#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Walter Daniel Carciochi, National University of Mar del Plata, Argentina

### REVIEWED BY Amitava Rakshit,

Banaras Hindu University, India Shuai Ouyang, Central South University of Forestry and Technology, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Changfu Liu liucf898@163.com

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Plant Nutrition, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 24 September 2022 ACCEPTED 21 November 2022 PUBLISHED 08 December 2022

#### CITATION

Wang N, Li L, Gou M, Jian Z, Hu J, Chen H, Xiao W and Liu C (2022) Living grass mulching improves soil enzyme activities through enhanced available nutrients in citrus orchards in subtropical China. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:1053009. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.1053009

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Wang, Li, Gou, Jian, Hu, Chen, Xiao and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Living grass mulching improves soil enzyme activities through enhanced available nutrients in citrus orchards in subtropical China

Na Wang<sup>1</sup>, Le Li<sup>2</sup>, Mengmeng Gou<sup>1,3</sup>, Zunji Jian<sup>1</sup>, Jianwen Hu<sup>1</sup>, Huiling Chen<sup>1</sup>, Wenfa Xiao<sup>1,3</sup> and Changfu Liu<sup>1,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Environment, Nation Forestry and Grassland Administration, Ecology and Nature Conservation Institute, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>Research Institute of Tropical Forestry, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Guangzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>Co–Innovation Center for Sustainable Forestry in Southern China, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, China

Living grass mulching (LGM) is an important orchard floor management that has been applied worldwide. Although LGM can effectively enhance soil nutrient availability and fertility, its effects on microbial-mediated soil nutrient cycling and main drivers are unclear. Meanwhile, the variation of enzyme activities and soil nutrient availability with LGM duration have been rarely studied. This study aims to explore the effects of mulching age and soil layer on enzyme activities and soil nutrients in citrus orchards. In this study, three LGM (Vicia villosa) treatments were applied, i.e., mulching for eight years, mulching for four years, and no mulching (clean tillage). Their effects on the enzyme activities and soil nutrients were analyzed in different soil layers of citrus orchards in subtropical China, i.e., 0-10, 10-20, and 20-40 cm. Compared to clean tillage, mulching for four years had fewer effects on enzyme activities and soil nutrients. In contrast, mulching for eight years significantly increased available nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) nutrients,  $\beta$ glucosidase, and cellobiohydrolase activities in the soil layer of 0-20 cm. In the soil layer of 0-40 cm, microbial biomass carbon (C), N, P, Nacetylglucosaminidase, leucine aminopeptidase, and acid phosphatase activities also increased (P < 0.05). Mulching for eight years significantly promoted C, N, and P-cycling enzyme activities and total enzyme activities by 2.45-6.07, 9.29-54.42, 4.42-7.11, and 5.32-14.91 times, respectively. Redundancy analysis shows that mulching treatments for eight and four years had soil layer-dependent positive effects on soil enzyme activities. Microbial C and P showed the most significant positive correlation with enzyme activities, followed by moisture content, organic C, and available N (P < 0.05). Available nutrients contributed almost 70% to affect enzyme activities significantly and were the main drivers of the enzyme activity variation. In summary, LGM could improve soil enzyme activities by increasing available nutrients. The promotion effect was more significant under mulching for eight years. Therefore, extending mulching age and improving nutrient availability are effective development strategies for sustainable soil management in orchard systems. Our study can provide valuable guidelines for the design and implementation of more sustainable management practices in citrus orchards.

### KEYWORDS

living grass mulching, soil enzyme activity, soil nutrient, mulching age, soil layer, orchard

# Introduction

Orchards have been widely maintained worldwide and have become an essential part of agriculture owing to the tremendous economic value of the fruit (Rey, 2011; Zhao et al., 2021). The acreage of orchards has increased by approximately 22% since 2000 worldwide (FAO, 2020). Soil is the foundation of ensuring orchard productivity and promoting ecosystem stability. Agricultural management regimes largely affect soil properties and biochemical processes (Jia et al., 2022). Orchard management practices vary across regions, resulting in differential impacts on soil quality (e.g., physicochemical and biochemical properties) (Demestihas et al., 2017; Xiang et al., 2023). China has the largest orchard area in the world (Xiang et al., 2022). Although orchards have contributed significantly to improving the total vegetation coverage in China, understory management of orchards is still lagging (Wei et al., 2017). Clean tillage (total weeding control) is a popular orchard floor management practice in China (Wang et al., 2015). Implementing clean tillage management in orchards can speed up soil organic matter's mineralization and decomposition and exacerbate the decrease of enzyme activities and soil microbial community diversity (Wang et al., 2009; Vignozzi et al., 2019; Xiang et al., 2022). Optimal management practices, such as living grass mulching (LGM), have been promoted to curb soil degradation in orchards (Rumpel et al., 2020). However, farmers and decision-makers have not realized its potential impacts on the orchard ecosystems, resulting in the slow implementation of optimal practices (Wei et al., 2017). Therefore, a critical assessment of soil characteristics responding to orchard floor management is necessary to realize sustainable utilization of orchard soils.

LGM is a soil management method that replaces wholegarden or inter-row bare soil with sod cultivation (Atucha et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015; Taguas et al., 2017). Previous research has shown that LGM may alter many aspects of soil properties in orchards, such as soil physical properties (Haruna et al., 2020), soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks (Xiang et al., 2022), soil nutrient contents (Wei et al., 2017), soil biological activities

(Ramos et al., 2011), and soil microbial community composition (Wang et al., 2022). Thus, LGM is very likely to affect the ecosystem functions of orchards (Wei et al., 2018). Soil enzymes can directly mediate the catabolism of soil organic and mineral components and are crucial in biogeochemical cycles within terrestrial ecosystems (Margida et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2022). Soil enzyme activity is more sensitive to soil quality changes compared with physicochemical properties (e.g., soil nutrient content and organic matter) (Luo et al., 2018). It can be considered an early warning indicator of soil system changes (Utobo and Tewari, 2015). LGM has been reported to increase the activities of soil enzymes, such as urease and phosphatase activity (Xiang et al., 2023). However, some studies have reported opposite results or no effects (Solanki et al., 2019; Adetunji et al., 2021). Kumar et al. (2022) also pointed out that the positive effects of mulching measures on soil enzyme activity could be enzyme-specific. Furthermore, LGM affects soil enzyme activity by changing soil properties (e.g., temperature, pH, soil bulk density, water content, and nutrient content) (Burns et al., 2016). LGM can improve the exogenous input of soil organic matter and enzyme activity, thus accelerating organic matter degradation and soil nutrient mineralization and improving soil nutrient levels. This indicates that enzyme activity has a positive correlation with organic matter (Zheng et al., 2018). However, exceptions exist (Sun et al., 2021). Burns et al. (2013) found that increased soil nutrients promoted nutrient uptake by microorganisms and thus reduced related catalytic enzyme activities. This indicates that soil enzyme activity is mainly affected by soil nutrient availability. Moreover, a relatively high element content in soils can also promote other elements' use by extracellular enzymes (Sinsabaugh, 2010). Therefore, inter-element coupling increases the difficulty in determining the mechanism of enzyme activity changes under orchard floor management. These inconsistent results highlight the call for more cases to better understand the soil biological properties in orchards in response to LGM.

The effects of LGM on soil biological properties may be a long-term process. Due to strong anthropogenic disturbance,

short-term LGM may not cause significant changes in orchard soil properties (Wang et al., 2020a). Nevertheless, most studies have focused on the effects of short-term LGM on orchard soil biological properties. The use of LGM for a different number of years in the orchard has been rarely studied. Moreover, various biotic and abiotic factors affecting soil enzyme activity vary with soil layers (Sun et al., 2021). Generally, enzyme activity decreases with increasing soil layer depth (Stone et al., 2014). However, orchard disturbances (e.g., fertilization, irrigation, and understory maintenance) have more direct and significant effects on the topsoil than on the subsoil (Sun et al., 2021). Some studies have reported that enzyme-associated mineralization rates of deep soil mineral nitrogen (N) or carbon (C) in subsoil were close to or higher than those in topsoil under environmental variations (Schnecker et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2019). Hence, enzyme activity variations in different soil layers of orchards due to LGM remain unclear. Evaluating the dynamics of enzyme activities and soil nutrients under different mulching ages and soil layers is necessary in order to better understand the biochemical processes under LGM.

