and high vapor pressure, properties that facilitate their dispersal in air and water over long distances (Schulz and Dickschat 2007). Volatiles emitted by bacteria exhibit diverse chemical structures, comprising inorganic (CO₂, CO, NO, H₂S, NH₃, or HCN) and organic compounds, hydrocarbons, ketones, alcohols, aldehydes, acids, or nitrogen- and sulfur-containing metabolites (Schulz and Dickschat 2007; Audrain et al., 2015; Lemfack et al., 2018). The amount and profile of species-specific and generic volatiles produced by a microorganism (i.e., volatilome) vary in response to numerous factors including the availability of nutrients and oxygen, humidity,

1

pH, temperature, growth phase, and even the presence of other organisms (Schmidt et al., 2015, 2017; Misztal et al., 2018).

Bacterial volatile metabolites can influence important physiological processes in numerous bacteria, fungi, and plants. Some of them negatively influence the growth and virulence of microorganisms, characteristics that could be harnessed in the design of new strategies to fight against the rise of antibiotic resistance in pathogens (Avalos et al., 2018). Bacterial volatiles are especially well known for their capacity to increase plant growth and resistance against both biotic and abiotic stresses. These properties could be exploited for the development of eco-friendly solutions in the form of biofertilizers and biopesticides to improve plant health and productivity (Kanchiswamy et al., 2015; Chung et al., 2016; Sharifi and Ryu, 2018; Garbeva and Weisskopf, 2020; Thomas et al., 2020).

Considering the relevant effects of bacterial volatiles in intermicrobial and interkingdom communication, it is surprising that very little is known about the production and ecological role of volatile compounds emitted by soil bacteria that establish nitrogen-fixing symbiosis with legumes, collectively referred to as rhizobia. Paradoxically, rhizobia are among the best-known plant-interacting microorganisms and they have frequently been used as inoculants to improve N fertilization of legume plants. Perhaps, efforts focused on the nodulation and nitrogen fixation processes, which are the most relevant attributes of these plant endosymbionts, have contributed that some other properties of these rhizobacteria have been overlooked. In this perspective, we present recent data that open the possibility that rhizobial volatiles have a role in the interkingdom communication with plants that could impact the outcome of symbiotic interactions with legumes as well as other plant-microbe interactions.

OVERVIEW OF THE ROLE OF BACTERIAL VOLATILE COMPOUNDS IN INTERMICROBIAL AND INTERKINGDOM INTERACTIONS

The effects of bacterial volatile compounds (BVCs) in microbemicrobe interactions are diverse and have been described in several reviews (Audrain et al., 2015; Schmidt et al., 2015; Schulz-Bohm et al., 2017; Tyc et al., 2017; Weisskopf et al., 2021). These bacterial airborne metabolites can either positively negatively influence the physiology of different or microorganisms. Some have strong antimicrobial effects, inhibiting the growth of fungi and/or bacteria. Others act as infochemical molecules that, at a distance, are capable of altering gene expression and important behaviors, such as motility, biofilm formation, virulence, development, or stress and antibiotic resistance. The same volatile can elicit different or even opposing responses depending on the interacting organism, highlighting the importance of deciphering the mechanism of action of these compounds. Up to now, very little is known about how microorganisms perceive and respond to the wide spectrum of BVCs, and this represents an intense research area. Alteration of membrane permeability, induction of pH changes in the medium, oxidative stress mitigation, or interference with quorum sensing regulation are some of the mechanisms that have been shown to play a role in the microbial responses to different volatiles (reviewed in Weisskopf et al., 2021).

