#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Prem Lal Kashyap, Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research (ICAR), India

REVIEWED BY Waqar Jaleel, Central Cotton Research Institute (CCRI), Pakistan Manoj Kumar Jena, Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Poland

\*CORRESPONDENCE Srinivasa Narayana Srinivasa@bhu.ac.in

RECEIVED 12 March 2024 ACCEPTED 25 June 2024 PUBLISHED 09 July 2024

#### CITATION

Arya V, Narayana S, Sinha T, Kandan A and Satyanarayana Raju SV (2024) A simple PCR-based quick detection of the economically important oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) from India. *Front. Plant Sci.* 15:1399718. doi: 10.3389/fbls.2024.1399718

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Arya, Narayana, Sinha, Kandan and Satyanarayana Raju. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# A simple PCR-based quick detection of the economically important oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) from India

Varun Arya<sup>1</sup>, Srinivasa Narayana <sup>1</sup>\*, Twinke Sinha<sup>1</sup>, Aravindaram Kandan<sup>2</sup> and Samantapudi Venkata Satyanarayana Raju<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Insects Molecular Biology Laboratory, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Entomology and Agricultural Zoology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India, <sup>2</sup>Indian Council of Agricultural Research-National Bureau of Agricultural Insect Resources, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India, <sup>3</sup>Insect Physiology and Toxicology Laboratory, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Entomology and Agricultural Zoology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

The oriental fruit fly, Bactrocera dorsalis (Hendel), is a significant economic and quarantine pest due to its polyphagous nature. The accurate identification of B. dorsalis is challenging at the egg, maggot, and pupal stages, due to lack of distinct morphological characters and its similarity to other fruit flies. Adult identification requires specialized taxonomist. Existing identification methods are laborious, time consuming, and expensive. Rapid and precise identification is crucial for timely management. By analyzing the variations in the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase-1 gene sequence (Insect barcoding gene), we developed a species-specific primer (SSP), DorFP1/DorRP1, for accurate identification of B. dorsalis. The optimal annealing temperature for the SSP was determined to be 66°C, with no cross-amplification or primer-dimer formation observed. The SSP was validated with B. dorsalis specimens from various locations in northern and eastern India and tested for cross-specificity with six other economically significant fruit fly species in India. The primer specificity was further confirmed by the analysis of critical threshold (Ct) value from a qPCR assay. Sensitivity analysis showed the primer could detect template DNA concentrations as low as  $1 \text{ pg/}\mu\text{l}$ , though sensitivity decreased at lower concentrations. Sequencing of the SSP-amplified product revealed over >99% similarity with existing B. dorsalis sequences in the NCBI GenBank. The developed SSP reliably identifies B. dorsalis across all developmental stages and sexes. This assay is expected to significantly impact pest identification, phytosanitary measures, and eradication programs for B. dorsalis.

#### KEYWORDS

*Bactrocera dorsalis*, species-specific primers, qPCR, primer sensitivity, pest identification, phytosanitary measures, fruit fly

#### 1 Introduction

In the contemporary era of globalization, the escalating trade of fruits and vegetables stands as a primary catalyst for the dissemination of invasive insect-pest species into newer biogeographic realms (Brockerhoff et al., 2010; Suckling et al., 2014). Currently, the phytosanitary infrastructure in many quarantine zones, scattered across numerous ports of entry within various countries, has gradually become outdated (Ielmini and Sankaran, 2021). Compounded by the effects of climate change, the propagation of invasive pest species has gained momentum, posing an augmented threat to ecosystems on a global scale (Skendžić et al., 2021). Notably, there has been a recent surge in the global status attainment of several invasive insect species, occurring at a notably accelerated pace compared to historical trends (Hurley et al., 2016; Roques et al., 2016). Among these invasive pests, the oriental fruit fly, Bactrocera dorsalis (Hendel) (Tephritidae: Diptera), holds particular economic significance and has firmly established within India (Khan et al., 2023). Originally documented in East India (Clarke et al., 2019), subsequent studies by Clarke et al. (2019) and Qin et al. (2018) have identified Southeast Asian countries, particularly India and Bangladesh, as its centers of origin. Initially absent from the United States of America (USA), Europe, New Zealand, and Australia, B. dorsalis was widely distributed across Asia (excluding Korea and Japan) and African nations during early 2022 (Mutamiswa et al., 2021). However, within a span of just two years, it has expanded its range to encompass 46 African, 41 Asian, and 4 Oceanian countries, as well as certain regions of the USA (EPPO, 2024) (Supplementary Figure 1, https:// gd.eppo.int/taxon/DACUDO/distribution) (accessed on 9 March, 2024). Bactrocera philippinensis, B. papaya, and B. invadens were previously considered junior synonyms of B. dorsalis (Schutze et al., 2015; Zeng et al., 2019). Nonetheless, Drew and Hancock (2022) have delineated them as distinct entities from B. dorsalis based on differences in the shapes and dimensions of the glans and preglans appendix. The maggot of B. dorsalis poses the most significant threat, with adult females utilizing their spiny ovipositors to penetrate the fruit skin and laying eggs beneath the rind. Upon hatching, the maggots voraciously consume the soft fruit pulp in a gregarious manner, resulting in complete destruction. Subsequently, the maggots pupate in the soil, from which the adults emerge (Mutamiswa et al., 2021).

India encompasses diverse biogeographical and agro-ecological zones, harboring approximately 7-8% of the world's species (Venkataraman, 2012), thus earning the status of 'megadiverse' country (Rewatkar, 2020). This rich biodiversity fosters favorable conditions for the establishment and adaptation of agricultural pest species, posing challenges for effective management. Sridhar et al. (2014) utilized the CLIMAX simulation model to investigate the impact of climate change on the potential range expansion of *B. dorsalis* within India. Their findings projected that by 2023, the northern states of India would become more conducive for the establishment of *B. dorsalis*. Additionally, Choudhary et al. (2019) forecasted that temperature shift would alter the host-pest dynamics of *B. dorsalis*, potentially leading to an increase in voltinism (1-2 higher number of generations than usual and 15-24% reduction in the generation time over the basal period), which may increase the

infestation by approximately 5% in the mango crop in India by 2050. Presently, India faces a challenging scenario necessitating integrated pest management strategies with pest eradication efforts. The cornerstone of any successful management program lies in the accurate identification of the pest. Malavasi et al. (2000) documented the prolonged duration required for the identification of B. carambolae in Suriname, highlighting the detrimental consequences of delayed identification on eradication endeavors (van Sauers-Muller, 2008). Similar challenges have been encountered in other regions, such as the failure to eradicate B. dorsalis in French Polynesia (Leblanc et al., 2013) and B. zonata in Egypt (Suckling et al., 2016), attributing to misidentification and the limitations of the existing detection techniques. Deschepper et al. (2023) studied the migration pathways of B. dorsalis in the islands of the Indian Ocean: the western invasion pathway originating from the east African coast, covering Comoros, Mayotte, and Madagascar into the Mascarene islands (Reunion and Mauritius), showing low genetic diversity and a direct colonization from the Asian subcontinent, forming a distinct cluster. Such situations highlight the critical need for a rapid and accurate pest identification tool. One promising technology in this regard is the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase-I (mtCOI)-based species-specific primer (SSP) for B. dorsalis, offering expedited and precise identification capabilities crucial for effective pest management and eradication initiatives.

In the Indian context, the infestation rate of mango fruits by B. dorsalis varied from 66.66% to 76.47% (Saji et al., 2023), with percent yield loss ranging from 38% to 45% (Hossain et al., 2020), and mango fruit loss percent ranging from 5% to 80% (Maruthadurai and Ramesh, 2019). Countries importing mangoes from India enforce stringent quarantine protocols, requiring fruit to undergo either irradiation or hot water treatment prior to export to eliminate all the stages of fruit flies. However, if pests are detected during interception, they are either subjected to expert identification or identified via molecular barcoding, both of which are labor-intensive and time-consuming processes. In India, B. dorsalis, exhibits overlapping host preferences and morphological similarities with closely related fruit fly species, including B. zonata and B. correcta. Despite minor difference in coloration pattern (Drew and Hancock, 1994), wing shape and venation (Schutze et al., 2012), and genital characteristics (Iwahashi, 2001), which may not be easily discernible to general ecologist, proper identification necessitates the expertise of a taxonomist. The discovery of a single larva in an export consignment necessitates the destruction of the entire shipment, incurring approximately US\$39,000 per container, with exporters bearing the financial burden (Ndiaye et al., 2008). B. dorsalis incurs annual financial losses exceeding US\$2 billion in Africa, attributable to widespread fruit damage, high management cost, phytosanitary regulations, and interceptions (Korir et al., 2015; CABI/EPPO, 2018). Therefore, precise identification of this pest at land or ports of entry, as well as during eradication efforts is imperative. The morphological characteristics of B. dorsalis overlaps with those of many closely related species within the Bactrocera clade (Tan et al., 2011), not all of which are economically significant.

