

Delayed Leaf Senescence by Upregulation of Cytokinin Biosynthesis Specifically in Tomato Roots

Noga Glanz-Idan¹, Michael Lach¹, Petr Tarkowski^{2,3}, Ondřej Vrobel^{2,3} and Shmuel Wolf^{1*}

¹The Robert H. Smith Institute of Plant Sciences and Genetics in Agriculture, The Robert H. Smith Faculty of Agriculture, Food and Environment, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Rehovot, Israel, ²Center of the Region Haná for Biotechnological and Agricultural Research, Czech Advanced Technology and Research Institute, Palacký University, Olomouc, Czechia, ³Center of the Region Haná for Biotechnological and Agricultural Research, Department of Genetic Resources for Vegetables, Medicinal and Special Plants, Crop Research Institute, Olomouc, Czechia

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Wenna Zhang, China Agricultural University, China

Reviewed by:

Ling Chang, Hubei University, China Xiao Han, Fuzhou University, China

*Correspondence: Shmuel Wolf shmulik.wolf@mail.huji.ac.il

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 17 April 2022 **Accepted:** 24 May 2022 **Published:** 06 July 2022

Citation:

Glanz-Idan N, Lach M, Tarkowski P, Vrobel O and Wolf S (2022) Delayed Leaf Senescence by Upregulation of Cytokinin Biosynthesis Specifically in Tomato Roots. Front. Plant Sci. 13:922106. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.922106 Cytokinins (CKs) regulate numerous plant developmental processes, including photosynthesis and leaf senescence. Isopentenyltransferase (IPT) is a rate-limiting enzyme in the CK-biosynthesis pathway. We overexpressed ipt under tissue-specific promoters to study the long-range effect of CK on the functioning of tomato source leaves. Photosynthetic activity over time provided the measure for leaf aging. Significantly delayed leaf senescence was observed in plants expressing ipt under a root-specific promoter, but not in those expressing the gene under a source leaf-specific promoter. The rootderived influence on leaf aging was further confirmed by grafting experiments. CK concentration in source leaves of both transgenic lines increased significantly, with different proportions of its various derivatives. On the other hand, root CK concentration was only slightly elevated. Nevertheless, the significant change in the proportion of CK derivatives in the root indicated that CK biosynthesis and metabolism were altered. Partial leaf defoliation upregulates photosynthetic rate in the remaining leaf; however, overexpression of ipt in either tissues eliminated this response. Interestingly, stem girdling also eliminated the photosynthetic response. Taken together, our findings suggest that leaf senescence is regulated by a CK-mediated root-shoot communication network. We propose that CK-mediated signal is translocated to the leaf via the xylem where it alters CK biosynthesis, resulting in delayed senescence.

Keywords: cytokinin, isopentenyltransferase, photosynthesis, shoot-to-root ratio, Solanum lycopersicum

INTRODUCTION

Leaf senescence is an active and organized process described by a decrease in photosynthetic rate, protein degradation, a drop in chlorophyll content, and nutrient remobilization from source tissues, such as mature leaves, to sink tissues, such as roots, fruit, or young leaves (Smart, 1994; Gan and Amasino, 1997). This complex process seems to be directly related to a decrease in cytokinin (CK) concentration and activity (Gan and Amasino, 1996).

1

CKs are small, adenine-derived molecules that are formed primarily in the roots, and move acropetally to promote shoot development and stress tolerance, and to delay senescence (Müller and Sheen, 2007; Domagalska and Leyser, 2011). CKs preserve sink activity of old leaves by inducing changes in nitrogen mobilization and enhancing protein concentration via decreased protein degradation (Jordi et al., 2000; Criado et al., 2009). The various forms of CKs can be classified into four depending their groups, on side-chain structure: isopentenyladenine (iP) type, trans-zeatin (tZ) type, cis-zeatin (cZ) type, and aromatic CKs. Both iP-type and tZ-type CKs are biologically important (Matsumoto-Kitano et al., 2008). CK biosynthesis starts with the attachment of dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP) to ATP catalyzed by the enzyme isopentenyltransferase (IPT), resulting in N6-isopentenyladenine ribotides (Sakakibara, 2006). Next, hydroxylation of the isoprenoid side chain by cytochrome P450 converts the iP form to the tZ form (Takei et al., 2004). Both iP and tZ ribonucleosides are considered long-distance signals because of their mobility through the vasculature. The iP type is more abundant in the phloem sap, signifying its transport from source to sink organs, whereas the tZ type is predominant in the xylem sap, suggesting that it is trafficked mainly from roots to shoots (Hirose et al., 2008; Matsumoto-Kitano et al., 2008). Both mobile ribonucleosides can be converted to free bases at the site of their functioning, in a single enzymatic step catalyzed by the LONELY GUY (LOG) family of cytokinin nucleoside 5' monophosphate phosphoribohydrolases (Kuroha et al., 2009). Negative feedback on CK levels is activated by either conjugation to glucose (Bajguz and Piotrowska, 2009) or irreversible degradation by cytokinin oxidases/dehydrogenase (CKXs; Schmülling et al., 2003; Werner et al., 2006).