Citrus is the fruit tree with the largest planting area in the world. It can promote regional economic development and ecological environment (Tu et al., 2021). The Three Gorges Reservoir area (TGRA) in China is one of the optimal citrus production regions worldwide due to its unique natural resources and ecological conditions (Xia et al., 2015). Currently, clean tillage is commonly adopted for citrus orchard floor management, resulting in soil degradation problems such as soil compaction and decreasing organic matter (Liang and Li, 2019). This study aims to provide a beneficial biological approach for improving soil nutrient cycling efficiency and quality in citrus orchards. In this study, Vicia villosa (a leguminous plant) was selected as mulching grass to investigate enzyme activities and soil nutrients in different soil layers (0-40 cm) under clean tillage and two mulching ages (four and eight years), respectively. This plant has strong adaptability, high N fixation capacity, high coverage, a shallow root system, and no need to cut. Specifically, the objectives of this paper include (i) analyzing the impacts of mulching ages and soil layers on enzyme activities and soil nutrients, (ii) revealing the correlation of enzyme activities with soil properties, and (iii) identifying the key factors influencing soil enzyme activities in regards to mulching ages and soil layers.

# Materials and methods

### Study site

Field experiments were performed in a citrus orchard on a sloping site in Zigui County, Hubei Province, China (110°40' E, 31°4' N). The local climate belongs to the subtropical monsoon climate. The annual average temperature and precipitation were 16.7 °C and 1013.1 mm, respectively. The soil is purple and mainly has a sandy loam texture. Since the 2000s, citrus has been continuously cultivated in the study area.

The citrus had a planting density of about 825 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>: plant spacing,  $3 \pm 0.5$  m; row spacing,  $3.5 \pm 0.5$  m. The main fertilizer used was mixed fertilizers containing 22% N, 6% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, and 11%K<sub>2</sub>O (about 3300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The fertilizers were used three times a year, including one base fertilizer and two top dressings.

### Experimental design

A species of *Vicia villosa* (VV) was used as mulching grass in the citrus orchard and sown initially using the full mulching method (45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in September 2013 and 2017, respectively. Then, the grass grew naturally and was not cleaned. The weeds in the citrus orchard with clean tillage were manually removed. Other field management practices (e.g., fertilization type and time) at these experimental sites were the same. Therefore, the experimental site with grass mulching included mulching for four years (VV\_4) and eight years (VV\_8) by 2021. The experimental site without mulching (i.e., clean tillage) was taken as the control (CT). At each site, every two plots had a distance of more than 50 m. Three replicates were used for analysis (Table 1).

## Soil sampling

Soil samples were obtained from citrus orchards with different LGM ages in March 2021. Soils were collected from three soil layers (i.e., 0-10, 10-20, and 20-40 cm) in each plot using a five-point sampling method and combined into one mixed sample by soil layer. A total of 27 soil samples (three

TABLE 1 The basic information of experimental plots with living grass mulching.

Plots	Altitude (m)	Slope (°)	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Planting density of VV (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Aspect
VV_8	220	20	200	45	south
VV_4	220	20	200	45	south
CT	220	20	200	0	south

mulching ages  $\times$  three soil layers  $\times$  three replicates) were collected. Stones and large roots were removed from the fresh soil. Then, the collected soil samples were sieved using a 2-mm sieve and split into halves. One part was stored at 4°C to determine soil moisture content, microbial biomass, and enzyme activities. The other part was naturally air-dried to determine the physicochemical properties of soil samples.

# Soil physicochemical properties and microbial biomass

The soil sample was dissolved into water (soil:water = 1:2.5) to determine soil pH. Total nitrogen (TN), SOC, and total phosphorus (TP) were calculated employing the Kjeldahl method, the  $K_2Cr_2O_7$ - $H_2SO_4$  oxidation method, and acid melt-molybdenum, antimony, and scandium colorimetry, respectively (Zheng et al., 2020). Based on the modified alkaline hydrolysis diffusion method and the Olsen method, alkali-hydrolyzed nitrogen (AN) and available phosphorus (AP) were analyzed (Wang et al., 2016). Based on the chloroform fumigation-extraction method, soil microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN), carbon (MBC), and phosphorus (MBP) were measured (Brookes et al., 1985; Vance et al., 1987). Soil moisture content (MC) was measured using the ring sampler method.

### Soil enzyme activities

Six soil enzymes were selected, including  $\beta$ -glucosidase (BG), cellobiohydrolase (CB), N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAG), leucine aminopeptidase (LAP), acid phosphatase (APH), and phenol oxidase (POX). Their activities were measured using microplate fluorimetry (German et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2020). Soil suspensions were obtained by adding fresh soil (equivalent to 1g of dry soil) into 125 mL sodium acetate buffer (50 mmol L  $^1;\,pH$  = 5.0-6.6) and stirring for 1 min. Soil suspensions (200  $\mu L)$ and corresponding enzyme substrates (i.e., 7-amino-4methylcoumarin [AMC for LAP] and 4-methylumbelliferone [MUB for BG, CB, NAG, and APH], 50 µL, 200 µmol L<sup>-1</sup>) were combined in eight sample assay wells of 96-well microplates. Then, the microplates were incubated in the dark at 25 °C for 3 hours. Fluorescence at 365 nm excitation and 450 nm emission filters was determined based on a microplate fluorometer (SpectraMax i3x, Molecular Devices, Beckman Coulter, CA, USA). The soil suspension (600  $\mu$ L) and the substrate (L-3,4dihydroxyphenylalanine, DOPA, 150 uL, 25 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>), were mixed and then added to the 96-well microplates in order to determine the POX activity. The microplates were incubated for an hour with shaking. The absorbance was measured at 465 nm. The activities of the six enzymes were measured in the unit of nmol h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> soil.

The C, N, and phosphorus (P)-cycling enzyme activity and total enzyme activity were calculated using the normalization method. The geometric mean (GM) was calculated to evaluate the enzyme activities with different functions and the total enzyme activities (Eqs. (1-4); Raiesi and Salek-Gilani, 2018):

$$GMC = \sqrt[n1]{\prod_{i=1}^{n1} EC_i}$$
(1)

$$GMN = \sqrt[n^2]{\prod_{i=1}^{n^2} EN_i}$$
(2)

$$GMP = \sqrt[n^3]{\prod_{i=1}^{n^3} EP_i}$$
(3)

$$\mathbf{GM} = \sqrt[n1+n2+n3]{\prod_{i=1}^{n1} \mathbf{EC}_i \times \prod_{i=1}^{n2} \mathbf{EN}_i \times \prod_{i=1}^{n3} \mathbf{EP}_i}$$
(4)

where GMC, GMN, and GMP indicate C, N, and P-cycling enzyme activities, respectively;  $EC_i$ ,  $EN_i$ , and  $EP_i$  are the normalized value of enzyme *i* in C, N, and P-cycling, respectively; *n1*, *n2*, and *n3* are the number of enzymes in C, N, and P-cycling, respectively; GM indicates the total enzyme activity. In this study, *n1* = 3 (POX, BG, and CB), *n2* = 2 (NAG and LAP), and *n3* = 1 (APH).

