Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Chiara Pastore, University of Bologna, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Goran Zdunic, Institute for Adriatic Crops and Karst Reclamation, Croatia Jorge Manuel Martins Cunha, Instituto Nacional Investigaciao Agraria e Veterinaria (INIAV), Portugal

*CORRESPONDENCE

Samia Daldoul samiabiotech@gmail.com Mahmoud Gargouri mahmoud.gargouri@cbbc.rnrt.tn

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Crop and Product Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 23 October 2022 ACCEPTED 16 November 2022 PUBLISHED 09 December 2022

CITATION

Daldoul S, Hanzouli F, Hamdi Z, Chenenaoui S, Wetzel T, Nick P, Mliki A and Gargouri M (2022) The root transcriptome dynamics reveals new valuable insights in the salt-resilience mechanism of wild grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* subsp. *sylvestris*). *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:1077710. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.1077710

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Daldoul, Hanzouli, Hamdi, Chenenaoui, Wetzel, Nick, Mliki and Gargouri. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The root transcriptome dynamics reveals new valuable insights in the salt-resilience mechanism of wild grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* subsp. *sylvestris*)

Samia Daldoul^{1*}, Faouzia Hanzouli^{1,2}, Zohra Hamdi¹, Synda Chenenaoui¹, Thierry Wetzel³, Peter Nick⁴, Ahmed Mliki¹ and Mahmoud Gargouri^{1*}

¹Laboratory of Plant Molecular Physiology, Center of Biotechnology of Borj-Cedria, Hammam-Lif, Tunisia, ²Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, University Tunis El Manar, Tunis, Tunisia, ³DLR Rheinpfalz, Institute of Plant Protection, Neustadt an der Weinstrasse, Germany, ⁴Molecular Cell Biology, Botanical Institute, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany

Introduction: Most of elite cultivated grapevine varieties (*Vitis vinifera* L.), conventionally grafted on rootstocks, are becoming more and more affected by climate changes, such as increase of salinity. Therefore, we revisited the valuable genetic resources of wild grapevines (*V. sylvestris*) to elaborate strategies for a sustainable viticulture.

Methods: Here, we compared physiological and biochemical responses of two salt-tolerant species: a wild grapevine genotype "Tebaba" from our previous studies and the conventional rootstock "1103 Paulsen". Interestingly, our physio-biochemical results showed that under 150mM NaCl, "Tebaba" maintains higher leaf osmotic potential, lower Na+/K+ ratio and a significant peaked increase of polyphenol content at the first 8h of salinity stress. This behavior allowed to hypothesis a drastic repatterning of metabolism in "Tebaba's" roots following a biphasic response. In order to deepen our understanding on the "Tebaba" salt tolerance mechanism, we investigated a time-dependent transcriptomic analysis covering three sampling times, 8h, 24h and 48h.

Results: The dynamic analysis indicated that "Tebaba" root cells detect and respond on a large scale within 8h to an accumulation of ROS by enhancing a translational reprogramming process and inducing the transcripts of glycolytic metabolism and flavonoids biosynthesis as a predominate non-enzymatic scavenging process. Afterwards, there is a transition to a largely gluconeogenic stage followed by a combined response mechanism based

on cell wall remodeling and lignin biosynthesis with an efficient osmoregulation between 24 and 48 h.

Discussion: This investigation explored for the first time in depth the established cross-talk between the physiological, biochemical and transcriptional regulators contributing to propose a hypothetical model of the dynamic salt mechanism tolerance of wild grapevines. In summary, these findings allowed further understanding of the genetic regulation mechanism of salt-tolerance in V. sylvestris and identified specific candidate genes valuable for appropriate breeding strategies.

KEYWORDS

cell wall remodeling, metabolic repatterning, root resilience, ROS scavenging, salt tolerance, transcriptomic analysis, *Vitis sylvestris*, wild grapevine

Introduction

Viticulture is one of the major horticultural industries of the world (Creasy and Creasy, 2018) with an area of cultivation exceeding 7.5 million ha (Berhe and Belew, 2022). Grapevines are well adapted to semi-arid climates such as the Mediterranean region and are considered relatively tolerant to water deficit, but are susceptible to significant damage from long-term salinity (Vincent et al., 2007). Rising temperature and reduced rainfall will accentuate soil salinity in irrigated areas caused by the climate change in the Mediterranean area (Santos et al., 2020). Resilience of Vitis to these abiotic factors is mainly linked with root, because rootstocks developed from breeding American wild grapevine species have been used for more than a century to control infection by Phylloxera and to confer tolerance to the grafted grapevines (Serra et al., 2014). However, these rootstocks are becoming more and more affected by climate changes such as increase of salinity and drought, newly emerging diseases, or heat. Thus, it is critical to improve our understanding of the molecular mechanisms deployed by tolerant species to adapt to these environmental stresses in order to elaborate strategies of a sustainable viticulture (new rootstocks with better ability to adapt to climate changes and their consequences).

In this respect, the Wild Grapevine [*Vitis vinifera* subsp. *sylvestris*, (*i.e. hereafter referred to as Vitis sylvestris*)] is of particular interest, due to their naturally occurring tolerance to abiotic stress reviewed in Daldoul et al. (2020). Previously, an identification of *Vitis sylvestris* genotypes was determined based on morphological descriptors established by the International Organization of Vine and Wine (OIV) and prospected in different countries [e.g. from Portugal (Cunha et al., 2007), Spain (Ocete et al., 2011), Romania (Popescu et al., 2013), Tunisia (Zoghlami et al., 2013) and Italy (Schneider et al., 2015)]. Furthermore, our molecular investigations

demonstrated that there is a clear differentiation between cultivated and Tunisian wild genotypes as well as within *Vitis sylvestris* populations, using a set of molecular markers such as: the nuclear microsatellites (SSR; Zoghlami et al., 2013) and the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP; Riahi et al., 2013). Thus, these studies revealed a significant pattern of isolation by distance which implies that each wild population would constitute a distinct pool of genetic variation excluding any possibility of hybrids generation among the *Vitis sylvestris* populations (Riahi et al., 2012). Twenty *Vitis sylvestris* populations were characterized and each one was named according to its region of origin (Zoghlami et al., 2013). One of them, "Tebaba" population was selected in this study.

Vitis sylvestris is considered as the ancestor of the cultivated form Vitis vinifera subsp. vinifera, and do harbor resistance factors against several pests, such as Phylloxera (Campus et al., 2013), Downy Mildew (Duan et al., 2015), wood decaying fungi (Guan et al., 2016), Powdery Mildew and Black Rot (Schröder et al., 2015), as well as against some abiotic factors, such as: calcareous soil (Cambrollé et al., 2014), salinity (Askri et al., 2012) and drought (Azri et al., 2020). So far, Tunisian farmers have been using Vitis sylvestris as rootstock in their traditional vineyards. However, no Vitis sylvestris genotype has been certified as rootstock. Recently, our comparative physiological studies demonstrated that among the Tunisian wild Vitis sylvestris genotypes, "Tebaba" was selected as the most salttolerant genotype towards a high NaCl concentration (Askri et al., 2018). This salt tolerance phenotype was also reported in other Vitis sylvestris genotypes (Baneh et al., 2015; Carrasco et al., 2022). Indeed under severe stress, "Tebaba" was able to maintain well hydrated leaves through efficient osmotic adjustment and sufficient potassium flux and selectivity of K⁺ versus Na⁺ in the root part (Askri et al., 2018). Furthermore, our comparative proteomic investigations focusing on leaf responses to drought stress of "Tebaba" versus a salt-sensitive wild grapevine genotype revealed that several ROS scavenging proteins were up-regulated only in "Tebaba" (Azri et al., 2020).

All previous studies have examined the response of wild grapevine genotypes to abiotic and biotic stresses by comparing different genotypes within the wild grape pool but only one recent attempt has been done to compare the wild grapevine genotype to the conventional rootstock response. Recently, a comparative transcriptomic study compared the new Mrootstocks to the conventional salt-tolerant 1103-Paulsen rootstock and showed a lower transcriptomic changes and lower accumulation of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions in the leaves of the grafted scion on 1103P which is in favor to maintain their physiological response in the longer term (Buesa et al., 2022). Interestingly, the only study that has been conducted in parallel to our investigation and recently reported the root transcriptome patterns of the coastline wild grapevine AS1B (Spain ecotype) compared to the commercial rootstock 110R cultivated under different salt concentrations and different timings (Carrasco et al., 2022). However, choices of salt concentrations and time sampling points were unsupported by physiological and/or biochemical analysis and thus generated a descriptive transcriptomic results without any predictive mechanism of salt tolerance in wild grapevine. An early comparative transcriptomics based study of wild grapevine is a crucial first step toward gaining a molecular understanding of tolerance mechanism and all cellular changes triggered by salinity stress. In fact, targeting the genetic components of the early responses to salt stress could improve salinity tolerance in many plant species. In this context, the early response of Populus euphratica to salt stress revealed to be crucial to elucidate the tolerance mechanism through an integrated regulatory network and revealed the key roles of calcium-related genes in the tolerance trait (Chen et al., 2017). In wild grapevines AS1B ecotype, a changes in gene expression was observed at early stage of salt stress while it remain constitutive in the 110R rootstock (Carrasco et al., 2022). In Quinoa, the early physiological root response to salinity was critical in shaping how plants control the salt load and their overall response in the long-term stress (Kiani-Pouya et al., 2020). These results were further supported by the transcriptomic analysis of the early-stage response to salt stress suggesting that the restricted changes in gene expression in tolerant genotype Q68 was the key of the tolerance mechanism (Vita et al., 2021).

In preliminary efforts that set the stage for this work, we found that the most salt-tolerant wild grapevine "Tebaba" displayed a high physiological salt-tolerance in its roots than the most known salt-tolerant conventional rootstock «1103 Paulsen» and showed time-dependent biochemical responses. Therefore, a transcriptomic effort was initiated to characterize in time-resolved detail the response of "Tebaba" genotype to salt stress. We propose that deep metabolic remodeling in wild grapevine follows a biphasic modality, where a limited number of transcription factors induce a gene set involved in the process of non-enzymatic Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) scavenging. Our hypothesis support that early-response regulatory genes establish a short-term acclimatization that could be a rapidly reversed as early as 8h after salt stress (150mM NaCl) application. When the salinity stress is prolonged, deeper metabolic changes induce novel transcription family members, which appear directly involved in cell-wall remodeling between 24h and 48h. To our knowledge, this is the first in-depth report involving interacting physiological traits, biochemical pathways and molecular mechanisms of salt-stressed roots from wild Vitis sylvestris genotype. This is not only crucial to understand the adaptation and survival of these species under abiotic stresses, but also to promote novel rootstocks via molecular breeding that can better cope challenging climate changes than conventional rootstocks and reassure about the viability of viticulture under such threats.

Materials and methods

Grapevine material and growth conditions

A Wild grapevines [Vitis sylvestris, (Arnold et al., 2010)] "Tebaba" genotype was identified in the northwest of Tunisia (interval of latitude-longitude: 36°53'54N/009°06'48E). It was found in high humid area along the continuous water streams and channels in the down side of "Tebaba" forest at 100 m of altitude, hence its name is referring to its main region of origin. Tebaba genotype is usually found in association with Crategus azarolus and Rubus ulmifolius as host species. According to Zoghlami et al. (2013), "Tebaba" genotype is distinguished mainly by a pentagonal blade shape, three combined lobes with toothed margins and high density of young twings. The indigenous wild grapevine "Tebaba" was compared to the conventional rootstock 1103 Paulsen (1103P) originating from a cross between the North American wild species Vitis berlandieri cv. Rességuier number 2 and Vitis rupestris cv. Lot. by Federico Paulsen, 1896. 1103P morphological characteristics were linked mainly to the low hair density of the shoots, a slightly bronzed young leaves and an open petiole sinus in the adult leaves (Rahemi et al., 2022). Tebaba's woody cuttings of diameter (0.5 to 0.8 cm) were harvested from a well-localized individual plant grown in Tebaba forest, while the 1103P rootstock cuttings with the same diameter were collected from our germplasm collection. Plants were cultivated in sandy soil for two months under controlled greenhouse. When the grapevine shoots had reached 12-14 nodes, 18 grapevines for each genotypes were transferred into 7 l pots of inert sandy soil (pH 7.4 and electrical conductivity (EC) 0.46 dS/m) and grown for two additional months (16 h light period, PAR of 300 μ mol.m⁻².s⁻¹, 30/22°C ± 3°C day/night temperatures, and an average humidity of 70%). "Tebaba" and 1103P plants were randomized into two groups: Salt stressed and control, trained vertically by one wire and watered with 5X diluted of commercial nutrition solution Villmorin Universal. Once salt treatment began (Figure 1A): Five months old grapevine plants from the stressed group received a step-wise increase of NaCl concentration by 25 mM NaCl every two irrigations until

reaching 150 mM (EC 18 dS/m at 25°C). This concentration of 150mM NaCl was previously defined as discriminating for the Tunisian wild grapevine genotypes (Askri et al., 2012), while control plants did not receive any supplemental NaCl. After reaching 150 mM, roots were harvested at three different time points (8h, 24h and 48h) for biochemical and transcriptomic analyses. All measurements were conducted on three replicates



FIGURE 1

Salt stress application. (A) Gradual application of NaCl levels in soil medium and time course harvesting. During the experiment, salinity levels of soil media was increased gradually by 25mM NaCl until a final salt concentration is reached (150mM) leading to a gradual enhancement of the electric conductivity (EC). EC of solution was 2, 4.2, 5.1, 6.7, 8.5, 10 and 14 dS m-1 respectively. (B) Visual inspection of salt treated grapevines after 7, 15 and 23 days of salt exposure.

for each treatment; each separate replicate was composed of three vines.

