Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Muhammad Waseem, Hainan University, China

REVIEWED BY

Muhammad Imran, Tsinghua University, China Iftikhar Ali, State Key Laboratory of Molecular Developmental Biology, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology (CAS), China

*CORRESPONDENCE Dazhuang Huang huangdazhuang@126.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Plant Abiotic Stress, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 01 July 2022 ACCEPTED 18 August 2022 PUBLISHED 06 September 2022

CITATION

Zhang J, Huang D, Zhao X, Zhang M, Wang Q, Hou X, Di D, Su B, Wang S and Sun P (2022) Drought-responsive WRKY transcription factor genes *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* from *Iris germanica* enhance drought resistance in transgenic *Arabidopsis*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:983600. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.983600

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Zhang, Huang, Zhao, Zhang, Wang, Hou, Di, Su, Wang and Sun. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Drought-responsive WRKY transcription factor genes *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* from *Iris germanica* enhance drought resistance in transgenic *Arabidopsis*

Jingwei Zhang^{1,2}, Dazhuang Huang^{1*}, Xiaojie Zhao¹, Man Zhang³, Qian Wang¹, Xueyan Hou¹, Dongliu Di¹, Beibei Su¹, Shaokun Wang¹ and Pai Sun¹

¹College of Landscape Architecture and Tourism, Hebei Agricultural University, Baoding, China, ²College of Landscape and Ecological Engineering, Hebei University of Engineering, Handan, China, ³State Key Laboratory of North China Crop Improvement and Regulation, Hebei Agricultural University, Baoding, China

Drought greatly affects the growth and development of garden plants and affects their ornamental value. WRKY transcription factors make up one of the largest transcription factor families in plants and they play an important role in the plant response to drought stress. However, the function of the WRKY gene in response to drought stress in Iris germanica, which is commonly used in landscaping, has not been studied. In this study, we isolated two WRKY transcription factor genes from Iris germanica, IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32, which belong to Group II and Group III of the WRKY family, respectively. IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 could be induced by PEG-6000, high temperature and ABA in Iris germanica. IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 could quickly respond to drought and they peaked at 3 h after PEG-6000 treatment (19.93- and 23.32fold). The fusion proteins IgWRKY50-GFP and IgWRKY32-GFP were located in the nucleus of mesophyll protoplasts of Arabidopsis. The overexpression of the IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 genes improved the osmotic tolerance of transgenic Arabidopsis, mainly exhibited by the transgenic plants having a higher germination rate and a longer total root length on 1/2 MS medium containing mannitol. Under PEG-6000 stress, the transgenic plants had higher stomatal closure than the wild type (WT). Under natural drought stress, the water loss rate of the isolated leaves of transgenic Arabidopsis was lower than that of WT, the contents of proline (Pro) and soluble protein (SP) and the activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD) and catalase (CAT) in the transgenic plants were higher, but the content of malondialdehyde (MDA) was lower. Furthermore, the expression of several stress-related genes (RD29A, DREB2A, PP2CA, and ABA2) was significantly increased in IgWRKY50and IgWRKY32- overexpressing transgenic Arabidposis plants after drought treatment. These results suggest that *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32*, as two positive regulators, enhance the drought resistance of transgenic *Arabidopsis* by mediating the ABA signal transduction pathway. *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* can be used as candidate genes for molecular breeding of drought resistance in *Iris*.

KEYWORDS

Iris germanica, drought tolerance, WRKY transcription factor, stress response mechanisms, *Arabidopsis*

Introduction

The intensification of the El Niño phenomenon has made the global drought area continue to expand. Drought seriously affects the growth, development and geographical distribution of plants (Anjum et al., 2011). Among the many abiotic stresses, drought damage to plants occupies first place (Shao et al., 2009). Due to the improvement of living standards, people pay more and more attention to ecological environment, and landscape construction has also made substantial developments. However, with the continuous expansion of urban construction, water consumption and maintenance costs of garden green lands have also increased. Water shortage has become one of the important factors restricting ecological construction (Fereres et al., 2003). For garden plants, drought significantly reduces their ornamental value and directly or indirectly affects economic development. Therefore, it is of great significance to thoroughly study the drought resistance mechanism of garden plants and fundamentally solve the contradiction between garden development and water shortages for the construction of energy-saving gardens.

The drought resistance of plants is determined to some extent by the genetic information they carry (Mwadzingeni et al., 2016). When plants are subjected to drought stress, the damage caused by stress is reduced through a series of genetic regulations (Huang et al., 2012). During the process of gene regulation, transcription factors play an important role in responding to stress signals and in controlling gene expression (Singh et al., 2002). Several TF families, such as bZIP, WRKY, NAC, and MYB, are widely involved in the regulation of plant drought stress resistance (Liu et al., 2012; Okay et al., 2014; Rahman et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2018).

As one of the largest transcription factor families in plants, WRKYs are characterized by the highly conserved WRKY domains that can control gene transcription by specifically binding to the upstream W-box sequence of target genes, and plays an important role in gene regulation (Eulgem et al., 2000). The WRKY domain is a polypeptide sequence composed of 60 highly conserved amino acid residues, which comprises a short-conserved sequence WRKYGQK at the N-terminal and a zinc finger motif at the C-terminal (Eulgem et al., 2000). Although WRKYGQK sequences are highly conserved in plants, some studies have shown that WRKY transcription factors have experienced certain changes during evolution, such as the amino acid mutation of WRKY to WXKY, WSKY, WRRY, WKRY, WKKY, WVKY, or GRKY (Dong et al., 2003; Qiu et al., 2004). In addition to the 7-peptide conserved sequence and zinc finger structure, most WRKY transcription factors also have glutamate-rich regions, proline-rich regions, leucine zipper structures, and serine/threonine-rich regions (Zhang and Wang, 2005). The existence of these structures allows WRKY transcription factors to play multiple roles in gene regulation. According to the number of WRKY domains and the type of the zinc finger structure, WRKY family members are generally divided into three groups. Group I contains two WRKY domains and a C₂H₂ (CX₄₋₅CX₂₂₋₂₃HXH) zinc finger. Group II a-e and Group III contain one WRKY domain and a C₂H₂ motif or a C₂HC (CX₇CX₂₃HXC) motif, respectively (Rushton et al., 2010; Yousfi et al., 2016). By analyzing the WRKY family of rice, it was found that the number of Group III gradually increased during the process of evolution, and it was speculated that Group III might be more active in evolution than Group I and Group II (Wu et al., 2005).

WRKY transcription factors are indispensable response factors for plants to resist biotic and abiotic stresses (Jiang et al., 2017). Overexpression of the WRKY transcription factor genes in plants can improve the resistance of plants to adversity. GsWRKY20 in wild soybean was overexpressed in Arabidopsis, and the transgenic lines showed stronger tolerance to drought stress (Luo et al., 2013). The OsWRKY45 cloned from rice was overexpressed in Arabidopsis, which enhanced the disease resistance and drought tolerance of Arabidopsis, indicating that OsWRKY45 is involved in biotic and abiotic stress response signal transduction (Qiu and Yu, 2009). The ZmWRKY58 gene isolated from maize was overexpressed in rice, which enhanced the tolerance of the transgenic rice to drought and salt stress (Cai et al., 2014). At present, research on the function of WRKY transcription factors is related to high- (Cheng et al., 2021) and low-temperature resistance (Romero et al., 2019), high-salt resistance (Li et al., 2013), drought resistance (He et al., 2016), heavy metal resistance (Wu et al., 2022) and pest resistance (Xiong et al., 2019). However, these studies mainly focused on model plants such as Arabidopsis (Dong et al., 2003), rice (Yu et al., 2010), and tobacco (Maeo et al., 2001), as well as crops such as maize (Wang et al., 2018), barley (Mangelsen et al., 2008), and cotton (Dou et al., 2014). There are few studies on the regulatory mechanism of WRKY transcription factors in garden plants under stress.

