### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Suleyman I. Allakhverdiev, Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Russia

#### REVIEWED BY

Amgalanbaatar Baldansuren, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, United States Jan Kern, Berkeley Lab (DOE), United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Keisuke Saito Ksaito@appchem.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp Hiroshi Ishikita hiro@appchem.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Plant Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 27 August 2022 ACCEPTED 10 February 2023 PUBLISHED 16 March 2023

#### CITATION

Saito K, Nakao S and Ishikita H (2023) Identification of the protonation and oxidation states of the oxygen-evolving complex in the low-dose X-ray crystal structure of photosystem II. *Front. Plant Sci.* 14:1029674. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1029674

### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Saito, Nakao and Ishikita. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Identification of the protonation and oxidation states of the oxygen-evolving complex in the low-dose X-ray crystal structure of photosystem II

### Keisuke Saito<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Shu Nakao<sup>1</sup> and Hiroshi Ishikita<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Center for Advanced Science and Technology, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Chemistry, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

In photosystem II (PSII), the O3 and O4 sites of the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster form hydrogen bonds with D1-His337 and a water molecule (W539), respectively. The low-dose X-ray structure shows that these hydrogen bond distances differ between the two homogeneous monomer units (A and B) [Tanaka et al., J. Am Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 1718]. We investigated the origin of the differences using a quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical (QM/MM) approach. QM/MM calculations show that the short O4-O<sub>W539</sub> hydrogen bond (~2.5 Å) of the B monomer is reproduced when O4 is protonated in the S<sub>1</sub> state. The short O3-N $\epsilon_{His337}$  hydrogen bond of the A monomer is due to the formation of a low-barrier hydrogen bond between O3 and doubly-protonated D1-His337 in the overreduced states (S<sub>-1</sub> or S<sub>-2</sub>). It seems plausible that the oxidation state differs between the two monomer units in the crystal.

#### KEYWORDS

crystal structure, x-ray radiation damage, low barrier hydrogen bond, photosystem II, oxygen evolving complex, quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM)

# Introduction

The reaction center in photosystem II (PSII) has the O<sub>2</sub> evolving complex, Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster (Figure 1A) (Shen, 2015; Cardona and Rutherford, 2019). O<sub>2</sub> evolves at the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster, which has five O atoms (O1 to O5), two ligand water molecules at the Mn4 site (W1 and W2), and two additional water molecules at the Ca site (W3 and W4). O1 and O4 form an hydrogen bond to water molecules (Figure 1A). To convert two substrate water molecules into O<sub>2</sub>, four electrons and four protons must be removed. As electron transfer proceeds, the oxidation state of the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster, S<sub>m</sub> increases, and protons are released with a typical stoichiometry of 1:0:1:2 for the S<sub>0</sub>  $\rightarrow$  S<sub>1</sub>  $\rightarrow$  S<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  S<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  S<sub>0</sub> transitions (Suzuki et al., 2005). O<sub>2</sub> evolves during the S<sub>3</sub> to S<sub>0</sub> transition, followed by the first proton release during the S<sub>0</sub> to S<sub>1</sub> transition. Based on density functional theory (DFT) calculations performed in the absence of the PSII protein environment, it was proposed

that the O5 site was protonated in S<sub>0</sub> (Siegbahn, 2013; Krewald et al., 2015; Lohmiller et al., 2017). However, the PSII structure shows that O5 has no H-bond partner, which suggests that the release of the proton from O5 is unlikely to occur in the PSII protein environment. In contrast, the O4 site forms a significantly short hydrogen bond (< 2.5 Å) with the adjacent water molecule (W539) in the PSII structures (Umena et al., 2011; Suga et al., 2015). Quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical (QM/MM) calculations indicated that O4 and W539 form a low-barrier hydrogen bond (LBHB), which facilitates the release of the proton from O4 during the S<sub>0</sub> to S<sub>1</sub> transition (Saito et al., 2015; Takaoka et al., 2016). Time resolved infrared (TRIR) and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) studies (Shimizu et al., 2018; Yamamoto et al., 2020) suggested that the S<sub>0</sub> to S<sub>1</sub> transition is the fastest among all S state transitions, which is in line with the formation of the LBHB between O4 and W539.

The Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster structure was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) crystallography at a resolution of 1.9 Å (Umena et al., 2011) on the assumption that it was in the darkstable S1 state, as the PSII crystals used for the diffraction experiments were stored in the dark during crystallization and the diffraction intensity measurements. The structure was obtained by using a high-dose X-ray (an average dose of 0.43 MGy) and is referred to as the high-dose structure. Thus, the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster may have been overreduced, including Mn(II) (Yano et al., 2005; Grabolle et al., 2006; Luber et al., 2011; Galstyan et al., 2012; Glockner et al., 2013; Saito and Ishikita, 2019). Using X-ray free-electron laser (XFEL), the "radiation-damage-free" structure was reported (Suga et al., 2015). However, it was suggested that the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster is reduced to S<sub>0</sub> even in the XFEL structures (Askerka et al., 2015). Tanaka et al. determined structures using significantly lower X-ray doses (0.03 and 0.12 MGy) with conventional synchrotron radiation sources (low-dose structures) (Tanaka et al., 2017).

In the low-dose PSII structures, the hydrogen bond distances differ significantly between the two monomer units (A and B) (Tanaka et al., 2017). The main differences were as follows: (1) the hydrogen bond between O3 and D1-His337 was shorter in the A monomer (2.46 Å) than in the B monomer (2.75 Å) (Figure 1B); (2) the hydrogen bond between O4 and W539 [W6 in ref. (Tanaka et al., 2017)] was longer in the A monomer (2.66 Å) than in the B monomer (2.44 Å) (Figure 1B).

It was speculated that the differences in the hydrogen-bond distance were due to the difference in the protonation states of D1-His337 and W539 (Tanaka et al., 2017). According to Tanaka et al., D1-His337 might be doubly-protonated [HN-His-NH]<sup>+</sup> in the short O3-His337 hydrogen bond and singly-protonated [HN-His-N]<sup>0</sup> in the long O3-His337 hydrogen bond (Figure 2B). Tanaka et al. also speculated that W539 existed as  $H_3O^+$  in the short O4-W539 hydrogen bond. However,  $H_3O^+$  (p $K_a = -1.7$ ) can exist only when the binding moiety is stabilized by a cluster of acidic residues (Ikeda et al., 2017). In contrast, there exists the positively charged Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster adjacent to W539, which inhibits the formation of  $H_3O^+$ . Thus, either  $[O4-H...O_{W539}H_2]^+$  (protonated O4) or [O4...HO<sub>W539</sub>H]<sup>0</sup> (deprotonated O4) can be more relevant (Saito et al., 2015) (Figure 2A). The details of the protonation states in the low-dose structure are not reported. Here, we investigate the protonation state in the low-dose structure using a QM/ MM approach.

### **Methods**

The PSII atomic coordinates were taken from the lower dose structure (0.03 MGy; PDB-ID 5B5E) (Tanaka et al., 2017). The H atom positions were optimized with CHARMM (Brooks et al., 1983), whereas the heavy atom positions were fixed. During the procedure, all titratable groups (e.g., acidic and basic groups) were ionized. Additional counter ions were added to neutralize the entire system in QM/MM calculations. Atomic partial charges of the amino acids were obtained from the CHARMM22 (MacKerell et al., 1998) parameter set, whereas those of cofactors were obtained from previous studies (Saito et al., 2015). D1-Asp61 is fully ionized (Ishikita et. al., 2006). Note that other titratable residues (e.g., D1-Glu65 and D2-Glu312) are >13 Å away from the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster.



FIGURE 1

(A) Structure and hydrogen-bond partner (cyan) of oxygen atoms of the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster. W539 is a part of the O4 water chain that functions as the proton transfer pathway in the proton release during the S<sub>0</sub> to S<sub>1</sub> transition. (B) Differences in hydrogen-bond distances of the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster between the A and B monomers of the low-dose structure (0.03 MGy; PDB-ID 5B5E)



The QM/MM calculation was performed as done in previous studies (Mandal et al., 2020). Using the QSite (QSite, 2012) program, the unrestricted DFT method was employed with the B3LYP functional and LACVP\*\* basis sets. The atom positions in the QM region were fully relaxed, whereas the H atom positions in the MM region were optimized using the OPLS2005 force field. The Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster was considered to be ferromagnetically coupled (i.e., the total spin S = 14/2, 15/2, 16/2, and 17/2 in  $S_1$ ,  $S_0$ ,  $S_{-1}$ , and S<sub>-2</sub>, respectively). Notably, the resulting optimized Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> geometry appears not to be crucial to the spin configurations, as demonstrated in previous theoretical studies (Ames et al., 2011; Isobe et al., 2012). Indeed, the calculated distances in the antiferromagnetically coupled (i.e. low spin) cases (Table S1) were essentially the same as the ferromagnetically coupled (i.e., high spin) cases. The Mn valence states were determined by spin densities obtained from the Mulliken population analysis (Table S2). O1, O2, O3, and O5 were considered to be unprotonated  $(O^{2-})$ , while O4 was considered to be protonated (OH<sup>-</sup>) in the protonated O4 hydrogen-bond pattern (Figure 2A).

