#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Giulia Malacarne, Fondazione Edmund Mach, Italy

REVIEWED BY Manish Kumar Dubey, Galgotias University, India Elsherbiny A. Elsherbiny, Mansoura University, Egypt

\*CORRESPONDENCE Parissa Taheri p-taheri@um.ac.ir

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Plant Pathogen Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 02 July 2022 ACCEPTED 31 August 2022 PUBLISHED 23 September 2022

#### CITATION

Daroodi Z, Taheri P and Tarighi S (2022) Acrophialophora jodhpurensis: an endophytic plant growth promoting fungus with biocontrol effect against *Alternaria alternata. Front. Plant Sci.* 13:984583. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.984583

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Daroodi, Taheri and Tarighi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)

are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Acrophialophora jodhpurensis: an endophytic plant growth promoting fungus with biocontrol effect against *Alternaria alternata*

### Zoha Daroodi, Parissa Taheri\* and Saeed Tarighi

Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

In this study, efficiency of the endophytic fungal isolate Msh5 was evaluated on promoting tomato plant growth and controlling Alternaria alternata, the causal agent of early blight in tomatoes. Morphological and molecular (ITS and tub2 sequences) analyses revealed that the fungal isolate, Msh5, was Acrophialophora jodhpurensis (Chaetomium jodhpurense Lodha). This beneficial fungus was capable of producing indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), urease, siderophore, extracellular enzymes, and solubilized phosphate. Under laboratory conditions, the Msh5 isolate of A. jodhpurensis inhibited A. alternata growth in dual culture, volatile and non-volatile metabolites assays. The supernatant of this endophytic fungus was capable of reducing spore germination and altering the hyphal structure of A. alternata and the spores produced germ tubes showed vacuolization and abnormal structure compared to the control. Also, the effect of A. jodhpurensis on plant growth parameters (such as shoot and root weight and length) and suppressing A. alternata was investigated in vivo via seed inoculation with spores of A. jodhpurensis using 1% sugar, 0.5% carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) or 0.5% molasses solution as stickers. Colonization of tomato roots by the endophytic fungus resulted in significant increasing plant growth parameters and reduction in the progress of the diseases caused by A. alternata compared to the controls. Among the different coating materials used as stickers, sugar was found to be the most effective for enhancing plant growth parameters and decreasing the disease progress. Therefore, A. jodhpurensis isolate Msh5 can be suggested as a potential biofertilizer and biocontrol agent for protecting tomato plants against A. alternata.

#### KEYWORDS

beneficial endophytic fungus, early blight disease, plant growth parameters, *Solanum lycopersicum*, seed coating

# Introduction

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is one of the most important popular vegetables worldwide. Various species of *Alternaria* are causal agents of early blight disease, which is one of the major biotic stresses and reduces tomato production every year (Kumar et al., 2008). Yield losses due to tomato infection by this fungus are reported to be 30–79% (Dube et al., 2014) in different tomato growing regions.

The Alternaria genus, which was first introduced by Nees (1816), belongs to the kingdom Mycota, phylum Ascomycota, subphylum Pezizomycotina, class Dothideomycetes, order Pleosporales, family Pleosporaceae and is a ubiquitous necrotrophic fungus that includes saprobic, endophytic and pathogenic species (Saharan et al., 2016). The teleomorph of some Alternaria species is the genus *Lewia*. Fungi belonging to *Alternaria* spp. can grow on several substrates including all aerial parts of various plant species, agricultural products, soil, and air. Many species of Alternaria have been reported as the causal agents of tomato early blight disease, among them *A. alternata* is very important due to its destructive damage on the host plants (Ramezani et al., 2019).

The early blight is capable of causing damage at all growth stages and the disease symptoms occur on the leaves, fruits, and stems as brown and finally necrotic spots. Generally, the disease symptoms occur on the oldest leaves and cause severe destruction of the aerial part together with reduction in the size and number of tomato fruits (Fritz et al., 2006; Dharmendra et al., 2014). The fungal genus *Alternaria* produces large, multicellular, dark-colored conidia, in single or branched chains on short conidiophores (Thomma, 2003; Ramezani et al., 2019), which are important in infecting host plant tissues and disease distribution. *Alternaria* species are capable of residing in seeds and soil and the air-borne spores can be distributed over long distances, which make the disease control very hard.

To control plant diseases caused by *Alternaria* spp. various strategies can be used in agricultural systems. One of the disease management strategies is chemical control, which can prevent infection. But it may cause several problems such as increase of resistance in the pathogen populations, toxicity to nontarget organisms, environmental pollution, and the presence of residual chemicals in crops, which is harmful to the consumer health. For all these reasons, biological control via application of beneficial microorganisms can be effective in management of destructive phytopathogens (Taheri and Flaherty, 2022).

Fungal endophytes live in plant tissues for at least a part of their life cycle without causing disease symptoms (Dutta et al., 2014). These fungi have beneficial effects on the host plants, including plant growth promotion and induction of plant defense mechanisms which led to increased resistance against various biotic and abiotic stresses (Murthy et al., 2014; Daroodi et al., 2021a,b; Kheyri et al., 2022). The plant growth promoting fungi (PGPF) are capable of phosphate solubilization, production of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), siderophore, and extracellular enzymes, which are involved in enhancing plant growth parameters (Jogaiah et al., 2013; Hossain et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018). Many studies have reported the ability of endophytic fungi to promote plant growth, which may be attributed to the production of secondary metabolites including phytohormones (as auxins and gibberellins) and siderophore, also the ability to solubilize nutrients for their host plants (Waqas et al., 2014; Khan et al., 2015; Numponsak et al., 2018).

The genus Acrophialophora, with A. nainiana as its type species, is considered as a thermotolerant and widely distributed fungal genus in temperate and tropical zones. This fungus is classified in Ascomycota and belongs to the Chaetomiaceae family. The genus Acrophialophora is previously reported as a biocontrol agent against several phytopathogens, such as Pythium aphanidermatum (Sharma et al., 1981; Ramzan et al., 2014), Fusarium udum (Rai and Upadhyay, 1983), Phythium debaryanum, Phytophthora capsica, Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Botrytis cinerea, Gaeomannomyces graminis, R. solani (Turhan and Grossmann, 1989; Ramzan et al., 2014; Daroodi et al., 2021a), Macrophomina phaseolina (Siddiqui and Mahmood, 1992; Ramzan et al., 2014), Fusarium solani (Ramzan et al., 2014), and Alternaria porri (Abdel-Hafez et al., 2015).

Previous reports indicate the efficiency of fungal species as biocontrol agents against *Alternaria* spp. For example, *Penicillium oxalicum* was effective against *A. alternata* in rice (Sempere and Santamarina, 2010), *Trichoderma harzianum* was effective against *A. alternata* in quince (Tekiner et al., 2019), and also in strawberry and cucumber fruits (Tozlu et al., 2018). *Trichoderma viride* and *T. harzianum* were effective against *A. solani* in tomato (Roy et al., 2019). Also, the endophytic fungus *Chaetomium globosum* (Fayyadh and Yousif, 2019), and *Trichoderma asperelloides* (Ramírez-Cariño et al., 2020), *T. harzianum* and *T. longibrachiatum* (Hosseinmardi et al., 2020) were antagonistic against *A. alternata* in tomato.

Seed coating technology is one of the methods that increase germination rates, improve seed performance and protect the seeds and seedlings from pathogens. In this method, the seeds are treated using chemical, physical (such as hot water, dry or aerated heat and radiation) or biological agents, before planting. Seed treatments with biocontrol agents in different crops have been reported for control of diseases caused by Pythium ultimum (Callan et al., 1990; Mathre et al., 1995), Penicillium oxalicum (Mathre et al., 1995), P. arrhenomanes, and Fusarium graminearum (Mao et al., 1997) in corn, Pythium sp. on canola, safflower, dry pea, and sugar beet (Bardin et al., 2003), Macrophomina phaseolina, Rhizoctonia solani and Fusarium spp., on okra and sunflower plants (Dawar et al., 2008), R. solani, Fusarium solani and Sclerotium rolfsii in faba (El-Mougy and Abdel-Kader, 2008).

Also, plant growth promotion using endophytic fungi has been demonstrated in tomato plants by many researchers. For example, *Serendipita indica* (formerly *Piriformospora indica*) (Fakhro et al., 2010), *Trichoderma atroviride* and *Trichoderma hamatum* (Tucci et al., 2011), *Penicillium simplicissimum* (Khan et al., 2015), *Pochonia chlamydosporia* (Zavala-Gonzalez et al., 2015), *Neocosmospora haematococca* (formerly *Nectria haematococca*) (Valli and Muthukumar, 2018) and *Fusarium* spp. (Nefzi et al., 2019) have been reported as endophytic PGPF, which enhance growth parameters in tomato plants.

To our knowledge, plant growth promotion traits and biocontrol effects of *A. jodhpurensis* (*Chaetomium jodhpurense* Lodha) against *A. alternata* pathogenic on tomato plants have not been investigated, till now. Thus, the aims of this study were (i) to isolate and identify the endophytic fungus *A. jodhpurensis* (ii) to investigate effect of the endophyte on growth parameters of tomato plants and its association with phosphate solubilization, indole-acetic acid (IAA), siderophore, urease, and extracellular enzymes production (iii) to investigate effect of *A. jodhpurensis* on vegetative growth, spore germination, and hyphal structure of *A. alternata in vitro*, and (iv) to determine its effect on development of the disease caused by *A. alternata in vivo* using seed inoculation with spores of *A. jodhpurensis*.