While the role of BVCs in intermicrobial interactions has only recently been acknowledged, their functions in interkingdom interactions with plants have been known for almost 20 years (reviewed in Sharifi and Ryu, 2018; Garbeva and Weisskopf, 2020; Thomas et al., 2020; Weisskopf et al., 2021). Since the seminal contribution by Ryu et al. (2003) reporting that volatile blends emitted by two Bacillus species were able to promote growth of Arabidopsis, numerous studies have described the different and relevant effects of BVCs on plants. Plant growth promotion is a common property of volatile mixtures emitted by rhizosphere bacteria (Blom et al., 2011). However, the molecular bases responsible for this effect are still poorly understood. Stimulation of photosynthesis, root growth, and the uptake of specific nutrients, such as iron and sulfur, are some of the mechanisms known to contribute to the BVC-mediated plant growth promotion (Ryu et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2008, 2009; Meldau et al., 2013). Interestingly, BVCs can also help plants to cope with abiotic and biotic stresses. BVC-mediated plant tolerance to abiotic stresses (drought, salinization, and heavy metal toxicity) is achieved by increasing osmoprotectants, antioxidant activities, Na⁺ homeostasis, or sulfur-containing metabolites (reviewed in Sharifi and Ryu, 2018). An important property of some BVCs is their ability to protect plants from pathogenic microorganisms, an effect that could be the result of both direct inhibition of pathogen growth/virulence and the activation of plant immunity (i.e., induced systemic resistance), although the latter seems to play a more important role (Bailly and Weisskopf, 2017). Interestingly, rhizobacteria produce volatiles that can facilitate mutualistic associations between plants and beneficial bacteria without compromising resistance against phytopathogens in a process dependent on phosphorus availability for the plant (Morcillo et al., 2020).

In the different plant responses triggered by BVCs, signaling molecules, such as reactive oxygen species and NO and the modulation of the biosynthesis, perception, and homeostasis of different phytohormones, play a pivotal role (Sharifi and Ryu, 2018; Tyagi et al., 2018). Ethylene, auxin, cytokinin, abscisic acid, and gibberellin are involved in BVC-mediated plant growth promotion, while ethylene, jasmonic acid, and salicylic acid are crucial for BVC-induced plant defense responses.

RHIZOBIUM-LEGUME SYMBOSIS: COMPLEX SIGNAL EXCHANGE IN THE RHIZOSPHERE

The development of nitrogen-fixing root nodules characteristic of the *Rhizobium*-legume symbiosis is the outcome of a process that involves a complex signal exchange between the host plant and rhizobia (Oldroyd, 2013; Poole et al., 2018; Roy et al., 2020). This interkingdom signaling initiates in the rhizosphere, i.e., the region of soil under the influence of plant roots



(Bakker et al., 2013). In this niche, several plant- and bacteriaderived diffusible compounds have been shown to participate in the early dialog established between the two organisms (Figure 1). Some of the signaling compounds are crucial for root nodulation. This is the case of plant root-exuded (iso) flavonoids, which induce bacterial nod genes, leading to the synthesis and secretion of lipochitooligosaccharides, also known as Nod factors. This key bacterial signal is perceived by the plant via specific receptors to activate the symbiosis signaling pathway required for rhizobial infection and nodule formation while, at the same time, overriding host defense reactions triggered during rhizobial invasion (Zipfel and Oldroyd, 2017; Roy et al., 2020). Besides the well-known (iso)flavonoids and Nod factors, additional legume- and rhizobia-produced diffusible molecules described below participate in an interkingdom communication that is not essential for nodule organogenesis but contribute to fine-tune some aspects of the symbiosis.

Compounds present in legume root exudates, such as amino acids, quaternary ammonium compounds, and organic acids function as chemoattractants for rhizobia. Upon perception by specific bacterial chemoreceptors, they promote bacterial movement toward plant roots facilitating root colonization and the possibility of finding proper sites for infection (Scharf et al., 2016; Compton et al., 2020; Compton and Scharf, 2021). Root exudates can also contain various low molecular weight compounds that mimic bacterial signals and affect quorum sensing (QS) regulation in rhizobia, thereby enhancing or inhibiting the phenotypes controlled by this cell density-dependent regulatory mechanism (Gao et al., 2003; Mathesius et al., 2003; Bauer and Mathesius, 2004). In rhizobia, QS usually relies on the production and perception of N-acyl-homoserine lactones (AHLs) that control important functions, some of which are relevant for the interaction with the legume host (Calatrava-Morales et al., 2018). Strigolactones (SLs), a group of carotenoid-derived plant hormones exuded by roots, participate in the interaction of plants with beneficial soil microorganisms (López-Ráez et al., 2017). SLs have a positive influence on nodulation by promoting infection thread formation, in a process in which they may act as a signal for the bacterial partner (Soto et al., 2010; McAdam et al., 2017). In fact, a synthetic SL promotes bacterial surface motility in the alfalfa symbiont Sinorhizobium (Ensifer) meliloti (Peláez-Vico et al., 2016). Plant roots also produce a plethora of volatile compounds that participate in different trophic interactions belowground, including the attraction of beneficial bacteria (Schulz-Bohm et al., 2018). The role of these plant-derived chemical signals in communication with rhizobia deserves attention but is not discussed in this perspective.

