Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Hongxia Zhang, Ludong University, China

REVIEWED BY Raul De La Rosa, Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), Spain Pierluigi Pierantozzi, Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (Argentina), Argentina

*CORRESPONDENCE

Leonardo Costanza i leonardo.costanza@uniba.it Diego Maria Pinto i diegomaria.pinto@iasi.cnr.it

RECEIVED 10 December 2024 ACCEPTED 26 May 2025 PUBLISHED 19 June 2025

CITATION

Costanza L, Pinto DM, Pinto L, Vivaldi GA and Camposeo S (2025) Logistic function explains the growth dynamics of different types of shoots of four olive cultivars grown in a super high-density orchard. *Front. Plant Sci.* 16:1542816. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2025.1542816

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Costanza, Pinto, Pinto, Vivaldi and Camposeo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Logistic function explains the growth dynamics of different types of shoots of four olive cultivars grown in a super high-density orchard

Leonardo Costanza^{1*}, Diego Maria Pinto^{2*}, Luigi Pinto³, Gaetano Alessandro Vivaldi¹ and Salvatore Camposeo¹

¹Department of Soil, Plant and Food Science, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy, ²Istituto di Analisi dei Sistemi ed Informatica "Antonio Ruberti", Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Rome, Italy, ³BF Research, Life Science and Technology Department, Jolanda di Savoia, Italy

Introduction: The olive tree (*Olea europaea* L.) has cultural, economic, and environmental importance in the Mediterranean region. In the last two decades, olive cultivation has shifted from low-density to super high-density (SHD) planting systems. These systems are characterized by narrow hedgerows of low-vigor, early-bearing cultivars, allowing full mechanization. However, limited information are available on shoot growth dynamics of the olive tree under the SHD system. This study aimed to investigate the shoot growth dynamics of four olive cultivars ('Arbequina', 'Coratina', 'Frantoio', and 'Urano') by modeling the elongation of different shoot types (apical proleptic, lateral proleptic, sylleptic) under SHD conditions.

Methods: A four-year field study was conducted on four olive cultivars ('Arbequina', 'Coratina', 'Frantoio', and 'Urano') grown in an SHD orchard under Mediterranean climate. Apical proleptic, lateral proleptic, sylleptic, and adventitious shoots were monitored. Logistic regression was applied to model shoot elongation, and statistical analyses were conducted to assess the influence of cultivar, shoot type, and year. Moreover, the effect of crop load and temperature on shoot growth was also evaluated.

Results and Discussion: No significant difference was shown between the type of shoot and cultivar. Results indicate that single-phase logistic growth was the most common pattern, except for the lateral proleptic shoots of 'Coratina' and adventitious of 'Urano', where a second vegetative flush occurred. No correlation of Growing Degree Days with the shoot growth was observed. As confirmed in previous studies, crop load showed a negative influence on shoot elongation. Particularly for Arbequina's adventitious shoots, Coratina's and Frantio's sylleptic shoots and Urano's lateral proleptic, this trend was observed. This evidence showed the potential competition between the reproductive and vegetative cycle for assimilates. To our knowledge, this is the first report addressing the vegetative growth dynamics of four different shoot types of four distinct olive cultivars with different vigor in an SHD system. These findings are essential for

optimizing cultivar-specific agricultural strategies (e.g. canopy management and irrigation) to achieve an optimal yield and sustainable cultivation. Future research will explore the vegetative growth dynamics, including other factors such as trunk diameter, Leaf Area Index, and water stress indices.