# Materials and methods

### **Endophytic fungus**

### Sample collection

Sampling was carried out in Mashhad, Razavi Khorasan province, Iran (36.2972°N, 59.6067°E) in September 2017. Briefly, 10 samples were randomly collected from healthy tomato plants by walking in a zig-zag pattern in one field. The samples were transported to the Plant Pathology Laboratory at Ferdowsi University of Mashhad in sterile plastic bags.

#### Isolation

The endophytic fungal isolate Msh5 was obtained from healthy roots of tomato in the north east of Iran using the method described by Wiyakrutta et al. (2004). Briefly, the roots were washed under running tap water, then cut into 1 cm fragments. These pieces were sterilized using 0.5% (w/v) sodium hypochlorite solution for 5 min, then 70% (v/v) ethanol for 1 min, and rinsed three times with sterile distilled water. The root pieces were dried on sterile filter paper and five pieces were placed in each petri dish containing potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium amended with streptomycin (250 mg/L) and chloramphenicol (250 mg/L) to inhibit bacterial growth. After incubation at 25°C for 12 days, the fungal isolate was purified on PDA using the hyphal tip method.

#### Morphological identification

The endophytic fungal isolate obtained in this study was cultured on three different media, including oat agar (OA), PDA, and 1/10-strength potato agar (1/10-strength PA, containing 20 g potato boiled for 30 min and filtrated, 15 g agar, and 1 l distilled water) and incubated in the dark at 25°C for 7 days. Morphological identification of the fungal isolate, Msh5, http://www.mycobank.org/BioloMICS. aspx?TableKey=1468261600000067&Rec=572180&Fields. All was performed on the basis of morphological characters of the colony, hyphae, and the characteristics of reproductive structures, according to the identification key described by Wang et al. (2019).

### Molecular identification

The isolate Msh5 http://www.mycobank.org/BioloMICS. aspx?TableKey=1468261600000067&Rec=572180&Fields. All was cultured in potato dextrose broth (PDB) and incubated in a rotary shaker at 30°C and 150 rpm for 2 weeks. Then, the mycelia and spores of the fungus were harvested from the liquid culture using Whatman filter paper. Genomic DNA was extracted according to the method of Zhang et al. (2010) using cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB). Briefly, cell walls of fungal mycelia and spores were broken down by grinding via sterile mortar and pestle with liquid nitrogen until the dry powder was obtained. Then, CTAB extraction buffer (containing CTAB 10%, NaCl 5M, EDTA 20 mM, and Tris- HCL 1M) was added, and after incubation at 65°C for 45 min, purification was done with chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24: 1). The mixture was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min and the supernatant was taken, then isopropanol was added and was centrifuged at 13,000 g for 5 min. Finally, the DNA was rinsed with 70% (v/v) ethanol and dissolved in 50 µl of pure water.

The primers used for PCR amplification were ITS1 (TCC GTA GGT GAA CCT GCG G) and ITS4 (TCC TCC GCT TAT TGA TAT GC) (White et al., 1990), which amplified the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region of rDNA. Also, the primers T1 (AAC ATG CGT GAG ATT GTA AGT) (O'Donnell and Cigelnik, 1997) and TUB4Rd (CCA/G GAC/T TGA/G CCA/G AAA/G ACA/G AAG TTG TC) (Groenewald et al., 2013) were used for amplification of the partial beta-tubulin (*tub2*) gene region. Amplifications were performed in a total reaction volume of 25 µl, containing 13 µL master mix (parstous- Iran), 1 µL of each primer (10 pM), 8 µL deionized water, and 2 µL template DNA (50 ng). The PCR amplifications were performed in a thermal cycler (Biometra, Gottingen, Germany) with an initial denaturing step at 95°C (3 min), 35 cycles of denaturation at  $95^{\circ}C$  (30 s), annealing at  $50^{\circ}C$  (45 s), and extension at  $72^{\circ}C$ (90 s), followed by a final extension step at 72°C (10 min) for amplification of the ITS (Sekhar et al., 2018), and the tub2 regions (Wang et al., 2016). The PCR products were investigated on 1% agarose gels and sequenced by Macrogen Co. (Seoul, Korea), using the ITS1 (for ITS1-5,8S-ITS2 region of rDNA) and TUB4Rd (for *tub2*) primers. Analysis of sequences was performed using the basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) program compared to the available data of the national center for biotechnology information (NCBI) Gene Bank databases to determine DNA similarities. The most similar sequences were downloaded and DNA sequences were manually edited using Bioedit v7.1.3 and aligned using ClustalW software. Phylogenetic analyses for the ITS and tub2 regions were done using the ITS1 and TUB4Rd sequences via the MEGA 7 software by maximum likelihood analysis methods based on the Tamura- Nei model and the percentages of the replicate branches with 1,000 bootstraps were shown next to the branches. The nucleotide sequences of the Msh5 isolate were submitted to the Gene Bank and the accession numbers were obtained for the

### Fungal pathogen

ITS and beta-tubulin genomic regions.

The isolate of *Alternaria alternata*, which was previously isolated from infected tomato plants (Ramezani et al., 2019), was obtained from Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad in Iran.

# Plant growth promotion traits of the endophytic fungus *in vitro*

#### Indole-acetic acid production assay

Indole-acetic acid production by the endophytic fungus was evaluated via culturing a 9 mm diameter disk of *A. jodhpurensis* in basal salt medium (BSM) containing 5 g glucose, 1 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 g MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 g KCl, 1 L distilled water, pH 5.5, amended with L-tryptophan (0.1, 0.01, 0.001, and 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and was incubated on a rotary shaker at 30°C and 150 rpm for 7, 10, 15, and 20 days (Bose et al., 2013). Then, the medium was centrifuged (5000 rpm/min for 10 min), and the supernatant was mixed with Salkowski's reagent (150 ml of concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 250 mL of distilled water, 7.5 ml of 0.5 M FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O) with a 1:2 (v/v) ratio, and was kept at room temperature for 20 min. The pink color produced showed IAA production (Gordon and Weber, 1951), and the IAA concentration was measured at 530 nm using a spectrophotometer.

#### Phosphate solubilization assay

For phosphate solubilization assay, a 9 mm diameter disk of *A. jodhpurensis* was cultured on Pikovskaya's medium (Pikovskaya, 1948), containing 0.5 g yeast extract, 10 g dextrose, 5 g  $Ca_3(PO_4)_2$ , 0.5 g  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ , 0.1 g MgSO\_4, 0.02 g KCl, 0.02 g NaCl, 0.003 g MnSO\_4.H2O, 0.003 g FeSO\_4.7H2O, 15 g agar, in 1 L distilled water with pH 7.2. After incubation at 28°C for 5 days, a clear zone around the colony indicated phosphate solubilization.

Quantitative estimation of phosphate solubility was done using NBRIP (National Botanical Research Institute's phosphate) medium containing 10 g glucose, 5 g  $Ca_3(PO_4)_2$ , 5 g MgCl2 z 6H2O, 0.25 g MgSO<sub>4</sub> 7 H2O, 0.2 g KCl, 0.1 g (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 0.025 g bromophenol blue in 1 L distilled water (Mehta and Nautiyal, 2001). Un-inoculated medium was used as negative control. The flasks were incubated on a rotary shaker at 30°C and 150 rpm for 7, 10, 15, and 20 days. Then, the medium was centrifuged (5000 rpm/min for 10 min) and the supernatant was collected and autoclaved at 121°C for 20 min. Optical density was measured at 700 nm.

### Production of urease and siderophore

Urease production assay was performed by culturing a 9 mm diameter disk of *A. jodhpurensis* on Christensen's medium (containing 1 g peptone, 1 g glucose, 5 g NaCl, 2 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 15 g agar, and 0.012 g phenol red in 1,000 mL distilled water, pH 6.8), which was sterilized for 10 min at 121°C. Then 0.5 mL of 20% seitz-filtered solution of urea was added to 4.5 mL sterile medium, when the medium cooled to 50°C (Seeliger, 1956). After incubation for 7 days at 28°C, the pink color produced indicated urease production.

The ability of *A. jodhpurensis* to produce siderophore was investigated using culturing a 9 mm diameter disk of *A. jodhpurensis* on the Chrome Azurol S (CAS)- agar medium (60.5 mg/50 mL Chrome Azurol S, 72.9 mg/40 mL HDTMA, which was mixed with 2.7 mg FeCl<sub>3</sub>,6H<sub>2</sub>O in 10 mM HCl, 42.23 g King's B agar and 900 mL distilled water), as described by Milagres and Machuca (2003). Yellow to orange color indicated siderophore production.

### Production of extracellular enzymes

The endophytic fungus *A. jodhpurensis* was grown on PDA at 28°C for 7 days. Then, a 9 mm diameter disk of this fungus was placed in Petri dishes containing specific medium for each enzyme. The plates were incubated at 28°C, then the zones of enzyme activity around the fungal colony were investigated.

For cellulase assay, the antagonistic fungus was grown on yeast extract peptone agar medium (0.1 g yeast extract, 0.5 g peptone, 16 g agar in 1 L distilled water) amended 0.5% Nacarboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) for 7 days at 28°C. Then, the plates were flooded with 0.2 aqueous Congo Red and destained with 1 M NaCl for 15 min (Lingappa and Lockwood, 1962). The clear zone around the fungal colony showed cellulase activity.