Leaf osmotic potential

Leaf osmotic potential (Ψ s) was determined using an osmometer (Herman Roebling, Type 13/13 DR, Berlin, Germany). After freezing the leaf blades with N₂ liquid cell sap was pressed on by a syringe (Moutinho Pereira et al., 2001). After centrifugation (12,0009g, 3 min, 4°C), 100 µl of the cell sap were used for measurements in the osmometer (mOsmol/Kg H20). The (Ψ s) was calculated according to Van't Hoff equation, Ψ s: -nRT, where n=m Osmol (g. H₂O)⁻¹, R = 8.314 ×10⁻⁶ MPa mol⁻¹ K ⁻¹ and T = 298.2 K.

Determination of sodium, potassium and chloride content

For the ion concentration determinations, dried root samples at 70°C were extracted in 0.1 N of nitric acid. The sodium (Na⁺) and potassium (K⁺) concentrations were measured using flame photometry (Tandon, 1993), Cl⁻ ion was measured by an automatic chloridometer (Buchler–Cotlove chloridometer) which was analyzed by colorimetric amperometric titration with silver ions (Munns et al., 2010).

Activity measurements of antioxidant enzymes

To estimate the activities of catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD) and the steady-state levels of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), fresh root samples (~100 mg. fw) were ground by mortar and pestle in 1 mL of ice-cold potassium phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.5) according to (Venisse et al., 2001). The liquid extracts were centrifuged at 12000×g for 10 min, at 4°C (Hermel Z 383 K), the supernatants were collected and evaporated to dryness. An aliquot of 100 µl was used to determine protein content according to (Bradford, 1976). The powder was redissolved in 70% HPLC grade methanol to a concentration of 10 mg/ml. The activity of SOD was quantified from the scavenging of light induced superoxide radicals generated in the riboflavin-nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) according to Beauchamp and Fridovich (1971). The reaction mixture contained 3 ml of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.6), to which 20 g riboflavin, 12 mM EDTA, and 0.1 mg of NBT were added in sequence, along with 5 µL of extract. Reaction was started by illuminating the tubes for 2 minutes at room temperature. Immediately after illumination, the absorbance was measured at 590 nm with a negative control to determine the quantity of formazan produced in the absence of the extract.

The percentage of superoxide anion scavenged was calculated from the ratio between A590 of the sample over A590 of the negative control. After 2 min of incubation at 25°C, the color was read with a Hitachi U-2000 spectrophotometer at 590 nm against blank samples. The percentage of scavenging activities (%) was calculated as follows: Scavenging activities % (capacity to scavenge the superoxide radical) = [1 - (absorbance of sample)]at 590 nm)/(absorbance of control at 590 nm)]. From this, the enzyme activity based on an extinction coefficient of 12.8 mM⁻ ¹cm⁻¹. In analogy, scavenging of hydrogen peroxide was used as proxy for catalase activity, following the method by (Ruch et al., 1989). Here, different amounts (60-420 µg) of the dried extract were dissolved in 3.4 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and mixed with 600 µl of H₂O₂ (43 mM), recording A₂₃₀ nm against Butyl-Hydroxy-Toluol (BHT) as positive control yielding 100% scavenging. The concentration of hydrogen peroxide was estimated based on an extinction coefficient of 40 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹. All data on redox parameters are means and standard errors from three biological replicates.

Polyphenol content

The total phenolic compounds were extracted from fresh root sample (1g) using methanolic solvent (methanol/water 80/ 20, v/v; 10 ml). Then the mixture was sonicated in an ultrasonic bath for 30 min at 37°C. The suspension was centrifuged at 5000 g for 10 min at room temperature and the supernatant was collected. Phenolic compounds were determined using Folin-Ciocalteu reagent method (Singleton et al., 1965) with minor modifications. For the assay, 50 µl of the diluted methanolic extract were added to 250 µl of the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. Before adding 1 ml of sodium carbonate (7.5%), the reaction mixture was shaken thoroughly and allowed to stand for 2 min at room temperature. The absorbance of the samples was measured at 760 nm after an incubation for 30 min in the dark, at room temperature. Gallic acid was used as a standard and the results were expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/g of fresh weight.

RNA isolation

Root samples from three time points 8h, 24h and 48h of TC and TSS treatments were used for transcriptomic analysis, as these time points showed distinct physiological differences between treatments. Total RNA was extracted from 100 mg of multiple root tips (5 cm) for each replicate using the Spectrum Plant Total RNA Kit from Sigma Aldrich according to manufacturer protocol. DNA was removed using an RNase-Free DNase kit (On-Column DNase I Digestion Set, Sigma Aldrich). RNA quality and quantity of root tissue were verified using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent RNA 6000 Nano Kit).

Library construction, RNA sequencing and analysis

The library construction was carried out according to the bench manual of TruSeq RNA Sample Prep Kit v2 (Illumina) at BGI Tech solutions (Honkong). Bar coded libraries were prepared for two separate biological replicates of each time point and treatment (3 time points of control roots, 3 time points of stressed roots, 2 replicates =12 separate libraries) and they were sequenced using an Illumina[®] HiSeqTM 4000 Sequencing System (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Illumina sequences from each TC and TSS treatment was generated as 100 bp pair-end reads in FASTQ format. The cleaning procedure included, trimming low quality reads from the ends to a Phred quality score > 20 and filtering reads with a length less than 10 bp and with adaptors and reads with unknown bases (N bases more than 5%). Samples after cleaning had high quality reads (20 to100 bp). Raw sequencing reads were filtered to get clean reads by using SOAPnuke (v1.5.2, parameters -l 15, -q 0.2, -n 0.05) (https://github.com/BGI-flexlab/SOAPnuke). HISAT pipeline was applied to align reads against the grapevine reference genome assembly (PN40024 12X, V1), a nearly homozygous inbred of the V. vinifera Pinot Noir cultivar (Kim et al., 2015). StringTie was then used for transcript reconstruction (Pertea et al., 2015). Subsequently, Cuffcompare (Cufflinks tools) was utilized to compare reconstructed transcripts and the grapevine reference annotation (Trapnell et al., 2012). Coding potential of novel transcripts were predicted by CPC (Kong et al., 2007). SNP and INDEL calling was carried out by using GATK (v 3.4-0, https://www. broadinstitute.org/gatk) with parameters (call): allow Potentially Misen coded Quals, stand call conf 20.0, stand emit conf 20.0 and parameters (filter): -window 35, -cluster 3, -filterName FS, -filter "FS > 30.0", -filterName QD, -filter "QD < 2.0" (Mckenna et al, 2010). In addition, the mapped clean reads to reference genes using Bowtie2 was used to quantify transcript abundance in terms of Fragment Per Kilobase per Million mapped reads (FPKM) (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). The total uniquely mapped read ratios for TC and TSS samples were ranging from 71% to 74% (Table S1). The identification of DEGs was based on the negative binomial distribution of DEseq2 package (Love et al., 2014). The cutoff of DEGs was Fold Change ≥ 2 and adjusted by a false discovery rate (FDR) and P-value (q-value) < 0.05. The terms upor down-regulated will be used to refer to the expression values of the TSS root relative to TC root expression values. The datasets generated for this study can be found in the NCBI sequence read archive under accession SRA Bioproject PRJNA507974. For Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment and pathway analysis, all DEGs that were identified in all pairwise comparisons were mapped to GO terms using an R function phyper and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) through R package clusterProfiler (Yu et al., 2012), and significantly enriched terms were identified in comparison with the genome background. Heat maps were drawn using R packages of pheatmap (Kolde R. Pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. R Package Version 1.0.12).

Real-time PCR validation of RNA-seq data

The quantitative RT-PCR analysis was carried out using SYBR green master mix (2X Brilliant III Ultra-Fast QRT-PCR master mix; (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), on AriaMx Agilent system (AriaMx; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) with the following reaction conditions: reverse transcription step at 50°C for 10min; initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 60 s and a melt-curve program (65–95°C with a temperature increase of 0.5°C after every 5 s). The melting curve was generated to determine the amplicon specificity. The qRT-PCR experiments were performed using three biological and three technical replicates. A reaction with no template control and a reverse transcription negative control were performed to check the potential reagents and genomic DNA contamination. The expression of $VvEF1\gamma$ and VvActin genes were found to be stable in our transcriptome database and hence were used as the normalization control in real time PCR. Primers were designed for selected transcripts from transcriptome database using QuantPrime QPCR. Details of the primers are represented in supplementary table. Relative expression of the transcripts was calculated using 2 - $\Delta\Delta$ CT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Results

Salinity affected shoot growth, osmotic potential and mineral composition in wild and rootstock grapevines

At shoot level, 15 days after adding 150mM NaCl, salt stress symptoms were visible only in salt treated rootstock 1103P plants. After a longer exposure of 23 days salt stress symptoms on 1103P plants have become more intense in both leaves and shoots (leaf burn, defoliation and shoot necrosis). However, under these same experimental conditions, the Tunisian wild grapevine genotype "Tebaba" displayed mild symptoms and greater plant viability (Figure 1B). Its leaf osmotic potential showed a pronounced increase (-1,210 MPa and -0,9632 MPa) compared to 1103P (-0, 7390 MPa, -0,746 MPa), under control and stress conditions respectively (Figure 2A).

At the root zone, increasing salinity in the irrigation solution significantly raised both Na⁺ and Cl⁻ content in roots of both species (1103P and "Tebaba"). Accumulation ratios of sodium ions compared to control were similar in both "Tebaba" and 1103P at 8h. The highest accumulations were observed at 24h with ratios of 8,61 and 9,32 compared to control in "Tebaba" and 1103P respectively (Figures 2B, C). A similar trend was observed for Cl⁻



accumulations. At the same time, a significant decrease in potassium content was observed in both grapevines. The 1103P showed a pronounced reduced content in K⁺ compared to "Tebaba", especially after 8h of salt stress exposure with a reductions ratio of 1,57 in "Tebaba" against 3,14 in 1103P. Consequently, Na⁺/K⁺ ratio tended to increase progressively from the early phase (8h) to the late phase (24-48h) of the stress. However, it remains significantly lower in "Tebaba" compared to 1103P especially at 8h with a Na⁺/K⁺ ratios of 8,18; 16,55 and 15,79 for 8h,24h and 48h, respectively (stressed samples versus control), compared to 18,09; 21,11 and 20,07 for 1103P (Figure 2D).

Enzymatic and non-enzymatic defense against Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) in response to salt stress

The induced activity of the SOD increased at late time points 24h-48h of salt exposure in roots of "Tebaba" concomitantly with a decrease in the amount of the anion superoxide O_2^- , suggesting that SOD might be efficient in O_2^- detoxification (Figures 3A, B).

