Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Brian N. Bailey, University of California, Davis, United States

REVIEWED BY

Zheng Wang, Institute of Urban Agriculture (CAAS), China Ningyi Zhang, Wageningen University and Research, Netherlands Shing Him Lee, Technological and Higher Education Institute of Hong Kong (THEi), Hong Kong SAR, China

*CORRESPONDENCE

Xingan Liu Ixa10157@syau.edu.cn Tianlai Li Itl@syau.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Plant Biophysics and Modeling, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 11 June 2022 ACCEPTED 09 August 2022 PUBLISHED 23 August 2022

CITATION

Liu A, Henke M, Li Y, Zhang Y, Xu D, Liu X and Li T (2022) Investigation of the impact of supplemental reflective films to improve micro-light climate within tomato plant canopy in solar greenhouses. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:966596. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.966596

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Liu, Henke, Li, Zhang, Xu, Liu and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Investigation of the impact of supplemental reflective films to improve micro-light climate within tomato plant canopy in solar greenhouses

Anhua Liu^{1,2,3}, Michael Henke^{4,5}, Yiming Li^{2,3,6}, Yue Zhang^{1,2,3}, Demin Xu^{1,2,3}, Xingan Liu^{1,2,3*} and Tianlai Li^{1,2,3*}

¹College of Horticulture, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang, China, ²Key Laboratory of Protected Horticulture, Ministry of Education, Shenyang, China, ³National & Local Joint Engineering Research Center of Northern Horticultural Facilities Design & Application Technology (Liaoning), Shenyang, China, ⁴Plant Sciences Core Facility, CEITEC-Central European Institute of Technology, Masaryk University, Brno, Czechia, ⁵Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Stadt Seeland, Germany, ⁶College of Engineering, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang, China

The non-uniform growth and development of crops within Chinese Solar Greenhouses (CSG) is directly related to the micro-light climate within canopy. In practice, reflective films are used to improve micro-light climate within plant canopy by homogenizing light distribution and so increasing total plant light interception. However, as to our knowledge, the contributions to light distribution within canopy have not been investigated for passive reflector like reflective films. Field experiments dealing with light conditions and growth behavior over time, are complicated to carry out, time-consuming and hard to control, while however, accurate measurements of how reflective films influence the micro-light climate of canopy are an essential step to improve the growth conditions for any crop. Here, we propose a supplementary light strategy using reflective films to improve light distribution within plant canopy. Based on the example of CSG, a 3D greenhouse model including a detailed 3D tomato canopy structure was constructed to simulate the influence of supplementary reflective films to improve micro-light climate. Comparison of measured solar radiation intensity with predicted model data demonstrated that the model could precisely predict light radiation intensity over time with different time points and positions in the greenhouse. A series of reflective film configurations were investigated based on features analysis of light distribution in the tomato canopy on sunny days using the proposed model. The reflective film configuration scheme with the highest impact significantly improved the evenness of horizontal and vertical light distribution in tomato canopy. The strategy provided here can be used to configure reflective films that will enhance light conditions in CSG, which can be applied and extended in different scenarios.

KEYWORDS

in-silico light simulation, passive light supplement, micro-light climate, reflective film, GroIMP

Introduction

Chinese solar greenhouses (CSG) are constructed to provide adequate growth condition for vegetable production even during hard winter times without any auxiliary heating or additional light sources. CSG represent an important guarantee for China's annual vegetable supply balance (Tong et al., 2013) and significantly contribute to increase farmers' income (Panwar et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2012). According to the Agricultural Mechanization Management Department of China (2018), by the end of 2018, CSG cover 577 thousand hectares. High-efficiency utilization of solar radiation is the most essential ingredient in the extensive use of CSG. Sunlight enters the CSG via the transparent south roof and influences various microenvironmental factors inside the CSG, including canopy illumination, temperature, and humidity (Papadopoulos and Hao, 1997). Therefore, solar radiation intensity and distribution in crop canopy are of paramount importance for crop production and the major factor one can influence to improve light conditions within CSG. However, due to the interaction of CSG's unique structure and plant architecture, the light distribution within canopy is usually uneven, resulting in non-uniform crop growth. This problem has restricted sunlight use efficiency, and impeded the standardization process of crop management and production.

Many studies have been conducted regarding light interception optimization of solar greenhouses from different perspectives. Some of them have reported the optimization of architectural parameters of solar greenhouses to improve overall light interception inside, including cross-sectional parameters of the greenhouse (Chen et al., 2019; Esmaeli and Roshandel, 2020), shape and angle of the south roof (Cabrera et al., 2009; Tong et al., 2013), and orientation of the greenhouse (Chen et al., 2018). Even when the overall light interception inside the greenhouse increases, the shading problem caused by enclosures and the plants themselves remains unresolved in CSG. Other studies investigated the use of auxiliary facilities including artificial lighting and reflective materials to improve light environment (Glenn and Puterka, 2007; Kumar et al., 2016; Muneer et al., 2019; Katzin et al., 2021). Artificial lighting systems such as light emitting diodes (LEDs), usually present a low surface temperature and produce less radiant heat (Zhang et al., 2017). This technology has shown its suitability to improve the illumination of top, interior or lateral surface of tall plants (Gomez et al., 2013), providing a good solution to non-uniform light distribution inside greenhouses. Moreover, benefits of LEDs were manifested both in crop quality and yield (Monostori et al., 2018; Weaver et al., 2019). For example, Tewolde et al. (2016) found that the use of daytime LED inter-lighting significantly increased tomato yield by 27% and nighttime LED inter-lighting increased 20% the total soluble solids and 25% ascorbic acid content of tomato fruits in winter. However, at the same time, those facilities with supplemental lighting consume more than twice the amount of energy and produce three times the amount of carbon dioxide compared to greenhouses without supplemental lighting (Raaphorst et al.,

2019). With LED technologies rapid evolvement in energy use efficiency (Hemming et al., 2019), LED light sources currently have 60% higher efficiency than traditional assimilation lighting at converting electrical power to photo-synthetically active radiation and this value is promising to rise (Katzin et al., 2021). In other words, LEDs are expected for further reducing energy consumption, investments and costs in the future.

