Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wilhelm Boland, Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Germany

REVIEWED BY Yooichi Kainoh, University of Tsukuba, Japan Alfredo J. Ibáñez, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Peru

*CORRESPONDENCE Tao Ma Matao@scau.edu.cn

RECEIVED 27 May 2024 ACCEPTED 08 August 2024 PUBLISHED 23 August 2024

CITATION

Qian C, Xie W, Su Z, Wen X and Ma T (2024) Quantitative analysis and characterization of floral volatiles, and the role of active compounds on the behavior of *Heortia vitessoides*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 15:1439087. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1439087

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Qian, Xie, Su, Wen and Ma. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Quantitative analysis and characterization of floral volatiles, and the role of active compounds on the behavior of *Heortia vitessoides*

Chenyu Qian, Wenqi Xie, Zhongqi Su, Xiujun Wen and Tao Ma*

College of Forestry and Landscape Architecture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China

This study explores the role of floral volatile organic compounds (FVOCs) in insect behavior, focusing on *Aquilaria sinensis* (AS), a valuable tropical plant threatened by *Heortia vitessoides* Moore. Despite *H. vitessoides*' attraction to AS and non-host plants like *Elaeocarpus decipiens* (ED) and *Dalbergia odorifera* (DO), little is known about their chemical interactions. FVOCs from these plants were analyzed at 9:00 and 18:00 using GCxGC-QTOF-MS and HS-SPME. The results showed that ED exhibiting the highest concentration (92.340 ng/mg), followed by DO (75.167 ng/mg) and AS (64.450 ng/mg). Through GC-EAD and EAG, a total of 11 FVOC compounds with electrophysiological activates were identified. These compounds, except linalool, showed dose-dependent responses. Y-Tube bioassays confirmed phenylethyl alcohol or the mixture of EAD-active compounds produced positive chemotactic responses in both males and females. FVOCs have the potential to be used as a natural and sustainable alternative to chemical insecticides in pest control.

KEYWORDS

floral volatiles, Heortia vitessoides, HS-SPME, antennal response, olfactometer bioassay

1 Introduction

Aquilaria sinensis (Lour.) Gilg (Myrtales: Thymelaeaceae), the principal source of agarwood, is an economically plant that is primarily cultivated in tropical areas, such as South China, Vietnam, India, Malaysia, *etc* (Chen et al., 2016; Qiao et al., 2012), which has a long history of application in traditional medicines, religious ceremonies, and incense industries (Wang et al., 2021). However, the cultivation of *A. sinensis* forests is seriously threatened by *Heortia vitessoides* Moore (Lepidoptera: Crambidae), a severe and notorious pest (Cheng et al., 2019). The larvae of *H. vitessoides* feed on the leaves, causing weakening, defoliation and eventual death of *A. sinensis* trees. The widespread use of chemical insecticides to control *H. vitessoides* infestations in agriculture has resulted in the

development of resistance in these insects, leading to increased pesticide usage and severe environmental pollution. Chemical insecticides can enter water bodies, soil, and the atmosphere, affecting not only the target pests but also non-target organisms. Therefore, it is necessary to explore alternative strategies for *H. vitessoides* management that minimize the use of chemical insecticides and their associated environmental risks.

The floral fragrance is a blend of low-molecular-weight volatiles with various chemical functional groups, endogenously synthesized by plants (Dudareva and Negre, 2005). These volatiles serve as unique scent signals that attract specific visitor insects for successful reproduction and evolution (Zhang et al., 2022). Flowers emit aroma volatiles as chemical cues (Pichersky and Gershenzon, 2002), and offer nectar, pollen, or oil as rewards (Schiestl et al., 2011). Aroma volatiles also has adaptive roles as repellents and physiological protectors (Borg-Karlson et al., 1993; Kessler et al., 2008). Even plant species with different evolutionary histories might emit similar composition of floral scent when pollinated by the same moth species (Powers et al., 2020). Therefore, the temporal rhythms of nectar production and scent emission should be taken into consideration when investigating the chemical mechanism that flowers adapt to their pollinators (Balducci et al., 2020).

Headspace solid phase micro-extraction (HS-SPME) is a widely employed technique for extracting volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from various matrices (Rajhi et al., 2022; Oliveira et al., 2022; Muñoz-Redondo et al., 2022). HS-SPME is known for its simplicity and ease of use, requires minimal sample preparation, and the extraction process can be completed in a relatively short period of time (Marinaki et al., 2023). The method's high sensitivity and reliability make it a valuable tool for the analysis of complex mixtures found in environmental and biological samples (Domínguez et al., 2017; Issa et al., 2020). In general, HS-SPME's extraction efficiency is affected by experimental parameters such as sample amount, time and temperature of incubation, extraction, and desorption, which are therefore included in most of the optimization attempts (Muñoz-Redondo et al., 2020, 2022; Pati et al., 2021).