Iris germanica is a perennial herbaceous flower with good ornamental characteristics, and it is drought-resistant, water-saving and barren-resistant. It is the species with the highest ornamental value among irises and is widely used in landscaping (Zhang and Chen, 2013). The drought resistance of *Iris germanica* is obviously higher than that of other plants of the same genus (Han et al., 2006). *Iris germanica* is not only an important greening material for energy-saving garden construction but also a genetic treasure trove for drought-resistant breeding of *Iris* and other garden plants. It is of great value to deeply study the drought resistance of *Iris germanica*.

At present, the research of Iris germanica mainly focuses on the determination of physiological and biochemical indicators under abiotic stress (Bo et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2021), hybrid breeding (Azimi and Alavijeh, 2021), phenotype analysis (Fan et al., 2022), and exploration of flowering mechanism (Fan et al., 2020). There are few studies on stress-resistant genes, and they are limited to the detection of gene expression (Wang et al., 2021), and the function of gene has not been verified. The mechanism of WRKY gene under abiotic stress in Iris germanica has not been studied. In our previous work, we completed the transcriptome sequencing of "Little Dream," a high drought-resistant cultivar of Iris germanica, and identified 20 differentially expressed genes belonging to the WRKY transcription factor family (Zhang et al., 2021). To further verify the role of WRKY transcription factors in stress resistance regulation, two WRKY transcription factor family genes, IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32, belonging to WRKY transcription factor family Group II and Group III, respectively, were isolated from "Little Dream." The drought resistance function of the IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 genes was verified in transgenic Arabidopsis. The results showed that the overexpression of these two genes improved the drought resistance of transgenic Arabidopsis. This result fills the gap in the study of abiotic stress resistance of the Iris germanica WRKY gene, provides a key clue for understanding the role of the WRKY gene in the drought response of Iris germanica, and provides excellent candidate genes for drought resistance breeding of Iris and other garden plants.

Materials and methods

Stress treatment of Iris germanica

The 1-year-old *Iris germanica* cultivar "Little Dream" was used as the experimental material. Seedlings at the vegetative growth stage that exhibited strong and similar growth were selected and cultured in 1/2 Hongland solution for 7 days. Plant

culture was carried out in an artificial climate chamber with a temperature of 25°C, a photoperiod of 16 h/8 h and a light intensity of 180 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹. Then, the plants were treated with 1/2 Hoagland solution containing 200 g·L⁻¹ PEG 6000, 150 mM NaCl and 100 µM ABA for 24 h in the same chamber. Low- and high-temperature treatments were conducted in 4 and 42°C chambers for 24 h, respectively. All abiotic stresses were initiated on March 15, 2021. The seedlings cultivated in regular 1/2 Hongland solution were used as a control. For the multiple stress experiments, samples were taken at 0, 1, 3, 6, 12, and 24 h after PEG 6000, NaCl, ABA, low- and high-temperature treatments. The samples were collected from the middle and upper parts of one to two mature leaves next to the center leaf. For different organ expression pattern analyses, the leaves, fibrous roots, tubers, flag petals, and fall petals of the control seedlings were collected at 0 h. All samples were wrapped in tin foil, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until RNA extraction. The 1/2 Hongland solution used in the experiment was refreshed every day. Leaves of intact plants were always selected as material for the next experiments. Each treatment was repeated three times. The specific gene primers are listed in Supplementary Table 1A.

Gene isolation and bioinformatics analysis of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32*

Two groups of specific primers (Supplementary Table 1B) were designed with Primer Premier 5.0 software (Premier, Canada), and the complete coding sequences of the IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 genes were amplified twice. The PCR products were connected to the pEASYT3 cloning vector (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China) and then sequenced by the company (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China). The open reading frames of IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 were found by NCBI ORF Finder.1 The protein functional domains of IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 were predicted by SMART software (Letunic and Bork, 2018; Letunic et al., 2021).² The phylogenetic tree of amino acid sequences of other species with homology to IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 genes was constructed by MEGA 6 software using the neighbor-joining (NJ) method with 1,000 bootstrap replicates (Tamura et al., 2013). The accession numbers of the WRKYs are listed in Supplementary Table 2. The tertiary structure prediction of IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 proteins was completed by SWISS-MODEL software (Biasini et al., 2014), and the three-dimensional structure model of proteins was constructed by the I-TASSER website3 and Chimera 1.11.2 software⁴ (Pettersen et al., 2004).

¹ https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder

² http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/

³ https://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/I-TASSER/

⁴ https://www.rbvi.ucsf.edu/chimera

Subcellular localization analysis of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32*

The expression vector PBI221-GFP (YRGene, Changsha, China) was used for an investigation of subcellular localization. The coding regions of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* were fused to the PBI221-GFP vector containing the CaMV35S promoter. The specific primers are listed in **Supplementary Table 3A**. *Arabidopsis* protoplasts were isolated from 3-week-old leaves of *Arabidopsis* (Columbia-0). The recombinant plasmids PBI221-IgWRKY50-GFP and PBI221-IgWRKY32-GFP and the nuclear localization plasmid pHBT-NLS-mCherry were transferred into *Arabidopsis* protoplasts by the PEG-mediated method (Sheen, 2001) and incubated at 22°C for 16 h in the dark. The empty vector was used as a control. The GFP fluorescence signals were observed by a laser confocal microscope (Olympus FV10-ASW, Tokyo, Japan).

Plant transformation and generation of overexpressing *Arabidopsis* plants

The complete coding sequences of IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 were ligated into the binary vector PBI121 (YRGene, Changsha, China) containing Xbal and Smal restriction sites under the control of the Cauliflower mosaic virus 35S (CaMV35S) promoter using specific primers (Supplementary Table 3B). The recombinant plasmid was introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 (AngYuBio, Shanghai, China) by the freeze-thaw method. A transgenic Arabidopsis strain was obtained by transforming wild-type (WT) Arabidopsis (Columbia-0) by the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 2010). T1 plants were screened on 1/2 MS medium containing 0.1% kanamycin. T1 seedlings were confirmed by PCR and selfed through two more generations to generate T3 transgenic progeny. T3 seedlings confirmed by sequencing (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China). Twenty-five IgWRKY50 overexpression lines and forty-four IgWRKY32 overexpression lines were obtained. Three lines with higher expression levels were selected from the IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 transgenic lines by RT-qPCR for further analysis. The method used to clean the Arabidopsis seeds was described by Feng et al. (2015).

Determination of water loss rate

WT and transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants growing normally for 21 days in substrate ($V_{nutrientsoil}$: $V_{vermiculite} = 1:1$) were used to calculate the water loss rate of the isolated leaves. WT and transgenic *Arabidopsis* lines with basically the same growth were selected. Five rosette leaves of a similar size were taken from each line, and the leaves were placed face up in a petri dish at room temperature. Losses in fresh weight were monitored at 0.5, 1,

2, 4, 6, 8, and 12 h. Water loss is expressed as the percentage of initial fresh weight. Three replicates were performed. All types of *Arabidopsis* were cultured in an artificial climate room. The culture conditions were a constant temperature at 22°C, photoperiod of 16 h/8 h, light intensity of 150 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹ and relative humidity of 70%.

Measurement of antioxidant enzymes, malondialdehyde, proline and soluble protein

WT and transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants with normal growth for 21 days were adequately irrigated before inducing a drought, which lasted for 14 days. The physiological parameters of the leaves were measured at 14 d after treatment. All types of *Arabidopsis* grown under normal water conditions were used as controls. The activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and peroxidase (POD) were determined by the corresponding kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, China). The MDA content was measured using a thiobarbituric acid (TBA) reaction (Zhang et al., 2021). The accumulation of Pro in the leaves was measured using the acid ninhydrin method (Fu et al., 2016). The SP content was determined by Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 staining (Fu et al., 2016).

Stress tolerance assay in overexpressing *Arabidopsis* plants

To determine the seed germination rate of various types of *Arabidopsis*, sterilized seeds of WT and transgenic *Arabidopsis* were spread on 1/2 MS medium containing 0, 100, 150, and 200 mM mannitol and cultured in an *Arabidopsis* artificial climate chamber for 7 days. The seed germination rate was recorded every day. Thirty-six seeds were taken from each strain, and each experiment was repeated 3 times. The seeds were vernalized at 4°C for 3 days in advance. Seeds were considered to be germinated when radicles emerged from the seed coats.