Another powerful method to improve micro-light climate is installing reflective materials with low price inside greenhouse (Kong and Meng, 2004). Reflective materials can improve crop canopy light interception by reflecting sunlight that otherwise would be intercepted in the non-planting areas as ground or side walls of a greenhouse back to the crop canopy. Especially the light interception improvement of plants close to the reflectors is obvious (Gupta and Tiwari, 2005; Sethi and Arora, 2009; Tang et al., 2015). Numerous field studies have demonstrated benefits of reflective materials generated by increasing crop light interception such as decreasing the incidence of tomato yellow leaf curl disease (Sirigu et al., 2011), increasing amounts of bioactive components in blueberries (Muneer et al., 2019), strawberry yield (Rhainds et al., 2001) and apple fruit quality (Overbeck et al., 2013). These studies on reflective films are carried out from the perspective of improving total solar radiation in the growing area. To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies on the improvement of crop canopy light distribution by reflective films. Further, only a few reports have investigated the effects of the configurations of reflective materials on light intensity in the greenhouse (Cui et al., 2005; Kong et al., 2008). Kong et al. (2008) derived equations based on the principles of light reflection to optimize the height and angle parameters of the reflective board hanging below the roof ridge in an inclined solar greenhouse. Cui et al. (2005) adjusted the hanging height of the reflective film on the rear wall according to the relationship between the height angle of the sun at noon and the elevation angle of the rear roof. However, the detailed light distribution within canopy under different reflective film configurations remains unknown.

A number of studies have been carried out, investigating the light and micro-light environment within greenhouses (Cossu et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020a,b). The methodologies that were first used to analyze greenhouse microclimate greatly depended on real tests, which are typically a very time- and labor-consuming process. Unfortunately, these tests are only able to provide limited data. One possible method to facilitate the study of light environments are in-silico simulation using specialized simulation software. De Visser et al. (2014) optimized illumination and light quality for glasshouse for tomato production in the Netherlands with additional LED light supply. Zhang et al. (2021) estimated canopy leaf physiology of tomato plants grown in a solar greenhouse based on simulations of light and thermal microclimate. These investigations have introduced physics-based ray-tracing techniques and considered mechanical interactions between the greenhouse and the 3D structure of plants, which could predict microclimate at the organ-level.

The purpose of this study was to configure reflective films from the perspective of improving light distribution within canopy in CSG. For this purpose, we took a major vegetable crop (tomato) as model plant, whose growth and development is closely linked to horizontal and vertical light distribution in canopy. Then, we built a coupled solar radiation-solar greenhouse-tomato population model considering the optical features and morphological data of the greenhouse and tomato plants. The model accuracy was evaluated in the presence and absence of reflective films. Further, light distribution features of tomato canopy on sunny weather in the greenhouse were determined using this model. Based on that, the effects of different reflective film configuration parameters, i.e., usage time, position and height, on light distribution in tomato canopy could be investigated and an optimal configuration could be identified. Finally, the improvement effects of the proposed configuration on light distribution of tomato canopy were investigated. The presented study offers theoretical supports for the reasonable use of reflective films for light distribution improvement within canopy in CSG. Our supplementary light strategy provides new insights for decision-making purposes about appropriate reflective film configurations in CSG.

Materials and methods

Modeling

In the presented study, interactive plant modelling environment GroIMP (Hemmerling et al., 2008; Kniemeyer, 2008) was used to perform all simulations. The constructed model consists of three separate models, the CSG model, the tomato plant population model, and the solar radiation model.

Reference greenhouse setting

We considered a typical standard CSG covering an area of 540 m², with a width of 9 m and a length of 60 m. The north wall height was 2.9 m, and the ridge height of the greenhouse was 4.5 m. The horizontal projection of the north roof was 1.8 m. The south roof was covered with a 0.15 mm polyolefin (PO) thin film (Figure 1). The curve of the greenhouse lighting surface was fitted using Equation (1):

$$Y = 16.8644X^{0.5009} + 0.2\tag{1}$$

where *X* represents the horizontal coordinate of the lighting surface; *Y* is the vertical coordinate of the lighting surface, both in cm. The greenhouse is located in Shenyang Agricultural University (41.8°N, 123.6°E), oriented south and 7° to west.

Growth conditions and reconstruction of tomato plants

A conventional, large-fruited tomato variety, "Liaoyuanduoli," was adopted as the test material. Seeds were placed in petri dishes between sterile filter papers soaked in tap water for 3 days at 28°C. After germination, germinated seeds were placed in 50-well seedling plates filled with 2:1:1 (v/v/v) mixture of garden soil, humus and sand and placed at the case greenhouse. When plantlets grew 3-4 leaves, these plantlets were transferred in loam soil of the case greenhouse. The conventional cultivation mode for CSG with double rows on a broad ridge was used. The planting spacing, width of narrow and wide row, and ridge height were 0.35, 0.4, 1, and 0.1 m, respectively. The final planting density was 2.815 plants/m². The plants were pruned timely, with a single branch after pruning. Tomato plants were watered 2 times every week, at 3- and 4-day intervals, with tap water through drip irrigation. Before transplanting, soil was rototilled and 110 t/ha of decomposed organic manure (cow and chicken manure) were broadcasted uniformly as basal fertilizer in the soil. After transplanting, 300.0 kg N, 150 kg P_2O_5 /ha and 150 kg K₂O/ha of fertilizers were applied 1 or 2 times every month with irrigation events. The case greenhouse, with windows closed, were unventilated to prevent heat loss during cold winter, with air temperature ranged from 10 to 34°C. No auxiliary heating and lighting were provided in the whole production process.

The first step to create a virtual tomato plant was to digitalize the structure of real tomato plants which were in the fruit setting period. Three mature tomato plants, i.e., the 5th, 10th and 15th plant from southern side to northern side, were picked out in the 10th and 30th row from eastern side to western side of the greenhouse, respectively. Different morphological parameters, including plant length, number of leaves, and petiole inclination angle, were measured manually on six mature tomato plants using a ruler and a protractor under no reflective films in the case greenhouse. A photograph of a functional leaflet was imported into ImageJ software (US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, United States) to determine the coordinates of a set of representative landmarks along the leaflet outline and inner shape. In the second step, the obtained coordinates are triangulated and used as input for the polygon mesh that is used as leaflet model. All virtual leaflets were assumed having the same area. Additional data on size, shape and connection sequence of other tomato organs, i.e., internodes and petioles, were also entered into plant model. A screenshot of the final virtual tomato morphology is displayed in Figure 2. 760 virtual tomato plants were integrated into the virtual greenhouse model. The general parameters of the 3D virtual greenhouse model and the tomato plant population model are summarized in Table 1. Optical properties of different components in the case greenhouse are summarized in Supplementary Table S1.

