

Monitoring Autophagy in Rice With GFP-ATG8 Marker Lines

Rui Liu¹, Rongxue Zhang², Yi Yang³, Xuejun Liu^{2*} and Qingqiu Gong^{1*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Microbial Metabolism, Joint International Research Laboratory of Metabolic and Developmental Sciences, School of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China, ²Tianjin Key Laboratory of Crop Genetics and Breeding, Tianjin Agricultural University, Tianjin, China, ³College of Life Sciences, Nankai University, Tianjin, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Ruixi Li, Southern University of Science and Technology, China

Reviewed by:

Jinbo Shen, Zhejiang Agriculture and Forestry University, China Olga V. Voitsekhovskaja, Komarov Botanical Institute (RAS), Russia

*Correspondence:

Xuejun Liu goodrice@263.net Qingqiu Gong gongqingqiu@sjtu.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 31 January 2022 Accepted: 28 March 2022 Published: 25 April 2022

Citation:

Liu R, Zhang R, Yang Y, Liu X and Gong Q (2022) Monitoring Autophagy in Rice With GFP-ATG8 Marker Lines. Front. Plant Sci. 13:866367. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.866367 Autophagy is a conserved intracellular trafficking pathway for bulk degradation and recycling of cellular components in eukaryotes. The hallmark of autophagy is the formation of double-membraned vesicles termed autophagosomes, which selectively or non-selectively pack up various macromolecules and organelles and deliver these cargoes into the vacuole/lysosome. Like all other membrane trafficking pathways, the observation of autophagy is largely dependent on marker lines. ATG8/LC3 is the only autophagy-related (ATG) protein that, through a covalent bond to phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), associates tightly with the isolation membrane/ pre-autophagosomal structure (PAS), the growing phagophore, the mature autophagosome, and the autophagic bodies. Therefore, fluorescent protein (FP)-tagged ATG8 had been widely used for monitoring autophagosome formation and autophagic flux. In rice (Oryza sativa), FP-OsATG8 driven by Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter had been used for imaging autophagosome and autophagic bodies. Here, we constructed three vectors carrying GFP-OsATG8a, driven by 35S, ubiquitin, and the endogenous ATG8a promoter, individually. Then, we compared them for their suitability in monitoring autophagy, by observing GFP-ATG8a puncta formation in transiently transformed rice protoplasts, and by tracking the autophagic flux with GFP-ATG8 cleavage assay in rice stable transgenic lines. GFP-Trap immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry were also performed with the three marker lines to show that they can be used reliably for proteomic studies. We found out that the ubiquitin promoter is the best for protoplast imaging. Transgenic rice seedlings of the three marker lines showed comparable performance in autophagic flux measurement using the GFP-ATG8 cleavage assay. Surprisingly, the levels of GFP-ATG8a transcripts and protein contents were similar in all marker lines, indicating post-transcriptional regulation of the transgene expression by a yet unknown mechanism. These marker lines can serve as useful tools for autophagy studies in rice.

Keywords: autophagy, ATG8, rice, autophagic flux, post-transcriptional regulation

INTRODUCTION

Plants are constantly in need of nutrient reallocation during growth and development, yet they are continuously challenged by nutrient limitation and stresses. To combat starvation, biotic, and abiotic stresses while maintaining growth, plants have to efficiently remobilize and reallocate nutrients and clear up pathogens, damaged proteins, and even organelles. Among the degradation/remobilization pathways employed by plants, an intracellular trafficking pathway termed autophagy is particularly important, and the defects in autophagy strongly compromise biomass production and yield (Li et al., 2015; Wada et al., 2015; Have et al., 2017; Avin-Wittenberg et al., 2018; Signorelli et al., 2019; Cao et al., 2020; McLoughlin et al., 2020; Su et al., 2020).

Autophagy is an evolutionarily conserved, bulk degradation pathway of eukaryotic cells that can eliminate and recycle damaged or obsolete proteins and organelles (Nakatogawa, 2020; Zhang et al., 2021). In this pathway, the cargoes, either recognized selectively or non-selectively (Floyd et al., 2012; Farre and Subramani, 2016), are firstly sequestered to a double-membraned vesicle termed isolation membrane (IM) or phagophore, at a specific site termed phagophore assembly site (PAS) usually close by the endoplasmic reticulum (ER; Hollenstein and Kraft, 2020; Wun et al., 2020). Then, the phagophore expands and eventually seals to form an autophagosome. The autophagosome fuses with the tonoplast, and the cargoes, together with the inner membrane (termed autophagic bodies), are released into the vacuole for degradation (Yim and Mizushima, 2020). Through transporters yet unidentified in plants, the amino acids and other macromolecules are transported back into the cytoplasm. Clearly, to monitor the entire process, a marker protein that labels the isolation membrane, autophagosome, and autophagic bodies is essential. ATG8 is the protein (Contento et al., 2005).

ATG8 of yeasts and plants, and LC3/GABARAP of animals, was firstly discovered in the budding yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae), through the screen for autophagy (as APG8; Tsukada and Ohsumi, 1993), cytoplasm to vacuole targeting (Cvt; as CVT5; Harding et al., 1995), and pexophagy (as AUT7) mutants (Harding et al., 1996). ATG8 is an ubiquitin-like (Ubl) protein that scaffolds the expanding phagophore and autophagosome (Nakatogawa et al., 2007; Xie et al., 2008). After processing by the cysteine protease ATG4, a C-terminal glycine residue of ATG8 is exposed and gets conjugated to the lipid phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) by the ATG8 conjugation system composed of ATG7 as the E1 activating enzyme, ATG3 as the E2 conjugating enzyme, and ATG12-ATG5 complex as the E3 ligase (Mizushima et al., 1998). PE-conjugated ATG8 stays on the expanding phagophore and the mature autophagosome. Then, ATG4 cleaves PE-conjugated ATG8 off the outer membrane of autophagosome before it fuses with the vacuole (Yu et al., 2012). Since it is attached to both sides of the phagophore, upon autophagosome closure, approximately one-third of ATG8 molecules are trapped on the inner membrane of autophagosome along with the cargoes (Nair et al., 2012), thus gets degraded in the vacuole. The fact that ATG8 cannot be fully recycled before autophagosome-vacuole fusion may explain why it is strongly transcriptionally upregulated by autophagyinducing conditions (Yoshimoto et al., 2004; Nair et al., 2012).

ATG8 not only controls phagophore expansion but is a key element in cargo sequestration. Using their ATG8-interacting motif (AIM)/LC3-interacting region (LIR), ULK1/ATG1 and ATG13 bind ATG8 to regulate autophagosome formation (Wild et al., 2014). Autophagy receptors, adaptors, and cargoes bind ATG8 with AIM/LIR or the ubiquitin-interacting motif (UIM) to get packed into the expanding phagophore (Marshall et al., 2019; Johansen and Lamark, 2020). Possibly for this reason, ATG8 has become arguably the most frequently studied ATG protein. Screens that designed to identify new players in autophagy generally centered on ATG8 (Honig et al., 2012; Zeng et al., 2021). In the autophagy protein–protein interaction network, ATG8 is always a node (Behrends et al., 2010; Tu et al., 2021).

