



# Ectopic Expression of *VvSUC27* Induces Stenospermocarpy and Sugar Accumulation in Tomato Fruits

Yumeng Cai<sup>1,2</sup>, Ling Yin<sup>3</sup>, Wenrui Tu<sup>1</sup>, Zhefang Deng<sup>1</sup>, Jing Yan<sup>1</sup>, Wenjie Dong<sup>1</sup>, Han Gao<sup>1</sup>, Jinxu Xu<sup>1</sup>, Nan Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Jie Wang<sup>1</sup>, Lei Zhu<sup>4</sup>, Qingyong Meng<sup>5</sup> and Yali Zhang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Food Science and Nutritional Engineering, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>Tianjin Key Laboratory of Crop Genetics and Breeding, Crops Research Institute, Tianjin Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Tianjin, China, <sup>3</sup>Guangxi Crop Genetic Improvement and Biotechnology Key Laboratory, Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanning, China, <sup>4</sup>College of Food Science and Technology, Heilongjiang Bayi Agricultural University, Daqing, China, <sup>5</sup>The State Key Laboratory for Agrobiotechnology, College of Biological Sciences, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

David W. M. Leung, University of Canterbury, New Zealand

#### Reviewed by:

Nikolaos Nikoloudakis, Cyprus University of Technology, Cyprus Zhongchi Liu, University of Maryland, College Park, United States

> \***Correspondence:** Yali Zhang zhangyali@cau.edu.cn

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Biotechnology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 15 August 2021 Accepted: 25 October 2021 Published: 17 November 2021

#### Citation:

Cai Y, Yin L, Tu W, Deng Z, Yan J, Dong W, Gao H, Xu J, Zhang N, Wang J, Zhu L, Meng Q and Zhang Y (2021) Ectopic Expression of VvSUC27 Induces Stenospermocarpy and Sugar Accumulation in Tomato Fruits. Front. Plant Sci. 12:759047. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.759047 Seedless fruits are favorable in the market because of their ease of manipulation. Sucrose transporters (SUTs or SUCs) are essential for carbohydrate metabolism in plants. Whether SUTs participate directly in causing stenospermocarpy, thereby increasing fruit quality, remains unclear. Three SUTs, namely, VvSUC11, VvSUC12, and VvSUC27 from Vitis vinifera, were characterized and ectopic expression in tomatoes. VvSUC11- and VvSUC12overexpressing lines had similar flower and fruit phenotypes compared with those of the wild type. VvSUC27-overexpressing lines produced longer petals and pistils, an abnormal stigma, much less and shrunken pollen, and firmer seedless fruits. Moreover, produced fruits from all VvSUC-overexpressing lines had a higher soluble solid content and sugar concentration. Transcriptomic analysis revealed more genes associated with carbohydrate metabolism and sugar transport and showed downregulation of auxin- and ethylenerelated signaling pathways during early fruit development in VvSUC27-overexpressing lines relative to that of the wild type. Our findings demonstrated that stenospermocarpy can be induced by overexpression of VvSUC27 through a consequential reduction in nutrient delivery to pollen at anthesis, with a subsequent downregulation of the genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism and hormone signaling. These commercially desirable results provide a new strategy for bioengineering stenospermocarpy in tomatoes and in other fruit plants.

Keywords: Vitis vinifera, VvSUC, overexpression, tomato, stenospermocarpy, transcriptomic analysis

### INTRODUCTION

Carbon fixation occurs in photosynthetic source organs, and the products are exported as sucrose to non-photosynthetically heterotrophic sink organs (Koch, 2004). Sucrose is essential for plant growth and is a primary driver of crop yield. Therefore, the use of SUTs in the translocation of sucrose is required for plant development. Many SUTs have been identified and characterized in both monocots and dicots, playing a role in the loading of sucrose into

1

the phloem and sink tissues as well as the accumulation of soluble sugars in cells (Reinders et al., 2006).

Sugar is a key component in the sweet taste of fruits and can improve their flavor. Three SUTs genes have been isolated in tomatoes (Solanum lycopersicum, previously Lycopersicon esculentum), which have been reported to be localized in sieve elements (SE): SISUT1, SISUT2, and SISUT4 (Barker et al., 2000; Weise et al., 2000). SISUT4 is expressed predominantly in female flowers and green tomato fruits; however, SISUT1 and SISUT2 accumulate in the SEs of young and mature tomato fruits (Weise et al., 2000; Hackel et al., 2006). The antisense inhibition of both SISUT1 and SISUT2 prevents the production of normal tomato fruits. The phloem loading and supply to terminal sink organs of SISUT1 antisense plants is disturbed and delays the development of storage sink organs, whereas SISUT2 antisense inhibition could affect tomato fruit (Hackel et al., 2006). Furthermore, CitSUT1 and CitSUT2 have been isolated from sweet oranges (Citrus sinensis). CitSUT1 is strongly expressed in the source and sugar-exporting organs. In contrast, CitSUT2 is expressed more strongly in the sink and sugarimporting organs (Yao Li et al., 2003). Additionally, melon SUT1 catalyzes the active loading of sucrose into the phloem (Gil et al., 2011). Cucumber (Cucumis sativus) CsSUT1-RNA interference (RNAi) lines exhibited a decreased content of sucrose, hexose, and starch with male sterility (Sun et al., 2019), whereas suppressing CsSUT2 decreased the sucrose level and increased the stachyose content in leaves (Ma et al., 2019b).

Sugar efflux transporters are essential for the maintenance of plant nectar, seed, and pollen development (Huang et al., 2010). The modified subcellular sugar compartmentation, altered cellular sugar sensing, and affected assimilate allocation could affect the biomass of seeds (Wingenter et al., 2010). Therefore, sugar transport might influence seed abundance. It has been reported that the expression of VfSUTl from fava beans (Vicia faba) is specific to outer epidermal cells and could be induced by carbohydrate availability during epidermal contact of the growing embryo with the seed coat (Weber et al., 1997). SUT1 has been reported to be essential for loading sucrose into the phloem via an apoplastic route, as well as for intermesophyll transport. In tobacco plants transformed by the SUT1 antisense construct, the most strongly affected plants and more pronounced phenotypes (curl leaves and chlorotic or even necrotic rims and intercostal fields) could not produce seeds, suggesting that the antisense plants were unable to export their stored sugar reserves (Burkle et al., 1998). Furthermore, SISUT2 could be directly involved in phloem unloading at the level of tomato fruits or seeds; SUT2 antisense inhibition could therefore disturb pollination to produce seedless tomato fruit (Hackel et al., 2006). However, there are no reports on the effect of SUT overexpression on seed formation.

Overexpression of *SUT* genes provides genetic evidence of their essential roles in fruit sugar accumulation. The *MdSUT2.2*-overexpressing lines (apple, *Malus domestica*, formerly MdSUT2) accumulated more total soluble sugars and sucrose and grew faster than the wild-type control (Ma et al., 2017, 2019a). The ectopic expression of *PbSUT2 (Pyrus bretschneideri*) in tomato plants could lead to early flowering of transgenic tomatoes,

higher fruit quantity, lower plant height, increased net photosynthetic rate in leaves, and higher sucrose content in mature fruit, whereas it decreases the contents of glucose, fructose, and total soluble sugars in mature fruits (Wang et al., 2016).