The initial-guess wavefunctions were obtained using the ligand field theory (Vacek et al., 1999) implemented in the QSite program. The QM region was defined as the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster (including the ligand side-chains of D1-Asp170, D1-Glu189, D1-His332, D1-Glu333, D1-Asp342, and CP43-Glu354; the ligand carboxy-terminal group of D1-Ala344; and the ligand water molecules, W1–W4), the O4-water chain (W539, W538, and W393) (Saito et al., 2015; Takaoka et al., 2016), the Cl-1 binding site (Cl-1, W442, W446, and the side-chains of D1-Asn181 and D2-Lys317), the second-sphere ligands (side-chains of D1-Asp61 and CP43-Arg357), and the hydrogen-bond network of TyrZ (side-chains of D1-Tyr161, D1-His190, and D1-Asn298), including the diamond-

shaped cluster of water molecules (W5, W6, and W7) (Saito et al., 2011; Kawashima et al., 2018). To calculate the distance of the LBHB in the  $[O3...H-His-H]^+$  pattern in S<sub>-2</sub>, the QM region was extended to include the hydrogen-bond network of three water molecules near D1-His337. All other protein units and cofactors were approximated by the MM force field. See Supporting Information for the atomic coordinates of the resulting QM region.

# **Results and discussion**

The O4...O<sub>W539</sub> hydrogen bond is particularly short in the B monomer of the low-dose structure (2.44 and 2.45 Å in the 0.03 and 0.12 MGy structures, respectively). In the QM/MM geometry optimization using the 0.03 MGy low-dose structure, a short hydrogen bond is reproduced (2.48 and 2.44 Å) when O4 is protonated ([O4-H...O<sub>W539</sub>H<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>) and D1-His337 is doubly-protonated ([HN-His-NH]<sup>+</sup>) in S<sub>1</sub> in both the A and B monomers (Table 1), as previously reported in a QM/MM study on the high-dose structure (Saito et al., 2015).

The O3...NE<sub>His337</sub> hydrogen bond is particularly short in the B monomer of the low-dose structure (2.46 and 2.48 Å in the 0.03 and 0.12 MGy structures, respectively). In the QM/MM geometry optimization, the short hydrogen bond cannot be reproduced regardless of the protonation state of D1-His337 ([HN-His-NH]<sup>+</sup> and [HN-His]<sup>0</sup>) in both S<sub>0</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> (Table 1). Notably, the protonation state of O4 did not substantially affect the O3... NE<sub>His337</sub> distance. Thus, the short hydrogen bond between O3 and D1-His337 in the A monomer cannot be explained by the difference in the protonation state of D1-His337 in contrast to the mechanism speculated by Tanaka et al. (Tanaka et al., 2017).