Construction of the solar radiation model

The greenhouse light environment was simulated following the method reported by Buck-Sorlin et al. (2011). Briefly, the greenhouse model is surrounded by a virtual sun and sky model. The sun travels along a specific path across the sky, providing direct incident light at different geographical



locations, i.e., latitudes, and times, i.e., hour of day. The diffuse sky is represented as a hemisphere of 72 directional light sources, pointing towards the center of the hemisphere, delivering diffuse light scenario. The integrated ray tracer in GroIMP was used to simulate the light scenarios (Hemmerling et al., 2013). For the simulations, 200 million virtual rays and 10 reflections per ray were used to deliver stable and reproducible results (Henke and Buck-Sorlin, 2017). A snapshot of the 3D scene, including the solar radiation, greenhouse, and tomato plant population, is shown in Figure 3.

Analysis of light interception, light interception improving ratio and uniformity of light distribution in tomato canopy

A typical tomato leaf is composed of irregularly-shaped, pinnately compound leaves. The output results of GroIMP corresponded to light interception values for each compound leaf. The light interception values of all compound leaves of the entire tomato plant were added up to obtain the total light interception for a tomato plant. Subsequently, the total light interception data of every tomato plant in the greenhouse were entered into Origin software (OriginLab Corporation, Northhampton, MA, United States) to obtain the horizontal distribution nephogram of the tomato canopy. Similarly, the canopy of a 1.8 m long tomato plant was divided into lower, middle, and upper layers with each layer of 6 leaves (Figure 2). The light interception values of all compound leaves in each of the three canopy layers were integrated to calculate the vertical light distribution nephograms of different tomato canopy.

Light interception improving ratio in this study represents the ratio of light absorption per leaflet under some reflective film configuration to the situation without the configuration. For example, light interception improving ratio under 1.4 m high reflective film on the west side at 9:00 a.m. equals the ratio of light absorption per leaflet under 1.4 m high reflective film at 9:00 a.m. to light absorption per leaflet without 1.4 m high reflective film on the west side at 9:00 a.m.

Coefficients of variation, i.e., the ratio of standard deviation to average of a set of data, was used to evaluate the uniformity of light distribution in tomato canopy. For example, coefficients of variation under 1.4 m high reflective film equals to the ratio of standard deviation of a set of light absorption per leaflet in according tomato canopy under 1.4 m high reflective film to the average of the set of data.



Snapshot of a single tomato plant with 18 internodes and leaves, having a total length of 1.8m, divided into three layers: upper, middle, and lower layer, each of a layer of 6 leaves.

Model evaluation

We evaluated the accuracy of our model by comparing simulated against measured data. The measurement was done on a sunny day, i.e., December 3rd, 2021, day 337 of the year, every hour between 9a.m. and 16:00 p.m. A MP-200 pyranometer (Apogee Instruments, Inc., Logan, UT, United States) was used to measure the solar radiation intensity at different monitoring points without reflective films. This pyranometer reports the results within a temporal resolution of 1 ms. The spectral range of the pyranometer is 280-1,120 nm, with a measuring range of $0 \sim 1999 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ and a calibration error of ±5%. One row of plants was chosen on the east, west and middle positions of the greenhouse, and the specific monitoring points of each row were shown in Figure 4. Measurements were performed at heights of 0.3, 0.9, and 1.5 m, with 12 horizontal measuring points per height. The solar radiation intensities at different positions per height of the tomato canopy were averaged. The root mean square error (RMSE) and R² between the simulated and measured values were calculated.

In order to directly compare the solar radiation intensity in the tomato canopy with and without reflective films, we measured

light intensity in the same greenhouse very quickly, with firstly installing reflective film and then removing the film. Moreover, the same monitoring points, measurement ways and data analysis were used for these two scenarios (Figure 4). The positions of the reflective films are shown as in Figure 5. Reflective films with a height of 1.4 m were installed on the east and west sides of the greenhouse at a distance of 0.4 m from the plant. Reflective films with a width of 0.4 m (equal to the width of a narrow row) were installed on the ground surface between two adjacent plant rows on the same broad ridge.

Types of simulations

After model evaluation, we first simulated light distribution patterns of tomato canopy on sunny days in low light season. Then we simulated the effects of different reflective film configurations, i.e., different usage time and height of reflective films on the west side, east side of greenhouse and under tomato canopy, on tomato canopy light distribution. Finally, we simulated light distribution under the final reflective film configuration scheme compared to the scenario without reflective films.

Results

Model validation

Figure 6 shows that the simulated and measured solar radiation intensities presented a consistent variation trend over time. As shown in Table 2, RMSE between the simulated and the measured values were between 8.11 and 17.07. This represents 2–5% of the solar radiation intensity scope of the tomato canopy. In addition, R^2 was 0.87–0.97, which indicated the reliability of our model to simulate the dynamic distribution of solar radiation intensities at different heights of the tomato canopy with and without reflective films.

Light distribution patterns of tomato canopy on sunny weather in low light season

Since reflectors typically work better on sunny days (Pang, 2004), light distribution patterns within tomato canopy were investigated on sunny days in low light season, i.e., November 6th, December 30th, January 29th, and February 26th in typical meteorological year (Meteorological Information Center, 2005). The ratio of daily direct solar radiation to global solar radiation ranged from 69 to 75% on these 4 days (Supplementary Table S2). Figure 7 shows the horizontal light distribution of total tomato canopy based on the light absorption per leaflet on sunny weather. Our results indicated light distribution patterns in tomato canopy were similar on sunny days in low light season. Light interception

Description	Value range	Unit		
Greenhouse dimensions				
Lighting roof (L, W, H)	30, 7.2, 0.00015	meter		
Wall (L, W, H)	30, 0.48, 2.9	meter		
Ground (L, W, H)	30, 9, 0.5	meter		
Roof (L, W, H)	30, 2.435, 0.2	meter		
Plant arrangement				
Width of the wide plant row	1	meter		
Width of the narrow plant row	0.4	meter		
Tomato plant spacing	0.35	meter		
Number of rows [South-North]	40	-		
Number of plants per row	19	-		
Tomato plant				
Maximal leaf rank per plant	18	-		
Averaged plant length	1.8	meter		
LAI of a single tomato plant	3.3	-		
Averaged horizontal angle of	55	0		
petiole				
Averaged vertical angle of	10	0		
petiole				
Range of internode diameter	[0.0043, 0.0115]	meter		
linear interpolated from bottom				
to top				

TABLE 1 General parameters of the virtual greenhouse and the virtual tomato plant population model.

by tomato plants of the two rows located on the south side of the greenhouse was higher as compared to the rest of the plants (Figure 7). The light interception of tomato plants on the east and west sides of the greenhouse was the lowest (Figure 7).