Vitis has various vining species, which are used worldwide as medicinal herbs and in winemaking (Chen et al., 2018). The organoleptic quality of the berries, flavor, and stability of wine is determined by the type and concentration of sugars and acids in grapes (Chen et al., 2015). In grape berries, three functional SUTs, namely, VvSUC11, VvSUC12, and VvSUC27, have been identified (Davies et al., 1999). During berry development, the weakest expression of VvSUC11 and VvSUC12 was observed at the stage of fruit setting, where similar expression levels were shown. In contrast, VvSUC27 showed the highest expression level at the fruit set stage (Afoufa-Bastien et al., 2010). In our previous study, we concluded that the expression of plasma membrane-located VvSUC27 was negatively correlated with sugar accumulation in grape berries (Cai et al., 2017). The influence of VvSUC11, VvSUC12, and VvSUC27 on plant growth has been analyzed in our previous studies (Cai et al., 2017, 2019, 2020). However, the influence of each VvSUC on fruit quality is poorly understood. Considering the inefficient transformation and the relatively long growth cycle of grape, we chose Micro-Tom instead. Micro-Tom is a miniature dwarf tomato, which is suitable for the analysis of fruit morphology; it can grow at high densities, be transformed efficiently, and has a short life cycle (Martinelli et al., 2009). Here, we obtained three types of VvSUC-overexpressing tomatoes (Micro-Tom). Overall, the three types of VvSUC-overexpressing tomatoes significantly improved the sugar content of fruits. Ectopic expression of VvSUC27 in tomatoes produced larger flowers with shrunken pollen and transgenic seedless fruits with improved fruit firmness. In addition, the ectopic expression of VvSUC27 reduced the expression of auxin- and ethylene-related synthesis or responsiveness genes of fruits. These findings support the hypothesis that VvSUC27 plays a central role in fruit seed development and provides desirable traits in commercially grown fruit crops.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Plant Material and Growth Conditions**

The leaves from the *V. vinifera* "Thompson Seedless" variety were collected in Shangzhuang (Beijing, China). The leaves were harvested, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C.

The tomato plant materials used in this study were of the Micro-Tom background. Tomato cells were cultured in a growth chamber with 16-h light at 20–25°C.

#### **RNA Extraction and qRT-PCR**

Total RNA from grape berry and transgenic tomato tissues was isolated using HiPure Plant RNA Kits (Magen, China). Then, cDNA was synthesized using the HiFiScript gDNA Removal cDNA Synthesis Kit (CWBIO, China). To normalize the cDNA samples, *SlEF-1a*, *SlGADPH*, and *SlActin* from tomatoes were selected as internal controls. Gene-specific primers (**Supplementary Table S1**) were designed, and qRT-PCR was performed using an UltraSYBR Mixture Kit (CWBIO, China) with a Rotor-Gene<sup>®</sup> SYBR<sup>®</sup> Green PCR Kit (QIAGEN, Germany). To determine the different concentrations of cDNA, the threshold cycle for each qPCR was identified and compared against the internal standards (*SlEF-1a*, *SlGADPH*, and *SlActin* for tomatoes).

#### Generation of Transgenic Plasmid Constructs and Plant Transformation

To generate the 35S:: VvSUC11, VvSUC12, and VvSUC27 constructs, the full-length coding sequences of VvSUC11, VvSUC12, and VvSUC27 were amplified from V. vinifera "Thompson Seedless" cDNA using the Gateway-PCR specific primers (added attB1 and attB2, underlined in Supplementary Table S1), and the overexpression SUT constructs were generated by Gateway-PCR (Invitrogen). The resulting vectors [pH7WG2D, 1- VvSUC11; pH7WG2D,1- VvSUC12; and pH7WG2D,1- VvSUC27 constructs (35S promoter)] were obtained and confirmed by sequencing for plant transformation.

The final verified constructs were introduced into transgenic Micro-Tom tomatoes using the *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation method, as previously described (Van Eck et al., 2006). A hygromycin selection marker was used to identify transgenic tomato lines.  $T_1$  lines of *VvSUC11*- and *VvSUC12*-OE lines and  $T_0$  lines of *VvSUC27*-OE lines were used for further phenotypic analyses.

#### Scanning Electron Microscopy

Tomato pollen grains were isolated and attached to doublesided adhesive tape (Plano), which was set on SEM aluminum specimen holders. Then, the specimens were coated with gold for 60 s. An FEI Quanta 200 scanning electron microscope was used for SEM.

# Histological Analyses With Toluidine Blue Staining

Crosswise cutting of seeds as well as anthesis, 5, and 9 days post-anthesis (DPA) fruit sections were set on glass slides and analyzed with toluidine blue, as previously described (Greco et al., 2012). The cells were observed under an Axio Scope A1 microscope.

#### Determination of the Number of Pollen Grains Under the Microscope

The number of pollen grains from the flower at anthesis was measured under a microscope. First, the freshly bloomed flower was removed, chopped on a clean plate with a sterile surgical blade, and placed in a 2-mL centrifuge tube. The plate was then washed with a small amount of  $ddH_2O$ . The washed  $ddH_2O$  was transferred to a centrifuge tube, and water was added to a total of  $100\,\mu$ l. After vortexing for 5 min, the suspension was briefly centrifuged and homogenized by pipetting.

Then, 100  $\mu$ l of the suspension was sucked into the counting area of a clean blood cell counting plate using a pipette, and the coverslip was added to avoid air bubble generation. The blood cell counting plate was placed on the stage of the microscope and clamped securely until the pollen was no longer suspended in the liquid. After finding the counting area under the low-power lens, it was switched to a high-power lens to observe and count the pollen. The number of pollen cells in each flower was calculated using the formula: N/25 × 5 × 10 × 100.

#### **Texture Measurement**

Firmness characteristics were measured for 20 intact tomato fruits from each line and harvested at the mature stage. The measurements were performed as previously described with some modifications (Smith et al., 2002). Force-deformation was recorded using a TMS-PRO Texture Analyzer (FTC, United States). Firmness was defined as the force at maximum deformation (2mm). The probe diameter was 2mm, the test speed was 60 mm/min, the return speed was 120 mm/min, and the test depth was 2mm. The starting force was 0.5 N (Newton). The firmness was measured at an equal distance at three points at the equator of each fruit. The average value was then determined.

### **Soluble Solid Content Measurements**

Fruit sap from different growth phases of tomato fruits was collected using a garlic press, and the refractive index (Brix) was determined with a refractometer (ATAGO).

### **Titratable Acidity Measurements**

The fruit was collected at the mature fruit stage. The samples were prepared as follows. 5g of fruit was ground and mixed in distilled water and then fixed capacity to 250 ml. 50 ml filter fluid was picked and titrated with 0.1 mol/l NaOH solution to pH 8.1 by using phenol as an indicator. Titratable acidity was calculated according to the consumption of standard alkali liquid. The total soluble solid/titratable acidity ratio was determined by dividing % the total soluble solid by % titratable acidity.

## **Analysis of Sugars in Tomato Fruits**

The fruit was collected at the mature fruit stage. Sugar extraction and concentration measurements were performed by highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC), as previously described with some modifications (Agius et al., 2018). First, 0.5/1.0 g of fruit was ground with 80% ethanol, and then placed in an 80°C water bath for 1 h, and centrifuged at  $8,000 \times g$ for 10 min, and the supernatant was collected. Then, 80% ethanol was continually added to the precipitate; this step was repeated twice in an 80°C water bath for 30 min. The supernatants were combined several times and were then evaporated and dissolved in 2 ml of distilled water, shaken to mix, and centrifuged at 13,000×g for 2 min. The resulting mixture was then filtered through a  $0.22 \,\mu$ m microporous membrane and used for HPLC. Agilent 1260 (Agilent 1260 series) HPLC was used to determine the sugar content. A sugar analysis column (Aminex HPX-87H column, Bio-Rad) and a refractive index detector were used with the 6 mm  $H_2SO_4$  mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min and a column temperature of 35°C.