To compare the total root growth of various types of *Arabidopsis*, the sterilized seeds of WT and transgenic *Arabidopsis* strains were cultured in 1/2 MS medium for 3 days and then transferred to 1/2 MS medium containing 0 mM and 150 mM mannitol for 7 days. Then, the total root lengths of various types of *Arabidopsis* were measured and recorded by photographs. Thirty seeds were taken from each strain, and each experiment was repeated 3 times.

To observe the phenotypic changes of various types of *Arabidopsis thaliana* under natural drought, the sterilized seeds of the WT and transgenic lines were sown on 1/2 MS medium and transplanted to the substrate ($V_{nutrientsoil}$: $V_{vermiculite} = 1:1$) after 10 days. Eighteen plants were selected from each line. The phenotype was photographed after normal culture in

an *Arabidopsis* artificial climate culture room for 3 weeks. After that, the irrigation was stopped, and the phenotype was photographed again after 21 days of drought.

To observe the stomatal opening and closing of various types of Arabidopsis thaliana, the rosette leaves of WT and transgenic Arabidopsis lines grown for 21 days were used as materials. The leaves were floated in stomata buffer containing 50 μM CaCl, 10 mM KCl, and 10 mM Mes-Tris, pH = 6.15, under the light of 80 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ for 2 h until the stomata were fully opened (Akter et al., 2012). After that, the leaves were transferred into stomatal buffer containing 8% PEG-6000, and stomatal opening and closing were observed every 15 min. When the stomatal opening and closing changed, the lower epidermis of the leaves was torn off to make temporary mounts, and the stomatal images were collected with an optical microscope (Olympus FV10-ASW, Tokyo, Japan). Fifteen stomatal apertures were measured for each sample. The length and width of the stomata were determined by ImageJ software,5 which was used to calculate the stomatal aspect ratio.

5 http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij

Expression profile of stress-related genes

To elucidate the possible molecular mechanisms of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32*, the expression levels of *RD29A*, *DREB2A*, *PP2CA*, and *ABA2* were assessed in transgenic and WT plants after 14 days of continuous drought by RT–qPCR. The specific primers are listed in **Supplementary Table 3C**.

RNA extraction and qRT–PCR analysis

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies, Inc., Grand Island, NY, United States) following the manufacturer's protocol. A 1 μ g RNA sample was reverse transcribed using a HiFiScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (CWBIO, Beijing, China). Gene-specific primers were designed using Primer Premier 5.0 (Premier, Canada) software. RT–qPCR was performed with Fast Super EvaGreen qPCR Master Mix (US Everbright Inc., Jiangsu, China) on an ABI Prism 7500 system (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, United States). The PCR volume was 20 μ L, which included 10 μ L of 2 × Fast Super Eva



Green Master Mix, 0.5 μ L of the forward primer, 0.5 μ L of the reverse primer, 0.2 μ L of 10 × ROX, 2 μ L of the cDNA template, and 6.8 μ L of denucleated acid water. The reaction conditions were 2 min at 95°C for denaturation followed by 45 cycles of 5 s at 95°C, 5 s at 60°C, and 50 s at 72°C. The RT–qPCR analysis consisted of three biological and three technical replications. The relative expression levels of the genes were calculated using the 2^{$-\Delta\Delta$ Ct} method.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using Microsoft Office Excel 2010 software and IBM SPSS 21.0 (IBM Corp., NY, United States), and Duncan's multitest and Student's *t*-test were used to evaluate the significance of the differences. GraphPad Prism 7.0 was used to generate the charts.

Results

Sequence analysis of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32*

In this study, *IgWRKY50* (GenBank Accession No. ON571660) and *IgWRKY32* (GenBank Accession No. ON571661) were cloned and isolated from *Iris germanica* "Little Dream." The complete coding sequence of *IgWRKY50* was 702 bp, encoding 233 amino acids. The unique WRKYGKK



comparisons of amino acid sequences and produced by MEGA 6.0 software.



FIGURE 3

Transcription profiles of *IgWRKY50*. (A) Organ expression assay of *IgWRKY50* in different *Iris germanica* organs (leaf, fibrous, tuber, flag, fall). Transcription profiles of *IgWRKY50* under 200 g·L⁻¹ PEG6000 (B); 150 mM NaCl (C); 42°C (D); 4°C (E); 100 μ M ABA (F) treatments in *Iris germanica* leaves. The transcriptional level at time point 0 h (for the multiple stress experiments) and the leaf (for the organ expression assay) was defined as 1.0.



FIGURE 4

Transcription profiles of *IgWRKY32*. (A) Organ expression assay of *IgWRKY32* in different *Iris germanica* organs (leaf, fibrous, tuber, flag, fall). Transcription profiles of IgWRKY32 under 200 g·L⁻¹ PEG6000 (B); 150 mM NaCl (C); 42°C (D); 4°C (E); 100 μ M ABA (F) treatments in *Iris germanica* leaves. The transcriptional level at time point 0 h (for the multiple stress experiments) and the leaf (for the organ expression assay) was defined as 1.0. The vertical ordinates represent fold changes and the horizontal ordinates represent treatment times. Error bar represent standard deviations (*SD*). The data represent means \pm *SD* of three biological replications. Different letters in bar graphs indicate significant differences at p < 0.05. ABA-abscisic acid. sequence of the WRKY transcription factor family was found at amino acid sequence 146, and the zinc finger structure was the C2H2 type. The complete coding sequence of *IgWRKY32* was 912 bp, encoding 303 amino acids. The unique WRKYGQK sequence of the WRKY transcription factor family was found at amino acid sequence 129, and the zinc finger structure was the C2HC type (**Supplementary Figures 1A,B**). Using SMART software to analyze the gene structure, it was found that the proteins encoded by *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* had typical domains of the WRKY family. *IgWRKY50* was included in three low-complexity regions located at amino acids 14–21, 88–97, and 104–118. *IgWRKY32* was included in one low-complexity region located at amino acids 249–261 (**Figure 1A**).

The amino acid sequences of IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32were compared by BLAST, and it was found that the homology between the IgWRKY50 and MaWRKY50 proteins of *Musa acuminata* subsp. *malaccensis* was the highest, at 82.56%. IgWRKY32 had the highest homology with the *AoWRKY32* protein of *Asparagus officinalis*, which was 76.62%, so the two genes were named IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32, respectively (**Supplementary Tables 4A,B**). IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 had similar tertiary structures, and both belonged to DNA-binding proteins, which were mainly composed of uncoiled structures and α -helices (**Figure 1B**). The crystal structures of IgWRKY50 and *IgWRKY32* were highly similar to the conserved domains of the rice stress-responsive transcription factor *OsWRKY45*, and similar amino acids accounted for 43.55 and 46.88% of the total amino acid residues, respectively. Phylogenetic trees of 81 WRKY transcription factor family genes with high similarity to the *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* genes in 39 species were constructed by MEGA 6.0 software (**Supplementary Table 2**). The results showed that *IgWRKY50* was closely related to *AsWRKY51* of *Apostasia shenzhenica*, and *IgWRKY32* belonged to the same branch as *AoWRKY32* and *AoWRKY34* of *Asparagus officinalis* (**Figure 2**). It was speculated that *Iris germanica*, *Apostasia shenzhenica* and *Asparagus officinalis* belonged to Liliidae, so they had high homology.