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using R v.3.6.1. First, Tukey's HSD tests and one-way and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were performed to evaluate the variations of soil enzyme activities, microbial biomass, and physicochemical properties under different mulching ages, different soil layers, and their interactions. Then, Pearson's correlation analysis was used to determine the relationships of soil enzyme activities and other soil properties. Univariate and multivariate (stepwise) linear regression analyses were performed to determine the magnitude of the interaction between other soil properties and the individual soil enzyme activity. Finally, based on the above information, redundancy analysis (RDA) was used to simultaneously examine all soil enzyme activities and the influence of other soil properties. To remove collinearity among variables, a Monte Carlo permutation test (999 permutations) and variance inflation factor inspection (VIF < 5) were used to identify effective variables. Then, the impact of other soil properties on soil enzyme activity was investigated. The importance ranking of the main influencing factors of soil enzyme activity was further determined using hierarchical partitioning (Lai et al., 2022).

# Results

# Effects of mulching age and soil layer on soil properties

Table 2 shows the effects of mulching age and soil layer on orchard soil properties. The soil layer significantly influenced all microbial biomass and soil physicochemical properties (P < 0.05). The mulching age significantly affected all microbial biomass and all soil physicochemical properties except for TN and TP. Their two-way interaction only significantly affected AP.

Soil physicochemical properties generally varied with mulching ages in the three soil layers (especially at 0-10 cm) (Figure 1). SOC, TN, AN, pH, and MC progressively increased with increasing mulching ages in all soil layers (Figures 1A–C, F, G). TP and AP decreased under VV\_4 and then significantly increased under VV\_8 (Figures 1D, E). In comparison to the CT treatment, the VV\_8 treatment substantially improved AN (69.08%) and AP (144.96%) at 0-10 cm, SOC at 20-40 cm (89.29%), and MC in all three soil layers (31.29%, 30.58%, and 26.10%) (P < 0.05) The VV\_4 treatment only significantly increased MC by 12.77% at 0-10 cm (P < 0.05). TN, TP, and pH had no significant increase under different mulching ages in each soil layer.

MBC, MBN, and MBP also showed an upward trend with mulching ages in all soil layers, except for MBP at 0-10 cm (Figure 2). MBC at three soil layers under the VV\_4 treatment was slightly higher than that under the CT treatment, while the increase was not significant (Figure 2A). MBN at 10-20 cm and MBP at 20-40 cm under the VV\_4 treatment significantly increased by 57.36% and 404.50%, respectively, compared to those under the CT treatment (P < 0.05; Figures 2B, C). MBC, MBN, and MBP under the VV\_8 treatment in all soil layers significantly increased by 245.72-733.85%, 83.82-186.57%, and 124.56-522.61%, respectively, compared to those under the CT treatment (P < 0.05; Figure 2).

The soil microbial biomass and physicochemical properties showed a similar trend with the soil layer (Figures 1, 2). In general, except for pH and MC, all other biochemical properties decreased with the soil layer depth (i.e., 0-10 cm > 10-20 cm > 20-40 cm). Remarkably, MBN was the highest at 10-20 cm (P < 0.05).



Values are the mean  $\pm$  standard error (n = 3). Capital letters represent significant differences in different soil layers under the same mulching age. Lowercase letters represent significant differences under different mulching ages in the same soil layer based on Tukey's tests and one-way ANOVA (P < 0.05). VV\_8, mulching for eight years; VV\_4, mulching for four years; CT, clean tillage; SOC, soil organic carbon; TN, total nitrogen; AN, alkali-hydrolyzed nitrogen; TP, total phosphorus; AP, available phosphorus; MC, moisture content.

# Effects of mulching age and soil layer on soil enzyme activities

From Table 3, the mulching age significantly affected all soil enzyme activities (P < 0.05). The soil layer significantly influenced all soil enzyme activities except for POX. The combined effect of mulching age and soil layer only significantly affected LAP, APH, GMN, GMP, and GM (P < 0.05). The mulching age and soil layer significantly affected C, N, and P-cycling and total enzyme activities (P < 0.001).

The soil enzyme activities were ranked in descending order in terms of mulching age and soil layer:  $VV_8 > VV_4 > CT$ ; 0-10 cm > 10-20 cm > 20-40 cm (Figure 3). The BG activity (0-10 cm) and CB activity (10-20 cm) under the VV\_8 treatment were 1.22 and 3.90 times greater than those under the CT treatment (P < 0.05),

TABLE 2 Statistical differences (F-values and significance level) between means of soil properties by two-way ANOVA with mulching age and soil layer.

Factor	SOC	TN	AN	ТР	AP	pН	MC	MBC	MBN	MBP
Mulching age (A)	6.04**	3.46	7.18**	1.21	25.24***	5.10*	40.97***	187.87***	26.57***	14.05***
Soil layer (L)	24.11***	15.32***	13.18***	6.84**	12.05***	4.14*	9.71***	5.61*	82.93***	12.69***
A*L	0.47	0.55	0.73	1.23	3.82*	0.22	0.28	0.16	1.94	2.74

SOC, soil organic carbon; TN, total nitrogen; AN, alkali-hydrolyzed nitrogen; TP, total phosphorus; AP, available phosphorus; MC, moisture content; MBC, MBN, and MBP represent microbial biomass carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus, respectively. \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* represent P < 0.05, P < 0.01, and P < 0.001, respectively. The data is F value.



respectively. The NAG, LAP, and APH activities under the VV\_8 treatment in all soil layers were 1.94-2.49, 22.17-223.77, and 2.71-3.00 times greater than those under the CT treatment, respectively (P < 0.05). Furthermore, compared to the CT treatment, the VV\_4 treatment only significantly increased the activities of BG (81.16%) and APH (136.77%) at 0-10 cm (P < 0.05).

The activities of GMC, GMN, GMP, and GM increased with mulching age in all soil layers. Under the VV\_8 treatment, they were 2.45-6.07, 9.29-54.42, 4.42-7.11, and 5.32-14.91 times higher than those under the CT treatment, respectively (P < 0.05). The VV\_4 treatment significantly enhanced GMC activity at 10-20 cm, GMN and GMP activities at 0-10 cm, and GM activity at 0-10 cm and 20-40 cm by 259.84%, 296.44%, 215.55%, 216.45%, and 360.98%, respectively (P < 0.05). GMC, GMN, GMP, and GM activities declined as the soil layer got deeper. Under the VV\_8 and VV\_4 treatments, these activities were much higher at 0-10 cm compared to those at 20-40 cm. The CT treatment only significantly changed GMN (Figure 4).

# Main influencing factors of soil enzyme activity

The correlation analysis reveals that the enzyme activity generally exhibited significant positive correlations with MBC,



MBP, AN, and AP (P < 0.001). SOC and TN also had a positive and significant correlation with all enzyme activities (P < 0.001; Figure 5A), except for POX and APH activities. Under the VV\_8 treatment, the correlation of BG, NAG, APH, and GMP activities with MBP was significantly positive (P < 0.05). The correlation of BG, CB, and GMC activities with SOC was significantly positive (P < 0.001). LAP activity showed a significant positive correlation with TN (P < 0.001). N and Pcycling enzyme activities exhibited a significant positive correlation with AN and AP (P < 0.05; Figure 5B). BG activity was positively associated with AN, SOC, TN, and TP under the VV\_4 treatment (P < 0.05; Figure 5C). The enzyme activities exhibited a significant positive correlation with soil C and N nutrients under the CT treatment (P < 0.05; Figure 5D). Univariate and stepwise regression analyses found similar results to explain the relationship between soil enzyme

TABLE 3 Statistical differences (F-values and significance level) between means of soil enzyme activities by two-way ANOVA with mulching age and soil layer.

