



Root System Scale Models Significantly Overestimate Root Water Uptake at Drying Soil Conditions

Deepanshu Khare ^{1*†}, Tobias Selzner ^{1*†}, Daniel Leitner², Jan Vanderborght¹, Harry Vereecken¹ and Andrea Schnepf¹

¹ Institute of Bio-Geosciences (IBG-3, Agrosphere), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Jülich, Germany, ² Simulationswerkstatt, Leonding, Austria

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Sacha Mooney, University of Nottingham, United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Xiaoxian Zhang, Rothamsted Research, United Kingdom Fulton Rockwell, Harvard University, United States

*Correspondence:

Deepanshu Khare d.khare@fz-juelich.de Tobias Selzner t.selzner@fz-juelich.de

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Functional Plant Ecology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 20 October 2021 Accepted: 11 January 2022 Published: 14 February 2022

Citation:

Khare D, Selzner T, Leitner D, Vanderborght J, Vereecken H and Schnepf A (2022) Root System Scale Models Significantly Overestimate Root Water Uptake at Drying Soil Conditions. Front. Plant Sci. 13:798741. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.798741

Soil hydraulic conductivity (k_{soil}) drops significantly in dry soils, resulting in steep soil water potential gradients (ψ_s) near plant roots during water uptake. Coarse soil grid resolutions in root system scale (RSS) models of root water uptake (RWU) generally do not spatially resolve this gradient in drying soils which can lead to a large overestimation of RWU. To quantify this, we consider a benchmark scenario of RWU from drying soil for which a numerical reference solution is available. We analyze this problem using a finite volume scheme and investigate the impact of grid size on the RSS model results. At dry conditions, the cumulative RWU was overestimated by up to 300% for the coarsest soil grid of 4.0 cm and by 30% for the finest soil grid of 0.2 cm, while the computational demand increased from 19 s to 21 h. As an accurate and computationally efficient alternative to the RSS model, we implemented a continuum multi-scale model where we keep a coarse grid resolution for the bulk soil, but in addition, we solve a 1-dimensional radially symmetric soil model at rhizosphere scale around individual root segments. The models at the two scales are coupled in a mass-conservative way. The multi-scale model compares best to the reference solution (-20%) at much lower computational costs of 4 min. Our results demonstrate the need to shift to improved RWU models when simulating dry soil conditions and highlight that results for dry conditions obtained with RSS models of RWU should be interpreted with caution.

Keywords: benchmark C1.2, hydraulic conductivity drop, multi-scale model, root water uptake, impact of grid size, Functional-structural root architecture models, Grid convergence study

1. INTRODUCTION

Most functional-structural root architecture models used to calculate root water uptake (RWU) consider root system architectures (RSA's) as networks of discrete cylindrical tubes embedded in 3D soil domains. We refer to those macroscopic models as models on the root system scale (RSS) (Schroeder et al., 2009a). Approaching RWU as 1D-3D mixed-dimension coupled problem is computationally more efficient than explicitly considering the physical presence of roots and their respective volumes (Koch et al., 2018). RWU is calculated based on the water potential (ψ) difference between soil and xylem (Dunbabin et al., 2013). When only below-ground organs are explicitly modeled, the water potential at the root-soil interface ψ_{RSI} is defined by transpirational

1

demand prescribed at the root collar, soil water status, and soil and root hydraulic properties. If the soil becomes dry due to RWU, k_{soil} becomes very low, leading to the formation of steep microscopic gradients in Ψ_s around the roots. These gradients are often not spatially resolved by the numerical grid used to simulate the soil water flow (Schroeder et al., 2008; Carminati et al., 2020; Rodriguez-Dominguez and Brodribb, 2020). The simulated ψ_{RSI} , which represents the ψ_s that is "felt" by plants and determines their water status, is influenced by the precision with which these gradients are modeled, as ψ_{RSI} is heavily dependent on k_{soil} . When accurately captured, the k_{soil} drop leads to an earlier onset of drought stress, while inaccurate representation may lead to the overestimation of simulated RWU.