Besides Nod factors, phytohormones and AHLs produced by rhizobia are the main diffusible signals participating in the interkingdom signaling with legumes to impact the establishment of symbiosis (Figure 1). The ability to synthesize all major phytohormones (auxin, cytokinin, abscisic acid, and gibberellins) has been described among rhizobial species (Ferguson and Mathesius, 2014). The production of these signals by the microbial partner affects the establishment of the symbiosis by controlling nodulation and nitrogen fixation (Ferguson and Mathesius, 2014). AHL-type QS signals for intra- and interspecies communication are also perceived by legumes, leading to different responses, such as changes in root protein content and secretion of signalmimic compounds, that can influence the outcome of the symbiosis (Mathesius et al., 2003; Veliz-Vallejos et al., 2014, 2020). Although less well studied than in other rhizobacteria, volatile compounds (VCs) are also produced by symbiotic rhizobia. Recent reports have shown that these rhizobial metabolites can trigger plant responses and interfere with the establishment of plant-bacteria interactions (Orozco-Mosqueda et al., 2013; Sánchez-López et al., 2016; Hernández-Calderón et al., 2018; López-Lara et al., 2018). These findings support the notion that rhizobial volatiles might be additional players in the interkingdom signaling with legumes and whose precise role in symbiosis requires further investigation.

BIOACTIVITIES ASSIGNED TO RHIZOBIAL VOLATILES

The production of VCs by rhizobia and its effects on plants have been little investigated. One of the first studies analyzed the VCs produced by *S. meliloti* in the absence or the presence of volatiles emitted by *Medicago truncatula* seedlings (Orozco-Mosqueda et al., 2013). Some of the *S. meliloti* VCs detected like 2-methyl-1-propanol and dimethyl-disulfide were previously described in the volatilomes of different rhizobacteria. Particularly noteworthy was the identification of five compounds that were detected only when the plant and bacteria were co-cultivated in the same Petri dish without physical contact, thereby suggesting the existence of an interkingdom communication whereby the plant, the bacterium, or both were able to detect and respond to the volatiles produced by the other interacting organism.

Interestingly, two studies have shown that VCs emitted by two different rhizobial species, S. meliloti and Sinorhizobium fredii, were capable of promoting growth of the non-legume plants Sorghum bicolor and Arabidopsis (Sánchez-López et al., 2016; Hernández-Calderón et al., 2018). Moreover, exposure to VCs emitted by S. meliloti activated iron-uptake mechanisms, namely rhizosphere acidification and increased root ferric reductase, in M. truncatula (Orozco-Mosqueda et al., 2013), and increased chlorophyll content and transcriptional activity of iron-uptake genes in S. bicolor (Hernández-Calderón et al., 2018). These observations led the authors to suggest that the rhizobial VC-mediated phytostimulatory effect could be caused by improving the plants' iron content, as has been shown for other beneficial rhizobacteria (Zhang et al., 2009). However, this possibility still needs to be experimentally tested. This is an important issue because accumulating evidence indicates that the plant iron-deficiency response is linked to the activation of defense responses (Koen et al., 2014; Romera et al., 2019). Indeed, volatile blends emitted by beneficial rhizobacteria are able to trigger both plant defense and Fe deficiency responses (Zamioudis et al., 2015). In agreement with this, exposure of S. bicolor to S. meliloti VCs not only induced the expression of Fe-uptake genes but also that of plant-defense genes (Hernández-Calderón et al., 2018). The coordinated activation of the iron-deficiency and defense responses in plants has also been shown in legumes exposed to pure volatiles. Medium supplemented with N,N-dimethylhexadecylamine (DMHDA), a volatile produced during the co-cultivation of S. meliloti and M. truncatula (Orozco-Mosqueda et al., 2013), has been shown to promote plant growth and induce iron-deficiency and defense response genes in M. truncatula (Montejano-Ramírez et al., 2020). However, effects of either DMHDA or volatile blends of rhizobia in the establishment of the Rhizobium-legume symbiosis have not been reported yet.