Factor	POX	BG	CB	NAG	LAP	APH	GMC	GMN	GMP	GM
Mulching age (A)	9.39**	18.18***	3.67*	57.07***	38.67***	145.58***	29.56***	126.91***	145.58***	132.83***
Soil layer (L)	1.02	24.10***	28.98***	3.84*	11.79***	28.83***	21.43***	21.33***	28.83***	43.42***
A*L	0.25	2.50	1.25	0.88	3.32*	4.21*	2.20	4.80**	4.21*	7.18***

POX, phenol oxidase; BG, β-glucosidase; CB, cellobiohydrolase; NAG, N-acetylglucosaminidase; LAP, leucine aminopeptidase; APH, acid phosphatase ; GMC, GMN, and GMP represent C, N, and P-cycling enzyme activities, respectively; GM, total enzyme activities. \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* represent *P* < 0.05, *P* < 0.01, and *P* < 0.001, respectively. The data is *F* value.



activities and other soil properties. MBC, MBP, SOC, AN, and AP had significant and strong univariate relationships with each enzyme activity (P < 0.01; Figure 6). Also, stepwise regression output showed that the standardized regression coefficients of MBC, MBP, SOC, AN, and AP were statistically significant (P < 0.05; Table 4) and had higher absolute values. These results indicate that the response of soil enzyme activity to MBC, MBP, SOC, AN, and AP was more sensitive, that is, these five biochemical properties had a greater positive effect on soil enzyme activity.

The two main axes (RDA) 1 and 2 were selected with an explanation of 63.87% and 3.95%, respectively (Figure 7). RDA shows that soil properties showed positive effects on enzyme activities (Figure 7). The soil properties were ranked in descending order in terms of importance: MBC (16.40%) > MBP (13.18%) > MC (11.15%) > SOC (9.09%) > AN (6.33%) > AP (5.57%) > TN (5.15%) > pH (3.09%) > MBN (1.54%). The response of soil enzyme activities to the top five soil properties was significant (P < 0.05; Table 5). MBC had the longest arrow line and the highest explanation amount. This indicates that MBC was the most important influencing factor. The available nutrients that had significant impacts, i.e., MBC, MBP, and AN,



accounted for nearly 70% of the indices that significantly influenced soil enzyme activities (Table 5).

In general, MBC and MC mainly affected the activities of GMN (LAP), GMP (APH), and POX. MBP mainly affected GM, GMC (BG and CB), and NAG activities. BG and CB activities were also affected by SOC and AN. In addition, the effects of soil properties on soil enzyme activities varied with mulching ages and soil layers. The VV\_8 treatment positively affected all enzyme activities at all soil layers, especially at 0-20 cm. The VV\_4 treatment only positively affected BG and CB activities at 0-10 cm.

## Discussion

# Effects of different mulching ages on soil enzyme activities

LGM could improve soil nutrients and enzyme activities by increasing SOC input from root exudates and above-ground residues (Qian et al., 2015; Kader et al., 2017). We found that



#### FIGURE 6

The adjusted R<sup>2</sup> of univariate regression analysis for soil enzyme activities and soil physicochemical properties and microbial biomass. POX, phenol oxidase; BG,  $\beta$ -glucosidase; CB, cellobiohydrolase; NAG, N-acetylglucosaminidase; LAP, leucine aminopeptidase; APH, acid phosphatase; GMC, GMN, and GMP represent C, N, and P-cycling enzyme activities, respectively; GM, total enzyme activities; MBC, MBN, and MBP represent microbial biomass carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus, respectively; SOC, soil organic carbon; TN, total nitrogen; AN, alkali-hydrolyzed nitrogen; TP, total phosphorus; AP, available phosphorus; MC, moisture content. \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* represent P < 0.05, P < 0.01, and P < 0.001, respectively.

LGM's effects on enzyme activity were closely correlated with mulching ages and soil layers. The BG and APH activities at 0-10 cm were notably higher under the VV\_4 treatment than the CT treatment (Figure 3). The BG activity was an important indicator reflecting the quality of organic matter and C sink level (Cenini et al., 2016). BG can hydrolyze cellobiose to generate



biomass that significantly explained variation. VV\_8, mulching for eight years; VV\_4, mulching for four years; CT, clean tillage; POX, phenol oxidase; BG,  $\beta$ -glucosidase; CB, cellobiohydrolase; NAG, N-acetylglucosaminidase; LAP, leucine aminopeptidase; APH, acid phosphatase; GMC, GMN, and GMP represent C, N, and P-cycling enzyme activities, respectively; GM, total enzyme activities; MBC, MBN, and MBP represent microbial biomass carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus, respectively; SOC, soil organic carbon; TN, total nitrogen; AN, alkali-hydrolyzed nitrogen; AP, available phosphorus; MC, moisture content.

glucose and provide metabolites for soil microorganisms (Singhania et al., 2013). After short-term mulching with *Vicia villosa*, cellulose and other  $\beta$ -1, 4-glucan polymers dominated the soil organic matter input and directly acted on the topsoil. Thus, the secretion of hydrolase enzymes (especially BG) was improved. These enzymes were the most closely related to the organic matter formed by the decomposition of herbaceous

TABLE 4 The standardized regression coefficient of multivariate (stepwise) linear regression analysis.

Variables	R <sup>2</sup>	MBC	MBN	MBP	SOC	TN	AN	ТР	AP	pН	MC
POX	0.57***	0.23	0.16	0.77***	0.24	0.17	0.11	0.06	0.03	0.21	0.26
BG	0.69***	0.17	0.08	0.23	0.84***	0.17	0.26	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.20
СВ	0.59***	0.04	0.01	0.06	0.78***	0.10	0.18	0.01	0.10	0.14	0.07
АРН	0.80***	0.78***	0.05	0.12	0.23*	0.04	0.09	0.003	0.004	0.01	0.10
NAG	0.87***	0.74***	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.15	0.30**	0.01	0.01	0.15	0.01
LAP	0.77***	0.21	0.02	0.02	0.21	0.25	0.19	0.09	0.77***	0.03	0.26*
GMC	0.81***	0.07	0.07	0.50***	0.50***	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.09	0.25*	0.14
GMN	0.90***	0.58***	0.01	0.07	0.09	0.01	0.24*	0.02	0.26*	0.07	0.14
GMP	0.80***	0.78***	0.05	0.12	0.23*	0.04	0.09	0.003	0.004	0.01	0.10
GM	0.83***	0.63***	0.08	0.19	0.46***	0.04	0.20	0.10	0.18	0.13	0.17

POX, phenol oxidase; BG,  $\beta$ -glucosidase; CB, cellobiohydrolase; NAG, N-acetylglucosaminidase; LAP, leucine aminopeptidase; APH, acid phosphatase; GMC, GMN, and GMP represent C, N, and P-cycling enzyme activities, respectively; GM, total enzyme activities; MBC, MBN, and MBP represent microbial biomass carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus, respectively; SOC, soil organic carbon; TN, total nitrogen; AN, alkali-hydrolyzed nitrogen; TP, total phosphorus; AP, available phosphorus; MC, moisture content. \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* represent *P* < 0.05, *P* < 0.01, and *P* < 0.001, respectively. Numbers in bold font represent the variables entered into the model, and the numbers in regular font represent variables removed from the model.

Soil properties	Importance	Percentage of soil properties in total variation	Р	
MBC	1	16.40	0.012*	
MBP	2	13.18	0.012*	
MC	3	11.15	0.020*	
SOC	4	9.09	0.016*	
AN	5	6.33	0.046*	
AP	6	5.57	0.068	
TN	7	5.15	0.064	
pН	8	3.09	0.132	
MBN	9	1.54	0.212	

 TABLE 5
 Significance test results and importance ranking of soil properties.

\*indicates P < 0.05. MBC, microbial biomass carbon; MBP, microbial biomass phosphorus; MC, moisture content; SOC, soil organic carbon; AN, alkali-hydrolyzed nitrogen; AP, available phosphorus; TN, total nitrogen; MBN, microbial biomass nitrogen.

residues (Sinsabaugh et al., 2008). This is consistent with the finding of Feng et al. (2021). They reported that a short-term leguminous grass mulching system increased the BG activity compared with the non-mulching treatment, indicating the increased C inputs from grass mulching which could stimulate microbial activity. The increase of APH activity after short-term mulching may be related to P sequestration in herbs and fruit trees. In the initial mulching stage (the VV\_4 treatment), soil P decreased at 0-10 cm (Figures 1, 2) due to the competition for P between fruit trees and herbs. This may induce short-term P limitation in the soil (Deng et al., 2017). The result also agreed with the study by Chen et al. (2020). Therefore, fruit trees may release more root exudates to stimulate microbial activity and increase P secretion to alleviate P limitation.