As similar horizontal light distribution patterns in the tomato canopy were observed on sunny weather, December 30th with the lowest light interception was chosen as a representative day to further determine vertical light distributions in the lower, middle, and upper layers of the canopy in overwintering tomato plants. The simulation results displayed in Figure 8 shows that light interception by the two rows of tomato plants on the south side of the greenhouse was significantly higher as compared to those in other rows in every canopy layers. Light interception by plants on the east and west sides of the greenhouse was significantly lower with respect to those located in the middle of the greenhouse. The shading caused by the top canopy and inter-plant shielding significantly decreased light interception by tomato leaves present in lower positions of the plants. Light interception by leaves in the lower layer of the canopy accounted for less than 1/5 of the total.

Effects of different reflective film configurations on tomato canopy light distribution in CSG

The effects of usage time, position, and height for reflective films on tomato canopy light distribution on December 30th were

set and studied based on the light distribution features of the tomato canopy without reflective films. A 0.4 m wide reflective film was installed below the canopy between two adjacent rows on every wide ridge. Reflective films on the east and west sides of the greenhouse were installed at a distance of 0.4 m from the plants in order to save space and avoid plant contact. The reflective films on the east and west sides of the greenhouse and under the canopy were laid from the third row on the south to the north, parallel to the side walls (refer to reflective film layout shown in Figure 5).

Effects of usage time for reflective films on tomato canopy light interception

First, we explored the usage time for reflective films installed on the west side of the greenhouse. In order to eliminate the effects of reflective film height on simulation results, we examined the variations of total light interception by the tomato plant population under different reflective films heights over time. As shown in Figure 9A, when the height of the reflective film was not higher than 1.4 m, it hardly contributed to improving light interception. When the film height was above 1.4 m, it contributed to improving light interception and light interception presented a similar variation pattern over time under different reflective film heights. The light interception was all increased from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 a.m., while the increase percentage of light interception gradually decreased over time. From 13:00 p.m. to 16:00 p.m., the use of reflective films decreased light interception by the tomato plants. Also, the decrease percentage diminished over time until reaching around zero. Hence, the usage time of reflective films on the west side of the greenhouse was 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 a.m.

We then investigated the usage time of reflective films installed on the east side of the greenhouse. As shown in Figure 9B, the effects of the reflective films on light interception of the tomato plants varied over time in an opposite manner as compared to those on the west side of the greenhouse. From 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 a.m., the use of reflective films reduced light interception of tomato canopy, while between 13:00 p.m. to 16:00 p.m., the light interception improved to varying degrees.

Figure 9C presents the relationship between the usage time of reflective films installed at different heights under the canopy and the total tomato canopy light interception. According to the results, from 9:00 a.m. to 16:00 p.m., the total light interception all increased with reflective films installed at different heights. It was interesting to note that the curve corresponding to variation of total light interception by the tomato canopy with respect to time presented two peaks. At 12:00 a.m., the increase percentage of light interception was slightly lower. This probably occurred because the solar elevation angle at midnoon presented the highest value. As the sunlight falls on the plant canopy, the shading caused by plants restricted reflective effects of the reflective films.

Effects of the height of reflective films on tomato canopy light interception

We examined the effects of different heights of reflective films installed on the west side of the greenhouse on tomato canopy



light interception. As Figure 10A shows, improving ratio of tomato canopy light interception was positively correlated with the height of the reflective films. The reflective films should not be higher than 2.4 m due to the shape limitation of the south roof. When the reflective films were at 2.4 m high, the increased percentage of light interception by each row of plants varied between 29 and 0% from west to east. The light interception improved for five consecutive plant rows. The smaller the distance to the reflective film, the greater the effect of supplemental light.

Figure 10B shows the effects of reflective films of different heights installed on the east side of the greenhouse on light interception by a few rows of neighboring plants. Results indicated that as the height of the reflective films increased, light interception also increased. When the reflective film was at 2.4 m high, light interception improved for five plant rows from east to west. The increased percentage of light interception varied between 17 and 0% in each row.

We also examined the effects of different heights of reflective films under canopy, in combination with both 2.4 m high reflective on the west side and east side, on tomato light interception in each row. Results in Figure 10C showed that reflective films installed at different heights improved light interception with close improving ratio. The lower the distance between reflective films and the ground surface, the greater the percentage increase of light interception by each row. When the reflective film was installed at 0.10 m above ground, the reflective film was installed at the level of the ridge surface, the largest light interception percentage increase in a single row was about 2.6%.

Effects of the height of reflective films on tomato canopy light distribution

After quantifying the relationship between reflective film height and canopy light interception, we introduced the coefficient of variation to assess the effects of reflective film height on light distribution in the tomato canopy. The above studies have confirmed that light interception values were lower in the lower, middle, and upper layers of the canopy on the east and west sides of the greenhouse on sunny weather in low light season (Figure 8). The overall light interception of the lower layer of the canopy accounted for less than 20% of the total canopy light interception (Figure 8). Considering that laying reflective films under the canopy increased total light interception by less than 3% (Figure 10C), a significant difference was still observed between light interception by the lower layer of the canopy and that by the middle and upper layers of the canopy. The height of the reflective film installed under the canopy was set as 0.1 m. Four height levels of 1.8, 2.0, 2.2 and 2.4 m (no lower than plant height) were set for reflective films on the east and west sides of the greenhouse. Coefficients of variation for these 16 combinations were examined to reduce unnecessary data processing. The results in Figure 11



shows that the uniformity of overall light distribution and the light distribution in each layer of the canopy were significantly improved by using reflective films. The greater the height of the reflective films on the east and west sides of the greenhouse, the smaller the coefficient of variation and the greater the evenness of light distribution in the canopy. The best uniformity in canopy light distribution was observed when 2.4 m high reflective films were placed on the east and west sides of the greenhouse and reflective films were installed at 0.1 m height from ground surface under the canopy. The final reflective film configuration scheme is presented in Table 3.

Evaluation of compound gain of the final reflective film configuration scheme

Compound gain of light interception was achieved in the tomato canopy as reflective films were installed on the west and

east sides of the greenhouse as well as on the ground surface. Figure 12 shows the horizontal light distribution of tomato canopy under the final reflective film configuration as well the scenario with no reflective films. Comparison of Figures 12A,B shows that the horizontal tomato canopy light distribution improved in Figure 12B since the shading problem caused by the two side walls was resolved. Comparison in Figures 13A–C against that in Figures 13D–F shows that the evenness of vertical light distribution in the three layers of the tomato canopy considerably improved. The optimal reflective film configuration contributed best to light interception in the west, then in the east, and least in the other planting areas (Table 4).