### TABLE 1 Hydrogen bond distances for the low dose and QM/MM-optimized structures (in Å).

Unit <sup>a</sup>				А		В	
Structure		D1-His337	O4-W539	040 <sub>W539</sub>	Ο3Νε <sub>His337</sub>	040 <sub>w539</sub>	Ο3Νε <sub>His33</sub>
Crystal							
Low-dose							
5B5E (0.03 MGy)				2.66	2.46	2.44	2.75
5B66 (0.12 MGy)				2.71	2.48	2.45	2.74
QM/MM							
(Standard oxidation state)							
Low-dose (5B5E)	S <sub>0</sub>	[H-His-H] <sup>+</sup>	[O4-HOH <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>+b</sup>	2.60	2.66	2.55	2.67
		[His-H] <sup>0</sup>		2.61	2.74	2.57	2.74
		[H-His-H] <sup>+</sup>	[O4HOH] <sup>0 c</sup>	2.57	2.61	2.56	2.61
		[His-H] <sup>0</sup>		2.55	2.75	2.55	2.73
	S <sub>1</sub>	[H-His-H] <sup>+</sup>	[O4-HOH <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>+ d</sup>	2.48	2.72	2.44	2.74
		[His-H] <sup>0</sup>		2.51	2.77	2.46	2.80
		[H-His-H] <sup>+</sup>	[O4HOH] <sup>0 d</sup>	2.63	2.67	2.62	2.68
		[His-H] <sup>0</sup>		2.61	2.74	2.61	2.73
(Overreduced state)							
Low-dose (5B5E)	S <sub>-1</sub>	[H-His-H] <sup>+</sup>	[O4-HOH <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>+ e</sup>	2.64	2.58	2.61	2.57
		[His-H] <sup>0</sup>		2.64	2.72	2.63	2.71
		[H-His-H] <sup>+</sup>	[O4HOH] <sup>0 e</sup>	2.57	2.54	2.57	2.56
		[His-H] <sup>0</sup>		2.61	2.72	2.59	2.71
	S <sub>-2</sub>	[O3-HHis-H]+	[O4-HOH <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>+ f</sup>	2.72	2.60	2.67	2.57
		[O3H-His-H] <sup>+</sup>		2.75	2.56	2.70	2.53
		[His-H] <sup>0</sup>		2.76	2.69	2.68	2.68
		[H-His-H] <sup>+</sup>	[O4HOH] <sup>0 f</sup>	2.52	2.64	g	g
		[His-H] <sup>0</sup>		g	g	g	g

<sup>a</sup>PSII monomer unit ID in the PSII dimer.

<sup>b</sup>(Mn1, Mn2, Mn3, Mn4) = (III, IV, III, III).

 $^{c}$ (Mn1, Mn2, Mn3, Mn4) = (III, III, IV, III).

<sup>d</sup>(Mn1, Mn2, Mn3, Mn4) = (III, IV, IV, III).

e(Mn1, Mn2, Mn3, Mn4) = (III, III, III, III).

f(Mn1, Mn2, Mn3, Mn4) = (III, III, III, II).

 ${}^{g}S_{-2}$  was not obtained due to internal electron transfer from Mn4 to D1-Asn298.

Short distances (< 2.5 Å for the O-O distance and < 2.55 Å for the O-N distance) are in bold. —, not applicable.

D1-His337 is either doubly-protonated ([H-His-H]<sup>+</sup>) or singly-protonated ([His-H]<sup>0</sup>). O4 is either protonated

 $([O4-H...OH_2]^+)$  or deprotonated  $([O4...HOH]^0)$ .

A possible reason for the short hydrogen bond between O3 and D1-His337 could be the overreduced state of the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster. A DFT study demonstrated that the proton of D1-His337 can be transferred to O3 during overreduction of the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster (Galstyan et al., 2012). A previous QM/MM study on the high-dose structure also reported that the hydrogen-bond distance between O3 and D1-His337 decreased (2.58 Å for O3...N $\epsilon_{His337}$ ) when the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster was overreduced in S<sub>-2</sub> (Saito and Ishikita, 2019). Therefore, the short hydrogen bond of the low-dose structures may originate from the overreduced state in the crystal.

The QM/MM optimized geometries indicate that the O3... N $\epsilon_{His337}$  distance decreased as the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster is reduced from  $S_1$  to  $S_{-1}$  when D1-His337 is doubly-protonated ([HN-His-NH]<sup>+</sup>) (Figure 3B). In  $S_{-2}$ , a proton can move from D1-His337 to O3 (i.e., [O3-H...His-H]<sup>+</sup>) and the O3...N $\epsilon_{His337}$  distance increases (2.63 Å) relative to  $S_{-1}$  (2.57 Å). In contrast, the O3...N $\epsilon_{His337}$  hydrogen bond (2.54 Å) is the shortest when D1-His337 is doubly-protonated (i.e., [O3...H-His-H]<sup>+</sup>). Proton transfer to O3 from D1-His337 during overreduction was previously reported in a DFT study (Galstyan et al., 2012). Proton transfer can easily occur in a short