Lipase assay was performed by growing *A. jodhpurensis* for 7 days at 28 °C on peptone agar medium (10 g peptone, 5 g NaCl, 0.1 g CaCl2 2H2O, 16 g agar, 1 L distilled water; pH 6) amended with 1% tween 20. The clear zone around the fungal colony showed lipase production (Hankin and Anagnostakis, 1975).

For laccase assay, *A. jodhpurensis* was cultured on glucose yeast extract peptone (GYP) agar medium (1 g glucose, 0.1 g yeast extract, 0.5 g peptone, 16 g agar, 1 L distilled water, pH 6) supplemented with 0.005% 1- naphthol and incubated for 7 days

at 28°C. Color of the medium changed from clear to blue around the fungal colony, which showed the laccase activity (Hankin and Anagnostakis, 1975).

For protease activity, *A. jodhpurensis* was grown on GYP agar medium supplemented with 0.4% gelatin (8 g gelatin in 100 ml distilled water, which was sterilized separately and mixed with sterile GYP agar medium, pH 6). After incubation for 7 days at 28°C, the plates were flooded with saturated aqueous ammonium sulfate. The clear zone around the colony indicated protease activity (Hankin and Anagnostakis, 1975).

For amylase activity, *A. jodhpurensis* was cultured on GYP agar medium supplemented with 2% soluble starch and incubated for 7 days at 28°C. Following treatment with 1% iodine in 2% potassium iodide, a clear zone around the colony showed amylase activity (Hankin and Anagnostakis, 1975).

### In vitro biocontrol activity of Acrophialophora jodhpurensis

### Antagonistic activity assay

The isolate of *A. jodhpurensis* was tested for its antagonistic activity against *A. alternata* using the dual culture method on PDA medium. Briefly, *A. jodhpurensis* and *A. alternata* were grown separately on PDA plates at 28°C for 7 days. Then, a mycelial disk (9 mm diameter) of the *A. jodhpurensis* was cultured on one side of the petri dish containing PDA. A 9 mm disk of PDA (without any fungus) was used as control. After 2 days, a mycelial disk (9 mm diameter) of *A. alternata* was cultured on the other side of each Petri dish in the opposite side of the antagonist. The colony diameter of the pathogen was measured after 7 days and compared to the control (Sánchez-Fernández et al., 2016). The inhibitory effect of *A. jodhpurensis* on mycelial growth of the pathogen was calculated using the following formula (Asran-Amal et al., 2010):

$$L = ((C - T)/C) \times 100$$

In this formula, L is the inhibition of radial mycelial growth, C and T are the colony diameters of *A. alternata* in the control and in presence of the antagonist, respectively.

# Effect of volatile metabolites of the antagonistic fungus on *Alternaria alternata* growth

To investigate the antagonistic activity of volatile metabolites released from mycelia of *A. jodhpurensis* against *A. alternata*, the isolates of *A. jodhpurensis* and *A. alternata* were grown on PDA medium at 28°C for 7 days. Then, a mycelial plug (5 mm diameter) of *A. jodhpurensis* was placed in the center of the Petri dish containing PDA, and a mycelial plug (5 mm diameter) of *A. alternata* was cultured in the center of a second PDA medium. The Petri dish containing *A. jodhpurensis* was immediately inverted over the *A. alternata* Petri dish and

the Petri dishes were rapidly sealed with parafilm and incubated at 28°C in the dark. The growth of *A. alternata* was measured and compared to the control after 7 days (Nishino et al., 2013).

# Effect of non-volatile metabolites of the endophyte on the pathogen growth

Antifungal activity of non-volatile compounds of A. jodhpurensis was investigated as described by Xiao et al. (2013). Briefly, the Msh5 isolate of A. jodhpurensis was grown on PDA medium at 28°C for 7 days. Then, two mycelial plugs (10 mm  $\times$  10 mm) of this fungus were transferred into a flask containing 100 mL potato dextrose broth (PDB) medium. The flasks were incubated on a rotary shaker at 30°C and 150 rpm for 10 days. Control flasks containing 100 mL PDB were not inoculated with A. jodhpurensis. For preparing supernatant, the culture was filtered by Whatman filter paper (no. 1) for removing mycelia, then sterilized using a 0.2 µm pore biological membrane filter. The supernatant was added to PDA media at concentrations of 3, 6, 10, and 15% (v/v) (Li et al., 2015). Then, a 9 mm diameter mycelial plug from 7 days old culture of A. alternata was placed in the center of each PDA plate supplemented with the supernatant and maintained at 28°C. Colony diameter of the pathogen was determined after 7 days. The experiment was repeated three times with three replications for each repetition.

# Effect of the antagonistic fungus on mycelial structure of *Alternaria alternata*

The hyphal structures of *A. alternata* in dual culture with *A. jodhpurensis* and in the PDA medium containing supernatant of the endophytic fungus in liquid culture were investigated using light microscopy. Briefly, a thin mycelial plug of *A. alternata* from the edge of colony was stained using aniline blue according to the method of Koneman et al. (1978) and checked by an Olympus microscope (BH2, Tokyo, Japan) when the control Petri dishes were completely covered with the pathogen mycelia.

# Effect of Acrophialophora jodhpurensis on spore germination of the pathogen

The method described by Rex et al. (2019) was used for investigating effect of *A. jodhpurensis* on spore germination of *A. alternata*. Growth-free supernatant was prepared as mentioned above, and then it was used for investigating its effect on spore germination of the pathogen. The spore concentration of *A. alternata* was adjusted to  $10^5$  spores mL<sup>-1</sup> using a hemocytometer. Then, the supernatant (100, 70, 50, and 5% v:v, diluted with sterile distilled water) was mixed with spore suspensions of *A. alternata* at a ratio of 1:1 (v:v) (Li et al., 2015). The mixtures were incubated at 24°C with 90% relative humidity. After 24 h, germination of the spores was checked by an Olympus microscope (BH2, Tokyo, Japan). Spore germination was determined as the development of a germ tube to a length equal to one-half of the spore diameter (Medwid and Grant, 1984). The spore germination (spores/mL) was investigated as a percentage using the following formula (Li et al., 2015):

 $Total germination rate(\%) = (Germinated spores \div Total spores) \times 100$ 

### In vivo assays

### Inoculum preparation

The isolate of *A. alternata* was cultured on potato carrot agar (PCA) medium and incubated at 28°C for 7 days. Then, spore suspension of *A. alternata* was prepared by adding sterile distilled water into Petri dishes containing *A. alternata*. The spore concentration was adjusted to  $10^6$  spore mL<sup>-1</sup> using a hemocytometer. For inoculating tomato seedlings, the spore suspension was supplemented with 0.05% tween 20 (Ramezani et al., 2019).

For preparing *A. jodhpurensis* inoculum, this antagonistic fungus was grown on 1/10 strength PA (potato agar) and incubated at 28°C for 10 days (Su et al., 2012). The spore suspension of *A. jodhpurensis* was prepared by adding sterile distilled water into Petri dishes containing *A. jodhpurensis*, then ascospores were washed and spore concentration was adjusted to  $10^7$  spore mL<sup>-1</sup> using a hemocytometer (Daroodi et al., 2021a).

### Seed inoculation with spores of *Acrophialophora jodhpurensis* and seed colonization test

Tomato seeds variety "Mobil" were surface-sterilized by 1% sodium hypochlorite for 2 min, then rinsed three times with sterile distilled water. Seed coating was done by spore suspension of *A. jodhpurensis* amended with 1% sugar, 0.5% carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), or 0.5% molasses solution as sticker. Forty tomato seeds were transferred into each sterilized Petri dish containing 2 mL spore suspension, then dried in laminar flow. For control, the seeds were only treated with sterile distilled water containing 1% sugar, 0.5% carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), or 0.5% molasses solutions.

After drying the seeds, 10 seeds treated with the spore suspension were placed in a test tube containing 9 mL sterilized distilled water for investigating seed colonization. The test tube was shaken and spore concentration was quantified by a hemocytometer.

### Plant growth conditions

Tomato seeds variety "Mobil," which were coated using spore suspension of *A. jodhpurensis* and stickers such as 1% sugar, 0.5% carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), or 0.5% molasses solution, were planted in  $12 \times 10$  cm pots containing sterilized perlite, soil and sand (1:2:1). One seed was planted in each

pot and the pots were incubated under greenhouse conditions  $(30 \pm 4^{\circ}C \text{ with } 16/8 \text{ h light/dark photoperiod}).$ 

# Detecting Acrophialophora jodhpurensis in tomato roots and root colonization assay

Evaluation of tomato root colonization by *A. jodhpurensis* was done at 30 days post-inoculation (dpi). The plants were removed from the soil and washed using running tap water. Then, the roots were stained using cotton blue and investigated by an Olympus microscope (BH2, Tokyo, Japan) (Vierheilig et al., 1998).

To investigate tomato roots colonization by *A. jodhpurensis*, reisolation of the fungal endophyte from tomato roots was done. The roots were washed under running tap water for one min. Then, washed roots were surface-sterilized using 2% sodium hypochlorite for 2 min and then 70% ethanol for 2 min. One g of root pieces per plant were dissected 10 mm long. The root fragments were dried on sterile filter paper and four pieces were placed in each Petri dish (10 cm diameter) containing PDA medium amended with streptomycin (0.05%) and incubated at  $25^{\circ}$ C for 10 days. Root colonization was investigated by counting single colonies grown from root pieces using a dissecting microscope (Dingle and Mcgee, 2003).