The pollinating H. vitessoides moths prefer A. sinensis, Elaeocarpus decipiens (Malvales: Elaeocarpaceae), and Dalbergia odorifera (Rosales: Fabaceae) as their primary and alternative hosts, respectively (Supplementary Figure 1), indicating that the attractance of flowers may be due to the present of some common FVOCs from these three plants. Yet, the extent of their attraction to these plants and the underlying mechanism are not fully understood. In this study, we aim to characterize the chemical composition of the floral fragrance emitted by these plants and assess their similarities and differences. The study also explored the temporal variations in volatile emissions in the morning and dusk. Simultaneously, the electroantennographic (EAG) and Y-tube olfactometer techniques were utilized to examine the antennal and behavioral reactions of H. vitessoides moths to the active compounds identified through gas chromatographyelectroantennography detection (GC-EAD). By identifying FVOCs, it is possible to create a targeted approach to pest management that reduces the negative impact of chemical pesticides on the environment.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Plant materials

The host plant *A. sinensis* (AS) flower was collected from Tianlu Lake Park (Guangzhou, China, 23°14′27″N, 113°24′48″E). Two nonhost plants, *E. decipiens* (ED) and *D. odorifera* (DO) were harvested at South China Agricultural University (23°9′44″N, 113°21′21″E). The flower samples were all harvested in the early morning (9:00) and dusk (18:00), respectively. The phenotypic traits of the three flower species were shown in Supplementary Table 1.

2.2 Insect rear

The larvae in the fourth or fifth instar of *H. vitessoides* were also collected from Tianlu Lake Park. The larvae were maintained under environmental conditions of 26 ± 1 °C and $80 \pm 5\%$ relative humidity (RH) under a 14:10 light: dark schedule. Fresh leaves of *A. sinensis* were added to feed larvae each day. The adults were kept in fine nylon mesh cages ($50 \times 50 \times 50$ cm) and supplied with 20% honey solution.

2.3 Chemicals

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)-grade methanol was obtained from Baishi Chemical (Tianjin, China). Hexanal (>99%), benzaldehyde (>98%), 1-octen-3-ol (>96%), benzyl alcohol (>99%), heptanal (>96%), 3-octanol (>99%), nonanal (>98%), (*E*)-2-hexenal(>98%), and phenylacetaldehyde (>99%) were purchased from Cato Research Chemicals Inc (Oregon, U.S); Phenylethyl alcohol (\geq 98%), caryophyllene (>98%), geraniol (\geq 98%), linalool (\geq 98%), nerol (\geq 97%), (*E*)- β -ocimene (>98%) were obtained from Macklin (Shanghai, China). A mixture of normal alkanes (C8-C25) was purchased from Sigma (St Louis, MO, USA).

2.4 Sample preparation

Flower samples were collected in liquid nitrogen and freezedried at -70°C (Alpha2-4 LD Plus; Christ, Osterode, German). Flowers were powdered and weighed into a 20-mL headspace vial (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). A 50/30 μ m DVB/CAR/PDMS coated SPME fibers (Supelco-Aldrich, Bellefonte, PA, USA) was thermally cleaned and desorbed between samples. Extraction was performed at different temperatures and times considered for optimization in the next section. The SPME fiber was placed into the injector port at 250°C for 3 min. Each SPME process was repeated three times. Clean vials were used as controls. Quality control (QC) samples were created by mixing equal quantities of each type of sample (DO, AS, and ED flower collected at 9:00 and 18:00). The QC sample was used for validation of the SPME method. Each aliquot of flower sample was tested in triplicate.

2.5 Optimization of HS-SPME

The optimization of HS-SPME parameters was performed to ensure good chromatographic signals. The total peak area of extracted compounds was used to evaluate extraction efficiency. The optimization of the SPME parameters included low, medium, and high levels: sample weight (50, 125, and 200 mg), incubation temperature (30, 45, and 60°C), equilibration time (10, 20, and 30 min) and adsorption time (20, 40, and 60 min). Injections were made in triplicate.

2.6 GC × GC-QTOFMS

A comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography (GC×GC) system consisting of a GC gas chromatography (7890B, Agilent) and a quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (QTOFMS, 7250, Agilent) instrument was used. Samples were introduced by a split/splitless injector (SSL) system with a CTC autosampler (PAL RSI 120, Agilent). Helium carrier gas (99.999%) was set at a constant flow of 1 mL/min. The oven temperature was programmed from 50°C (held for 3 min) to 250°C at 5°C/min (held for 1 min), for a total running time of 44 min. A DB-Wax column (30 m \times 250 μ m, 0.25 μ m film thickness; Agilent) and a DB-17 column (1.2 m \times 180 μ m, 0.18 μ m film thickness; Agilent) were used for first and second-dimensional separation, respectively. A solid-state modulator (SSM 1800, J&X Technologies, Shanghai, China) was installed between the 1st and 2nd columns, and the cold zone temperature of the SSM was set at -50°C. The modulation period was 6 s. The transfer line temperature and ion source temperature of MS were 280°C and 200°C, respectively. Electron ionization (EI) mode was at 70 eV, and full-scan acquisition mode with a mass range of 40-450 m/z.

The raw data were processed with Canvas (J & X Technologies). The compounds were identified by comparing the gas chromatography-mass spectrometry spectra with standards, or tentatively identified by comparing mass spectrometry spectra with the data in the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST20) library. RI was calculated based on the retention times of *n*-alkanes (C_{8} - C_{25}) under the same conditions.