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to identify how supplemental reflective films affect light distribution within tomato canopy and

Liu et al.



FIGURE 5

(A) Schematic diagram of the location and size of reflective films in model evaluation, and photographs of real scenarios with reflective films placed (B) on the west side of the greenhouse, and (C) beneath the canopy.



Measured versus simulated solar radiation intensities at 0.3/0.9/1.5m heights (A) without, and (B) with reflective films on December 3rd, 2021. The measured and simulated solar radiation intensity at different positions per height were averaged, respectively, for comparison.

provide a basic framework for the application of reflective films in CSG. In the case greenhouse, the 3D greenhouse model could precisely predict light radiation intensity and the horizontal and vertical light distribution has been improved under the optimal configuration compared to the scenario without reflective films.

The finds of this study are consistent with previous studies (Kong and Meng, 2004; Tang et al., 2015), where a gradually increased light enhancing effect was observed with a gradual decrease in the distance from reflective films. The findings on the place of reflective film, however, are not as exactly the same. Here, based on light distribution pattern on sunny days in low light season, reflective films were placed close to sidewalls and on the ground. In previous studies, reflective materials were applied to crops on the north side of the planting area (Kong et al., 2008),

TABLE 2 Root mean square error (RMSE) and R-squared of the simulated and measured data of average solar radiation intensity at different heights (0.3/0.9/1.5m).

		Measured/Simulated		
		0.3 m	0.9 m	1.5 m
Without	RMSE	8.11	17.07	16.61
reflective film	R-squared	0.96	0.87	0.91
With reflective	RMSE	12.23	9.97	11.02
film	R-squared	0.91	0.95	0.97

inclined north wall (Sethi and Arora, 2009) and ground (Boo et al., 2010). We surmise that this result can be explained by different study aim, wherein most studies were trying to enhance the total light interception for plants, while our study was trying to improve the light distribution within plant canopy. Another possible explanation is that there is lack of a method to precisely compute light distribution within canopy in some kind of weather condition, which could also explain the reason why the lack study of the effects of usage time and specific height of reflective films on light interception for plants.

In addition, in terms of configuration parameters of reflective films, this study not only considered the location, usage time, height, but also the inclination angle and color of the reflective film configuration. Through the simulation, it is found that tilting the reflective film on both sides of greenhouse towards sidewalls at a certain angle can effectively improve the



FIGURE 7

Total tomato canopy light distribution in a solar greenhouse on (A) November 6th, (B) December 30th, (C) January 29th, and (D) February 26th. Tomato canopy light interception in different areas of the greenhouse is separated by dashed lines for comparison.





FIGURE 9

Effects of usage time of reflective films with different heights located on (A) the west side, (B) the east side of the greenhouse, and (C) under the canopy on tomato canopy light interception. The reflective films on the west and east sides of the greenhouse were placed on the ground, and the height of the reflective films refers to the length of the reflective films in the vertical direction. The reflective films below the canopy were placed near the plant roots, and the height of the reflective films refers to the height from the ground.



Effects of reflective film height on tomato canopy light interception: (A) west side, (B) east side of the greenhouse, and (C) under the canopy. The reflective films on the west and east sides of the greenhouse were placed on the ground, and the height of the reflective films refers to the length of the reflective films in the vertical direction; the reflective films below the canopy were placed near the plant roots, and the height of the reflective films refers to the height from the ground.

light interception of plants (Supplementary Figure S1). However, due to the occupation of horizontal space, the reflective film inclination angle is set as zero in the final investigation of the reflective film configuration scheme. In terms of the color of reflective films (i.e., different optical properties), some studies showed that mulches with high reflectivity (i.e., white and silver) caused soil cooling at midday but kept the soil warmer than bare soil during the nighttime, due to their low transmissivity (Ham and Kluitenberg, 1994; Jones et al., 2021). Combined with low temperature at night and weak light in CSG of northern China, therefore, silver reflective film with reflectivity up to 98% was used in this study.



FIGURE 11

Effects of height of reflective films located on the east and west sides of the greenhouse on light distribution of: (A) total canopy, (B) upper canopy, (C) middle canopy, and (D) lower canopy compared to scenario without reflective films. The height of the reflective film under canopy was fixed at 0.1 m.



One of the main assumptions in the simulation process is that the individual plant traits were identical other than varied plant orientation and height above ground in the 3D greenhouse model. Although, the model validation turned out to be consistent with measured data, in reality, individual plant appears differently in terms of plant orientation, leaf area, internode length, and so on. And reflective film may slowly change the plant architecture over time by changing surrounding climate. To improve the accuracy of phenotyping traits, there are two possible solutions. One is that based on the measured database on the organ dimensions of several plants, other plants in the canopy are randomly chosen from the set of measured plants (Coussement et al., 2018). The other one is three-dimensional scanning technology for high-resolution plant phenotyping (Nguyen et al., 2016; Panjvani et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). And the latter one may be a promising way for timely and accurate presentation of plant canopy structure (Nguyen et al., 2016). Even though there are still unsolved problems such as expensive, not accurate for young age adult (Wang et al., 2019), difficult to form coordinates in some shaded areas (Kim et al., 2021), we believe these problems can be solved in the future.

TABLE 3 Final reflective film configuration scheme.

Usage time	Height (m)
9:00–12:00 a.m.	2.4
13:00-16:00 p.m.	2.4
9:00-16:00	0.1
	9:00–12:00 a.m. 13:00–16:00 p.m.

Research on reflective films used for light distribution improvement could continue in several directions. First, microenvironment factors may be affected by supplemental reflective films, such as humidity (Mormile et al., 2017), air temperature (Wang et al., 2002), soil evaporation (Rippa et al., 2018), soil temperature (Yamaguchi et al., 1996). Then how much do the reflective films contribute to microclimate factors other than light intensity. Second, plant physiological traits, such as plant architecture, fruit setting, quality and yield, photosynthesis, and disease development and insect damage could be explored, since reflective films may change the microclimate conditions. In some studies, reflective films have been shown to improve fruit production (Meyer et al., 2012) and fruit quality (Boo et al., 2010; Overbeck et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2014). Contrary to these studies, Lorenzo et al. (2005) found that using a highly reflective white plastic mulch, the increase in intercepted PAR by the cucumber plants did not compensate for the reduction in air/soil temperature with respect to a non-mulched soil, and that yield was lower in the mulched crop than in the non-mulched one. Therefore, a comprehensive study on the advantages and disadvantages of reflective film for specific plants would provide more information and criteria for the most appropriate reflective film configuration



Comparison of the vertical light distribution. The simulated light distribution in the (A) lower, (B) middle, and (C) upper layers of tomato canopy without reflective films. The simulated light distribution in the (D) lower, (E) middle, and (F) upper layers of tomato canopy under final reflective film configuration scheme. Tomato canopy light interception in different areas of the greenhouse is separated by dashed lines for comparison.