Although DNA barcoding of the mtCOI sequence offers an alternative to insect identification (Frewin et al., 2013), it is time-consuming, expensive, and contingent upon sequence availability in



various GenBank databases. In contrast, SSPs provide rapid positive, and sensitive identification, even with a trace DNA quantity. For instance, Jiang et al. (2013) developed a SSP for B. correcta, capable of identifying all life stages with a template DNA concentration as low as 1 ng/µl. Lantero et al. (2017) devised a SSP for B. oleae to explore predation by Carabid arthropod predators through trace B. oleae DNA detection in predator gut. Andrews et al. (2022) developed the SSPs for successfully identifying and differentiating four species within the Ceratitis complex (C. capitata, C. cosyra, C. rosa, and C. quilicii) to detection limits of 4 ng to 10 ng of DNA template from both larvae and adults, with applications in port inspections and fruit examination. The developed PCR-based SSP facilitates rapid species identification, irrespective of stage or sex, within two to three hours of post-DNA extraction. This diagnostic tool holds promise for pest detection in quarantine centers, newly invaded areas, and timely eradication programs. Inspired by the aforementioned research efforts, the present study aims at developing a SSP for B. dorsalis based on the mtCOI gene sequence from the Indian fruit fly populations. Validation will include diverse population samples from various locations within the country, cross-amplification tests with related fruit fly template DNA using standard PCR and qPCR assay, sensitivity assessment for low-quality DNA, and on-field specificity test.

#### 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Collection of fruit flies

*Bactrocera dorsalis* adults ♂ were collected from eight distinct Indian states during the year 2022 (Figure 1; Table 1), employing lowcost parapheromone bottle traps, prepared according to the

specifications outlined by Arya et al. (2022). Methyl eugenol sourced from Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. (SRL) Mumbai, India, served as the attractant while Fipronil 5% SC (Adama Agadi<sup>®</sup> SC) functioned as an insecticide. These traps were strategically positioned across diverse crop covers at a height of 1 m from the ground for a duration of two weeks. For each mango fruit displaying symptoms indicative of infestations such as internal rotting, pulpiness, dark lesions, splitting, and fluid exudation, five maggots were meticulously collected from the Horticultural Garden, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India. Each fruit, enclosed within a sterilized glass beaker with a capacity of 1 liter and filled with sterilized soil to a depth of 5-7 cm, was covered with muslin cloth and maintained at a temperature of 27 ± 3°C. From the soil surrounding each fruit, five pupae were extracted, subsequently rinsed in distilled water, surfacesterilized using 90% ethanol, and preserved (Supplementary Figure 2). Upon emergence, the adults were harvested and stored for further examination. All specimens (maggots, pupae, and adults) were meticulously preserved in 90% ethanol and stored at -20°C until DNA extraction. The adults were scrutinized under a stereo-zoom microscope (Zoomstar-III, Dewinter), with their identities corroborated against existing taxonomic literature (David and Ramani, 2011; Leblanc et al., 2021) prior to molecular analysis. The immature stages of the verified B. dorsalis adults were subsequently subjected to DNA extraction.

# 2.2 DNA extraction and amplification of the mtCOI gene sequence

Genomic DNA extraction was performed from the mid and hind legs of the adult fruit flies (three individuals from each location), whereas entire maggots and pupae were utilized for immature stages,

S.	Collection sites	State code		Coordinates		No. of individuals collected
No.			Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Altitude (m)	(preserved for molecular analysis)
1.	Golaghat, Assam	AS	26.5239	93.9623	95	11(11)
2.	Hisar, Haryana	HR	29.1492	75.7217	215	8(8)
3.	Indore, Madhya Pradesh	MP	22.7196	75.8577	550	32(20)
4.	Pantnagar, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand	UK	29.0222	79.4908	243.8	8(8)
5.	Samastipur, Bihar	BR	25.8560	85.7868	47	38(20)
6.	Sarkaghat, Mandi, Himachal Pradesh	HP	31.6990	76.7324	911	43(20)
7.	Siuri, Birbhum, West Bengal	WB	23.9129	87.5268	41	24(20)
8.	Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh	UP	25.3176	82.9739	81	48(20)

TABLE 1 The collection sites along with the number of individuals of Bactrocera dorsalis collected.

using the Qiagen DNeasy® Blood and Tissue Kit, following the manufacturer's instruction. The DNA quality of the samples was estimated using a Nanodrop 2000/2000c spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific), selecting samples with an A260/A280 ratio >1.8 for subsequent analysis. Amplification of the mtCOI gene sequence was performed employing universal barcode primers (LCO-1490: 5'-GGT CAA CAA ATC ATA AAG ATA TTG G-3' and HCO-2198: 5'-TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AATCA-3') (Folmer et al., 1994). A 25 µl polymerase chain reaction (PCR) mixture was prepared, consisting of 12.5 µl Emerald Amp<sup>®</sup> GT PCR master mix (TaKaRa), 8.5 µl of nuclease-free water, 0.5 µl of each forward and reverse primer, and 2 µl of the template DNA. PCR amplification was conducted using the Bio-Rad T100<sup>TM</sup> thermal cycler, with the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 47° C for 40 s, initial extension at 72°C for 40 s followed by final extension at 72°C for 8 min. The PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis on a 2% TAE agarose gel (Merck-Milipore) stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under the Bio-Rad XR+ gel documentation system.

#### 2.3 Development of the SSP for B. dorsalis

The complete mitochondrion genome and the mtCOI nucleotide sequences, representing distinct fruit fly species sourced from various geographic regions globally, were accessed from the NCBI GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) (accessed on 4 November 2022) (Supplementary Table S1). Separate alignment of the mtCOI sequences and the complete mitochondrion genome was performed using the 'ClustalW alignment' tool integrated within the MEGA X software (Stecher et al., 2020), wherein scrutiny focused on discerning notable intra-specific similarities and inter-specific variabilities. A Neighbor-Joining (NJ) phylogenic tree (Saitou and Nei, 1987) was constructed from the aligned complete genomes using 1000 replicate bootstrap method (Felsenstein, 1985) and Kimura 2-parameter substitution model (Kimura, 1980), for similarity assessment and evolution analysis of the fruit flies. Subsequently, SSPs tailored for

B. dorsalis were formulated utilizing the Primer3Plus tool (Untergasser et al., 2012), guided by predefined criteria encompassing marker length falling within the range of 18-30 bps, absorbance value ( $\Delta G$ ) below 9 kcal/mol, GC content ranging from 40% to 60%, and termination with a G/C nucleotide at the 3' end (Tyagi et al., 2023). The specificity of the selected SSPs was corroborated through the NCBI primer BLAST utility (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) (accessed on 2 August 2023). The selected SSPs were analyzed for potential specificity by checking the complementary sites of the forward and reverse primers in the pre-aligned fruit fly mtCOI sequences using the 'ClustalW alignment' tool in the MEGA X software. Assessment for potential hairpin structures, self-dimerization, and hetero-dimerization tendencies among the primers was conducted utilizing the Oligoanalyzer tool (http://www.idtdna.com/Home/Home.aspx) (accessed on 15 August 2023). The designed SSPs were synthesized by the M/S Eurofins Genomics India Pvt Ltd., Bengaluru, India, for subsequent experimental validation.

# 2.4 Selecting and validating the effective SSP through cross-amplification and sensitivity test

The designed SSPs underwent validation using *B. dorsalis* DNA extracted from various geographical regions via gradient PCR. PCR reaction volumes were minimized to 20 µl, comprising 10 µl of PCR master mix (Emerald Amp<sup>®</sup> GT PCR master mix, TaKaRa), 7 µl of nuclease-free water, 0.5 µl of each primer (forward and reverse), and 2 µl of the template DNA. Thermal cycling conditions followed were as mentioned in the 'DNA extraction and amplification of the mtCOI gene sequence' section (2.2), with annealing temperature ranging from 55°C to 70°C for 40 s. Cross-amplification assessment was performed utilizing DNA templates from distinct fruit fly species: *B. zonata, B. correcta, Zeugodacus cucurbitae, Z. tau* and *B. digressa*, stored at -20°C in the 'Insects Molecular Biology Laboratory', Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India, in triplicate manner. Visualization of bands occurred on a 2% TAE agarose gel, and the

#### TABLE 2 Thermal cyclic stages of the qPCR assay.

Thermal cyclic stage	Steps	Temperature (°C)	Duration
Hold stage	Step 1	50.0	2 min
	Step 2	94.0	5 min
PCR stage (35 cycles)	Step 1	94.0	30 sec
	Step 2	66.0	1 min
Melt curve stage	Step 1	72.0	50 sec
	Step 2	66.0	1 min
	Step 3 (dissociation)	95.0	1 sec

most efficient SSP-amplified PCR products were subjected to Sanger sequencing (M/S Eurofins Genomics India Pvt. Ltd.) in duplicate to verify similarity with existing *B. dorsalis* mtCOI sequences submitted in the BOLD/NCBI GenBank libraries. Template DNA stock solutions from various species were prepared at a concentration of 50 ng/µl by dilution with nuclease-free water for cross-amplification studies. Marker efficiency was assessed using DNA from individual *B. dorsalis* specimens collected at each location (Figure 1; Table 1) including immature stage (maggots), in triplicate. Sensitivity testing involved diluting *B. dorsalis* DNA to concentration ranging from 60 ng/µl to 1 pg/µl, followed by PCR assay with consistent primer concentrations.