Quantification: The concentration of the identified major compounds was calculated by an external standard operating curves method. The standards were diluted respectively in methanol in a series of concentrations (0.5, 1, 5, 10, 50, and 100 μ g/mL). For those components without corresponding standards, the curve of compounds that have similar chemical structures and the response signal were used to conduct semi-quantification (Zhou et al., 2020). The signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 3:1 and 10:1 was used for the LOD and LOQ, respectively. Precision was evaluated by calculating each QC sample's percent relative standard deviation (RSD) (n=3). The repeatability was accepted no more than RSD of 15% (Supplementary Table 2).

2.7 GC-EAD analysis

Flower compounds to which *H. vitessoides* moths responded were identified on an Agilent 7820A GC system with an HP-5MS capillary column and an EAD and flame ionization detector (FID). A mixture of all the VOCs (1 μ L) was injected in splitless mode with injector and detector temperatures at 250 and 300°C, respectively. The effluent between FID and EAD was split at a 1:1 ratio with a glass Y splitter (Agilent). The experimental details were described by Ma et al. (2015). In brief, antenna of male or female moths was mounted onto an antenna holder for the EAG probe (PRG-2) and exposed to the GC effluent introduced into a glass transfer tube (15 mm ID) with purified and humidified air flow (100 mL/min). The constant airstream was supplied continuously from the EAD to the *H. vitessoides* antennae by an air stimulus controller (CS-55) (Syntech). The antennal signal was recorded simultaneously with the FID signal and considered active when it elicited antennal responses at least 5 times.

2.8 EAG test

The EAG system used to analyze *H. vitessoides* antennae responses to compound mixtures was modified from previous method (Magsi et al., 2021). The system consisted of a MP-15 probe/micromanipulator, an IDAC-2 data acquisition interface box, and a CS-55 air stimulus controller. The antenna was mounted on fork-shaped metal electrodes and fixed with electrode gel (Spectra 360, Parker Laboratory Inc., Orange, NJ, USA). Chemicals were dissolved in methanol at three concentrations (1, 10, and 100 μ g/ μ L), with methanol as a control. Chemicals were applied to filter paper strip (1 × 2 cm) and inserted into a glass Pasteur pipet (15 cm long) in a mixing tube with moistened airflow (100 mL/min). The signal was analyzed using EAG Pro software (Syntech, Germany). Stimuli were delivered by puffing the humidified air for 0.3 s. The average EAG values of each chemical component were compared to methanol before and after stimulation.

2.9 Olfactometry bioassays

Behavioral bioassays were conducted using a Y-olfactometer consisting of a base tube (20 cm long × 4 cm diam.) with two 15 cm arms at 70° angles. Odor sources consisted of a 1 cm² piece of filter paper placed at the end of each arm. The test solutions were dissolved to the concentration of 10 μ g/ μ L. The filter paper piece loaded with 10 μ L of the corresponding standards was the test arm, and those loaded with 10 μ L methanol were set as the control arm. Charcoal-filtered and moisten air was pumped into the system at 600 mL/min by using a gas sampling instrument. In each test, a single male or female moth was introduced into the base of the main olfactometer tube, and response to the corresponding stimulus was observed for 5 min. The observed behavior was recorded as follows:

(1) standard choice or control choice (entering the respective arm for more than 2 cm and remaining for at least 30 s); (2) no choice (do not reach or visit any arm). Each solution tested thirty females or males. During the assays, the position of each olfactometer arm was shifted every five tests.

2.9 Statistical analyses

The orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) was carried out with SIMCA 14.0 software (Umetrics, Umea, Sweden). Venn graph was conducted online (http://jvenn.toulouse.inra.fr). An Independent sample t-test was conducted to compare the electrophysiological response values of *H. vitessoides* male and female moths in the EAG test by SAS 9.4 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The choices made by moths in the bioassays were analyzed by the chi-square goodness-of-fit test (SAS 9.4). Origin 2022 (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA) and GraphPad Prism 9 (GraphPad Software) were used for data visualization.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Optimization of the HS-SPME conditions

3.1.1 Effect of sample amount

HS-SPME technology relies on a dynamic equilibrium process that balances analytes among the sample, headspace, and fiber coating (Ho et al., 2006). Therefore, the extraction efficiency depends on the amount of flower sample in the vial, which affects the ionic strength and vapor pressure of volatile compounds (Wei et al., 2020). Increasing the sample quantity from 50 mg to 125 mg doubled the efficiency of volatile compound extraction, but no further increase in extracted volatiles was observed with 200 mg (Supplementary Figure 2A). Additionally, the number of extracted compounds significantly decreased when the sample amount exceeded 200 mg. The results suggested that insufficient sample amounts might lead to low enrichment of trace compounds, while saturation of fiber sites prevented the collection of additional volatiles.

3.1.2 Effect of equilibration temperature

Increasing the equilibration temperature from 30 to 45°C led to an increase in the peak area of total volatile, reaching the highest performance at 45°C. To preserve natural volatiles, 60°C was chosen as the maximum temperature, but a further increase from 45 to 60°C decreased the peak areas and peak numbers (Supplementary Figure 2B). Due to the high-energy barriers that bind analytes to the matrix, the adsorption of volatile components is a slow process (Liang et al., 2022). Consecutive heating improves diffusion coefficients and analyte volatility (Wei et al., 2020). However, the incubation temperature above 50 °C may lead to the Millard reaction and Strecker degradation, leading to the adsorption of large weights volatiles and the desorption of small molecular weight volatiles (Mu et al., 2021). Additionally, the release and enrichment of analytes on the fiber are antagonistic energy phases (Zhang and Pawliszyn, 1993), indicating that higher temperatures may promote analyte release but inhibit fiber adsorption. Thus, 45°C was deemed an appropriate incubation temperature for further experiments. Under optimal temperature conditions, the total amount of absorbed compounds is highest, and the variety of compounds is most comprehensive.