To the best of our knowledge, only one study associates the effect of a rhizobial VC with the Rhizobium-legume symbiosis. The methylketone 2-tridecanone (2-TDC) was identified as the volatile responsible for the pleiotropic phenotype shown by a S. meliloti mutant impaired in alfalfa root colonization and exhibiting increased surface motility and defects in biofilm formation (López-Lara et al., 2018). 2-TDC is known as a natural insecticide produced by wild varieties of tomato plants (Williams et al., 1980), and its production was reported in several bacterial species, including different rhizobacteria (Blom et al., 2011; Lemfack et al., 2018). The results described by López-Lara et al. (2018) indicate that 2-TDC is an infochemical able to affect important bacterial traits, such as surface motility and biofilm formation. Moreover, 2-TDC negatively interfered with different plant-bacteria associations, hampering alfalfa nodulation by S. meliloti and also the development of tomato bacterial speck disease by Pseudomonas syringae pv tomato (López-Lara et al., 2018). It was also shown that 2-TDC hinders the bacterial ability to efficiently colonize plant tissues. However, it remains unknown whether this is the result of 2-TDC altering bacterial behaviors required for plant colonization, and/or that the ketone elicits plant responses that have a negative effect on the interacting bacteria.

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Evidence clearly indicates that plants can perceive and respond to volatile blends emitted by rhizobia. Plant growth promotion, activation of iron-uptake mechanisms, and increased transcription of defense genes are some of the responses detected in plants exposed to rhizobial VCs. However, many questions remain concerning the effects of rhizobial VCs on their host plants, and specifically on the establishment of efficient symbiosis (**Figure 2**).

The ability of bacterial volatiles to trigger plant defense responses led to the suggestion that these airborne metabolites could be considered as Microbe-Associated Molecular Patterns (MAMPs; Sharifi and Ryu, 2018). MAMPs are usually conserved microbial molecules, which are perceived by plant cell surface transmembrane receptors and activate a basal defense known as the MAMP-triggered immunity (Jones and Dangl, 2006). Up to now, rhizobial VC-mediated activation of plant defense responses has only been reported in a non-host monocotyledonous plant (Hernández-Calderón et al., 2018). Since the development of nitrogen-fixing nodules requires the strict and continuous control of plant immunity (Cao et al., 2017; Berrabah et al., 2019; Benezech et al., 2020), evaluation of defense responses in legumes exposed to rhizobial VCs deserves special attention and could give clues about the contribution of these metabolites to the Rhizobium-legume symbiosis. Moreover, the finding that a rhizobial produced volatile (2-TDC) can protect plants against bacterial phytopathogens through an as yet unknown mechanism (López-Lara et al., 2018) opens the possibility of using rhizobia and their volatilomes as new biocontrol solutions and sources for new biopesticides.

Interestingly, bacterial volatiles can also facilitate mutualistic associations with beneficial rhizobacteria without compromising disease resistance (Morcillo et al., 2020). Whether rhizobial VCs can also facilitate symbiosis with their legume hosts by triggering plant-specific responses to attract the microsymbiont and/or by activating the symbiosis signaling pathway are aspects worth investigating.

The activation of root iron-uptake mechanisms has been detected in both legumes and non-legumes exposed to rhizobial VCs. This effect could be linked to the activation of plant defense responses as has been shown for VCs of other beneficial rhizobacteria (Zamioudis et al., 2015; Romera et al., 2019). The molecular bases responsible for the coordinated regulation of the plant iron-deficiency response and plant immunity have been investigated mainly in *Arabidopsis* where several phytohormones, signaling molecules as well as a transcription factor have been shown to be involved in regulating both processes (Romera et al., 2019). Whether similar regulatory mechanisms participate in rhizobial VC-mediated effects in



legumes awaits further investigation. Moreover, considering that symbiotic nitrogen fixation is a Fe-demanding process, the VC-mediated activation of iron-uptake mechanisms in legume roots could contribute to the efficiency of the symbiosis.