# Effect of Acrophialophora jodhpurensis on biocontrol of the disease caused by Alternaria alternata

After 30 days of planting tomato seeds (at four leaves growth stage), when the plant roots were colonized by *A. jodhpurensis* very well, effect of the antagonistic fungus on biocontrol of the disease caused by *A. alternata* was investigated *in vivo*. The plants were inoculated with *A. alternata* by spraying the plants using spore suspension of the pathogen at concentration of  $10^6$  spore mL<sup>-1</sup> containing 0.05% tween 20. For control, the tomato seedlings were only sprayed with sterile distilled water containing 0.05% tween 20. The inoculated seedlings were kept in the greenhouse at 90% relative humidity and  $30 \pm 4^\circ$ C temperature. Three replications and three repetitions were used for each treatment.

Disease evaluation was performed at 7 days after *A. alternata* inoculation, when the plants were at 5–6 leaves growth stage.

Disease progress was graded into five classes on the basis of leaf spot development including 0 = no leaf spot symptoms; 1 = 1 - 25% leaf area covered by disease symptoms; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 76-99% and 5 = 100% leaf area covered by the symptoms (Kumar et al., 2011). The disease index was calculated as described by Taheri and Tarighi (2010). Each experiment was repeated three times with three replications for each repetition.

# Effect of the endophytic fungus on plant growth promotion

To investigate the effect of *A. jodhpurensis* on tomato growth parameters, the seeds were inoculated with spore suspension of



#### FIGURE 1

Morphological characteristics of Acrophialophora jodhpurensis (isolate Msh5). Colonies of A. jodhpurensis from up (A) and down (B) on potato dextrose agar (PDA), also up (C) and down (D) on oat agar (OA), ascomata of A. jodhpurensis, subglobosa or ovate, ostiolate (E), flexuous or undulate hairs (F), clavate, fasciculate or fusiform asci (G), unicellular and fusiform ascospores of A. jodhpurensis (H).

07

the endophyte and planted as described before. After 7 days, fresh and dry weight, shoot, and root length of plants were measured. To determine the dry weight, the samples were dried in an oven at  $70^{\circ}$ C. Three replications and three repetitions were maintained for each treatment.

### Statistical analysis

The assays were repeated at least three times with three replications in each repetition. The presented data for each assay were the means ( $\pm$ standard error) of three experiments. Data were analyzed using the Minitab 18 software using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The means were separated by the Fisher test at the level of  $P \leq 0.05$ . All diagrams were drawn using Excel 2013.

### Results

# Morphological and molecular identification of *Acrophialophora jodhpurensis*

Among 15 endophytic fungi obtained from the sampled plants, six isolates belonged to A. jodhpurensis. The most antagonistic isolate of this endophyte (Msh5), which showed highest inhibitory effect against the pathogen compared to other endophytes obtained, was used for the rest of experiments. This isolate was identified based on morphological characteristics of the colony, hyphae, and reproductive structures including ascomata, ascomatal hairs, and ascospores (Wang et al., 2019). The colonies had 25 mm diameter on PDA (Figures 1A,B) and 25-31 mm diameter on OA after 7 days of growth at 25°C (Figures 1C,D). Ascomata were superficial, subglobosa to ovate, ostiolate, 130–220  $\times$  100–180  $\mu\,m$  and ascomatal wall was brown (Figure 1E). Ascomatal hairs were brown, flexuous, or undulate, rather long and thin, occasionally branched and 2–5  $\mu$ m thick (Figure 1F). The asci were clavate, fasciculate or fusiform, with eight spores (Figure 1G). Ascospores were unicellular, not triangular in face view, often fusiform 12–16  $\times$  6–8  $\mu$ m and usually brown when mature (Figure 1H). Asexual stage was not observed.

Based on molecular analysis of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) and  $\beta$ -tubulin (*tub2*) genomic regions, the Msh5 isolate was identified as *A. jodhpurensis* (*C. jodhpurense* Lodha). The phylogenetic trees were obtained using the neighborjoining method with 1,000 bootstraps and the percentage of replicate trees were shown above the branches (**Figures 2A-**C). Accession numbers for the ITS and *tub2* sequences, including MN814820 and MN820986 respectively, were obtained from National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI).



### Production of indole-acetic acid

The isolate of *A. jodhpurensis* had the ability to produce IAA, as development of pink color showed IAA production. For investigating the effect of L-tryptophan on IAA production at various time points (7, 10, 15, and 20 days) after inoculation of *A. jodhpurensis*, different concentrations of L- tryptophan



(0, 0.001, 0.001, and 0.1 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) were supplemented in the medium. Quantification of IAA was performed by measuring the absorbance at 530 nm using spectrophotometer. The spectrophotometric analysis revealed that the IAA production was related positively with L-tryptophan concentration. The maximum IAA production by *A. jodhpurensis* was observed at 10 days after inoculation when the medium was amended with 0.1 mg L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan (**Figures 3A,B**).

### Phosphate solubilization

Qualitative estimation of phosphate solubility was studied on Pikovskaya's medium. The clear zone around the *A. jodhpurensis* colony showed phosphate solubilization capability of this fungus (**Figure 3C**). Also, quantitative estimation of phosphate solubilization was done using NBRIP medium containing 0.025 g bromophenol blue in 1 L distilled water at various time points (7, 10, 15, and 20 days) after inoculation of *A. jodhpurensis*. The spectrophotometric analysis showed that the maximum phosphate solution at 20 days after inoculation (**Figure 3D**).

### Production of urease and siderophore

The ability of production of urease and siderophore was investigated on Christensen's medium and Chrome Azurol S (CAS)-agar medium, respectively. The isolate of *A. jodhpurensis* had the ability to produce urease and siderophore. Development of pink color showed urease production (**Figure 4A**), and yellow to orange color indicated siderophore production (**Figure 4B**).



### Production of extracellular enzymes

The obtained data showed that the Msh5 isolate of *A. jodhpurensis* produced cellulase (Figure 4C), protease (Figure 4D), amylase (Figure 4E), laccase (Figure 4F), and lipase (Figure 4G) enzymes.

# Antagonistic activity of Acrophialophora jodhpurensis against Alternaria alternata in dual culture

The Msh5 isolate of *A. jodhpurensis* inhibited *in vitro* growth of *A. alternata* in dual culture on PDA. The inhibitory percentage (IP) of *A. alternata* growth was 50% using

this beneficial endophytic fungus compared to the control (Figure 5A).

# Antifungal effect of volatile and non-volatile metabolites against *Alternaria alternata*

The obtained results revealed that *A. jodhpurensis* produced volatile and non-volatile metabolites, which inhibited *A. alternata* growth. The inhibitory percentage (IP) of *A. alternata* growth by volatile metabolites of *A. jodhpurensis* was 12.66 (**Figure 5B**). Also, the effects of growth-free supernatant of *A. jodhpurensis* at 3, 6, 10, and 15% (V: V) concentrations were investigated on *A. alternata* growth, which



the IPs were 2.5, 7.5, 23.33, and 52.5 respectively. The 15% concentration was superior to the other concentrations tested in stopping the mycelial growth of *A. alternata* (**Figure 5C**).

# Microscopic observation of Alternaria alternata hyphae affected by Acrophialophora jodhpurensis

Light microscopic analyses of the pathogen structures were done in dual culture with the antagonistic fungus and PDA medium containing growth-free supernatant at 15% concentration when the control Petri dishes were completely covered with the pathogen mycelia (after 7 days). Observations showed that the mycelium formation of *A. alternata* changed in presence of the antagonist and/or its metabolites. Production of colorless hyphae, deformation of hyphae and cytoplasm lysis was observed in the mycelia of *A. alternata* treated with the antagonistic fungus (**Figure 6A**), or its metabolites (**Figure 6B**) compared to the controls (**Figure 6C**).

# Effect of metabolites produced by endophyte on *Alternaria alternata* spore germination

The growth-free supernatant of Α. jodhpurensis reduced spore germination of Α. alternata. The concentration of 100% was superior to the other concentrations tested in reducing the spore germination of A. alternata, which reduced spore germination to 7% compared to the control which was 31% (Figure 7A). Also, spores of A. alternata produced showing vacuolization and abnormal germ tubes



completely covered with the pathogen mycelia. The mycelia formation of *A. alternata* in dual culture with *A. jodhpurensis* (A) and on PDA containing supernatant at of *A. jodhpurensis* at 15% concentration (B). The hyphae of *A. alternata* in control (C).

structure in presence of the supernatant (metabolites) of *A. jodhpurensis* (Figure 7B), compared to the controls (Figure 7C).

# Investigating colonization of tomato roots by Acrophialophora jodhpurensis

Intracellular hyphae of *A. jodhpurensis* were observed in microscopic analyses of tomato roots at 30 days post-inoculation (dpi) (**Figure 8A**). Colonization percentage of tomato roots by the endophytic fungal isolate was determined using seed coating with spores of *A. jodhpurensis* and 1% sugar (SU), 0.5% Carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) and 0.5% molasses (M) solution as sticker. The data indicated that in seed coating with spores of *A. jodhpurensis*, sugar and carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) were found to be the most effective for colonization of tomato roots, compared to molasses as sticker (**Figure 8B**).