3.1.3 Effect of equilibration and adsorption time

The SPME method combines extraction and pre-concentration into a single step, with equilibration and adsorption being dynamic processes that are closely related to the efficiency of HS-SPME (Piri-Moghadam et al., 2017). Equilibration time is critical for analyte release into the headspace and is typically necessary before extraction (Ma et al., 2013). Equilibrium time of 20 min and 30 min were tested and showed little difference, with 20 minutes selected as the optimal equilibrium time to balance efficiency and time cost (Supplementary Figure 2C). Subsequently, the extraction time was investigated. As shown in Supplementary Figure 2D, a maximum peak area and peak number was observed when the extraction time increased from 20 min to 40 min, followed by a decrease at 60 min due to the competitive adsorption of analytes on the fiber coating (Pawliszyn et al., 2010). Previous studies have suggested that longer extraction times do not significantly increase signal intensities (Pico et al., 2022). Therefore, 40 min was selected as the optimal adsorption time since longer extraction times do not continually facilitate extraction after the fiber is thoroughly occupied by volatile substances.

3.2 Volatile analysis

3.2.1 Floral volatile composition

The analysis of FVOCs revealed that the content of VOC varied among different flower cultivars, DO contained the most diverse range of compounds, whereas AS had the least. A total of 21 common FVOCs were identified. Additionally, the FVOCs were classified into various groups, including aldehydes, alcohols, terpenoids, etc. (Supplementary Table 3). Aldehydes and alcohols were the two most abundant groups in all three types of flowers, with concentrations ranging from 16.510 to 28.000 ng/mg and 10.724 to 26.321 ng/mg, respectively (Figure 1). Traces of esters (from 0 to 4.784 ng/mg), ketones (from 0 to 5.919 ng/mg) and heterocyclic compounds (from 0.033 to 3.091 ng/mg) were also detected. Hexanal was the most abundant VOC in DO flowers (from 7.135 ± 1.024 to 7.94 ± 0.182 ng/mg), while the concentration of 4-oxoisophorone was the highest in ED at 18:00 (11.26 \pm 0.219 ng/mg). Furthermore, benzyl alcohol (ranging from 8.85 ± 0.141 to 10.277 ± 0.193 ng/mg) was the primary component of AS.

In accordance with the present results, these three plant species present pale petals and emit fragrant scents, providing a strong contrast to the darkness. Among those volatile compounds, linalool is a common component in floral aroma released by moth-pollinated flowers (Raguso and Pichersky, 1999; Knudsen et al., 2006), and has been shown to mediated a pollinator-plant association between the nocturnal moth *Manduca sexta* (Sphingidae) and *Datura wrightii*



FIGURE 1

(Solanaceae) (Reisenman et al., 2010). (*E*)-α-bergamotene emitted from the night-flowering *Nicotiana attenuata* (Solanaceae) also had positive effects on *M. sexta* moth-mediated pollination success (Zhou et al., 2017), indicating that different host/non-host plants might use unique compound to attract the same pollinator. In *Asclepias speciosa* (Apocynaceae), phenylacetaldehyde was used alone to attract *Synanthedon myopaeformis* (Sesiidae) moths, which induced the most frequent probosci's extension reflexes (Eby et al., 2013). The moth *Hadena bicruris* (Noctuidae) strongly preferred *Silene latifolia* (Caryophyllaceae) flowers over *S. dioica* (Bopp and Gottsberger, 2004), this preference could be attributed to the emission of lilac aldehydes and phenylacetaldehyde, which are known to be highly attractive to *H. bicruri* (Doetterl et al., 2009; Waelti et al., 2009). Thus, the VOCs profile of AS, ED, and DO may help explain the scent-mediated foraging behavior of *H. vitessoides* moths.

3.2.2 Day-night comparisons of FVOCs profiles

The analysis of three flowers harvested at two sampling periods showed that the variety of compounds extracted from flowers harvested at 18:00 was higher compared to those harvested at 9:00 (Figure 1). At 18:00, a total of 56 compounds were found in DO and ED, followed by AS (45). Except for AS, the compound identified in DO and ED at 18:00 was higher than those found at 9:00. In addition, four unique compounds were detected in both ED and AS harvested at 18:00, while three unique components were identified in AS flower at 9:00 (Figure 1). Four aromatic hydrocarbons were specific to ED at 18:00, including methylcumene (7.651 \pm 0.629 ng/mg), pentamethylbenzene (0.487 \pm 0.065 ng/mg), and 1,3-diethyl-4-methylbenzene (0.387 \pm 0.005 ng/mg). Quantitatively, the concentration of compounds in the same flower species varied considerably between the two time slots. At 18:00, ED showed the highest concentration (92.340 ng/mg), followed by DO (75.167 ng/mg) and AS (64.450 ng/mg). While AS, ED, and DO samples at 9:00 were only with a total concentration of 59.610 ng/mg, 48.521 ng/mg, and 44.955 ng/mg, respectively.