KEYWORDS

vigor, self-rooted, proleptic, sylleptic, adventitious, crop load

1 Introduction

In the Mediterranean area, the olive tree (Olea europaea L., 1753) is the most cultivated fruit tree species, integrated with the culture and economy (Garofalo et al., 2024a; Costanza et al., 2024). During the past 20 years, olive growing has been shifting from traditional low-density to super high-density (SHD) orchards, representing an innovative planting system (Guerrero-Casado et al., 2021). It is characterized by a continuous narrow hedgerow of trees of lowvigor and early bearing cultivars, planted at a density between 1,500 and 2,000 trees ha⁻¹ (Rallo et al, 2013; Connor et al., 2014; Tous et al., 1999; Rallo et al., 2007; Rosati et al., 2018a). Compared to the traditional system, SHD enables fully mechanized harvesting and pruning techniques to reach a high crop level, preserving environmental sustainability (Russo et al., 2015; Pellegrini et al., 2016; Taguas et al., 2021; Camposeo et al., 2022). Furthermore, to obtain an optimal long-term performance, the cultivar plays a keyrole (Díez et al., 2016; Rosati et al., 2024); some olive cultivars are suitable for this training system, due to their limited tree vigor. The main cultivar used for SHD is 'Arbequina' and 'Arbosana' (Tous et al., 2014); recently other cultivar have been introduced like 'Oliana' and 'Lecciana' (Camposeo et al., 2021). Moreover, the cultivar 'Urano' (patented as 'Tosca') showed interesting features fitting for SHD oliveculture, such as low vigor and early bearing (Camposeo et al., 2008; Vivaldi et al., 2015). Traditional cultivars as 'Coratina' and 'Frantoio' could be "escape" from the concept of a small canopy-low vigor due to their genetic (Rosati et al., 2024; Paoletti et al., 2021). To know if a cultivar is suitable for the SHD planting system, characterizing the architectural traits is essential (Rosati et al., 2013; Bufacchi et al., 2024). Analyzing plant architecture is essential for understanding growth patterns, branching structures, and potential yield, as well as the improvement of crop models and canopy/root management strategies (Maldera et al., 2024a; Paoletti et al., 2023), including specific parameters like growth, branching, morphological differentiation of axes, and the apical vs. lateral position of reproductive structures (Rosati et al., 2013; Carella et al., 2022). According to the time of woody bud breaking on shoot, two types can be distinguished: proleptic, if they come from resting buds; sylleptic, if they derive from steady buds. Conversely, the adventitious shoots derive from latent buds imbedded in the wood stimulated by different factors as: injury, hormonal status, and accumulation of carbohydrates in the parenchyma cells of sapwood (Lanner, 2002; Del Tredici, 2001; Climent et al., 2004). For adult olive trees in Mediterranean climates, shoot elongation occurs mostly from March to July, but it is largely affected by environmental conditions, tree age, phenological stage, and crop load (Rallo, 1998; Bandino and Dettori, 2001). On the other hand, young olive trees are characterized by rapid growth rates, reaching full size at ten years of age (Bongi and Paliotti, 1994). Studies on vegetation development show that olive tree growth is mainly controlled by temperature, water availability, and competition for assimilates (Benlloch-González et al., 2024; Cinosi et al., 2024; Iglesias et al., 2024; Benlloch-González et al., 2018; Miserere et al., 2018; Palese et al., 2010; Portarena et al., 2024; Rallo et al., 2016). Rallo et al., 1994 reported temperature as a main factor controlling bud development and shoot elongation, which may accelerate or decelerate growth rates at any stage of growth. The logistic equation is the best-known used to describe biological growth processes (Verhulst, 1838; Yin et al., 2003). Several models of shoot growth have been proposed for deciduous fruit tree crops such as peach (Kervella et al., 1995), apple (Rejeb, 1997), and almond (Esparza et al., 1998).

This study aims to evaluate the growth dynamic of four types of shoots in four olive cultivars with different vigor, under a SHD system, implementing a logistic model; in addition, the effect of type of shoot, cultivar, year, exposure, growing degree days (GDD), and crop load on growth dynamics was considered. While considering several classes of function for shoots elongation modeling, human interpretable model explainability is one of the main metrics of model class choice; according to this approach, significantly easier to-explain and interpret functions are preferred to high computational complexity and fitting accuracy of black-box modeling (Garofalo et al., 2024b). Models related to the machine learning framework are indeed not considered for the autoregressive target model of this work. Nevertheless, research effort is dedicated to model selection to minimize regression error while guaranteeing generalization properties. Since the time-varying environmental conditions are known to influence shoots growth, and nature related growth phenomena may be well described by the class of logistic functions, these are considered to address the research objective and described in Material and Methods section. The same section includes the description of the dataset used to test such a class of functions as a proper modeling approach for shoot elongation, along with relevant statistical analysis.