For 1103P, the O₂ scavenging activity decreased along with a decrease in the SOD activity suggesting a less efficient ROS scavenging ability. "Tebaba" genotype showed an increase in H₂O₂ content at early time points 8h-24h of salt stress exposure before stabilizing under longer stress exposure 48h indicating a potential quick adaptive response to oxidative stress. In this respect, 1103P showed a different behavior with a high H₂O₂ content at 8h, before decreasing progressively along with exposure time duration to NaCl (Figure 3C). Regarding the detoxifying CAT enzyme activity, it showed opposite trends (gradual increase) compared to H2O2 content. Thus, in "Tebaba", an efficient inhibition of H2O2 occurred at 24h of salt stress due to an increase of CAT enzyme activity. However, at the early stage (8h), the level of CAT enzyme in "Tebaba" was low and was not able to ensure an efficient scavenging of the H2O2 production (Figure 3D). In our study the polyphenol content increased only at 8h and just in "Tebaba" (no differences were noticed in 1103P, Figure 3E). This phenolic compound is among the non-enzymatic antioxidants and would contribute as scavenging free radicals in "Tebaba" to maintain redox homeostasis under salt stress. The scavenging of the H₂O₂ is supported by mechanisms other than



The effect of salt stress on the antioxidant enzymes activities in the rootstock 1103P and wild grapevine "Tebaba". Log2 fold changes of anion superoxide O_2^- levels (A), Superoxide Dismutase SOD (B), levels of hydrogen peroxide H_2O_2 (C), levels of catalase CAT (D) and Polyphenols (E) in "Tebaba" (continuous trait) and 1103P (pointy trait) at 8h, 24h and 48h of NaCl stress.Concentration of H level of lipid peroxidation (MDA), and activities of the enzymes SOD, CA Concentration of Hzymes SOD, Values represent the mean of at least three independent experiments \pm SE. Significant differences amongst different treatments are indicated by different letters, according to Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) test (P<0.05).

CAT and most probably by non-enzymatic mechanisms mediated by polyphenol compounds.

Overview of RNA-seq data from "Tebaba" roots subjected to salt stress

To gain comprehensive insights into the wild grapevine (*Vitis sylvestris*) transcriptomic response to salinity stress,

"Tebaba" and 1103P plants were treated with 150 mM NaCl solution for 8h, 24h and 48h and the root samples were used for RNA-sequence analysis along with their TC samples. We used principal-component analysis (PCA) to examine the similarity between samples according to the components that explain most of the variance in the data as shown in (Figure S1). A high correlation between biological replicates was observed (R2 > 0.96) for all the treatments, which indicate that the biological replicates were reliable in this study. In addition, the reliability of

our transcriptome profiling dataset was validated by examining the expression of selected genes by using RT q-PCR and by comparing them to the normalized data obtained in the RNA-Seq analysis. We found highly significant and positive correlations between RT q-PCR and RNA-Seq results in all time points (8h, 24h and 48h) which means that the results of RNA-seq were reliable (Figure S2).

A total of 5093 DEGs were detected in the roots of "Tebaba" following salt treatment (Figure 4). The number of DEG at each point of the time course, increased from 2002 (8h) and, 2373 (24h) and to 2782 (48h). Large proportion of salt responsive genes were induced at 48h of stress due to greater accumulation of salt in roots following longer exposure to salt stress. This might reflect a sequential response of the plant as adaptive strategy to overcome salinity stress. The DEGs whose expression was modified at every time point were compared using Venn diagrams to identify the genes which were specifically induced or repressed after salt treatment (Figure 4). Lower numbers of genes are specifically differentially up or down-regulated at 8h comparisons (910), followed by 24h (1041) and 48h comparisons (1399). On other hand, the high number of common genes, differentially up- or down- regulated, between 24h and 48h (651) compared to those between 8h and 24h (360). 321 DEGs were commonly identified during the time course of 150mM NaCl stress. The expression of these genes showed either a continuous up-regulation pattern or a continuous down-regulation pattern.

A greater number of molecular pathways were significantly enriched following salinity time course

GO term mainly involved cellular components, molecular function and biological process (Figure S3). Under the biological process, the predominant transcripts were found in metabolic process (72.7% and 71,4%) at 8h and 48h respectively, and in cellular process (47%) at 48h. In the molecular function, the predominant transcripts found to be in catalytic activity (74,6%, 76,6% and 76,5%), while in the cellular process the highest prevalence of transcripts were recorded in cell and cell part with (79,5%, 69% and 57,9%) at 8h, 24h and 48h respectively. Across all time point, biological process was the most enriched GO category followed by the molecular function category. Metabolic process, single-organism process, cellular process, response to stimulus, localization and biological regulation were the most abundant groups in the biological process category. In the molecular function category, catalytic activity and binding were the most abundant groups. In the cellular component category, cell and cell part, membrane, membrane part and organelle were the most abundant groups (Figure S3).

A total of 119, 247 and 358 pathways were identified after 8, 24 and 48h respectively. The study revealed that the highest levels of transcripts were found to be involved in the metabolic pathway at 8h, 24h and 48h (31.09%, 32.39% and 32.68%) followed by biosynthesis of secondary metabolites (21.85%, 22.27% and 21.79%), then in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (10.08%,6.88% and 7.54%).

To understand the regulatory networks of DEGs genes, we carried out a pathway mapping against a pathway database KEGG using the KOBAS tool (version 3.0). The results revealed that all genes were mapped to 103 different pathways. Among those, 41pathways were common for all time points indicating a continuous gene regulation in these pathways. Four, eight and nineteen, additional pathways were specific to 8h, 24h and 48h, respectively suggesting that longer salt stress treatment affected more pathways. The most enriched DEGs pathways at all of the time points were metabolic pathway, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites and phenylpropanoid biosynthesis. However, MAPK signaling pathway was only enriched only at 8h, nitrogen metabolism pathway was preferentially enriched at 24h and Galactose metabolism only at 48h (Figure S4).



Osmotic stress signaling and osmoregulation pathways in salt treated "Tebaba" roots

DEGs encoding osmotic sensing (Figure 5A) and osmoadaptation (Figure 5B) were modulated during the time course of salinity stress. At 8h of NaCl stress, three DEGs (VIT_03s0038g02980, VIT_00s0399g00030, and VIT_ 10s0523g00050) encode ion channels and seven DEGs (VIT_ 14s0060g01590, VIT_05s0049g00560, VIT_06s0004g06430, BGI_novel_G000074, VIT_08s0007g01230, VIT_ 09s0002g02790, and VIT_07s0005g04390) encode receptor-like kinases (RLKs) were up-regulated. At 24 to 48h of salt stress, DEGs encoding vesicular trafficking (VIT_17s0000g01080, VIT_13s0019g05190, VIT_05s0124g00030), Hyperosmotically inducible periplasm protein (VIT_18s0001g04800) were upregulated. Particularly, at 48h of salt stress, we observed the up-regulation of the phosphoinositide signaling pathway related DEGs (VIT_07s0031g00920, VIT_02s0012g00550, VIT_18s0157g00210) and the calcium signaling pathway genes (VIT_18s0001g11830, VIT_18s0122g00180, VIT_01s00 26g00880, VIT_05s0020g03980). However, DEG encoding for the Cl⁻ loading to xylm/SLAH, (VIT_18s0001g13440) was down-regulated.

DEGs encoding four protein kinases (PTKs: VIT_ 10s0003g01990, VIT_05s0020g01690, VIT_08s0040g01580, VIT_08s0007g06570) and four protein phosphatases 2C (PP2C) (VIT_06s0004g05460, VIT_11s0016g03170, VIT_ 10s0523g00020, VIT_16s0050g02680) were gradual increased over time and correlated to the upregulation of Abscisic acid (ABA) biosynthesis/transport genes: NCED (9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase VIT_10s0003g03750, VIT_ 19s0093g00550, VIT_02s0087g00910) and (VIT_05s004 9g02240). However, DEGs encoding ABA receptors (VIT_13s0067g01940, VIT_02s0012g01270) were dramatically down-regulated. Since signaling is necessary for re-establishing osmotic equilibrium in "Tebaba" plants, several osmoprotective genes such as dehydrin (VIT_04s0023g02480), LEA (VIT_ 16s0115g00170, VIT_06s0004g03010, VIT_08s0007g05580), Osmotin (VIT_02s0025g04300, VIT_18s0001g04800) and Osmy (VIT_18s0001g04800) DEGs were continuously upregulated over time.

DEGs involved in secondary metabolites: Flavonoid/isoflavonoid pathways under salt stress

ROS scavenging was performed *via* the activation of enzymatic and/or non-enzymatic antioxidant defense pathways, in "Tebaba" roots following a time course pattern (Figure 6). Genes in this pathway can be classified into three subpathways (i) stilbenoids, (ii) isoflavonoids, (iii) flavonoids and anthocyanidins. DEGs coding for stilbenoids pathway (VIT_16s0100g00840, VIT_16s0100g00940, VIT_ 16s0100g00920, VIT_12s0028g02890, VIT_16s0100g00850) were repressed in all time point. However, enzymes encoding for CHS (VIT_05s0136g00260), CHI (VIT_13s0067g02870: chalcone isomerase) were upregulated. Transcripts coding for Isoflavone methyltransferase/OrcinolO-methyltransferase (IOMT: VIT_15s0045g01490; VIT_12s0028g02930) were mostly down-regulated at 48h with a fold change of -2,5 except for the DEG (OMT: VIT_10s0003g00470) which was upregulated at all of the time points (Figure S5A). Flavanone encoding DEGs (F3H: VIT_18s0001g14310, VIT_03s0063 g01210, VIT_06s0009g02840) were particular upregulated at 24h with fold changes ranging from 1,1 to 1,5. Three glycoside flavonol UDP-glucose glucosyltransferase DEGs (VIT_12s0055 g00160, VIT_12s0055g00200 and VIT_03s0017g01990) were upregulated at 48h except for VIT_03s0017g01990 whose upregulation was over time course. Leucoanthocyanidin dioxygenase (LDOX) is the key enzyme leading to the synthesis of anthocyanins. Two transcripts annotated LDOX VIT_08s0105g00380 and VIT_13s0067g01020) were found to be repressed at all-time points. DEG of Anthocyanin biosynthesis (VIT_18s0041g00900) was repressed under salt stress. "Tebaba" roots does not activate the flavonoid pathway under prolonged salt stress.

DEGs involved in phenylpropanoid metabolism under salt stress

The time-course analysis revealed 15 differentially expressed genes related to lignin, among which two were homologous of a caffeic acid O-methyltransferase homolog (COMT: VIT_11s0016g02600, VIT_10s0003g04160), a key enzyme in the lignin pathway, downregulated and decreased overtime reaching -2,35 at 48h. The Cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase encoding DEG (CAD: VIT_00s0371g00050) was upregulated at 24h by a FC of 1,51. DEGs encoding lignin biosynthesis (VIT_ 00s1677g00010, VIT_00s0510g00030, VIT_08s0058g00980, VIT_00s0226g00030), VIT_12s0055g01010, VIT_04s0 023g01240, VIT_00s0481g00020, VIT_00s0731g00010, VIT_18s0117g00600, VIT_18s0075g00960) were mostly upregulated at 24h (Figure S5B). The present study supports the hypothesis that "Tebaba" might be diverting substrates from the isoflavonoids and anthocyanins pathway to increase production of lignin, especially after 24h of salt stress. These results suggest that "Tebaba" root cells may respond to salt stress by thickening cell walls, due to an increased expression of many lignin biosynthesis genes under saline conditions. Physical reinforcement of the root cell wall could be an important component of the long-term salt stress adaptation in plants.



