

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Richard Hayes,
NSW Government, Australia

*CORRESPONDENCE
Francesco Sestili

francescosestili@unitus.it
Stefania Masci

masci@unitus.it

RECEIVED 31 January 2024 ACCEPTED 26 February 2024 PUBLISHED 06 March 2024

CITATION

Palombieri S, Bonarrigo M, Cammerata A, Quagliata G, Astolfi S, Lafiandra D, Sestili F and Masci S (2024) Corrigendum: Characterization of Triticum turgidum sspp. durum, turanicum and polonicum grown in Central Italy in relation to technological and nutritional aspects. *Front. Plant Sci.* 15:1379685. doi: 10.3389/fols.2024.1379685

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Palombieri, Bonarrigo, Cammerata, Quagliata, Astolfi, Lafiandra, Sestili and Masci. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Characterization of Triticum turgidum sspp. durum, turanicum and polonicum grown in Central Italy in relation to technological and nutritional aspects

Samuela Palombieri¹, Marco Bonarrigo¹, Alessandro Cammerata², Giulia Quagliata¹, Stefania Astolfi¹, Domenico Lafiandra¹, Francesco Sestili^{1*} and Stefania Masci^{1*}

¹Department of Agriculture and Forest Science (DAFNE), University of Tuscia, Viterbo, Italy, ²Council for Agricultural Research and Economics, Research Centre for Engineering and Agro-Food Processing, Rome, Italy

KEYWORDS

Triticum turgidum, Triticum durum, Triticum turanicum, Triticum polonicum, technological quality, micronutrients, fiber, root traits

A Corrigendum on

Characterization of *Triticum turgidum* sspp. *durum, turanicum*, and *polonicum* grown in Central Italy in relation to technological and nutritional aspects

By Palombieri S, Bonarrigo M, Cammerata A, Quagliata G, Astolfi S, Lafiandra D, Sestili F and Masci S (2023) Front. Plant Sci. 14:1269212. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1269212

In the published article, there was an error in the **legend** for Table 1 as published. There are mistakes regarding the use of KAMUT brand wheat name. Kamut International requested the change.

The corrected legend appears below.

"*Khor1 corresponds to kernels obtained by local field cultivation of KAMUT® brand wheat, purchased by Molino Bongiovanni s.r.l (Villanova Mondovì, CN, Italy). KAMUT® trademark is used to market and sell the QK-77 variety with certain quality guarantees. Because KAMUT® is a registered trademark of Kamut International Ltd. and Kamut Enterprises of Europe by, this name could not be used for locally produced kernels because they were obtained out of the official KAMUT® food chain."

In the published article, there was an error in **Table 1** as published. Kamut is not a cultivar neither an accession, thus Kamut has been replaced by the cultivar name that is OK-77.

The corrected Table 1 and its caption appear below.

In the published article, there was an error. It is regarding the use of the name KAMUT. A correction has been made to **Introduction**, Paragraph Number 3.

This sentence previously stated:

Palombieri et al. 10.3389/fpls.2024.1379685

TABLE 1 Species, cultivars and accessions used in this paper.

Species	Original accession code or cultivar	New accession code
T. turanicum	PI624217	Tur5
T. turanicum	PI184543	Tur21
T. turanicum	PI576854	Tur26
T. turanicum	QK-77	Khor1*
T. turanicum	Etrusco	Etrusco
T. polonicum	PI191810	Pol2
T. polonicum	PI191808	Pol6
T. polonicum	CLTR5023	Pol11
T. polonicum	AS304	Pol304
T. polonicum	IC12196	Pol2156
T. durum	Aureo	-
T. durum	Svevo	-
T. durum	Senatore Cappelli	-

*Khor1 corresponds to kernels obtained by local field cultivation of KAMUT® brand wheat, purchased by Molino Bongiovanni s.r.l (Villanova Mondovi, CN, Italy). KAMUT® trademark is used to market and sell the QK-77 variety with certain quality guarantees. Because KAMUT® is a registered trademark of Kamut International Ltd. and Kamut Enterprises of Europe by, this name could not be used for locally produced kernels because they were obtained out of the official KAMUT® food chain.

"The importance of the two subspecies has increased in the last decades, as a consequence of the introduction in the market of Kamut®, a specific accession of *T. turanicum* that has reached a high popularity because of its suggested positive effects on human health (Bordoni et al., 2017; Spisni et al., 2020). In the wake of Kamut®, any Khorasan wheat is acquiring importance because it is considered healthy (Geisslitz and Scherf, 2021), with some accessions sold under registered names and others simply described as such, sold as semolina or processed foods, with a wide market, especially in Western Countries where they can be found even in the large-scale distribution."

The corrected sentence appears below:

"[The importance of the two subspecies has increased in the last decades, as a consequence of the introduction in the market of KAMUT® brand wheat, an ancient variety of grain (*Triticum turgidum ssp. turanicum*) that has reached a high popularity

because of its suggested positive effects on human health (Bordoni et al., 2017; Spisni et al., 2020). In the wake of KAMUT® brand wheat, any Khorasan wheat is acquiring importance because it is considered healthy (Geisslitz and Scherf, 2021), with some accessions sold under registered names and others simply described as such, sold as semolina or processed foods, with a wide market, especially in Western Countries where they can be found even in the large-scale distribution.]"

In the published article, there was an error. It is regarding the use of the name KAMUT.

A correction has been made to **Discussion**, Paragraph Number 3.

This sentence previously stated:

"As regards the technological analyses, although protein and gluten contents were comparable among the accessions and in the 2 years and coherent with the average Italian cultivars (De Santis et al., 2017), the modern variety Svevo, as expected, had the best performance followed by Khor1. It is important to underline that Khor1 corresponds to the local field cultivation of Kamut®; thus, it was not possible to use this name for locally produced kernels because they were obtained out of the official Kamut® food chain. Kamut® has been selected also because of its better yield and technological performance; thus, it is expected that some of the qualitative parameters here measured are very good for Khor1 compared with that for other Khorasan or Polish wheats."

The corrected sentence appears below:

"As regards the technological analyses, although protein and gluten contents were comparable among the accessions and in the 2 years and coherent with the average Italian cultivars (De Santis et al., 2017), the modern variety Svevo, as expected, had the best performance followed by Khor1. It is important to underline that Khor1 corresponds to the local field cultivation of KAMUT® brand wheat; thus, it was not possible to use this name for locally produced kernels because they were obtained out of the official KAMUT® food chain. KAMUT® brand wheat has been selected also because of its better yield and technological performance; thus, it is expected that some of the qualitative parameters here measured are very good for Khor1 compared with that for other Khorasan or Polish wheats."

The authors apologize for these errors and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.