Row numbers [West–East]	Light interception improving ratio (%)	Row numbers [West–East]	Light interception improving ratio (%)
1	31.7	21	2.6
2	16.9	22	2.1
3	10.7	23	2.1
4	6.9	24	2.2
5	4.4	25	2.3
6	3.5	26	2.2
7	2.7	27	2.1
8	2.4	28	2.1
9	2.3	29	2.3
10	2.4	30	2.3
11	2.3	31	2.3
12	2.4	32	2.4
13	2.4	33	2.7
14	2.3	34	2.8
15	2.3	35	3.4
16	2.3	36	3.6
17	2.3	37	5.1
18	2.2	38	7.7
19	2.5	39	11.7
20	2.2	40	18.9

TABLE 4 Integrated compound canopy light interception gain of the final reflective film configuration scheme on December 30th.

in CSG. Third, and perhaps most fascinating, studies could explore how to configure reflective films in other weather conditions, and could we find a compromise configuration for all kinds of weather in some stage of plants. With the latter topic, the solution would be more convenient for growers.

In conclusion, when using the framework provided here, users can compute light distribution in CSG and setup reflective films accordingly, even replace the scene in this study, which may be different geographic locations, greenhouse structure, greenhouse orientation, plant phenotype and time, etc., to provide guidance for the practical application of reflective films. Furthermore, new modular components can be integrated into the model, including crop physiological mechanisms such as photosynthesis modules, source-sink modules (Chen et al., 2014; Pallas et al., 2016), as well as new greenhouse technologies or management strategies such as greenhouse structure optimization, planting strategy optimization and plant architecture selection (Mao et al., 2016; Munz et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2020). This research and other research to follow will contribute to knowledge of the disadvantages and advantages of reflective films in CSG comprehensively, which will be good for the final decision.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

AL, XL, and TL planned and designed the research. AL performed experiments, conducted fieldwork, implemented the models, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. MH, YZ, and DX provided methodology. MH, YL, DX, YZ, XL, and TL reviewed and edited the manuscript. XL acquired the funding. TL supervised the project. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (grant number 2019YFD10003001). The work of MH was supported from European Regional Development Fund-Project "SINGING PLANT" (No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_026/0008446).

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratefulness to MH for the selfless help and precious suggestions on manuscripts.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2022. 966596/full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S1

Daily integrated effects of the inclination angle of reflective films on tomato canopy light interception of (A) west side and (B) east side of the greenhouse on December 30th. The inclination angle of the reflective films refers to the angle of the upper end of the reflective film tilting towards sidewalls.

References

Agricultural Mechanization Management Department of China (2018). National greenhouse area data. Available at: http://data.sheshiyuanyi.com/AreaData/ (Accessed July 31, 2022).

Boo, H., Kim, H., and Lee, H. (2010). Changes in sugar content and sucrose synthase enzymes during fruit growth in eggplant (Solanum melongena L.) grown on different polyethylene mulches. *HortScience* 45, 775–777. doi: 10.21273/ hortsci.45.5.775

Buck-Sorlin, G. H., De Visser, P. H. B., Henke, M., Sarlikioti, V., Van Der Heijden, G. W. A. M., Marcelis, L. F. M., et al. (2011). Towards a functional structural plant model of cut-rose: simulation of light environment, light absorption, photosynthesis and interference with the plant structure. *Ann. Bot.* 108, 1121–1134. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcr190

Cabrera, F. J., Baille, A., López, J. C., González-Real, M. M., and Pérez-Parra, J. (2009). Effects of cover diffusive properties on the components of greenhouse solar radiation. *Biosyst. Eng.* 103, 344–356. doi: 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2009.03.008

Chen, T.-W., Henke, M., de Visser, P. H. B., Buck-Sorlin, G., Wiechers, D., Kahlen, K., et al. (2014). What is the most prominent factor limiting photosynthesis in different layers of a greenhouse cucumber canopy? *Ann. Bot.* 114, 677–688. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcu100

Chen, C., Li, Y., Li, N., Wei, S., Yang, F., Ling, H., et al. (2018). A computational model to determine the optimal orientation for solar greenhouses located at different latitudes in China. *Sol. Energy* 165, 19–26. doi: 10.1016/j. solener.2018.02.022

Chen, C., Yu, N., Yang, F., Mahkamov, K., Han, F., Li, Y., et al. (2019). Theoretical and experimental study on selection of physical dimensions of passive solar greenhouses for enhanced energy performance. *Sol. Energy* 191, 46–56. doi: 10.1016/j.solener.2019.07.089

Cossu, M., Cossu, A., Deligios, P. A., Ledda, L., Li, Z., Fatnassi, H., et al. (2018). Assessment and comparison of the solar radiation distribution inside the main commercial photovoltaic greenhouse types in Europe. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 94, 822–834. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2018.06.001

Coussement, J., Henke, M., Lootens, P., Roldán-Ruiz, I., Steppe, K., and de Swaef, T. (2018). Modelling leaf spectral properties in a soybean functionalstructural plant model by integrating the prospect radiative transfer model. *Ann. Bot.* 122, 669–676. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcy105

Cui, Q., Cao, C., Hu, X., and Yi, L. (2005). Practice and theoretic analysis of supplementing illumination in the solar greenhouse with reflecting film. *Chin. J. Eco-Agric.* 13, 82–84.