#### FIGURE 3

Dependence of calculated hydrogen-bond distances on the oxidation state (S state) of the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster in the QM/MM optimized structures. (A) The O4... $O_{W539}$  distance in the protonated-O4  $[O4-H...O_{W539}H_2]^+$  pattern, which was averaged over different protonation states of D1-His337 ([HN-His-NH]<sup>+</sup> and [HN-His]<sup>0</sup>) and the two monomer units. (B) The O3...Ne<sub>His337</sub> distance in doubly-protonated His337 ([H-His-H]<sup>+</sup>), which was averaged over different protonation states of O4 (the protonated and deprotonated O4 patterns) and the two monomer units. The dotted horizontal line indicates the averaged distance of the low-dose structures (PDB-IDs: 5E5B and 5B66) in the A (red) and B (blue) monomers shown in Table 1. The dotted box represents the best-fitted S state with the crystal-structure distances of the A (red; S<sub>-1</sub> or S<sub>-2</sub>) and B (blue; S<sub>1</sub>) monomers. In S<sub>-2</sub>, the two conformations for the O3-His337 hydrogen bond (i.e.,  $[O3-H...His-H]^+$  and  $[O3...H-His-H]^+$ ) were obtained. The error bars represent the standard deviations.

LBHB (~2.5 Å) (Ishikita and Saito, 2014). Accordingly, the short hydrogen-bond (2.46 and 2.48 Å) in the low-dose structure suggests that the LBHB exists in either  $S_{-1}$  or  $S_{-2}$ . It should be noted that the O3...N $\epsilon_{His337}$  distance does not change upon oxidation when D1-His337 is singly-protonated ([HN-His]<sup>0</sup>) (Figure S1a).

The O4...O<sub>W539</sub> distance decreases in the protonated O4 pattern ( $[O4-H \dots O_{W539}H_2]^+$ ) as the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster is oxidized from S<sub>-2</sub> to S<sub>2</sub> (Figure 3A). Thus, the O4...O<sub>W539</sub> hydrogen bond in S<sub>1</sub> is the shortest among all S states investigated, which is consistent with a previous QM/MM study showing that  $[O4-H...O_{W539}H_2]^+$  forms an LBHB in S<sub>1</sub> (Figure 1A) (Saito et al., 2015).

The "standard" O3...N $\epsilon_{His337}$  and "short" O4...O<sub>W539</sub> hydrogen bonds (Figure 1B) in the B monomer are best fitted to the case with doubly-protonated D1-His337 ([H-His-H]<sup>+</sup>) and deprotonated O4 ([O4...HO<sub>W539</sub>H]<sup>0</sup>) in S<sub>1</sub> (Figure 3). In contrast, the short O3... N $\epsilon_{His337}$  and standard O4...O<sub>W539</sub> hydrogen bonds in the A monomer are best fitted to the case with doubly-protonated D1-His337 ([H-His-H]<sup>+</sup>) and protonated O4 ([O4H...O<sub>W539</sub>H<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>) in S \_1 or S\_2 (Figure 3). Note that doubly-protonated D1-His337 was reported in a FTIR study (Nakamura and Noguchi, 2017). These results may indicate that the two monomer units are in different oxidation states (i.e., S<sub>-2</sub> or S<sub>-1</sub> for the A monomer and S<sub>1</sub> for the B monomer) as speculated by Tanaka et al. (Tanaka et al., 2017). Notably, a machine learning study suggested that the oxidation state differs between monomer units (e.g., S<sub>0</sub> for the A monomer and S<sub>1</sub> for the B monomer in the 0.03 MGy structure (Amin, 2022). The difference in the hydrogen-bond distance originates from the difference in the charge of the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster. The O4-H...O<sub>W539</sub> hydrogen bond lengthens due to an increase in  $pK_a$  of the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster as the cluster is reduced (Figure 4A). Therefore, the short LBHB of  $[O4-H...O_{W539}H_2]^+$  in S<sub>1</sub> is lost upon reduction, which results in a standard hydrogen bond (Figure 4A). The O3...His337 hydrogen bond shortens due to an increase in  $pK_a$  of the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster as the cluster is reduced (Figure 4B). Therefore, the short LBHB of  $[O3...H-His-H]^+$  in S<sub>-2</sub> is lost upon oxidation, which results in a standard hydrogen bond (Figure 4B).

Thus, the  $[O4-H \dots O_{W539}H_2]^+$  hydrogen bond is longer in the overreduced state than that in S<sub>1</sub>, whereas the  $[O3...H-His-H]^+$  hydrogen bond is shorter in the overreduced state than that in the S<sub>1</sub> state (Figure 3). In the low-dose structures, the O3... N $\epsilon_{His337}$  hydrogen bond is shorter in the A monomer than that in the B monomer, whereas the O4...O<sub>W539</sub> hydrogen bond is longer in the A monomer than that in the B monomer (Figure 2A; Table 1). Thus, O4 is protonated and that the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster may be more reduced in the A monomer than in the B monomer (Figure 5).