# 2.5 Validation of the primers via qualitative PCR assay

To further validate the specificity of the developed primer sets (DorFP1/DorRP1) for the target DNA sequence, a qualitative PCR

(qPCR) assay was performed. The reactions were prepared in 96well PCR plates with a total volume of 10 µl, comprising the following components: 5 µl of SYBR<sup>TM</sup> Green Real-Time PCR Master Mix (ThermoFisher Scientific), 1 µl each of forward and reverse primes, and 3 µl of template DNA. The template DNA from the fruit fly species used in the gradient PCR assay was included, along with the template DNA of *B. dorsalis* collected from UK, HP, AS, WB and BR, and two no-template controls (NTC) containing nuclease-free water instead of DNA, in triplicate. The reactions were conducted in a QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR systems (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fischer Scientific, USA), following the thermal cyclic stages outlined in Table 2. Subsequent data analysis was performed with QuantStudio<sup>TM</sup> Design & Analysis Software v1.4 (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fischer Scientific, USA). The amplified products were visualized in a 2% TAE agarose gel for possible amplifications. The cycle threshold (Ct) values for each sample, measured in triplicate, were analyzed statistically using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine if significant differences existed between the treatments. This was followed by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) to compare the means (Duncan, 1955). The following statistical analysis was performed in the IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows version 22.0 statistical software package (IBM Corp.).

# 2.6 On-field specificity study of the developed SSPs

During the *kharif* season of 2023, fruits of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia*), sponge gourd (*Luffa aegyptiaca*), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*), guava (*Psidium guajava*), and mango (*Mangifera indica*), exhibiting symptoms of fruit fly infestation, as described in the 'Collection of fruit flies' section (2.1), including the presence of actively feeding live maggots in the fruit pulp, were



#### FIGURE 2

2% TAE agarose gel displaying the amplified mtCOI gene sequence of the *B. dorsalis* DNA via universal barcode primers (LCO-1490/HCO-2198) collected from different Indian states, showing a single clear band of ~700 bps size with reference to the molecular ladder, proving the use of high-quality extracted DNA. L: 100 bps molecular ladder (BR Biochem), *B. dorsalis* samples from MP, AS, HP, WB, HR, UP, BR, and UK, as per the state codes mentioned in Table 1.

S. No.	Primer Name	Primer Sequence (5'→3')	Length (bp)	T <sub>m</sub> (°C)	GC (%)	Amplified product size (bp)
1.	DorFP1	CACCAGCCATATTGTGAGCC	20	56.2	55	506
	DorRP1	GTGTTCAGCTGGAGGGGTAT	20	56.7	55	
2.	DorFP2	CACCCAGGAGCTTTAATCGGT	21	57.1	52.4	296
	DorRP2	GCTCCTCCGTGTGCAATAAC	20	56.3	55	
3.	DorFP3	GTGGATTTGGAAATTGACTTGT	22	54	40.9	196
	DorRP3	GCTCCTCCGTGTGCAATAAC	20	56.3	55	

TABLE 3 Details of the species-specific primer developed for Bactrocera dorsalis.

Tm, Melting temperature; GC, Guanine-Cytosine; bp, base-pairs.

collected from the Banaras Hindu University campus. Each fruit was stored in a separate sterilized glass beaker (1 liter capacity), filled with sterilized soil to a height of 5-7 cm. Two to four maggots were meticulously harvested from each fruit and stored in 90% alcohol for DNA extraction, while the remaining maggots were allowed to feed and develop into adults to confirm the identity of the emerged fruit fly. The adults, once emerged, were collected and identified based on morphological characters, corroborated with available taxonomic literature by Leblanc et al. (2021) and David and Ramani (2011). DNA extraction of the preserved maggots was followed by PCR using the DorFP1/DorRP1 primers under the specific thermal cyclic conditions, and the amplified products were visualization on a 2% TAE agarose gel, as described in the 'DNA extraction and amplification of the mtCOI gene sequence' section (2.2), to demonstrate the practical application of the study.

#### **3** Results

#### 3.1 Estimation of DNA quality

The DNA extracted from the adult fruit flies exhibited high quality, characterized by a mean concentration of 164.70  $\pm$  14.22 ng/µl, validated by the production of distinct band fragments at ~700 bps in a 2% TAE agarose gel (with reference to molecular ladder) through PCR amplification utilizing the universal barcode primer set (LCO-1490 and HCO-2198) (Figure 2). Therefore, within this investigation, the absence of PCR bands in assessing subsequent specificity and sensitivity cannot be attributed to an inadequate DNA template.

#### 3.2 SSP selection based on crossspecificity test

Upon examination of nucleotide polymorphism within various *B. dorsalis* DNA sequences sourced from NCBI GenBank accessions, three pairs of SSPs were formulated, denoted as DorFP1/DorRP1, DorFP2/DorRP2, and DorFP3/DorRP3, yielding amplified product of 506, 296, and 196 bps, respectively (Table 3). Notably, DorFP1/DorRP1 (Supplementary Figure 3) exhibited

optimal performance, demonstrating no cross-amplification across diverse fruit fly species under the PCR annealing temperature of 66°C, generating a 506 bps product, as depicted in Figure 3 (Table 4). Triplicate replication of experiments consistently corroborated these findings. Analysis of the species-specific amplified product confirmed >99% similarity to existing *B. dorsalis* nucleotide sequences within the BOLD/NCBI GenBank database. The nucleotide sequences have been deposited in the NCBI GenBank database under the accession numbers PP479586 and PP479587.

# 3.3 Efficacy of SSP, phylogenic analysis and sensitivity test

Upon analyzing the primer specificity of the DorFP1/DorRP1 SSP using the NCBI primer BLAST utility, the primers were found to be highly specific to B. dorsalis nucleotide sequences submitted as the NCBI GenBank accessions, with >97% BLAST hits (number of B. dorsalis sequences in the database that have significant similarity with the product). This was further verified by performing nucleotide sequences alignment using the 'ClustalW' algorithm, depicting clear possible annealing of DorFP1/DorRP1 SSP within the B. dorsalis nucleotide sequences, and subsequent variations among the sequences of other selected species (Figure 4). The Neighbor-Joining (NJ) tree of the complete mitochondrial sequences of the fruit flies (Figure 5) clearly depicts B. dorsalis forming a distinct and a well-supported clade. The B. dorsalis clade has a high bootstrap value of 100, indicating a very high confidence level in the branching and distinguishing this species from others, thereby reinforcing the reliability of the phylogenetic separation and classification (Barr et al., 2021). B. dorsalis is closely related to B. correcta, as indicated by their adjacent positioning in the tree and the high bootstrap value of 100 for this branch. This shows significant genetic similarity, yet they are distinct enough to form separate clades. Additionally, B. zonata appears as another closely related species with equally high bootstrap support (100), suggesting a close evolutionary relationship within this group. The species arranged under the Zeugodacus clade are more distinct from B. dorsalis compared to the aforementioned species. The SSP demonstrated consistent amplification across all tested B.



2% TAE agarose gel images displaying a replication of the cross-amplification test for the most effective SSP (DorFP1/DorRP1) at a range of annealing temperatures (°C) [(A): 60, (B): 61, (C): 62, (D): 63, (E): 64, (F): 65, (G): 66, (H): 67, (I): 68 and (J): 69] by representing a single clear band of 506 bps in each lane. L: 100 bps molecular ladder (BR Biochem), lane 1: *B. dorsalis*, lane 2: *B. zonata*, lane 3: *B. correcta*, lane 4: *Z. cucurbitae*, lane 5: *Z. tau* and lane 6: *B. digressa*. It represents the SSP showing no cross-amplification with the DNA templates of the tested fruit fly species at 66°C annealing temperature.