Nitrogen availability in the soil is the major factor determining whether the plant will establish nitrogen-fixing symbiosis with rhizobia (Streeter and Wong, 1988). The molecular bases underlying this regulatory mechanism are starting to be deciphered (Chaulagain and Frugoli, 2021). Recently, it has been shown that the availability of a nutrient, namely phosphate, determines the plant's response to a bacterial volatile to result in either mutualism or increased defense responses (Morcillo et al., 2020). Therefore, to assess whether soil nitrogen conditions modulate the legume response to rhizobial VC is another interesting area of research.

Microbial VCs are considered early signaling molecules whose effects in plants depend on the compound's concentration and the plant developmental stage, but the plant receptors and regulatory pathways involved in their recognition are still largely unknown (Weisskopf et al., 2021). The biological activities associated with rhizobial VCs suggest that they could be additional players in the early signaling with legumes and impact the establishment of symbiosis. Further investigation of legume responses to rhizobial VCs, both as volatile blends and as discrete compounds, and ideally using experimental setups that better simulate complex rhizosphere conditions (Kai et al., 2016) will help to elucidate their specific roles in symbiosis and shed light on how plants perceive these signals.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, and further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MS conceived and wrote most of the sections. PD made all the drawings. PD, IL-L, OG, and MR-P contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (MCIU), Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI) and European Regional Development Funds (FEDER; grant numbers PGC2018-096477-B-I00 and PGC2018-098372-B-I00), from Dirección General de Asuntos de Personal Académico-Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (DGAPA/UNAM; PAPIIT IN200819), and the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACyT; 253549). We acknowledge funding by MCIU/AEI/FEDER, DGAPA/UNAM and CONACyT.

REFERENCES

- Audrain, B., Farag, M. A., Ryu, C. M., and Ghigo, J. M. (2015). Role of bacterial volatile compounds in bacterial biology. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 39, 222–233. doi: 10.1093/femsre/fuu013
- Avalos, M., van Wezel, G. P., Raaijmakers, J. M., and Garbeva, P. (2018). Healthy scents: microbial volatiles as new frontier in antibiotic research? *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 45, 84–91. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2018.02.011
- Bailly, A. L., and Weisskopf, L. (2017). Mining the volatilomes of plant-associated microbiota for new biocontrol solutions. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:1638. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2017.01638
- Bakker, P. A., Berendsen, R. L., Doornbos, R. F., Wintermans, P. C., and Pieterse, C. M. (2013). The rhizosphere revisited: root microbiomics. *Front. Plant Sci.* 4:165. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00165
- Bauer, W. D., and Mathesius, U. (2004). Plant responses to bacterial quorum sensing signals. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 7, 429–433. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2004.05.008
- Benezech, C., Doudement, M., and Gourion, B. (2020). Legumes tolerance to rhizobia is not always observed and not always deserved. *Cell. Microbiol.* 22:e13124. doi: 10.1111/cmi.13124
- Berrabah, F., Ratet, P., and Gourion, B. (2019). Legume nodules: massive infection in the absence of defense induction. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 32, 35–44. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-07-18-0205-FI
- Blom, D., Fabbri, C., Connor, E. C., Schiestl, F. P., Klauser, D. R., Boller, T., et al. (2011). Production of plant growth modulating volatiles is widespread among rhizosphere bacteria and strongly depends on culture conditions. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13, 3047–3058. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02582.x
- Calatrava-Morales, N., McIntosh, M., and Soto, M. J. (2018). Regulation mediated by *N*-acyl homoserine lactone quorum sensing signals in the *Rhizobium*legume symbiosis. *Genes* 9:263. doi: 10.3390/genes9050263
- Cao, Y., Halane, M. K., Gassmann, W., and Stacey, G. (2017). The role of plant innate immunity in the legume-*Rhizobium* symbiosis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 68, 535–561. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042916-041030
- Compton, K. K., Hildreth, S. B., Helm, R. F., and Scharf, B. E. (2020). An updated perspective on *Sinorhizobium meliloti* chemotaxis to alfalfa flavonoids. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:581482. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.581482
- Compton, K. K., and Scharf, B. E. (2021). Rhizobial chemoattractants, the taste and preferences of legume symbionts. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12:686465. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.686465
- Chaulagain, D., and Frugoli, J. (2021). The regulation of nodule number in legumes is a balance of three signal transduction pathways. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22:1117. doi: 10.3390/ijms22031117
- Chung, J. H., Song, G. C., and Ryu, C. M. (2016). Sweet scents from good bacteria: case studies on bacterial volatile compounds for plant growth and immunity. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 90, 677–687. doi: 10.1007/ s11103-015-0344-8
- Ferguson, B. J., and Mathesius, U. (2014). Phytohormone regulation of legumerhizobia interactions. J. Chem. Ecol. 40, 770–790. doi: 10.1007/ s10886-014-0472-7
- Gao, M., Teplitski, M., Robinson, J. B., and Bauer, W. D. (2003). Production of substances by *Medicago truncatula* that affect bacterial quorum sensing. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 16, 827–834. doi: 10.1094/MPMI.2003.16.9.827
- Garbeva, P., and Weisskopf, L. (2020). Airborne medicine: bacterial volatiles and their influence on plant health. *New Phytol.* 226, 32–43. doi: 10.1111/ nph.16282
- Hernández-Calderón, E., Aviles-Garcia, M. E., Castulo-Rubio, D. Y., Macías-Rodríguez, L., Montejano Ramírez, V., Santoyo, G., et al. (2018). Volatile compounds from beneficial or pathogenic bacteria differentially regulate root exudation, transcription of iron transporters, and defense signaling pathways in *Sorghum bicolor. Plant Mol. Biol.* 96, 291–304. doi: 10.1007/s11103-017-0694-5
- Jones, J. D., and Dangl, J. L. (2006). The plant immune system. *Nature* 444, 323-329. doi: 10.1038/nature05286
- Kai, M., Effmert, U., and Piechulla, B. (2016). Bacterial-plant-interactions: approaches to unravel the biological function of bacterial volatiles in the rhizosphere. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:108. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00108
- Kanchiswamy, C. N., Malnoy, M., and Maffei, M. E. (2015). Bioprospecting bacterial and fungal volatiles for sustainable agriculture. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 206–211. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2015.01.004