These results suggest that A monomers are more damaged than B monomers in the crystal, although the X-ray dose received by both monomers should be identical. This may be explained by the difference in radical diffusion between the two monomer units. Water molecules can be involved in the free radical generation induced by X-ray (Ma et al., 2018). The free radical generation is



### FIGURE 4

Relationship between the hydrogen bond distance and oxidation state of the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster when (A) O4 donates the hydrogen bond to W539 ([O4-H...O<sub>W539</sub>H<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>) and (B) O3 accepts the hydrogen bond from D1-His337 ([O3...H-His-H]<sup>+</sup>). Schematic illustrations of the hydrogen-bond potential-energy curves are shown.  $r_{O4-H}$  and  $r_{O3-H}$  represent the O4-H<sup>+</sup> and O3-H<sup>+</sup> distances, respectively.



particularly pronounced near metal cofactors (e.g., the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster), as they can absorb free electrons and be reduced (Beyerlein et al., 2018; Nass, 2019) as pointed out in theoretical studies on the XFEL structure (Mandal et al., 2021). Therefore, the arrangement of water molecules near the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster may be a factor for the difference in the radiation damage. In the PSII crystal structure (Umena et al., 2011; Suga et al., 2015), the number and arrangement of water molecules of the O4 channel differ between monomer units [see Table S1 in (Sakashita et al., 2020)]. The structural difference

might also be due to the difference in crystal packing (Tanaka et al., 2017).

In the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster of the low-dose structure, the Mn4-O4 distances are 1.87 and 2.27 Å and the Mn3-O3 distances are 2.27 and 1.96 Å in the A and B monomers, respectively (Tanaka et al., 2017). The calculated geometries did not reproduce the differences (Table S3).

In summary, the difference in the hydrogen-bond distance between the two homogeneous (A and B) monomer units in the low-dose structure originates from the difference in the D1-His337/ O4 protonation state and the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  oxidation state. The short O4-W539 hydrogen bond in the B monomer can be reproduced when O4 is protonated in S<sub>1</sub> (Table 1 and Figure 3A). The short O3-His337 hydrogen bond in the A monomer indicates that the LBHB forms between O3 and doubly-protonated D1-His337 in S<sub>-1</sub> or S<sub>-2</sub> (Table 1 and Figure 3B). These results suggest that the  $Mn_4CaO_5$ oxidation state differs between the two monomer units (i.e., S<sub>-1</sub> or S<sub>-2</sub> for the A monomer and S<sub>1</sub> for the B monomer; Figure 5).

### Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# Author contributions

HI designed research. KS and SN performed research. KS and HI wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### Funding

This research was supported by JST CREST (JPMJCR1656 to HI), JSPS KAKENHI (18H05155, 18H01937, 20H03217, and

# References

Ames, W., Pantazis, D. A., Krewald, V., Cox, N., Messinger, J., Lubitz, W., et al. (2011). Theoretical evaluation of structural models of the  $S_2$  state in the oxygen evolving complex of photosystem II: Protonation states and magnetic interactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 133 (49), 19743–19757. doi: 10.1021/ja2041805

Amin, M. (2022). Predicting the oxidation states of Mn ions in the oxygen-evolving complex of photosystem II using supervised and unsupervised machine learning. *Photosyn. Res.* doi: 10.1007/s11120-022-00941-8

Askerka, M., Vinyard, D. J., Wang, J., Brudvig, G. W., and Batista, V. S. (2015). Analysis of the radiation-damage-free X-ray structure of photosystem II in light of EXAFS and QM/ MM data. *Biochemistry* 54 (9), 1713–1716. doi: 10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00089

Beyerlein, K. R., Jonsson, H. O., Alonso-Mori, R., Aquila, A., Barty, S., Barty, A., et al. (2018). Ultrafast nonthermal heating of water initiated by an X-ray free-electron laser. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 115 (22), 5652–5657. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1711220115

Brooks, B. R., Bruccoleri, R. E., Olafson, B. D., States, D. J., Swaminathan, S., and Karplus, M. (1983). CHARMM: A program for macromolecular energy minimization and dynamics calculations. *J. Comput. Chem.* 4 (2), 187–217. doi: 10.1002/jcc.540040211