# Effect of seed coating on biocontrol of *Alternaria alternata in vivo*

Tomato seed coating with *A. jodhpurensis* showed efficiency of this fungal endophyte in control of the disease caused by *A. alternata* on the seedlings. Among different coating materials used as stickers, sugar was found to be the most effective in controlling the pathogen compared to the controls and the plants only inoculated with *A. alternata* (Figure 8C).

# Effect of Acrophialophora jodhpurensis on plant growth promotion *in vivo*

Seed coating with spores of *A. jodhpurensis* together with stickers significantly increased growth characteristics of tomato seedlings, such as shoot fresh and dry weights, root fresh and dry weights, shoot and root lengths. The biomass enhancement was obvious in the plants inoculated with *A. jodhpurensis*, but the plants inoculated with *A. jodhpurensis* and 1% sugar showed more promising results in increasing shoot length and weight, and also root length and weight compared to the controls (**Table 1**).

# Discussion

In this study, effect of the Msh5 isolate of *A. jodhpurensis* was investigated on plant growth promotion and biological control of *A. alternata* pathogenic on tomato both *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions. The obtained data indicated that *A. jodhpurensis* produced IAA, siderophore and urease, also this fungus had the ability of solubilizing phosphate. Maximum production of IAA was observed at 10 days after *A. jodhpurensis* inoculation by adding L-tryptophan at 0.1 mg L<sup>-1</sup> concentrations to the medium. Similar to our results, Bose et al. (2013) studied production of IAA by the white-rot fungus *Pleurotus ostreatus* using different concentrations of L-tryptophan in BSM, which



the maximum production of IAA was observed by addition of L-tryptophan at 0.1 mg  $\rm L^{-1}$  concentration.

The data showed that *A. jodhpurensis* produced a weak halo zone in the Pikovskaya's medium, while this fungus in the liquid culture experiment (NBRIP medium containing bromophenol blue) had considerable phosphate solubilization capability. Similarly, Li et al. (2019) reported that only 30 of the 43 inorganic phosphate-solubilizing bacterial strains exhibited clear halo zones in the Pikovskaya's medium, but all strains were able to dissolve tricalcium phosphate in the liquid culture.

Dual culture assay revealed the antagonistic capability of *A. jodhpurensis* against *A. alternata*. To our knowledge, this is the first report on the antagonistic effects of *A. jodhpurensis* against *A. alternata in vitro*. Many researchers studied antagonistic activities of *Acrophialophora* species against several phytopathogens *in vitro*. For example, *A. fusispora* was effective against *F. udum* (Rai and Upadhyay, 1983), *M. phaseolina* (Siddiqui and Mahmood, 1992), *F. solani, M. phaseolina*,

P. aphanidermatum, R. solani and S. rolfsii, and A. porri (Demirci et al., 2011; Abdel-Hafez et al., 2015). Acrophialophora levis was antagonistic against P. debaryanum, P. capsica, S. sclerotiorum, B. cinerea, Gaeomannomyces graminis, and R. solani (Turhan and Grossmann, 1989), and A. jodhpurensis had antagonistic effect against R. solani (Daroodi et al., 2021a).

Beneficial fungi protect plants against pathogens via several mechanisms, such as mycoparasitism, antibiosis, competition, and induction of resistance (Zhang et al., 2012; Ghorbanpour et al., 2018). Our previous studies showed that *A. jodhpurensis* decreased the disease severity of *R. solani* not only directly by interacting with the pathogen, but also indirectly by inducing resistance mechanisms in tomato seedlings. Also, the Msh5 isolate of *A. jodhpurensis* induced systemic resistance in tomato against *A. alternata* via increasing phenolic contents, lignin accumulation, cell membrane stability, relative water content, accumulations of hydrogen peroxide, superoxide and iron ions, together with induction of antioxidant enzymes (such



as catalase, guaiacol peroxidase, ascorbate peroxidase and superoxide dismutase) (Daroodi et al., 2021a,b).

In this study, *A. jodhpurensis* produced volatile and nonvolatile metabolites, which inhibited *A. alternata* growth. Similar to these findings, production of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) with antifungal effects by the fungi belonging to the Chaetomiaceae family has been reported by several researchers (Bjurman and Kristensson, 1992; Korpi et al., 1998; Wady and Larsson, 2005). The VOCs, such as geosmin, 2-phenylethanol and phenylacetaldehyde were detected using gas chromatography- mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (Kikuchi et al., 1981). Also, volatiles of *Chaetomium thermophile* inhibited conidial germination and mycelial growth of *Humicola lanuginosa* (Satyanarayana and Johri, 1981) and the VOCs produced by *A. jodhpurensis* reduced mycelial growth of *R. solani* AG 4-HG II (Daroodi et al., 2021a).

In this study, the obtained data indicated that the growthfree supernatant (non- volatile metabolites) of *A. jodhpurensis* 

Treatments	Shoot fresh weight (mg)	Root fresh weight (mg)	Shoot dry weight (mg)	Root dry weight (mg)	Shoot height (cm)	Root height (cm)
Water	$592.3 \pm 43.53 \text{ c}$	$95.667\pm5~\mathrm{e}$	$51.33\pm3.59~c$	$9.667\pm0.89~c$	$8.400\pm0.31~\text{c}$	$9\pm0.5~bc$
Aj + 1% Sugar	$1230.3\pm73.7~a$	$176.00\pm5.97~\mathrm{a}$	$122.33\pm8.02~\text{a}$	$20.33\pm1.22~a$	$11.300\pm0.56~\mathrm{a}$	$11.333\pm0.89~\mathrm{a}$
Aj + 0.5% CMC	$1038.7 \pm 31.29 \ \text{b}$	$160.667 \pm 5.30 \text{ b}$	$100.33\pm4.33~\text{b}$	$17.667 \pm 0.67 \text{ b}$	$11.167\pm0.44~\text{ab}$	$10\pm0.29~b$
Aj + 0.5% Mollases	$1004.7\pm27.11b$	$122.33\pm9.04~c$	$98.67\pm3.24b$	$17\pm0.58~b$	$10.167\pm0.44~b$	$9.5\pm0.16bc$
1% Sugar	$617\pm33.35~c$	$106.00\pm7.15~d$	$54.33\pm3.44~c$	$10.333\pm0.33~c$	$8.475\pm0.23~c$	$8.967\pm0.55bc$
0.5% CMC	$557.3 \pm 15.64 \text{ c}$	$101.00\pm5.29~de$	$59.67\pm1.48~\mathrm{c}$	$9\pm1.018~c$	$8.667\pm0.33~c$	$8.667\pm0.17~c$
0.5% Mollases	$591.3\pm74.94~\mathrm{c}$	$96.33\pm2.37~\mathrm{e}$	$50\pm2.94~\mathrm{c}$	$8.667\pm0.89~c$	$8.500\pm0.29~\mathrm{c}$	$8.833\pm0.44bc$

TABLE 1 Effect of tomato seed coating with spores of *Acrophialophora jodhpurensis* (isolate Msh5) together with 1% sugar, 0.5% Carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), and 0.5% molasses solutions as sticker on plant growth promotion.

The presented data for each assay are the means (±standard error) of three experiments. Statistical analysis was performed with Minitab 18 software. Aj, inoculation with *A. jodhpurensis*. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences according to Fisher analysis.

reduced mycelial growth of *A. alternata.* Similar to our results, immersing cucurbit fruits in growth-free supernatant of *A. nainiana* before inoculation of *Pythium* spp. delayed the disease symptoms (Sharma et al., 1981) and metabolites of *A. jodhpurensis* decreased mycelial the mycelial growth of *R. solani* AG 4-HG II (Daroodi et al., 2021a).

Also, the effects of *A. jodhpurensis* on spore germination and hyphal structure of *A. alternata* were studied using light microscopy. The data revealed that growth-free supernatant of *A. jodhpurensis* reduced spore germination of *A. alternata*. Deformation of hyphae and cytoplasm lysis were observed in the pathogen structures grown in the presence of *A. jodhpurensis* or its metabolites. Similar to these results, our previous study revealed that *A. jodhpurensis* decreased the growth and sclerotia production of *R. solani*. Also, growth patterns of *R. solani* in presence of the metabolites produced by *A. jodhpurensis* were different compared to the controls (Daroodi et al., 2021a).

To our knowledge, there is no information about effect of the other *Acrophialophora* species on spore germination and hyphal structures of pathogens. But in the Chaetomaceae family, in the interaction between *R. solani* and *Chaetomium spirale*, overgrowth of *C. spirale* on the colony of *R. solani*, coiling of *C. spirale* around *R. solani* hyphae and intracellular growth of the antagonist in the mycelia of *R. solani* occurred frequently. Also, in the advanced stage of interaction between the antagonist and the pathogen, the growth and development of *C. spirale* were associated with morphological changes of the pathogen, such as retraction of the plasma membrane and cytoplasm disorganization (Gao et al., 2005).