*dorsalis* specimens sourced from diverse geographical regions, as outlined in Table 1. As evident in Figure 6, the SSP efficiently amplified the 506 bps sequence from the mtCOI gene of *B. dorsalis*, yielding a single, uniform band at the 506 bps position relative to the molecular ladder in all the lanes of the gel. This outcome validated the SSP's specificity, unaffected by variations in *B. dorsalis* DNA sequences collected from different regions across India. Additionally, the SSP exhibited amplification in template DNA

extracted from immature *B. dorsalis* stages (maggots and pupae), as illustrated in Figure 7, underscoring its utility in identifying the pest during early development phases. In the sensitivity assessment, *B. dorsalis* DNA with a concentration of 184 ng/µl was serially diluted. Results indicated a diminishing intensity patterns of DNA bands at consistent concentrations and volumes of the SSP. Robust bands remained visible down to 10 pg/µl of the template DNA, with a faint band observed at 1 pg/µl DNA concentration, showcasing the high

Primer	Annealing temp (T <sub>a</sub> °C)	Amplification/showing positive results								
used		Bactrocera dorsalis	Bactrocera zonata	Bactrocera correcta	Zeugodacus cucurbitae	Zeugodacus tau	Bactrocera digressa			
DorFP1/	60	1	1	1	1	1	1			
DorRP1	61	1	1	1	1	1	1			
	62	1	1	1	1	1	1			
	63	1	1	1	1	1	1			
	64	1	1	1	1	1	1			
	65	1	x	x	x	1	1			
	66	1	x	x	x	x	x			
	67	x	x	x	x	x	x			
	68	x	x	x	x	x	X			
	69	x	x	x	x	X	x			

TABLE 4 Results of cross-amplification test of the SSP (DorFP1/DorRP1) observed at different annealing temperatures.

✓: positive test, X: negative test.

The shaded region indicates 66 oC as the optimum annealing temperature for the primer DorFP1/DorRP1 at which no cross-amplification was observed.

sensitivity of DorFP1/DorRP1 to minute amounts of template DNA, as depicted in the Figure 8. This underscores the SSP's capability to amplify a trace quantities of template DNA effectively.

# 3.4 SSPs validation based on qPCR assay and on-field specificity test

The qPCR analysis of various samples of *B. dorsalis*, including both maggot and adults from diverse geographical origins, exhibited robust amplification profiles (Figure 9A). The amplification commenced in the early exponential phase, typically between the  $11^{\text{th}}$  and  $15^{\text{th}}$  cycles, and progressed sharply before plateauing towards the end of the reaction. These findings suggest highly efficient and specific amplification of the target sequences. The nontarget species (including *B. correcta, B. zonata, B. digressa, Z.*  cucurbitae, and Z. tau) showed negligible amplification, highlighting the high specificity of the primer pair to B. dorsalis. Both the NTC exhibited no significant amplification throughout the cycles, confirming the absence of contamination and the specificity of the assay. In the qPCR melting curve analysis, SYBR green fluorescent dye was used to label double-stranded DNA. As the temperature increased, the DNA separated (melted), causing a decrease in florescence (Wittwer et al., 2024). The melting temperature was specific to the B. dorsalis DNA sequences. The peak began to develop at 72°C, marking SSP's extension temperature, with distinct peaks observed around 75-77°C for B. dorsalis DNA, ensuring a successful amplification. No peaks observed for the DNA templates of other fruit fly species and the NTC (Figure 9B). The statistical analysis of the obtained Ct values showed a significant difference between the treatments (Table 5), with distinct groupings indicating which treatments are

1	GTTGATACTCGTGCCTATTTCACTTCAGCTACAATAATTATTGCGGTACCCACAGGTATT (DorFP1)
61	
12	
18	GCTAATTCATCTGTAGATATTATTCTTCATGACACATATTACGTAGTAGCTCATTTCCAC
24	1 TATGTATTATCAATAGGAGCAGTCTTTGCTATTATAGCAGGATTCGTTCACTGATATCCC
30	01 CTATTTACAGGGCTAGTATTAAATCCTAAATGATTAAAAAGTCAATTTATTATTATTATATTT
36	51 ATCGGAGTAAATTTAACCTTCTTCCCACAACACTTTTTAGGATTAGCTGGTATACCTCGA
42	CGATATTCAGACTATCCAGATGCTTACACAACATGAAATGTAGTTTCTACTATTGGTTCG
48	1 TCTATTTCTTTACTAGGAATTTTATTCTTCCTATTCATCATTTGAGAAAGTTTAGTAACA (DorRP1)
54	
60	
FIGURE 4	
Alignment of the mtCOI se	quence of <i>B. dorsalis</i> (NCBI Accession No. KM359573.1) with the locations of DorFP1/DorRP1 species-specific marker

Alignment of the mtCOI sequence of *B. dorsalis* (NCBI Accession No. KM359573.1) with the locations of DorFP1/DorRP1 species-specific marker (direction: 5'→3', forward and reverse).



significantly different from each other. The Ct values represent the number of cycles required for the fluorescent signal to cross a threshold (Mishra et al., 2022). Samples containing the DNA template of B. dorsalis exhibited significantly low Ct values (11.383 to 16.347), indicating the presence of target DNA (Table 6). Conversely, the high Ct values of the non-target species and the NTCs confirmed the absence of contamination and nonspecific amplification in the qPCR assay, demonstrating the highsensitivity of the SSPs (Figure 10A). The specificity of the DorFP1/ DorRP1 was further confirmed by visualizing the qPCR products on



FIGURE 6

2% TAE agarose gel displaying validation of the specificity of the SSP (DorFP1/DorRP1) by successfully amplifying the B. dorsalis DNA samples collected from different geographical locations within India. Each lane represents a single-uniform band of 506 bps. L: 100 bps molecular ladder (BR Biochem), B. dorsalis samples from MP, AS, HP, WB, HR, UP, BR and UK, as per the state codes mentioned in Table 1.



2% TAE agarose gel displaying the amplification by DorFP1/DorRP1 in the immature stages of the *B. dorsalis*. L: 100 bps molecular ladder (BR Biochem), M1, M2 and M3: three replicates of maggots, and P1, P2 and P3: three replicates of pupae.

a 2% TAE agarose gel, which revealed clear, robust bands adjacent to the wells loaded with qPCR products containing *B. dorsalis* DNA template, in contrast to the qPCR products of non-template DNA (Figure 10B). In a standard PCR assay, the DorFP1/DorRP1 SSP accurately identified *B. dorsalis* among major economically important fruit flies infesting horticultural crops with 100% accuracy in a small study in the institution campus (Table 7). This experiment underscores the practical application and usefulness of the assay under real-field conditions.

### 4 Discussion

*Bactrocera dorsalis* stands as a paramount economic concern within the agricultural landscape of India, exhibiting a voracious appetite for a wide array of fruits and vegetable crops (Jena et al., 2022). Accurate identification of this species represents a pivotal challenge for the effective implementation of diverse management strategies. The phylogenic analysis using the NJ tree is particularly useful for constructing phylogenetic trees when sequence



FIGURE 8

2% TAE agarose gel displaying the results of the sensitivity test of DorFP1/DorRP1 for different concentrations of DNA templates of *B. dorsalis*. L: 100 bps molecular ladder (BR Biochem), lane 1: 60 ng/ $\mu$ l, lane 2: 50 ng/ $\mu$ l, lane 3: 35 ng/ $\mu$ l, lane 4: 25 ng/ $\mu$ l, lane 5: 15 ng/ $\mu$ l, lane 6: 10 ng/ $\mu$ l, lane 7: 5 ng/ $\mu$ l, lane 8: 1 ng/ $\mu$ l, lane 9: 100 pg/ $\mu$ l, lane 10: 10 pg/ $\mu$ l and lane 11: 1 pg/ $\mu$ l.



divergence is relatively low (Pearson et al., 1999). Analyzing the NJ phylogenic tree helped to identify clades that distinctly separate *B. dorsalis* from other species, focusing of branches/nodes having high bootstrap support. This facilitated the examining of aligned sequences corresponding to the branches in the NJ tree to locate unique genetic regions. The SSP developed in this investigation demonstrated precise identification of *B. dorsalis* specimens obtained from different Indian states, including the immature stages, even with template DNA concentration as low as 1 pg/µl, readily discernible on a 2% TAE agarose gel without necessitating further downstream analysis or sequencing. Dilution of the template DNA to 100 fg/µl and 10 fg/µl failed to yield any

amplification, thereby establishing the lower limit of detection to 1 pg/µl. Notably, this method expedites species confirmation within a short timeframe post-DNA extraction, thereby mitigating temporal, financial, and resource constraints associated with conventional molecular identification via DNA barcoding. The selected primer pair demonstrated proficiency in generating a relatively larger amplicon size (506 bps) compared to alternative PCR-based SSPs developed for fruit flies (Jiang et al., 2013, Jiang et al., 2014; Afroza et al., 2022). Analysis of the primer-amplified product derived from diverse *B. dorsalis* mtCOI nucleotide sequences (Supplementary Table 1) utilizing the 'Sequence Manipulation Suite' (Stothard, 2000) unveiled 21 restriction sites

TABLE 5	Two-way AN	IOVA table of Ct valu	es for fruit fly DNA	samples obtained in t	the qPCR assay.
---------	------------	-----------------------	----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------

Source of variation	Sum of squares (SS)	Degree of freedom (df)	Mean squares (MS)	F-values	<i>p</i> -values	F-critical values
Fruit fly template DNA	2939.8718	13	226.144	188.7612	$2.7 \times 10^{-22}$	2.1192
Replications	1.647	2	0.8235	0.6874	0.5118	3.369
Error (residual)	31.1491	26	1.198			
Total	2972.6679	41				

10.3389/fpls.2024.1399718

TABLE 6 The cycle threshold (Ct) values of reaction samples in the qPCR assay.