We recently reported that reducing the source-to-sink ratio by partial defoliation or leaf shading results in upregulation of photosynthesis and delayed senescence of the remaining leaf (Glanz-Idan et al., 2020). Additional observed phenotypic changes, such as thickening and greening of the remaining leaf, suggested involvement of CKs in the response to partial defoliation. We proposed that root-derived CK acts as a longdistance signal influencing leaf activity. Indeed, these observed partial defoliation effects were associated with significant alterations in CKs metabolism in the roots.

In the current study, we provide experimental evidence for the specific effect of CK biosynthesis in the roots on source leaf functioning. Overexpression of *IPT* under the control of a root-specific promoter significantly affected shoot phenotype and delayed leaf senescence, whereas overexpression of this gene predominantly in source leaves had only a marginal and nonsignificant effect. Grafting experiments further demonstrated that upregulation of photosynthesis after partial defoliation can be mimicked by overexpression of IPT solely in the rootstock. In addition, girdling experiments indicated that proper shootroot phloem communication is required for the effect of partial defoliation on the activity of source leaves. Collectively, our findings suggest that alteration in CK metabolism in the roots may provide a valuable means to delay leaf senescence by modifying long-distance root-shoot signaling.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Tomato (S. lycopersicum L. cv. M82) served as the control and background for production of the various transgenic plant lines. All transgenic lines were created using the PoP/LhG transactivation system (Moore et al., 1998). The activator lines expressed the Arabidopsis MDK4-20 (AtMDK4) root cap-specific promoter (Lilley et al., 2011) or the Escherichia coli cytosolic fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (cyFBPase) promoter, which directs expression mainly in the mesophyll cells of source leaves (Lloyd et al., 1991). The genes were cloned upstream of the synthetic transcription factor LhG4, and transgenic tomato plants were produced using conventional Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (McCormick, 1991). The operator lines expressing either AtIPT or AtCKX3 under an operator promoter (OP) from E. coli were kindly provided by Prof. Naomi Ori, The R.H. Smith Institute of Plant Sciences, Rehovot (Shani et al., 2010). Four different transgenic lines: MDK:CKX, MDK:IPT, FBPase:CKX, and FBPase:IPT resulted from crosses between the activator lines AtMDK:LhG4 and cyFBPase:LhG4 with the operator lines OP:AtIPT7 and OP:AtCKX3.

Growth Conditions and Partial Defoliation

Plants were sown in trays filled with 70% coconut fiber, 30% vent materials, fertilizers, and nutrients in a temperaturecontrolled growth chamber. Air temperatures were $25/18\pm 2^{\circ}$ C day/night, respectively, and light intensity was $180 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$. Photoperiod was set to 12h. Seedlings (4weeks old) were transferred to 1-L pots and grown in a greenhouse at $28/20\pm 2^{\circ}$ C (day/night, respectively) under natural light (1,000–1,200 $\mu\text{mol}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$). After additional 3–4 weeks, partial defoliation was performed at the reproductive stage, 1 week after anthesis of the first flower. All leaves, except for the measured one, were carefully cut near the stem with a sharp surgical knife.

Measurements of photosynthesis were performed in plants at the reproductive stage. Development of MDK:IPT plants was slower, and for comparison with control plants they were sown 3 weeks earlier such that all measurements were performed with plants at a similar physiological stage.

Grafting and Girdling

Grafting was performed with 3-week-old seedlings by diagonal stem cut 3 cm from the shoot apical meristem. The scions were placed above the rootstocks and secured using a grafting clip. New grafts were covered with clear plastic for 5 days. Clips were removed 3 weeks later when the graft union closed and plants were transferred to a temperature-controlled greenhouse.

Girdling was performed by a 2-mm cut around the stem between the first and second joint. On 2 days later, a second cut was made about 3 mm above the first one to prevent recovery of the stem tissues. Girdling effectiveness was verified by petiole infusion of two leaves, numbers 3 and 4 above the girdling point. The leaves were cut 3-4 cm from the main stem, and the petioles were fed with 2% blue dye **TABLE 1** | Dry weight accumulation and leaf area of transgenic tomato plants

 expressing At/PT under source leaf-specific promoter FBPase or root cap-specific

 promoter At/MDK.

	Shoot dry weight (g)	Root dry weight (g)	Root:shoot ratio	Average leaf size (cm ²)
M82	21.6±1.5 a	15.5±0.7 a	0.73 ± 0.06	117±16
FBPase:IPT	13.4±2.4 b	10.2±1.3 b	0.79 ± 0.05	93 ± 11
M82	19.3±2.4 a	15.8±1.4 a	0.86±0.1 a	125±19 a
AtMDK:IPT	1.8±0.4 b	4.5±0.8 b	3.04 ± 0.4 b	24 ± 15 b

Data represent means (±SE) of six replicates of two independent experiments comparing each transgenic line with the control variety M82. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences between the control and the

Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences between the control and the respective transgenic plants by Student's t-test, p < 0.05.

TABLE 2 | Decline in photosynthetic rate with aging of source leaves of the control variety M82 and three independent transgenic tomato lines expressing lsopentenyltransferase (*IPT*) under the *AtMDK* (MDK:IPT) promoter.