#### **RNA-Seq Analysis**

The quality of clean reads obtained by RNA-seq was checked to ensure that they were credible for a series of analyses. Subsequently, all clean reads were mapped to the tomato reference genomes using TopHat2.<sup>1</sup> Fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM) was used to show the expression level value. The fold change was calculated using the FPKM VvSUC-OE line/FPKM WT. The selection criteria were |fold change|>2 and false discovery rate (FDR)<0.01. The clean data for this paper have been submitted to the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive under accession number GSE161505.<sup>2</sup>

#### **Statistical Analyses**

One-way ANOVA and Tukey's test were performed to analyze the significance of data using SPSS v16.0 (SPSS Corp., IL, United States). Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

#### RESULTS

#### Ectopic Expression of *VvSUC27* Induced Larger Flowers and Altered the Phenotype of the Style and Pollen

VvSUC11, VvSUC12, and VvSUC27 from Vitis vinifera were chosen for investigation using Solanum lycopersicum "Micro-Tom" because they have different gene expression patterns and properties (Manning et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2008; Afoufa-Bastien et al., 2010; Cai et al., 2019) and all have a close correlation with sugar accumulation in the fruit. T<sub>0</sub> further characterize the biological function of VvSUC11, VvSUC12, and VvSUC27 in fruit berries, the overexpression vectors 35S:: VvSUC11, VvSUC12, and VvSUC27 were constructed and transformed into Solanum lycopersicum cv. Micro-Tom. Then, the  $T_0$  transgenic lines of each SUT-overexpressing (OE) tomato were obtained. In total, 13 VvSUC11-OE transgenic tomato lines, 15 VvSUC12-OE transgenic tomato lines, and 18 VvSUC27-OE transgenic tomato lines were produced and determined by PCR analysis of genomic DNA. Among them, VvSUC11- and VvSUC12-OE tomatoes could produce normal *VvSUC27*-OE tomatoes were seeds, whereas seedless (Supplementary Figure S1). Therefore, three lines of each  $T_1$ transgenic VvSUC11- and VvSUC12-OE lines and T<sub>0</sub> transgenic VvSUC27-OE lines were randomly chosen for further investigation, verified by PCR (Supplementary Figure S2A), and further confirmed by RT-qPCR (Supplementary Figure S2B). These lines were subsequently used for further investigation. On the whole, most of phenotypes from VvSUC27-OE lines were different from VvSUC11- and VvSUC12-OE lines. It is worth noting that the relative expression of both VvSUC11 from VvSUC11-OE lines and VvSUC12 from VvSUC12-OE lines was higher than that of VvSUC27 from VvSUC27-OE lines (Supplementary Figure S2B). Therefore, it could be inferred that unique phenotypes from VvSUC27-OE transgenic plants could be due to their different protein structure and enzyme activity rather than just high expression level in these transgenic lines. In terms of the phenotype of transgenic tomato flowers, VvSUC11- and VvSUC12-OE lines had similar flower phenotypes, whereas VvSUC27-OE lines presented a promoted phenotype compared with that of the wild type (WT; Figure 1). The petal length of the VvSUC27-OE lines was significantly longer than that of the WT (Figures 1A,B). Close observation of the flowers of the WT and VvSUC-OE lines showed that only the VvSUC27-OE stigma was wrapped in stamens, and stigma could not be exposed out from stamen (Figure 1C). Furthermore, flowers were emasculated and pistils were isolated from flowers (Figure 1D), and the lengths of VvSUC27-OE pistils were much longer than those of VvSUC11- and VvSUC12-OE pistils, as well as that of the WT (Figure 1E). Next, the stigmas were observed under an Axio Scope A1 (ZEISS) microscope, and *VvSUC27*-OE stigmas showed abnormality only for unconsolidated shape (Figure 1F).

The corollas of the WT and VvSUC-OE lines were stained with 0.5% toluidine blue solution and observed under a microscope (Figure 2A). Compared with the WT, the pollen of VvSUC11- and VvSUC12-OE lines were normal, whereas that of VvSUC27-OE lines was less numerous and abnormal. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to further observe the pollen morphology of the WT and each VvSUC-OE line (Figure 2B). No significant differences were observed between the WT and VvSUC11- or VvSUC12-OE pollen morphology. Most pollen was oval and full, with regular shapes. However, all the pollen grains of the VvSUC27-OE lines were shrunken. The sole flowers of the WT and each VvSUC-OE line were cut, dissolved, and shaken using a vortex mixer. The pollen grains for each flower were counted using a hemocytometer under a microscope (Figure 2C). Regarding pollen number, there was no significant difference between the WT and VvSUC11-OE lines. Among VvSUC12-OE lines, only that of VvSUC12-OE-2 pollen grains was lower than that of the WT. However, all VvSUC27-OE lines were significantly reduced compared with WT. The results of in vitro tests showed that over 90% of the WT pollens could germinate normally, while only 15% could in VvSUC27-OE lines (Supplementary Figure S3).

#### Ectopic Expression of *VvSUC27* Dramatically Improved Fruit Firmness

In the present study, the growth phase of the WT and each *VvSUC*-OE line was measured. However, consistent with those of the WT, fruits of each *VvSUC*-OE line all ripened normally at nearly 54 DPA and exhibited similar phenotypes. Fruit

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/all/GCF/000/188/115/GCF\_000188115.3\_ SL2.50/GCF\_000188115.3\_SL2.50\_genomic.fna.gz

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE161505



1 cm. (B) Comparisons between WT and each *VvSUC*-OE line for petal length. Petal length was measured using the red arrow shown in (A). Error bars indicate mean  $\pm$  SD of ten biological replicates. Different lowercase letters show statistically significant differences between WT and each *VvSUC*-OE line (Tukey's test, p < 0.05). (C) Close observation of the flowers of the WT and each *VvSUC*-OE line. Scale bar, 1 cm. The stigmas are indicated by red arrows. (D) Comparisons between the WT and each *VvSUC*-OE line regarding style phenotype. Scale bar, 100 µm. (E) Comparison between the WT and each *VvSUC*-OE line regarding the style length. Style length was measured using the black arrow shown in (D). Error bars indicate mean  $\pm$  SD of ten biological replicates. Different lowercase letters show statistically significant differences between the WT and each *VvSUC*-OE line regarding the style length. Style length was measured using the black arrow shown in (D). Error bars indicate mean  $\pm$  SD of ten biological replicates. Different lowercase letters show statistically significant differences between the WT and each *VvSUC*-OE line (Tukey's test, p < 0.05). (F) Observation of the stigma of the WT and *VvSUC*-OE lines by microscopy. Scale bar, 100 µm.

firmness was determined in this study. The results suggested that fruit firmness decreased only in the *VvSUC11*-OE-1 line, whereas other transgenic lines from the *VvSUC11*-OE and *VvSUC12*-OE lines remained unchanged compared with that of the WT (**Figure 3A**). However, the fruit firmness of all *VvSUC27*-OE lines was significantly increased compared with the WT: by 1.12-, 1.10-, and 1.10-fold for *VvSUC27*-OE-2, 5, and 10, respectively (**Figure 3A**). The length, width, and height of the fruits were measured, as shown in **Figure 3B**. The length of *VvSUC-OE* fruits showed no significant changes

(Figure 3C). The width and height of all the *VvSUC11*-OE and *VvSUC12*-OE lines (except for *VvSUC12*-OE-5) were also similar to those of the WT. However, the width was highly increased in the *VvSUC27*-OE lines, and the height was significantly increased in *VvSUC27*-OE-10, which resulted in a decreased length/width ratio in *VvSUC27*-OE-5. This was consistent with the width/height ratio, indicating that the fruit shape remained unchanged (Figure 3C). No significantly greater weight of *VvSUC27*-OE fruits was observed compared with WT fruits (Figure 3C).



#### Ectopic Expression of All Three *VvSUCs* Significantly Increased Fruit Sugar Concentration

SUTs play key roles in the translocation of sucrose both in phloem loading from the source tissue and sucrose unloading into sink tissue (Rennie and Turgeon, 2009). However, how VvSUC affects fruit sugar accumulation remains unknown. Here, the soluble solid content of tomatoes from different growth phases (Figure 4A) was measured using a refractometer in Brix units (Figure 4B). In total, the Brix values of the VvSUC-OE fruits were all similar to those of WT fruits during the immature period. The Brix of VvSUC11-OE fruits was nearly consistent with that of WT fruits during the growth phase. Only the Brix of VvSUC12-OE-2 was higher than that of the WT across the growth phase. The final Brix of fruits for the VvSUC12-OE-1, 2, and 5 lines increased by 1.13-, 1.32-, and 1.14- fold that of the WT, respectively. For VvSUC27-OE fruits, the Brix of all lines was significantly improved compared with that of the WT across the growth phase. The final Brix of fruit 9 d after the color break for the VvSUC27-OE-2, 5, and 10 lines and the WT was 11.80, 13.05, 9.89, and 6.24%, respectively. These represent increases of 1.89-, 2.09-, and 1.58-fold that of the WT, respectively.