De Visser, P. H. B., Buck-Sorlin, G. H., and Van Der Heijden, G. W. A. M. (2014). Optimizing illumination in the greenhouse using a 3D model of tomato and a ray tracer. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:48. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00048

Esmaeli, H., and Roshandel, R. (2020). Optimal design for solar greenhouses based on climate conditions. *Renew. Energy* 145, 1255–1265. doi: 10.1016/j. renene.2019.06.090

Glenn, D. M., and Puterka, G. J. (2007). The use of plastic films and sprayable reflective particle films to increase light penetration in apple canopy and improve apple color and weight. *HortScience* 42, 91–96. doi: 10.21273/hortsci.42.1.91

Gomez, C., Morrow, R. C., Bourget, C. M., Massa, G. D., and Mitchell, G. A. (2013). Comparison of intracanopy light-emitting diode towers and overhead high-pressure sodium lamps for supplemental lighting of greenhouse-grown tomatoes. *Horttechnology* 23, 93–98. doi: 10.21273/HORTTECH.23.1.93

Guo, S., Sun, J., Shu, S., Lu, X., Tian, J., and Wang, J. (2012). Analysis of general situation, characteristics, existing problems and development trend of protected horticulture in China. *China Veget.* 18, 1–14.

Gupta, R., and Tiwari, G. N. (2005). Modeling of energy distribution inside greenhouse using concept of solar fraction with and without reflecting surface on north wall. *Build. Environ.* 40, 63–71. doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2004.03.014

Ham, J. M., and Kluitenberg, G. J. (1994). Modeling the effect of mulch optical properties and mulch-soil contact resistance on soil heating under plastic mulch culture. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 71, 403–424. doi: 10.1016/0168-1923(94)90022-1

Hemmerling, R., Evers, J. B., Smoleňová, K., Buck-Sorlin, G., and Kurth, W. (2013). Extension of the GroIMP modelling platform to allow easy specification of differential equations describing biological processes within plant models. *Comput. Electron. Agric.* 92, 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2012.12.007

Hemmerling, R., Kniemeyer, O., Lanwert, D., Kurth, W., and Buck-Sorlin, G. (2008). The rule-based language XL and the modelling environment GroIMP illustrated with simulated tree competition. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 35, 739–750. doi: 10.1071/FP08052

Hemming, S., Bakker, J. C., Campen, J. B., and Kempkes, F. L. K. (2019). "Sustainable use of energy in greenhouses," in *Achieving Sustainable Greenhouse Cultivation*. eds. L. Marcelis and E. Heuvelink (Cambridge, UK: Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing Limited), 445–492. Henke, M., and Buck-Sorlin, G. H. (2017). Using a full spectral raytracer for calculating light microclimate in functional-structural plant modelling. *Comput. Inform.* 36, 1492–1522. doi: 10.4149/cai_2017_6_1492

Jiang, N., Jin, L. F., Teixeira da Silva, J. A., Islam, M. Z., Gao, H. W., Liu, Y. Z., et al. (2014). Activities of enzymes directly related with sucrose and citric acid metabolism in citrus fruit in response to soil plastic film mulch. *Sci. Hortic.* 168, 73–80. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2014.01.021

Jones, H., Black, T. A., Jassal, R. S., Nesic, Z., Johnson, M. S., and Smukler, S. (2021). Characterization of shortwave and longwave properties of several plastic film mulches and their impact on the surface energy balance and soil temperature. *Sol. Energy* 214, 457–470. doi: 10.1016/j.solener.2020.11.058

Katzin, D., Marcelis, L. F. M., and van Mourik, S. (2021). Energy savings in greenhouses by transition from high-pressure sodium to LED lighting. *Appl. Energy* 281:116019. doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.116019

Kim, R., Kim, J., Lee, I., Yeo, U., Lee, S., and Decano-Valentin, C. (2021). Development of three-dimensional visualisation technology of the aerodynamic environment in a greenhouse using CFD and VR technology, part 2: development of an educational VR simulator. *Biosyst. Eng.* 207, 12–32. doi: 10.1016/j. biosystemseng.2021.02.018

Kniemeyer, O. (2008). Design and Implementation of a Graph Grammar Based Language for Functional-Structural Plant Modelling. PhD Thesis. Cottbus: Technische Universität Cottbus, 432.

Kong, Y., and Meng, L. (2004). Effect of reflective screen on sunlight distribution and morphological characters of tomato inside solar greenhouse. *Northern Hortic.* 5, 10–11. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1001-0009.2004.05.004

Kong, Y., Wang, S., Yao, Y., and Ma, C. (2008). Optimization of position of reflective boards for increasing light intensity inside Chinese lean-to greenhouses. *Intern. Confer. Comp. Computing Techn. Agri.* 259, 1383–1389. doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-77253-0_85

Kumar, K. G. S., Hao, X., Khosla, S., Guo, X., and Bennett, N. (2016). Comparison of HPS lighting and hybrid lighting with top HPS and intra-canopy LED lighting for high-wire mini-cucumber production. *Acta Hortic.* 1134, 111–118. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2016.1134.15

Lorenzo, P., Sánchez-Guerrero, M. C., Medrano, E., Soriano, T., and Castilla, N. (2005). Responses of cucumbers to mulching in an unheated plastic greenhouse. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.* 80, 11–17. doi: 10.1080/14620316.2005.11511883

Mao, L., Zhang, L., Evers, J. B., Henke, M., van der Werf, W., Liu, S., et al. (2016). Identification of plant configurations maximizing radiation capture in relay strip cotton using a functional-structural plant model. *Field Crop Res.* 187, 1–11. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2015.12.005

Meteorological Information Center (2005). Special Meteorological Data Set for Analysis of Thermal Environment in China. China Construction Industry Press. Available at: https://energyplus.net/ (Accessed July 31, 2022).

Meyer, G. E., Paparozzi, E. T., Walter-Shea, E. A., Blankenship, E. E., and Adams, S. A. (2012). An investigation of reflective mulches for use over capillary mat systems for winter-time greenhouse strawberry production. *Appl. Eng. Agric.* 28, 271–279. doi: 10.13031/2013.41345

Monostori, I., Heilmann, M., Kocsy, G., Rakszegi, M., Ahres, M., Altenbach, S. B., et al. (2018). LED lighting–modification of growth, metabolism, yield and flour composition in wheat by spectral quality and intensity. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9:605. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00605

Mormile, P., Stahl, N., and Malinconico, M. (2017). "The world of Plasticulture," in *Soil Degradable Bioplastics for a Sustainable modern Agriculture.* ed. M. Malinconico (Heidelberg, GER: Springer-Verlag GmbH), 1–21.