Plant line	Photosyn (μmol CC	(% of initial rate)	
	Day 0	Day 30	
M82	16.8±1.1	7.6±0.3	46±5
MDK:IPT3	12.1 ± 1.3	8.4 ± 1.4	70±11*
MDK:IPT4	12.3±0.9	8.2 ± 0.7	67±6*
MDK:IPT5	15.6 ± 1.2	13.7 ± 0.8	90±9**

Values represent the mean measured values of six replicates at day 0 (fully expanded leaf), 30-day-old leaves and the percentage of the values measured in old leaves from those measured in young fully expanded leaves. Plants were grown in a temperature-controlled greenhouse ($25/18^{\circ}C$ day/night). Data represent means (\pm SE). Student's t-test was used to compare mean values of four plants. Asterisks indicate significant differences between each transgenic line and the control variety M82 by Student's t-test at p < 0.05 (%) and p < 0.01 (%).

according to Lin et al. (2011). On 3 days after the second girdling, several stem sections were taken above and below the girdling point to monitor dye movement *via* the phloem. Images were taken using a light binocular (**Supplementary Figure S1**).

Gas-Exchange Measurements and Chlorophyll Determination

Gas exchange was measured with a LI-6800 portable gas-exchange system (LI-COR Biosciences). Photosynthesis, CO_2 concentration in the substomatal cavities (Ci), stomatal conductance, and transpiration rate were measured in the greenhouse. Measurements were performed at around noon under a constant CO_2 concentration of 400 ppm, chamber relative humidity of 55%, and constant photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 1,200 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹.

Chlorophyll content was determined as described previously (Moran, 1982). Five leaf disks (50.24 mm² each) were excised between the minor ribs, weighed for specific weight calculation, and placed in 10 ml N,N-dimethylformamide solution for 48 h at 4°C in the dark. The absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 647 and 664 nm, and chlorophyll content was computed using the formula: chlorophyll a+b (mg ml⁻¹) = $10 \times (0.02027 \times A_{647} + 0.00704 \times A_{664})$.

CKs Extraction and Analyses

For CK analyses, plant material (150 mg) was ground by vibration mill and extracted with Bieleski solvent (Bieleski, 1964). Stable isotope-labeled CKs internal standards (OlChemIm) were added before extraction and CKs were purified using SCX SPE columns (Agilent) and quantified by liquid-chromatography/positive-ion electrospray tandem mass spectrometry in multiple reaction monitoring mode (Holubová et al., 2018).

Statistical Analysis

ANOVA and mean comparison were performed by Student's *t*-test to compare two variables or by Tukey–Kramer HSD test for multivariate analysis (α < 0.05), using JMP Pro version 14 (SAS Institute).

RESULTS

Manipulation of CKs Biosynthesis in Specific Plant Tissues

Cytokinin biosynthesis was manipulated in transgenic tomato plants by overexpressing either AtIPT7 or AtCKX3 genes. The root-cap-specific promoter AtMDK4 was used (Lilley et al., 2011; Supplementary Figure S2), and the FBPase promoter was aimed at directing gene expression mainly to leaf mesophyll cells (Lloyd et al., 1991). Overexpression of IPT primarily in source leaves (FBPase:IPT) resulted in smaller plants with a ca. 30% decrease in shoot and root weight (Table 1). However, expression of this gene specifically in the roots (MDK:IPT) had a much more severe effect on plant development and growth (Table 1; Supplementary Figure S3A). These plants were stunted, reaching a total weight of about 20% of the control plants. It is interesting to note that when expressed in the roots, the effect of IPT on shoot weight was much more pronounced than its effect on root weight, resulting in a significantly higher root-to-shoot ratio (Table 1). Rate of leaf senescence was determined by the reduction in photosynthetic activity over time. As expected, a significant decrease of over 50% in photosynthetic rate was measured in 30-day-old control M82 source leaves (Table 2). The decline in 30-day-old leaves of the three MDK:IPT leaves was in the range of 10%-30%, indicating significantly slower senescence rate (Table 2). It is important to note that a similar decrease in photosynthetic rate with leaf aging was measured in M82 plants and in those expressing IPT under FBPase, a source leaf-specific promoter (Supplementary Figure S4). These results established that overexpression of *IPT* specifically in the roots significantly inhibits leaf senescence.

Plant lines MDK:IPT #5 and FBPase:IPT #2 were selected for further experiments, aimed at exploring the response of photosynthetic activity to partial defoliation. Here again, the photosynthetic rate in MDK:IPT leaves remained constant for about 5 weeks (**Figure 1A**). The higher photosynthetic activity of plant line MDK:IPT after 34 days was associated with higher carboxylation efficiency, which was reflected by the rate of photosynthesis per internal CO_2 concentration (Ci), and not due to significant differences in stomatal conductance (Figures 1B,C).

We previously reported that partial leaf defoliation upregulates photosynthetic rate in the remaining leaf, and that this upregulation is due to a higher rate of photosynthesis per Ci (Glanz-Idan et al., 2020). A similar phenomenon was observed in the current study (**Figure 1**). Interestingly, overexpression of *IPT*, in source leaves or roots, eliminated the response to partial defoliation. A similar reduction in photosynthesis with leaf aging was measured in FBPase:IPT plants, whereas similar delayed senescence was measured in MDK:IPT leaves in control and partially defoliated plants.