DEGs involved in cell wall pathways under salt stress

Sixty-three DEGs associated with cell wall metabolism were identified (Figure S6). The metabolic processes of the cell

wall were particularly activated at 24 and 48h of salt stress (Figure 7). It mostly included the synthesis of enzymes and proteins involved in cell wall modifications. Our results showed that under salinity stress, the expression of some cell-wall extensibility related genes exhibited a general

	VIT_06s0004g05700	0 24 40 G	lutathione S-transferase 8 GSTU8	E2_5_1_18_GST	U8 glutathione S-transferase
SC	VIT_14s0060g02170 VIT_19s0093e00320	G	lutathione S-transferase GSTU8 lutathione S-transferase 25 GSTU25	E2_5_1_18_GST E2_5_1_18_GST	U8 1125
ng R	VIT_19s0015g02880	G	lutathione S-transferase 20 GSTU20	E2_5_1_18_GST	U20
ig ig	VIT_19s0093g00160	G	lutathione S-transferase 8 GSTU19	E2_5_1_18_GST	U19
iat 'er	VIT_07s0104g01820	G	lutathione S-transferase 13 GSTF7	E2_5_1_18_GST	F7 F13
a j	VIT_14s0128g00610	G	ermin-like protein 3 [Vitis vinifera]		Germin-like protein
sc	VIT_09s0002g01320	G	ermin-like protein armin like protein 2 (Vitic vinifora)		
ш	VIT_16s0013g00090	G	ermin-like protein 3 [Vitis vinifera]		
	VIT_10s0003g05260	G	ermin-like protein		
	VIT_11s0052g00650	P	eroxidase	E1_11_1_7	Peroxidase
	VIT_00s1677g00010 VIT_00s0510g00030	P	eroxidase 64 eroxidase 64	E1_11_1_7 E1_11_1_7	
	VIT_01s0010g02020	P	eroxidase (EC 1.11.1.7), anionic	E1_11_1_7	
	VIT_00s0226g00030	P	eroxidase	E1_11_1_7	
	VII_08s0058g00980 VII_03s0063g01040	P	ationic peroxidase eroxidase	E1_11_1_/ E1_11_1_7	
	VIT_01s0010g00390	P	eroxidase prx15 precursor	E1_11_1_7	
	VIT_06s0080g00690	Pi	eroxidase ATP14a	E1_11_1_7	
	VIT_12s0059g02410 VIT_10s0116g00340	P	eroxidase	E1_11_1_/ E1_11_1_7	
	VIT_07s0104g01100	P	eroxidase	E1_11_1_7	
	VIT_08s0058g00990	P	eroxidase	E1_11_1_7	
	VII_19s0085g01040 VII_12s0055g01030	P	ationic peroxidase eroxidase	E1_11_1_/ E1_11_1_7	
	VIT_12s0055g01010	P	eroxidase	E1_11_1_7	
	VIT_16s0098g00820	P	eroxidase 3	E1_11_1_7	
	VII_00s0004g07750 VIT_00s0481e00020	T D	rA. class in peroxidase 40 iphenol oxidase	E1 10 3 1	Diphenol oxidase
	VIT_18s0075g00230	D	iphenol oxidase	E1_10_3_1	
	VIT_18s0122g00170	D	iphenol oxidase	E1_10_3_1	
	VII_16500/5800230 VIT_00s0480g00040	D	phenol oxidase blyphenol oxidase II, chloroplast precursor	E1_10_3_1 E1_10_3_1	
	VIT_00s0992g00020	H	eat shock protein (HSP26.5-P) 26.5 kDa class P		Heat shock protein
0	VIT_13s0019g02780	H	eat shock protein 17.6 kDa class I	K13993	
l õ	VII_02s0025g00280 VIT_16s0022g00510	H	eat shock 22 kDa protein	K04079	
Ř.	VIT_01s0010g02290		eat shock protein 26a, chloroplast		
ng ci	VIT_00s0179g00150	H	eat shock transcription factor A6B	HSFA6B_4	
lat l	VIT_09s0002g00690 VIT_06s0004g05770	H	eat shock protein 17.6 kDa class l	K09512 K13993	
er v	VIT_11s0037g00510		eat shock protein 70	K03283	
a z	VIT_09s0002g00640	S	nall heat stress protein class CIII	53 3 4 95	6-11
Li S	VIT_16s0100g00840 VIT_16s0100g00940	S	ilbene synthase 4 ilbene synthase 3 [Vitis sp. cv. 'Norton']	E2_3_1_95 E2_3_1_95	Stilbene synthase
Ľ.	VIT_16s0100g00920	S	ilbene synthase - grape	E2_3_1_95	
Ž	VIT_16s0100g00850	S	ilbene synthase	E2_3_1_95	
	VII_0450023g01240 VII_15s0045g01490	A Is	ntnocyanidin 3-O-glucosyltransferase oflavone methyltransferase/Orcinol O-methyltransferase 2 oomt2	E2_4_1_115 E2_1_1_46	Isoflavonoid biosynthesis
	VIT_12s0028g02930	Is	oflavone methyltransferase/ Orcinol O-methyltransferase 1 oomt1	E2_1_1_46	,
	VIT_08s0007g07740	c	P93A1 2-hydroxyisoflavanone synthase	E1_14_13_86	
	VII_12s0134g00580 VII_07s0129g00760	H C	ydroxycinnamoyi-coA quinate nydroxycinnamoyitransferase (P81E1 isoflavone 2'-hydroxylase	E2_3_1_99 E1 14 13 89	
	VIT_10s0003g00470	ls	oflavone methyltransferase/ Orcinol O-methyltransferase 1 oomt1	E2_1_1_46	
	VIT_18s0001g14310	FI	avonone- 3-hydroxylase	E1_14_11_9	Flavanone
	VIT_06s0009g02840 VIT_13s0067e02870	FI	avonoid 3',5'-hydroxylase talcone-flavanone isomerase	E1_14_13_88 E5_5_1_6	Elavonoid biosynthesis
	VIT_05s0136g00260	c	nalcone synthase	E2_3_1_74	
	VIT_16s0022g01540	FI	avonoid 3',5'-hydroxylase	E1_14_13_88	
	VIT_05s0062g00710 VIT_16s0022g01500	1	DP-glucose:flavonoid 7-O-glucosyltransferase avonoid 3'.5'-hydroxylase -like protein	E2_4_1_237 E1 14 13 88	
	VIT_11s0016g01050	fe	rulate 5-hydroxylase	K09755	
	VIT_12s0055g00160	U	DP-glycosyltransferase 71E1	E2_4_1_17	Flavonol glycoside biosynthesis
	VII_12s0055g00200 VIT_03s0017g01990		DP-glucose glucosyltransferase DP-glucose glucosyltransferase	E2_4_1_35 E2_4_1_35	
	VIT_18s0001g03430	FI	avonol synthase	E1_14_11_23	Flavonols biosynthesis
	VIT_12s0055g00280	U	DP-glycosyltransferase 71A13	F1 14 44 40	
	VII_08s0105g00380 VIT 13s0067g01020		eucoanthocyanidin dioxygenase	E1 14_11_19	
	VIT_15s0048g02430	N	aringenin,2-oxoglutarate 3-dioxygenase	E1_14_11_9	
	VIT_03s0038g04690	Is	oflavone reductase protein 6	E1_3_1_45	
	VII_07S0031g03070 VIT_18s0041g00900	ls U	DP-glycosyltransferase 88A4	c1_3_1_45	Anthocyanin biosynthesis
	VIT_04s0008g03480	В	eta-carotene 15,15'-monooxygenase	E1_14_99_36	Tetraterpenoid biosynthesis
	VIT_12s0035g01080	c	arotenoid isomerase 1, chloroplast precursor	K09835	Manual 111 (1997)
	VII_13s0067g00370 VIT_13s0084e00010	P	nene syntnase)-a-terpineol synthase	E4_2_3_14 VVTPS	monoterpenoid biosynthesis
	VIT_02s0025g04880	G	eraniol 10-hydroxylase	G10H	
	VIT_13s0067g03700	P	nene synthase	E4_2_3_14	
	VII_11s0016g01290 VII_13s0067a03770	C C	rr72A1)-a-ternineol synthase	K13400 VVTPS	
	VIT_17s0000g04610	l-	rictosidine synthase	E4_3_3_2	
	VIT_16s0039g00570	1	0-deacetylbaccatin III 10-O-acetyltransferase	E2_3_1_167	Diterpenoid biosynthesis
	VIT_19s0090g00140 VIT_16s0100g00560	5	aipna-taxadienol-10-beta-hydroxylase N-methylcoclaurine 3'-hydroxylase	E1_14_13_76	Ternenoid biosynthesis
	VIT_18s0001g04510	5-(+)-delta-cadinene synthase isozyme XC1	E4_2_3_13	
	VIT_19s0014g02590	(-	-germacrene D synthase	E4_2_3_22	
	VIT_12s0134g00140	R	-linalool synthase	E4_2_3_26	
	BGI novel G000512	(-	deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate synthase	£4_Z_3_ZZ	
	VIT_11s0052g01780	1	deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate synthase	E2_2_1_7	
	VIT_18s0001g04530	(-)-germacrene D synthase	E4_2_3_22	Sesquiterpenoid biosynthesis
L			- germaniser in africando		
	-2 0 2				
ed in React	ive Oxygen S	pecies	(ROS) scavenging in wild grapevir	ne subjecte	ed to salt stress treatments. He
	o ROS scave	nging a	t different time points. The Data	were In-tra	ansformed. Red and blue color

trend favoring cell wall-loosening. In fact, most genes involved in cell wall loosening were up-regulated at 24h-48h, such as genes encoding *Expansin* (12 DEGs) and *Xyloglucan* (14 DEGs). A down regulation of various cell wall degradation related enzymes were noticed (e.g., cellulases: 5 DEGs) while genes involved in cross-linking of cell-wall polymers or cell wall stiffening, such as *Pectinesterase*, were down-regulated (3 DEGs).

BGI novel G000711	Actin cell wall regulation		Cell wall loosening process
VIT 00s1455g00010	Expansin beta 1 precursor	EXPB1	
VIT_14s0108g01020	Alpha-expansin 1 precursor	EXPA1	
VIT_00s0309g00090	EXPANSIN-like B1	EXPB1	
VIT_17s0053g00990	Alpha-expansin 1 precursor	EXPA1	
VIT_15s0021g02700	Beta-expansin (EXPB4)	EXPB4	
VIT_17s0000g06360	Alpha-expansin 3	EXPA3	
VIT_09s0002g06520	Beta-expansin EXPR3	EXPR3	
VIT_02s0154g00290	Extensin	EXTENSIN	
VIT_09s0070g00480	LRX2 (leucine-rich repeat/extensin 2)	EXTENSIN	
BGI novel G000721	vacuolar inhibitor of fructosidase		
VIT_00s0323g00070	Pectin methylesterase inhibitor	PMEI	
VIT_05s0020g00420	Polygalacturonase GH28	E3 2 1 15	
VIT_14s0066g01060	Polygalacturonase GH28	F3 2 1 15	
VIT_17s0000g09810	Pertate lyase	F4 2 2 2	
VIT_00s0414g00060	Cellulose synthase CSLE1	F2 4 1 12 CSLF1	Cell wall stiffening process
VIT_01s0011g02170	Endo-1.4-beta-glucanase	F3 2 1 4	sen man sentening process
VIT_15s0046g02990	cellulose catabolism :endoglucanase: cellulas	LJ_Z_I_4	
VIT_15:0046g02990	cellulose catabolism :endoglucanase: cellulas	-	
VIT_09c0002g06840	cellulose catabolism :endoglucanase: cellulas		
VIT_15c0046g0200840	cellulose catabolism :endoglucanase. cellulas		
VIT_00=0002=06860	cellulose catabolism cendoglucanase, cellulos		
VIT_12:0047:00240	Destinesterese family	FO 1 1 11	
VIT_1550047g00240	Pectifiesterase family		
VIT_1550048g00510	Vular antabalian (DVI 1)	E3_I_I_II	
VII_05s0077g01280	Xylan catabolism (BXL1)	52 4 4 207	C.II. II. II. II. C.I.
VII_1150052g01260	Xylogiucan endotransglucosylase/nydrolase 2	3 E2_4_1_207	Cell wall expansion and remodeling
VII_11s0052g01250	xylogiucan endotransglucosylase/nydrolase 2	3 E2_4_1_207	
VII_11s0052g01190	Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase-hydrolase X	IH3 E2_4_1_207	
VII_11s0052g01180	Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase 2	3 E2_4_1_207	
VII_11s0052g01220	Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase 6	E2_4_1_207	
VII_11s0052g01280	Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase 2	3 E2_4_1_207	
VII_11s0052g01310	Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase 6	E2_4_1_207	
VIT_11s0052g01340	Xyloglucan endo-transglycosylase, C-terminal	E2_4_1_207	
VIT_11s0052g01320	Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase 6	E2_4_1_207	
VIT_11s0052g01330	Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase 2	3 E2_4_1_207	
VIT_11s0052g01270	Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase 6	E2_4_1_207	
VIT_11s0052g01200	Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase 2	3 E2_4_1_207	
VIT_17s0000g06210	GASA like	GASAL	
VIT_14s0108g01590	UDP-glucose 6-dehydrogenase	E1_1_1_22	
VIT_04s0023g01240	Lignin precurser synthesis	E2_4_1_115	Lignin biosynthesis
VIT_00s0174g00270	CAD	E1_1_1272	
VIT_00s0371g00050	CAD	E1_1_67	
VIT_00s0731g00010	Laccase	E1_10_3_2	
VIT_18s0117g00600	Laccase	E1_10_3_2	
VIT_18s0075g00960	Laccase	E1_10_3_2	
VIT_08s0007g01550	Laccase	E1_10_3_2	
VIT_18s0122g00520	Laccase	E1_10_3_2	
VIT_18s0001g02350	Laccase	E1 10 3 2	
2 0 2			
-2 0 2			

transcripts, respectively, from both control and salt treated roots. False Discovery Rate (FDR) < 0.001 and the maximum value of log2 (ratio of stress/control) > 1 was used as the cut-off to evaluate significant differences in expression.