Our preliminary solution of an RWU scenario in dry loam for the collaborative benchmark initiative of functional-structural root architecture models launched by Schnepf et al. (2020) prompts this study. Although we were aware of the grid size dependency of our RSS model under dry conditions (Schroeder et al., 2009b), we wanted to test it in detail for this benchmark. We found a rather large overestimation in our RWU calculations compared to the numerical reference solution computed on a fine adaptive soil grid meshed around an explicitly modeled 3D RSA. The resolved interface method used to create the reference is described in Koch (2021). Here, we develop the perspective that for drying soils, RSS modeling approaches are not suitable to capture the drop in k_{soil} satisfactorily and are, therefore, prone to numerical errors. Grid refinement may be used to increase accuracy: in dry soils, the steep part of ψ_s gradients extends only to a few millimeters around the roots (Schroeder et al., 2008; Metselaar and De Jong van Lier, 2011; Carminati et al., 2016), and thus, soil grid sizes similar to the root diameters are needed to resolve them (Koeppl et al., 2018; Koch et al., 2020b). However, when the soil grid resolution approaches the diameter of the roots, the physical presence of root segments can no longer be neglected (Mai et al., 2019). While methods exist to distribute the sink term across several soil elements when the grid size becomes smaller than the root diameter (Koch et al., 2021), the problem of high problem computational cost remains. To quantify the impact of grid size on RWU from dry soil, we simulate benchmark C1.2 (Schnepf et al., 2020) using our RSS model with different grids and compare the results to the reference solution. Furthermore, we implement an alternative approach by Mai et al. (2019) to show that specialized models developed to represent gradients in ψ within the soil element are required for a correction of ψ_{RSI} in a practice-relevant manner. This continuum multi-scale model represents water fluxes and potentials in the rhizosphere by a 1D radially symmetric model in which fluxes and potential gradients in the axial direction are neglected. This may be justified for small root segments when the gravitational head differences on the length scale of the root segment are small compared to the radial gradients (Roose and Fowler, 2004; Schroeder et al., 2009b; Mai et al., 2019), and we use this simplification to be able to reduce the problem to a 1D radially symmetric one. Note that gravity is only neglected in the 1D radially symmetric models. On the RSS, gravity is considered. Ultimately, the multi-scale model allows finer soil resolutions in the radial direction of root segments while keeping the computational costs low. We then use the reference solution to evaluate the results of the RSS and multi-scale model for loam. Finally, we extend the benchmark scenario to investigate the impact of grid size on the k_{soil} drop for the soil textures clay and sand.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Benchmark Scenario C1.2

The benchmark scenario is implemented based on section 2.5.5 of Schnepf et al. (2020) and considers the RWU of an 8day-old lupine with static RSA (**Supplementary Figure S1**) and constant root hydraulic properties. Axial conductivities are set to 4.32×10^{-2} cm³/day, and radial conductivities are set to 1.72×10^{-4} 1/day. Root segment diameters range from 0.02 to 0.32 cm, with an average diameter of 0.13 cm; total root length is 53.08 cm; mean root surface area is 21.68 cm². A sinusoidally modulated potential transpiration (T_{pot}) rate of 6.4 cm³/day derived from experimental data is prescribed over a simulation period of 3 days. The 3D soil domain surrounding the RSA has dimensions of 8 x 8 x 15 cm³ and is parameterized as loamy soil. Used soil hydraulic properties are given in **Supplementary Table S1**. Assuming a hydrostatic equilibrium, the initial ψ_s is set at $\psi_{s,top} = -659.8$ cm at the soil surface.