FVOCs are crucial cues in habitats with low light availability (Klatt et al., 2013). The timing of floral scent emission was often linked to pollinators activity, with emissions intensifying when pollinators are active (Dötterl et al., 2012; Powers et al., 2020). For example, the scent composition of Platanthera chlorantha (Asparagales: Orchidaceae) differed between day and night, with (E)- β -ocimene and linalool being the dominant compounds detected in larger amounts before sunset and at night, but only in trace amounts during the day, which positively related with the visition of Sphinx pinastri (Lepidoptera: Sphingidae) (Steen et al., 2019). Similarly, the strongest volatile emission of Silene otites (Caryophyllaceae) was found immediately after sunset, characterized by attractants such as phenylacetaldehyde, 2phenylethanol, and lilac aldehyde for some moths (Dötterl et al., 2012). Although the scent compounds in various flower stages seem to have no difference from the evening of anthesis to the following morning, the content for each compound might be varied (Yan et al., 2016). A potential benefit of temporally regulating aroma emission is to reduce the cost associated with the consumption of energetically expensive aroma (van der Niet et al., 2015). Based on our field and indoor observations, H. vitessoides moth exhibits increased emergence, foraging (flower visiting), and mating activities postdusk, with peak activity occurring during this period. Therefore, quantitative analyses revealed that three plant species released more abundant FVOCs after 18:00, corresponding with previous studies that illustrated that plants were expected to emit a stronger floral scent at night when pollinators were most active (Powers et al., 2020).

The analysis of VOCs of three tested flowers at day and night. DO, ED, and AS represent *Dalbergia odorifera*, *Elaeocarpus decipiens*, and *Aquilaria sinensis*, respectively.



FIGURE 2

Hierarchical clustering and heatmap visualization of volatile compounds in three flower species. DO, ED, and AS represent *Dalbergia odorifera*, *Elaeocarpus decipiens*, and *Aquilaria sinensis*, respectively.



FIGURE 3

Responses of *Heortia vitessoides* female and male to volatiles detected by GC–EAD. The consistently EAD-active compounds in common floral volatile compounds were as follows: hexanal (1), heptanal (2), (E)- β -ocimene (3), benzaldehyde (4), 1-octen-3-ol (5), linalool (6), caryophyllene (7), nerol (8), geraniol (9), benzyl alcohol (10), phenylethyl alcohol (11) respectively.



3.4 Hierarchical clustering analysis

To visualize and excavate the relationships among different flower species, hierarchical clustering analysis and a heat map were performed based on the content of volatile compounds detected by the GC \times GC-QTOFMS method (Figure 2). The heat map graphically represented the differences in volatile abundance using a color scale, with the change from pink to green indicating a ranging from low to high content. The result showed that flowers of the same species were closely grouped, even when harvested at different times. According to HCA, the three flower species were divided into two groups. Base on the colors corresponding to volatile contents, DO and AS were classified into one group due to their similar volatile content, including myrtenol, 3,4-dimethylbenzene, nerol, *etc.* In contrast, the contents of the main compounds in ED were distinct from those in the other two species, particularly aldehydes like (E)-3-hexenal, decanal, and (E)-4-nonenal, *etc.* Thus, ED was categorized into the second group.

3.5 GC-EAD and EAG responses

The main common compounds were selected from the volatile components for electrophysiological testing. GC-EAD analyses revealed that the female and male antennae of *H. vitessoides* moths responded to all 11 compounds (Figure 3), which included 5 terpenoids, 3 alcohols, and 3 aldehydes, indicating that only a fraction of components were involved in the interaction between host/non-host flowers and the moths.

In electroantennogram test, the EAG responses of *H. vitessoides* moths to most volatiles were dose-dependent, with higher quantities triggering stronger responses. However, the response to benzyl alcohol appeared weaker with increasing dosage (Figure 4). Heptanal and hexanal elicited the largest EAG responses in males and females, respectively (Figure 4). Female moths appeared to be less sensitive to linalool than male moths (Supplementary Table 4; *P* < 0.05). Conversely, within each dose level, female antennal responses were significantly greater than males to geraniol and caryophyllene (*P* < 0.05). Thus, among the 11 chemical compounds tested, *H. vitessoides* moths only responded to certain FVOCs emitted by host/non-host plants.

3.6 Olfactometry bioassays

To further demonstrate the biological activity of these compounds, Y tube choice experiments were conducted on *H*.

vitessoides moths. Behavior bioassays using selected standards as the odor source showed that both genders were attracted to phenylethyl alcohol (female, $\chi^2 = 5.5556$, df=1, P=0.0184; male, χ^2 4.8400, df=1, P=0.0278) or mixture (female, $\chi^2 = 7.3478$, df=1, *P*=0.0067; male, $\chi^2 = 6.0000$, df=1, *P*=0.0143) (Figure 5). Additionally, compared to hexane, females significantly preferred benzaldehyde ($\chi^2 = 4.5455$, df=1, P=0.0330), while males were more attracted to caryophyllene ($\chi^2 = 6.0000$, df=1, P=0.0143), and no responses were observed for other compounds (Supplementary Table 5; P > 0.05). Hence, *H. vitessoides* moths exhibit different sensitivity and selectivity to particular volatiles. Previous research has indicated that phenylpropanoid/benzenoid compounds (e.g., phenethyl alcohol) and terpenes (e.g., linalool, caryophyllene) were known to attract lepidopterous insects and have positive effects on insect attraction (Andersson et al., 2002). The moths' preferences for specific volatile compounds potentially aid the pollinators in quickly locating valuable floral resources.