Effects of *A. jodhpurensis* on development of the disease symptoms caused by *A. alternata* were studied via seed coating using spore suspension of the biocontrol agent. The obtained results revealed a significant decrease in the disease index on tomato seedlings treated with *A. jodhpurensis* compared to the controls (non-treated with *A. jodhpurensis*). Similar to our results, seed coating using antagonistic fungi reduced the disease severity of several pathogens in various plans. For example, seed coating by *C. globosum* reduced *Fusarium roseum* f. sp. cerealis

infection in corn (Chang and Kommedahl, 1968; Kommedahl and Mew, 1975), *Fusarium solani* f. sp. *pisi* in squash, snap bean, and pea (Hubbard et al., 2011), and seed coating with *C. globosum* and *C. funicola* reduced the infection of *Erysiphe graminis* f. sp. *hordei* in barley (Vilich et al., 1998). Also, seed coating with *C. globosum*, *C. cochiodes*, and *Chaetomium* sp. was effective against *Helminthosporium victoriae* in oat (Tveit and Moore, 1954), and treating tomato seeds with *Trichoderma harzianum* and *T. hamatum* was effective against *Fusarium* sp., *Verticillium* sp. and *Alternaria* spp. (El-Rafai et al., 2003). In addition, seed coating with endophytic fungus *Pestalotiopsis microspore* induced plant defense responses against *A. solani* in tomato (Sujatha et al., 2021).

Also, the effects of A. jodhpurensis on growth parameters of tomato seedlings were investigated using seed coating method. The obtained results revealed a significant increase in growth parameters of tomato seedlings treated with A. jodhpurensis compared to the controls (un-inoculated with A. jodhpurensis(. Similarly, inoculation of tomato roots by Piriformospora indica increased tomato fruit biomass in hydroponic culture (Fakhro et al., 2010). Inoculation of tomato roots using three grams of the Nectria haematococca inoculum containing  $6 \times 10^6$ colony forming units per gram significantly improved all the plant growth parameters including biomass of droughtstressed tomato, leaf characteristics and the plant height. Also, proline accumulation in shoots of the treated plants was significantly higher than untreated plants (Valli and Muthukumar, 2018). Inoculation of tomato seedlings using dipping in conidial suspension of Withania somnifera enhanced the plant growth parameters (Nefzi et al., 2019). Also, applications of Ampelomyces sp. and Penicillium sp. endophytes showed efficiency in enhancing plant growth, stress tolerance, recovery, and fruit yield (Morsy et al., 2020).

Also, many studies showed that treatment of tomato seeds with endophytic fungi improved plant growth parameters. Combined inoculation of *Glomus intraradices* and *Trichoderma atroviride* synergistically increased biomass production (Colla et al., 2015). The results showed that seed coating with spores suspension of *A. jodhpurensis* containing sugar and CMC can be the most effective for colonization of tomato roots. Sugar supplement with *A. jodhpurensis* was found to be the most effective in the control of pathogen and also in plant growth promotion. These data may be associated with the role of sugar signaling in plant physiology and defense responses. Similarly, Morkunas and Ratajczak (2014) reported the importance of sugars in plant resistance.

# Conclusion

This study demonstrated considerable antagonistic activity of *A. jodhpurensis* against *A. alternata*, the causal agent of tomato early blight, *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions. Also, the endophytic fungus *A. jodhpurensis* increased growth parameters of tomato plants. Therefore, this beneficial fungus could be used as a biological fertilizer and biocontrol agent for plant growth promotion and protection against fungal pathogens. Future studies seem to be necessary to investigate effects of *A. jodhpurensis* against other Alternaria species and various phytopathogens, human and animal safety assays, and finally formulation of this beneficial fungus or its metabolites and application in the field conditions.

### Data availability statement

The sequencing data presented in this study are deposited in NCBI with the accession numbers: MN814820 and MN820986.

## References

Abdel-Hafez, S. I., Abo-Elyousr, K. A., and Abdel-Rahim, I. R. (2015). Leaf surface and endophytic fungi associated with onion leaves and their antagonistic activity against *Alternaria* porri. *Czech Mycol.* 67, 1–22. doi: 10.33585/cmy. 67101

Asran-Amal, A., Moustafa-Mahmoud, S. M., Sabet, K. K., and El Banna, O. H. (2010). In vitro antagonism of cotton seedlings fungi and characterization of chitinase isozyme activities in *Trichoderma* harzianum. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 17, 153–157. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2010.02.009

Bardin, S. D., Huang, H. C., Liu, L., and Yanke, L. J. (2003). Control, by microbial seed treatment, of damping off caused by *Pythium* sp. on canola, safflower, dry pea, and sugar beet. *Can. J. Plant Pathol.* 25, 268–275. doi: 10.1080/0706066030950 7079

Bjurman, J., and Kristensson, J. (1992). Production of volatile metabolites by the soft rot fungus *Chaetomium* globosum on building materials and defined media. *Microbios* 72, 47–54.

Bose, A., Shah, D., andKeharia, H. (2013). Production of indole-3-acetic-acid (IAA) by the white rot fungus *Pleurotus* ostreatus under submerged condition of Jatropha seedcake. *Mycology* 4, 103–111. doi: 10.1080/21501203.2013.82 3891

Callan, N. W., Mathre, D. E., and Miller, J. B. (1990). Bio-priming seed treatment for biological control of *Pythium* ultimum pre emergence damping- off in sh2 sweet corn. *Plant Dis.* 74, 368–372. doi: 10.1094/PD-74-0368

# Author contributions

ZD and PT: study conception and design and manuscript preparation. ZD: performing the experiments and data collection. PT and ST: data analysis and interpretation of results. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran, for financial support of this research with project number: 3/47823 approved on 22/9/2018.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Chang, I., and Kommedahl, T. (1968). Biological control of seedling blight of corn by coating kernels with antagonistic microorganisms. *Phytopathology* 58, 1395–1401.

Colla, G., Rouphael, Y., Di Mattia, E., El-Nakhel, C., and Cardarelli, M. (2015). Co-inoculation of glomus intraradices and *Trichoderma* atroviride acts as a biostimulant to promote growth, yield and nutrient uptake of vegetable crops. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 95, 1706–1715. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.6875

Daroodi, Z., Taheri, P., and Tarighi, S. (2021a). Direct antagonistic activity and tomato resistance induction of the endophytic fungus *Acrophialophora* jodhpurensis against *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Biol. Cont.* 160:104696. doi: 10.1016/j. biocontrol.2021.104696

Daroodi, Z., Taheri, P., and Tarighi, S. (2021b). Endophytic fungus *Acrophialophora* jodhpurensis induced resistance against tomato early blight via interplay of reactive oxygen species, iron and antioxidants. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 115:101681. doi: 10.1016/j.pmpp.2021.10 1681

Dawar, S., Hayat, S., Anis, M., and Zaki, M. J. (2008). Effect of seed coating material in the efficacy of microbial antagonists for the control of root rot fungi on *Okra* and *Sunflower. Pak. J. Bot.* 40, 1269–1278.

Demirci, F., Kiyan, H. T., Demirci, B., and Baser, K. H. C. (2011). The in vivo angiogenic evaluation of Achillea biebersteinii Afan. and Achillea teretifolia Willd. essential oils. *Planta Med.* 77:1391. Dharmendra, K., Neelam, M., Yashwant, K. B., Ajay, K., Kamlesh, K., and Kalpana, S., et al. (2014). *Alternaria* blight of oilseed *Brassicas*: A comprehensive review. *African J. Microbiol. Res.* 8, 2816–2829. doi: 10.5897/AJMR2013. 6434

Dingle, J., and Mcgee, P. A. (2003). Some endophytic fungi reduce the density of pustules of *Puccinia* recondita f. sp. tritici in wheat. *Mycol. Res.* 107, 310–316. doi: 10.1017/S0953756203007512

Dube, J. P., Truter, M., and Van Der Waals, J. E. (2014). First report of resistance to QoI fungicides in *Alternaria* alternata isolates from potato in South Africa. *Plant Dis.* 98, 1431–1431. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-03-14-0225-PDN

Dutta, D., Puzari, K. C., Gogoi, R., and Dutta, P. (2014). Endophytes: Exploitation as a tool in plant protection. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* 57, 621–629. doi: 10.1590/S1516-8913201402043

El-Mougy, N. S., and Abdel-Kader, M. M. (2008). Long-term activity of bio-priming seed treatment for biological control of faba bean root rot pathogens. *Australas. Plant Pathol.* 37, 464–471. doi: 10.1071/AP0 8043

El-Rafai, I. M., Asswah, S. M., and Awdalla, O. A. (2003). Biocontrol of some tomato disease using some antagonistic microorganisms. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.* 6, 399–406. doi: 10.3923/pjbs.2003.399.406

Fakhro, A., Andrade-Linares, D. R., von Bargen, S., Bandte, M., Büttner, C., Grosch, R., et al. (2010). Impact of Piriformospora indica on tomato growth and on interaction with fungal and viral pathogens. *Mycorrhiza* 20, 191–200. doi: 10.1007/s00572-009-0279-5

Fayyadh, M. A., and Yousif, E. Q. (2019). Biological control of tomato leaf spot disease caused by *Alternaria* alternata using *Chaetomium* globosum and some other saprophytic fungi. *IOP Conf. Series* 388:012017. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/388/1/012017

Fritz, M., Jakobsen, I., Lyngkjær, M. F., Thordal-Christensen, H., and Pons-Kühnemann, J. (2006). Arbuscular mycorrhiza reduces susceptibility of tomato to *Alternaria* solani. *Mycorrhiza* 16, 413–419. doi: 10.1007/s00572-006-0051-z