Under the VV\_8 treatment, the enzyme activities significantly increased, especially N-cycling (NAG and LAP) and P-cycling (APH) enzyme activities (Figures 3, 4). After longterm mulching with Vicia villosa, the enzyme activity was higher due to increased soil organic matter by herbaceous residue accumulation. Increased C input could weaken microbial C limitation and increase unstable components of SOC (Kalinina et al., 2019). Thus, the formation and release of enzymes were accelerated, and enzyme activities were effectively promoted. NAG and LAP were mainly involved in soil N transformation (Cenini et al., 2016) and chitin and peptide decomposition, respectively. The increased activities of these two enzymes may be attributed to the enhanced N fixation capacity of legumes and the weakened P limitation after long-term mulching. The increase in N availability promoted the N-cycling enzyme activities. The increase in the NAG activity may be due to the increase in the number of fungi caused by the long-term accumulation of herbaceous residues (Ramos-Zapata et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2020b) since chitin mainly exists in fungal cell walls and animal exoskeletons (Zheng et al., 2018). Wang et al. (2020b) showed that long-term mulching promoted the increase of NAG activity. However, another study stated that NAG was not directly affected by the decomposition of crop residues. Thus, long-term mulching did not affect its activity

(Zheng et al., 2018). In addition, the continuous increase of APH indicates that the soil still showed P deficiency, although P limitation was alleviated after long-term mulching. Therefore, to satisfy tree growth needs, long-term accumulation of herbaceous residues still continuously promoted the conversion of organic P to inorganic P (Singh et al., 2018), thus maintaining a high APH activity.

### Responses of soil enzyme activities in different soil layers to living grass mulching

The enzyme activity generally decreases with the deepening of soil layers (Stone et al., 2014). The topsoil is more conducive to promoting enzyme activity than the subsoil (Uksa et al., 2015; Avazpoor et al., 2019). The enzyme activities under LGM in this study also decreased with increasing soil depth (Figures 3, 4), which agreed with the findings of Sun et al. (2021). The result was related to grassroot distribution and nutrient input of surface residues. The grassroots were mainly distributed between 0-20 cm (Liu et al., 2018). The effect of surface residues on soil nutrients (i.e., C turnover and N and P mineralization) directly acted on the topsoil (Moradi et al., 2017). Therefore, the activities of five hydrolase enzymes (except for NAG) under LGM were significantly lower in the subsoil than in the topsoil (Figures 3, 4). POX activity had no significant changes among different soil layers.

Under LGM, the difference in soil enzyme activity at different soil layers may be due to various interactions between enzymes and microbial populations. LGM could increase the overall C metabolic activity due to increased soil organic matter input (Qian et al., 2015). This enhancement effect may be related to increased soil bacteria. The increase of microbes may be associated with certain enzyme secretion (i.e., BG and CB), thus enhancing soil C-cycling. Moreover, the N-fixation of the legume herb mulching promoted an increase in soil N metabolism and stimulated protein production of N-cycling

bacterial communities. Thus, the activities of related enzymes, such as LAP, were enhanced. Some studies have shown that the alpha diversity of bacteria was lower in the subsoil than in the topsoil due to decreased oxygen and a low-nutrient environment in the deep soil (Wang et al., 2020a). Therefore, the enzyme activities were related to increased bacteria and were much higher in the topsoil than in the subsoil. As mentioned above, NAG mainly hydrolyzed chitin secreted by fungal cell walls. However, related studies have shown that fungal alpha diversity was not significantly different among soil layers (Wang et al., 2020a). Fungi may have high adaptability to LGM-induced environmental changes. This was one of the possible reasons for the insignificant differences in the NAG activity at different soil layers. In addition, the correlation between the enzyme activity and enzyme producers was weaker for oxidase than hydrolase (A' Bear et al., 2014). Thus, the POX activity had no significant differences at soil layers.

### Factors mediating soil enzyme activity under living grass mulching

Soil enzyme activity is influenced by biotic and abiotic factors (Jian et al., 2016), such as soil nutrients, microbial biomass, and moisture content. Under LGM, soil organic matter increased with the continuous input of root litter and surface residues (Wei et al., 2017). The increased soil organic matter could promote microbial activity and extracellular enzyme secretion. Thus, soil enzyme activity had a positive correlation with organic matter. In this study, SOC had the most significant positive correlation with the C-cycling enzyme activity. This indicates that SOC was the key factor influencing the C-cycling enzyme activity (Figures 5, 7). Compared to TN, AN also significantly positively affected BG and CB activities. The results show that available nutrients had stronger effects than total nutrients. Sun et al. (2021) also found that soil enzyme activity was more easily affected by available nutrients. Conversely, some studies have found that the C-cycling enzyme activity was significantly positively affected by TN (A'Bear et al., 2014; Qian et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2018).

In this study, N and P-cycling enzyme activities were mainly positively affected by MBC and MBP, followed by AN (Figure 7). The result indicates that some biotic factors (e.g., microbial biomass) were more critical to soil enzyme activities. The soil microbial biomass is not only a key and highly active pool for storing soil nutrients but also a sensitive microbial activity indicator to reflect soil quality (Muñoz et al., 2017). Under longterm mulching, surface residues can provide microorganisms with sufficient metabolic substrates, promote the absorption and utilization of C, P, and other elements by microorganisms, and then accelerate the secretion of N and P-cycling enzymes. Some studies also found that MBC was positively correlated with soil enzyme activity (Bowles et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2021). We also found that MC positively affected soil enzyme activities (Figure 7). Moisture is an essential determinant of soil enzyme activity, which increases with soil MC (Baldrian et al., 2013). LGM could enhance soil porosity and promote water infiltration and storage (Blanco-Canqui et al., 2011; Basche et al., 2016). Soil structure gradually improved with mulching ages. The increase in enzyme activity may be correlated to the enhancement of permeability and agglomeration ability (Roldán et al., 2005). In addition, soil moisture is essential in maintaining MBC (Kader et al., 2017). This study demonstrated a significant positive correlation between MBC and MC (Figures 5, 7). Therefore, the positive effect of MC on enzyme activity may be attributed to the mediating role of MBC.

In addition, except for soil physicochemical properties, soil enzyme activities were also affected by other factors, e.g., climate, soil type, and management measure. Previous studies have found that soil enzyme activities can be affected by climate, i.e., temperature and precipitation (Zhou et al., 2013; Jian et al., 2021). The effects of temperature on soil enzyme activities were directly correlated with the variations in the kinetic characteristics of enzymes (Steinweg et al., 2013). The increase in temperature can generally enhance C and N-cycling enzyme activities (Wallenstein et al., 2009). However, some studies have shown opposite conclusions (A'Bear et al., 2014). Soil moisture closely related to precipitation was positive with enzyme activities, which was another important factor affecting enzyme activities (Steinweg et al., 2012). Soil enzyme activity was also affected by soil type, which may be closely related to unique soil properties, such as texture (Acosta-Martínez et al., 2007; Štursová and Baldrian, 2010; Zhang et al., 2017). Moreover, management measures, such as fertilization and mulching materials and methods, can also affect soil enzyme activity in the orchard ecosystem (Kader et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2020). Previous studies found that green organic manure significantly increased soil enzyme activity compared to inorganic fertilization (Piotrowska and Wilczewski, 2012). Orchard grass (Gramineae) with high C/N can improve the Ccycling enzyme activity while grass (Leguminosae) with low C/N can promote the N-cycling enzyme activity (Wang et al., 2020b). The mixture of legumes and other grasses was more conducive to promoting soil enzyme activity compared to single grass mulching (Chavarría et al., 2016). In this study, consistent management measures were adopted at all of the experimental plots to avoid the impact of human interference and then highlight the effect of mulching age on soil enzyme activities. This study focused on the influence of soil biological and physicochemical properties on enzyme activities. In our previous research, the effects of cultivated grass (Vicia Villosa) and natural grass (Galium spurium and Stellaria media) on soil enzyme activities were preliminarily compared. The results show that the improvement of soil enzyme activities by leguminous grass mulching was higher than that by natural grass mulching (Wang et al., 2023). This result emphasized the importance of selecting appropriate grass types in the orchard ecosystem. Therefore, in future research, different influencing factors should be further studied to implement more sustainable practices.