- Koen, E., Trapet, P., Brule, D., Kulik, A., Klinguer, A., Atauri-Miranda, L., et al. (2014). Beta-aminobutyric acid (BABA)-induced resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: link with iron homeostasis. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 27, 1226–1240. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-05-14-0142-R
- Lemfack, M. C., Gohlke, B. O., Toguem, S. M. T., Preissner, S., Piechulla, B., and Preissner, R. (2018). mVOC 2.0: a database of microbial volatiles. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D1261–D1265. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx1016
- López-Lara, I. M., Nogales, J., Pech-Canul, A., Calatrava-Morales, N., Bernabéu-Roda, L. M., Durán, P., et al. (2018). 2-tridecanone impacts surfaceassociated bacterial behaviours and hinders plant-bacteria interactions. *Environ. Microbiol.* 20, 2049–2065. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.14083
- López-Ráez, J. A., Shirasu, K., and Foo, E. (2017). Strigolactones in plant interactions with beneficial and detrimental organisms: the yin and yang. *Trends Plant Sci.* 22, 527–537. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2017.03.011
- Mathesius, U., Mulders, S., Gao, M., Teplitski, M., Caetano-Anollés, G., Rolfe, B. G., et al. (2003). Extensive and specific responses of a eukaryote to bacterial quorum-sensing signals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 100, 1444–1449. doi: 10.1073/pnas.262672599
- McAdam, E. L., Hugill, C., Fort, S., Samain, E., Cottaz, S., Davies, N. W., et al. (2017). Determining the site of action of strigolactones during nodulation. *Plant Physiol.* 175, 529–542. doi: 10.1104/pp.17.00741
- Meldau, D. G., Meldau, S., Hoang, L. H., Underberg, S., Wunsche, H., and Baldwin, I. T. (2013). Dimethyl disulfide produced by the naturally associated bacterium *Bacillus* sp B55 promotes *Nicotiana attenuata* growth by enhancing sulfur nutrition. *Plant Cell* 25, 2731–2747. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.114744
- Misztal, P. K., Lymperopoulou, D. S., Adams, R. I., Scott, R. A., Lindow, S. E., Bruns, T., et al. (2018). Emission factors of microbial volatile organic compounds from environmental bacteria and fungi. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52, 8272–8282. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.8b00806
- Montejano-Ramírez, V., García-Pineda, E., and Valencia-Cantero, E. (2020). Bacterial compound N,N-dimethylhexadecylamine modulates expression of iron deficiency and defense response genes in *Medicago truncatula* independently of the jasmonic acid pathway. *Plants* 9:624. doi: 10.3390/ plants9050624
- Morcillo, R. J., Singh, S. K., He, D., An, G., Vilchez, J. I., Tang, K., et al. (2020). Rhizobacterium-derived diacetyl modulates plant immunity in a phosphate-dependent manner. *EMBO J.* 39:e102602. doi: 10.15252/ embj.2019102602
- Oldroyd, G. E. (2013). Speak, friend, and enter: signalling systems that promote beneficial symbiotic associations in plants. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 11, 252–263. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2990
- Orozco-Mosqueda, M. C., Macías-Rodríguez, L. I., Santoyo, G., Farías-Rodríguez, R., and Valencia-Cantero, E. (2013). *Medicago truncatula* increases its iron-uptake mechanisms in response to volatile organic compounds produced by *Sinorhizobium meliloti. Folia Microbiol.* 58, 579–585. doi: 10.1007/ s12223-013-0243-9
- Peláez-Vico, M. A., Bernabéu-Roda, L., Kohlen, W., Soto, M. J., and López-Ráez, J. A. (2016). Strigolactones in the *Rhizobium*-legume symbiosis: stimulatory effect on bacterial surface motility and down-regulation of their levels in nodulated plants. *Plant Sci.* 245, 119–127. doi: 10.1016/j. plantsci.2016.01.012
- Poole, P., Ramachandran, V., and Terpolilli, J. (2018). Rhizobia: from saprophytes to endosymbionts. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 16, 291–303. doi: 10.1038/ nrmicro.2017.171
- Romera, F. J., García, M. J., Lucena, C., Martínez-Medina, A., Aparicio, M. A., Ramos, J., et al. (2019). Induced systemic resistance (ISR) and Fe deficiency responses in dicot plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:287. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2019.00287
- Roy, S., Liu, W., Nandety, R. S., Crook, A., Mysore, K. S., Pislariu, C. I., et al. (2020). Celebrating 20 years of genetic discoveries in legume nodulation and symbiotic nitrogen fixation. *Plant Cell* 32, 15–41. doi: 10.1105/tpc.19.00279
- Ryu, C. M., Farag, M. A., Hu, C. H., Reddy, M. S., Wei, H. X., Pare, P. W., et al. (2003). Bacterial volatiles promote growth in *Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 100, 4927–4932. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0730845100
- Sánchez-López, A. M., and Baslam, M., De Diego, N., Muñoz, F. J., Bahaji, A., Almagro, G., et al. (2016). Volatile compounds emitted by diverse phytopathogenic microorganisms promote plant growth and flowering through cytokinin action. *Plant Cell Environ.* 39, 2592–2608. doi:10.1111/pce.12759