FIGURE 1 | Effect of enhanced cytokinin biosynthesis on the photosynthetic activity response to partial defoliation. Photosynthetic rate (**A**), stomatal conductance (**B**), and rate of photosynthesis per internal CO₂ concentration (Ci; **C**) of source leaves in nondefoliated plants (hatched bars) and remaining tomato leaves after partial defoliation of the other leaves (empty bars). Defoliation was performed on mature plants at the reproductive stage of variety M82 and transgenic tomato plants expressing *IPT* under *FBPase* (FBPase:IPT, plant line #2) or *AtMDK* (MDK:IPT, plant line #5) promoters. Plants were grown in a temperature-controlled greenhouse (25°C/18°C day/ night). Data represent means (±SE) of six biological replicates. Different letters indicate significant differences between the tomato lines on a specific date (p < 0.05) by Tukey HSD test. Asterisks indicate significant differences between partially defoliated and nondefoliated same tomato line at p < 0.05 by Student's *t*-test.

To reduce CK concentration in either the source leaves or the roots, an additional set of transgenic plants was created that overexpressed *CKX* under the indicated tissue-specific promoters. The phenotype of these plants was relatively similar to the control variety, with MDK:CKX being somewhat taller (**Supplementary Figure S3B**). The photosynthetic rate in plants expressing *CKX* under either promoter was similar to that measured for the control plants (**Figure 2**). The other parameters, such as stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, and photosynthesis per Ci, were also similar for the transgenic and control plants, even when the leaves were old (after 14 days). Here again, partial defoliation caused upregulation of photosynthesis in control and *CKX*-overexpressing lines under both promoters (**Figure 2**).

CKs Concentration in Roots and Leaves of *IPT*- and *CKX*-Overexpressing Plants

A major influence on source leaf functioning was observed when IPT was expressed under the root-specific promoter, whereas no influence was observed when CKX was expressed under either tissue-specific promoters. Analyses of CKs levels confirmed significant differences in total CKs concentrations between *IPT*-overexpressing and control plants (Figures 3A,B). As expected, the total concentration of CKs in leaves of FBPase:IPT plants was about 100-fold higher than that in control plants, mainly due to accumulation of iP-type CKs (Figures 3A,C). Concentrations of tZ- and cZ-type CKs were also significantly higher in FBPase:IPT plants, about 10-fold higher than that measured in control plants (Figures 3E,G). Total CKs in leaves of MDK:IPT plants were about 25-fold higher than those in control leaves, also attributed to accumulation of iP-type CKs, although not to the same extent as FBPase:IPT leaves (Figure 3C). Total CKs concentration in the roots was upregulated in both FBPase:IPT and MDK:IPT plants (Figure 3B). Interestingly, concentrations of total CKs, and of iP-type and tZ-type CKs, were similar in the roots of plants overexpressing IPT under the root- and shoot-specific promoters (Figures 3B,D,F). However, the concentration of cZ-type CKs in the roots was significantly higher in MDK:IPT plants than in both control and FBPase:IPT plants (Figure 3H).

More detailed analyses of the various CKs forms revealed that most of the CKs accumulated in the leaves of FBPase:IPT plants were in the form of iP-riboside (iPR). This form was also dominant in leaves of MDK:IPT plants (**Supplementary Figure S5**). Overexpression of *IPT* in leaves did not have a significant effect on the concentration of specific CK forms in the roots (**Supplementary Figure S6**). However, a significant increase in the root concentrations of iP, iP-9-glucoside (iP9G), *tZ*-9-glucoside (*tZ*9G), and *cZ* and *cZ*-riboside (*cZR*) was evident in MDK:IPT plants. It is important to note that when comparing root CKs concentrations between the two transgenic lines, significantly higher concentrations of iP9G, *tZ*9G, and *cZR* were measured in MDK:IPT plants (**Supplementary Figure S6**).

Overexpression of *AtCKX* under either source leaf or root specific promoters did not have a significant influence on CKs concentration (**Supplementary Tables S1, S2**). It is important to note that the expression of *AtCKX* in the different transgenic



(B), transpiration rate (C), and rate of photosynthesis per internal CO₂ concentration (Ci; D) of source leaves in nondefoliated plants (hatched bars) and the remaining tomato leaves after partial defoliation of the other leaves (empty bars). Defoliation was performed on mature plants at the reproductive stage of variety M82 and transgenic tomato plants expressing *CKX* under *FBPase* (FBPase:CKX) or *AtMDK* (MDK:CKX) promoters. Plants were grown in a temperature-controlled greenhouse ($25^{\circ}C/18^{\circ}C$ day/night). Student's *t*-test was used to compare mean values of six plants. Asterisks indicate significant differences between partially defoliated and nondefoliated same tomato line at p < 0.05.

tomato lines was validated and was found to be tissue-specific in the two transgenic tomato lines: FBPase:CKX and MDK:CKX (**Supplementary Figure S7**). Some minor changes were observed in the proportion of the various CKs forms, in both leaves and roots, but total CKs concentrations were similar in the roots and source leaves of all plant lines.