DEGs involved in starch, glycolysis and Galactose metabolisms under salt stress

Regarding starch metabolism, the upregulation of the DEG β -amylase (VIT_10s0003g04710) at early salt stress phase (8h) was followed by the induction of the glycolytic pathway genes such as those encoding: Aldolase-1-epimerase (VIT_14s0108g00270), Fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase (FBA, VIT_03s0038g00670), Enolase (VIT_16s0022g01770, VIT_16s0022g01770), and Pyruvate kinase (PK, VIT_16s0050g02660, VIT_16s0050g02660). When extending the salinity exposure, DEGs related to starch synthesis (AGPase) were continuously up-regulated in a time dependent-(VIT_03s0038g04570, VIT_02s0025g02790, VIT_18s0001g12840). DEGs encoding carbohydrate catabolism, such as beta-galactosidase (VIT_11s0016g02200, VIT_11s0016g02200, VIT_18s0001g02230), beta-glucosidase (VIT_13s0064g01720, VIT_19s0014g04750) and Sucrose catabolism (VIT_02s0154g00090, VIT_04s0008g01140), were down-regulated under salt stress.

DEGs of Galactinol synthase (VIT_14s0060g00760, VIT_ 07s0005g01970, VIT_14s0060g00760, VIT_14s0060g00790, VIT_14s0060g00810, VIT_14s0060g00800, VIT_14s00 60g00810, VIT_07s0005g01970, VIT_14s0060g00800, VIT_ 07s0005g01680) and Stachyose synthase (VIT_07s0005g01680, VIT_03s0038g04570, VIT_02s0025g02790, VIT_03s00 38g04570, VIT_02s0025g02790, VIT_15s0046g01000) as well as the sugar transport (VIT_17s0000g00820, VIT_05s00 20g03140, VIT_02s0025g02080, VIT_17s0000g00830) mostly increased at 24h (Figure S7).

Heat shock protein (HSP) and sesquiterpenoids biosynthesis in response to salt stress

Heat shock proteins (HSP) are stress responsive proteins known as molecular chaperone, protecting plants from the stress damage. In this pathway, 10 DEGs related to HSP were identified. The high molecular weight HSP including VIT_02s0025g00280 and VIT_11s0037g00510 were downregulated at 8h, but upregulated at 48h. *HSP* (VIT_ 13s0019g02780, VIT_16s0022g00510, VIT_06s0004g05770 and VIT_09s0002g00640) were down-regulated at 8h but overexpressed at 24h and 48h. The *HSP* VIT_00s0992g00020 was down-regulated under salt stress. Heat-stress transcription factors (VIT_00s0179g00150, VIT_09s0002g00690) showed reduced expression levels at 8h and 24h. Besides *HSP*, DEGS encoding (-)-germacrene D synthase (VIT_19s0014g02590, VIT_18s0001g04530 and VIT_19s0015g02070) from the sesquiterpenoids pathway were up-regulated at 8h of salt stress (Figure 6).

Different transcription factors profiles were associated with salinity in "Tebaba" roots

Transcription Factors (TF) are important modules in regulating gene expression. In our research, members of various

TF families involved in salt tolerance were identified. Thirty-one DEGs encoding transcription factor were differentially regulated in "Tebaba" after 8, 24 and 48h of salt stress treatment (Figure 8). Two of them were specifically expressed at 8h, nine at 24h, thirteen at 48h and seven were commonly repressed at all experimental time points. Most of these TFs belong to MYB (8), AP2/ERF (8), WRKY (3), bHLH (4), BES (1), LOB (1), RW4 (1), TRAF (1), NAC (1) and HSF (1) families. The most represented families correspond to MYB TF and AP2/ERF. The majority of TF encoding MYBs were overexpressed at all-time points; especially, MYB 305 (VIT_05s0049g02260) and MYB 120-4 (VIT_00s0203g00070) which showed the highest fold induction overtime course. However, the AP2/ERF DEGs were either overexpressed at 24h, such as AP2.124 (VIT_11s0016g03350), AP2.76 (VIT_02s0025g04460) and AP2.140 (VIT_04s0008g06000) or at 48h, such as ERF9-1 (VIT_12s0028g03270), AP2.137 (VIT_16s0013g01070 48) and AP2.10 (VIT_13s0067g01960). Besides the TFs, 5 transcripts corresponding to translational regulators, increased markedly at 8h of salt stress then decreased over time (Figure 8).

VIT_05s0049g02260	, 24	Myb domain protein 305	MYB305	Un-regulated 8/24/48
VIT_04s0008g01800		Myb domain protein 7	MYB7 3	
VIT_00s0203g01000		Myb domain protein 102	MYB102 4	
VIT_16s0013g00900		Ethylene-responsive transcription factor ERE105	FRE105 5	Down-regulated 8/24/48
VIT_01s0011g03070		AP2 domain-containing protein RAP2 8	RAP2 8	bown regulated by 24,40
VIT_04s0044g01370		SCI 1 (scarecrow-like 1)	SCI 1 1	
VIT_00s0203g00170		Myh domain protein 92	MYB92 1	
VIT_10s0003g04710		BES1/BZR1 homolog protein	BES1 3	Un-regulated 8h
VIT_09s0018g00240		WRKY DNA-binding protein 40	WRKY40	Down-regulated 8h
VIT_11s0016g03350		AP2/EREBP transcription factor TINY2	TINY2 3	Up-regulated 24h
VIT_02s0025g04460		DWARE and delayed flowering 2	DDF2	op regulated 2 m
VIT_04s0008g06000		Ethylene-responsive transcription factor ERE003	ERF003 2	
VIT 18s0001g09250		Lateral organ boundaries protein 38	LBD38_2	
VIT 11s0016g01300		TT2 (transparent testa 2)	TT2 4	
VIT 15s0046g00170		MYBPA1 protein [Vitis vinifera]	MYBPA1	
VIT 16s0013g00890		Ethylene-responsive element binding factor	ERF1A	Down-regulated 24h
VIT_03s0038g03710		RWP-RK domain-containing protein	RWPRKDOM 3	Ū
VIT 17s0000g09790		BT1 (BTB and TAZ domain protein 1)	BT1	
VIT 18s0001g08040		Basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) family	BHLHDOM 24	Up-regulated 48h
VIT_13s0067g01960		Dehydration-responsive element-binding protein	DREB2C	
VIT_19s0014g03290		NAC domain containing protein 19	NAC019_1	
VIT_12s0028g03270		Ethylene-responsive transcription factor 9	ERF9_1	
VIT_16s0013g01070		Ethylene-responsive transcription factor ERF105	ERF105_3	
VIT_00s0179g00150		Heat shock transcription factor A6B	HSFA6B_4	
VIT_18s0001g12610		Radialis-like protein 6	RADL6_2	Down-regulated 48h
VIT_03s0063g02670		Radialis-like protein 6	RADL6_1	
VIT_16s0022g02250		Basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) family	BHLHDOM_90	
VIT_14s0006g01470		Basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) family	BHLHDOM_44	
VIT_12s0055g00340		WRKY DNA-binding protein 9	WRKY9	
VIT_08s0058g01390		WRKY DNA-binding protein 70	WRKY70_2	
VIT_07s0205g00160		Basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) family	BHLHDOM_8	
VIT_01s0011g01820		Ribosomal protein L27 (RPL27C) 60S	K02901	translational reglulators
VIT_00s0873g00010		Ribosomal protein L2	K02886	
VIT_13s0101g00220		Ribosomal RNA 16S	K01977	
VIT_11s0037g01180		23S ribosomal RNA	K01982	

FIGURE 8

Heatmap of Transcription factors and translational regulators in wild grapevine subjected to salt stress treatments. HeatMap Analysis of expression of transcripts related to Transcription factors at different time points. The Data were ln-transformed. Red and blue colors indicate up- and down- regulated transcripts, respectively, from both control and salt treated roots. False Discovery Rate (FDR) ≤ 0.001 and the maximum value of log2 (ratio of stress/control) ≥ 1 was used as the cut-off to evaluate significant differences in expression.

Discussion

Given that genetic variation is the basis for crop improvement, characterization of genetic control of salt tolerance traits using locally adapted plants such as crop wild relatives and landraces would provide a great potential to dissect contributing traits and mechanisms (Bohra et al., 2022) Populations of wild grapevine distributed in different geographical environments showed a wide range of salt tolerance. For example, the North African wild grapevine genotype "Tebaba" (from Tunisia) was able to tolerate 150mM NaCl for 15 days without any symptoms (Askri et al., 2018) and salt injury were visible only after 23 days (Figure 1B). By contrast, the wild grapevine AS1B ecotype from the coastline of Asturias, showed a complete mortality rate at 14 days of 120mM NaCl (Carrasco et al., 2022).

Here, we have evaluated the physiological and biochemical responses of "Tebaba" wild grapevine genotype (Vitis Sylvestris) and compared it to the well-known salinity-tolerant and widely used rootstock 1103P under controlled salinity conditions. "Tebaba" showed the lowest osmotic potential values and less salt damage compared to 1103P rootstock suggesting that "Tebaba" responses to salt stress by maintaining required water relation parameters for positive root cell turgor (Haider et al., 2019). Furthermore, rootstocks with lower osmotic adjustment capacity are those with greater capacity to restrict the leaf accumulation of Na⁺ and Cl⁻, thus, preventing their possible phytotoxic effects (Stevens and Walker, 2002; Zhang et al., 2002). At the ionic level, "Tebaba" maintained a lower Na⁺/K⁺ ratio than 1103P, particularly at very early time (after 8h of stress application), suggesting that minimizing Na⁺ accumulation in the root cells under salt stress could be a tolerance trait in the "Tebaba's" roots compared to 1103P (Zhang et al., 2018). This is on line with our previous results that showed that the tolerance of "Tebaba" genotype was due to an effective excluding of Na⁺ from roots and lamina and an adaptation to osmotic adjustment via a better overall selectivity of potassium versus sodium (Askri et al., 2018). At the biochemical level, "Tebaba" showed also at very early time point lower levels of CAT and SOD activation concomitant with a lower H₂O₂ production which was probably due to a low Na⁺/K⁺ ratio as compared to 1103P. A possible explanation could be the lower translocation of sodium ions into "Tebaba" roots implying low capacity of inducibility of the antioxidative enzymes. Thus, the H₂O₂ did not reach a critical level that trigger CAT activation, since this enzyme has a low affinity to H2O2 (Ransy et al., 2020). Unexpectedly, "Tebaba" genotype showed efficient O2⁻ scavenging and an increased accumulation of polyphenols only at early time point 8h underlining that the adverse effects of oxyradicals are prevented by the non-enzymatic antioxidant system at very early time followed, later on, by an enzymatic system. This outcome suggested that "Tebaba" roots have efficient sophisticated

enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant defense systems that work in concert to control cascades of uncontrolled oxidation and protect plant cells from oxidative damage at very early time. Oppositely, 1103P roots relied mostly on enzymatic antioxidant defense system. This last observation is not surprising since the synthesis of phenolic compounds is affected either positively or negatively among grape rootstocks in response to abiotic stresses, where the rootstock 1103P was reported to have reduced phenolic content under increased salinity stress condition (Jogaiah et al., 2014). Thus, accumulation of polyphenols at early time in resistant specimens can be one of the indicators for screening novel generation of grape rootstocks more resilient to abiotic stresses. Taken together, these outcomes showed that to resist the salinity conditions, "Tebaba" and 1103P mediated distinct physiological and biochemical responses following a biphasic mode. To deep our understanding on the tolerance mechanism of "Tebaba", we conducted a high throughput transcriptomic analyses in order to characterize the potential gene involved in regulating important metabolic processes in "Tebaba" and that are associated with the transition from early (8h) to late response (24-48h) under salinity condition. Each general area of metabolic pathway will be discussed in turn.

Enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant pathways

Four DEGs encoding *Germin like-proteins* (*GPLs*) were highly upregulated after 8h of salinity application. This family of cell wall glycoproteins was reported to be associated with SOD activity (Gucciardo et al., 2007) and to play a structural role as targets for protein cross-linking to reinforce the cell wall during abiotic stresses (Zimmermann et al., 2006). This suggests that "Tebaba" *GLPs* are strongly implicated in cell wall strengthening and resistance to salinity stress even in the presence of very low hydrogen peroxide contents at 8h.