Apart from the shared characteristics with the yeast and animal ATG8s, the plant ATG8s have unique properties (Bu et al., 2020). Arabidopsis has nine ATG8s (ATG8a-i; Yoshimoto et al., 2004), maize has five, and rice has seven that can be mapped (Chung et al., 2009; Xia et al., 2011). The plant ATG8s are categorized into three sub-families and the expansion of the ATG8 family was thought to take place early in the green lineage (Kellner et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2021). Transcriptome data gave diverse expression patterns of ATG8s of Arabidopsis, indicative of their tissue specific roles (Thompson et al., 2005). Master regulator that governs autophagosome and lysosome biogenesis, such as transcription factor EB (TFEB; Settembre et al., 2011), has not been identified in plants, yet searches for plant-specific transcription regulators led to the discovery of TGACG (TGA) motif-binding protein 9 (TGA9) as a positive regulator of autophagy (Wang et al., 2020). ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5), along with HISTONE DEACETYLASE 9 (HDA9), was discovered to repress the transcription of autophagy genes such as ATG8e and ATG5 during light-to-dark transition (Yang et al., 2020), thus providing an answer for an open question in plant autophagy.

The way ATG8 is used as a marker for autophagy is also unique in plants. Firstly, the fact that plants have many ATG8s with different molecular weights prevented us from using one anti-ATG8 antibody to examine the autophagic flux, for it is futile to separate different ATG8 isoforms from the PE-conjugated ATG8s. Secondly, the plant vacuole (pH 5.4-5.8) is not as acidic as the lysosome (pH 4.5); hence, the acid-sensitive GFP tag is not promptly degraded in the vacuole. For this reason, it is not possible to use a double tagged ATG8, such as mCherry-GFP-LC3, and take the fluorescent color change as an indicator for autophagic flux (Kaizuka et al., 2016). So far, the relatively reliable way is to use FP-ATG8 transgenic lines and a FP antibody, and to treat the plants with or without V-ATPase inhibitors, such as Concanamycin A (ConA), to distinguish the free FP band (presumably residing in the lytic vacuole as a consequence of autophagy, more resistant to vacuolar hydrolases than ATG8 due to its structural feature) from the FP-ATG8 band (presumably outside the vacuole).

Abbreviations: ATG, Autophagy-related; ConA, Concanamycin A; ER, Endoplasmic reticulum; GFP, Green fluorescent protein; LSCM, Laser Scanning Confocal Microscopy; WT, Wild type.

This method is termed FP-ATG8 cleavage assay. Selection of a proper promoter for the GFP-ATG8 transgenic line is also important, for ectopic expression of ATG8 often promotes transition into flowering, improves nitrogen use efficiency, and increases yield (Chen et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2019), and thus could be unsuitable for stress and developmental studies.

For autophagy studies in rice, 35S:mRFP-OsATG8a and 35S:mRFP-OsATG8d lines were firstly generated and imaged for autophagosome accumulation upon ConA treatments (Izumi et al., 2015). Recently, 35S:GFP-OsATG8a and 35S:GFP-OsATG8b lines have been generated, and both were reported to increase yield (Yu et al., 2019; Fan et al., 2020). The lack of a comparison between different GFP-OsATG8 constructs prompted us to generate OsATG8 monitoring lines with 35S, ubiquitin, and endogenous promoters, and to compare their performance under autophagy-inducing conditions. We aimed to pin down specific constructs and lines that are suitable for documenting autophagy, via imaging or immunoblotting. We also wanted to evaluate the potential of GFP-OsATG8 in protein-protein interaction screen, which have been a powerful tool in the identification of new players in plant autophagy (Honig et al., 2012; Han et al., 2015; Zeng et al., 2021).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids Construction

In this study, the *pCAMBIA1302* vector was used for generating transgenic rice lines. The coding sequence of *OsATG8a* (*Os07g0512200*; 360 bp in length) was amplified from rice cDNA and inserted at the *Eco91*I site through homologous recombination as described (Luo et al., 2017). To generate *ProUBQ10:GFP-OsATG8a* and *ProATG8a:GFP-OsATG8a* plasmids, the 35S promoter was replaced with the *ubiquitin* promoter and the *OsATG8a* promoter, respectively, and inserted between HindIII and NcoI sites. All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. The primers used for cloning, plasmid construction, and sequencing are listed in **Supplementary Table S1**.

Generation of Transgenic Rice Lines and Plant Growth Conditions

Transgenic rice lines were generated similarly to a previous report on OsATG8s (Izumi et al., 2015). Briefly, sterilized rice seeds (japonica rice cultivar Jinjing 818) were used for callus induction. The vectors were transformed into mature seedderived rice calli by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. Transgenic rice plants (T1) were obtained through several rounds of differentiation inductions and selected on medium containing Hygromycin B. These T1 transgenic rice lines were selected by liquid medium containing 50 mg/L Hygromycin B for 3 days. After germinating in plates containing water for 5-6 days, the seedlings were grown in the modified Hoagland's solution (5 mM MgSO₄·7H₂O, KNO₃, 2 mM $5\,\mathrm{mM}$ Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O, 1 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.05 mM FeSO₄·7H₂O, 0.05 mM Na2EDTA, 46 nM H2BO3, 9 nM MnCl2·4H2O, 0.3 nM CuSO4·5H2O, and $0.8\,nM$ ZnSO₄·7H₂O) at $28^{\circ}C/24^{\circ}C$, 14h light/10h dark in a growth chamber. Transgenic rice was cultivated in paddy fields in growth seasons of 2019 to 2021 in Tianjin, Jiangsu, and Hainan provinces of China.

Transient Transformation of Tobacco Leaves

GFP-OsATG8a driven by three different promoters were transiently expressed in tobacco leaves as described (Luo et al., 2017). Soil grown, 4-week-old *N. benthamiana* leaves were used for Agrobacterium infiltration. After 2 days of inoculation, leaves were collected and cut into small squares for confocal microscopy.

Rice Protoplast Transformation

Sterilized rice seeds (japonica rice cultivar Jinjing 818) were germinated on 1/2 Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium with a photoperiod of 14h light and 10h dark at 26°C for 5-6 days, then moved to the dark for another 5-6 days. The etiolated stem and sheath of rice seedlings were cut into pieces of approximately 0.5 mm with sharp razors. These pieces were immediately transferred into 20 ml enzyme solution (1.5% Cellulase RS, 0.75% Macerozyme R-10, 0.6 M mannitol, 10mM MES at pH 5.7, 10mM CaCl₂, and 0.1% BSA), vacuum infiltrated for 30 min, and further digested in the dark for 4-5h with gentle shaking. After enzyme digestion, protoplasts were released by adding an equal volume of W5 solution (154 mM NaCl, 125 mM CaCl₂, 5mM KCl, and 2mM MES at pH 5.7) and gentle shaking by hand for 2min. Then, the protoplasts were filtered through a 40 µm-gauge nylon mesh with 3-5 washes using W5 solution and collected by spinning at 100 g for 3 min. After protoplasts were re-suspended and washed once with W5 solution, MMG solution (0.4 M mannitol, 15mM MgCl₂, and 4mM MES at pH 5.7) was used to re-suspend the pellets at a concentration of 2×10^6 cells ml⁻¹. For each transformation, $6-8\mu g$ of freshly prepared plasmid DNA and 200 μl protoplasts (about 4×10^5 cells) were mixed with 220 µl freshly prepared PEG solution [40% (w/v) PEG 4000, 0.4 M mannitol, and 0.1 M CaCl₂] and were incubated at room temperature for 30 min in the dark. After incubation, the protoplasts were mixed with 1 ml W5 solution and incubated at 28°C for 12-15h in the dark before imaging.

Laser Scanning Confocal Microscopy

Transiently transformed tobacco leaves (lower epidermis) and rice protoplasts were observed with a Ni-E A1 HD25 confocal microscope (Nikon, Japan). Prior to image collection, the background auto-fluorescence was eliminated using untransformed tobacco leaves or rice protoplasts. The GFP fluorescence signal was exited at 488 nm and emission was collected at 500-550 nm. The chlorophyll auto-fluorescence was exited with 561 nm laser and emission was collected at 600-700 nm. For NaCl treatments, protoplasts were incubated in W5 solution with 250 mM NaCl, or 50 µM E-64d, or both NaCl and E-64d, for 30 min at room temperature. For inhibitor treatments, transformed protoplasts were incubated in W5 solution containing 200 nM AZD8055, or 1 µM ConA, or both AZD8055 and ConA, for 3h at room temperature.