Transcription profiles of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* under abiotic stress

To dissect their potential functions, the expression of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* was investigated in different tissues and under various stress conditions by RT–qPCR. The results showed that *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* were expressed in all organs, *IgWRKY50* had the highest expression in tubers, and *IgWRKY32* had the highest expression in



Subcellular localization of the *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32*. P_{35S} :IgWRKY50-GFP, P_{35S} :IgWRKY32-GFP, and P_{35S} : GFP control vectors were transiently expressed in *Arabidopsis* leaf protoplasts. Scale bar = 10 μ m.

fibrous roots, indicating that *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* had important functions in tubers and fibrous roots of *Iris germanica*, respectively (Figures 3A, 4A). Transcription of

IgWRKY50 and *IgWRKY32* was induced by PEG-6000, high temperature and ABA treatments, while it was not affected by NaCl and low temperature. The transcriptional levels of



(C). The water loss rate of WT and *IgWRKY50* transgenic plants under drought condition (D). The water loss rate of WT and *IgWRKY32* transgenic plants under drought condition (E). Data are means $\pm SD$ of three independent experiments, and asterisks (*or**or***) represent the significant differences at p < 0.05 or p < 0.01 or p < 0.001, respectively (Student's *t*-test).

IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 peaked at 3 h after PEG-6000 treatment and were 19.93- and 23.32-fold higher than those of the control, respectively. Under high-temperature treatment, the transcriptional level of IgWRKY50 was upregulated continuously and it reached its peak at 12 h (2.56-fold). The transcriptional level of IgWRKY32 increased at first, reached its peak at 6 h (5.88-fold), and then it decreased rapidly to a level similar to that of the control. Under ABA treatment, the transcriptional levels of IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 peaked at 1 h (6.30-fold) and 6 h (8.49-fold), respectively (**Figures 3B–F, 4B–F**).

IgWRKY50 and *IgWRKY32* were localized in the nucleus

The complete coding regions of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* were cloned into the PBI221-GFP vector under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter. The protoplasts of *Arabidopsis* leaves were transformed and transferred into the nuclear targeting plasmid pHBT-NLS-mCherry. The empty vector was used as a control. The GFP signals of 35S:IgWRKY50-GFP and 35S:IgWRKY32-GFP were exclusively observed in the nucleus and they overlapped with the red fluorescence emitted by the



experiments, and asterisks (*or**) represent the significant differences at p < 0.05 or p < 0.01, respectively (Student's t-test).

Maker-localized NLS protein in the nucleus, whereas the GFP signal of the control was discovered in the cytoplasm and nucleus (Figure 5). Therefore, IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 likely function as transcription factors in the nucleus.

Overexpression of IgWRKY50 and *IgWRKY32* enhanced drought tolerance in transgenic plants

IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 under the control of CaMV35S were transformed into Arabidopsis. Three highly expressed lines (OE-IgWRKY50-1, OE-IgWRKY50-2, OE-IgWRKY50-3, and OE-IgWRKY32-1, OE-IgWRKY32-2, OE-IgWRKY32-3) were selected from T3 homozygous lines with IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 to study their characteristics. The qRT-PCR analysis showed that IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 were detected in transgenic Arabidopsis plants, but not in WT (Figures 6A,B). The water loss rates of WT, IgWRKY50-overexpressing and IgWRKY32-overexpressing Arabidopsis lines were evaluated within a specified time interval. The results showed that the leaf water loss rate of the IgWRKY50- and IgWRKY32overexpressing lines was lower than that of the WT, but there was no significant difference between the IgWRKY50- and IgWRKY32-overexpressing lines (Figures 6D,E). The 3-weekold WT and transgenic Arabidopsis seedlings were exposed to drought conditions for 21 days, and it was found that all types of Arabidopsis grown for 3 weeks under normal conditions grew robustly and had basically the same growth. After drought treatment, all of the leaves of the WT were seriously curled and withered and yellowed, while a few leaves of the IgWRKY50- and IgWRKY32-overexpressing lines showed curling and yellowing at the tip. Compared with the other transgenic lines, OE-IgWRKY50-1 and OE-IgWRKY32-2 had a relatively higher degree of leaf wilting, but their overall growth was good, and the yellowing and dryness degree of the leaves was significantly lower than that of the WT (Figure 6C).

To assess the osmotic stress tolerance of the transgenic Arabidopsis plants, WT and IgWRKY50- and IgWRKY32overexpressing Arabidopsis seeds were sown on 1/2 MS medium containing mannitol (0, 100, 150, and 300 mM), and the seed germination rate was monitored for 5 days. There was no significant difference in seed germination between the transgenic lines and WT on the same medium without mannitol. On the medium containing 100 mM mannitol, the IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 transgenic seed germination rates were 97.62 and 98.08%, respectively, and the seed germination rate of WT was 88.28%. On the medium containing 150 mM mannitol, the seed germination rate of WT was 80.49%, while that of IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 was more than 90%. On the medium containing 200 mM mannitol, the transgenic seed germination rates of IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 were 83.81



FIGURE 8

Root elongation of WT and transgenic Arabidopsis lines under mock drought stress. Root elongation of WT and IgWRKY50 transgenic Arabidopsis lines on 1/2 MS medium with 0 and 150 mM Mannitol (A). Root elongation of WT and IgWRKY32 transgenic Arabidopsis lines on 1/2 MS medium with 0 and 150 mM Mannitol (B). Scale bar = 1 cm. Data are means ± SD of three independent experiments, and asterisks (**) represent the significant differences at p < 0.01 (Student's t-test).



Stomatal opening and closing of WT and transgenic *Arabidopsis* leaves at seeding stage under 8% PEG-6000 stress. Stomatal closure of leaves of WT and transgenic lines (A). Measurements of stomatal apertures (width/length) of *IgWRKY50* transgenic lines and WT plants (B). Measurements of stomatal apertures (width/length) of *IgWRKY32* transgenic lines and WT plants (C). Scale bar = 5 μ m. Data are means \pm *SD* of three independent experiments, and asterisks (**) represent the significant differences at *p* < 0.01 (Student's *t*-test).



FIGURE 10

Changes of physiological indexes in WT and *IgWRKY50* transgenic lines under drought stress. (A–F) Are the changes of MDA content, Pro content, Soluble Protein content, SOD activity, POD activity, and CAT activity of WT and *IgWRKY50* transgenic lines under drought stress in sequence. Data are means \pm *SD* of three independent experiments, and asterisks (*or**) represent the significant differences at p < 0.05 or p < 0.01, respectively (Student's *t*-test).

and 86.56%, respectively, and the seed germination rate of WT was only 67.90% (Figure 7). The results indicated that the overexpression of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* genes improved the osmotic stress tolerance of transgenic *Arabidopsis* seeds during germination.

The transgenic lines and WT seeds were cultured on 1/2 MS medium at 22° C for 3 days and then transferred to 1/2 MS medium containing 0 and 150 mM mannitol for 7 days. On the medium without mannitol, the total roots of the transgenic lines and WT seedlings grew normally, and their lengths were basically the same. On the medium containing 150 mM mannitol, the growth of the total roots of the WT and transgenic lines was inhibited, and the inhibition degree of the WT was more obvious than that of the transgenic lines. The length of the total roots of the *IgWRKY32* strains was slightly longer than that of the *IgWRKY50* strains, but there was no significant difference (**Figure 8**). These results further confirmed that the overexpression of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* genes enhanced the drought tolerance of the transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants.

In addition, we also observed stomatal opening and closing in leaves of transgenic lines and WT under osmotic stress. There was no significant difference in the degree of stomatal opening of each type of *Arabidopsis* before PEG-6000 treatment, while the degree of stomatal closure of the transgenic lines after treatment was more compact than that of the WT (**Figure 9A**). The ratio of the stomatal length to width also showed that the stomatal closure degree of the transgenic lines was stronger than that of the WT, but there was no significant difference among the transgenic lines (**Figures 9B,C**). Based on this result, it was speculated that the transgenic *Arabidopsis* could quickly close the stomata and reduce its transpiration rate to retain water, thereby obtaining a strong ability to resist drought stress in arid environments.