S. No.	Sample name	Mean Ct value $\pm$ S.E.	
1.	B. dorsalis (UP maggot)	$11.383 \pm 0.06^{a}$	
2.	B. dorsalis (UP adult)	$12.09 \pm 1.18^{a}$	
3.	B. dorsalis (UK adult)	$12.752 \pm 0.25^{a}$	
4.	B. dorsalis (WB adult)	$14.887 \pm 0.67^{\rm b}$	
5.	B. dorsalis (HP adult)	$14.943 \pm 0.22^{\rm b}$	
6.	B. dorsalis (BR adult)	$15.638 \pm 0.36^{\rm bc}$	
7.	B. dorsalis (AS adult)	$16.347 \pm 0.48^{\circ}$	
8.	Z. tau	$25.749 \pm 0.58^{d}$	
9.	NTC2	$28.686 \pm 0.18^{e}$	
10.	B. zonata	$30.631 \pm 0.60^{\rm f}$	
11.	NTC1	$30.995 \pm 0.50^{\rm f}$	
12.	B. digressa	$31.311 \pm 1.01^{\rm f}$	
13.	B. correcta	$32.474 \pm 0.97^{\rm g}$	
14.	Z. cucurbitae	$32.529 \pm 0.37^{\rm g}$	

Following the Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT), means were grouped based on their statistical differences. Superscripts were assigned according to pairwise comparisons, with means sharing the same superscript not being significantly different from each other at a significance level of 0.05.

for nine restriction endonucleases within the amplified region, attesting to its precision in delineating genetic variability and diversity within the species (Engels, 1981; Schulman, 2007). Moreover, the primer adeptly identified species-specific DNA fragments within the mtCOI sequence of B. dorsalis specimens collected across a wide elevational range within India, from low altitudes ( $\approx$ 47 m) to some of the highest elevations conducive to fruit fly survival (~911 m) (Table 1), unaffected by genetic structural alterations, despite reports of substantial genetic variation within B. dorsalis mtCOI gene sequences across elevations (Shi et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2007). This underscores the potential conservation of the amplicon region within the species, furnishing a valuable tool for deciphering gene flow dynamics and enhancing comprehension of the genetic architecture of pest populations within the country (Manel et al., 2003; Adams et al., 2014). Furthermore, this knowledge can inform strategies for delineating reinvasion pathways and facilitate population categorization for effective management as discrete eradication units (Savidge et al., 2012).

Numerous contemporary methodologies have emerged for fruit fly identification, encompassing diverse assays such as real-time PCR/qualitative PCR (Koohkanzade et al., 2018), multiplex PCR (Chen et al., 2016), loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) (Blacket et al., 2020), restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) (Barr et al., 2006), simple-sequence repeat (SSR) markers (Ding et al., 2018), and conventional PCR (Jiang et al., 2013), each offering unique applications and advantages. Notably, Rizzo et al. (2024) recently devised a qPCR assay targeting species-specific primers derived from mtCOI gene sequences to discriminate *B. dorsalis*, catering specifically to the prevailing pest dynamics in Europe. However, in the Indian context, qPCR facilities are not universally accessible, particularly in remote quarantine centers; nonetheless, conventional PCR remains ubiquitous in molecular research laboratories nationwide. The assay herein was meticulously developed to facilitate easy adoption and widespread dissemination of technology throughout the country. Furthermore, the efficacy of the developed SSP was rigorously evaluated across diverse haplotypic populations of B. dorsalis from India, improving the robustness of the primers. Despite this validation, cross-amplification testing of the B. dorsalis SSP was limited to some major economically significant fruit fly species exhibiting comparable infestation levels, shared host plants, and ecological niches within the region. The results were supported by Ct value analysis performed using the qPCR assay, showing significantly lower and consistent Ct values for B. dorsalis compared to other non-specific fruit flies. The Ct value inversely correlates with target DNA concentration (Rabaan et al., 2021) and leads to reproducible values across replicates (Svec et al., 2015), which is important for reliable quantification. In the present experiment, the lowest Ct value (11.383  $\pm$  0.06) was obtained for the highest concentration of template DNA (B. dorsalis maggot: 210 ng/µl). The Ct values for B. dorsalis ranged closely from 11.38 to 16.34, compared to non-specific fruit flies, thereby supporting to the specificity of the SSP. According to melting curve analysis, the SSP produced a single peak corresponding to the specific target sequence, whereas multiple peaks can indicate non-specific amplification (Rodríguez et al., 2015). The Bactrocera dorsalis complex comprises approximately 79 species, delineated through comprehensive analysis incorporating morphological traits of adults and larvae, host-plant association, tissue-enzyme electrophoresis, morphometrics of adult male and female genitalia, male pheromone chemistry and molecular analysis (Drew and Hancock, 2022). Within the dorsalis complex, several species of the fruit fly have been documented in India, including B. amarambalensis Drew, B. andamanensis Kapoor, B. carambolae Drew & Hancock, B. caryeae Kapoor, B. dorsalis Hendel, B. melastomatos Drew & Hancock, B. merapiensis Drew & Hancock, B. neoarecae Drew, B. paraverbascifoliae Drew, B. ranganathi Drew & Romig, B. syzygii White & Tsuruta, B. thailandica Drew & Hancock, B. verbascifoliae Drew, and B. vishnu Drew and Hancock. However, of these, only B. carambolae, B. caryeae and B. dorsalis have been identified as significant agricultural pests (Vasudha et al., 2019; Drew and Hancock, 2022). B. caryae have been reported in the southern regions of India, spanning Goa, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, and Kerala (Ramani et al., 2008), while B. carambolae has been observed in Meghalaya (Manger et al., 2018) and the Andaman and Nicobar Islands (Vasudha et al., 2019). Due to their geographical spread, economic importance, and absence in the sampled location, the aforementioned fruit fly species were excluded from the present study. Upon analyzing the nucleotide sequence of the SSP-based amplified products generated from the study (NCBI accession numbers PP479587 and PP479586), it was observed that these sequences exhibited similarities with the existing nucleotide sequences of B. carambolae and B. raiensis (approximately 0.89% to 1.90% on the basis of number of hits) in the NCBI-BLASTn (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) (accessed on 20 February, 2024). Nonetheless, the SSP DorFP1/



(A) Comparative analysis of the Ct values. The Ct values of *B. dorsalis* specimens were plotted against those of non-target fruit fly species. The specimens include: 1. *B. dorsalis* (UP maggot), 2. *B. dorsalis* (UP adult), 3. *B. dorsalis* (UK adult), 4. *B. dorsalis* (HP adult), 5. *B. dorsalis* (AS adult), 6. *B. dorsalis* (WB adult), 7. *B. dorsalis* (BR adult), 8. *B. correcta*, 9. *B. zonata*, 10. *B. digressa*, 11. *Z. cucurbitae*, 12. *Z. tau*, 13. NTC1, and 14. NTC2. (B) 2% TAE agarose gel of qPCR assay. The samples loaded in the following order: L: 100 bps molecular ladder (BR Biochem), lane 1: *B. dorsalis* (UP adult), lane 3: *B. dorsalis* (UK adult), lane 4: *B. dorsalis* (HP adult), lane 5: *B. dorsalis* (AS adult), lane 6: *Z. cucurbitae*, lane 7: *Z. tau*, 8: lane 8: *B. zonata*, lane 9: *B. correcta*, lane 10: *B. digressa*, lane 11: NTC1, and lane 12: NTC2.