Quantitative Real-Time RT-PCR

Leaves from 14-day-old seedlings were used for RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) as described (Luo et al., 2017). Transcript levels of *GFP* and *OsATG8a* were normalized to *OsEF1a* (*LOC_Os03g08020*) with three biological replicates consisting of four technical repeats. Specific amplification was verified by a melt curve analysis following the completion of the PCR cycles. Each PCR product generated a single peak in melt curve analysis, indicating specific amplification. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method was used for relative quantification of qRT-PCR data. Primers used are listed in **Supplementary Table S1**.

Immunoblotting

Two-week-old seedlings were used for autophagic flux measurement. Excised leaves were incubated in the Hoagland's solution with 0.01% Tween-20, and stirred and vacuumed to make sure the leaves were completely soaked in the solution. For NaCl treatments, excised leaves were incubated in the Hoagland's solution plus 150 mM NaCl, or 100 µM E-64d, or both NaCl and E-64d, for 1 h at room temperature. Alternatively, 250 mM NaCl was used. For inhibitor treatments, excised leaves were incubated in the Hoagland's solution containing 2µM AZD8055, or 1µM ConA, or both AZD8055 and ConA, for 6h at room temperature. In addition, 6h with 200 µM BTH plus 100 µM E-64d treatment and 4h with 2 mM DTT plus 100 µM E-64d treatment were used to induce autophagy. Protein extraction and immunoblotting were done as described (Luo et al., 2017). Semi-quantification of the protein levels was performed with ImageJ1 and protein levels were normalized to Coomassie brilliant blue R-250-stained band of the RuBisCO large subunit (RbcL). For immunoblotting, mouse anti-GFP (1:5,000 dilution, Utibody, China), rabbit anti-H3 (1:8,000 dilution, ABclonal, China), and the appropriate IgG-HRP conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000; ZSGB-Bio, China) were used. The signal was developed using High-sensitivity ECL chemiluminescence detection kit (Vazyme, China) and chemiluminescence was detected using a chemiluminescent Western Blot scanner (ChemiScope 6100T, Clinx, China). All experiments were repeated for three to five times, and one representative result was shown.

GFP-Trap and Mass Spectrometry

Transgenic rice seedlings were frozen and ground in liquid nitrogen, and protein extraction buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 20% Glycerol, 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.2% NP-40, and 1% Protease Inhibitor Cocktail) was added at a proportion of 1:2 (m:v). Extracts were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. Then, the supernatants were collected and centrifuged again at 12,000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatants (1 ml to 10 µl bead volume) were incubated with GFP-Trap A beads pre-equilibrated in wash buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 20% Glycerol, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 0.1% NP-40) at 4°C for 2–3 h. The beads were washed 3-4 times with wash buffer and were re-suspended in $100\,\mu$ l wash buffer. Samples were verified by Western blotting; the corresponding gels were cut and sent for mass spectrometry analysis at the Instrumental Analysis Center of Shanghai Jiao Tong University.

RESULTS

The Ubiquitin Promoter Is More Suitable for the Observation of Transiently Expressed *GFP-OsATG8a*

We cloned OsATG8s from an herbicide-resistant japonica rice cultivar Jinjing 818 and examined their transcript levels. Consistent with previous reports (Xia et al., 2011; Izumi et al., 2015), OsATG8a was the most highly expressed isoform. Hence, it was selected for vector construction (**Figure 1A**). OsATG8d was also selected (**Supplementary Figure S2**); however, we were unable to obtain transgenic lines carrying *ProATG8d:GFP-OsATG8d*, and it was eventually left out.

Expression of *GFP-OsATG8a* driven by 35S, ubiquitin, and *OsATG8a* (endogenous) promoters was firstly examined in transiently transformed *N. benthamiana* leaf epidermal cells. *Pro35S:GFP* gave typical, strong nuclear, and cytoplasmic GFP signals. *Pro35S:GFP-OsATG8a* gave relatively weak cytoplasmic signals and punctate signals that likely represent autophagosomes. Strong cytoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum and punctate signals were observed from cells transformed with *ProUBQ10:GFP-OsATG8a* (Figure 1B). *ProATG8a:GFP-OsATG8a* had the lowest expression level, with puncta representing autophagosomes observed (Figure 1B).

We then examined the expression levels of the three constructs in transiently transformed rice protoplasts (**Figure 1C**). Again, *ProUBQ10:GFP* gave cytoplasmic signals, whereas the *GFP-ATG8a* constructs gave both cytoplasmic and punctate signals. Similar to our observation in tobacco leaves, *ProUBQ10:GFP-ATG8a* gave strong and clear signals, and signal from *GFP-ATG8a* driven by the endogenous promoter was very weak.

We had previously shown that the autophagic flux can be induced by salt stress within 30 min in Arabidopsis (Luo et al., 2017). Here, we also treated the transformed rice protoplasts with 250 mM NaCl in the presence of a lysosomal cysteine protease inhibitor E-64d to see if autophagic flux could be induced by salt treatment (**Figure 2**). GFP-OsATG8a driven by all three promoters responded to salt treatment, as NaCl plus E-64d significantly induced GFP-OsATG8a accumulation (**Figures 2A–C**).

Since inhibition of Target of Rapamycin (TOR) can reliably induce autophagy, we treated the protoplasts with 200 nM AZD8055, a potent TOR inhibitor (Chresta et al., 2010), in the presence of the V-ATPase inhibitor ConA (Huss et al., 2002), to further validate the constructs. *GFP-OsATG8a* driven by *UBQ10* and *ATG8a* promoters both responded to TOR inhibition (**Supplementary Figure S1**).

Inferring from the expression intensities, the ubiquitin promoter is a good choice for the transient expression of OsATG8a.

¹https://imagej.nih.gov/



FIGURE 1 | Transient expression of constructs carrying *GFP-OsATG8a*. (A) Schematic representation of the *GFP-OsATG8a* fusion gene constructs. Three promoters—the *CaMV35S* promoter, the *ubiquitin* (*UBQ10*) promoter, and the endogenous (*OsATG8a*) promoter—are used for the constructs. (B) LSCM of tobacco leaf epidermal cells transiently expressing GFP and GFP-OsATG8a. (C) Transient expression of GFP and GFP-OsATG8a in rice protoplasts. Puncta representing autophagosomes are indicated by yellow arrowheads in (B,C). Bar=10 µm in (B,C).



(B) UBQ10 promoter, and (C) OsATG8a promoter were observed with LSCM after treatment with 250 mM NaCl, or 50μ M E-64d, or NaCl plus E-64d for 30 min. Accumulation of GFP signals, indicative of autophagosome and autophagic bodies, can be seen in protoplasts treated with NaCl plus E-64d. Bar = 10μ m in (A,B); 5μ m in (C).

Stable Transgenic Lines Carrying *GFP-OsATG8a* Are Comparable for Immunoblotting

After validating and comparing the three constructs in transient expression systems, transgenic rice carrying these constructs were generated. T1 transgenic lines regenerated from the transformed calli were firstly validated with genomic PCR and immunoblotting (Supplementary Figures S2A,B). Then, the plants were grown in the paddy fields and measured for their heights and the number of tillers before harvesting. Consistent with a recent report (Fan et al., 2020), the transgenic lines were not very different among themselves in their heights (Supplementary Figure S3A). The numbers very different of tillers were not either (Supplementary Figure S3B). No significant change in phenotype was observed at the seedling stage in the T3 transgenic lines (Supplementary Figure S3C).