2.2. Model Description

The soil water flow equations are solved using an open-source simulation framework, DuMu^X (Koch et al., 2020a), available through a python binding within our dedicated root-soil interactions module DuMu^X-ROSI. The 3D soil domain is discretized using structured grids consisting of equally sized cuboids in which the root axes network, which is represented by a discrete network of linear 1D segments, is embedded. In the RSS model, the physical presence of roots in soil is neglected. Following, we will refer to root axis segments as root segments where each root segment has next to its spatial coordinates, root radius, and radial and axial conductivities as attributes. Soil water flow is described by the Richards equation (Richards, 1931), and the hybrid analytical solution of Meunier et al. (2017) is used for solving the water flow in the roots. RWU is calculated based on the potential difference between root-soil interface and the xylem. We solve the governing partial differential equations using a fully implicit time integration scheme coupled with the finite volume method using a cell-centered two-point flux approximation which holds the mass conservation in each control volume of soil and root. In the RSS model, ψ_{RSI} is approximated by the mean ψ_s of the voxels in which the root segment is embedded. In contrast, the continuum multi-scale approach of Mai et al. (2019) solves the 3D Richards equation on the RSS scale, coupled with a 1D radially symmetric model of soil water flow (1D Richards equation) that is applied on the single-root scale for each root segment. To set up the 1D single-root models, the soil voxel volume, V_s , is divided between all root segments within the voxel proportional to their volume, $V_{rs,i}$, and the total root volume inside the voxel, V_{rst} . The soil volume assigned to a segment is calculated by $\frac{V_{rs,i}}{V_{rst}} * V_s$, which is then used to define its surrounding hollow soil cylinder as $(r_1^2 - r_0^2)\pi L$, where the segment radius r_0 and the soil cylinder r_1

Impact of Grid Size in Benchmark C1.2

are the inner and outer boundaries of the 1D radially symmetric single-root model, and L is the segment length. At the inner boundary, water flux is prescribed based on the gradient between ψ_{xylem} and ψ_{RSI} . The net flux into or out of the soil voxel on the RSS is partitioned between the root segments inside this voxel in proportion to their surface area and prescribed as flux boundary condition at the outer boundary. Distributing the RSS net flux between all soil cylinders in a soil voxel couples both models in a mass conservative way. More details on the multi-scale approach are given in Mai et al. (2019). Both modeling approaches use noflux boundary conditions at the top and bottom boundaries of the soil domain. We assume zero flux boundary conditions at the root tips and prescribe T_{pot} as flux boundary condition at the root collar. As the ψ_{collar} reaches a threshold (-15290 cm), the boundary conditions are switched and the collar potential is set at this threshold. Equations of water flow in soil and roots are given in Schnepf et al. (2020). All simulations were performed on a local machine with an Intel® CoreTM i5-8365U CPU (@1.6 GHz, 8 Cores) and 16 GB of RAM.

2.3. Impact of Grid Size

The RSS model was simulated at uniform soil resolutions ranging from a coarse grid of \approx 4.0 cm to a comparatively fine grid of \approx 0.2 cm with soil grids consisting of equally sized cuboids with almost same edge-lengths in XYZ directions. We idealize them to be cubic and give one approximated edge-length to denote the grid size (Supplementary Table S2). The 1D cylinders of the multi-scale model are discretized by 60 elements each while using a logarithmic scaling with grading factor of 1.5 (40,000 elements in total). Hence, we achieve the highest spatial resolution close to the root surface. The mean edge length is 0.08 mm, the minimum is 6.8x10⁻³ mm, and the maximum is 3.2 mm. The adaptive grid of the reference is gradually refined toward the roots and consists of 1.45 million tetrahedral cells with a mean edge length of 1.06 mm, a minimum of 3.46x10⁻⁴ mm, and a maximum of 3.16 mm. A comparison of 1D and reference grid is given in Supplementary Figure S2. RWU is simulated with RSS and multi-scale model and results are compared to the numerical reference solution. Relative error (*RE*), defined as $\frac{(f_i - f)}{f}$, is used to quantify the differences to the reference where f_i is the cumulative transpiration (T_{cum}) at the "ith" soil resolution, and f is the T_{cum} of the reference solution.

Based on root hydraulic architecture, we compute the standard uptake fraction (SUF) of each root segment, yielding the relative contribution of each root segment to RWU in case of a uniform ψ_s . These SUF values are used as weighing factor to calculate the weighted average of ψ_s of voxels containing root segments (Couvreur et al., 2012; Meunier et al., 2017). The resultant weighted average, known as equivalent soil water potential, $\psi_{s,eq}$, is a metric that represents the actual ψ_s "sensed" by the plant. We also calculate $\psi_{s,bulk}$ as the average of all soil elements within the domain.

3. RESULTS

Results of the RSS model at different soil grid resolutions and the multi-scale model for benchmark C1.2 are shown in **Figure 1A**.