DorRP1 successfully distinguished B. dorsalis from other agriculturally important fruit fly species found in India. Further analysis is warranted to differentiate the pest within the dorsalis complex. During the on-field experiment to analyze the specificity of the developed SSP for B. dorsalis, it was observed that individuals of a single fruit fly species emerged from a single fruit, whereas individuals of different species emerged from different fruits on the same tree (e.g., B. dorsalis, B. zonata, and B. correcta from guava). The likely reason for this is the deposition of oviposition deterrents on the fruit by the adult female of the fruit fly species that first reached it, preventing oviposition not only by individuals of different species but also by other females of the same species (Mojdehi et al., 2022). This underscores the importance of the SSP in identifying the presence of B. dorsalis on fruits and studying its oviposition behavior and chemical markers, which may have significant implications for pest management in the near future (Scolari et al., 2021).

India holds a prominent position globally as a competitive producer and exporter of various horticulturally significant crops, notably mango and guava (Raman et al., 2023), with Middle Eastern and Western European countries comprising the

primary market recipients (Thakor, 2019). The country boasts 73 plant quarantine stations situated at international airports, seaports, and land borders, overseeing the regulation of plant material movement into and within its borders (Sushil et al., 2022). Detection of fruit fly infective propagules, including eggs, maggots, overwintering pupae, and active adults, poses a formidable challenge, given the potential for internal damage to remain concealed until symptoms appear, often rendering intervention too late for consignment salvage (Louzeiro et al., 2021). Recent findings by Akbar et al. (2020) unveiled new hosts for B. dorsalis in the Kashmir valley, underscoring the species' capacity for host range expansion with prolonged persistence in natural habitats. Effective management or eradication of B. dorsalis necessitates rigorous measures during active crop growing periods, coupled with stringent field hygiene and postharvest protocols during off-season intervals. These strategies, augmented by swift identification and elimination of infective propagules from non-domestic commodities within commercial fruit cultivation zones, offer promising avenues for large-scale pest management. Consequently, the B. dorsalis SSP developed and scrutinized in this study holds substantial promise, poised to

Crops	Results of SSP analysis				Morphological identification of
	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	the adults
Bitter gourd (Momordica charantia)	-	-	-	-	Z. cucurbitae
	-	-	-	-	Z. tau
Sponge gourd (Luffa aegyptiaca)	-	-	-	-	Z. cucurbitae
Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum)	-	-	-	-	Z. tau
Guava (Psidium guajava)	-	-	-	-	B. zonata
	-	-	-	-	B. correcta
	+	+	+	+	B. dorsalis
Mango ( <i>Mangifera indica</i> )	+	+	+	+	B. dorsalis
	-	_	-	_	B. zonata

TABLE 7 The results of on-field specificity test of DorFP1/DorRP1 SSP on fruit flies infesting major crops within the Banaras Hindu University campus, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India.

assume a pivotal role in an array of fruit fly management programs, domestically and potentially on an international scale.

### **5** Conclusion

In this study, we have developed a PCR-based SSP, designated as DorFP1/DorRP1, tailored for the swift and precise identification of B. dorsalis. The technology harnessed herein is distinguished by its simplicity, reliability, cost-effectiveness, and robust sensitivity, enabling accurate discrimination of B. dorsalis across various metamorphic stages and sexes. Our investigation rigorously characterized the SSP's specificity, revealing no instances of crossamplification in the examined species, at PCR annealing temperatures of 66°C, significantly low qPCR Ct values for the target species, while also demonstrating remarkable sensitivity to a DNA template concentration as low as 1 pg/µl. To advance scientific understanding, additional investigation is required to access crossamplification potential of the SSP assay across fruit fly species from a broad spectrum of geographic regions, particularly those including within the B. dorsalis complex. Furthermore, we explored the utility of the SSP-amplified product, with an amplicon size of 506 bps, as a potential surrogate tool for gauging genetic diversity within the species. As such, we posit that DorFP1/DorRP1 holds significant promise for applications in quarantine operations and diversity studies, thereby fostering opportunities for assessing its efficacy across a broader spectrum of fruit fly species sourced from diverse geographic locations. The SSP assay is anticipated to provide valuable insights into tracking the uncontrollable dissemination of the Indian population of B. dorsalis, informing decision-making process concerning international trade regulation, and serving a pivotal tool for monitoring and detection purposes.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

### **Ethics statement**

The manuscript presents research on animals that do not require ethical approval for their study.

### Author contributions

VA: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. SN: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. TS: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. AK: Methodology, Resources, Validation, Writing – review & editing. SR: Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Acknowledgments

The corresponding authors acknowledges Trans disciplinary research project funded by the Banaras Hindu University. The authors acknowledge the Director and the Head, Department of Entomology and Agricultural Zoology, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India, for providing the necessary lab facilities and space to perform the experiments. The authors also acknowledge the Coordinator, Interdisciplinary School of Life Sciences (ISLS) for providing the qPCR facility, and all the people/friends associated with the collection of the fruit fly specimens from different locations within the country for their contribution.

#### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

#### References

Adams, A. L., Van Heezik, Y., Dickinson, K. J. M., and Robertson, B. C. (2014). Identifying eradication units in an invasive mammalian pest species. *Biol. Invasions* 16, 1481–1496. doi: 10.1007/s10530-013-0586-9

Afroza, S., Nomana, M. S., Zhanga, Y., Alid, M. Y., Mahmude, M. R., and Lia, Z. (2022). Species identification of economic important fruit flies based on DNA bacrooding (mt DNA COI) and larvae based on species specific primers from central and south parts of Bangladesh. *Malays. J. Sustain. Agric.* 6, 110–116. doi: 10.26480/mjsa.02.2022.110.116

Akbar, S. A., Nabi, S. U., Mansoor, S., and Khan, K. A. (2020). Morpho-molecular identification and a new host report of *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) from the Kashmir valley (India). *Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.* 40, 315–325. doi: 10.1007/s42690-019-00083-w

Andrews, K. J., Bester, R., Manrakhan, A., and Maree, H. J. (2022). A multiplex PCR assay for the identification of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) of economic importance in South Africa. *Sci. Rep.* 12, 13089. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-17382-x

Arya, V., Srinivasa, N., Tyagi, S., and Raju, S. V. S. (2022). A guide to prepare cue-lure for *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Coquillett) management in cucurbits. *Indian Entomologist* 3, 45–47.

Barr, N. B., Copeland, R. S., De Meyer, M., Masiga, D., Kibogo, H. G., Billah, M. K., et al. (2006). Molecular diagnostics of economically important *Ceratitis* fruit fly species (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Africa using PCR and RFLP analyses. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 96, 505–521. doi: 10.1079/BER2006452

Barr, N. B., Hauser, M., Belcher, J., Salinas, D., Schuenzel, E., Kerr, P., et al. (2021). Use of ITS-1 to identify *Bactrocera dorsalis* and *Bactrocera occipitalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae): a case study using flies trapped in California from 2008 to 2018. *Fla. Entomol.* 104, 96–106. doi: 10.1653/024.104.0205

Blacket, M. J., Agarwal, A., Zheng, L., Cunningham, J. P., Britton, D., Schneider, I., et al. (2020). A LAMP assay for the detection of *Bactrocera tryoni* Queensland fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Sci. Rep.* 10. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-65715-5

Brockerhoff, E. G., Liebhold, A. M., Richardson, B., and Suckling, D. M. (2010). Eradication of invasive forest insects: concepts, methods, costs and benefits. *N. Z. J. For. Sci.* 40, S117–S135.

CABI/EPPO (2018). "Bactrocera dorsalis," in Distribution maps of plant pests (CAB International). Wallingford. UK

Chen, Y., Dominiak, B. C., and O'Rourke, B. A. (2016). A single multiplex PCR reaction for distinguishing strains of Queensland fruit fly *Bactrocera tryoni* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Austral Entomol.* 55, 316–323. doi: 10.1111/aen.12190

Choudhary, J. S., Mali, S. S., Mukherjee, D., Kumari, A., Moanaro, L., Rao, M. S., et al. (2019). Spatio-temporal temperature variations in MarkSim multimodel data and their impact on voltinism of fruit fly, *Bactrocera* species on mango. *Sci. Rep.9* 1), 9708. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-45801-z

Clarke, A. R., Li, Z. H., Qin, Y. J., Zhao, Z. H., Liu, L. J., and Schutze, M. K. (2019). *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) (Diptera: Tephritidae) is not invasive through Asia: It's been there all along. *J. Appl. Entomol.* 143, 797–801. doi: 10.1111/jen.12649

David, K. J., and Ramani, S. (2011). An illustrated key to fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) from Peninsular India and the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. *Zootaxa* 3021, 1–31. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3021.1.1

Deschepper, P., Vanbergen, S., Zhang, Y., Li, Z., Hassani, I. M., Patel, N. A., et al. (2023). *Bactrocera dorsalis* in the Indian Ocean: A tale of two invasions. *Evol. Appl.* 16, 48–61. doi: 10.1111/eva.13507

Ding, S., Wang, S., He, K., Li, F., and Jiang, M. (2018). PCR-based identification of fruit-flies using specific SSR sequences. *Chin. J. Appl. Entomol.* 55, 759–765. doi: 10.7679/j.issn.2095-1353.2018.092

Drew, R. A., and Hancock, D. (1994). The *Bactrocera dorsalis* complex of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae: Dacinae) in Asia. *L. Bull. Entomol. Res. Suppl. Ser.* 2, 1–68. doi: 10.1017/S1367426900000278 organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

#### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1399718/ full#supplementary-material

Drew, R. A. I., and Hancock, D. L. (2022). Biogeography, speciation and taxonomy within the genus *Bactrocera* Macquart with application to the *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) complex of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae: Dacinae). *Zootaxa* 5190, 333–360. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.5190.3.2

Duncan, D. B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics 11, 1–42. doi: 10.2307/3001478

Engels, W. R. (1981). Estimating genetic divergence and genetic variability with restriction endonucleases. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 78, 6329-6333. doi: 10.1073/pnas.78.10.632

EPPO (2024).Bactrocera dorsalis. In: EPPO datasheets on pests recommended for regulation. Available online at: https://gd.eppo.int (Accessed February 29, 2024).