Geolocation (A) and orthophoto (B) of the experimental SHD olive orchard located at the University of Bari experimental farm in Valenzano (Openstreetmap.org, 2024; Map data [©]2015 Google).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Olive orchard characteristics

The study was carried out in an olive grove located at the experimental farm of the Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences at Valenzano (Figure 1A) (Bari, Southern Italy - 41°01'N; 16°45'E; 110 m a.s.l.), with a sandy clay soil (sand, 630 g·kg⁻¹; silt, 160 g·kg⁻¹; clay, 210 g·kg⁻¹) classified as a Typic Haploxeralf (USDA) or Chromi-Cutanic Luvisol (FAO). The site was characterized by a typical Mediterranean climate, with an average annual rainfall of 603 mm, two-thirds concentrated from autumn to winter, and a longterm average annual temperature of 16.4°C (regional agrometeorological service - ARIF). The olive grove was planted in spring 2006 with self-rooted plants; the trees were trained according to the central leader system and spaced 4.0 m x 1.5 m $(1,667 \text{ trees ha}^{-1})$ with North-South orientation according to the SHD planting system (Figure 1B). Drip irrigation was managed by restoring the 100% of Etc. Nutrition, weed management, and disease control were implemented in the same manner for all cultivars (Camposeo and Vivaldi, 2011; Camposeo et al., 2013). The first significant yield was recorded in autumn 2008. Canopy management was started in winter 2009-2010 with annual manual thinning. Mechanical pruning started in 2012 with topping (240 cm height), hedging (50 cm from the central stem), and trimming (60 cm from the ground) using tractor-mounted machines. Manual thinning removed branches over 3-4 cm in diameter (Vivaldi et al., 2015). In all cases, the cultivars were subjected to the same pruning treatment each year.

2.2 Experimental design

The study was conducted on four self-rooted cultivars with different vigor: 'Urano', 'Arbequina', 'Coratina' and 'Frantoio'

(ascending order). The measurements were taken in four years (2009–2012) respectively at the 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th year after planting (YAP), starting from May to November. A randomized block experimental design was adopted; for each cultivar, the measurements have been replicated on three blocks of three trees and the observations were carried out on all trees of each block. Before woody bud breaking, two healthy well light-exposed one-year shoots per tree (East-West side of the row) were labelled (18 one-year shoots per cultivar) in the middle part of the crown. Each one-year shoots had an average length of 25–30 cm with 15–20 nodes as well. After woody buds breaking, the length of the proleptic (both apical and lateral), sylleptic and adventitious shoots developed on each one-year shoots was measured with a fortnightly frequency. Per each type of shoots, year and cultivar, the elongation of three shoots were measured.

2.3 Field data

Per each of field measurements, temperature and rainfall data (with hourly frequency) were retrieved by the regional Agro-

TABLE 1 Olive yield (kg tree $^{-1}$) of each cultivar from $4^{\rm th}$ (IV) to $7^{\rm th}$ (VII) year after planting (YAP).

	Olive yield (kg tree ⁻¹)			
Cultivar	2009 IV	2010 V	2011 VI	2012 VII
Arbequina	5.6	5.4	4.0	5.0
Coratina	5.1	4.5	0.6	0.5
Frantoio	1.4	3.1	0.5	1.5
Urano	2.2	2.0	2.5	2.8

Year	Doy	GDD
2009	127	597.77
	154	963.14
	183	1391.17
	216	1988.98
	252	2614.38
	281	2971.22
	313	3193.03
	126	618.77
	158	951.00
	181	1302.40
2010	214	1879.32
	246	2125.77
	278	2828.08
	308	3044.07
	126	521.34
	157	890.08
	187	1372.65
2011	214	1856.17
	250	2526.06
	277	2938.24
	307	3201.24
2012	125	639.52
	157	1001.20
	187	1550.05
	215	2090.15
	249	2718.37
	279	3170.84
	310	3504.01

TABLE 2 Growing degree days (GDD) accumulated during the shoot measurement across the study period.

meteorological service database (ARIF). Temperature (°C) data were useful to calculate Growing Degree Days index (GDD). GDD is a bioclimatic index (Badr et al., 2018; Honorio et al., 2018), based on the concept that plants grow if the air temperature exceeds a specific base temperature value for a certain period (Łysiak and Szot, 2023). To compute GDD, we used the *chillR* package of R programming language (Luedeling and Fernandez, 2022) with a base temperature threshold (T_{base}) equal to 7°C as suggested by Charalampopoulos et al., 2021. Table 1 reports the olive yield (kg · tree⁻¹) for each cultivar per year as the average productivity of the olive trees within a single row. Additionally, crop load status ("on" or "off" year) was estimated based on year-to-year variations in olive yield. Table 2 showed the GDD values during the measurements period across different years.