Effect of Root-Derived CKs on the Functioning of Source Leaves in Heterografted Plants

Solanum plants, such as tomatoes, are known for their ability to form adventitious roots from root primordia in the stem (Vidoz et al., 2010). One might argue that the influence of *IPT* on leaf functioning, when overexpressed under a rootspecific promoter, is due to its expression in the stem root primordia. To examine this possibility, a set of heterografted plants were produced. M82 scions were grafted on MDK:IPT rootstocks, below the cotyledons. Homografted M82 plants served as controls (**Figure 4A**). As expected, a gradual decrease in photosynthetic rate was associated with leaf aging in the homografted control plants, resulting in an over 40% reduction within 17 days (Figure 4B). Similar to the previous results (Figure 1), partial defoliation upregulated photosynthesis in these plants and delayed leaf senescence. However, when grafted on MDK:IPT rootstocks, photosynthetic rate of the control M82 variety source leaves remained constant during this time period. Interestingly, in these heterografted plants, no response to partial defoliation was observed (Figure 4B). More detailed analyses of photosynthetic activity indicated that elevation of photosynthesis in the heterografted plants was not associated with differences in stomatal conductance (Figure 4C) but with photosynthetic rate per Ci (Figure 4D). Source leaf morphology was not affected by the expression of *IPT* in the rootstocks, as indicated by similar specific leaf weight (Table 3). Nevertheless, these rootstocks eliminated the reduction of chlorophyll concentration in 18-day-old leaves (Table 3).

Senescence rate was also measured in a reciprocal grafting, where MDK:IPT scions were grafted on M82 control plants. A *ca.* 40% reduction in photosynthetic rate within 17 days was observed in MDK:IPT scions. A senescence rate similar to that of the homografted M82 plants was observed during the first 2 weeks, with slightly lower rate during week 3 (**Supplementary Figure S8**).





Taken together, these results established that development and functioning of source leaves are more affected by enhanced CKs biosynthesis in the roots than in those leaves.

Upregulation of Photosynthesis Is Controlled by Long-Distance Shoot–Root Signaling

The fact that upregulation of photosynthesis following partial defoliation is associated with altered CK biosynthesis in the

roots (Glanz-Idan et al., 2020), and our current findings that overexpression of *IPT* in the roots affects source leaf functioning, suggest that this response is associated with long-distance shoot-root signaling. Plant girdling disrupts this long-distance communication (**Supplementary Figure S1**). The next set of experiments was aimed at exploring the effect of girdling on the photosynthetic response to partial defoliation. Due to the effect of girdling on transpiration and stomatal conductance, we measured the carboxylation efficiency using the ratio of



FIGURE 4 | Enhanced cytokinin biosynthesis in the roots delays leaf senescence in grafted plants. Picture of the homografted M82/M82 (left) and heterografted M82 scions over MDK:IPT rootstocks (right; **A**), photosynthetic rate (**B**), stomatal conductance (**C**), and the rate of photosynthesis per internal CO_2 concentration (Ci; **D**) of remaining tomato leaves after partial defoliation of the other leaves (empty symbols). Defoliation was performed on either homografted (circles) or heterografted (squares) plants grown in a temperature-controlled greenhouse ($25^{\circ}C/18^{\circ}C$ day/night). Dashed lines and empty symbols represent partially defoliated plants. Student's *t*-test was used to compare mean values of six plants. Different letters indicate significant differences at p < 0.05.

 TABLE 3
 Specific leaf weight and chlorophyll concentration in old source

 leaves of M82 scions grafted on control M82 or transgenic MDK:IPT rootstocks.

M82/M82	M82/MDK:IPT
21.7±0.7	23.6±2.5
40.2±3.1	40.4±2.6
33.3±4.0 b	45.8±4.1 a
	21.7±0.7 40.2±3.1

Samples were taken from fully expanded source leaves and 18 days after full expansion. Data represent means $(\pm SE)$ of six replicates.

Different letters indicate significant differences between homografted and heterografted plants by Student's t-test, p < 0.05.

photosynthesis to Ci as a parameter. As expected, partial defoliation resulted in upregulation of this ratio by over 25% after 6 days (**Figure 5**). However, the effect of partial defoliation was eliminated by girdling. Similar values were obtained in source leaves of control nongirdled (nondefoliated) and both types of girdled plants: defoliated and nondefoliated. These results suggest that phloem (and possibly xylem) integrity is required to maintain a continuous flow of information between source leaves and roots, in order to induce upregulation of

photosynthesis in response to alterations in source-sink relationships.

DISCUSSION

We previously established that leaf senescence is delayed when the source-to-sink ratio is reduced by partial defoliation (Glanz-Idan et al., 2020). We proposed that this response is associated with elicitation of a root-shoot signaling network and alteration of CK biosynthesis. Our current findings indicate that leaf senescence is delayed *via* manipulation of CK biosynthesis specifically in the roots.