Cardona, T., and Rutherford, A. W. (2019). Evolution of photochemical reaction centres: More twists? *Trends Plant Sci.* 24 (11), 1008–1021. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2019.06.016

Galstyan, A., Robertazzi, A., and Knapp, E. W. (2012). Oxygen-evolving Mn cluster in photosystem II: The protonation pattern and oxidation state in the high-resolution crystal structure. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134 (17), 7442–7449. doi: 10.1021/ja300254n

Glockner, C., Kern, J., Broser, M., Zouni, A., Yachandra, V., and Yano, J. (2013). Structural changes of the oxygen-evolving complex in photosystem II during the catalytic cycle. J. Biol. Chem. 288 (31), 22607–22620. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.476622

Grabolle, M., Haumann, M., Muller, C., Liebisch, P., and Dau, H. (2006). Rapid loss of structural motifs in the manganese complex of oxygenic photosynthesis by X-ray irradiation at 10-300 K. J. Biol. Chem. 281 (8), 4580–4588. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M509724200

Ikeda, T., Saito, K., Hasegawa, R., and Ishikita, H. (2017). The existence of an isolated hydronium ion in the interior of proteins. *Angew. Chem, Int. Ed.* 56 (31), 9151–9154. doi: 10.1002/anie.201705512

Ishikita, H., and Saito, K. (2014). Proton transfer reactions and hydrogen-bond networks in protein environments. J. R. Soc. Interface 11 (91), 20130518. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2013.0518 20H05090 to HI, 18H01186 to KS), and the Interdisciplinary Computational Science Program in CCS, University of Tsukuba (KS).

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1029674/ full#supplementary-material

Ishikita, H., Saenger, W., Loll, B., Biesiadka, J., and Knapp, E. W.. (2006). Energetics of a possible proton exit pathway for water oxidation in photosystem II. *Biochemistry* 45 (7), 2063–2071. doi: 10.1021/bi051615h

Isobe, H., Shoji, M., Yamanaka, S., Umena, Y., Kawakami, K., Kamiya, N., et al. (2012). Theoretical illumination of water-inserted structures of the  $CaMn_4O_5$  cluster in the  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  states of oxygen-evolving complex of photosystem II: Full geometry optimizations by B3LYP hybrid density functional. *Dalton Trans.* 41 (44), 13727–13740. doi: 10.1039/c2dt31420g

Kawashima, K., Saito, K., and Ishikita, H. (2018). Mechanism of radical formation in the H-bond network of D1-Asn298 in photosystem II. *Biochemistry* 57 (33), 4997– 5004. doi: 10.1021/acs.biochem.8b00574

Krewald, V., Retegan, M., Cox, N., Messinger, J., Lubitz, W., DeBeer, S., et al. (2015). Metal oxidation states in biological water splitting. *Chem. Sci.* 6, 1676–1695. doi: 10.1039/C4SC03720K

Lohmiller, T., Krewald, V., Sedoud, A., Rutherford, A. W., Neese, F., Lubitz, W., et al. (2017). The first state in the catalytic cycle of the water-oxidizing enzyme: Identification of a water-derived  $\mu$ -hydroxo bridge. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 139 (41), 14412–14424. doi: 10.1021/jacs.7b05263

Luber, S., Rivalta, I., Umena, Y., Kawakami, K., Shen, J.-R., Kamiya, N., et al. (2011). S<sub>1</sub>-state model of the O<sub>2</sub>-evolving complex of photosystem II. *Biochemistry* 50, 6308–6311. doi: 10.1021/bi200681q

Ma, J., Wang, F. R., and Mostafavi, M. (2018). Ultrafast chemistry of water radical cation,  $H_2O^{++}$ , in aqueous solutions. *Molecules* 23 (2), 244. doi: 10.3390/molecules23020244

MacKerell, A. D., Bashford, D., Bellott, M., Dunbrack, R. L., Evanseck, J. D., Field, M. J., et al. (1998). All-atom empirical potential for molecular modeling and dynamics studies of proteins. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 102 (18), 3586–3616. doi: 10.1021/jp973084f

Mandal, M., Saito, K., and Ishikita, H. (2021). Two distinct oxygen-radical conformations in the X-ray free electron laser structures of photosystem II. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 12 (16), 4032–4037.