Sugar analyses of VvSUC-OE tomato fruits at the red ripe stage were performed by HPLC. HPLC confirmed the obvious improvement in glucose, fructose, sucrose, and total sugar (composed of glucose, fructose, and sucrose) concentrations in all tested VvSUC-OE lines (Figure 4C). Glucose and fructose are the main soluble sugars in tomato fruits after ripening. Among the VvSUC-OE lines, VvSUC11-OE fruits had the lowest glucose content. For the VvSUC11-OE-1, 3, and 5 lines, the glucose concentration of fruits was 1.83-, 1.52-, and 1.75-fold higher than that of the WT, respectively. The glucose content of the VvSUC27-OE-2, 5, and 10 lines was 2.38, 2.34, and 2.49-fold higher than that of the WT, respectively. The glucose content of the VvSUC12-OE lines (VvSUC12-OE-1, 2, and 5 fruits) was between that of the VvSUC11-OE lines and VvSUC27-OE lines, which were 1.71-, 2.65-, and 2.06-fold higher than that of WT, respectively. In terms of fructose content in tomato fruits, that of all VvSUC-OE lines was significantly increased. For the VvSUC11-OE-1, 3, and 5 lines, the fructose content of tomato fruits was 1.89-, 2.4-, and 2.03fold higher than that of the WT, respectively. For the VvSUC12-OE lines, the fructose contents of the VvSUC12-OE-1, 2, and five fruits were 1.95-, 2.80-, and 1.95-fold higher than that of the WT, respectively. Similarly, the fructose content of the VvSUC27-OE-2, 5, and 10 fruits was 2.63-, 2.13-, and 2.03-fold



(B) Diagram of the maximum length, width, and height of fruits. (C) The maximum length, width, height, length/width ratio, width/length ratio, and fruit weight of WT and VvSUC-OE tomato fruit. Error bars indicate the mean ± SD of twenty biological replicates. Different lowercase letters show statistically significant differences between the WT and each VvSUC-OE line (Tukey's test,  $\rho < 0.05$ ).

higher than that of the WT, respectively. Mature tomato fruits contain only trace amounts of sucrose. The sucrose content of tomato fruits in VvSUC11-OE-1, 3, and 5 lines (0.041, 0.095, and 0.015 mg/g) was significantly higher than that of the WT (0.0073 mg/g). For the VvSUC12-OE lines, the sucrose content of tomato fruits in the VvSUC12-OE-1, 2, and 5 fruits was 0.028, 0.019, and 0.051 mg/g, respectively. In contrast, no sucrose was detected in the VvSUC27-OE fruits. The total sugar content was calculated using glucose, fructose, and sucrose. Overall, the total sugar content of all VvSUC-OE lines was significantly higher than that of the WT. Among them, the total sugar concentration of the VvSUC27-OE lines was the highest (122, 109, and 110 mg/g, respectively) and was 2.24-2.51-fold higher than that of the WT (49 mg/g). For the VvSUC11- and VvSUC12-OE fruits, the total sugar content was increased by an average of 1.91- and 2.17-fold that of the WT.

Titratable acidity of mature fruit was also determined in this study (**Figure 4D**). In total, titratable acidity of *VvSUC11*-OE fruits was nearly consistent with that of WT fruits at the mature fruit stage. The final titratable acidity of fruits for the *VvSUC12*-OE-2 and 5 lines significantly decreased by 1.28- and 1.20- fold that of the WT, respectively. For *VvSUC27*-OE fruits,

the titratable acidity of mature fruits for the *VvSUC27*-OE-2 and 5 lines obviously decreased of 1.33- and 1.29-fold that of the WT, respectively. The total soluble solid/titratable acidity ratio was also determined by dividing the total soluble solid by titratable acidity. For *VvSUC12*- and *VvSUC27*-OE mature fruits, the ratio of all lines was significantly improved compared with that of the WT (**Figure 4E**).

# Ectopic Expression of *VvSUC27* Resulted in Seedless Fruit

Crosswise cutting of fruits at the red ripe stage of the WT and *VvSUC*-OE lines was conducted to determine that the *VvSUC27*-OE fruits were seedless, and the *VvSUC11*- and *VvSUC12*-OE seed numbers were different (**Figure 5A**). Crosswise cutting of *VvSUT27*-OE fruits at the red ripe stage was observed, and the aborded seeds were detected in *VvSUC27*-OE fruits (**Figure 5B**). The seeds from WT and *VvSUC27*-OE fruit were crosswise cut, stained with safranin O/fast green, and then observed microscopically (**Figure 5C**). The seed from *VvSUC27*-OE fruit was shriveled and obviously aborded compared with that of the WT.



**FIGURE 4** | Sugar concentration of the *V/SUC*-OE tomato fruit. (A) Fruit development in tomatoes. IM, immature; MG, mature green; BR, breaker; B+4: 4 d after fruit color break; B+9: 9 d after fruit color break. Scale bar, 50mm. (B) Comparison between the WT and each *V/SUC*-OE line regarding different tomato growth phases of soluble solid content. (C) Comparison between the WT and each *V/SUC*-OE line regarding glucose, fructose, and total sugar concentrations in tomato fruits at the red ripe stage. FW, Fresh weight. (D) Comparison between the WT and each *V/SUC*-OE line regarding titratable acidity. (E) Comparison between the WT and each *V/SUC*-OE line regarding titratable acidity. (E) Comparison between the WT and each *V/SUC*-OE line regarding titratable acidity. (E) Comparison between the WT and each *V/SUC*-OE line regarding titratable acidity. (E) Comparison between the WT and each *V/SUC*-OE line regarding titratable acidity. (E) Comparison between the WT and each *V/SUC*-OE line regarding titratable acidity. (E) Comparison between the WT and each *V/SUC*-OE line regarding titratable acidity. (E) Comparison between the WT and each *V/SUC*-OE line regarding titratable acidity. (E) Comparison between the WT and each *V/SUC*-OE line regarding titratable acidity. (E) Comparison between the WT and each *V/SUC*-OE line regarding titratable acidity. (E) Comparison between the WT and each *V/SUC*-OE line regarding the total soluble solid/titratable acidity ratio. Error bars indicate the mean ± SD of nine biological replicates. Different lowercase letters show statistically significant differences between the WT and each *V/SUC*-OE line (Tukey's test, *p*<0.05).

It seemed that the *VvSUC11*-OE-3 and *VvSUC11*-OE-5 fruit produced more seeds, whereas the *VvSUC12*-OE-1 and *VvSUC12*-OE-2 fruit produced fewer seeds compared with each other; however, there was no significant difference compared with that of the WT (**Figure 5D**). Furthermore, five seeds from each line were set up together, and it was observed that the *VvSUC11*-OE fruit produced smaller seeds, especially those of *VvSUC11*-OE-3 and *VvSUC11*-OE-5 (**Figure 5E**). *VvSUC12*-OE



FIGURE 5 | Observation of VVSUC-OE formato seeds. (A) Crosswise cutting of truits at the red ripe stage of the W1 and VVSUC-OE lines. Scale bar, 50 mm.
(B) Crosswise cutting of VVSUC27-OE fruits at the red ripe stage. Black arrow indicates aborted seed. Scale bar, 50 mm. (C) Normal seed from WT and aborted seed from VVSUC27-OE fruit observed microscopically. Cross-cut tissue slices were stained with safranin O/fast green. Scale bar, 500 μm. (D) Comparison between the WT, VVSUC11-, and VVSUC12-OE lines regarding seed number per fruit. Error bars indicate mean ± SD of twenty biological replicates. Different lowercase letters show statistically significant differences among WT, VVSUC11-, and VVSUC12-OE lines (Tukey's test, *p* < 0.05). (E) Comparison between the WT and VVSUC12-OE lines regarding seed weight. Error bars indicate mean ± SD. The single seed weight was calculated from the average of the total weight of every 15 seeds, which was recorded as one experiment of ten biological replicates. Different lowercase letters show statistically significant differences among WT, VVSUC12-OE lines (Tukey's test, *p* < 0.05). (G) Ovary and cell division phase of the WT and *VVSUC*-OE lines observed microscopically. Anthesis, 5 and 9 days post-anthesis (DPA) of the WT and *VVSUC*-OE lines were selected to observe the ovule and seed development. Cross-cut tissue slices were stained with safranin O/fast green. Ovule, seed, aborded seed and some other parts were indicated by arrows and noted beside. Scale bar, 200 μm.