- Scharf, B. E., Hynes, M. F., and Alexandre, G. M. (2016). Chemotaxis signaling systems in model beneficial plant-bacteria associations. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 90, 549–559. doi: 10.1007/s11103-016-0432-4
- Schmidt, R., Cordovez, V., de Boer, W., Raaijmakers, J., and Garbeva, P. (2015). Volatile affairs in microbial interactions. *ISME J.* 9, 2329–2335. doi: 10.1038/ ismej.2015.42
- Schmidt, R., Jager, V., Zuhlke, D., Wolff, C., Bernhardt, J., Cankar, K., et al. (2017). Fungal volatile compounds induce production of the secondary metabolite sodorifen in *Serratia plymuthica* PRI-2C. *Sci. Rep.* 7:862. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-00893-3
- Schulz-Bohm, K., Gerards, S., Hundscheid, M., Melenhorst, J., de Boer, W., and Garbeva, P. (2018). Calling from distance: attraction of soil bacteria by plant root volatiles. *ISME J.* 12, 1252–1262. doi: 10.1038/s41396-017-0035-3
- Schulz-Bohm, K., Martin-Sanchez, L., and Garbeva, P. (2017). Microbial volatiles: small molecules with an important role in intra- and inter-kingdom interactions. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:2484. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02484
- Schulz, S., and Dickschat, J. S. (2007). Bacterial volatiles: the smell of small organisms. Nat. Prod. Rep. 24, 814–842. doi: 10.1039/b507392h
- Sharifi, R., and Ryu, C. M. (2018). Sniffing bacterial volatile compounds for healthier plants. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 44, 88–97. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2018.03.004
- Soto, M. J., Fernández-Aparicio, M., Castellanos-Morales, V., García-Garrido, J. M., Ocampo, J. A., Delgado, M. J., et al. (2010). First indications for the involvement of strigolactones on nodule formation in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). Soil Biol. Biochem. 42, 383–385. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.11.007
- Streeter, J., and Wong, P. P. (1988). Inhibition of legume nodule formation and N_2 fixation by nitrate. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 7, 1–23. doi: 10.1080/07352688809382257
- Thomas, G., Withall, D., and Birkett, M. (2020). Harnessing microbial volatiles to replace pesticides and fertilizers. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 13, 1366–1376. doi: 10.1111/1751-7915.13645
- Tyagi, S., Mulla, S. I., Lee, K. J., Chae, J. C., and Shukla, P. (2018). VOCsmediated hormonal signaling and crosstalk with plant growth promoting microbes. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* 38, 1277–1296. doi: 10.1080/07388 551.2018.1472551
- Tyc, O., Song, C., Dickschat, J. S., Vos, M., and Garbeva, P. (2017). The ecological role of volatile and soluble secondary metabolites produced by soil bacteria. *Trends Microbiol.* 25, 280–292. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2016.12.002
- Veliz-Vallejos, D. F., Kawasaki, A., and Mathesius, U. (2020). The presence of plant-associated bacteria alters responses to N-acyl homoserine lactone