## Conclusion

In general, long-term mulching (the VV\_8 treatment) effectively improved soil nutrient and enzyme activity levels. However, short-term mulching (the VV\_4 treatment) had fewer effects on soil biochemical properties. The improvement effect of living grass mulching on soil enzyme activities was soil layer-dependent. Long-term mulching can affect deeper soil layers than short-term mulching. Compared to other soil properties, available nutrients (i.e., MBC, MBP, and AN) had significant effects on enzyme activities. Thus, soil enzyme activities could be improved through enhanced available nutrients. In addition, this study indicates that increasing nutrient availability by extending mulching age can be an effective strategy for sustainable soil management in orchard systems.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Author contributions

NW: conceptualization, investigation, data curation, methodology, formal analysis, visualization, writing - original Draft, writing - review and editing. LL: formal analysis, visualization, writing - review and editing. MG: data collection, writing - review and editing. ZJ: investigation, writing - review and editing. JH: investigation. HC: visualization. WX: resources, supervision, project administration. CL: conceptualization,

# References

A'Bear, A. D., Jones, T. H., Kandeler, E., and Boddy, L. (2014). Interactive effects of temperature and soil moisture on fungal-mediated wood decomposition and extracellular enzyme activity. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 70, 151–158. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.12.017

Acosta-Martínez, V., Cruz, L., Sotomayor-Ramírez, D., and Pérez-Alegría, L. (2007). Enzyme activities as affected by soil properties and land use in a tropical watershed. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 35, 35–45. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2006.05.012

Adetunji, A. T., Ncube, B., Meyer, A. H., Olatunji, O. S., Mulidzi, R., and Lewu, F. B. (2021). Soil pH, nitrogen, phosphatase and urease activities in response to cover crop species, termination stage and termination method. *Heliyon* 7, e05980. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e05980

Atucha, A., Merwin, I. A., Brown, M. G., Gardiazabal, F., Mena, F., Adriazola, C., et al. (2013). Soil erosion, runoff and nutrient losses in an avocado (Persea americana mill) hillside orchard under different groundcover management systems. *Plant Soil* 368, 393–406. doi: 10.1007/s11104-012-1520-0

supervision, project administration, funding acquisition. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

# Funding

The study was supported by the General Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant number 32172671) and the Major Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant number 32192434).

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the National Forest Ecosystem Station of Three Gorges Reservoir in Zigui County for its assistance in field investigations. We express our thanks to the respectful reviewers for their constructive comments that helped strengthen this paper.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Basche, A. D., Kaspar, T. C., Archontoulis, S. V., Jaynes, D. B., Sauer, T. J., Parkin, T. B., et al. (2016). Soil water improvements with the long-term use of a winter rye cover crop. *Agric. Water. Manage.* 172, 40–50. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2016.04.006

Blanco-Canqui, H., Mikha, M. M., Presley, D. R., and Claassen, M. M. (2011). Addition of cover crops enhances no-till potential for improving soil physical properties. *Soil Sci. Soc Am. J.* 75, 1471–1482. doi: 10.2136/sssaj2010.0430

Avazpoor, Z., Moradi, M., Basiri, R., Mirzaei, J., Taghizadeh-Mehrjardi, R., and Kerry, R. (2019). Soil enzyme activity variations in riparian forests in relation to plant species and soil depth. *Arab. J. Geosci.* 12, 708. doi: 10.1007/s12517-019-4910-2

Baldrian, P., Šnajdr, J., Merhautová, V., Dobiášová, P., Cajthaml, T., and Valášková, V. (2013). Responses of the extracellular enzyme activities in hardwood forest to soil temperature and seasonality and the potential effects of climate change. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 56, 60–68. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.01.020

Bowles, T. M., Acosta-Martínez, V., Calderón, F., and Jackson, L. E. (2014). Soil enzyme activities, microbial communities, and carbon and nitrogen availability in organic agroecosystems across an intensively-managed agricultural landscape. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 68, 252–262. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.10.004

Brookes, P. C., Landman, A., Pruden, G., and Jenkinson, D. S. (1985). Chloroform fumigation and the release of soil nitrogen: a rapid direct extraction method to measure microbial biomass nitrogen in soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 17, 837– 842. doi: 10.1016/0038-0717(85)90144-0

Burns, K. N., Bokulich, N. A., Cantu, D., Greenhut, R. F., Kluepfel, D. A., O'Geen, A. T., et al. (2016). Vineyard soil bacterial diversity and composition revealed by 16S rRNA genes: differentiation by vineyard management. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 103, 337–348. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.09.007

Burns, R. G., DeForest, J. L., Marxsen, J., Sinsabaugh, R. L., Stromberger, M. E., Wallenstein, M. D., et al. (2013). Soil enzymes in a changing environment: current knowledge and future directions. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 58, 216–234. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.11.009

Cenini, V. L., Fornara, D. A., McMullan, G., Ternan, N., Carolan, R., Crawley, M. J., et al. (2016). Linkages between extracellular enzyme activities and the carbon and nitrogen content of grassland soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 96, 198–206. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.02.015

Chavarría, D. N., Verdenelli, R. A., Serri, D. L., Restovich, S. B., Andriulo, A. E., Meriles, J. M., et al. (2016). Effect of cover crops on microbial community structure and related enzyme activities and macronutrient availability. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 76, 74–82. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2016.07.002

Chen, G., Liu, S. B., Xiang, Y. Z., Tang, X. L., Liu, H. T., Yao, B., et al. (2020). Impact of living mulch on soil c: N: P stoichiometry in orchards across China: A meta-analysis examining climatic, edaphic, and biotic dependency. *Pedosphere* 30, 181–189. doi: 10.1016/S1002-0160(20)60003-0

Demestihas, C., Plénet, D., Génard, M., Raynal, C., and Lescourret, F. (2017). Ecosystem services in orchards. a review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 37, 12. doi: 10.1007/s13593-017-0422-1

Deng, Q., McMahon, D. E., Xiang, Y. Z., Yu, C. L., Jackson, R. B., and Hui, D. F. (2017). A global meta-analysis of soil phosphorus dynamics after afforestation. *New Phytol.* 213, 181–192. doi: 10.1111/nph.14119

FAO (2020) (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). Available at: http://www.fao.org/faostat/zh/#data/QC.