The role of CKs in regulating growth and developmental processes has been well documented (for reviews see Mok and Mok, 2001; Miyawaki et al., 2004; Zurcher and Müller, 2016). Over 60 years ago, Richmond and Lang (1957) demonstrated the CKs' effect in alleviating leaf senescence, and more recent studies have established their involvement in the regulation of photosynthetic activity, including development and structural differentiation of chloroplasts and inhibition of chlorophyll degradation (Cortleven and Schmulling, 2015). Overexpression of *IPT*, under both the *MDK* and *FBPase* promoters, raised total CK levels in source leaves (**Figure 3**, **Supplementary Figure S5**). Nevertheless, delayed leaf senescence,



measured as the maintenance of photosynthetic activity in aging source leaves, was only observed in MDK:IPT plants (Table 2; Figure 1). This result was somewhat surprising considering that the total CK level in leaves of MDK:IPT plants was significantly lower than that measured in leaves of FBPase:IPT plants (Figure 3). Contradictory effects on chlorophyll content and fluorescence have been observed with the application of different aromatic CKs to apple leaves (Dobranszki and Mendler-Drienyovszki, 2014). Overexpression of IPT under a senescenceassociated Arabidopsis gene (SAG12) promoter inhibited senescence of old tobacco leaves (Gan and Amasino, 1995, 1996). A similar effect on chlorophyll content and photosynthesis was demonstrated in old tomato leaves expressing IPT under the SAG12 promoter (Swartzberg et al., 2006). In both cases, expression of the heterologous IPT was detected only in the old leaves. This promoter enabled spatial and temporal control over expression of the target genes at the last stage of leaf development. Gan and Amasino (1995) proposed that delayed senescence is controlled by autoregulation of CK concentration. Inhibition of leaf senescence reduced the influence of the SAG promoter and the expression of IPT such that CK concentration was increased to the minimal level required to delay senescence. These results support our findings and indicate that CK-imposed delayed senescence is not correlated with the level of total CKs accumulated in the leaf; molecular control over leaf aging is more complicated than that.

Similar to our previous findings, partial defoliation resulted in upregulation of photosynthesis (**Figure 1**; Glanz-Idan et al., 2020). Overexpression of IPT in either source leaves or roots eliminated the influence of partial defoliation (**Figure 1**). These results indicate the involvement of CK biosynthesis in the photosynthetic response to altered source-sink relationships. More detailed analyses of CKs in the source leaves revealed that the concentrations of all three major CK types were highest in FBPase:IPT plants; however, this was mainly due to massive accumulation of iPR (**Supplementary Figure S5**). Interestingly, leaf concentrations of *tZR* and isopentenyladenosine-5'monophosphate (iPMP) were highest in MDK:IPT plants (**Supplementary Figure S5**), indicating that overexpression of *IPT* under a root-specific promoter has a specific and different influence on CKs metabolism in source leaves compared to its overexpression under a source leaf-specific promoter. It is possible that overexpression of *IPT* in the root triggers an alteration in the biosynthetic pathway of CKs in the leaves such that the conversion to iPR is reduced, resulting in higher accumulation of iPMP.

The molecular mechanism by which CKs control leaf aging has yet to be explored. We propose that root-to-shoot CK-derived signaling is activated due to *IPT* overexpression in the roots, resulting in the specific spatial and quantitative alteration in leaf CK biosynthesis that is required to delay senescence.

One main question concerns the nature of this root-to-shoot signal. An obvious candidate is a CK derivative. As tZ and tZR are the main CKs translocating in the xylem from root to shoot (Osugi et al., 2017), it is logical to consider them as primary candidates. Interestingly, the concentration of total CKs in the roots of MDK:IPT and FBPase:IPT plants was similar (Figure 3), but the concentrations of iP9G, tZ9G, and cZR were significantly higher when IPT was overexpressed under the root-specific promoter (Supplementary Figure S6). cZ derivatives are more abundant in the phloem (Corbesier et al., 2003; Hirose et al., 2008), where the flow is from shoot to root, but cZR also makes up about 2% of the xylem sap CKs (Osugi et al., 2017), making it a potential signaling agent. The biological role of glucose-conjugated CKs such as iP9G and *t*Z9G is still not known and in general, they are considered to be inactive. Hallmark et al. (2020) recently provided experimental evidence for the ability of *t*Z9G to delay senescence of Arabidopsis cotyledons. This new finding may point to a potential role for tZ9G as a root-borne signal involved in the control of leaf aging. Nevertheless, it is quite possible that the root-to-shoot signal is not a CK derivative but an alternative molecule whose expression in the root is stimulated by overexpression of IPT and alteration of CK biosynthesis.

While expression of *IPT* under the *AtMDK* promoter had a major effect on leaf senescence, the reduction in photosynthetic activity with leaf aging was similar for MDK:CKX, FBPase:CKX and control plants (**Figure 2**). Despite the tissue-specific overexpression (**Supplementary Figure S7**), CK concentrations in roots and leaves of our FBPase:CKX and MDK:CKX plants were similar to those measured in control plants, which could explain the similar photosynthetic activities (**Supplementary Tables S1, S2**). These results may relate to autoregulation of CK concentration such that CKs degradation activates higher expression/activity of CK-metabolizing enzymes. The gene selected for our study was *AtCKX3*. Overexpression of this gene under the CaMV-35S resulted in longer roots and inhibited shoots growth of transgenic Arabidopsis plants



This change in root CK metabolism elicits root-to-shoot translocation of a signaling agent to promote alteration in CK biosynthesis in the leaves. Specific spatial and quantitative accumulation of CK results in delayed senescence of source leaves.

(Werner et al., 2003) Nevertheless, we cannot rule out the possibility that the selected gene does not have similar influence in tomato plants and an alternative *CKX* gene would impose more significant effect on CK degradation.