The T3 transgenic seedlings carrying Pro35S:GFP-OsATG8a, ProUBQ10:GFP-OsATG8a, and ProOsATG8a:GFP-OsATG8a were evaluated for their suitability in measuring autophagic flux. The autophagic flux was measured with the GFP-ATG8 cleavage assay, in which the flux is represented by the ratio of the amount of cleaved GFP (27 kD) to total GFP in the lane (40 kD plus 27 kD), i.e., GFP/(GFP+GFP-ATG8a). Firstly, GFP-OsATG8a (40kD) and free GFP (27kD) bands were readily detected in the transgenic lines (Figure 3). Since one or two bands were detected for GFP-OsATG8a on immunoblots, we validated the bands by running the samples along with recombinant GFP-OsATG8s purified from E.coli. Two bands of similar sizes were seen for the recombinant proteins GFP-OsATG8a and GFP-OsATG8d (Supplementary Figure S2C). Such observation indicated that both bands correspond to GFP-ATG8, and the amount of GFP-ATG8 should be measured as the sum of the two.



FIGURE 3 Measuring autophagic flux in *GFP-OsATG8a* marker lines. The level of autophagic flux is represented by the difference of the GFP-ATG8 cleavage between control and treatment. GFP-ATG8 cleavage was calculated as the ratio of the free GFP band (27 kD) to the total GFP signal in the lane (40kD plus 27 kD), then normalized to the respective control (no treatment, no E-64d or ConA; set as 1.00). A color scale (Blue for 0, white for 1, and red for 2) was used to illustrate the semi-quantified values of GFP-ATG8 cleavage. Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining of RuBisCO large subunit was used as loading control. (**A**) For moderate salt stress-induced autophagy, excised leaves from 14-day-old rice seedlings were incubated in the Hoagland's solution plus 150 mM NaCl, or 100 μM E-64d, or both NaCl and E-64d for 1 h. (**B**) For severe salt stress-induced autophagy, leaves were incubated in 250 mM NaCl and 100 μM E-64d for 1 h. (**C**) For TOR inhibition-induced autophagy, leaves were incubated in 2 μM AZD8055 and 1 μM Concanamycin A for 6 h. (**D**) For BTH-induced autophagy, 200 μM BTH and 100 μM E-64d for 6 h were used. (**E**) For ER stress-induced autophagy, 2 mM DTT and 100 μM E-64d for 4 h were used. At least three biological replicates were done for each treatment, and one representative replicate is shown. (**F**) A diagram illustrating the GFP cleavage assay and the chemicals used.

For autophagy induction, rice seedlings were treated with NaCl, AZD8055, BTH, and DTT (**Figures 3A–E**). Benzo-(1,2,3)-thiadiazole-7-carbothioic acid (BTH), a salicylic acid agonist, has been used to induce autophagy (Yoshimoto et al., 2009; Zeng et al., 2021). DTT is known to induce ER stress-elicited autophagy (Liu et al., 2012). In general, GFP-OsATG8a driven by all three promoters responded mildly to the treatments and chemicals. A possible explanation is that the basal level autophagy is relatively high already in rice. Specifically, *Pro35S:GFP-OsATG8a* responded relatively strongly to $200 \,\mu$ M BTH. *ProUBQ10:GFP-OsATG8a* responded well to $250 \,\text{mM}$ NaCl and BTH, but not to $2 \,\text{mM}$ DTT. *ProOsATG8a:GFP-OsATG8a* responded nicely to moderate and severe salt stress, AZD8055, and DTT. A diagram illustrating the GFP cleavage assay and the chemicals

used is presented (Figure 3F). E-64d is omitted from the diagram because its precise role in preserving GFP, or even GFP-ATG8, is currently unknown.

At this point, we noticed an interesting phenomenon. The T3 transgenic lines carrying 35S:GFP and Ubiquitin:GFP gave strong GFP bands (Figure 4A; Supplementary Figure S2B), validating the strength of the promoters. However, lines carrying GFP-OsATG8a driven by 35S, ubiquitin, or OsATG8a promoters had comparable protein levels of GFP-OsATG8a among themselves (Figure 4A, Supplementary Figure S2B). We then examined the transcript levels of the GFP-OsATG8a fusion gene and the endogenous OsATG8a with qRT-PCR (Figures 4B-E). To distinguish ectopic OsATG8a from the endogenous transcript, two pairs of primers were used for OsATG8a, with one targeting the coding sequence and the



FIGURE 4 | mRNA and protein levels of GFP and GFP-OsATG8a in rice transgenic lines. (A) Expression of GFP (27 kD) and GFP-OsATG8a (40 kD, one or two bands) were detected by immunoblotting and quantified by ImageJ. The protein level of GFP was normalized to *Pro35S:GFP*-L1 (set as 1), and the level of GFP-OsATG8a was normalized to *Pro35S:GFP-OsATG8a*-L1 (set as 1). Three T2 transgenic lines for each construct were shown. Coomassie Blue staining of RuBisCO large subunit was used as loading control. Three biological replicates were done, and one representative replicate is shown. (B) Schematic representation of the primers designed for qRT-PCR in (C-E) qRT-PCR analysis of transgenic seedlings. Transcript levels of (C) *GFP*, (D) *OsATG8a*, and (E) *OsATG8a-UTR* were profiled and normalized to the *Pro35S:GFP*-L1 (C) or the wild-type control (D,E). Data are means \pm SD (n=4), one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey B test; p=0.05. Different letters denote significant differences. Three biological replicates, each consisting of four technical repeats, were done, and one representative replicate is shown.

other targeting the 3'-UTR (Figure 4B). Consistent with the protein levels (Figure 4A), when expressed alone, the GFP transcript can accumulate to high levels if driven by 35S or ubiquitin promoters (Figure 4C). In contrast, when expressed as GFP-OsATG8a, the transcript level of GFP, representing the fusion gene, was clearly repressed (Figure 4C), indicative of transcriptional regulation. OsATG8a transcripts, representing both endogenous and ectopic OsATG8a, accumulated in all GFP-ATG8s lines carrying the three constructs, however not very differently except for one line (Figure 4D), yet again suggesting a regulation at the transcription level. Judging from the levels of the OsATG8a-UTR, the endogenous expression of OsATG8a was not very different in all lines including the wild type, suggesting that it is the transcription of the fusion gene that gets regulated (Figure 4E). Therefore, both transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of ATG8a have taken place in the transgenic lines carrying *GFP-ATG8a*, especially in *Pro35S:GFP-OsATG8a* and *ProUBQ10:GFP-OsATG8a*, resulting in comparable *GFP-OsATG8a* protein levels. The mechanism is currently unknown and awaits further study.

Immunoprecipitation-Mass Spectrometry Analyses on the Three *GFP-OsATG8a* Transgenic Lines

ATG8 is a star molecule in proteomic studies of autophagy. To see if the *GFP-OsATG8a* transgenic lines could be used for protein–protein interaction studies, GFP-Trap immunoprecipitation was performed using seedlings from three transgenic lines along with GFP control plants grown under control conditions (mock=Hoagland's solution) or treated with Hoagland's solution containing 150 mM NaCl for 1h. The immuno-precipitated proteins were verified with SDS-PAGE and sent for mass spectrometry analyses.