Felsenstein, J. (1985). Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evol.* 39, 783–791. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1985.tb00420.x

Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W., Lutz, R., and Vrijenhoek, R. (1994). DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Mol. Mar. Biol. Biotechnol.* 3, 294–299. doi: 10.1093/molbev/ msx281

Frewin, A., Scott-Dupree, C., and Hanner, R. (2013). DNA barcoding for plant protection: applications and summary of available data for arthropod pests. *CABI Rev.*, 1–13. doi: 10.1079/PAVSNNR20138018

Hossain, M. S., Sarkar, B. C., Hossain, M. M., Mian, M. Y., Rajotte, E. G., Muniappan, R., et al. (2020). Comparison of biorational management approaches against mango fruit fly (*Bactrocera dorsalis* Hendel) in Bangladesh. *Crop Prot.* 135, 104807. doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2019.05.001

Hurley, B. P., Garnas, J., Wingfield, M. J., Branco, M., Richardson, D. M., and Slippers, B. (2016). Increasing numbers and intercontinental spread of invasive insects on eucalypts. *Biol. Invasions* 18, 921–933. doi: 10.1007/s10530-016-1081-x

Ielmini, M. R., and Sankaran, K. V. (2021). "Invasive alien species: A prodigious global threat in the anthropocene," in *Invasive alien species: observations and issues from around the world*, vol. 1. Eds. T. Pullaiah and M. R. Ielmini (Wiley Blackwell publications, UK), 1–79. doi: 10.1002/9781119607045.ch1

Iwahashi, O. (2001). Aedeagal length of the Oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) (Diptera: Tephritidae), and its sympatric species in Thailand and the evolution of a longer and shorter aedeagus in the parapatric species of *B. dorsalis*. *Appl. Entomol. Zool.* 36, 289–297. doi: 10.1303/aez.2001.289

Jena, M. K., Patel, S. R., and Sahoo, S. (2022). Biological and morphometric studies of fruit flies infesting fruit crops with special reference to *Bactrocera dorsalis*: A Review. *J. Emerg. Technol. Innov. Res.* 9, b22–b34.

Jiang, F., Li, Z. H., Deng, Y. L., Wu, J. J., Liu, R. S., and Buahom, N. (2013). Rapid diagnosis of the economically important fruit fly, *Bactrocera correcta* (Diptera: Tephritidae) based on a species-specific barcoding cytochrome oxidase I marker. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 103, 363–371. doi: 10.1017/S0007485312000806

Jiang, F., Li, Z. H., Wu, J. J., Wang, F. X., and Xiong, H. L. (2014). A rapid diagnostic tool for two species of *Tetradacus* (Diptera: Tephritidae: *Bactrocera*) based on species-specific PCR. *J. Appl. Entomol.* 138, 418–422. doi: 10.1111/jen.12041

Khan, M. H., Salman, M., and Shah, S. J. A. (2023). Field evaluation of various food attractants for the fruit fly *Bactrocera* species in pear orchard. *Appl. Entomol. Phytopathol.* 91, 1–10. doi: 10.22092/jaep.2023.362258.1479

Kimura, M. (1980). A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J. Mol. Evol. 16, 111–120. doi: 10.1007/BF01731581

Koohkanzade, M., Zakiaghl, M., Dhami, M. K., Fekrat, L., and Namaghi, H. S. (2018). Rapid identification of *Bactrocera zonata* (Dip.: Tephritidae) using TaqMan real-time PCR assay. *PloS One* 13, e0205136. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0205136 Korir, J. K., Affognon, H. D., Ritho, C. N., Kingori, W. S., Irungu, P., Mohamed, S. A., et al. (2015). Grower adoption of an integrated pest management package for management of mango-infesting fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Embu, Kenya. *Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.* 35, 80–89. doi: 10.1017/S1742758415000077

Lantero, E., Matallanas, B., Ochando, M. D., Pascual, S., and Callejas, C. (2017). Specific and sensitive primers for the detection of predated olive fruit flies, *Bactrocera oleae* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Span. J. Agric. Res.* 15, e1002–e1002. doi: 10.5424/sjar/2017152-9920

Leblanc, L., Hossain, M. A., Momen, M., and Seheli, K. (2021). New country records, annotated checklist and key to the dacine fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae: Dacinae: Dacini) of Bangladesh. *Insecta Mundi* 0880, 1–56. doi: 10.15468/dl.yurtw8

Leblanc, L., Vargas, R. I., and Putoa, R. (2013). From eradication to containment: invasion of French Polynesia by *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) (Diptera: Tephritidae) and releases of two natural enemies: a 17-year case study. *Proc. Hawaii. Entomol. Soc* 45, 31–43.

Liu, J., Shi, W., and Ye, H. (2007). Population genetics analysis of the origin of the Oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* Hendel (Diptera: Tephritidae), in northern Yunnan Province, China. *Entomol. Sci.* 10, 11–19. doi: 10.1111/j.1479-8298.2006.00194.x

Louzeiro, L. R. F., de Souza-Filho, M. F., Raga, A., and Gisloti, L. J. (2021). Incidence of frugivorous flies (Tephritidae and Lonchaeidae), fruit losses and the dispersal of flies through the transportation of fresh fruit. *J. Asia-Pac. Entomol.* 24, 50–60. doi: 10.1016/j.aspen.2020.11.006

Malavasi, A., Sauers-Muller, A. V., Midgarden, D., Kellman, V., Didelot, D., Caplong, P., et al. (2000). "Regional program for the eradication of the carambola fruit fly in South America," in Area-wide control of fruit flies and other insect pests'. Joint proceedings of the international conference on area-wide control of insect pests, 28 May-2 June, 1998 and the Fifth International Symposium on Fruit Flies of Economic Importance, Penang, Malaysia, Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia, 1-5 June, 1998. 395–399).

Manel, S., Schwartz, M. K., Luikart, G., and Taberlet, P. (2003). Landscape genetics: combining landscape ecology and population genetics. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 18, 189–197. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(03)00008-9

Manger, A., Behere, G. T., Firake, D. M., Sharma, B., Deshmukh, N. A., Firake, P. D., et al. (2018). Genetic characterization of *Bactrocera* fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) from Northeastern India based on DNA barcodes. *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 29, 792–799. doi: 10.1080/24701394.2017.1357713

Maruthadurai, R., and Ramesh, R. (2019). Pheromone technology for the management of economically important insect pests. (Technical Bulletin No: 67) (Goa, India: ICAR-Central Coastal Agricultural Research Institute, Ela, Old Goa-403 402), 42.

Mishra, B., Ranjan, J., Purushotham, P., Saha, S., Payal, P., Kar, P., et al. (2022). High proportion of low cycle threshold value as an early indicator of COVID-19 surge. *J. Med. Virol.* 94, 240–245. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27307

Mojdehi, M. R. A., Keyhanian, A. A., and Rafiei, B. (2022). Application of oviposition deterrent compounds for the control of olive fruit fly, *Bactrocera oleae* Rossi.(Dip. Tephritidae) control. *Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.* 42, 63–70. doi: 10.1007/s42690-021-00518-3

Mutamiswa, R., Nyamukondiwa, C., Chikowore, G., and Chidawanyika, F. (2021). Overview of oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Africa: From invasion, bio-ecology to sustainable management. *Crop Prot.* 141, 105492. doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2020.105492

Ndiaye, M., Dieng, E. O., and Delhove, G. (2008). Population dynamics and on-farm fruit fly integrated pest management in mango orchards in the natural area of Niayes in Senegal. *Pest Manage. Horti. Ecosyst.* 14, 1–8.