The presented results demonstrate the effect of root CK biosynthesis on the functioning and aging of source leaves. We suggest that production of additional CKs specifically in the roots, by overexpression of *IPT* or by partial defoliation, elicits root-to-shoot translocation of a signaling agent to promote alterations in CK biosynthesis in the source leaves, resulting

REFERENCES

- Bajguz, A., and Piotrowska, A. (2009). Conjugates of auxin and cytokinin. Phytochemistry 70, 957–969. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.05.006
- Bieleski, R. L. (1964). The problem of halting enzyme action when extracting plant tissues. Anal. Biochem. 9, 431–442. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(64)90204-0
- Corbesier, L., Prinsen, E., Jacqmard, A., Lejeune, P., Onckelen, H., Van, P. C., et al. (2003). Cytokinin levels in leaves, leaf exudate and shoot apical meristem of *Arabidopsis thaliana* during floral transition. *J. Exp. Bot.* 54, 2511–2517. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erg276
- Cortleven, A., and Schmulling, T. (2015). Regulation of chloroplast development and function by cytokinin. J. Exp. Bot. 66, 4999–5013. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv132

in upregulation of photosynthesis and delayed leaf senescence. As the effect of partial defoliation is eliminated by stem girdling, we propose that roots sense partial defoliation by a signal translocating from shoot to root *via* the phloem (**Figure 6**). Further research should aim at identifying and characterizing the nature of the various signaling molecules and their molecular mechanism of long-distance transport.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NG-I and SW conceived and designed the study. NG-I, ML, PT, and OV designed and conducted the experiments. NG-I, ML, and PT analyzed the data. NG-I and SW wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This research was partially supported by the Israel Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (Eugene Kandel Knowledge Centers) as part of the Root of the Matter—The root zone knowledge center for leveraging modern agriculture. This paper is a contribution from the Uri Kinamon Laboratory. NG-I was supported by a scholarship from the Uri Kinamon Foundation. PT and OV were supported by ERDF project "Plants as a tool for sustainable global development" (CZ.02. 1.01./0.0/0.0/16_019/0000827) and project no. RO0418 funded by Ministry of Agriculture, Czechia.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2022.922106/ full#supplementary-material

- Criado, M. V., Caputo, C., Roberts, I. N., Castro, M. A., and Barneix, A. J. (2009). Cytokinin-induced changes of nitrogen remobilization and chloroplast ultrastructure in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). J. Plant Physiol. 166, 1775–1785. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2009.05.007
- Dobranszki, J., and Mendler-Drienyovszki, N. (2014). Cytokinin-induced changes in the chlorophyll content and fluorescence of in vitro apple leaves. J. Plant Physiol. 171, 1472–1478. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2014.06.015
- Domagalska, M. A., and Leyser, O. (2011). Signal integration in the control of shoot branching. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 12, 211–221. doi: 10.1038/ nrm3088
- Gan, S., and Amasino, R. M. (1995). Inhibition of leaf senescence by autoregulated production of cytokinin. *Science* 270, 1986–1988. doi: 10.1126/science. 270.5244.1986