From the eight conditions, 2,244 proteins from 8,120 peptides altogether were obtained. After subtracting the proteins identified in GFP control, 56, 97, and 86 proteins remained for Pro35S:GFP-OsATG8a, ProUBQ10:GFP-OsATG8a, and ProOsATG8a:GFP-OsATG8a under control conditions (Figure 5A). With salt treatment, 54, 106, and 97 proteins were identified as interacting partners for OsATG8a in the three lines, respectively (Figure 5B). The numbers of shared target proteins between different transgenic lines were also shown in the Venn diagrams (Figures 5A,B). To better describe the OsATG8a-interacting protein landscape, we mapped the proteins to the Arabidopsis proteome by batch BLAST and used the Arabidopsis protein with the lowest e-value to represent the rice protein. Then, we combined all target proteins identified from mock or NaCl treatment for Gene Ontology (GO) analysis using Cytoscape as described (Wu et al., 2022). Under controlled (mock) conditions, most enriched GO terms are tryptophan metabolic processes, indolalkylamine metabolic processes, photosynthesis, and starch biosynthesis, indicative of growth-related processes like auxin biosynthesis and photosynthesis (Figure 5C). Such categorization is consistent with the knowledge that basal level autophagy constitutively recycles damaged and obsolete proteins. With salt stress, GO terms related to the amino acid metabolic processes, especially serine, aspartate, and cysteine metabolic processes overwhelmingly enriched (Figure 5D). Energy reserve and starch metabolic processes were also enriched (Figure 5D).

We also randomly generated a list of cytoplasmic proteins that have not been reported to interact with ATG8 (**Supplementary Table S4**) and searched their protein sequences to see whether they have LIR/AIM. All selected proteins indeed have one or more LIR/AIM, suggesting that they may be ATG8interating partners.

Apart from the GO analysis, we manually examined the list of potential ATG8-interacting proteins and spotted some very interesting proteins. A manually annotated list is shown in Figure 5E. First of all, like all other ATG8/LC3 interactomes, essential membrane trafficking regulators were identified. These include SH3P2, the ATG8-, and PI3P-binding protein that regulates autophagosome formation; the COPII coat proteins SEC13 and SEC24-COPII vesicles have been revealed to participate in autophagosome formation; EXO70A1, the Exocyst component that had been reported to regulate root growth; Rab2, the Rab GTPase whose mammalian homolog have been reported to regulate autophagy; the Guanosine nucleotide diphosphate dissociation inhibitor GDI1, which is general to Rab GTPases and ROP GTPases; TRS120, the TRAPPII component that is required for cell plate formation; and CLASP, the microtubule-associated protein that regulates both cell division and cell expansion. Plasma membrane- and tonoplast-localized proteins, such as the P-ATPase AHA6, the sodium transporter HKT1, and the Vacuolar H⁺ -Pyrophosphatase AVP1, were also identified. Furthermore, kinase and phosphatases that have been reported to regulate autophagy or stress response were seen in the list. These include the catalytic and regulatory subunits of the plant AMPK, SnRK1/KIN10, the key regulator in autophagy, response to carbon limitation, and circadian clock; Casein kinase 1, an ancient protein kinase that had been reported to regulate selective autophagy; PHOT2, a key blue light receptor and kinase that regulate response to high light; stress responsive kinases ABC1K10A and LECRK-IV.1; TOPP4, an evolutionarily conserved PP1 phosphatase that regulates plant growth and immune response; and ATB'GAMMA, a regulatory subunit of the PP2A phosphatase that is known to balance growth and defense. Other stress response proteins identified include UBP1B, a marker protein for stress granules that stores mRNA during stress conditions, as well as FIERY1/HOS2, SAD2, ADH1, RPM1/RPS3, and PUP1 and PUP3, two purple acid phosphatases that function in response to low phosphate. We concluded that the potential OsATG8a-interacting proteins are worthy of further exploration, and the transgenic lines that we generated can be used by the community in future to identify new OsATG8a-interacting proteins under various developmental and stress conditions.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we validated that transgenic lines carrying GFP-OsATG8a, either driven by the 35S, the ubiquitin, or the endogenous promoter, are suitable for monitoring autophagy in rice. An accidental yet interesting finding is that transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation occurred when GFP-OsATG8a is ectopically expressed in rice (Figure 4). Firstly, both the 35S and the ubiquitin promoter can strongly and effectively drive the expression of GFP. However, the expression levels of GFP-ATG8a, driven by the three promoters, are more or less comparable. We analyzed approximately a hundred lines carrying the three constructs with immunoblotting, yet a GFP-ATG8a line with the level of GFP-ATG8a comparable to GFP driven by 35S or ubiquitin promoters was not found. For this reason, the promoter selection for the rice GFP-ATG8 marker line may not be as important as for the Arabidopsis GFP-ATG8 markers. It is likely that over-accumulation of the ATG8a protein could exert an adverse effect on reproduction and that a feed-back regulation likely exists for ATG8a to maintain a not-too-high level of the ATG8a protein. The detailed mechanism remains to be discovered. The transcription factors that may regulate the expression of OsATG8a remains unidentified; checking the rice homologs of the relevant Arabidopsis transcription factors may help to answer the question. A few transcription factors were identified from the IP-MS, and they are also worthy of further examination. Another possibility is through a miRNA that targets ATG8a. In animals, for instance, MIR204 and MIR33 have been reported to target LC3B to suppress autophagy; MIR143 and MIR133A-3p have been reported to target GABARAPL1 to induce autophagy, and MIR195 targets GABARAPL1 to repress autophagy (Akkoc and Gozuacik, 2020). Whether similar mechanism may exist in rice remains to be discovered.