2.4 Modeling approach

Since time-varying environmental conditions are known to influence shoot growth, it is important to account for their effects in the modeling process. Given that growth-related natural phenomena are often well described by logistic functions, we adopted this class of functions to analyze the dataset and address our research objective. According to the logistic function, the initial stage of growth is approximately exponential, then as saturation begins the growth slows to linear, and at maturity growth stops (Vandermeer, 2010). Equation 1 presents a general formula for this class of function.

$$f(t) = \frac{L}{1 + e^{-g(t-m)}}$$
(1)

where the growth rate (g), the upper asymptote of elongation (L) and the curve inflection point (m) that separates the early stages of growth from the asymptotic phase.

Because a relevant number of samples proved that such a function could not guarantee generalization properties, also a double logistic function is used to model such samples growth behavior. Such function is presented in Equation 2 and is able to model such two distinct phases of growth.

$$f(t) = \frac{L_1}{1 + e^{-g_1(t-m_1)}} + \frac{L_2}{1 + e^{-g_2(t-m_2)}}$$
(2)

Equations 1 and 2 are used as classes of function to such regression tasks, and each set of possible parameters g, L, and m identify a specific function, thus a specific sample behavior. The estimation of such parameters, for each sample, is performed by selecting the best combination of estimators that minimize a given regression error. We considered the mean squared error (MSE), described in Equation 3 as a proper regression error.

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i + \widehat{Y}_i)^2$$
(3)

Then for each shoot sample (i.e. apical and lateral proleptic, sylleptic, adventitious), its set of parameters (g, L, m) are identified employing a proper optimization routine aimed at finding the set of parameters that minimizes (3). These parameters are saved and attached to the original dataset of shoot samples, so that each of these is also associated with its describing parameters, either with single (1) or double (2) logistic functions. A fine-tuning phase is then devoted to distinguishing if each shoot sample is better described by a single or a double logistic function. This cannot be performed considering MSE, as (2) always produce a lower regression error by empowering twice the number of modeling parameters. Therefore, we implemented a threshold-based approach presented in the following: Given the set parameters of L_1, m_1, L_2, m_2 of (2), two thresholds, m_threshold $\in R+$ and L_threshold \in [0,1], are used to perform this task of assigning each sample to either the class of single or double logistic functions. This phase is performed by computing the following:

Evaluate if m_2 - m_1 > m_threshold

○if True:

- compute L_tot = L_1 + L_2 (i.e., total elongation of the two growth phases)
 evaluate if L_2 L_1 > L_threshold * L_tot (i.e., a percentage of L tot)
- if True:

OShoot sample belong to the double logistic class

if False:
 OShoot sample belong to the single logistic class

oif False:

Shoot sample belong to the single logistic class

This routine is then applied to the shoots samples' dataset (augmented with regression parameters estimators) leveraging m_threshold = 1 and L_threshold = 0.1.

2.5 Statistical analysis

To analyze the growth dynamics of olive shoots, logistic curves were fitted to the dataset by estimating the parameters L (asymptotic maximum length), m (growth midpoint), and g (growth rate) for each shoot through the minimization of an error function. The goodness of fit of the logistic models to the experimental data was assessed using MSE and the coefficient of determination (R²). The results indicate that the logistic function provides a highly accurate representation of shoot growth, with MSE values approaching zero in most cases and R² values consistently close to 1. The goodness of such regression fits can be appreciated through the analysis of residuals, which is presented in the Supplementary Figures S1, S2. Specifically, Supplementary Figures S1 provides box plots of the residuals for each of the seven time points, corresponding to the seven measurements of shoot length. The distribution of residuals across different time points highlights the consistency of the model's performance across all stages of shoot growth. Additionally, Supplementary Figures S2 showed a Q-Q plot of the residuals, which further supports the assumption of normality and indicates an overall well-behaved residual distribution. The alignment of residuals with the theoretical quantiles suggests that the logistic model adequately captures the growth dynamics of the olive shoots. Furthermore, Supplementary Figures S3 displays the logistic fit of the model along with the actual observed data points for seven individual shoots. This visualization allows for a direct assessment of how the fitted curves represent the real data, reinforcing the appropriateness of the logistic model for describing shoot growth dynamics.