Gao, K., Liu, X., Kang, Z., and Mendgen, K. (2005). Mycoparasitism of Rhizoctonia solani by endophytic *Chaetomium* spirale ND35: Ultrastructure and cytochemistry of the interaction. *J. Phytopathol.* 153, 280–290. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0434.2005.00970.x

Ghorbanpour, M., Omidvari, M., Abbaszadeh-Dahaji, P., Omidvar, R., and Kariman, K. (2018). Mechanisms underlying the protective effects of beneficial fungi against plant diseases. *Biol. Cont.* 117, 147–157. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol. 2017.11.006

Gordon, S. A., and Weber, R. P. (1951). Colorimetric estimation of indoleacetic acid. *Plant Physiol.* 26, 192–195. doi: 10.1104/pp.26.1.192

Groenewald, J. Z., Nakashima, C., Nishikawa, J., Shin, H. D., Park, J. H., Jama, A. N., et al. (2013). Species concepts in *Cercospora*: Spotting the weeds among the roses. *Stud. Mycol.* 75, 115–170. doi: 10.3114/sim0012

Hankin, L., and Anagnostakis, S. L. (1975). The use of solid media for detection of enzyme production by fungi. *Mycologia* 67, 597–607. doi: 10.1080/00275514. 1975.12019782

Hossain, M. M., Sultana, F., and Hyakumachi, M. (2017). Role of ethylene signalling in growth and systemic resistance induction by the plant growth promoting fungus Penicillium viridicatum in Arabidopsis. *J. Phytopathol.* 165, 432–441. doi: 10.1111/jph.12577

Hosseinmardi, M., Naeimi, S., and Rezaee, S. (2020). Biological control of early blight of tomato with epiphytic strains of *Trichoderma*. *Biocont. Plant Protec.* 7, 1–15.

Hubbard, J. P., Harman, G. E., and Eckenrode, C. J. (2011). Interaction of a biological control agent, *Chaetomium* globosum, with seed coat microflora. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 28, 431–437. doi: 10.1139/m82-065

Jogaiah, S., Abdelrahman, M., Tran, L. S. P., and Shin-ichi, I. (2013). Characterization of rhizosphere fungi that mediate resistance in tomato against bacterial wilt disease. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64, 3829–3842. doi: 10.1093/jxb/er t212

Khan, A. L., Waqas, M., Hussain, J., Al-Harrasi, A., Hamayun, M., and Lee, I. J. (2015). Phytohormones enabled endophytic fungal symbiosis improve aluminum phytoextraction in tolerant *Solanum lycopersicum*: An examples of *Penicillium* janthinellum LK5 and comparison with exogenous GA3. *J. Hazar. Mater.* 295, 70–78. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015. 04.008

Kheyri, F., Taheri, P., and Jafarinejad-Farsangi, S. (2022). Thiamine and *Piriformospora* indica induce bean resistance against *Rhizoctonia* solani: The role of polyamines in association with iron and reactive oxygen species. *Biol. Control* 172:104955. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2022. 104955

Kikuchi, T., Kadota, S., Suehara, H., Nishi, A., and Tsubaki, K. (1981). Odorous metabolites of a fungus, *Chaetomium* globosum Kinze ex Fr. Identification of geosmin, a musty-smelling compound. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 29, 1782–1784. doi: 10.1248/cpb.29.1782

Kommedahl, T., and Mew, I. C. (1975). Biocontrol of corn root infection in the field by seed treatment with antagonists. *Phytopathology* 65, 296–300. doi: 10.1094/Phyto-65-296

Koneman, E. W., Roberts, G. D., and Wright, S. F. (1978). *Practical laboratory mycology*. Baltimore: The Williams & Wilkins Company.

Korpi, A., Pasanen, A. L., and Pasanen, P. (1998). Volatile compounds originating from mixed microbial cultures on building materials under various humidity conditions. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64, 2914–2919. doi: 10.1128/AEM. 64.8.2914-2919.1998

Kumar, G. S., Kammana, B. C., and Benagi, V. I. (2011). Management of Chrysanthemum leaf blight caused by *Alternaria* alternata (fr.) Keissler under field condition. *Plant Arch.* 11, 553–555.

Kumar, V., Haldar, S., Pandey, K. K., Singh, R. P., Singh, A. K., and Singh, P. C. (2008). Cultural, morphological, pathogenic and molecular variability amongst tomato isolates of *Alternaria* solani in India. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 24, 1003–1009. doi: 10.1007/s11274-007-9568-3

Li, Y., Zhang, J., Zhang, J., Xu, W., and Mou, Z. (2019). Characteristics of inorganic phosphate-solubilizing bacteria from the sediments of a eutrophic lake. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 16:2141. doi: 10.3390/ijerph1612 2141

Li, Z., Guo, B., Wan, K., Cong, M., Huang, H., and Ge, Y. (2015). Effects of bacteria-free filtrate from *Bacillus* megaterium strain L2 on the mycelium growth and spore germination of *Alternaria* alternata. *Biotechnol. Equip.* 29, 1062–1068. doi: 10.1080/13102818.2015.1068135

Lingappa, Y., and Lockwood, J. L. (1962). Chitin media for selective isolation and culture of actinomycetes. *Phytopathology* 52, 317–323. doi: 10.1038/189158a0

Mao, W., Lewis, J. A., Hebbar, P. K., and Lumsden, R. D. (1997). Seed treatment with a fungal or a bacterial antagonist for reducing corn damping-off caused by species of *Pythium* and *Fusarium*. *Plant Dis.* 81, 450–454. doi: 10.1094/PDIS.1997. 81.5.450

Mathre, D. E., Johnston, R. H., Callan, N. W., Mohan, S. K., Martin, J. M., and Miller, J. B. (1995). Combined biological and chemical seed treatment for control of two seedling diseases of sh2 sweet corn. *Plant Dis.* 79, 1145–1148. doi: 10.1094/PD-79-1145

Medwid, R. D., and Grant, D. W. (1984). Germination of *Rhizopus* oligosporus sporangiospores. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 48, 1067–1071. doi: 10.1128/aem.48.6. 1067-1071.1984

Mehta, S., and Nautiyal, C. S. (2001). An efficient method for qualitative screening of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria. *Curr. Microbiol.* 43, 51–56. doi: 10. 1007/s002840010259

Milagres, A. M. F., and Machuca, A. (2003). Use of CAS-agar plate modified to study the effect of different variables on the siderophore production by Aspergillus. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 36, 177–181. doi: 10.1046/j.1472-765X.2003.01290.x

Morkunas, I., and Ratajczak, L. (2014). The role of sugar signaling in plant defense responses against fungal pathogens. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 36, 1607–1619. doi: 10.1007/s11738-014-1559-z

Morsy, M., Cleckler, B., and Armuelles-Millican, H. (2020). Fungal Endophytes Promote Tomato Growth and Enhance Drought and Salt Tolerance. *Plants* 9:877. doi: 10.3390/plants9070877

Murthy, H. N., Lee, E. J., and Paek, K. Y. (2014). Production of secondary metabolites from cell and organ cultures: Strategies and approaches for biomass improvement and metabolite accumulation. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ. Cult.* 118, 1–16. doi: 10.1007/s11240-014-0467-7

Nefzi, A., Abdallah, R. A. B., Jabnoun-Khiareddine, H., Ammar, N., and Daami-Remadi, M. (2019). Ability of endophytic fungi associated with *Withania somnifera* L. to control *Fusarium Crown* and root rot and to promote growth in tomato. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* 50, 481–494. doi: 10.1007/s42770-019-00062-w

Nishino, S., Parada, R. Y., Ichiyanagi, T., Maekawa, N., Shimomura, N., and Otani, H. (2013). 1-Phenyl-3-pentanone, a volatile compound from the edible mushroom *Mycoleptodonoides* aitchisonii active against some phytopathogenic fungi. *J. Phytopathol.* 161, 515–521. doi: 10.1111/jph.12100

Numponsak, T., Kumla, J., Suwannarach, N., Matsui, K., and Lumyong, S. (2018). Biosynthetic pathway and optimal conditions for the production of indole-3-acetic acid by an endophytic fungus, *Colletotrichum* fructicola CMU-A109. *PLoS One* 13:e0205070. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0205070

O'Donnell, K., and Cigelnik, E. (1997). Two divergent intragenomic rDNA ITS2 types within a monophyletic lineage of the fungus fusarium are nonorthologous. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 7, 103–116. doi: 10.1006/mpev.1996.0376

Pikovskaya, R. I. (1948). Mobilization of phosphorus in soil in connection with the vital activity of some microbial species. *Microbiologiya* 17, 362–370.

Rai, B., and Upadhyay, R. S. (1983). Competitive saprophytic colonization of pigeon-pea substrate by *Fusarium* udum in relation to environmental factors, chemical treatments and microbial antagonism. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 15, 187–191. doi: 10.1016/0038-0717(83)90101-3

Ramezani, Y., Taheri, P., and Mamarabadi, M. (2019). Identification of *Alternaria* spp. associated with tomato early blight in Iran and investigating some of their virulence factors. *J. Plant Pathol.* 101, 647–659. doi: 10.1007/s42161-019-00259-w

Ramírez-Cariño, H. F., Guadarrama-Mendoza, P. C., Sánchez-López, V., Cuervo-Parra, J. A., Ramírez-Reyes, T., Dunlap, C. A., et al. (2020). Biocontrol of *Alternaria* alternata and *Fusarium* oxysporum by *Trichoderma* asperelloides and Bacillus paralicheniformis in tomato plants. *Antonie Leeuwenhoek* 113, 1247– 1261. doi: 10.1007/s10482-020-01433-2

Ramzan, N., Noreen, N., and Shahzad, S. (2014). Inhibition of in vitro growth of soil-borne pathogens by compost-inhabiting indigenous bacteria and fungi. Pak. J. Bot. 46, 1093–1099.