Α	Mock	В	Ν	laCl	
	Pro258:CEP_ATCRn Pro1/PC/10:CEP_ATCR	n Pro2	D59-CED_ATC8a	Pro/IPO10-CEP_ATC8	
Pi	23 (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1,5%) (1	SFP-ATG8a ProUBQ	14 (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,5) (2,	1000 (1000) (1079) 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0) HFP-ATG8a
С	Mock	D		NaCl	
	tryptophan metabolic process indolalkylamine metabolic process cetlular biogenic amine metabolic process romatic amino acid family metabolic process	serine fa	imily amino acid biosynth imily amino acid biosynth cysteine metab alpha-amino acid catab	etic process olic process olic process	-
Indo	auxin biosynthetic process	serine aspartate	family amino acid metab family amino acid metab	olic process	
in DO DO Phy IO	slei-containing compound metabolic process protein-chromophore linkage tosynthesk, light harvesting in photosystem I photosynthesks, light harvesting cellular response to inorganic substance hydrogen peroxide metabolic process lydrogen peroxide metabolic process starch biosynthetic process starch metabolic process	GOTen	carboxylic add catab cellular amino add catab sulfur amino add metab sulfur compound catab organic add catab starch metab energy reserve metab por cellular metabolic compo nudeotide-sugar metab	olic process olic process	_
	response to red light	indolo contol	anion transmembra	ne transport	
E	celular meabolic compound savage 0 5	10 15 Id Genes	ning composite biosynth	0 5 % Associate	10 15 ad Genes
Uniprot IE	Description		Arabidopsis homolog	Gene names	Functional category
Q710Q4	Autophagy protein AT	rG8	AT1G62040	ATG8D	
Q01IQ0	SH3 domain-containing protein, binds PI3P and ATG8 ar	nd regulates autophagosome formation	AT4G34660	SH3P2	
Q8W403	COPII component SE	COPII component SEC13 COPII component SEC24a/ERMO2, required for endoplasmic reticulum morphology Exocvst subunit EXO70A1		SEC13	Autophagy; Membrane trafficking
Q01MT8 Q259N6	COPII component SEC24a/ERMO2, required for er Exocyst subunit EXO7			SEC24A, ERMO2 EXO70A1	
Q01JN3	RAB GTPASE HOMOLOG B1C GDP dissociation inhibitor OsGDI1		AT4G17170	RAB2A, RABB1C	
022470			AT2G44100	GDI1	
Q01183	Tethering factor required for cell p	Tethering factor required for cell plate biogenesis		TRS120, VAN4	
Q01IV2	Microtubule-associated protein, involved in both	cell division and cell expansion	AT2G20190	CLASP	
Q8RW29	Plasma membrane H[+]-A	ATPase	AT2G07560	AHA6	
A0A6F8FJI	04 High-affinity K+ transpo	orter 2	AT4G10310	HKT1	Transporters
P93409	Vacuolar membrane H(+)-Ppase, regulator of ap	oplastic pH and auxin transport	AT1G15690	AVP1,FUGU5	
0.0210	SNE1-related protein kingers 4	vator of autophagy	AT3C01000	KIN10 SNDK1	
Q40740 Q01LJ2	SNF1-related protein kinase 1, activ	ry subunit gamma 1	AT3G48530	KINgamma, KING1	
Q01114	CASEIN KINASE	- • I	AT4G14340	CK1, CKL11	
Q01ME5	PHOTOTROPIN 2, membrane-bound protein kinase that blue light photorecen	PHOTOTROPIN 2, membrane-bound protein kinase that functions redundantly with PHOT1 as blue light photoreceptor Atypical kinase which functions in salt stress tolerance by regulating reactive oxygen species (ROS)		PHOT2, NPL1	Protein kinases and
Q01JL8	Atypical kinase which functions in salt stress tolerance (ROS)			ABC1K10A	phosphatases
Q00RH9	L-TYPE LECTIN RECEPTOR KINASE IV.1, induc	ced in response to Salicylic acid	AT2G37710	LECRK-IV.1	
Q94F84	Type 1 phosphoprotein Ser/Thr phosphatas	se (PP1), catalytic subunit	AT2G39840	TOPP4	
Q01IF6	B' regulatory subunit of PP2A ((AtB'gamma)	AT4G15415	ATB' GAMMA	
000/65	Purnle acid nhoenhataes Ocean? involved in suf-	acellular phosphate-converging	AT2G16420		
Q84V55 089505	Purple acid phosphatase Ussapz, Involved in extracel	Furple acid phosphatase Ossap2, involved in extracellular phosphate-scavenging		PUP3, PAP10	
200500 P0C5A3	Bifunctional stress regulator with 3'(2'),5'-bisphosphate nucleotidase and inositol polyphosphate		,		
	a share of the state	ucleotidase and inositol polyphosphate	AT5G63980	FIERT I, RUNT, SUPUT. HUSZ	
Q01KY9	1-phosphatase activit Importin beta-domain family protein, likely involved in	ucleotidase and inositol polyphosphate ties i nuclear transport in ABA signaling	AT5G63980 AT2G31660	SAD2, GIR1, EMA1, URM9	Other regulators of
Q01KY9 D7PPK2	1-phosphatase activit Importin beta-domain family protein, likely involved in Alcohol dehydrogenase 1, catalyzes the reduction of ac	ucleotidase and inositol polypnosphate ties In nuclear transport in ABA signaling retaldehyde using NADH as reductant	AT5G63980 AT2G31660 AT1G77120	SAD2, GIR1, EMA1, URM9 ADH1	Other regulators of stress responses
Q01KY9 D7PPK2 Q9XEV3	1-phosphatase activi Importin beta-domain family protein, likely involved in Alcohol dehydrogenase 1, catalyzes the reduction of ac OLIGOURIDYLATE BINDING PROTEIN 18, RNA-bind formation	ucieotidase and inositol polypnospnate ties i nuclear transport in ABA signaling setaldehyde using NADH as reductant ling protein involved in stress granule	AT5G63980 AT2G31660 AT1G77120 AT1G17370	SAD2, GIR1, EMA1, URM9 ADH1 UBP1B	Other regulators of stress responses

FIGURE 5 | Identification of new ATG8-interacting proteins with immunoprecipitation-mass spectrometry analyses on GFP-OsATG8a marker lines. (A) Venn diagram showing the overlap in the number of proteins identified from three GFP-OsATG8a lines and the GFP control line, under normal growth condition (Mock). (B) Venn diagram showing the overlap in the number of proteins identified from three GFP-OsATG8a lines and the GFP control line, treated with 150mM NaCl for 1 h (NaCl). (C) Gene Ontology (GO)-Biological Process (BP) enriched in all OsATG8a-interacting proteins (166 in total) identified from the three transgenic lines under normal growth condition (Mock). (D) GO-BP enriched in all 170 OsATG8a-interacting proteins identified after salt stress (NaCl). (E) Selected OsATG8a-interacting proteins, including regulators of autophagy and other trafficking routes, plasma membrane and tonoplast transporters, protein kinases and phosphatases, and other regulators of stress responses.

The transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation on ATG8a could also explain why the three GFP-Trap/Mass Spectrometry experiments generated similar lists of proteins (Figure 5). Repeated identification of the same proteins actually added more confidence to the IP-MS study. A number of proteins identified are worthy of further study and may help answer some existing questions in plant autophagy, such as which membranes or vesicles, apart from COPII vesicles (Zeng et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2022), may contribute to autophagosome formation. Early studies for plant autophagy had identified ATI1 and ATI2 as plant-specific ATG8-interacting proteins; both were uncovered through an Y2H screen (Honig et al., 2012). Both ATIs have recently been characterized as starvationinduced ER-phagy receptors for MSBP1 (Wu et al., 2021). We have recently reported a non-autophagy role for ScATG8 in promoting the degradation of vacuolar membrane proteins when the yeast cells approach the stationary phase (He et al., 2021). A similar process had previously been reported for the fission yeast (Liu et al., 2018), suggesting non-autophagy functions of ATG8 could be widespread. Interestingly, in this process, the vacuolar membrane protein that recruits ATG8 to the vicinity of tonoplast, Hfl1, was homologs to lazarus 1(LAZ1) of Arabidopsis, which was initially identified as a suppressor of acd11-related cell death (Malinovsky et al., 2010). The transgenic lines generated in this study, with other screening methods, can be used to identify new OsATG8ainteracting proteins and even non-autophagy functions of plant ATG8s. We hope these rice autophagy marker lines will facilitate both the research and the applications of plant autophagy.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, and further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

QG: conceptualization and writing—review and editing. RL, RZ, and QG: methodology. RL and YY: investigation. RL and QG: writing—original draft. XL and QG: funding acquisition.

REFERENCES

- Akkoc, Y., and Gozuacik, D. (2020). MicroRNAs as major regulators of the autophagy pathway. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Cell Res.* 1867:118662. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2020.118662
- Avin-Wittenberg, T., Baluska, F., Bozhkov, P. V., Elander, P. H., Fernie, A. R., Galili, G., et al. (2018). Autophagy-related approaches for improving nutrient use efficiency and crop yield protection. *J. Exp. Bot.* 69:3173. doi: 10.1093/ jxb/ery113
- Behrends, C., Sowa, M. E., Gygi, S. P., and Harper, J. W. (2010). Network organization of the human autophagy system. *Nature* 466, 68–76. doi: 10.1038/ nature09204

RZ, XL, and QG: supervision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (91954102 and 31871355) to QG.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Gaosong Huang, Mingxing Xin, Yong Peng, Xueyong Liu, Dekuan Zhang, and Kai Zhao for help with growing rice. The authors thank the Gong lab members Liming Luo for help with protein annotation, Pingping Zhang for help with tobacco transient expression and insightful suggestions, Xinjing Li for discussions, and Taotao Wang for critical reading of the manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2022.866367/ full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure S1 | Visualization of accumulation of autophagic bodies in rice protoplasts. Rice protoplasts expressing *GFP-OsATG8a* driven by (A) *UBQ10* promoter, (B) *OsATG8a* promoter was observed with LSCM after treatment with 200 nM AZD8055 or 1 μ M ConA, or AZD8055 plus ConA, for 3 h. Bar=7.5 μ m.