Mandal, M., Kawashima, K., Saito, K., and Ishikita, H. (2020). Redox potential of the oxygen-evolving complex in the electron transfer cascade of photosystem II. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 11 (1), 249–255. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpclett.9b02831

Nakamura, S., and Noguchi, T. (2017). Infrared determination of the protonation state of a key histidine residue in the photosynthetic water oxidizing center. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139 (27), 9364–9375. doi: 10.1021/jacs.7b04924

Nass, K. (2019). Radiation damage in protein crystallography at X-ray free-electron lasers. *Acta Cryst.* D75, 211–218. doi: 10.1107/S2059798319000317

QSite (2012). Schrödinger, LLC (New York). version 5.8.

Saito, K., and Ishikita, H. (2019). Mechanism of protonation of the over-reduced  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster in photosystem II. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg* 1860 (10), 148059. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2019.148059

Saito, K., Rutherford, A. W., and Ishikita, H. (2015). Energetics of proton release on the first oxidation step in the water-oxidizing enzyme. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 8488. doi: 10.1038/ncomms9488

Saito, K., Shen, J.-R., Ishida, T., and Ishikita, H. (2011). Short hydrogen-bond between redox-active tyrosine  $Y_Z$  and D1-His190 in the photosystem II crystal structure. *Biochemistry* 50, 9836–9844. doi: 10.1021/bi201366j

Sakashita, N., Ishikita, H., and Saito, K. (2020). Rigidly hydrogen-bonded water molecules facilitate proton transfer in photosystem II. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 22 (28), 15831–15841. doi: 10.1039/d0cp00295j

Shen, J. R. (2015). The structure of photosystem II and the mechanism of water oxidation in photosynthesis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 66, 23–48. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-050312-120129

Shimizu, T., Sugiura, M., and Noguchi, T. (2018). Mechanism of proton-coupled electron transfer in the  $S_0$  to  $S_1$  transition of photosynthetic water oxidation as revealed by time-resolved infrared spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 122 (41), 9460–9470. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpcb.8b07455

Siegbahn, P. E. (2013). Water oxidation mechanism in photosystem II, including oxidations, proton release pathways, O-O bond formation and  $O_2$  release. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1827 (8-9), 1003–1019. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2012.10.006

Suga, M., Akita, F., Hirata, K., Ueno, G., Murakami, H., Nakajima, Y., et al. (2015). Native structure of photosystem II at 1.95 Å resolution viewed by femtosecond X-ray pulses. *Nature* 517, 99–103. doi: 10.1038/nature13991

Suzuki, H., Sugiura, M., and Noguchi, T. (2005). pH dependence of the flash-induced S-state transitions in the oxygen-evolving center of photosystem II from *Thermosynechoccocus elongatus* as revealed by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* 44, 1708–1718. doi: 10.1021/bi0483312

Takaoka, T., Sakashita, N., Saito, K., and Ishikita, H. (2016).  $pK_{\rm a}$  of a proton-conducting water chain in photosystem II. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 7 (10), 1925–1932. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b00656

Tanaka, A., Fukushima, Y., and Kamiya, N. (2017). Two different structures of the oxygen-evolving complex in the same polypeptide frameworks of photosystem II. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139 (5), 1718–1721. doi: 10.1021/jacs.6b09666

Umena, Y., Kawakami, K., Shen, J.-R., and Kamiya, N. (2011). Crystal structure of oxygen-evolving photosystem II at a resolution of 1.9 Å. *Nature* 473 (7345), 55–65. doi: 10.1038/nature09913

Vacek, G., Perry, J. K., and Langlois, J. M. (1999). Advanced initial-guess algorithm for self-consistent-field calculations on organometallic systems. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 310, 189–194. doi: 10.1016/S0009-2614(99)00722-8

Yamamoto, M., Nakamura, S., and Noguchi, T. (2020). Protonation structure of the photosynthetic water oxidizing complex in the  $S_0$  state as revealed by normal mode analysis using quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics calculations. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 22 (42), 24213–24225. doi: 10.1039/d0cp04079g

Yano, J., Kern, J., Irrgang, K. D., Latimer, M. J., Bergmann, U., Glatzel, P., et al. (2005). X-Ray damage to the  $Mn_4Ca$  complex in single crystals of photosystem II: A case study for metalloprotein crystallography. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102 (34), 12047–12052. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0505207102