The solid black line shows the potential T_{cum} resulting from a mean T_{pot} rate of 6.4 cm³/day per plant. For the given RSA with a root surface area of 24.42 cm², this is equivalent to a mean water flux at the root surface of 3.42×10^{-6} cm/s which is a typical value, refer to e.g., Roose et al. (2001); Nye and Marriott (1969). For the RSS model, no stress is observed for a 4.0 cm grid. Starting at a soil resolution of 3.0 cm, drought stress is observed and with further refinement, its onset is shifted to earlier times decrease from 3.26 at 3.0 to 0.30 at 0.2 cm. The needed wall-clock times range from 19 s to 21.2 h. Applying the multi-scale model results in a T_{cum} of 3.2 cm³ after 3 days. In comparison to the numerical reference solution, we observe a RE of -0.20 with a required computation time of 4.3 min. As the reference solution was computed externally on different hardware, we cannot give a comparable wall-clock time.

While an initial $\psi_{s,top}$ of -659.8 cm in a loamy soil might not seem very dry from an experimental standpoint, our simulations show that considering rhizosphere gradients will lead to uptake limitations rather quickly. A visualization of the simulated $\psi_{s,bulk}$ and the $\psi_{s,eq}$ sensed by the roots for reference, RSS and multiscale model is given in **Figure 1B**. Although we apply a 1 cm grid on the RSS in both approaches, the gradients in the soil differ substantially. Quicker water replenishment in the vicinity of the roots leads to smaller ψ gradients between RSI and bulk soil in the RSS model. Utilizing the multi-scale approach results in sharper k_{soil} drops that are formed faster and result in larger ψ_s gradients. An additional plot of $\psi_{s,eq}$ showcasing the transition from non-stressed to stressed conditions for multi-scale and RSS model is shown in **Supplementary Figure S3**.

We expanded the benchmark setting to include a sand and clay scenario (**Figure 2**). Simulation time was increased to 7 days to include times of interest for clay. We used the same initial condition of $\psi_{s,top} = -659.8 \ cm$ for clay. For sand, we opted for a more agronomically relevant $\psi_{s,top}$ of $-100 \ cm$. For the case of dry sand, **Figure 2A**, we observe stress onset on day 1 for both modeling approaches. Even the RSS model with a grid of 4.0 cm only reaches a T_{cum} of 3.9 cm³ after 7 days. As the grid is refined, T_{cum} is successively reduced to 1.2 cm³ for the 0.4 cm grid. For the multi-scale model, we observe an even earlier reduction in transpiration, leading to a T_{cum} 0.06 cm³ at the end of the simulation. Hence, even the 0.4 cm grid overestimates the T_{cum} by a factor of \approx 20 compared to the multi-scale model.

In the dry clay soil, **Figure 2C**, no stress is simulated while using a 4 cm grid and 44.8 cm³ are transpired after 7 days. We see a transpiration reduction starting at 6.4 days for the 3.0 cm grid. Further grid refinement to 0.4 cm decreases the T_{cum} to 37 cm³ after 7 days. The multi-scale model simulates a T_{cum} of 33.7 cm³. Consequently, the difference between the models is smallest for this soil.

4. DISCUSSION

Overestimation of RWU under drying conditions using RSS modeling concepts was found to depend on soil discretization for all soils analyzed in the scenarios (**Figure 2**). Even fine grids (0.2 cm), resulted in 30% overestimation of T_{cum} compared to the numerical reference solution (**Figure 1A**). The multi-scale model



FIGURE 1 | (A) Cumulative transpiration of the numerical reference solution (dotted), the multi-scale model (dashed), and the root system scale (RSS) model at different soil resolutions (solid) for the loamy soil scenario benchmark C1.2 at initial $\psi_{s,top} = -659.8$ cm. Values in parentheses indicate the relative error (RE), numbers above lines indicate the wall-clock time required to compute the respective simulation. **(B)** Equivalent soil water potential, $\psi_{s,eq}$, (dashed) for the reference solution (black), and for RSS (blue), and multi-scale model (red) while using a bulk soil resolution of 1 cm. Blue and red solid lines show the mean bulk soil water potential, $\psi_{s,bulk}$, of the soil domain in RSS and multi-scale model, $\psi_{s,bulk}$ of the reference solution is shown with a black dotted line.