4 Conclusion

This study optimized an HS-SPME method for extracting volatiles from three flowers using single-factor optimization experiments. The optimal conditions were determined to be a sample quality of 125 mg, 45°C incubation temperature, 20 min equilibration time, and 40 min adsorption time. The current sampling method may not fully capture the profile of floral



volatile components as released by the actual flowers, future research will focus on sampling blooming flowers in situ to accurately compare and understand the differences in volatile profiles. The floral scent profile of the host (AS) and non-host (ED, and DO) plants were then determined by GC×GC-QTOF-MS, revealing those aldehydes and alcohols as the two most abundant groups. OPLS-DA analysis showed a good fit of the mode and predictive ability, with samples harvested at different time periods being highly distinct groups. HCA divided flowers from the three species into two groups. Eleven compounds elicited responses in the H. vitessoides antenna through GC-EAD recordings, and in the Ytube experiment, phenylethyl alcohol and a mixture of EADactivate compounds were found to be attractive to both males and females. These results might provide candidate attractants based on FVOCs to monitor and control the H. vitessoides populations.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

CQ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. WX: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. ZS: Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing. XW: Conceptualization, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. TM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

References

Andersson, S., Nilsson, L. A., Groth, I., and Bergström, G. (2002). Floral scents in butterfly-pollinated plants: possible convergence in chemical composition. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 140, 129–153. doi: 10.1046/j.1095-8339.2002.00068.x

Balducci, M. G., van der Niet, T., and Johnson, S. D. (2020). Diel scent and nectar rhythms of an African orchid in relation to bimodal activity patterns of hawkmoth pollinators. *Ann. Bot.* 126, 1155–1164. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcaa132

Bopp, S., and Gottsberger, G. (2004). Importance of *Silene latifolia* ssp *alba* and *S. dioica* (Caryophyllaceae) as host plants of the parasitic pollinator *Hadena bicruris* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae). *Oikos* 105, 221–228. doi: 10.1111/j.0030-1299.2004.12625.x

Borg-Karlson, A., Groth, I., Ågren, L., and Kullenberg, B. (1993). Form-specific fragances from *Ophrys insectifera* L. (Orchidaceae) attract species of different pollinator genera. Evidence of sympatric speciation? *Chemoecology* 4, 39–45. doi: 10.1007/BF01245895

Chen, G., Liu, C., and Sun, W. (2016). Pollination and seed dispersal of *Aquilaria* sinensis (Lour.) Gilg (Thymelaeaceae): an economic plant species with extremely small populations in China. *Plant Divers.* 38, 227–232. doi: 10.1016/j.pld.2016.09.006

Cheng, J., Wang, C. Y., Lyu, Z. H., Chen, J. X., Tang, L. P., and Lin, T. (2019). Candidate olfactory genes identified in *Heortia vitessoides* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) by antennal transcriptome analysis. *Comp. Biochem. Phys. - Part D* 29, 117–130. doi: 10.1016/j.cbd.2018.11.006

Doetterl, S., Juergens, A., Wolfe, L., and Biere, A. (2009). Disease status and population origin effects on floral scent: potential consequences for oviposition and

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was funded by the Central Financial Forestry Science and Technology Extension Project (grant number: 2022GDTK-06).

Acknowledgments

We wholeheartedly appreciate the editors and the anonymous reviewers for the insightful suggestion and valuable comments that improved the quality of this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1439087/ full#supplementary-material

fruit predation in a complex interaction between a plant, fungus, and noctuid moth. J. Chem. Ecol. 35, 307–319. doi: 10.1007/s10886-009-9601-0

Domínguez, I., Arrebola, F. J., Romero-González, R., Nieto-García, A., Martínez Vidal, J. L., and Garrido Frenich, A. (2017). Solid phase microextraction and gas chromatography coupled to magnetic sector high resolution mass spectrometry for the ultra-trace determination of contaminants in surface water. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1518, 15–24. doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2017.08.061

Dötterl, S., Jahreiß, K., Jhumur, U. S., and Jürgens, A. (2012). Temporal variation of flower scent in *Silene otites* (Caryophyllaceae): a species with a mixed pollination system. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 169, 447–460. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8339.2012.01239.x

Dudareva, N., and Negre, F. (2005). Practical applications of research into the regulation of plant volatile emission. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 8, 113–118. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2004.11.007

Eby, C., Gardiner, M. G. T., Gries, R., Judd, G. J. R., Khaskin, G., and Gries, G. (2013). Phenylacetaldehyde attracts male and female apple clearwing moths, *Synanthedon myopaeformis*, to inflorescences of showy milkweed, *Asclepias speciosa. Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 147, 82–92. doi: 10.1111/eea.12045