Overexpression of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* changes the physiological and biochemical levels of the transgenic plants

To further confirm the role of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* genes in the process of stress resistance of *Arabidopsis*, the physiological parameters of the WT and transgenic plants under drought stress for 14 days and normal water conditions were analyzed. Under normal conditions, the SOD, POD, and CAT activities and the MDA, Pro, SP contents were not significantly different between the WT and *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* transgenic lines. Under drought conditions, compared with the WT, the transgenic lines of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* had a lower MDA content, a higher Pro and SP content, and higher SOD, POD, and CAT activity, but there was no significant difference between the *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* transgenic

lines (**Figures 10, 11**). These results suggest that *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* transgenic plants may improve drought resistance by accumulating osmotic substances and regulating the reactive oxygen species (ROS) system.

IgWRKY50 and *IgWRKY32* changed the transcripts of the stress-responsive genes

To explore the possible molecular mechanisms of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* in stress responses, the relative expression levels of the stress-responsive genes were determined in transgenic and WT plants under drought conditions. The results showed that overexpression of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* could promote the transcription of *RD29A*, *DREB2A*, *PP2CA*, and *ABA2*, especially the transcription of *PP2CA*, which reached a very high level in all transgenic lines. These results suggested that *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* may play a role in the drought stress response by regulating stress-related genes (**Figure 12**).

Discussion

The WRKY transcription factor family, as one of the largest transcription factor families in plants, could widely participate in the defense regulatory network and play an important regulatory role in the response of plants to abiotic stresses (Chen et al., 2012). In the past few years, the functions of WRKY transcription factors have been extensively explored in many plants, such as soybean (Wu et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2018), cotton (Yu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2019), maize (Cai et al., 2021), Hu et al., 2021), and wheat (Okay et al., 2014; Ye et al., 2021). However, there are few studies of garden plants, and the role of the *Iris germanica* WRKY transcription factor in mediating abiotic stress responses has not been investigated.

In this study, two new WRKY genes, IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32, were isolated from the Iris germanica cultivar "Little Dream," and they belong to the WRKY transcription factor family Group II and Group III, respectively. Phylogenetic analysis showed that IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 had high homology with Apostasia shenzhenica and Asparagus officinalis, which belong to Liliidae. The IgWRKY50-GFP and IgWRKY32-GFP fusion proteins were exclusively localized to the nucleus of Arabidopsis protoplasts in a transient expression assay. This result was in agreement with their putative role as a transcription factor and similar to previous reports on some other WRKY TFs (Guo et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2019). Most of the WRKY genes belonging to Group II and Group III could respond to drought stress signals. For example, the overexpression of wheat TaWRKY1 and TaWRKY33 genes (members of Group II and Group III) improved the drought



FIGURE 11

Changes of physiological indexes in WT and *IgWRKY32* transgenic lines under drought stress. (A–F) Are the changes of MDA content, Pro content, Soluble Protein content, SOD activity, POD activity, and CAT activity of WT and *IgWRKY32* transgenic lines under drought stress in sequence. Data are means \pm *SD* of three independent experiments, and asterisks (*or**) represent the significant differences at *p* < 0.05 or *p* < 0.01, respectively (Student's *t*-test).



resistance of *Arabidopsis* (He et al., 2016). *WRKY50* and *WRKY32* genes have been proven to be involved in plant hormone regulation and signal transduction pathways in *Arabidopsis* (Huang, 2018) and tobacco (Guo et al., 2018) under abiotic stress. At the same time, the significant expression patterns of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* under PEG-6000, ABA and high-temperature stress also suggested that the two genes may play an important role in regulating the response of *Iris germanica* to abiotic stress.

WRKY gene overexpression could improve the tolerance of plants to multiple or single abiotic stresses (Jiang et al., 2012; Chu et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2015). In our study, *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* were overexpressed in *Arabidopsis*, and the transgenic plants obtained a higher germination rate and a longer taproot length under osmotic stress. Transgenic *Arabidopsis* showed obvious drought resistance compared with WT under continuous natural drought. The water loss rate of the detached leaves has been widely used to reflect drought tolerance in plants (Li et al., 2020). The water loss rates of *IgWRKY50-* and *IgWRKY32-*overexpressing *Arabidopsis* plant isolated leaves were significantly lower than those of WT. This was consistent with the result that the stomatal closure of transgenic plants was higher than that of WT under PEG-6000 simulated drought stress. This result indicated that the overexpression of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* improved the drought resistance of the transgenic plants to a certain extent.

10.3389/fpls.2022.983600

Plants usually regulate their metabolism through a series of physiological and biochemical activities to reduce the damage caused by stress (Anjum et al., 2011). Drought stress often causes excessive accumulation of ROS and results in oxidative damage to cell components (Verslues et al., 2006). SOD, POD, and CAT are important antioxidant enzymes and they play an important role in scavenging active oxygen free radicals in plants (Wu et al., 2013). Many studies have shown that ROS scavenging ability was associated with plant tolerance to abiotic stress (Fang et al., 2015; Swain et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). Malondialdehyde (MDA) is one of the most important products of membrane lipid peroxidation (Grotto et al., 2009). Proline (Pro) and soluble proteins (SPs) play osmoregulatory roles in plant cytoplasm (Hanif et al., 2021). MDA, Pro, and SPs are the main indicators to determine plant stress resistance (Grotto et al., 2009; Jiao et al., 2012). Previous studies have shown that overexpression of CKWRKY33 (isolated from Caragana korshinskii) in Arabidopsis could increase the activity of antioxidant enzymes and the content of osmotic regulators, reduce the accumulation of ROS and MDA, and thus improve the resistance of transgenic plants (Li et al., 2021). In this study, the SOD, POD and CAT activities and the proline and soluble protein contents of transgenic plants were significantly higher than those of the WT, while the MDA content was significantly lower than that of the WT under drought conditions, indicating that the IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 genes improve the drought resistance of plants by increasing the activities of antioxidant enzymes and accumulating more osmotic substances.

The ABA pathway plays a key role in regulating plant growth and development and in responding to abiotic stresses (Tuteja, 2007; Skubacz et al., 2016). When plants are in an adverse environment, they often respond to abiotic stress through ABA-dependent or ABA-independent pathways (Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, 2007; Zhang et al., 2012). PP2CA (Ma et al., 2009; Nakashima et al., 2014), ABA2 (Leoveanu, 2015; Nègre et al., 2019), DREB2A (Sakuma et al., 2006; Soma et al., 2021), and RD29A (Narusaka et al., 2003) are well known ABA responsive genes. The expression of PP2CA and ABA2 (ABAdependent) and DREB2A and RD29A (ABA-independent) genes were enhanced in IgWRKY50- and IgWRKY32-overexpressing transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana plants. It suggested that IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 may be involved in the regulation of drought stress through ABA-dependent and ABA-independent pathways. The similar findings were also found in the functions of TaWRKY46 and TaWRKY93 transcription factors. The former regulated ABF3, RD29B, DREB2A, CBF2, and CBF3, the latter acted on ABF3, ABI1, ABI2, DREB2A, RD19A, and RD21 (Qin et al., 2015; Li et al., 2020). This may be because a single WRKT TF can participate in regulating several seemingly disparate processes (Rushton et al., 2010). The result of high expression of PP2CA, ABA2, DREB2A, and RD29A genes in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana after drought stress is in accordance with previous findings that plants respond to abiotic stresses by enhancing the expression of stress-related genes (Sakuma et al., 2006; Bihmidine et al., 2013; He et al., 2016; Mao et al., 2016). It is speculated that the massive transcription



IgWRKY50 and *IgWRKY32* of *Iris germanica* improve plant drought resistance by mediating ABA signaling pathway. Simulating drought stress with 200 g·L⁻¹ PEG 6000 induced the expression of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* in *Iris germanica. IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* improved the drought resistance of transgenic *Arabidopsis* by up-regulating the expression of related genes in ABA-dependent and –independent pathways, increasing the activity of antioxidant enzymes, and reducing oxidative damage.

of these genes involved in ABA signal transduction, thus the drought resistance of the transgenic lines is enhanced.

Based on the results of this study, we propose a diagram showing the role of *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* in the drought stress response regulation mechanism (**Figure 13**). Although *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* can improve the drought resistance of *transgenic Arabidopsis*, how *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* promote the expression of drought-responsive genes, how their products affect the network mechanism of drought resistance-related genes in *Arabidopsis* and how *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY50* and *IgWRKY32* function in *Iris germanica* plants still needs further verification.