Following the time course, seven DEGs encoding plant *GSTs* were upregulated after 24h and 48h of salt stress. Among of these, 5 belong to Tau class (GSTU) and the two others belong to Phi class (GSTF, (Mohsenzadeh et al., 2011). Phi and Tau class *GSTs* are plant-specific and predominantly present and they have been well documented to regulate oxidative stress metabolism generated by drought and salt stresses as reviewed by (Kumar and Trivedi, 2018). It has also been suggested that *GSTF* class can recycle glutathione adducts of oxidized flavonols back to the parent flavonols maintaining consequently the antioxidant pools. Thus, we suggest that *GSTFs* play pivotal role in combining enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant mechanisms in "Tebaba" roots (Dixon et al., 2011).

Beside the enzymatic antioxidant response, an increase of the non-enzymatic antioxidants, reflected by the increase of the total polyphenol content and mediated by several DEGs

encoding enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of different phenolic compound classes, was occurred during the biphasic response. Flavonol Glycoside DEGs were simultaneously upregulated with three sesquiterpenoids DEGs after 8h of salt treatment. The glycoside forms of flavonols were suggested to act as H₂O₂ scavengers during water stress in the Mediterranean species Fraxinus ornus (Fini et al., 2012). Furthermore, they conferred salt stress tolerance in transgenic rice since they act as ROS scavengers to reduce oxidative damage (Zhan et al., 2019). Recently, Dong et al. (2020) reported that the upregulation of UDP-glucose glucosyltransferase was required for the redirection of metabolic flux from lignin biosynthesis to flavonoid glycoside biosynthesis under abiotic stress. The biosynthesis of flavonols, could be an early defensive mechanism developed by "Tebaba" roots in order to mitigate oxidative stress as an effective ROS scavenging pathway (Šamec et al., 2021). Recently, flavonols were proposed to be a crucial process allowing Mediterranean plant species to adapt to climate change, especially in the Mediterranean area considered as one of the most sensitive regions to climate change over the globe (Laoué et al., 2022). In addition to the flavonol glycoside, ROS scavenging mechanism was mediated by the sesquiterpenoids via their efficient removal of singlet oxygen generated under oxidative stress (Velikova et al., 2004). DEGs encoding monoterpenoids, isoflavoinoids, flavanons and HSP pathway biosynthesis were downregulated after 8h of salt stress and were induced only after 24 to 48 h suggesting a minor metabolic adjustment. Several studies showed that the 24 h might be a turning point at which the salt response strategy might begin to change in the roots of soybean (Liu et al., 2019) and common Bermuda grass (Shao et al., 2021). For example, the HSP induction after prolonged salt stress would play a role of protector of their target proteins from denaturation (Guo et al., 2020). At 48h, our results showed the upregulation of the lignin biosynthesis DEGs (CAD, SAD) was concomitant with a downregulation of DEGs related to anthocyanin metabolism (LDOX), suggesting a time-depend switch from the accumulation of anthocyanin compounds at 8h to the accumulation of lignin compounds at 48h (Zúñiga et al., 2019) hypothesized that the upregulation of the lignin biosynthetic pathway negatively affected the anthocyanin production by lowering the levels of the common precursor pcoumaric acid. This was already evidenced by Van Der Rest et al (2006) in tomato transgenic plants. Lignin is most likely to constitute the phenolic compounds pool of "Tebaba" rather than antocyanins.

Osmotic stress-related signaling and sodium-sequestration/exclusion

Sensing salt signals is a prerequisite for initiating the reestablishment of cellular ionic homeostasis. Starting after 8h

of salinity stress, the upregulation of DEGs encoding ion channels and RLKs, suggests that these transporters establish, as early response, signaling circuits to transduce information from outer plant cell under salinity conditions. At the same time (8h post stress application), DEG encoding vacuolar H^+ -ATPase was also up-regulated suggesting an active transport of Na⁺ from the cytoplasm to the vacuole, generating thus a vacuolar Na⁺ sequestration process (Graus et al., 2018; Yang and Guo, 2018). Such a signaling system is important to maintain ionic homeostasis through an efficient Na⁺ compartmentalization mechanism as a trait of wild grapevine adaptation to salt stress. DEGs encoding vesicular trafficking were upregulated by 24 to 48h of salt stress. This up-regulation was concomitant with the transcript accumulation of the hyperosmotically inducible periplasm protein and the HVA22E, which are part of the regulatory mechanism for vesicle movement between the plasma membrane and vacuole. They are also reported to involved in maintaining a lower Na⁺/K⁺ ratio and in conferring salt tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis plants (Liu et al., 2012). Vesicles trafficking encoding genes are known to be correlated with Na⁺ exclusion and are important for the salinity stress response (Sweetman et al., 2020). Taken together, this suggests that the vesicle trafficking mechanism could be the main process for Na⁺ relocation/exclusion and thus favoring the K⁺ accumulation in the roots of wild grapevine under salinity condition.

In addition, restriction of Cl⁻ transport into the roots could be achieved by the *Cl⁻* loading to xylm/SLAH1 DEG which its down-regulation is known to reduce Cl⁻ efflux and maintain anion homeostasis. Such mechanism was already reported in *Vitis* species with enhanced salt stress tolerance (Henderson et al., 2014). DEGs encoding *PTKs* and *PP2C* were gradually increased over time and correlated to the upregulation of ABA biosynthesis and transport genes and most likely regulated by *WRKY52* (VIT_09s0018g00240), known to be involved in the ABA signaling pathway (Geilen and Böhmer, 2015). However, DEGs encoding ABA receptors were dramatically downregulated, suggesting that the transduction of osmotic signal in the "Tebaba" roots could rely mainly on the ABA independent pathway.

Osmoregulation and osmoprotection

To strength the osmotic adjustment, particularly during in the late phase, cell roots most likely induce DEGs encoding enzymes related to the raffinose oligosacharide family, suggesting that the galactionl was essentially accumulated in order to prevent cell dehydration and loss of turgor after longer exposure to NaCl. This is on line with what was reported for the stress tolerance mechanism in wild *Vitis Amurensis* (Chai et al., 2019).

Starch turnover for energy saving in wild grapevine under salinity stress

Plants remobilize their starch reserve to release energy, sugars and derived metabolites to help mitigate the stress (Zeeman et al., 2010). At early salt stress phase, the upregulation of the DEG encoding β -amylase followed by the induction of the glycolytic pathway genes such as: (Aldolase-1epimerase), Fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase (FBA), Enolase, and pyruvate kinase (PK), suggest that the increased flow of carbon through the Calvin cycle leads to an increased sucrose and amino acid production. This might result in osmoprotectant and compatible solutes that are involved to support plant growth and/or to maintain the osmotic balance and scavenging hydrogen peroxide under salinity conditions (Du et al., 2019). When extending the salinity exposure, DEGs of starch synthesis were highly upregulated with maximum increase up to 48h. This upregulation was concomitant with a down-regulation of DEGs starch catabolism, suggesting that the turnover of starch metabolism under salinity stress could be an alternative source of energy and carbon for the biosynthesis of compatible solutes, allowing thus "Tebaba" roots to mitigate the effects of salt stress (Thalmann and Santelia, 2017).

Lignin biosynthesis and cell wall remodeling

Starting at 24h of salt stress, several DEGs of lignin biosynthesis pathway (e.g. Laccase) were up-regulated, suggesting that enhancing lignin biosynthesis pathway would enhance rigidity of "Tebaba" roots and provide mechanical support for water and osmolytes transport through the xylem vessel (Hu et al., 2009). This is on line with previous studies reporting that strong expression of lignin biosynthetic genes is a crucial factor in plant adaptation and tolerance to salt stress (Chun et al., 2019). The transcriptional induction of lignin biosynthesis is maybe controlled by both TFs: MYBPA1, (Koyama et al., 2014) and NAC019-1 (Tu et al., 2020). In addition, our transcriptomic analysis revealed the upregulation of several DEGs related to the cell wall-loosening pathway such as expansins and pectinases as well as DEGs encoding cell wall expansion and remodeling (e.g. xyloglucan). This occurred concomitantly with a downregulation of the cell wall stiffening DEGs (cellulases and pectin esterases), supporting one of the explanations that cell wall extensibility may play a crucial role in adaptation to salinity by maintaining normal turgor pressure under a longer exposure to salt stress (Chen et al., 2019). In the same line, transcriptomic reprogramming revealed that cell wall remodeling mainly occurred in the wild Vitis sylvestris AS1B ecotype when the salinity increased (Carrasco et al., 2022). Here, it is important to stress out that "Tebaba" genotype establish a

combined response mechanism based on cell wall remodeling with an efficient osmoregulation. At transcriptional level, the cell expansion most likely regulated *via* both transcription factors: the *VviERF045* [VIT_04s0008g06000, (Leida et al., 2016)] and *Lateral organ boundaries protein 38* [VIT_18s0001g09250, (Chavigneau et al., 2012)]. Taken together, these outcomes suggest that modulation of lignin and cell wall-related pathway could be a good indicator of an acclimation mechanism used by "Tebaba" roots to mitigate salt stress. This cell wall modification could be regulated by *AP2/EREBP* TF (Saelim et al., 2018).

Time-independent transcription factors in wild grapevine

Interestingly, the *ERF9-1* from the ERF family was induced at late time point 48h in "Tebaba" roots which is online with our previous comparative study showing the upregulation of this TF exclusively in the tolerant cultivated grapevine versus sensitive cultivars under salt stress (Daldoul et al., 2010). We thus suggest that this TF could be a salt tolerance biomarker in *vitis*. The *MYB102-4* from the MYB family, was upregulated over time in this study under salinity condition but it is also known to contribute to the water deficit induced suberization of grapevine roots (Zhang et al., 2020) suggesting that probably this TF is involved in "Tebaba" root suberization. The *MYB305* was reported to be up-regulated in shade-treated inflorescence in *Vitis vinifera* (Domingos et al., 2016) and was found to be upregulated in this study under salinity stress.

Besides TFs, gene expression regulation is influenced by translational regulators. Their related DEGs were markedly upregulated only at very early time point 8h in "Tebaba" roots. Translational reprogramming process used by "Tebaba" genotype could be a faster and more dynamic strategy to quickly adjust the translation process to the environmental changes to alleviate salt stress (Dias-Fields and Adamala, 2022).

A hypothetical mechanism for salt stress response in wild grapevine roots

The integration of physiological, biochemical and transcriptomic data shed new light on response of wild grapevine to salt stress over a time course. The combined results increase our understanding of the chronological changes that occur during two distinct phases: after 8h (very early response phase) and after 24 to 48h as late response phase, and allow us to propose a schematic model of the transcriptional cascade during salinity stress in *Vitis sylvestris* (Figure 9). According to this model, very early response TF and signaling genes sense and respond to salinity stress by activating ion channel receptors, receptor-like kinases, the H^+ -ATPase for ion



Model of how *vitis sylvestris* response to salinity stress during the early phase (8h) and the late phase (24-48h). Gene pathways significantly upregulated highlighted in red and those in blue are significantly downregulated; each pathway was represented by a box and the key DEGs related to each pathway were indicated in the top of the box; the size of arrow indicated the amount of ions transported. Abbreviations: NCED, 9-cis-epoxy-carotenoid dioxygenase; ABA, Abscisic Acid; PP2C, Protein Phosphatases 2C; LEA, Late Embryogenesis Abundant; DHN, Dehydrin; Osmy, Hyperosmotically inducible periplasmic protein; SOD, Superoxide dismutase; HSP, Heat shock protein; GST: Glutathion S-transferases; XyG, Xyloglucan; LAC, Laccase; PE, Pectine Esterase; TF, Transcription Factor; ROS, Reactive Oxygen Species; RFO, Raffinose Family of Oligosaccharides. The association of putative transcription factors (TF) with each state is depicted in pie charts. Sizes are proportional to the number of each TF family detected. TFs that were significantly enriched under those conditions are listed in Figure 8.

transport, preferentially K⁺, and increasing expression of genes of sesquiterpenoids biosynthesis, flavonol glycoside as nonenzymatic antioxidant system against ROS and glycolysis to compensate low carbon assimilation. These early responding changes are reinforcing the hypothesis that the early response establish a short term acclimatization to what could be a quickly reversed stress. When salt stress is prolonged, it seems that metabolic changes induce some novel transcription factor family members (e.g. NAC) which appear to execute more specific function related to the induction of a gene directly involved in lignin biosynthesis, isoflavonoids, monoterpenes, HSP, galactose and starch anabolism to generate osmoprotectants against RFO in parallel to the induction of the enzymatic antioxidant system. These changes also include, the activation of genes related to cell wall-loosening pathway concomitant with a down-regulation of the cell wall stiffening offering a cell wall flexibility and metabolic

reprogramming under salt stress. Our findings allow further understanding of the genetic regulation mechanism of salinity tolerance in *Vitis sylvestris*. Specific validation and functional characterization of the key biomarkers would help in selecting suitable traits for the design of appropriate breeding strategies and the development of new generation of rootstocks (of Mediterranean origin) with highly enhanced abiotic stress tolerance.