quorum sensing signals that modulate nodulation in *Medicago truncatula*. *Plants* 9:777. doi: 10.3390/plants9060777

- Veliz-Vallejos, D. F., van Noorden, G. E., Yuan, M., and Mathesius, U. (2014). A Sinorhizobium meliloti-specific N-acyl homoserine lactone quorum-sensing signal increases nodule numbers in Medicago truncatula independent of autoregulation. Front. Plant Sci. 5:551. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00551
- Weisskopf, L., Schulz, S., and Garbeva, P. (2021). Microbial volatile organic compounds in intra-kingdom and inter-kingdom interactions. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 19, 391–404. doi: 10.1038/s41579-020-00508-1
- Williams, W. G., Kennedy, G. G., Yamamoto, R. T., Thacker, J. D., and Bordner, J. (1980). 2-tridecanone: a naturally occurring insecticide from the wild tomato *Lycopersicon hirsutum* f. glabratum. Science 207, 888–889. doi: 10.1126/ science.207.4433.888
- Zamioudis, C., Korteland, J., Van Pelt, J. A., van Hamersveld, M., Dombrowski, N., Bai, Y., et al. (2015). Rhizobacterial volatiles and photosynthesis-related signals coordinate MYB72 expression in *Arabidopsis* roots during onset of induced systemic resistance and iron-deficiency responses. *Plant J.* 84, 309–322. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12995
- Zhang, H., Sun, Y., Xie, X., Kim, M. S., Dowd, S. E., and Pare, P. W. (2009). A soil bacterium regulates plant acquisition of iron via deficiency-inducible mechanisms. *Plant J.* 58, 568–577. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03803.x
- Zhang, H., Xie, X., Kim, M. S., Kornyeyev, D. A., Holaday, S., and Pare, P. W. (2008). Soil bacteria augment *Arabidopsis* photosynthesis by decreasing glucose sensing and abscisic acid levels in planta. *Plant J.* 56, 264–273. doi: 10.1111/j. 1365-313X.2008.03593.x
- Zipfel, C., and Oldroyd, G. E. D. (2017). Plant signalling in symbiosis and immunity. *Nature* 543, 328-336. doi: 10.1038/nature22009

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Soto, López-Lara, Geiger, Romero-Puertas and van Dillewijn. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.