fruit produced seeds of normal size, except for *VvSUC12*-OE-2 (Figure 5E). Seed weight was further determined: Accordingly, the weight of the *VvSUC11*-OE seeds was decreased, whereas seed weight of *VvSUC12*-OE remained unchanged compared with that of the WT (Figure 5F). The ovary and cell division phase of the WT and *VvSUC*-OE lines were cross-cut and stained with toluidine blue to visualize the formation of seeds (Figure 5G). The ovules and seeds of each *VvSUC*-OE line and the WT were similar at anthesis and 5 DPA. Furthermore, both *VvSUC11*- and *VvSUC12*-OE seeds were similar to those of the WT, whereas *VvSUC27*-OE seeds aborted at 9 DPA. The phenotypes of *VvSUC27*-OE aborted seeds at 9 DPA were

similar to those at 5 DPA; therefore, we inferred that *VvSUC27*-OE seeds ceased development and stenospermocarpy at 5 DPA.

# Ectopic Expression of *VvSUC27* Impaired the Expression of Plant Hormone-Related Genes

*VvSUC27*-OE seeds stopped developing at 5 DPA, which caused the *VvSUC27*-OE lines to produce seedless fruits. Considering the developing seeds from each *VvSUC*-OE line and the WT were similar and *VvSUC27*-OE seeds ceased development at 5 DPA (**Figure 5G**), the entire fruits were ground up in this study. However, the results excluded the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with seed-specific expression, because the developing seeds had not been manually removed from fruits. RNA-seq was conducted to discover the DEGs from  $T_1$  transgenic lines of *VvSUC11*-OE-5 and *VvSUC12*-OE-5, as well as the  $T_0$  transgenic line of *VvSUC27*-OE-5 fruits and the WT at 5 DPA. The RNA-seq experiment was repeated with three independent biological replicates. Raw reads were screened to obtain clean reads, and the effective rate was over 97% (**Supplementary Table S2**).

Additionally, all clean reads were mapped to the tomato reference genome, and over 80% of clean reads were mapped in each sample file, ensuring that the RNA-seq results were credible. The fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM) of VvSUC11, VvSUC12, and VvSUC27 were analyzed to verify that experimental materials of VvSUC11-, VvSUC12-, and VvSUC27-OE fruits were the transgenic fruits (Supplementary Table S3). Compared with the WT, thousands of genes were significantly differentially expressed in VvSUC11-, VvSUC12-, and VvSUC27-OE fruits using the threshold for DEGs of  $|\log_2^{FoldChange}| > 1$  and a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.01 for either sample (Figure 6A; Supplementary Table S4). There were nearly equal numbers of upregulated DEGs and downregulated DEGs in the VvSUC11-OE fruits, whereas downregulated DEGs occurred more frequently than upregulated DEGs in the VvSUC12-OE and VvSUC27-OE fruits (Figures 6B,C). Some annotated DEGs are listed in Supplementary Table S5. These data suggest that VvSUCs modulate the transcription of genes during seed formation.

It has been reported that several auxin- and ethylene-associated genes are downregulated in seedless fruits (Martinelli et al., 2009). High differential expression could also occur in plant hormone signal transduction in transgenic fruit (Figures 6D,E). Genes involved in sucrose transport, carbohydrate transport, and metabolism, as well as auxin and ethylene biosynthesis and signaling, were confirmed to be differentially regulated between VvSUCs-OE and WT fruits (Figure 7). Compared with the WT, the functional characterization showed that the expression level (shown as FPKM) of SISUT1 was significantly improved in VvSUC11-, VvSUC12-, and VvSUC27-OE fruits. Similarly, the expression level of SISUT2 was increased in VvSUC11- and VvSUC27-OE fruits, whereas the expression level of SlSUT4 was not significantly different (Figure 7A). Additionally, the DEGs involved in carbohydrate transport and metabolism were also analyzed; the downregulated genes were 1.08-, 2.16-, and 2.49fold greater than the upregulated genes in VvSUC11-, VvSUC12-, and VvSUC27-OE fruits, respectively (Figure 7B) and are listed in Supplementary Table S6. Furthermore, a large proportion of auxin- and ethylene-associated genes were also downregulated in VvSUC27-OE seedless fruits (Figures 7C-H; selected from all annotated DEGs listed in Supplementary Table S5). For auxin biosynthesis and signaling associated DEGs, 13 upregulated and 15 downregulated genes were detected in normal seed setting in VvSUC11-OE fruits compared with those of the WT (Figure 7C), 5 upregulated and 16 downregulated genes were detected in VvSUC12-OE fruits (Figure 7D), while 22 downregulated and only three upregulated genes were found in *VvSUC27*-OE seedless fruits (**Figure 7E**). Genes associated with ethylene biosynthesis and signaling were also chosen for expression profiling compared with that of the WT; 9 upregulated and 17 downregulated genes were detected in *VvSUC11*-OE fruits (**Figure 7F**). Seven upregulated and 19 downregulated genes were detected in *VvSUC12*-OE fruits (**Figure 7G**), whereas most of the genes (24 genes) were downregulated, and only one gene was upregulated in the *VvSUC27*-OE seedless fruits (**Figure 7H**). These results suggest that *VvSUCs*, especially *VvSUC27*, inhibit auxin and ethylene production by regulating the transcription of auxin- and ethylene-related genes.

#### DISCUSSION

#### Ectopic Expression of *VvSUC27* Induced Stenospermocarpy and Altered Fruit Quality

In many fruits, seeds are an undesirable feature, presenting a hard or leathery texture, bitter taste, and harmful toxic compounds. Therefore, seedlessness is a desirable trait in many commercially grown fruit crops if undesirable changes to quality can be avoided (Martinelli et al., 2009). Tomatoes are an important crop worldwide and a model for the study of fruit set and development. Therefore, harnessing seedlessness in tomatoes has been an important breeding objective (Picarella and Mazzucato, 2018). Previous reports have generally focused on describing reverse genetics experiments (Marti et al., 2007; Klap et al., 2017; Joldersma and Liu, 2018). Stenospermocarpy is the most common mechanism of parthenocarpy in seedless grape varieties, where pollination and fertilization occur but the embryo subsequently aborts, leaving undeveloped seeds or seed traces (Mejia et al., 2011). This work is the first to demonstrate the ability of VvSUC27 to induce seedless fruit (stenospermocarpy; only a few pollen grains could germinate) and improve fruit quality. As a strong sink organ, flowers consist of male and female floral organs, including the petals, style, and pollen. The development of floral organs is dependent on sucrose unloading from the phloem, which requires the participation of SUT. In this context, among the three VvSUCs, VvSUC27 had the most influential role in this process. Several studies have reported that SUTs play a significant role in pollen and seed development. Tomato plants transformed with a SISUT2 antisense construct were exclusively affected by impairing pollen tube growth, preventing pollination, and causing seedless fruits (Hackel et al., 2006). AtSUC1 insertional mutants produce defective pollen (Sivitz et al., 2008). Additionally, RNAi-mediated downregulation of CsSUT1 expression induced male sterility in cucumbers (Sun et al., 2019). However, similar to the suppression of other plant SUTs, ectopic expression of VvSUC27 resulted in significantly enlarged petals and pistils, abnormal stigma, and much less abundant and shrunken pollen, whereas ectopic expression of VvSUC11 and VvSUC12 produced flowers similar to those of the WT (Figures 1, 2). Thus, it could be inferred that one reason for stenospermocarpy is the ectopic expression of VvSUC27, which leads to a consequential reduction in nutrient delivery to the pollen and stigma.