Ho, C. W., Aida, W. W., Maskat, M. Y., and Osman, H. (2006). Optimization of headspace solid phase microextraction (HS-SPME) for gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis of aroma compound in palm sugar (*Arenga pinnata*). *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 19, 822–830. doi: 10.1016/j.jfca.2006.05.003

Issa, M. Y., Mohsen, E., Younis, I. Y., Nofal, E. S., and Farag, M. A. (2020). Volatiles distribution in jasmine flowers taxa grown in Egypt and its commercial products as analyzed via solid-phase microextraction (SPME) coupled to chemometrics. *Ind. Crop Prod* 144, 112002. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.112002

Kessler, D., Gase, K., and Baldwin, I.T. (2008). Field experiments with transformed plants reveal the sense of floral scents. *Science* 321, 1200–1202. doi: 10.1126/ science.1160072

Klatt, B. K., Burmeister, C., Westphal, C., Tscharntke, T., and von Fragstein, M. (2013). Flower volatiles, crop varieties and bee responses. *PloS One* 8, e72724. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0072724

Knudsen, J.T., Eriksson, R., Gershenzon, J., and Stahl, B. (2006). Diversity and distribution of floral scent. *Bot. Rev.* 72, 1–120. doi: 10.1663/0006-81

Liang, M., Zhang, Z. M., Wu, Y. J., Wang, R., and Liu, Y. P. (2022). Comparison of *Amomum tsaoko* crevost et Lemaire from four regions via headspace solid-phase microextraction: Variable optimization and volatile characterization. *Ind. Crop Prod* 191, 115924. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2022.115924

Ma, Q. L., Hamid, N., Bekhit, A. E. D., Robertson, J., and Law, T. F. (2013). Optimization of headspace solid phase microextraction (HS-SPME) for gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis of aroma compounds in cooked beef using response surface methodology. *Microchem. J.* 111, 16–24. doi: 10.1016/j.microc.2012.10.007

Ma, T., Liu, Z. T., Zhang, Y. Y., Sun, Z. H., Li, Y. Z., Wen, X. J., et al. (2015). Electrophysiological and behavioral responses of *Diaphania glauculalis* males to female sex pheromone. *Environ. Sci. pollut. Res.* 22, 15046–15054. doi: 10.1007/s11356-015-4711-4

Magsi, F., Zongxiu, L., Yingjie, Z., Li, Z., Cai, X., Lei, B., et al. (2021). Electrophysiological and behavioral responses of *Dasychira baibarana* (Lepidoptera: Lymantriidae) to tea plant volatiles. *Environ. Entomol* 50, 589–598. doi: 10.1093/ee/ nvab016

Marinaki, M., Sampsonidis, I., Lioupi, A., Arapitsas, P., Thomaidis, N., Zinoviadou, K., et al. (2023). Development of two-level Design of Experiments for the optimization of a HS-SPME-GC-MS method to study Greek monovarietal PDO and PGI wines. *Talanta* 253, 123987. doi: 10.1016/j.talanta.2022.123987

Mu, X. Q., Lu, J., Gao, M. X., Li, C. W., and Chen, S. (2021). Optimization and validation of a headspace solid-phase microextraction with comprehensive twodimensional gas chromatography time-of-flight mass spectrometric detection for quantification of trace aroma compounds in Chinese liquor (Baijiu). *Molecules* 26, 6910. doi: 10.3390/molecules26226910

Muñoz-Redondo, J. M., Ruiz-Moreno, M. J., Puertas, B., Cantos-Villar, E., and Moreno-Rojas, J. M. (2020). Multivariate optimization of headspace solid-phase microextraction coupled to gas chromatography-mass spectrometry for the analysis of terpenoids in sparkling wines. *Talanta* 208, 120483. doi: 10.1016/ j.talanta.2019.120483

Muñoz-Redondo, J. M., Valcárcel-Muñoz, M. J., Rodríguez Solana, R., Puertas, B., Cantos-Villar, E., and Moreno-Rojas, J. M. (2022). Development of a methodology based on headspace solid-phase microextraction coupled to gas chromatography-mass spectrometry for the analysis of esters in brandies. *J. Food. Compost. Anal.* 108, 104458. doi: 10.1016/j.jfca.2022.104458

Oliveira, L. F. C., Tega, D. U., Duarte, G. H. B., Barbosa, L. D., Ribeiro, H. C., Castello, A. C. D., et al. (2022). Foodomics for agroecology: Differentiation of volatile profile in mint (*Mentha* \times gracilis Sole) from permaculture, organic and conventional agricultural systems using HS-SPME/GC-MS. *Food Res. Int.* 155, 111107. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2022.111107

Pati, S., Tufariello, M., Crupi, P., Coletta, A., Grieco, F., and Losito, I. (2021). Quantification of volatile compounds in wines by HS-SPME-GC/MS: critical issues and use of multivariate statistics in method optimization. *Processes* 9, 622. doi: 10.3390/ pr9040662

Pawliszyn, J., Risticevic, S., Lord, H., Gorecki, T., and Arthur, C. L. (2010). Protocol for solid-phase microextraction method development. *Nat. Protoc.* 5, 122–139. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2009.179