FIGURE 2 | Cumulative transpiration at different soil grid resolutions for the root system scale (RSS) model (solid) and the multi-scale model (dashed). (A) Sand at $\psi_{s,top} = -100$ cm, (B) Loam at $\psi_{s,top} = -659.8$ cm, and (C) Clay at $\psi_{s,top} = -659.8$ cm.

underestimated the reference solution by 20%. However, we need to keep in mind that the reference is itself a numerical solution. A larger fraction of the total soil volume than in the reference solution is covered by small edge-lengths in the multi-scale model (**Supplementary Figure S2**). Conceptually, as the multi-scale model assumes equal distribution of root segments within the

voxel, it is more likely to still overestimate RWU. Nevertheless, we could demonstrate that the multi-scale model outperforms the RSS approach in accuracy, while being roughly 300 times faster (Figure 1A) and being more stable for different grid sizes (Supplementary Figure S4). Due to the lower computational effort, simulations of large RSA's and multiple RSA's in parallel are still possible on a local computer. We are aware that adaptive grids only refined in areas containing root segments would improve the performance of the RSS model. However, as shown by Schroeder et al. (2009b), use of adaptive refinement with acceptable error margins would improve the speed with an order of magnitude of one at best. It also remains to be investigated whether other numerical methods such as FEM in combination with unstructured grids exhibit similar grid dependencies, and if the continuum assumption is still suited to describe water flow on very fine grids as used in the multi-scale model.

Whether a more detailed representation of k_{soil} gradients through the demonstrated improvements in rhizosphere soil process descriptions alone leads to more realistic RWU predictions is still debatable. Biophysical processes such as mucilage deposition (Kroener et al., 2014; Carminati et al., 2016; Landl et al., 2021) have been shown to create challenging entanglements in the rhizosphere, which can heavily alter the ksoil gradients. On the other hand, a gap between process descriptions and the current means of measurement methods could be introduced or widened. RSA's derived using MRI or CT can miss a significant proportion of fine roots (Metzner et al., 2015), which could lead to a systematic underestimation of RWU if used in modeling approaches such as the multi-scale model. Missing fine roots would lead to an overestimation of RWU per unit root length for the remaining roots and larger ψ_s gradients around the roots would limit earlier transpiration. As Cowan (1965) shows, such changes in the ratio of total root length to T_{pot} can significantly alter $\psi_{s,eq}$, $\psi_{s,bulk}$, and their daily patterns, making reliable parameterization of this ratio an ongoing challenge. In addition, current measurement methods do not allow soil hydraulic properties to be reliably determined at the rhizosphere scale and we, therefore, lack the possibility to validate simulations.

Despite these challenges, we are convinced that a shift in RWU modeling paradigms for drought conditions and rhizosphere processes, in general, is needed. A new generation of more advanced RWU models is starting to emerge. Most of these approaches utilize simplified local models around the root segments coupled to RSS models defined on a coarse grid. The ψ_{RSI} is approximated numerically (Mai et al., 2019) or by a local analytical solution based on the Kirchhoff transformation of the 1D radially symmetric Richards equation and a steady-rate (Schroeder et al., 2009a) or steady-state