Pearson, W. R., Robins, G., and Zhang, T. (1999). Generalized neighbor-joining: more reliable phylogenetic tree reconstruction. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16, 806–816. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026165

Qin, Y. J., Krosch, M. N., Schutze, M. K., Zhang, Y., Wang, X. X., Prabhakar, C. S., et al. (2018). Population structure of a global agricultural invasive pest, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Evol. Appl.* 11, 1990–2003. doi: 10.1111/eva.12701

Rabaan, A. A., Tirupathi, R., Sule, A. A., Aldali, J., Mutair, A. A., Alhumaid, S., et al. (2021). Viral dynamics and real-time RT-PCR Ct values correlation with disease severity in COVID-19. *Diagnostics* 11, 1091. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics11061091

Raman, M. S., Pant, D. K., Singh, A., and Kumar, R. (2023). Competitiveness of fruits' and vegetables' exports from India. *Econ. Aff.* 68, (3) 1379–1386. doi: 10.46852/0424-2513.3.2023.4

Ramani, S., David, K. J., Viraktamath, C. A., and Kumar, A. R. V. (2008). Identity and distribution of *Bactrocera caryeae* (Kapoor) (Insecta: Diptera: Tephritidae)—a species under the *Bactrocera dorsalis* complex in India. *Biosystematica* 2, 49–57.

Rewatkar, V. K. (2020). India as mega biodiversity nation: a fantastic "ethnobotanical museum. *Int. J. Res. Biosci. Agric. Technol.* 16, 1–6.

Rizzo, D., Zubieta, C. G., Sacchetti, P., Marrucci, A., Miele, F., Ascolese, R., et al. (2024). Diagnostic tool for the identification of *bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) (Diptera: tephritidae) using real-time PCR. *Insects* 15, 44. doi: 10.3390/insects15010044

Rodríguez, A., Rodríguez, M., Córdoba, J. J., and Andrade, M. J. (2015). "Design of primers and probes for quantitative real-time PCR methods," in *PCR primer design. Methods in molecular biology*. Ed. C. Basu (Humana Press, New York, NY), 1275. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-2365-6\_3

Roques, A., Auger-Rozenberg, M. A., Blackburn, T. M., Garnas, J., Pyšek, P., Rabitsch, W., et al. (2016). Temporal and interspecific variation in rates of spread for insect species invading Europe during the last 200 years. *Biol. Invasions* 18, 907–920. doi: 10.1007/s10530-016-1080-y

Saitou, N., and Nei, M. (1987). The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 4, 406-425. doi: 10.1093/ oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454

Saji, S. J., Hole, U. B., Bagde, A. S., and Shinde, U. S. (2023). Efficacy of insecticides and biopesticides against mango fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* Hendel (Diptera: Tephritidae). J. Pharm. Innov. 12, 4035–4039.

Savidge, J. A., Hopken, M. W., Witmer, G. W., Jojola, S. M., Pierce, J. J., Burke, P. W., et al. (2012). Genetic evaluation of an attempted *Rattus rattus* eradication on Congo Cay, US Virgin Islands, identifies importance of eradication units. *Biol. Invasions* 14, 2343–2354. doi: 10.1007/s10530-012-0233-x

Schulman, A. H. (2007). Molecular markers to assess genetic diversity. *Euphytica* 158, 313–321. doi: 10.1007/s10681-006-9282-5

Schutze, M. K., Aketarawong, N., Amornsak, W., Armstrong, K. F., Augustinos, A. A., Barr, N., et al. (2015). Synonymization of key pest species within the *Bactrocera dorsalis* species complex (Diptera: Tephritidae): taxonomic changes based on a review of 20 years of integrative morphological, molecular, cytogenetic, behavioral and chemo ecological data. *Syst. Entomol.* 40, 456–471. doi: 10.1111/syen.12113

Schutze, M. K., Krosch, M. N., Armstrong, K. F., Chapman, T. A., Englezou, A., Chomič, A., et al. (2012). Population structure of *Bactrocera dorsalis s.s., B. papayae* and *B. philippinensis* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in southeast Asia: evidence for a single species hypothesis using mitochondrial DNA and wing-shape data. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 12, 1–15. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-12-130

Scolari, F., Valerio, F., Benelli, G., Papadopoulos, N. T., and Vaničková, L. (2021). Tephritid fruit fly semiochemicals: current knowledge and future perspectives. *Insects* 12, 408. doi: 10.3390/insects12050408

Shi, W., Kerdelhue, C., and Ye, H. (2005). Population genetics of the oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae), in Yunnan (China) based on mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Environ. Entomol.* 34, 977–983. doi: 10.1603/0046-225X-34.4.977

Skendžić, S., Zovko, M., Pajač Živković, I., Lešić, V., and Lemić, D. (2021). Effect of climate change on introduced and native agricultural invasive insect pests in Europe. *Insects* 12, 985. doi: 10.3390/insects12110985

Sridhar, A., Verghese, A., Vinesh, L. S., Jayashankar, M., and Jayanthi, P. K. (2014). CLIMEX simulated predictions of Oriental fruit fly, Bactrocera dorsalis (Hendel) (Diptera: Tephritidae) geographical distribution under climate change situations in India. *Curr. Sci.* 12, 1702–1710. Available at: https://www.jstor.org/stable/24103005.

Stecher, G., Tamura, K., and Kumar, S. (2020). Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) for macOS. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 37, 1237–1239. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msz312

Stothard, P. (2000). The sequence manipulation suite: JavaScript programs for analyzing and formatting protein and DNA sequences. *Biotechniques* 28, 1102–1104. doi: 10.2144/00286ir01

Suckling, D. M., Kean, J. M., Stringer, L. D., Cáceres-Barrios, C., Hendrichs, J., Reyes-Flores, J., et al. (2016). Eradication of tephritid fruit fly pest populations: outcomes and prospects. *Pest Manage. Sci.* 72, 456–465. doi: 10.1002/ps.2016.72.issue-3

Suckling, D. M., Stringer, L. D., Stephens, A. E., Woods, B., Williams, D. G., Baker, G., et al. (2014). From integrated pest management to integrated pest eradication: technologies and future needs. *Pest Manage. Sci.* 70, 179–189. doi: 10.1002/ps.3670

Sushil, S. N., Joshi, D., Roy, S., Rao, G. P., and Pathak, A. D. (2022). Plant quarantine regulations with reference to sugarcane in India: strengths and challenges. *Sugar Tech* 24, 1319–1329. doi: 10.1007/s12355-022-01125-3

Svec, D., Tichopad, A., Novosadova, V., Pfaffl, M. W., and Kubista, M. (2015). How good is a PCR efficiency estimate: Recommendations for precise and robust qPCR efficiency assessments. *Biomol. Detect. Quantif.* 3, 9–16. doi: 10.1016/j.bdq.2015.01.005

Tan, K. H., Tokushima, I., Ono, H., and Nishida, R. (2011). Comparison of phenylpropanoid volatiles in male rectal pheromone gland after methyl eugenol consumption, and molecular phylogenetic relationship of four global pest fruit fly species: Bactrocera invadens, B. dorsalis, B. correcta and *B. zonata. Chemoecology* 21, 25–33. doi: 10.1007/s00049-010-0063-1

Thakor, N. J. (2019). Indian mango-production and export scenario. Peach 18, 0-12.

Tyagi, S., Srinivasa, N., Singh, R. N., and Vinay, N. (2023). Species-specific markers for *Nilaparvata lugens* and *Sogatella furcifera* (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) based on mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I. *3 Biotech.* 13, 269. doi: 10.1007/s13205-023-03693-x

Untergasser, A., Cutcutache, I., Koressaar, T., Ye, J., Faircloth, B. C., Remm, M., et al. (2012). Primer3—new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, e115–e115. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks596

van Sauers-Muller, A. (2008). Carambola fruit fly situation in Latin America and the Caribbean. Proc. Carib. Food Crops Soc 44, (1) 135–144. doi: 10.22004/ag.econ.256497

Vasudha, A., Ahmad, A., and Agarwal, M. L. (2019). An overview of Indian dacine fruit flies (Diptera: tephritidae: dacinae: dacini). *Int. J. Bio-Res. Stress Manage*. 10, 491–506. doi: 10.23910/IJBSM/2019.10.5.2016

Venkataraman, K. (2012). Biodiversity and its conservation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India B- Biol. Sci. 82, 271-282. doi: 10.1007/s40011-012-0096-z

Wittwer, C. T., Hemmert, A. C., Kent, J. O., and Rejali, N. A. (2024). DNA melting analysis. *Mol. Aspects Med.* 97, 101268. doi: 10.1016/j.mam.2024.101268

Zeng, Y., Reddy, G. V., Li, Z., Qin, Y., Wang, Y., Pan, X., et al. (2019). Global distribution and invasion pattern of oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *J. Appl. Entomol.* 143, 165–176. doi: 10.1111/jen.12582