Data availability statement

The RNA-seq datasets by using Illumina-Hiseq platform are available from the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database (SRA; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) under project number accession PRJNA507974. The cDNA libraries were obtained from the controls and their respective salt-stress of 150mM NaCl for 8h, 24 h and 48h with two biological replicates, respectively.

Author contributions

SD, MG, and AM conceived and designed the study. FH and ZH performed the physiological and biochemical analyses. SD performed the molecular analyses. MG performed the bioinformatic and statistical analyses. SD and MG wrote the original draft of the manuscript. All authors read and contribute to the review and editing of the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was carried out as part of the Tunisian National Research Program (CP2019-2022) of the laboratory of Plant Molecular Physiology at the Centre of Biotechnology of Borj-Cedria, funded by the Tunisian Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the technical staff of the laboratory as well as the editors and reviewers of the special issue

References

Arnold, C., Schnitzler, A., Parisot, C., and Maurin, A. (2010). Historical reconstruction of a relictual population of wild grapevines (Vitis vinifera ssp sylvestris, gmelin, hegi) in a floodplain forest of the upper seine valley, France. *River Res. Appl.* 26, 904–914. doi: 10.1002/rra.1312

Askri, H., Daldoul, S., Ben Amar, A., Rejeb, S., Jardak -Jamoussi, R., Rejeb, N., et al. (2012). Short-term response of wild grapevines (Vitis vinifera l. ssp. sylvestris) to NaCl salinity exposure: Changes of some physiological and molecular characteristics. *Acta Physiologia Plantarum* 34, 957–968. doi: 10.1007/s11738-011-0892-8

Askri, H., Gharbi, F., Rejeb, S., Mliki, A., and Ghorbel, A. (2018). Differential physiological responses of Tunisian wild grapevines (Vitis vinifera l. subsp. sylvestris) to NaCl salt stress. *Braz. J. Bot.* 41, 795–804. doi: 10.1007/s40415-018-0500-x

Azri, W., Cosette, P., Guillou, C., Rabhi, M., Nasr, Z., and Mliki, A. (2020). Physiological and proteomic responses to drought stress in leaves of two wild grapevines (Vitis sylvestris): A comparative study. *Plant Growth Regul.* 91, 37–52. doi: 10.1007/s10725-020-00586-4

Baneh, H. D., Hassani, A., Abdollahi, R., and Shayesteh, F. (2015). Growth and physiological responses of some wild grapevine (Vitis vinifera l. ssp. sylvestris) genotypes to salinity. *Bulgarian J. Agric. Sci.* 21, 530–535.

Beauchamp, C., and Fridovich, I. (1971). Superoxide dismutase: Improved assays and an assay applicable to acrylamide gels. *Anal. Biochem.* 44, 276–287. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(71)90370-8

Berhe, D. T., and Belew, D. (2022). Evaluation of wild, wine, table, and raisin grapevine (Vitis spp.) genotypes in gedeo zone, southern Ethiopia. *Sci. World J.* 2022, 1–15. doi: 10.1155/2022/6852704

Bohra, A., Kilian, B., Sivasankar, S., Caccamo, M., Mba, C., Mccouch, S. R., et al. (2022). Reap the crop wild relatives for breeding future crops. *Trends Biotechnol.* 40, 412–431. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2021.08.009

of Frontiers in Plant Science «Resilience of Grapevine to Climate Change: From Plant Physiology to Adaptation Strategies» Volume II.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpls.2022.1077710/full#supplementary-material

Bradford, M. M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochem.* 72, 248–254. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697 (76)90527-3

Buesa, I., Pérez-Pérez, J. G., Visconti, F., Strah, R., Intrigliolo, D. S., Bonet, L., et al. (2022). Physiological and transcriptional responses to saline irrigation of young 'Tempranillo'vines grafted onto different rootstocks. *Front. Plant Sci.* 1532. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.866053

Cambrollé, J., García, J. L., Figueroa, M. E., and Cantos, M. (2014). Physiological responses to soil lime in wild grapevine (Vitis vinifera ssp. sylvestris). *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 105, 25–31. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2014.04.004

Campus, D., Farci, M., Pili, G., and Lovicu, G. (2013). "Preliminary investigations on the tolerance of European wild grape (Vitis vinifera subsp. sylvestris) against phylloxera (Daktulosphaira vitifoliae fitch)," in *I International symposium on fruit culture and its traditional knowledge along silk road countries*, vol. 1032, 203–205. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2014.1032.27

Carrasco, D., Zhou-Tsang, A., Rodriguez-Izquierdo, A., Ocete, R., Revilla, M. A., and Arroyo-García, R. (2022). Coastal wild grapevine accession (Vitis vinifera I. ssp. sylvestris) shows distinct late and early transcriptome changes under salt stress in comparison to commercial rootstock Richter 110. *Plants* 11, 2688. doi: 10.3390/ plants11202688

Chai, F., Liu, W., Xiang, Y., Meng, X., Sun, X., Cheng, C., et al. (2019). Comparative metabolic profiling of vitis amurensis and vitis vinifera during cold acclimation. *Horticulture Res.* 6, 8. doi: 10.1038/s41438-018-0083-5

Chavigneau, H., Goué, N., Delaunay, S., Courtial, A., Jouanin, L., Reymond, M., et al. (2012). QTL for floral stem lignin content and degradability in three recombinant inbred line (RIL) progenies of arabidopsis thaliana and search for candidate genes involved in cell wall biosynthesis and degradability. *Open J. Genet.* 2012, 7–30. doi: 10.4236/ojgen.2012.21002 Chen, J., Zhang, J., Hu, J., Xiong, W., Du, C., and Lu, M. (2017). Integrated regulatory network reveals the early salt tolerance mechanism of populus euphratica. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 6769. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-05240-0

Chen, Y., Zhang, B., Li, C., Lei, C., Kong, C., Yang, Y., et al. (2019). A comprehensive expression analysis of the expansin gene family in potato (Solanum tuberosum) discloses stress-responsive expansin-like b genes for drought and heat tolerances. *PloS One* 14, e0219837. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0219837

Chun, H., Baek, D., Cho, H., Lee, S., Byung Jun, J., Yun, D. J., et al. (2019). Lignin biosynthesis genes play critical roles in the adaptation of arabidopsis plants to high-salt stress. *Plant Signaling Behav.* 14, 1–4. doi: 10.1080/15592324.2019.1625697

Creasy, G. L., and Creasy, L. L. (2018). "Harvest and postharvest processing." in *Grapes. Crop production science in horticulture* (Wallingford: CABI International), 297–317. doi: 10.1079/9781786391360.0000

Cunha, J., Baleiras-Couto, M., Cunha, J. P., Banza, J., Soveral, A., Carneiro, L. C., et al. (2007). Characterization of Portuguese populations of vitis vinifera l. ssp. sylvestris (Gmelin) hegi. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.* 54, 981–988. doi: 10.1007/s10722-006-9189-y

Daldoul, S., Boubakri, H., Gargouri, M., and Mliki, A. (2020). Recent advances in biotechnological studies on wild grapevines as valuable resistance sources for smart viticulture. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 47, 3141–3153. doi: 10.1007/s11033-020-05363-0

Daldoul, S., Guillaumie, S., Reustle, G. M., Krczal, G., Ghorbel, A., Delrot, S., et al. (2010). Isolation and expression analysis of salt induced genes from contrasting grapevine (Vitis vinifera l.) cultivars. *Plant Sci.* 179, 489–498. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2010.07.017

Dias-Fields, L., and Adamala, K. P. (2022). Engineering ribosomes to alleviate abiotic stress in plants: A perspective. *Plants* 11, 2097. doi: 10.3390/plants11162097

Dixon, D. P., Sellars, J. D., and Edwards, R. (2011). The arabidopsis phi class glutathione transferase At GSTF2: Binding and regulation by biologically active heterocyclic ligands. *Biochem. J.* 438, 63–70. doi: 10.1042/BJ20101884

Domingos, S., Fino, J., Cardoso, V., Sánchez, C., Ramalho, J. C., Larcher, R., et al. (2016). Shared and divergent pathways for flower abscission are triggered by gibberellic acid and carbon starvation in seedless vitis vinifera l. *BMC Plant Biol.* 16, 38. doi: 10.1186/s12870-016-0722-7

Dong, N.-Q., Sun, Y., Guo, T., Shi, C.-L., Zhang, Y.-M., Kan, Y., et al. (2020). UDP-Glucosyltransferase regulates grain size and abiotic stress tolerance associated with metabolic flux redirection in rice. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 2629. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16403-5

Duan, D., Halter, D., Baltenweck, R., Tisch, C., Tröster, V., Kortekamp, A., et al. (2015). Genetic diversity of stilbene metabolism in vitis sylvestris. *J. Exp. Bot.* 66, 3243–3257. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv137

Du, Z., Hu, Y., and Li, J. (2019). Overexpression of a gene AhFBA from arachis hypogaea confers salinity stress tolerance in escherichia coli and tobacco. *Biol. plantarum* 63, 122–133. doi: 10.32615/bp.2019.015

Fini, A., Guidi, L., Ferrini, F., Brunetti, C., Di Ferdinando, M., Biricolti, S., et al. (2012). Drought stress has contrasting effects on antioxidant enzymes activity and phenylpropanoid biosynthesis in fraxinus ornus leaves: An excess light stress affair? *J. Plant Physiol.* 169, 929–939. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2012.02.014

Geilen, K., and Böhmer, M. (2015). Dynamic subnuclear relocalisation of WRKY40 in response to abscisic acid in arabidopsis thaliana. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 13369. doi: 10.1038/srep13369

Graus, D., Konrad, K., Bemm, F., Nebioglu, M., Lorey, C., Duscha, K., et al. (2018). High V-PPase activity is beneficial under high salt loads, but detrimental without salinity. *New Phytol.* 219, 1421–1432. doi: 10.1111/nph.15280

Guan, X., Essakhi, S., Laloue, H., Nick, P., Bertsch, C., and Chong, J. (2016). Mining new resources for grape resistance against Botryosphaeriaceae: A focus on Vitis vinifera subsp. sylvestris. *Plant Pathol.* 65, 273–284. doi: 10.1111/PPA.12405

Gucciardo, S., Wisniewski, J.-P., Brewin, N. J., and Bornemann, S. (2007). A germin-like protein with superoxide dismutase activity in pea nodules with high protein sequence identity to a putative rhicadhesin receptor. *J. Exp. Bot.* 58, 1161–1171. doi: 10.1093/JXB/ERL282

Guo, L.-M., Li, J., He, J., Liu, H., and Zhang, H.-M. (2020). A class I cytosolic HSP20 of rice enhances heat and salt tolerance in different organisms. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 1–13. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-58395-8

Haider, M. S., Jogaiah, S., Pervaiz, T., Yanxue, Z., Khan, N., and Fang, J. (2019). Physiological and transcriptional variations inducing complex adaptive mechanisms in grapevine by salt stress. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 162, 455–467. doi: 10.1016/J.ENVEXPBOT.2019.03.022

Henderson, S. W., Baumann, U., Blackmore, D. H., Walker, A. R., Walker, R. R., and Gilliham, M. (2014). Shoot chloride exclusion and salt tolerance in grapevine is associated with differential ion transporter expression in roots. *BMC Plant Biol.* 14, 273. doi: 10.1186/s12870-014-0273-8

Hu, Y., Li, W. C., Xu, Y., Li, G., Liao, Y., and Fu, F.-L. (2009). Differential expression of candidate genes for lignin biosynthesis under drought stress in maize leaves. *J. Appl. Genet.* 50, 213–223. doi: 10.1007/BF03195675

Jogaiah, S., Ramteke, S. D., Sharma, J., and Upadhyay, A. K. (2014). Moisture and salinity stress induced changes in biochemical constituents and water relations of different grape rootstock cultivars. *Int. J. Agron.* 2014, 789087. doi: 10.1155/ 2014/789087

Kiani-Pouya, A., Rasouli, F., Shabala, L., Tahir, A. T., Zhou, M., and Shabala, S. (2020). Understanding the role of root-related traits in salinity tolerance of quinoa accessions with contrasting epidermal bladder cell patterning. *Planta* 251, 103. doi: 10.1007/s00425-020-03395-1

Kim, D., Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. (2015). HISAT: Fast spliced aligner low Memory requirements. Nat. Methods 12, 357–360. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3317