Conclusion

Two Iris germanica WRKY genes, IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32, were isolated and identified for the first time, and their characteristics were investigated in this study. The proteins of the two genes were located in the nucleus. The expression of IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 was induced by PEG-6000, high temperature and ABA stresses. Further research revealed that overexpression of IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 could improve the drought resistance of transgenic Arabidopsis, and the transgenic plants could accumulate more osmotic regulatory substances, reduced MDA content, and enhanced the activities of SOD, POD, and CAT under natural drought treatment. At the same time, IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32 also activated the expression of stress response genes such as RD29A, DREB2A, PP2CA, and ABA2. The results of this study indicated that IgWRKY50 and IgWRKY32, as positive regulators of drought stress, have potential applications in molecular breeding for drought resistance in Iris.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary material.

References

Author contributions

DH and JZ conceived and designed this study. JZ, MZ, QW, XH, DD, BS, SW, and PS performed the research and collected data. JZ analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. JZ and XZ revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript, contributed to the article, and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the "14th Five-Year Plan" Breeding Innovation Team Building Modern Seed Industry Science and Technology Innovation Special Flower Modern Seed Industry Science and Technology Innovation Team Project of Hebei Province, China (21326317D).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpls.2022.983600/full#supplementary-material

Akter, N., Sobahan, M. A., Uraji, M., Ye, W., Hossain, M. A., Mori, I. C., et al. (2012). Effects of depletion of glutathione on abscisic acid-and methyl jasmonateinduced stomatal closure in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Biosci. Biotech. Biochem.* 76, 2032–2037. doi: 10.1271/bbb.120384

Anjum, S. A., Xie, X. Y., Wang, L. C., Saleem, M. F., Man, C., and Lei, W. (2011). Morphological, physiological and biochemical responses of plants to drought stress. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.* 6, 2026–2032. doi: 10.5897/AJAR10.027

Azimi, M. H., and Alavijeh, M. K. (2021). Hybridization and assessment of new genotypes of *Iris germanica*. *Acta Horticulturae* 1315, 681–684. doi: 10.17660/ ACTAHORTIC.2021.1315.101

Biasini, M., Bienert, S., Waterhouse, A., Arnold, K., Studer, G., Schmidt, T., et al. (2014). SWISS-MODEL: modelling protein tertiary and quaternary structure using evolutionary information. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, W252–W258. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku340

Bihmidine, S., Lin, J., Stone, J. M., Awada, T., Specht, J. E., and Clemente, T. E. (2013). Activity of the *Arabidopsis* RD29A and RD29B promoter elements in soybean under water stress. *Planta* 237, 55–64. doi: 10.1007/s00425-012-1740-9

Bo, W., Fu, B., Qin, G., Xing, G., and Wang, Y. (2017). Evaluation of drought resistance in *Iris germanica* L. based on subordination function and principal component analysis. *Emir. J. Food Agric.* 29, 770–778. doi: 10.9755/ejfa.2017.v29. i10.1260

Cai, R., Zhao, Y., Wang, Y., Lin, Y., Peng, X., Li, Q., et al. (2014). Overexpression of a maize WRKY58 gene enhances drought and salt tolerance in transgenic rice. *Plant Cell Tiss. Org.* 119, 565–577. doi: 10.1007/s11240-014-0556-7

Chen, L., Song, Y., Li, S., Zhang, L., Zou, C., and Yu, D. (2012). The role of WRKY transcription factors in plant abiotic stresses. *BBA Gene Regul. Mech.* 1819, 120–128. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2011.09.002

Cheng, Z., Luan, Y., Meng, J., Sun, J., Tao, J., and Zhao, D. (2021). WRKY transcription factor response to high-temperature stress. *Plants* 10:2211. doi: 10. 3390/plants10102211

Chu, X., Wang, C., Chen, X., Lu, W., Li, H., Wang, X., et al. (2015). The cotton WRKY gene GhWRKY41 positively regulates salt and drought stress tolerance in transgenic *Nicotiana benthamiana*. *PLoS One* 10:e0143022. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0143022

Clough, S. J., and Bent, A. F. (2010). Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 16, 735-743. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00343.x

Dong, J., Chen, C., and Chen, Z. (2003). Expression profiles of the Arabidopsis WRKY gene superfamily during plant defense response. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 51, 21–37. doi: 10.1023/A:1020780022549

Dou, L., Zhang, X., Pang, C., Song, M., Wei, H., Fan, S., et al. (2014). Genomewide analysis of the WRKY gene family in cotton. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 289, 1103–1121. doi: 10.1007/s00438-014-0872-y

Eulgem, T., Rushton, P. J., Robatzek, S., and Somssich, I. E. (2000). The WRKY superfamily of plant transcription factors. *Trends Plant Sci.* 5, 199–206. doi: 10. 1016/S1360-1385(00)01600-9

Fan, Z., Gao, Y., Liu, R., Wang, S., Guo, Y., and Zhang, Q. (2022). Variations and heritability of phenotypic characters in the reblooming bearded *Iris (Iris germanica L.). Sci. Hortic.* 295:110844. doi: 10.1016/J.SCIENTA.2021.110844

Fan, Z., Gao, Y., Ren, Y., Guan, C., Liu, R., and Zhang, Q. (2020). To bloom once or more times: the reblooming mechanisms of *Iris germanica* revealed by transcriptome profiling. *BMC Genom.* 21:553. doi: 10.1186/s12864-020-06951-x

Fang, Y., Liao, K., Du, H., Xu, Y., Song, H., Li, X., et al. (2015). A stressresponsive NAC transcription factor SNAC3 confers heat and drought tolerance through modulation of reactive oxygen species in rice. *J. Exp. Bot.* 66, 6803–6817. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv386

Feng, Z. J., Cui, X. Y., Cui, X. Y., Chen, M., Yang, G. X., Ma, Y. Z., et al. (2015). The soybean GmDi19-5 interacts with GmLEA3.1 and increases sensitivity of transgenic plants to abiotic stresses. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:179. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015. 00179

Fereres, E., Goldhamer, D. A., and Parsons, L. R. (2003). Irrigation water management of horticultural crops. *HortScience* 38, 1036–1042. doi: 10.1007/s00122-003-1334-9

Fu, L., Ding, Z., Han, B., Hu, W., Li, Y., and Zhang, J. (2016). Physiological investigation and transcriptome analysis of polyethylene glycol (PEG)-induced dehydration stress in cassava. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 17:283. doi: 10.3390/ijms17030283

Grotto, D., Maria, L. S., Valentini, J., Paniz, C., Schmitt, G., Garcia, S. C., et al. (2009). Importance of the lipid peroxidation biomarkers and methodological aspects for malondialdehyde quantification. *Quim. Nova* 32, 169–174. doi: 10. 1590/S0100-40422009000100032

Guo, D., Yang-Ying, Q. U., Zhi-Yong, N. I., and Chen, Q. J. (2018). Effects of PEG-6000 and NaCl Stress on seed germination and seedling growth of the tobacco with GbWRKY32 gene. *Xinjiang Agric. Sci.* 55, 1417–1427. doi: 10.6048/j. issn.1001-4330.2018.08.006

Guo, R., Yu, F., Gao, Z., An, H., Cao, X., and Guo, X. (2011). GhWRKY3, a novel cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) WRKY gene, is involved in diverse stress responses. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 38, 49–58. doi: 10.1007/s11033-010-0076-4

Han, Y., Sun, G., and Huang, S. (2006). Effects of drought stress on physiological parameters of five ornamental groundcover *Iris* species. *Northern Horticult.* 6, 96–98. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1001-0009.2006.06.052

Hanif, S., Saleem, M. F., Sarwar, M., Irshad, M., Shakoor, A., Wahid, M. A., et al. (2021). Biochemically triggered heat and drought stress tolerance in rice by proline application. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 40, 305–312. doi: 10.1007/s00344-020-10095-3

He, G. H., Xu, J. Y., Wang, Y. X., Liu, J. M., Li, P. S., Chen, M., et al. (2016). Drought-responsive wrky transcription factor genes Tawrky1 and Tawrky33 from

wheat confer drought and/or heat resistance in Arabidopsis. BMC Plant Biol. 16:116. doi: 10.1186/s12870-016-0806-4

Hu, W., Ren, Q., Chen, Y., Xu, G., and Qian, Y. (2021). Genome-wide identification and analysis of WRKY gene family in maize provide insights into regulatory network in response to abiotic stresses. *BMC Plant Biol.* 21:427. doi: 10.1186/s12870-021-03206-z

Huang, G. T., Ma, S. L., Bai, L. P., Zhang, L., Ma, H., Jia, P., et al. (2012). Signal transduction during cold, salt, and drought stresses in plants. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 39, 969–987. doi: 10.1007/s11033-011-0823-1

Huang, R. (2018). Functional analysis of transcription factor genes PheWRKY11-2 and PheWRKY50-1 in Moso Bamboo. *Chinese Acad. Forest.* [Epub ahead of print].