**FIGURE 6** | Analyses of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in *WSUC*-OE fruits.  $|\log_2^{IodChange}| > 1$  and FDR<0.01 were used as thresholds to select the DEGs. (A) Volcano diagrams of DEGs in *WSUCs*-OE fruits compared with WT fruits at 5 DPA. Green spots indicated DEGs whose expression was less than half that of the WT. Red spots displayed DEGs whose expression was more than double that of the WT. (B) Number of up- and downregulated DEGs in *each WSUC*-OE fruit compared with that of the WT. (C) Venn diagrams showing all, upregulated, and downregulated DEGs that were commonly expressed in *WSUC11-*, *WSUC12-*, and *VSUC27*-fruits compared with that of the WT, respectively. (D) KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs in 5 DPA tomato fruits of *WSUCs*-OE lines. "indicates a significantly enriched term (corrected value of p < 0.05). (E) GO enrichment analysis of DEGs in 5 DPA tomato fruits of *WSUCs*-OE lines. "Oxidoreductase activity, acting on paired...," "Hydrolase activity, hydrolyzing O-glycosyl...," and "Sequence-specific DNA binding transcription..." were short for "Oxidoreductase activity, acting on paired donors, with incorporation or reduction of molecular oxygen, NAD(P)H as one donor, and incorporation of two atoms of oxygen into one donor," "Hydrolase activity, hydrolyzing O-glycosyl compounds," and "Sequence-specific DNA binding transcription factor activity." \*indicates a significantly enriched term (corrected value of p < 0.05).

Seedless fruits are more desirable because of their lower acidity and higher proportion of soluble solids compared with seeded fruits (Sell et al., 1953; Martinelli et al., 2009). Seedless tomatoes with good taste would be preferred among fresh market fruits. Ectopic expression of *VvSUC27* not only induced tomato fruit stenospermocarpy, but also significantly increased fruit width, height, and firmness compared with those of the WT (**Figure 3**). It has been reported that overexpression of *MdSUT2.2* could result in the accumulation of more total

soluble sugars and sucrose in apples (Ma et al., 2017, 2019a), whereas ectopic expression of *PbSUT2* led to an improvement in the content of sucrose while decreasing the contents of glucose, fructose, and total soluble sugars in mature tomato fruits. Ripe fruits of commercial tomato variants have equimolar concentrations of glucose and fructose, but they contain little sucrose (Klee and Giovannoni, 2011). Here, ectopic expression of all three *VvSUCs* improved soluble sugar contents and the concentration of glucose and fructose in mature tomato fruits,



FIGURE 7 | Identification of genes related to *SISUTs*, carbohydrate transport and metabolism, auxin and ethylene biosynthesis, and signaling. log2FC is short for log2<sup>FoldChange</sup>. (A) Comparison between the WT and each *VVSUC*-OE line regarding FPKM of *SISUT1*, *SISUT2*, and *SISUT4*. (B) Number of upregulated and downregulated genes related to carbohydrate transport and metabolism pathway. (C–E) Heat map of genes related to auxin biosynthesis and signaling between the WT and each *VVSUC*-OE fruit. IAA, SAUR, or PCNT115, auxin-induced protein or auxin-responsive protein; GH, indole-3-acetic acid-amido synthetase; AIR, auxin-induced in root culture protein; ARP, auxin-repressed 12.5 kDa protein-like; AuxRP, auxin-regulated protein; SIPIN, auxin efflux facilitator; VAB, auxin canalization; ABP, auxin-binding protein; AuxDUSCK, auxin-regulated dual-specificity cytosolic kinase; LAX, auxin influx carrier (AUX1 LAX family). (F–H) Heat map of genes related to ethylene biosynthesis and signaling between WT and each *VVSUC*-OE fruit. Abbreviations: AIL1 or At1g16060, AP2-like ethylene-responsive transcription factor; CRF, WRI1, ABR1, RAP2, or ERF, ethylene-responsive transcription factor; ER, ethylene-responsive transcription factor; ER, ethylene-responsive transcriptional coactivator; ETR, ethylene-binding protein. Statistically significant differences (*p*<0.05) are indicated by asterisks.

especially ectopic expression of *VvSUC27* (Figure 4). For *VvSUC12*- and *VvSUC27*-OE mature fruits, the titratable acidity decreased and total soluble solid/titratable acidity ratio of all lines was significantly improved compared with that of the WT (Figure 4E). Furthermore, compared with the WT, two of the *VvSUC11*-OE lines and all of the *VvSUC12*-OE lines significantly improved the sucrose content of mature fruit, while no sucrose could be detected in *VvSUC27*-OE lines (Figure 4C). The different sucrose contents in the mature fruit may be due to the different effects of *VvSUCs* on cell wall bound and vacuolar invertases on fruit sink strength (Yelle et al., 1991; Klann et al., 1996; Jin et al., 2009; Zanor et al., 2009). Thus, it can be inferred that all three *VvSUCs* participated in the consequential sugar accumulation in the sink. The positive

traits of improved firmness, increased sugar content, and stenospermocarpy on *VvSUC27*-OE fruits would be desirable in fresh market fruits.

#### The Downregulated Genes in Carbohydrate Transport and Metabolism, and Auxin- and Ethylene-Related Signaling Pathways May Underlie Stenospermocarpy

There could be a very complex mechanism underlying seedless crops, with many genes being reported as responsible for this phenomenon (Marti et al., 2007; Klap et al., 2017; Cong et al., 2019; Wen et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020), along with alterations

in hormone levels and carbohydrate supply. Many studies have shown that genes involved in carbohydrate transport and metabolism are related to seedlessness. Attributed to pollination failure and impaired male and female fertilities, significant reductions in viable seeds were observed after silencing vacuolar invertase genes in cotton, in addition to a reduction in the expression of genes involved in starch metabolism (Wang and Ruan, 2016). Downregulation of CsSUT1 caused a significant downregulation in the expression of many genes related to carbohydrate metabolism and sugar transport in male flowers, which is one of the main causes of male sterility (Sun et al., 2019). Therefore, direct changes in seedless fruits induced by genetic transformation have been well characterized at anthesis. In this study, five DPA fruits were chosen to emphasize the differences between transgenic and WT fruits during seed formation. The expression of most genes related to carbohydrate metabolism and sugar transport was downregulated in VvSUC12and especially in VvSUC27-OE lines, whereas nearly equal numbers of genes were downregulated and upregulated in more seeded VvSUC11-OE lines (Figure 7B). These results suggest that ectopic expression of VvSUC27 could downregulate the expression of genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism and sugar transport during early fruit development, which may regulate the growth and development of seeds.

Seedless fruits are often reported to have a longer shelf life than seeded fruits as seeds can produce hormones, such as ethylene, which triggers senescence (Fei et al., 2004). Previously published data showed that the downregulation of IAA-responsive genes or Aux/IAA family members resulted in seedless fruits (Goetz et al., 2007; de Jong et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2013). For SUT, the knockdown of CsSUT1 in cucumbers at the twelfth stage of male flowers caused changes in genes associated with auxin signaling (Sun et al., 2019). In our study, only a small proportion of genes involved in auxin- and ethylene-related signaling pathways were upregulated in all transgenic fruits compared with the WT (Figure 7). The more seeds the transgenic tomato had, the lower the proportion of downregulated genes; therefore, the proportion of downregulated genes in more seeded VvSUC11-OE fruits was lower than that in VvSUC12-OE fruits, especially seedless VvSUC27-OE fruits. In this regard, we demonstrated that compared with those of the WT, the expression of most genes related to auxin-related signaling pathways (IAA, SAUR, PCNT115, GH, AIR, ARP, AuxRP, AuxRP, SIPIN, VAB, ABP, AuxDUSCK, and AUX1 LAX family) was all downregulated in VvSUC27-OE fruits at 5 DPA. However, three genes, namely, ABP19a-2, SAUR36-1, and VAB, were not. Concerning the expression of genes related to the ethylenerelated signaling pathway, (AP2-like) ethylene-responsive transcription factor (AIL1 and At1g16060), ethylene-responsive transcription factor (CRF, WRI1, ABR1, RAP2, and ERF), ethyleneresponsive transcriptional coactivator (ER), and ethylene-binding protein were all downregulated, except for one gene, ER24. Our results were similar to the report that found several ethyleneand IAA-associated genes were downregulated in transgenic seedless tomatoes at the breaker stage (Martinelli et al., 2009). These results suggest that VvSUC27 could downregulate the expression of genes involved in auxin- and ethylene-related signaling pathways during early fruit development, which may regulate the growth and development of seeds. The genes which were specifically expressed in seeds were not included since the developing seeds were unremoved from fruits.