Muneer, S., Kim, J. H., Park, J. G., Shin, M. H., Cha, G. H., Kim, H. L., et al. (2019). Reflective plastic film mulches enhance light intensity, floral induction, and bioactive compounds in 'O'Neal' southern highbush blueberry. *Sci. Hortic.* 246, 448–452. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2018.10.042

Munz, S., Graeff-Hönninger, S., and Henke, M. (2019). Functional-structural plant model for testing the effect of maize architecture on hourly light distribution in stripintercropping systems. 2018 6th international symposium on plant growth modeling, simulation. *Visualiz. Applic. (PMA)* 2018, 115–119. doi: 10.1109/PMA.2018.8611609

Nguyen, C. V., Fripp, J., Lovell, D. R., Furbank, R., Kuffner, P., Daily, H., et al. (2016). 3D scanning system for automatic high-resolution plant Phenotyping. *Intern. Confer. Digital Image Comp.: Techn. Applic.* 2016, 1–8. doi: 10.1109/ DICTA.2016.7796984

Overbeck, V., Schmitz-Eiberger, M. A., and Blanke, M. M. (2013). Reflective mulch enhances ripening and health compounds in apple fruit. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 93, 2575–2579. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.6079

Pallas, B., da Silva, D., Valsesia, P., Yang, W., Guillaume, O., Lauri, P. E., et al. (2016). Simulation of carbon allocation and organ growth variability in apple tree

by connecting architectural and source-sink models. Ann. Bot. 118, 317–330. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcw085

Pang, Q. (2004). Good effect of utilization of aluminum-plated reflective sheet in the energy-saving greenhouses. *Qinghai Agro-Technol. Ext.* 1, 34–35. doi: 10.3969/j. issn.1008-7117.2004.01.018

Panjvani, K., Dinh, A. V., and Wahid, K. A. (2019). LiDARPheno – A low-cost LiDAR-based 3D scanning system for leaf morphological trait extraction. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:147. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00147

Panwar, N. L., Kaushik, S. C., and Kothari, S. (2011). Solar greenhouse an option for renewable and sustainable farming. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 15, 3934–3945. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2011.07.030

Papadopoulos, A. P., and Hao, X. (1997). Effects of greenhouse covers on seedless cucumber growth, productivity, and energy use. *Sci. Hortic.* 68, 113–123. doi: 10.1016/S0304-4238(96)00961-2

Raaphorst, M., Benninga, J., and Eveleens, B. A. (2019). *Quantitative Information on Dutch Greenhouse Horticulture 2019. Report WPR-898.* Netherlands: Wageningen University and Research.

Rhainds, M., Kovach, J., Dosa, E. L., and English-Loeb, G. (2001). Impact of reflective mulch on yield of strawberry plants and incidence of damage by tarnished plant bug (Heteroptera: Miridae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 94, 1477–1484. doi: 10.1603/0022-0493-94.6.1477

Rippa, M., Yan, C., Petti, L., and Mormile, P. (2018). A simple method for saving water in agriculture based on the use of photo-selective mulch film. *Int. J. Agr. Environ. Res.* 4, 33–43.

Sethi, V. P., and Arora, S. (2009). Improvement in greenhouse solar drying using inclined north wall reflection. *Sol. Energy* 83, 1472–1484. doi: 10.1016/j. solener.2009.04.001

Sirigu, A., Nannini, M., Meloni, S., and Crescenzi, A. (2011). UV-reflective mulch and chemical resistance induction for the control of tomato yellow leaf curl disease in greenhouse tomato crops. *Acta Hortic.* 914, 373–376. doi: 10.17660/ ActaHortic.2011.914.70

Tang, X., Cao, L., Zhang, X., Li, Y., and Ma, H. (2015). Effects of new reflective material on the berry quality and coloring of Benni Fuji grapes. *J. China Agric. Univ.* 20, 51–58. doi: 10.11841/j.issn.10074333.2015.03.08

Tewolde, F. T., Lu, N., Shiina, K., Maruo, T., Takagaki, M., Kozai, T., et al. (2016). Nighttime supplemental LED inter-lighting improves growth and yield of singletruss tomatoes by enhancing photosynthesis in both winter and summer. Front. Plant Sci. 7, 448. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00448

Tong, G., Christopher, D. M., Li, T., and Wang, T. (2013). Passive solar energy utilization: A review of cross-section building parameter selection for Chinese solar greenhouses. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 26, 540–548. doi: 10.1016/j. rser.2013.06.026

Wang, J., Cui, Q. F., and Lin, M. Z. (2002). Illumination environment of different structural solar greenhouses and their supplement illumination. *Trans. Chin. Soc. Agric. Eng.* 18, 86–89. doi: 10.3321/j.issn:1002-6819.200

Wang, Y., Wen, W., Wu, S., Wang, C., Yu, Z., Guo, X., et al. (2019). Maize plant phenotyping: comparing 3D laser scanning, multi-view stereo reconstruction, and 3D digitizing estimates. *Remote Sens.* 11:63. doi: 10.3390/rs11010063

Weaver, G. M., van Iersel, M. W., and Velni, J. M. (2019). A photochemistry-based method for optimising greenhouse supplemental light intensity. *Biosyst. Eng.* 182, 123–137. doi: 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2019.03.008

Xu, D., Li, Y., Zhang, Y., Xu, H., Li, T., and Liu, X. (2020). Effects of orientation and structure on solar radiation interception in Chinese solar greenhouse. *PLoS One* 15:e0242002. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0242002

Yamaguchi, T., Ito, A., and Koshioka, M. (1996). Effect of combination of reflective film mulching and shading treatments on the growth of carnation. *JPN Agr. Res. Q.* 30, 181–188.

Zhang, H., Burr, J., and Zhao, F. (2017). A comparative life cycle assessment (LCA) of lighting technologies for greenhouse crop production. *J. Clean. Prod.* 140, 705–713. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.01.014

Zhang, Y., Henke, M., Buck-Sorlin, G. H., Li, Y., Xu, H., Liu, X., et al. (2021). Estimating canopy leaf physiology of tomato plants grown in a solar greenhouse: evidence from simulations of light and thermal microclimate using a functionalstructural plant model. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 307:108494. doi: 10.1016/j. agrformet.2021.108494

Zhang, Y., Henke, M., Li, Y., Yue, X., Xu, D., Liu, X., et al. (2020b). High resolution 3D simulation of light climate and thermal performance of a solar greenhouse model under tomato canopy structure. *Renew. Energy* 160, 730–745. doi: 10.1016/j. renene.2020.06.144

Zhang, X., Lv, J., Xie, J., Yu, J., Zhang, J., Tang, C., et al. (2020a). Solar radiation allocation and spatial distribution in Chinese solar greenhouses: model development and application. *Energies* 13:1108. doi: 10.3390/en13051108