REFERENCES

Beudez, N., Doussan, C., Lefeuve-Mesgouez, G., and Mesgouez, A. (2013). Influence of three root spatial arrangement on soil water flow and uptake. results from an explicit and an equivalent, upscaled, model. *Proc. Environ. Sci.* 19, 37–6. doi: 10.1016/j.proenv.2013.06.005 assumption (Koch et al., 2021). In addition, Koch et al. (2021) allow distributing sink terms around root segments over a small radially symmetric tubular support. Beudez et al. (2013) also use a local analytical solution of the linearized form of the Richards equation (Richards, 1931) and additionally apply the superposition principle to account for potential uptake competition due to dense root clusters. Graefe et al. (2019) also extended a cylindrical root model to account for nonregular root distributions. In addition to non-regular root distributions, de Willigen et al. (2018) included partial contact between roots and soil in cylindrical models. Ultimately, it will be these approaches that serve as frameworks to consider rhizosphere processes and upscale them to the RSS. They combine computational efficiency with the option to incorporate rhizosphere-scale information if it becomes available and enable comparisons between simulations and data at this scale.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AS, DK, JV, and TS contributed to the conception and design of the study. AS supervised the research activity. DK and TS wrote the manuscript and performed the simulations. Model implementation and development were done by DL and TS. AS, HV, and JV critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was partially funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG) under Germany's Excellence Strategy, EXC-2070 – 390732324 – PhenoRob. TS was funded by the DFG (Grant Number SCHN 1361/3-1). DK was funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) in the framework of the funding initiative Soil as a Sustainable Resource for the Bioeconomy BonaRes, the project BonaRes (Module A): Sustainable Subsoil Management - Soil3; subproject 3 (Grant 031B0515C).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2022. 798741/full#supplementary-material

- Carminati, A., Ahmed, M., Zarebanadkouki, M., Cai, G., Lovric, G., and Javaux, M. (2020). Stomatal closure prevents the drop in soil water potential around roots. *New Phytol.* 226, 1541–1543. doi: 10.1111/ nph.16451
- Carminati, A., Zarebanadkouki, M., Kroener, E., Ahmed, M., and Holz, M. (2016). Biophysical rhizosphere processes affecting

root water uptake. Ann. Bot. 118:mcw113. doi: 10.1093/aob/m cw113

- Couvreur, V., Vanderborght, J., and Javaux, M. (2012). A simple threedimensional macroscopic root water uptake model based on the hydraulic architecture approach. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 16, 2957–2971. doi: 10.5194/hess-16-2957-2012
- Cowan, I. R. (1965). Transport of water in the soil-plant-atmosphere system. J. Appl. Ecol. 2, 221–239.
- de Willigen, P., Heinen, M., and van Noordwijk, M. (2018). Roots partially in contact with soil: Analytical solutions and approximation in models of nutrient and water uptake. *Vadose Zone J.* 17, 170060. doi: 10.2136/vzj2017.03.0060
- Dunbabin, V. M., Postma, J. A., Schnepf, A., Pagès, L., Javaux, M., Wu, L., Leitner, D., et al. (2013). Modelling root-soil interactions using three-dimensional models of root growth, architecture and function. *Plant Soil* 372, 93–124. doi: 10.1007/s11104-013-1769-y
- Graefe, J., Prüfert, U., and Bitterlich, M. (2019). Extension of the cylindrical root model for water uptake to non-regular root distributions. *Vadose Zone J.* 18:180127. doi: 10.2136/vzj2018.06.0127
- Koch, T. (2021). Projection-based resolved interface mixed-dimension method for embedded tubular network systems. *CoRR*, abs/2106.06358 [Preprint]. Available online at: https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.06358 (accessed June 16, 2021).
- Koch, T., Gläser, D., Weishaupt, K., Ackermann, S., Beck, M., Becker, B., et al. (2020a). DuMu^x 3 - an open-source simulator for solving flow and transport problems in porous media with a focus on model coupling. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 81, 423–443. doi: 10.1016/j.camwa.2020.02.012
- Koch, T., Heck, K., Schröder, N., Class, H., and Helmig, R. (2018). A new simulation framework for soil-root interaction, evaporation, root growth, and solute transport. *Vadose Zone J.* 17, 1–21. doi: 10.2136/vzj2017.12.0210
- Koch, T., Schneider, M., Helmig, R., and Jenny, P. (2020b). Modeling tissue perfusion in terms of 1d-3d embedded mixed-dimension coupled problems with distributed sources. *J. Comput. Phys.* 410:109370. doi: 10.1016/j.jcp.2020.109370
- Koch, T., Wu, H., and Schneider, M. (2021). Nonlinear mixed-dimension model for embedded tubular networks with application to root water uptake. *CoRR*, abs/2106.05452 [Preprint]. Available online at: https://arxiv.org/abs/ 2106.05452 (accessed June 15, 2021).
- Koeppl, T., Vidotto, E., Wohlmuth, B., and Zunino, P. (2018). Mathematical modeling, analysis and numerical approximation of second-order elliptic problems with inclusions. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 28, 953–978. doi: 10.1142/S0218202518500252
- Kroener, E., Zarebanadkouki, M., Kaestner, A., and Carminati, A. (2014). Nonequilibrium water dynamics in the rhizosphere: how mucilage affects water flow in soils. *Water Resour. Res.* 50, 6479–6495. doi: 10.1002/2013WR0 14756
- Landl, M., Phalempin, M., Schlüter, S., Vetterlein, D., Vanderborght, J., Kroener, E., et al. (2021). Modeling the impact of rhizosphere bulk density and mucilage gradients on root water uptake. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3:622367. doi: 10.3389/fagro.2021.622367
- Mai, T. H., Schnepf, A., Vereecken, H., and Vanderborght, J. (2019). Continuum multiscale model of root water and nutrient uptake from soil with explicit consideration of the 3D root architecture and the rhizosphere gradients. *Plant Soil* 439,273–292. doi: 10.1007/s11104-018-3890-4
- Metselaar, K., and De Jong van Lier, Q. (2011). Scales in single root water uptake models: a review, analysis and synthesis. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 62, 657–665. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2389.2011.01385.x