In summary, ectopic expression of *VvSUC27* in tomatoes caused a consequential reduction in nutrient delivery to the pollen, with a subsequent downregulation of the genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism and sugar transport, along with auxin- and ethylene-related signaling pathways during early fruit development, which may regulate the growth and development of seeds. This work is the first to demonstrate the ability of *VvSUC27* to induce seedless and high-quality fruits, which would be an effective and commercially desirable approach for bioengineering stenospermocarpy in tomatoes and other crops.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YZ led the project. YC, WT, ZD, JY, WD, HG, JX, NZ, and JW performed the experiments. YZ, YC, LY, LZ, and QM designed and supervised the experiments. YZ and YC wrote and edited the paper. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### **FUNDING**

This research was supported by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 30900969), Bagui Young Scholars' Special Fund of Guangxi and the Special Fund for the Central Government Guides Local Science and Technology Development (Guike ZY21195039), China Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province (Grant No. QC2017024), and the Project for Extramural Scientists of State Key Laboratory of Agrobiotechnology (2020SKLAB6-8).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Guiqin Qu (College of Food Science and Nutritional Engineering, China Agricultural University) for providing assistance in building the tomato transformation platform and providing valuable comments.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021.759047/ full#supplementary-material

#### REFERENCES

- Afoufa-Bastien, D., Medici, A., Jeauffre, J., Coutos-Thevenot, P., Lemoine, R., Atanassova, R., et al. (2010). The Vitis vinifera sugar transporter gene family: phylogenetic overview and macroarray expression profiling. *BMC Plant Biol.* 10, 1741–1763. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-10-245
- Agius, C., von Tucher, S., Poppenberger, B., and Rozhon, W. (2018). Quantification of sugars and organic acids in tomato fruits. *Methods* 5, 537–550. doi: 10.1016/j.mex.2018.05.014
- Barker, L., Kuhn, C., Weise, A., Schulz, A., Gebhardt, C., Hirner, B., et al. (2000). SUT2, a putative sucrose sensor in sieve elements. *Plant Cell* 12, 1153–1164. doi: 10.1105/tpc.12.7.1153
- Burkle, L., Hibberd, J. M., Quick, W. P., Kuhn, C., Hirner, B., and Frommer, W. B. (1998). The H+-sucrose cotransporter NtSUT1 is essential for sugar export from tobacco leaves. *Plant Physiol.* 118, 59–68. doi: 10.1104/pp.118.1.59
- Cai, Y., Tu, W., Zu, Y., Jing, Y., Xu, Z., Lu, J., et al. (2017). Overexpression of a grapevine sucrose transporter (VvSUC27) in tobacco improves plant growth rate in the presence of sucrose In vitro. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:1069. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01069
- Cai, Y., Yan, J., Li, Q., Deng, Z., Liu, S., Lu, J., et al. (2019). Sucrose transporters of resistant grapevine are involved in stress resistance. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 100, 111–132. doi: 10.1007/s11103-019-00847-5
- Cai, Y., Yan, J., Tu, W., Deng, Z., Dong, W., Gao, H., et al. (2020). Expression of sucrose transporters from Vitis vinifera confer high yield and enhances drought resistance in Arabidopsis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:2624. doi: 10.3390/ ijms21072624
- Chen, J., Wang, N., Fang, L. C., Liang, Z. C., Li, S. H., and Wu, B. H. (2015). Construction of a high-density genetic map and QTLs mapping for sugars and acids in grape berries. *BMC Plant Biol.* 15:28. doi: 10.1186/ s12870-015-0428-2
- Chen, Q., Diao, L., Song, H., and Zhu, X. (2018). Vitis amurensis Rupr: A review of chemistry and pharmacology. *Phytomedicine* 49, 111–122. doi: 10.1016/j.phymed.2017.08.013
- Cong, L., Yue, R., Wang, H., Liu, J., Zhai, R., Yang, J., et al. (2019). 2,4-D-induced parthenocarpy in pear is mediated by enhancement of GA4 biosynthesis. *Physiol. Plant.* 166, 812–820. doi: 10.1111/ppl.12835
- Davies, C., Wolf, T., and Robinson, S. P. (1999). Three putative sucrose transporters are differentially expressed in grapevine tissues. *Plant Sci.* 147, 93–100. doi: 10.1016/S0168-9452(99)00059-X
- de Jong, M., Wolters-Arts, M., Feron, R., Mariani, C., and Vriezen, W. H. (2009). The Solanum lycopersicum auxin response factor 7 (SIARF7) regulates auxin signaling during tomato fruit set and development. *Plant J.* 57, 160–170. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03671.x
- Fei, Z., Tang, X., Alba, R. M., White, J. A., Ronning, C. M., Martin, G. B., et al. (2004). Comprehensive EST analysis of tomato and comparative genomics of fruit ripening. *Plant J.* 40, 47–59. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X. 2004.02188.x
- Gil, L., Yaron, I., Shalitin, D., Sauer, N., Turgeon, R., and Wolf, S. (2011). Sucrose transporter plays a role in phloem loading in CMV-infected melon plants that are defined as symplastic loaders. *Plant J.* 66, 366–374. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04498.x
- Goetz, M., Hooper, L. C., Johnson, S. D., Rodrigues, J. C., Vivian-Smith, A., and Koltunow, A. M. (2007). Expression of aberrant forms of AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR8 stimulates parthenocarpy in Arabidopsis and tomato. *Plant Physiol.* 145, 351–366. doi: 10.1104/pp.107.104174
- Greco, M., Chiappetta, A., Bruno, L., and Bitonti, M. B. (2012). In Posidonia oceanica cadmium induces changes in DNA methylation and chromatin patterning. J. Exp. Bot. 63, 695–709. doi: 10.1093/jxb/err313
- Hackel, A., Schauer, N., Carrari, F., Fernie, A. R., Grimm, B., and Kuhn, C. (2006). Sucrose transporter LeSUT1 and LeSUT2 inhibition affects tomato fruit development in different ways. *Plant J.* 45, 180–192. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02572.x
- Huang, X. S., Liu, J. H., and Chen, X. J. (2010). Overexpression of PtrABF gene, a bZIP transcription factor isolated from Poncirus trifoliata, enhances dehydration and drought tolerance in tobacco via scavenging ROS and modulating expression of stress-responsive genes. *BMC Plant Biol.* 10:230. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-10-230