- Gan, S., and Amasino, R. M. (1996). Cytokinins in plant senescence: From spray and pray to clone and play. *BioEssays* 18, 557–565. doi: 10.1002/ bies.950180707
- Gan, S., and Amasino, R. M. (1997). Making sense of senescence. Molecular genetics regulation and manipulation of leaf senescence. *Plant Physiol.* 113, 313–319. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.2.313
- Glanz-Idan, N., Tarkowski, P., Turečková, V., and Wolf, S. (2020). Root-shoot communication in tomato plants: cytokinin as a signal molecule modulating leaf photosynthetic activity. J. Exp. Bot. 71, 247–257. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erz399
- Hallmark, H. T., Černý, M., Brzobohatý, B., and Rashotte, A. M. (2020). Trans-Zeatin-N-glucosides have biological activity in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS One* 15:e0232762. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0232762
- Hirose, N., Takei, K., Kuroha, T., Kamada-Nobusada, T., Hayashi, H., and Sakakibara, H. (2008). Regulation of cytokinin biosynthesis, compartmentalization and translocation. J. Exp. Bot. 59, 75–83. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erm157
- Holubová, H., Hensel, G., Vojta, P., Tarkowski, P., Bergougnoux, V., and Galuszka, P. (2018). Modification of barley plant productivity through regulation of cytokinin content by reverse-genetics approaches. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9:1676. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01676
- Jordi, W., Schapendonk, A., Davelaar, E., Stoopen, G., Pot, C., De Visser, R., et al. (2000). Increased cytokinin levels in transgenic PSAG12–IPT tobacco plants have large direct and indirect effects on leaf senescence, photosynthesis and N partitioning. *Plant Cell Environ.* 23, 279–289. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3040. 2000.00544.x
- Kuroha, T., Tokunaga, H., Kojima, M., Ueda, N., Ishida, T., Nagawa, S., et al. (2009). Functional analyses of *LONELY GUY* cytokinin-activating enzymes reveal the importance of the direct activation pathway in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 21, 3152–3169. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.068676
- Lilley, C. J., Wang, D., Atkinson, H. G., and Urwin, P. E. (2011). Effective delivery of a nematode-repellent peptide using a root-cap-specific promoter. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 9, 151–161. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7652.2010.00542
- Lin, Y.-H., Lin, M.-H., Gresshoff, P. M., and Ferguson, B. J. (2011). An efficient petiole-feeding bioassay for introducing aqueous solutions into dicotyledonous plants. *Nat. Protoc.* 6, 36–45. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2010.171
- Lloyd, J. C., Raines, C. A., John, U. P., and Dyer, T. A. A. (1991). The chloroplast FBPase gene of wheat: structure and expression of the promoter in photosynthetic and meristematic cells of transgenic tobacco plants. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 225, 209–216. doi: 10.1007/BF00269850
- Matsumoto-Kitano, M., Kusumoto, T., Tarkowski, P., Kinoshita-Tsujimura, K., Vaclavikova, K., Miyawaki, K., et al. (2008). Cytokinins are central regulators of cambial activity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 105, 20027–20031. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.0805619105
- McCormick, S. (1991). "Transformation of tomato with Agrobacterium tumefaciens," in Plant Tissue Culture Manual. ed. K. Lindsey (Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands), 311–319.
- Miyawaki, K., Matsumoto-Kitano, M., and Kakimoto, T. (2004). Expression of cytokinin biosynthetic isopentenyltransferase genes in *Arabidopsis*: tissue specificity and regulation by auxin, cytokinin, and nitrate. *Plant J.* 37, 128–138. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.2003.01945.x
- Mok, D. W. S., and Mok, M. C. (2001). Cytokinin metabolism and action. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 52, 89–118. doi: 10.1146/annurev. arplant.52.1.89
- Moore, I., Gälweiler, L., Grosskopf, D., Schell, J., and Palme, K. (1998). A transcription activation system for regulated gene expression in transgenicplants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95, 376–381. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.1.376
- Moran, R. (1982). Formulae for determination of chlorophyllous pigments extracted with N,N-Dimethylformamide. *Plant Physiol.* 69, 1376–1381. doi: 10.1104/pp.69.6.1376

- Müller, B., and Sheen, J. (2007). Advances in cytokinin signaling. *Science* 318, 68–69. doi: 10.1126/science.1145461
- Osugi, A., Kojima, M., Takebayashi, Y., Ueda, N., Kibi, T., and Sakakibara, H. (2017). Systemic transport of trans-zeatin and its precursor have differing roles in Arabidopsis shoots. *Nat. Plants* 3:17112. doi: 10.1038/nplants.2017.112
- Richmond, A. E., and Lang, A. (1957). Effect of kinetin on protein content and survival of detached Xanthium leaves. *Science* 125, 650–651. doi: 10.1126/ science.125.3249.650.b
- Sakakibara, H. (2006). Cytokinins: activity, biosynthesis, and translocation. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 57, 431–449. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105231
- Schmülling, T., Werner, T., Riefler, M., Krupkova, E., and Bartrina y Manns, I. (2003). Structure and function of cytokinin oxidase/dehydrogenase genes of maize, rice, *Arabidopsis* and other species. *J. Plant Res.* 116, 241–252. doi: 10.1007/s10265-003-0096-4
- Shani, E., Ben-Gera, H., Shleizer-Burko, S., Burko, Y., Weiss, D., and Ori, N. (2010). Cytokinin regulates compound leaf development in tomato. *Plant Cell* 22, 3206–3217. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.078253
- Smart, C. M. (1994). Gene expression during leaf senescence. New Phytol. 126, 419–448. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1994.tb04243.x
- Swartzberg, D., Dai, N., Gan, S., Amasino, R. M., and Granot, D. (2006). Effect of cytokinin production under two SAG promoters on senescence and development of tomato plants. *Plant Biol.* 8, 579–586. doi: 10.1055/s-2006-924240
- Takei, K., Yamaya, T., and Sakakibara, H. (2004). Arabidopsis CYP735A1 and CYP735A2 encode cytokinin hydroxylases that catalyze the biosynthesis of trans-zeatin. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 41866–41872. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M406337200
- Vidoz, M. L., Loreti, E., Mensuali, A., Alpi, A., and Perata, P. (2010). Hormonal interplay during adventitious root formation in flooded tomato plants. *Plant* J. 63, 551–562. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04262.x
- Werner, T., Kollmer, I., Bartrina, I., Holst, K., and Schmülling, T. (2006). New insights into the biology of cytokinin degradation. *Plant Biol.* 8, 371–381. doi: 10.1055/s-2006-923928
- Werner, T., Motyka, V., Laucou, V., Smets, R., Van Onckelen, H., and Schmülling, T. (2003). Cytokinin-deficient transgenic Arabidopsis plants show multiple developmental alterations indicating opposite functions of cytokinins in the regulation of shoot and root meristem activity. *Plant Cell* 15, 2532–2550. doi: 10.1105/tpc.014928
- Zurcher, E., and Müller, B. (2016). Cytokinin synthesis, signaling and functionadvanced and new insights. *Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol.* 324, 1–38. doi: 10.1016/ bs.ircmb.2016.01.001

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Glanz-Idan, Lach, Tarkowski, Vrobel and Wolf. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.