Feng, H. X., Sekaran, U., Wang, T., and Kumar, S. (2021). On-farm assessment of cover cropping effects on soil c and n pools, enzyme activities, and microbial community structure. J. Agric. Sci. 159, 216–226. doi: 10.1017/S002185962100040X

German, D. P., Weintraub, M. N., Grandy, A. S., Lauber, C. L., Rinkes, Z. L., and Allison, S. D. (2011). Optimization of hydrolytic and oxidative enzyme methods for ecosystem studies. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 43, 1387–1397. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.03.017

Haruna, S. I., Anderson, S. H., Udawatta, R. P., Gantzer, C. J., Phillips, N. C., Cui, S., et al. (2020). Improving soil physical properties through the use of cover crops: A review. *Agrosyst. Geosci. Environ.* 3, e20105. doi: 10.1002/agg2.20105

Jian, S. Y., Li, J. W., Chen, J., Wang, G. S., Mayes, M. A., Dzantor, K. E., et al. (2016). Soil extracellular enzyme activities, soil carbon and nitrogen storage under nitrogen fertilization: A meta-analysis. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 101, 32–43. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.07.003

Jian, Z. J., Ni, Y. Y., Zeng, L. X., Lei, L., Xu, J., Xiao, W. F., et al. (2021). Latitudinal patterns of soil extracellular enzyme activities and their controlling factors in pinus massoniana plantations in subtropical China. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 495, 119358. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2021.119358

Jia, R., Zhou, J., Chu, J. C., Shahbaz, M., Yang, Y. D., Jones, D. L., et al. (2022). Insights into the associations between soil quality and ecosystem multifunctionality driven by fertilization management: A case study from the north China plain. *J. Clean. Prod.* 362, 132265. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132265

Kader, M. A., Senge, M., Mojid, M. A., and Ito, K. (2017). Recent advances in mulching materials and methods for modifying soil environment. *Soil Tillage Res.* 168, 155–166. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2017.01.001

Kalinina, O., Cherkinsky, A., Chertov, O., Goryachkin, S., Kurganova, I., de Gerenyu, V. L., et al. (2019). Post-agricultural restoration: Implications for dynamics of soil organic matter pools. *Catena* 181, 104096. doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2019.104096

Kumar, A., Blagodaskaya, E., Dippold, M. A., and Temperton, V. M. (2022). Positive intercropping effects on biomass production are species-specific and involve rhizosphere enzyme activities: Evidence from a field study. *Soil Ecol. Lett.* 4, 444–453. doi: 10.1007/s42832-021-0108-0

Lai, J. S., Zou, Y., Zhang, J. L., and Peres-Neto, P. R. (2022). Generalizing hierarchical and variation partitioning in multiple regression and canonical analyses using the rdacca.hp r package. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 13, 782–788. doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.13800

Liang, X. Y., and Li, Y. B. (2019). Spatiotemporal features of farmland scaling and the mechanisms that underlie these changes within the three gorges reservoir area. J. Geogr. Sci. 29, 563–580. doi: 10.1007/s11442-019-1615-0

Liu, M. H., Gan, B. P., Li, Q., Xiao, W. F., and Song, X. Z. (2022). Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus addition on soil extracellular enzyme activity and stoichiometry in Chinese fir (*Cunninghamia lanceolata*) forests. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.834184

Liu, S. B., Zamanian, K., Schleuss, P. M., Zarebanadkouki, M., and Kuzyakov, Y. (2018). Degradation of Tibetan grasslands: Consequences for carbon and nutrient cycles. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 252, 93–104. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2017.10.011

Luo, G. W., Rensing, C., Chen, H., Liu, M. Q., Wang, M., Guo, S. W., et al. (2018). Deciphering the associations between soil microbial diversity and ecosystem multifunctionality driven by long-term fertilization management. *Funct. Ecol.* 32, 1103–1116. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.13039

Margida, M. G., Lashermes, G., and Moorhead, D. L. (2020). Estimating relative cellulolytic and ligninolytic enzyme activities as functions of lignin and cellulose content in decomposing plant litter. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 141, 107689. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.107689

Moradi, M., Imani, F., Naji, H. R., Moradi Behbahani, S., and Ahmadi, M. T.. (2017). Variation in soil carbon stock and nutrient content in sand dunes after afforestation by Prosopis juliflora in the Khuzestan province (Iran). *IFOREST* 10, 585–589. doi: 10.3832/ifor2137-010

Muñoz, K., Buchmann, C., Meyer, M., Schmidt-Heydt, M., Steinmetz, Z., Diehl, D., et al. (2017). Physicochemical and microbial soil quality indicators as affected by the agricultural management system in strawberry cultivation using straw or black polyethylene mulching. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 113, 36–44. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.01.014

Piotrowska, A., and Wilczewski, E. (2012). Effects of catch crops cultivated for green manure and mineral nitrogen fertilization on soil enzyme activities and chemical properties. *Geoderma* 189–190, 72–80. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.04.018

Qian, X., Gu, J., Pan, H. J., Zhang, K. Y., Sun, W., Wang, X. J., et al. (2015). Effects of living mulches on the soil nutrient contents, enzyme activities, and bacterial community diversities of apple orchard soils. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 70, 23–30. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2015.06.005

Raiesi, F., and Salek-Gilani, S. (2018). The potential activity of soil extracellular enzymes as an indicator for ecological restoration of rangeland soils after agricultural abandonment. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 126, 140–147. doi: 10.1016/ j.apsoil.2018.02.022

Ramos, M. E., Robles, A. B., Sánchez-Navarro, A., and González-Rebollar, J. L. (2011). Soil responses to different management practices in rainfed orchards in semiarid environments. *Soil Till. Res.* 112, 85–91. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2010.11.007

Ramos-Zapata, J. A., Marrufo-Zapata, D., Guadarrama, P., Carrillo-Sánchez, L., Hernández-Cuevas, L., and Caamal-Maldonado, A. (2012). Impact of weed control on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a tropical agroecosystem: a long-term experiment. *Mycorrhiza* 22, 653–661. doi: 10.1007/s00572-012-0443-1

Rey, P. J. (2011). Preserving frugivorous birds in agro-ecosystems: lessons from Spanish olive orchards. J. Appl. Ecol. 48, 228-237. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2010.01902.x

Roldán, A., Salinas-García, J. R., Alguacil, M. M., Diaz, E., and Caravaca, F. (2005). Soil enzyme activities suggest advantages of conservation tillage practices in sorghum cultivation under subtropical conditions. *Geoderma* 129, 178–185. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.12.042

Rumpel, C., Amiraslani, F., Chenu, C., Garcia Cardenas, M., Kaonga, M., Koutika, L. S., et al. (2020). The 4p1000 initiative: opportunities, limitations and challenges for implementing soil organic carbon sequestration as a sustainable development strategy. *Ambio* 49, 350–360. doi: 10.1007/s13280-019-01165-2

Schnecker, J., Wild, B., Takriti, M., Eloy Alves, R. J., Gentsch, N., Gittel, A., et al. (2015). Microbial community composition shapes enzyme patterns in topsoil and subsoil horizons along a latitudinal transect in Western Siberia. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 83, 106–115. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.01.016

Singhania, R. R., Patel, A. K., Sukumaran, R. K., Larroche, C., and Pandey, A. (2013). Role and significance of beta-glucosidases in the hydrolysis of cellulose for bioethanol production. *Bioresour. Technol.* 127, 500–507. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2012.09.012

Singh, G., Bhattacharyya, R., Das, T. K., Sharma, A. R., Ghosh, A., Das, S., et al. (2018). Crop rotation and residue management effects on soil enzyme activities, glomalin and aggregate stability under zero tillage in the indo-gangetic plains. *Soil Tillage Res.* 184, 291–300. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2018.08.006

Sinsabaugh, R. L. (2010). Phenol oxidase, peroxidase and organic matter dynamics of soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 42, 391-404. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.10.014

Sinsabaugh, R. L., Lauber, C. L., Weintraub, M. N., Ahmed, B., Allison, S. D., Crenshaw, C., et al. (2008). Stoichiometry of soil enzyme activity at global scale. *Ecol. Lett.* 11, 1252–1264. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01245.x

Solanki, M. K., Wang, F. Y., Wang, Z., Li, C. N., Lan, T. J., Singh, R. K., et al. (2019). Rhizospheric and endospheric diazotrophs mediated soil fertility intensifcation in sugarcane-legume intercropping systems. *J. Soils Sediments* 19, 1911–1927. doi: 10.1007/s11368-018-2156-3

Steinweg, J. M., Dukes, J. S., Paul, E. A., and Wallenstein, M. D. (2013). Microbial responses to multi-factor climate change: effects on soil enzymes. *Front. Microbiol.* 4. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00146

Steinweg, J. M., Dukes, J. S., and Wallenstein, M. D. (2012). Modeling the effects of temperature and moisture on soil enzyme activity: linking laboratory assays to continuous field data. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 55, 85–92. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.06.015