- Jin, Y., Ni, D. A., and Ruan, Y. L. (2009). Posttranslational elevation of cell wall invertase activity by silencing its inhibitor in tomato delays leaf senescence and increases seed weight and fruit hexose level. *Plant Cell* 21, 2072–2089. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.063719
- Joldersma, D., and Liu, Z. (2018). The making of virgin fruit: the molecular and genetic basis of parthenocarpy. J. Exp. Bot. 69, 955–962. doi: 10.1093/ jxb/erx446
- Klann, E. M., Hall, B., and Bennett, A. B. (1996). Antisense acid invertase (TIV1) gene alters soluble sugar composition and size in transgenic tomato fruit. *Plant Physiol.* 112, 1321–1330. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.3.1321
- Klap, C., Yeshayahou, E., Bolger, A. M., Arazi, T., Gupta, S. K., Shabtai, S., et al. (2017). Tomato facultative parthenocarpy results from SIAGAMOUS-LIKE 6 loss of function. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 15, 634–647. doi: 10.1111/ pbi.12662
- Klee, H. J., and Giovannoni, J. J. (2011). Genetics and control of tomato fruit ripening and quality attributes. Annu. Rev. Genet. 45, 41–59. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-genet-110410-132507
- Koch, K. (2004). Sucrose metabolism: regulatory mechanisms and pivotal roles in sugar sensing and plant development. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 7, 235–246. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2004.03.014
- Ma, Q. J., Sun, M. H., Lu, J., Kang, H., You, C. X., and Hao, Y. J. (2019a). An apple sucrose transporter MdSUT2.2 is a phosphorylation target for protein kinase MdCIPK22 in response to drought. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 17, 625–637. doi: 10.1111/pbi.13003
- Ma, Q. J., Sun, M. H., Lu, J., Liu, Y. J., Hu, D. G., and Hao, Y. J. (2017). Transcription factor AREB2 is involved in soluble sugar accumulation by activating sugar transporter and amylase genes. *Plant Physiol.* 174, 2348–2362. doi: 10.1104/pp.17.00502
- Ma, S., Sun, L., Sui, X., Li, Y., Chang, Y., Fan, J., et al. (2019b). Phloem loading in cucumber: combined symplastic and apoplastic strategies. *Plant J.* 98, 391–404. doi: 10.1111/tpj.14224
- Manning, K., Davies, C., Bowen, H. C., and White, P. J. (2001). Functional characterization of two ripening-related sucrose transporters from grape berries. Ann. Bot. 87, 125–129. doi: 10.1006/anbo.2000.1316
- Marti, C., Orzaez, D., Ellul, P., Moreno, V., Carbonell, J., and Granell, A. (2007). Silencing of DELLA induces facultative parthenocarpy in tomato fruits. *Plant J.* 52, 865–876. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03282.x
- Martinelli, F., Uratsu, S. L., Reagan, R. L., Chen, Y., Tricoli, D., Fiehn, O., et al. (2009). Gene regulation in parthenocarpic tomato fruit. J. Exp. Bot. 60, 3873–3890. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp227
- Mejia, N., Soto, B., Guerrero, M., Casanueva, X., Houel, C., Miccono Mde, L., et al. (2011). Molecular, genetic and transcriptional evidence for a role of VvAGL11 in stenospermocarpic seedlessness in grapevine. *BMC Plant Biol.* 11:57. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-11-57
- Picarella, M. E., and Mazzucato, A. (2018). The occurrence of Seedlessness in higher plants; insights on roles and mechanisms of Parthenocarpy. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9:1997. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01997
- Reinders, A., Sivitz, A. B., Hsi, A., Grof, C. P., Perroux, J. M., and Ward, J. M. (2006). Sugarcane ShSUT1: analysis of sucrose transport activity and inhibition by sucralose. *Plant Cell Environ.* 29, 1871–1880. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2006.01563.x
- Rennie, E. A., and Turgeon, R. (2009). A comprehensive picture of phloem loading strategies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106, 14162–14167. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0902279106
- Sell, H. M., Wittwer, S. H., Rebstock, T. L., and Redemann, C. T. (1953). Comparative stimulation of Parthenocarpy in the tomato by various Indole compounds. *Plant Physiol.* 28, 481–487. doi: 10.1104/pp.28.3.481
- Sivitz, A. B., Reinders, A., and Ward, J. M. (2008). Arabidopsis sucrose transporter AtSUC1 is important for pollen germination and sucrose-induced anthocyanin accumulation. *Plant Physiol.* 147, 92–100. doi: 10.1104/pp.108.118992
- Smith, D. L., Abbott, J. A., and Gross, K. C. (2002). Down-regulation of tomato beta-galactosidase 4 results in decreased fruit softening. *Plant Physiol.* 129, 1755–1762. doi: 10.1104/pp.011025
- Sun, L., Sui, X., Lucas, W. J., Li, Y., Feng, S., Ma, S., et al. (2019). Downregulation of the sucrose transporter CsSUT1 causes male sterility by altering carbohydrate supply. *Plant Physiol.* 180, 986–997. doi: 10.1104/pp.19.00317
- Van Eck, J., Kirk, D. D., and Walmsley, A. M. (2006). Tomato (Lycopersicum esculentum). *Methods Mol. Biol.* 343, 459–473. doi: 10.1385/1-59745-130-4:459

- Wang, H., Wu, T., Liu, J., Cong, L., Zhu, Y., Zhai, R., et al. (2020). PbGA200x2 regulates fruit set and induces Parthenocarpy by enhancing GA4 content. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:113. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00113
- Wang, L., and Ruan, Y. L. (2016). Critical roles of vacuolar Invertase in floral organ development and male and female fertilities are revealed through characterization of GhVIN1-RNAi cotton plants. *Plant Physiol.* 171, 405–423. doi: 10.1104/pp.16.00197
- Wang, L. F., Qi, X. X., Huang, X. S., Xu, L. L., Jin, C., Wu, J., et al. (2016). Overexpression of sucrose transporter gene PbSUT2 from Pyrus bretschneideri, enhances sucrose content in Solanum lycopersicum fruit. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 105, 150–161. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.04.019
- Weber, H., Borisjuk, L., Heim, U., Sauer, N., and Wobus, U. (1997). A role for sugar transporters during seed development: molecular characterization of a hexose and a sucrose carrier in fava bean seeds. *Plant Cell* 9, 895–908. doi: 10.1105/tpc.9.6.895
- Weise, A., Barker, L., Kuhn, C., Lalonde, S., Buschmann, H., Frommer, W. B., et al. (2000). A new subfamily of sucrose transporters, SUT4, with low affinity/high capacity localized in enucleate sieve elements of plants. *Plant Cell* 12, 1345–1355. doi: 10.1105/tpc.12.8.1345
- Wen, B., Song, W., Sun, M., Chen, M., Mu, Q., Zhang, X., et al. (2019). Identification and characterization of cherry (Cerasus pseudocerasus G. Don) genes responding to parthenocarpy induced by GA3 through transcriptome analysis. *BMC Genet.* 20:65. doi: 10.1186/s12863-019-0746-8
- Wingenter, K., Schulz, A., Wormit, A., Wic, S., Trentmann, O., Hoermiller, I. I., et al. (2010). Increased activity of the vacuolar monosaccharide transporter TMT1 alters cellular sugar partitioning, sugar signaling, and seed yield in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 154, 665–677. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.162040
- Yang, J., Tian, L., Sun, M. X., Huang, X. Y., Zhu, J., Guan, Y. F., et al. (2013). AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR17 is essential for pollen wall pattern formation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 162, 720–731. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.214940
- Yao Li, C., Shi, J. X., Weiss, D., and Goldschmidt, E. E. (2003). Sugars regulate sucrose transporter gene expression in citrus. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 306, 402–407. doi: 10.1016/s0006-291x(03)00978-1

- Yelle, S., Chetelat, R. T., Dorais, M., Deverna, J. W., and Bennett, A. B. (1991). Sink metabolism in tomato fruit: IV. Genetic and biochemical analysis of sucrose accumulation. *Plant Physiol.* 95, 1026–1035. doi: 10.1104/pp.95.4.1026
- Zanor, M. I., Osorio, S., Nunes-Nesi, A., Carrari, F., Lohse, M., Usadel, B., et al. (2009). RNA interference of LIN5 in tomato confirms its role in controlling brix content, uncovers the influence of sugars on the levels of fruit hormones, and demonstrates the importance of sucrose cleavage for normal fruit development and fertility. *Plant Physiol.* 150, 1204–1218. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.136598
- Zhang, Y. L., Meng, Q. Y., Zhu, H. L., Guo, Y., Gao, H. Y., Luo, Y. B., et al. (2008). Functional characterization of a LAHC sucrose transporter isolated from grape berries in yeast. *Plant Growth Regul.* 54, 71–79. doi: 10.1007/ s10725-007-9226-7

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Cai, Yin, Tu, Deng, Yan, Dong, Gao, Xu, Zhang, Wang, Zhu, Meng and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.