Jiang, J., Ma, S., Ye, N., Jiang, M., Cao, J., and Zhang, J. (2017). WRKY transcription factors in plant responses to stresses. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 59, 86–101. doi: 10.1111/jipb.12513

Jiang, Y. J., Gang, L. G., and Yu, D. Q. (2012). Activated expression of WRKY57 confers drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis. Mol. Plant* 5, 1375–1388. doi: 10.1093/mp/sss080

Jiao, Z., Li, Y., Li, J., Xu, X., Li, H., Lu, D., et al. (2012). Effects of exogenous chitosan on physiological characteristics of potato seedlings under drought stress and rehydration. *Potato Res.* 55, 293–301. doi: 10.1007/s11540-012-9223-8

Leoveanu, C. (2015). The Investigation of Novel Functions of Abscisic Acid 2 Through Protein-Protein Interactions. Toronto, ON: University of Toronto.

Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2018). 20 years of the SMART protein domain annotation resource. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D493–D496. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx922

Letunic, I., Khedkar, S., and Bork, P. (2021). SMART: recent updates, new developments and status in 2020. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49, D458–D460. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa937

Li, H., Gao, Y., Xu, H., Dai, Y., Deng, D., and Chen, J. (2013). ZmWRKY33, a WRKY maize transcription factor conferring enhanced salt stress tolerances in *Arabidopsis. Plant Growth Regul.* 70, 207–216. doi: 10.1007/s10725-013-9792-9

Li, X., Tang, Y., Zhou, C., Zhang, L., and Lv, J. (2020). A wheat wrky transcription factor TaWRKY46 enhances tolerance to osmotic stress in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:1321. doi: 10.3390/ijms21041321

Li, Z., Liang, F. P., Zhang, T. B., Fu, N., Pei, X. W., and Long, Y. (2021). Enhanced tolerance to drought stress resulting from *Caragana korshinskii* CkWRKY33 in transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *BMC Genom. Data* 22:11. doi: 10.1186/s12863-021-00965-4

Liu, C., Wu, Y., and Wang, X. (2012). bZIP transcription factor OsbZIP52/RISBZ5: a potential negative regulator of cold and drought stress response in rice. *Planta* 235, 1157–1169. doi: 10.1007/s00425-011-1564-z

Luo, X., Bai, X., Sun, X., Zhu, D., Liu, B., Ji, W., et al. (2013). Expression of wild soybean WRKY20 in *Arabidopsis* enhances drought tolerance and regulates ABA signalling. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64, 2155–2169. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert073

Ma, Y., Szostkiewicz, I., Korte, A., Moes, D., Yang, Y., Christmann, A., et al. (2009). Regulators of PP2C phosphatase activity function as abscisic acid sensors. *Science* 324, 1064–1068. doi: 10.1126/science.1172408

Maeo, K., Hayashi, S., Kojima-Suzuki, H., Morikami, A., and Nakamura, K. (2001). Role of conserved residues of the WRKY domain in the DNA-binding of tobacco WRKY family proteins. *Biosci. Biotech. Biochem.* 65, 2428–2436. doi: 10.1271/bbb.65.2428

Mangelsen, E., Kilian, J., Berendzen, K. W., Kolukisaoglu, ÜH., Harter, K., Jansson, C., et al. (2008). Phylogenetic and comparative gene expression analysis of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) WRKY transcription factor family reveals putatively retained functions between monocots and dicots. *BMC Genomics* 9:194. doi: 10. 1186/1471-2164-9-194

Mao, H., Yu, L., Han, R., Li, Z., and Liu, H. (2016). ZmNAC55, a maize stressresponsive NAC transcription factor, confers drought resistance in transgenic *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 105, 55–66. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.04.018

Mwadzingeni, L., Shimelis, H., Dube, E., Laing, M. D., and Tsilo, T. J. (2016). Breeding wheat for drought tolerance: progress and technologies. *J. Integr. Agric.* 15, 935–943. doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(15)61102-9

Nakashima, K., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (2014). The transcriptional regulatory network in the drought response and its crosstalk in abiotic stress responses including drought, cold, and heat. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:170. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00170

Narusaka, Y., Nakashima, K., Shinwari, Z. K., Sakuma, Y., Furihata, T., Abe, H., et al. (2003). Interaction between two cis-acting elements, ABRE and DRE, in ABA-dependent expression of *Arabidopsis* RD29A gene in response to dehydration and high-salinity stresses. *Plant J.* 34, 137–148. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2003.01708.x

Nègre, D., Aite, M., Belcour, A., Frioux, C., Brillet-Guéguen, L., Liu, X., et al. (2019). Genome-scale metabolic networks shed light on the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway in the brown algae *Saccharina japonica* and *Cladosiphon okamuranus*. *Antioxidants* 8:564. doi: 10.3390/antiox8110564

Okay, S., Derelli, E., and Unver, T. (2014). Transcriptome-wide identification of bread wheat WRKY transcription factors in response to drought stress. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 289, 765–781. doi: 10.1007/s00438-014-0849-x

Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., et al. (2004). UCSF Chimera-a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* 25, 1605–1612. doi: 10.1002/jcc.20084

Qin, Y., Tian, Y., and Liu, X. (2015). A wheat salinity-induced WRKY transcription factor TaWRKY93 confers multiple abiotic stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 464, 428–433. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.06.128

Qiu, Y., Jing, S., Fu, J., Li, L., and Yu, D. (2004). Cloning and analysis of expression profile of 13 WRKY genes in rice. *Chinese Sci. Bull.* 49, 2159–2168. doi: 10.1360/csb2004-49-18-1860

Qiu, Y., and Yu, D. (2009). Over-expression of the stress-induced OsWRKY45 enhances disease resistance and drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis. Environ. Exp. Bot.* 65, 35–47. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2008.07.002

Rahman, H., Ramanathan, V., Nallathambi, J., Duraialagaraja, S., and Muthurajan, R. (2016). Over-expression of a NAC 67 transcription factor from finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) confers tolerance against salinity and drought stress in rice. *BMC Biotechnol.* 16:35. doi: 10.1186/s12896-016-0261-1

Romero, I., Alegria-Carrasco, E., de Pradena, A. G., Vazquez-Hernandez, M., Escribano, M. I., Merodio, C., et al. (2019). WRKY transcription factors in the response of table grapes (cv. Autumn Royal) to high CO2 levels and low temperature. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 150, 42–51. doi: 10.1016/j.postharvbio. 2018.12.011

Rushton, P. J., Somssich, I. E., Ringler, P., and Shen, Q. J. (2010). WRKY transcription factors. *Trends Plant Sci.* 15, 247–258. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2010.0 2.006

Sakuma, Y., Maruyama, K., Osakabe, Y., Qin, F., Seki, M., Shinozaki, K., et al. (2006). Functional analysis of an *Arabidopsis* transcription factor, DREB2A, involved in drought-responsive gene expression. *Plant Cell* 18, 1292–1309. doi: 10.1105/tpc.105.035881