Supplementary Figure S2 | Verification of *GFP* and *GFP-OsATG8a* transgenic lines. T1 transgenic lines regenerated from the transformed rice calli were verified with (A) genomic PCR and (B) immunoblotting. GFP antibody recognizes GFP-ATG8 bands (40 kD) and free GFP (27 kD). (C) GFP-OsATG8a and GFP-OsATG8d from rice transgenic lines (P for plant) or prokaryotically expressed (E for *E.coli*) were detected with a GFP antibody. Primers used in (A) are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Anti-histone H3 was used as an internal control in (B).

Supplementary Figure S3 | Growth parameters of rice transgenic lines carrying *GFP-OsATG8a*. (A,B) T1 transgenic rice plants grown in the paddy field were measured before harvesting. (A) Plant height and (B) tiller number were measured. Data are means \pm SD (n =7–15), one-way ANOVA followed by a Duncan test; p =0.05. Different letters denote significant differences. (C) Phenotypes of 16-day-old transgenic rice seedlings. Three T3 lines for each construct were shown. Bar = 3 cm.

- Bu, F., Yang, M., Guo, X., Huang, W., and Chen, L. (2020). Multiple functions of ATG8 family proteins in plant autophagy. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 8:466. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2020.00466
- Cao, J. J., Liu, C. X., Shao, S. J., and Zhou, J. (2020). Molecular mechanisms of autophagy regulation in plants and their applications in agriculture. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:618944. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.618944
- Chen, Q., Soulay, F., Saudemont, B., Elmayan, T., Marmagne, A., and Masclaux-Daubresse, C. L. (2019). Overexpression of ATG8 in Arabidopsis stimulates autophagic activity and increases nitrogen remobilization efficiency and grain filling. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 60, 343–352. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcy214
- Chresta, C. M., Davies, B. R., Hickson, I., Harding, T., Cosulich, S., Critchlow, S. E., et al. (2010). AZD8055 is a potent, selective, and orally bioavailable ATPcompetitive mammalian target of rapamycin kinase inhibitor with *in vitro*

and *in vivo* antitumor activity. *Cancer Res.* 70, 288–298. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-09-1751

- Chung, T., Suttangkakul, A., and Vierstra, R. D. (2009). The ATG autophagic conjugation system in maize: ATG transcripts and abundance of the ATG8lipid adduct are regulated by development and nutrient availability. *Plant Physiol.* 149, 220–234. doi: 10.1104/pp.108.126714
- Contento, A. L., Xiong, Y., and Bassham, D. C. (2005). Visualization of autophagy in Arabidopsis using the fluorescent dye monodansylcadaverine and a GFP-AtATG8e fusion protein. *Plant J.* 42, 598–608. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X. 2005.02396.x
- Fan, T., Yang, W., Zeng, X., Xu, X., Xu, Y., Fan, X., et al. (2020). A rice autophagy gene OsATG8b is involved in nitrogen remobilization and control of grain quality. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:588. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00588
- Farre, J. C., and Subramani, S. (2016). Mechanistic insights into selective autophagy pathways: lessons from yeast. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 17, 537–552. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2016.74
- Floyd, B. E., Morriss, S. C., Macintosh, G. C., and Bassham, D. C. (2012). What to eat: evidence for selective autophagy in plants. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 54, 907–920. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7909.2012.01178.x
- Han, S., Wang, Y., Zheng, X., Jia, Q., Zhao, J., Bai, F., et al. (2015). Cytoplastic glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenases interact with ATG3 to negatively regulate autophagy and immunity in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. *Plant Cell* 27, 1316–1331. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.134692
- Harding, T. M., Hefner-Gravink, A., Thumm, M., and Klionsky, D. J. (1996). Genetic and phenotypic overlap between autophagy and the cytoplasm to vacuole protein targeting pathway. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 17621–17624. doi: 10.1074/jbc.271.30.17621
- Harding, T. M., Morano, K. A., Scott, S. V., and Klionsky, D. J. (1995). Isolation and characterization of yeast mutants in the cytoplasm to vacuole protein targeting pathway. J. Cell Biol. 131, 591–602. doi: 10.1083/jcb.131.3.591
- Have, M., Marmagne, A., Chardon, F., and Masclaux-Daubresse, C. (2017). Nitrogen remobilization during leaf senescence: lessons from Arabidopsis to crops. J. Exp. Bot. 68, 2513–2529. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw365
- He, C. W., Cui, X. F., Ma, S. J., Xu, Q., Ran, Y. P., Chen, W. Z., et al. (2021). Membrane recruitment of Atg8 by Hfl1 facilitates turnover of vacuolar membrane proteins in yeast cells approaching stationary phase. *BMC Biol.* 19:117. doi: 10.1186/s12915-021-01048-7
- Hollenstein, D. M., and Kraft, C. (2020). Autophagosomes are formed at a distinct cellular structure. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 65, 50–57. doi: 10.1016/j. ceb.2020.02.012
- Honig, A., Avin-Wittenberg, T., Ufaz, S., and Galili, G. (2012). A new type of compartment, defined by plant-specific Atg8-interacting proteins, is induced upon exposure of Arabidopsis plants to carbon starvation. *Plant Cell* 24, 288–303. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.093112
- Huss, M., Ingenhorst, G., Konig, S., Gassel, M., Drose, S., Zeeck, A., et al. (2002). Concanamycin A, the specific inhibitor of V-ATPases, binds to the V(o) subunit c. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 40544–40548. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M207345200
- Izumi, M., Hidema, J., Wada, S., Kondo, E., Kurusu, T., Kuchitsu, K., et al. (2015). Establishment of monitoring methods for autophagy in rice reveals autophagic recycling of chloroplasts and root plastids during energy limitation. *Plant Physiol.* 167, 1307–1320. doi: 10.1104/pp.114.254078
- Johansen, T., and Lamark, T. (2020). Selective autophagy: ATG8 family proteins, LIR motifs and cargo receptors. J. Mol. Biol. 432, 80–103. doi: 10.1016/j. jmb.2019.07.016
- Kaizuka, T., Morishita, H., Hama, Y., Tsukamoto, S., Matsui, T., Toyota, Y., et al. (2016). An autophagic flux probe that releases an internal control. *Mol. Cell* 64, 835–849. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2016.09.037
- Kellner, R., De la Concepcion, J. C., Maqbool, A., Kamoun, S., and Dagdas, Y. F. (2017). ATG8 expansion: A driver of selective autophagy diversification? *Trends Plant Sci.* 22, 204–214. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2016.11.015
- Kim, J. H., Lee, H. N., Huang, X., Jung, H., Otegui, M. S., Li, F., et al. (2022). FYVE2, a phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate effector, interacts with the COPII machinery to control autophagosome formation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 34, 351–373. doi: 10.1093/plcell/koab263
- Li, F., Chung, T., Pennington, J. G., Federico, M. L., Kaeppler, H. F., Kaeppler, S. M., et al. (2015). Autophagic recycling plays a central role in maize nitrogen remobilization. *Plant Cell* 27, 1389–1408. doi: 10.1105/tpc.15.00158
- Liu, Y., Burgos, J. S., Deng, Y., Srivastava, R., Howell, S. H., and Bassham, D. C. (2012). Degradation of the endoplasmic reticulum by autophagy during

endoplasmic reticulum stress in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 24, 4635–4651. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.101535