Pichersky, E., and Gershenzon, J. (2002). The formation and function of plant volatiles: perfumes for pollinator attraction and defense. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 5, 237–243. doi: 10.1016/S1369-5266(02)00251-0

Pico, J., Nozadi, K., Gerbrandt, E. M., Dossett, M., and Castellarin, S. D. (2022). Determination of bound volatiles in blueberries, raspberries, and grapes with an optimized protocol and a validated SPME-GC/MS method. *Food Chem.* 403, 134304. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.134304

Piri-Moghadam, H., Alam, M. N., and Pawliszyn, J. (2017). Review of geometries and coating materials in solid phase microextraction: Opportunities, limitations, and future perspectives. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 984, 42–65. doi: 10.1016/j.aca.2017.05.035

Powers, J. M., Seco, R., Faiola, C. L., Sakai, A. K., Weller, S. G., Campbell, D. R., et al. (2020). Floral scent composition and fine-scale timing in two moth-pollinated *Hawaiian Schiedea* (Caryophyllaceae). *Front. Plant Sci.* 11. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.01116

Qiao, H. L., Lu, P. F., Chen, J., Ma, W. S., Qin, R. M., and Li, X. M. (2012). Antennal and behavioural responses of *Heortia vitessoides* females to host plant volatiles of *Aquilaria sinensis. Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 143, 269–279. doi: 10.1111/j.1570-7458.2012.01264.x

Raguso, R. A., and Pichersky, E. (1999). New perspectives in pollination biology: floral fragrances. A day in the life of a linalool molecule: Chemical communication in a plant-pollinator system. Part 1: Linalool biosynthesis in flowering plants. *Plant Spec. Biol.* 14, 95–120. doi: 10.1046/j.1442-1984.1999.00014.x

Rajhi, I., Baccouri, B., Rajhi, F., Hammami, J., Souibgui, M., Mhadhbi, H., et al. (2022). HS-SPME-GC-MS characterization of volatile chemicals released from microwaving and conventional processing methods of fenugreek seeds and flours. *Ind. Crops Prod* 182, 114824. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2022.114824

Reisenman, C. E., Riffell, J. A., Bernays, E. A., and Hildebrand, J. G. (2010). Antagonistic effects of floral scent in an insect-plant interaction. *Proc. R. Soc B* 277, 2371–2379. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2010.0163

Schiestl, F. P., Huber, F. K., and Gomez, J. M. (2011). Phenotypic selection on floral scent: trade-off between attraction and deterrence? *Evol. Ecol.* 25, 237–248. doi: 10.1007/s10682-010-9409-y

Steen, R., Norli, H. R., and Thöming, G. (2019). Volatiles composition and timing of emissions in a moth-pollinated orchid in relation to hawkmoth (Lepidoptera: Sphingidae) activity. Arthropod Plant Interact. 13, 581–592. doi: 10.1007/s11829-019-09682-3

van der Niet, T., Jürgens, A., and Johnson, S. D. (2015). Is the timing of scent emission correlated with insect visitor activity and pollination in long-spurred Satyrium species? *Plant Biol.* 17, 226–237. doi: 10.1111/plb.12196

Waelti, M. O., Page, P. A., Widmer, A., and Schiestl, F. P. (2009). How to be an attractive male: floral dimorphism and attractiveness to pollinators in a dioecious plant. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 9, 190. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-9-190

Wang, Y., Hussain, M., Jiang, Z., Wang, Z., Gao, J., Ye, F., et al. (2021). Aquilaria species (Thymelaeaceae) distribution, volatile and non-volatile phytochemcals, pharmacological uses, agarwood grading system, and induction methods. *Molecules* 26, 7708. doi: 10.3390/molecules26247708

Wei, S., Xiao, X., Wei, L., Li, L., Li, G., Liu, F., et al. (2020). Development and comprehensive HS-SPME/GC-MS analysis optimization, comparison, and evaluation of different cabbage cultivars (*Brassica oleracea L. var. capitata L.*) volatile components. *Food Chem.* 340, 128166. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128166

Yan, J., Wang, G., Sui, Y., Wang, M., and Zhang, L. (2016). Pollinator responses to floral colour change, nectar and scent promote reproductive fitness in *Quisqualis indica* (Combretaceae). *Sci. Rep.* 6, 24408. doi: 10.1038/srep24408

Zhang, C., Liu, H., Hu, S., Zong, Y., Xia, H., and Li, H. (2022). Transcriptomic profiling of the floral fragrance biosynthesis pathway of Liriodendron and functional characterization of the LtuDXR gene. *Plant Sci.* 314, 111124. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2021.111124

Zhang, Z., and Pawliszyn, J. (1993). Headspace solid-phase microextraction. Anal. Chem. 65, 1843–1852. doi: 10.1021/ac00062a008

Zhou, W., Kuegler, A., McGale, E., Haverkamp, A., Knaden, M., Guo, H., et al. (2017). Tissue-specific emission of (*E*)-alpha-bergamotene helps resolve the dilemma when pollinators are also herbivores. *Curr. Biol.* 27, 1336–1341. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.03.017

Zhou, L., Yu, C., Cheng, B., Wan, H., Luo, L., Pan, H., et al. (2020). Volatile compound analysis and aroma evaluation of tea-scented roses in China. *Ind. Crops Prod* 155, 112735. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112735