Shao, H. B., Chu, L. Y., Jaleel, C. A., Manivannan, P., Panneerselvam, R., and Shao, M. A. (2009). Understanding water deficit stress-induced changes in the basic metabolism of higher plants-biotechnologically and sustainably improving agriculture and the ecoenvironment in arid regions of the globe. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* 29, 131–151. doi: 10.1080/07388550902869792

Sheen, J. (2001). Signal transduction in maize and Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts. Plant Physiol. 127, 1466–1475. doi: 10.1104/pp.010820

Shi, W. Y., Du, Y. T., Ma, J., Min, D. H., Jin, L. G., Chen, J., et al. (2018). The WRKY transcription factor GmWRKY12 confers drought and salt tolerance in soybean. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19:4087. doi: 10.3390/ijms19124087

Shinozaki, K., and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. (2007). Gene networks involved in drought stress response and tolerance. *J. Exp. Bot.* 58, 221–227. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ erl164

Singh, K. B., Foley, R. C., and Oñate-Sánchez, L. (2002). Transcription factors in plant defense and stress responses. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 5, 430–436. doi: 10.1016/S1369-5266(02)00289-3

Skubacz, A., Daszkowska-Golec, A., and Szarejko, I. (2016). The role and regulation of ABI5 (ABA-Insensitive 5) in plant development, abiotic stress responses and phytohormone crosstalk. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:1884. doi: 10.3389/fpls. 2016.01884

Soma, F., Takahashi, F., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (2021). Cellular phosphorylation signaling and gene expression in drought stress responses: ABA-dependent and ABA-independent regulatory systems. *Plants* 10:756. doi: 10.3390/plants10040756

Swain, D. M., Sahoo, R. K., Srivastava, V. K., Tripathy, B. C., Tuteja, R., and Tuteja, N. (2017). Function of heterotrimeric G-protein γ subunit RGG1 in providing salinity stress tolerance in rice by elevating detoxification of ROS. *Planta* 245, 367–383. doi: 10.1007/s00425-016-2614-3

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., and Kumar, S. (2013). MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 2725–2729. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst197

Tuteja, N. (2007). Abscisic acid and abiotic stress signaling. *Plant Signal Behav.* 2, 135–138. doi: 10.4161/psb.2.3.4156

Verslues, P. E., Agarwal, M., Katiyar-Agarwal, S., Zhu, J., and Zhu, J. K. (2006). Methods and concepts in quantifying resistance to drought, salt and freezing, abiotic stresses that affect plant water status. *Plant J. Cell Mol. Biol.* 45, 523–539. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02593.x

Wang, C., Lu, G., Hao, Y., Guo, H., Guo, Y., Zhao, J., et al. (2017). ABP9, a maize bZIP transcription factor, enhances tolerance to salt and drought in transgenic cotton. *Planta* 246, 453–469. doi: 10.1007/s00425-017-2704-x

Wang, C. T., Ru, J. N., Liu, Y. W., Yang, J. F., Li, M., Xu, Z. S., et al. (2018). The maize WRKY transcription factor ZmWRKY40 confers drought resistance in transgenic *Arabidopsis. Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19:2580. doi: 10.3390/ijms1909 2580

Wang, N. N., Xu, S. W., Sun, Y. L., Liu, D., Zhou, L., Li, Y., et al. (2019). The cotton WRKY transcription factor (GhWRKY33) reduces transgenic *Arabidopsis* resistance to drought stress. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 1–13. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-37035-2

Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, Q., Zhang, T., Chong, X., and Yuan, H. (2021). Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of BBX transcription factors in *Iris germanica* L. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 8793. doi: 10.3390/IJMS22168793

Wu, B., Zhang, S. W., Gao, D., and Pan, C. M. (2013). Effect of drought stress and re-watering on active oxygen scavenging system of fructus evodiae cutting seedling. J. Guangzhou Univ. Traditional Chinese Med. 30, 78–82. doi: 10.13359/j. cnki.gzxbtcm.2013.01.001

Wu, J., Chen, J., Wang, L., and Wang, S. (2017). Genome-wide investigation of WRKY transcription factors involved in terminal drought stress response in common bean. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:380. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00380

Wu, K. L., Guo, Z. J., Wang, H. H., and Li, J. (2005). The WRKY family of transcription factors in rice and *Arabidopsis* and their origins. *DNA research* 12, 9–26. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.3880104

Wu, X., Chen, Q., Chen, L., Tian, F., Chen, X., Han, C., et al. (2022). A WRKY transcription factor, PyWRKY75, enhanced cadmium accumulation and tolerance in poplar. *Ecotox. Environ. Saf.* 239:113630. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2022.113630

Xiong, X., Sun, S., Li, Y., Zhang, X., Sun, J., and Xue, F. (2019). The cotton WRKY transcription factor GhWRKY70 negatively regulates the defense response against *Verticillium dahliae*. *Crop J.* 7, 393–402. doi: 10.1016/j.cj.2018.10.005

Ye, H., Qiao, L., Guo, H., Guo, L., Ren, F., Bai, J., et al. (2021). Genome-wide identification of wheat WRKY gene family reveals that TaWRKY75-A is referred to drought and salt resistances. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12:812. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.663118

Yousfi, F. E., Makhloufi, E., Marande, W., Ghorbel, A. W., Bouzayen, M., and Berges, H. (2016). Comparative analysis of WRKY genes potentially involved in salt stress responses in *Triticum turgidum* L. ssp. durum. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:2034. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.02034

Yu, F., Huaxia, Y., Lu, W., Wu, C., Cao, X., and Guo, X. (2012). GhWRKY15, a member of the wrky transcription factor family identified from cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum L.*), is involved in disease resistance and plant development. *BMC Plant Biol.* 12:144. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-12-144

Yu, S., Ai, C. R., Jing, S. J., and Yu, D. Q. (2010). Research progress on functional analysis of rice WRKY genes. *Rice Sci.* 17, 60–72. doi: 10.1016/S1672-6308(08) 60105-5

Zhang, C., and Chen, W. (2013). Physiological-ecological responses of *Iris germanica* L. to Cd stress and its accumulation of Cd. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* 33, 2165–2172. doi: 10.5846/stxb201112251969

Zhang, J., Huang, D., Zhao, X., and Zhang, M. (2021). Evaluation of drought resistance and transcriptome analysis for the identification of drought-responsive genes in *Iris germanica*. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 1–21. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-95633-z

Zhang, L., Zhao, G., Jia, J., Liu, X., and Kong, X. (2012). Molecular characterization of 60 isolated wheat MYB genes and analysis of their expression during abiotic stress. *J. Exp. Bot.* 63, 203–214. doi: 10.1093/jxb/err264

Zhang, Y., and Wang, L. (2005). The WRKY transcription factor superfamily: its origin in eukaryotes and expansion in plants. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 5:1. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-5-1

Zhao, Y., Cheng, X., Liu, X., Wu, H., Bi, H., and Xu, H. (2018). The wheat MYB transcription factor TaMYB31 is involved in drought stress responses in *Arabidopsis. Front. Plant Sci.* 9:1426. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01426

Zhao, Z., Li, T., Cheng, Y., Wang, F., and Zhao, X. (2021). Morphological and metabolic responses of four *Iris germanica* cultivars under salinity stress. *Sci. Hortic.* 28:109960. doi: 10.1016/J.SCIENTA.2021.109960

Zhou, L., Wang, N. N., Gong, S. Y., Lu, R., Li, Y., and Li, X. B. (2015). Overexpression of a cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) wrky gene, Ghwrky34, in *Arabidopsis* enhances salt-tolerance of the transgenic plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 96, 311–320. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2015.08.016

Zhu, D., Hou, L., Xiao, P., Guo, Y., Deyholos, M. K., and Liu, X. (2019). VvWRKY30, a grape WRKY transcription factor, plays a positive regulatory role under salinity stress. *Plant Sci.* 280, 132–142. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.03.018