- Liu, X. M., Yamasaki, A., Du, X. M., Coffman, V. C., Ohsumi, Y., Nakatogawa, H., et al. (2018). Lipidation-independent vacuolar functions of Atg8 rely on its noncanonical interaction with a vacuole membrane protein. *elife* 7:e41237. doi: 10.7554/eLife.41237
- Luo, L., Zhang, P., Zhu, R., Fu, J., Su, J., Zheng, J., et al. (2017). Autophagy is rapidly induced by salt stress and is required for salt tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:1459. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01459
- Malinovsky, F. G., Brodersen, P., Fiil, B. K., McKinney, L. V., Thorgrimsen, S., Beck, M., et al. (2010). Lazarus1, a DUF300 protein, contributes to programmed cell death associated with Arabidopsis acd11 and the hypersensitive response. *PLoS One* 5:e12586. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0012586
- Marshall, R. S., Hua, Z., Mali, S., McLoughlin, F., and Vierstra, R. D. (2019). ATG8-binding UIM proteins define a new class of autophagy adaptors and receptors. *Cell* 177:e724, 766.e24–781.e24. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2019.02.009
- McLoughlin, F., Marshall, R. S., Ding, X., Chatt, E. C., Kirkpatrick, L. D., Augustine, R. C., et al. (2020). Autophagy plays prominent roles in amino acid, nucleotide, and carbohydrate metabolism during fixed-carbon starvation in maize. *Plant Cell* 32, 2699–2724. doi: 10.1105/tpc.20.00226
- Mizushima, N., Noda, T., Yoshimori, T., Tanaka, Y., İshii, T., George, M. D., et al. (1998). A protein conjugation system essential for autophagy. *Nature* 395, 395–398. doi: 10.1038/26506
- Nair, U., Yen, W. L., Mari, M., Cao, Y., Xie, Z., Baba, M., et al. (2012). A role for Atg8-PE deconjugation in autophagosome biogenesis. *Autophagy* 8, 780-793. doi: 10.4161/auto.19385
- Nakatogawa, H. (2020). Mechanisms governing autophagosome biogenesis. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 21, 439–458. doi: 10.1038/s41580-020-0241-0
- Nakatogawa, H., Ichimura, Y., and Ohsumi, Y. (2007). Atg8, a ubiquitin-like protein required for autophagosome formation, mediates membrane tethering and hemifusion. *Cell* 130, 165–178. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.05.021
- Settembre, C., Di Malta, C., Polito, V. A., Garcia Arencibia, M., Vetrini, F., Erdin, S., et al. (2011). TFEB links autophagy to lysosomal biogenesis. *Science* 332, 1429–1433. doi: 10.1126/science.1204592
- Signorelli, S., Tarkowski, L. P., Van den Ende, W., and Bassham, D. C. (2019). Linking autophagy to abiotic and biotic stress responses. *Trends Plant Sci.* 24, 413–430. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2019.02.001
- Su, T., Li, X., Yang, M., Shao, Q., Zhao, Y., Ma, C., et al. (2020). Autophagy: an intracellular degradation pathway regulating plant survival and stress response. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:164. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00164
- Thompson, A. R., Doelling, J. H., Suttangkakul, A., and Vierstra, R. D. (2005). Autophagic nutrient recycling in Arabidopsis directed by the ATG8 and ATG12 conjugation pathways. *Plant Physiol.* 138, 2097–2110. doi: 10.1104/ pp.105.060673
- Tsukada, M., and Ohsumi, Y. (1993). Isolation and characterization of autophagydefective mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEBS Lett. 333, 169–174. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(93)80398-E
- Tu, Y. X. I., Sydor, A. M., Coyaud, E., Laurent, E. M. N., Dyer, D., Mellouk, N., et al. (2021). Global proximity Interactome of the human macroautophagy pathway. *Autophagy*, 1–13. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2021.1965711 [Epub ahead of print]
- Wada, S., Hayashida, Y., Izumi, M., Kurusu, T., Hanamata, S., Kanno, K., et al. (2015). Autophagy supports biomass production and nitrogen use efficiency at the vegetative stage in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 168, 60–73. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.00242
- Wang, P., Nolan, T. M., Yin, Y., and Bassham, D. C. (2020). Identification of transcription factors that regulate ATG8 expression and autophagy in Arabidopsis. *Autophagy* 16, 123–139. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2019. 1598753
- Wild, P., McEwan, D. G., and Dikic, I. (2014). The LC3 interactome at a glance. J. Cell Sci. 127, 3–9. doi: 10.1242/jcs.140426
- Wu, Y., Chang, Y., Luo, L., Tian, W., Gong, Q., and Liu, X. (2022). Abscisic acid employs NRP-dependent PIN2 vacuolar degradation to suppress auxinmediated primary root elongation in Arabidopsis. *New Phytol.* 233, 297–312. doi: 10.1111/nph.17783
- Wu, J., Michaeli, S., Picchianti, L., Dagdas, Y., Galili, G., and Peled-Zehavi, H. (2021). ATI1 (ATG8-interacting protein 1) and ATI2 define a plant starvationinduced reticulophagy pathway and serve as MSBP1/MAPR5 cargo receptors. *Autophagy* 17, 3375–3388. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2021.1872886

- Wun, C. L., Quan, Y., and Zhuang, X. (2020). Recent advances in membrane shaping for plant autophagosome biogenesis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:565. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00565
- Xia, K., Liu, T., Ouyang, J., Wang, R., Fan, T., and Zhang, M. (2011). Genomewide identification, classification, and expression analysis of autophagyassociated gene homologues in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). DNA Res. 18, 363–377. doi: 10.1093/dnares/dsr024
- Xie, Z., Nair, U., and Klionsky, D. J. (2008). Atg8 controls phagophore expansion during autophagosome formation. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 19, 3290–3298. doi: 10.1091/ mbc.e07-12-1292
- Yang, C., Shen, W., Yang, L., Sun, Y., Li, X., Lai, M., et al. (2020). HY5-HDA9 module transcriptionally regulates plant autophagy in response to light-todark conversion and nitrogen starvation. *Mol. Plant* 13, 515–531. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2020.02.011
- Yim, W. W., and Mizushima, N. (2020). Lysosome biology in autophagy. Cell Discov. 6:6. doi: 10.1038/s41421-020-0141-7
- Yoshimoto, K., Hanaoka, H., Sato, S., Kato, T., Tabata, S., Noda, T., et al. (2004). Processing of ATG8s, ubiquitin-like proteins, and their deconjugation by ATG4s are essential for plant autophagy. *Plant Cell* 16, 2967–2983. doi: 10.1105/tpc.104.025395
- Yoshimoto, K., Jikumaru, Y., Kamiya, Y., Kusano, M., Consonni, C., Panstruga, R., et al. (2009). Autophagy negatively regulates cell death by controlling NPR1dependent salicylic acid signaling during senescence and the innate immune response in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 21, 2914–2927. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.068635
- Yu, Z. Q., Ni, T., Hong, B., Wang, H. Y., Jiang, F. J., Zou, S., et al. (2012). Dual roles of Atg8-PE deconjugation by Atg4 in autophagy. *Autophagy* 8, 883–892. doi: 10.4161/auto.19652

- Yu, J., Zhen, X., Li, X., Li, N., and Xu, F. (2019). Increased autophagy of rice can increase yield and nitrogen use efficiency (NUE). *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:584. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00584
- Zeng, Y., Li, B., Ji, C., Feng, L., Niu, F., Deng, C., et al. (2021). A unique AtSar1D-AtRabD2a nexus modulates autophagosome biogenesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 118:e2021293118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2021293118
- Zhang, S., Hama, Y., and Mizushima, N. (2021). The evolution of autophagy proteins—diversification in eukaryotes and potential ancestors in prokaryotes. *J. Cell Sci.* 134:jcs233742. doi: 10.1242/jcs.233742

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Liu, Zhang, Yang, Liu and Gong. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.