Stone, M. M., DeForest, J. L., and Plante, A. F. (2014). Changes in extracellular enzyme activity and microbial community structure with soil depth at the luquillo critical zone observatory. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 75, 237–247. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.04.017

Štursová, M., and Baldrian, P. (2010). Effects of soil properties and management on the activity of soil organic matter transforming enzymes and the quantification of soil-bound and free activity. *Plant Soil* 338, 99–110. doi: 10.1007/s11104-010-0296-3

Sun, X. D., Ye, Y. Q., Ma, Q. X., Guan, Q. W., and Jones, D. L. (2021). Variation in enzyme activities involved in carbon and nitrogen cycling in rhizosphere and bulk soil after organic mulching. *Rhizosphere* 19, 100376. doi: 10.1016/j.rhisph.2021.100376

Taguas, E. V., Vanderlinden, K., Pedrera-Parrilla, A., Giraldez, J. V., and Gomez, J. A. (2017). Spatial and temporal variability of spontaneous grass cover and its influence on sediment losses in an extensive olive orchard catchment. *Catena* 157, 58–66. doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2017.05.017

Tu, A. G., Xie, S. H., Zheng, H. J., Li, H. R., Li, Y., and Mo, M. H. (2021). Longterm effects of living grass mulching on soil and water conservation and fruit yield of citrus orchard in south China. *Agric. Water. Manage.* 252, 106897. doi: 10.1016/ j.agwat.2021.106897

Uksa, M., Schloter, M., Kautz, T., Athmann, M., Köpke, U., and Fischer, D. (2015). Spatial variability of hydrolytic and oxidative potential enzyme activities in different subsoil compartments. *Biol. Fertil. Soils.* 51, 517–521. doi: 10.1007/s00374-015-0992-5

Utobo, E. B., and Tewari, L. (2015). Soil enzymes as bioindicators of soil ecosystem status. *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.* 13, 147–169. doi: 10.15666/aeer/1301\_147169

Vance, E. D., Brookes, P. C., and Jenkinson, D. S. (1987). An extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass c. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 19, 703–707. doi: 10.1016/0038-0717(87)90052-6

Vignozzi, N., Agnelli, A. E., Brandi, G., Gagnarli, E., Goggioli, D., Lagomarsino, A., et al. (2019). Soil ecosystem functions in a high-density olive orchard managed by different soil conservation practices. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 134, 64–76. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.10.014

Wallenstein, M. D., Mcmahon, S. K., and Schimel, J. P. (2009). Seasonal variation in enzyme activities and temperature sensitivities in Arctic tundra soils. *Global Change Biol.* 15, 1631–1639. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01819.x

Wang, T., Duan, Y., Liu, G. D., Shang, X. W., Liu, L. F., Zhang, K. X., et al. (2022). Tea plantation intercropping green manure enhances soil functional microbial abundance and multifunctionality resistance to drying-rewetting cycles. *Sci. Total Environ.* 810, 151282. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151282

Wang, Y. Y., Ji, X. H., Wu, Y. S., Mao, Z. Q., Jiang, Y. M., Peng, F. T., et al. (2015). Research progress of cover crop in Chinese orchard. *Chin. J. Appl. Ecol.* 26, 1892– 1900. doi: 10.13287/j.1001-9332.20150413.005

Wang, N., Li, L., Gou, M. M., Jian, Z. J., Hu, J. W., Chen, H. L., et al. (2023). Effects of long-term living grass mulching on soil chemical and biological properties in different soil layers in of a citrus orchard. Chin. Acta Ecol. Sin. (Inpress) 43. doi: 10.5846/stxb202205231452

Wang, Y. J., Liu, L., Tian, Y. L., Wu, X. P., Yang, J. F., Luo, Y., et al. (2020a). Temporal and spatial variation of soil microorganisms and nutrient under white clover cover. *Soil Tillage Res.* 202, 104666. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2020.104666

Wang, Y. J., Liu, L., Yang, J. F., Duan, Y. M., Luo, Y., Taherzadeh, M. J., et al. (2020b). The diversity of microbial community and function varied in response to different agricultural residues composting. *Sci. Total Environ.* 715, 136983. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136983

Wang, F. J., Wang, J. M., and Wang, Y. (2019). Using multi-fractal and joint multi-fractal methods to characterize spatial variability of reconstructed soil properties in an opencast coal-mine dump in the loess area of China. *Catena* 182, 104111. doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2019.104111

Wang, P., Wang, Y., and Wu, Q. S. (2016). Effects of soil tillage and planting grass on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal propagules and soil properties in citrus orchards in southeast China. *Soil Tillage Res.* 155, 54–61. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2015.07.009

Wang, Q. Y., Zhou, D. M., and Cang, L. (2009). Microbial and enzyme properties of apple orchard soil as affected by long-term application of copper fungicide. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 41, 1504–1509. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.04.010

Wei, H., Xiang, Y. Z., Liu, Y., and Zhang, J. E. (2017). Effects of sod cultivation on soil nutrients in orchards across China: A meta-analysis. *Soil Tillage Res.* 169, 16–24. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2017.01.009

Wei, H., Zhang, K., Zhang, J. E., Li, D. F., Zhang, Y., and Xiang, H. M. (2018). Grass cultivation alters soil organic carbon fractions in a subtropical orchard of southern China. *Soil Tillage Res.* 181, 110–116. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2018.04.009

Xia, L. Z., Liu, G. H., Wu, Y. H., Ma, L., and Li, Y. D. (2015). Protection methods to reduce nitrogen and phosphorus losses from sloping citrus land in the three gorges area of China. *Pedosphere* 25, 478–488. doi: 10.1016/S1002-0160(15)30015-1

Xiang, Y. Z., Chang, S. X., Shen, Y. Y., Chen, G., Liu, Y., Yao, B., et al. (2023). Grass cover increases soil microbial abundance and diversity and extracellular enzyme activities in orchards: A synthesis across China. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 182, 104720. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2022.104720

Xiang, Y. Z., Li, Y., Liu, Y., Zhang, S. Y., Yue, X. J., Yao, B., et al. (2022). Factors shaping soil organic carbon stocks in grass covered orchards across China: a metaanalysis. *Sci. Total Environ.* 807, 150632. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150632

Zhang, J., Wang, H. B., Liu, J., Chen, H., Du, Y. X., Li, J. Z., et al. (2017). Influence of water potential and soil type on conventional japonica super rice yield and soil enzyme activities. *J. Integr. Agric.* 16, 1044–1052. doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119 (16)61575-7

Zhao, C., Gao, B., Wang, L., Huang, W., Xu, S., and Cui, S. H. (2021). Spatial patterns of net greenhouse gas balance and intensity in Chinese orchard system. *Sci. Total Environ.* 779, 146250. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146250

Zheng, W., Gong, Q. L., Lv, F. L., Yin, Y. N., Li, Z. Y., and Zhai, B. N. (2020). Tree-scale spatial responses of extracellular enzyme activities and stoichiometry to different types of fertilization and cover crop in an apple orchard. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 99, 103207. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2020.103207

Zheng, W., Gong, Q. L., Zhao, Z. Y., Liu, J., Zhai, B. N., Wang, Z. H., et al. (2018). Changes in the soil bacterial community structure and enzyme activities after intercrop mulch with cover crop for eight years in an orchard. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 86, 34–41. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2018.01.009

Zhou, X. Q., Chen, C. R., Wang, Y. F., Xu, Z. H., Han, H. Y., Li, L. H., et al. (2013). Warming and increased precipitation have differential effects on soil extracellular enzyme activities in a temperate grassland. *Sci. Total Environ.* 444, 552–558. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.023

Zhou, L. H., Liu, S. S., Shen, H. H., Zhao, M. Y., Xu, L. C., Xing, A. J., et al. (2020). Soil extracellular enzyme activity and stoichiometry in china's forests. *Funct. Ecol.* 34, 1461–1471. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.13555