Kong, L., Zhang, Y., Ye, Z.-Q., Liu, X.-Q., Zhao, S.-Q., Wei, L., et al. (2007). CPC: Assess the protein-coding potential of transcripts using sequence features and support vector machine. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, W345–W349. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkm391

Koyama, K., Numata, M., Nakajima, I., Goto-Yamamoto, N., Matsumura, H., and Tanaka, N.J.J.O.E.B. (2014). Functional characterization of a new grapevine MYB transcription factor and regulation of proanthocyanidin biosynthesis in grapes. J. Exp. Bot. 65, 4433–4449. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eru213

Kumar, S., and Trivedi, P. K. (2018). Glutathione s-transferases: Role in combating abiotic stresses including arsenic detoxification in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 751. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00751

Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with bowtie. Nat. Methods 2, 9, 357–359. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1923

Laoué, J., Fernandez, C., and Ormeño, E. (2022). Plant flavonoids in mediterranean species: A focus on flavonols as protective metabolites under climate stress. *Plants* 11, 172. doi: 10.3390/plants11020172

Leida, C., Dal Rì, A., Dalla Costa, L., Gómez, M. D., Pompili, V., Sonego, P., et al. (2016). Insights into the role of the berry-specific ethylene responsive factor VviERF045. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1793. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01793

Liu, B., Feng, D., Zhang, B., Mu, P., Zhang, Y., He, Y., et al. (2012). Musa paradisica RCI complements AtRCI and confers na+ tolerance and k+ sensitivity in arabidopsis. *Plant Sci.* 184, 102–111. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2011.12.004

Liu, A., Xiao, Z., Li, M. W., Wong, F. L., Yung, W. S., Ku, Y. S., et al. (2019). Transcriptomic reprogramming in soybean seedlings under salt stress. *Plant Cell Environ.* 42, 98–114. doi: 10.1111/pce.13186

Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2-\Delta\Delta$ CT method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. J. G. B. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15, 1–21. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Mckenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., et al. (2010). The genome analysis toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* 20, 1297–1303. doi: 10.1101/gr.107524.110

Mohsenzadeh, S., Esmaeili, M., Moosavi, F., Shahrtash, M., Saffari, B., and Mohabatkar, H. (2011). Plant glutathione s-transferase classification, structure and evolution. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 10, 8160–8165. doi: 10.5897/AJB11.1024

Moutinho Pereira, J., Magalhaes, N., Castro, L. F., Chaves, M., and Torres-Pereira, J. (2001). Physiological responses of grapevine leaves to Bordeaux mixture under light stress conditions. *Vitis* 40, 117–121. doi: 10.5073/ VITIS.2001.40.117-121

Munns, R., Wallace, P. A., Teakle, N. L., and Colmer, T. D. (2010). "Measuring soluble ion concentrations (Na+, k+, cl-) in salt-treated plants," in *Plant stress tolerance: Methods and protocols.* Ed. R. Sunkar (Totowa, NJ: Humana Press), 371–382.

Ocete, R., Arroyo-Garcia, R., Morales, M., Cantos, M., Gallardo, A., Pérez, M., et al. (2011). Characterization of vitis vinifera l. subspecies sylvestris (Gmelin) hegi in the ebro river basin (Spain). *Vitis* 50, 11–16. doi: 10.5073/VITIS.2011. 50.11-16

Pertea, M., Pertea, G. M., Antonescu, C. M., Chang, T.-C., Mendell, J. T., and Salzberg, S.L.J.N.B. (2015). StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33, 290–295. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3122

Popescu, C. F., Dejeu, L. C., and Ocete, R. R. (2013). Preliminary characterization of wild grapevine populations (Vitis vinifera ssp. sylvestris) grown along the Danube river. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Clujnapoca* 41, 472–477. doi: 10.15835/nbha4129317

Rahemi, A., Dodson Peterson, J. C., and Lund, K. T. (2022). "Commercial grape rootstocks selections," in *Grape rootstocks and related species* (Switzerland: Springer Nature), 117–180. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-99407-5

Ransy, C., Vaz, C., Lombès, A., and Bouillaud, F. (2020). Use of H2O2 to cause oxidative stress, the catalase issue. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21, 9149. doi: 10.3390/ ijms21239149

Riahi, L., Ayari, B., Zoghlami, N., Dereeper, A., Laucou, V., Mliki, A., et al. (2013). High efficiency and informativeness of a set of SNP molecular markers in Tunisian local grapevines discrimination. *Biochem. Systematics Ecol.* 51, 175–183. doi: 10.1016/j.bse.2013.08.021

Riahi, L., Laucou, V., Le Cunff, L., Zoghlami, N., Boursiquot, J.-M., Lacombe, T., et al. (2012). Highly polymorphic nSSR markers: A useful tool to assess origin of north African cultivars and to provide additional proofs of secondary grapevine domestication events. *Scientia Hortic.* 141, 53–60. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2012. 04.023

Ruch, R., Cheng, S.-J., and Klaunig, J. (1989). Prevention of cytotoxicity and inhibition of intercellular communication by antioxidant catechins isolated from Chinese green tea. *Carcinogenesis* 10, 1003–1008. doi: 10.1093/carcin/10.6.1003

Saelim, L., Akiyoshi, N., Tan, T., Ihara, A., Yamaguchi, M., Hirano, K., et al. (2018). Arabidopsis group IIId ERF proteins positively regulate primary cell wall-type CESA genes. J. Plant Res. 132, 117–129. doi: 10.1007/s10265-018-1074-1

Šamec, D., Karalija, E., Šola, I., Vujčić Bok, V., and Salopek-Sondi, B. (2021). The role of polyphenols in abiotic stress response: The influence of molecular structure. *Plants* 10, 118. doi: 10.3390/plants10010118

Santos, J., Fraga, H., Malheiro, A., Moutinho Pereira, J., L-T, D., Correia, C., et al. (2020). A review of the potential climate change impacts and adaptation options for European viticulture. *Appl. Sci.* 10, 3092. doi: 10.3390/app10093092

Schneider, A., Boccacci, P., Ruffa, P., Torello Marinoni, D., Cavallo, L., Festari, I., et al. (2015). Identification and characterization of vitis vinifera subsp sylvestris populations in north-western Italy. *Vitis* 54, 223–225. doi: 10.5073/VITIS.2015. 54.SPECIAL-ISSUE.223-225

Schröder, S., Kortekamp, A., Heene, E., Daumann, J., Valea, I., and Nick, P. (2015). Crop wild relatives as genetic resources – the case of the European wild grape. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 95, 905–912. doi: 10.4141/cjps-2015-033

Serra, I., Strever, A., Myburgh, P. A., and Deloire, A. (2014). Review: The interaction between rootstocks and cultivars (Vitis vinifera l.) to enhance drought tolerance in grapevine. *Aust. J. Grape Wine Res.* 20, 1–14. doi: 10.1111/AJGW.12054

Shao, A., Wang, W., Fan, S., Xu, X., Yin, Y., Erick, A., et al. (2021). Comprehensive transcriptional analysis reveals salt stress-regulated key pathways, hub genes and time-specific responsive gene categories in common bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon (L.) pers.) roots. *BMC Plant Biol.* 21, 1–18. doi: 10.1186/s12870-021-02939-1

Singleton, V. L., Rossi, J.J., and Viticulture, (1965). Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 16, 144–158.

Stevens, R., and Walker, R. (2002). Response of grapevines to irrigation-induced saline-sodic soil conditions. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* 42, 323–331. doi: 10.1071/EA00143

Sweetman, C., Khassanova, G., Miller, T. K., Booth, N. J., Kurishbayev, A., Jatayev, S., et al. (2020). Salt-induced expression of intracellular vesicle trafficking genes, CaRab-GTP, and their association with na+ accumulation in leaves of chickpea (Cicer arietinum 1). *BMC Plant Biol.* 20, 183. doi: 10.1186/s12870-020-02331-5

Tandon, H. L. S. (1993). *Methods of analysis of soils, plants, waters, and fertilisers* (New Delhi: Fertiliser Development and Consultation Organisation).

Thalmann, M., and Santelia, D. (2017). Starch as a determinant of plant fitness under abiotic stress. *New Phytol.* 214, 943–951. doi: 10.1111/nph.14491

Trapnell, C., Roberts, A., Goff, L., Pertea, G., Kim, D., Kelley, D. R., et al. (2012). Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and cufflinks. *Nat. Protoc.* 7, 562–578. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2012.016

Tu, M., Wang, X., Yin, W., Wang, Y., Li, Y., Zhang, G., et al. (2020). Grapevine VlbZIP30 improves drought resistance by directly activating VvNAC17 and promoting lignin biosynthesis through the regulation of three peroxidase genes. *Horticulture Res.* 7, 150. doi: 10.1038/s41438-020-00372-3

Van Der Rest, B., Danoun, S., Boudet, A.-M., and Rochange, S. (2006). Downregulation of cinnamoyl-CoA reductase in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum l.) induces dramatic changes in soluble phenolic pools. *J. Exp. Bot.* 57, 1399–1411. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erj120

Velikova, V., Edreva, A., and Loreto, F. (2004). Endogenous isoprene protects phragmites australis leaves against singlet oxygen. *Physiologia Plantarum* 122, 219–225. doi: 10.1111/j.0031-9317.2004.00392.x

Venisse, J.-S. P., Gullner, G., and Brisset, M.-N. L. (2001). Evidence for the involvement of an oxidative stress in the initiation of infection of pear by erwinia amylovora 1. *Plant Physiol.* 125, 2164–2172. doi: 10.1104/pp.125.4.2164

Vincent, D., Ergül, A., Bohlman, M. C., Tattersall, "E., Tillett, R. L., Wheatley, M. D., et al. (2007). Proteomic analysis reveals differences between vitis vinifera l. cv. Chardonnay and cv. Cabernet sauvignon and their responses to water deficit and salinity. *J. Exp. Bot.* 58, 1873–1892. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erm012

Vita, F., Ghignone, S., Bazihizina, N., Rasouli, F., Sabbatini, L., Kiani-Pouya, A., et al. (2021). Early responses to salt stress in quinoa genotypes with opposite behavior. *Physiol. Plant.* 173, 1392–1420. doi: 10.1111/ppl.13425

Yang, Y., and Guo, Y. (2018). Elucidating the molecular mechanisms mediating plant salt-stress responses. *New Phytol.* 217, 523–539. doi: 10.1111/nph.14920

Yu, G., Wang, L.-G., Han, Y., and He, ,.Q.-Y. (2012). clusterProfiler: An r package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS: J. Integr. Biol.* 16, 284–287. doi: 10.1089/omi.2011.0118

Zeeman, S. C., Kossmann, J., and Smith, A. M. (2010). Starch: its metabolism, evolution, and biotechnological modification in plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 61, 209–234. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042809-112301

Zhang, Y., Fang, J., Wu, X., and Dong, L. (2018). Na+/K+ balance and transport regulatory mechanisms in weedy and cultivated rice (Oryza sativa l.) under salt stress. *BMC Plant Biol.* 18, 375. doi: 10.1186/s12870-018-1586-9

Zhang, L., Merlin, I., Pascal, S., Bert, P.-F., Domergue, F., and Gambetta, G. A. (2020). Drought activates MYB41 orthologs and induces suberization of grapevine fine roots. *Plant Direct* 4, 1–17. doi: 10.1002/pld3.278

Zhang, X., Walker, R. R., Stevens, R. M., and Prior, L. D. (2002). Yield-salinity relationships of different grapevine (Vitis vinifera l.) scion-rootstock combinations. *Aust. J. Grape Wine Res.* 8, 150–156. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0238.2002.tb00250.x

Zhan, H., Nie, X., Zhang, T., Li, S., Wang, X., Du, X., et al. (2019). Melatonin: A small molecule but important for salt stress tolerance in plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 709. doi: 10.3390/ijms20030709

Zimmermann, G., BaüUmlein, H., Mock, H.-P., Himmelbach, A., and Schweizer, P. (2006). The multigene family encoding germin-like proteins of barley. regulation and function in basal host resistance. *Plant Physiol.* 142, 181– 192. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.083824

Zoghlami, N., Riahi, L., Laucou, V., Mliki, A., Ghorbel, A., and This, P. (2013). Genetic structure of endangered wild grapevine vitis vinifera ssp. sylvestris populations from Tunisia: Implications for conservation and management. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 310, 896–902. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2013.09.039

Zúñiga, E., Luque, J., and Martos, S. (2019). Lignin biosynthesis as a key mechanism to repress polystigma amygdalinum, the causal agent of the red leaf blotch. *J. Plant Physiol.* 236, 96–104. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2019.03.004