- Metzner, R., Eggert, A., Dusschoten, D., Pflugfelder, D., Gerth, S., Schurr, U., et al. (2015). Direct comparison of mri and x-ray ct technologies for 3d imaging of root systems in soil: potential and challenges for root trait quantification. *Plant Methods* 11:17. doi: 10.1186/s13007-015-0060-z
- Meunier, F., Draye, X., Vanderborght, J., Javaux, M., and Couvreur, V. (2017). A hybrid analytical-numerical method for solving water flow equations in root hydraulic architectures. *Appl. Math. Model.* 52, 648–663. doi: 10.1016/j.apm.2017.08.011
- Nye, P. and Marriott, F. (1969). A theoretical study of the distribution of substances around roots resulting from simultaneous diffusion and mass flow. *Plant Soil* 30, 459–472. doi: 10.1007/BF01881971
- Richards, L. A. (1931). Capillary conduction of liquids through porous mediums. *Physics* 1, 318–333. doi: 10.1063/1.1745010
- Rodriguez-Dominguez, C. M. and Brodribb, T. J. (2020). Declining root water transport drives stomatal closure in olive under moderate water stress. *New Phytol.* 225, 126–134. doi: 10.1111/nph.16177
- Roose, T., and Fowler, A. (2004). A mathematical model for water and nutrient uptake by plant root systems. *J. Theor. Biol.* 228, 173–184. doi: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2003.12.013
- Roose, T., Fowler, A. C., and Darrah, P. R. (2001). A mathematical model of plant nutrient uptake. J. Math. Biol. 42, 347–360. doi: 10.1007/s002850000075
- Schnepf, A., Black, C. K., Couvreur, V., Delory, B. M., Doussan, C., Koch, A., et al. (2020). Call for participation: Collaborative benchmarking of functionalstructural root architecture models. the case of root water uptake. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11, 316. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00316
- Schroeder, T., Javaux, M., Vanderborght, J., Koerfgen, B., and Vereecken, H. (2008). Effect of local soil hydraulic conductivity drop using a threedimensional root water uptake model. *Vadose Zone J.* 7, 1089–1098. doi: 10.2136/vzj2007.0114
- Schroeder, T., Javaux, M., Vanderborght, J., Koerfgen, B., and Vereecken, H. (2009a). Implementation of a microscopic soil-root hydraulic conductivity drop function in a three-dimensional soil-root architecture water transfer model. *Vadose Zone J.* 8, 783–792. doi: 10.2136/vzj2008.0116
- Schroeder, T., Tang, L., Javaux, M., Vanderborght, J., Körfgen, B., and Vereecken, H. (2009b). A grid refinement approach for a three-dimensional soil-root water transfer model. *Water Resour. Res.* 45:W10412. doi: 10.1029/2009WR007873

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Khare, Selzner, Leitner, Vanderborght, Vereecken and Schnepf. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.