Rex, B., Prabhu, S., and Kumar, J.S. (2019). Antifungal efficacies of plant extracts against *Alternaria* solani (Ellis and Martin) jones and groutunder in vitrocondition. *Ann. Phytomed.* 8, 1–5. doi: 10.21276/ap.2019.8.1.19

Roy, C. K., Akter, N., Sarkar, M. K. I., Pk, M. U., Begum, N., Zenat, E. A., and Jahan, M. A. (2019). Control of early blight of tomato caused by *Alternaria* Solani and screening of tomato varieties against the pathogen. *Open Microbiol. J.* 13, 41–50. doi: 10.2174/1874285801913010041

Sánchez-Fernández, R. E., Diaz, D., Duarte, G., Lappe-Oliveras, P., Sánchez, S., and Macías-Rubalcava, M. L. (2016). Antifungal volatile organic compounds from the endophyte *Nodulisporium* sp. strain GS4d2II1a: A qualitative change in the intraspecific and interspecific interactions with *Pythium* aphanidermatum. *Microb. Ecol.* 71, 347–364. doi: 10.1007/s00248-015-0679-3

Saharan, G. S., Mehta, N., Meena, P. D. and Dayal, P. (2016). Alternaria diseases of Crucifers: Biology, ecology and disease management. Singapore: Springer.

Satyanarayana, T., and Johri, B. N. (1981). Volatile sporostatic factors of thermophilous fungal strains of paddy straw compost. *Curr. Sci.* 50, 763–766.

Seeliger, H. P. (1956). Use of a urease test for the screening and identification of cryptococci. J. Bacteriol. 72, 127–131. doi: 10.1128/jb.72.2.127-131.1956

Sekhar, A., Velyvis, A., Zoltsman, G., Rosenzweig, R., Bouvignies, G., and Kay, L. E. (2018). Conserved conformational selection mechanism of Hsp70 chaperone-substrate interactions. *Elife* 7:e32764. doi: 10.7554/eLife.327 64.001

Sempere, F., and Santamarina, M. P. (2010). Study of the interactions between Penicillium oxalicum Currie & Thom and *Alternaria* alternata (Fr.) Keissler. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* 41, 700–706. doi: 10.1590/S1517-8382201000500 0003

Sharma, B. B., Wahab, S., and Neera, J. (1981). Studies on certain antifungal soilfungi as control agents against cottony-leak in cucurbit fruits. *Indian Phytopathol.* 34, 250–252.

Siddiqui, Z. A., and Mahmood, I. (1992). Biological control of root-rot disease complex of chickpea caused by *Meloidogyne* incognita race 3 and *Macrophomina* phaseolina. *Nematol. Mediterr.* 20, 199-202.

Su, Y. Y., Qi, Y. L., and Cai, L. (2012). Induction of sporulation in plant pathogenic fungi. *Mycology* 3, 195-200.

Sujatha, H. S., Murali, M., and Amruthesh, K. N. (2021). Fungal endophytes as growth promoters and inducers of resistance in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.) against *Alternaria* Solani. *Int. J. Life Sci. Biotechnol. Pharma Res.* 11, 227–235. doi: 10.22376/ijpbs/lpr.2021.11.2.L227-235

Taheri, P., and Flaherty, J. (2022). Beneficial microorganisms in agriculture. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 117:101777. doi: 10.1016/j.pmpp.2021.101777

Taheri, P., and Tarighi, S. (2010). Riboflavin induces resistance in rice against *Rhizoctonia* solani via jasmonate-mediated priming of phenylpropanoid pathway. *J. Plant Physiol.* 167, 201–208. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2009. 08.003

Tekiner, N., Kotan, R., Tozlu, E., and Dadaşoğlu, F. (2019). Determination of some biological control agents against *Alternaria* fruit rot in quince. *Alinteri J. Agric. Sci.* 34, 25–31. doi: 10.28955/alinterizbd.578541

Thomma, B. P. (2003). Alternaria spp: From general saprophyte to specific parasite. Mol. Plant Pathol. 4, 225–236. doi: 10.1046/j.1364-3703.2003.00173.x

Tozlu, E., Tekiner, N., and Kotan, R. (2018). Screening of Trichoderma harzianum Rifai (1969) isolates of domestic plant origin against different fungal plant pathogens for use as biopesticide. *Fresenius Environ. Bull.* 27, 4232–4238.

Tucci, M., Ruocco, M., De Masi, L., De Palma, M., and Lorito, M. (2011). The beneficial effect of *Trichoderma* spp. on tomato is modulated by the plant genotype. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 12, 341–354. doi: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2010.00674.x

Turhan, G., and Grossmann, F. (1989). Antifungal and antibacterial activity of *Acrophialophora* levis samson and Tariq Mahmood. *J. Phytopathol.* 124, 200–206. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0434.1989.tb04915.x

Tveit, M., and Moore, M. B. (1954). Isolates of *Chaetomium* that protect oats from *Helminthosporium* victoriae. *Phytopathology* 44, 686–689.

Valli, P. P. S., and Muthukumar, T. (2018). Dark septate root endophytic fungus Nectria haematococca improves tomato growth under water limiting conditions. *Indian J. Microbiol.* 58, 489–495. doi: 10.1007/s12088-018-0749-6

Vierheilig, H., Coughlan, A. P., Wyss, U., and Piche, Y. (1998). Ink and vinegar, a simple staining technique for arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64, 5004–5007. doi: 10.1128/AEM.64.12.5004-5007.1998

Vilich, V., Dolfen, M., and Sikora, R. A. (1998). Chaetomium spp. colonization of barley following seed treatment and its effect on plant growth and *Erysiphe* graminis f. sp. hordei disease severity. *J. Plant Dis. Prot.* 105, 130–139.

Wady, L., and Larsson, L. (2005). Determination of microbial volatile organic compounds adsorbed on house dust particles and gypsum board using SPME/GC-MS. *Indoor Air.* 15, 27–32. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0668.2005.00 293.x

Wang, X. W., Bai, F. Y., Bensch, K., Meijer, M., Sun, B. D., Han, Y. F., et al. (2019). Phylogenetic re-evaluation of *Thielavia* with the introduction of a new family podosporaceae. *Stud. Mycol.* 93, 155–252. doi: 10.1016/j.simyco.2019.08. 002

Wang, X. W., Houbraken, J., Groenewald, J. Z., Meijer, M., Andersen, B., Nielsen, K. F., et al. (2016). Diversity and taxonomy of *Chaetomium* and chaetomium-like fungi from indoor environments. *Stud. Mycol.* 84, 145–224. doi: 10.1016/j.simyco.2016.11.005

Waqas, M., Khan, A. L., and Lee, I. J. (2014). Bioactive chemical constituents produced by endophytes and effects on rice plant growth. *J. Plant Interact.* 9, 478–487. doi: 10.1080/17429145.2013.860562

White, T. J., Bruns, T. D., Lee, S., and Taylor, J. (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. California: Academic Press. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1

Wiyakrutta, S., Sriubolmas, N., Panphut, W., Thongon, N., Danwisetkanjana, K., Ruangrungsi, N., et al. (2004). Endophytic fungi with anti-microbial, anti-cancer and anti-malarial activities isolated from Thai medicinal plants. *World J. Microbiol Biotechnol.* 20, 265–272. doi: 10.1023/B:WIBI.0000023832.27679.a8

Xiao, Y., Li, H. X., Li, C., Wang, J. X., Li, J., Wang, M. H., and Ye, Y. H. (2013). Antifungal screening of endophytic fungi from Ginkgo biloba for discovery of potent anti-phytopathogenic fungicides. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 339, 130–136. doi: 10.1111/1574-6968.12065

Zavala-Gonzalez, E. A., Escudero, N., Lopez-Moya, F., Aranda-Martinez, A., Exposito, A., Ricaño-Rodríguez, J., et al. (2015). Some isolates of the nematophagous fungus *Pochonia* chlamydosporia promote root growth and reduce flowering time of tomato. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 166, 472–483. doi: 10.1111/aab. 12199

Zhang, Q., Li, H. Q., Zong, S. C., Gao, J. M., and Zhang, A. L. (2012). Chemical and bioactive diversities of the genus *Chaetomium* secondary metabolites. *Mini Rev. Med. Chem.* 12, 127–148. doi: 10.2174/138955712798995066

Zhang, Y., Chen, F. S., Wu, X. Q., Luan, F. G., Zhang, L. P., Fang, X. M., and Ye, J. R. (2018). Isolation and characterization of two phosphate-solubilizing fungi from rhizosphere soil of moso bamboo and their functional capacities when exposed to different phosphorus sources and pH environments. *PLoS One* 13:e0199625. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0199625

Zhang, Y.J., Zhang, S., Liu, X.Z., Wen, H.A., and Wang, M. (2010). A simple method of genomic DNA extraction suitable for analysis of bulk fungal strains. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 51, 114–118. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2010.02 867.x