Once the logistic parameters were estimated for each sample, a Welch-Test was conducted to detect any significant differences in these parameters across groups. Since in some cases, Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Hartley tests indicated that the dataset violated both the normality and the heteroscedasticity, a non-parametric alternative to ANOVA was applied. The Kruskal-Wallis test was chosen as the appropriate method for comparing the differences between the median values of the parameters L, m, and g across groups.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Vegetative growth dynamics

Despite no statistical differences was observed between cultivar and type of shoot (p > 0.05), the tendency of shoot growth dynamic, in irrigated conditions, was expressed by a single logistic function as shown in Table 3. This is because a second rhythmic growth pattern is more frequent in rainfed conditions (Mezghani et al., 2008). This pattern was particularly pronounced in the apical proleptic, lateral proleptic, and sylleptic shoots following the Equation 1. 'Frantoio' showed a 100% of single logistic model in both apical proleptic and sylleptic shoots. On the contrary, 'Urano' showed a slight tendency for double logistic growth, in the lateral proleptic (20%) and adventitious (21%) shoots. 'Arbequina' showed a high percentage of single logistic growth between all studied shoot, with the adventitious shoots that had the highest percentage. 'Coratina' showed a distinct pattern, with both single and double logistic growth model; adventitious shoots represented the shoot type with the highest incidence of double logistic growth (21%), like 'Urano'. In the northern hemisphere, olive trees typically experience two vegetative growth flushes: the main one occurs between March and mid-July, while the second flush happens from September to mid-October unless water availability is not a limiting factor (Benlloch-González et al., 2019).

TABLE 3 Percentage of single (on the left) and double (on the right) shoots growth dynamic, obtained by logistic regression, for each cultivar and type of shoot.

Cultivar	Type of shoot			
	Apical Proleptic	Lateral Proleptic	Sylleptic	Adventitious
Arbequina	74–26 n.s.	96-4 n.s.	91–9 n.s.	100–0 n.s.
Coratina	87–13 n.s.	94–6 n.s.	87–13 n.s.	79–21 n.s.
Frantoio	100-0 n.s.	94–6 n.s.	100-0 n.s.	93-7 n.s.
Urano	86–14 n.s.	80–20 n.s.	89–11 n.s.	79–21 n.s.







The apical proleptic shoots (Figure 2A) of 'Arbequina' showed a rapid growth phase from May to the middle of June, reaching a plateau of 12 cm during early July. The lateral proleptic shoots (Figure 2B) showed a similar growth pattern but with a slightly shorter final length, reaching a plateau of 6 cm. The sylleptic shoots (Figure 2C) also show rapid growth from May to June, stopping at 9 cm. Lastly, the adventitious shoots (Figure 2D) exhibited the slowest and shortest growth (4 cm) respect to the previous shoots. For 'Coratina', the apical proleptic shoots (Figure 3A) demonstrated a rapid growth phase from May to June, reaching a plateau of 8 cm in July. The lateral proleptic shoots (Figure 3B) showed a similar trend with a plateau of 8 cm. For the sylleptic shoots (Figure 3C) was observed a rapid growth phase, reaching a plateau at 9 cm in July. The adventitious shoots (Figure 3D) showed the slowest growth, stopping at 7 cm. The growth curve for the apical proleptic shoot of 'Frantoio' (Figure 4A) started with a rapid growth in May, reaching a final length of 9 cm in July. The lateral proleptic (Figure 4B) shoots showed slight delay in its starting growth, achieving the plateau phase in July with a length of 6 cm. The sylleptic shoots (Figure 4C) demonstrated a more restrained growth pattern, reaching a final length of 5 cm in June. The adventitious shoots (Figure 4D) followed a similar pattern of the sylleptic ones, stabilizing around 5 cm in June. These growth patterns indicated a rapid starting vegetative growth phase followed by a plateau for all shoots, where the apical and lateral proleptic showed the major length compared to sylleptic and adventitious ones. This suggests that apical and lateral proleptic shoots might play an essential role in the vegetative cycle of 'Frantoio', influencing its architectural and reproductive characteristics (Paoletti et al., 2021). The equal stabilization of vegetative growth cycle between all shoot types in the middle of the year, highlights the influence of genetic factors in this cultivar (Benlloch-González et al., 2019).

In the apical proleptic shoots of 'Urano' (Figure 5A), was observed an initial gradual increase in May, reaching a plateau of 7 cm in July keeping this length until November. The lateral proleptic shoots (Figure 5B) showed a quick growth phase from May to June, reaching a final length of 16 cm in the middle of June until the end of the observed period. Sylleptic shoots (Figure 5C) showed a similar growing phase respect to the previous one, achieving a final length of 15 cm in July. Adventitious shoots (Figure 5D), started with a gradual growth phase, reaching a plateau of 7 cm in June. Different vegetative growth dynamics per shoot type and cultivar, suggested a different response to the hormonal stimuli (Proietti and Tombesi, 1996; Dag et al., 2010; Fernández et al., 2015). Examining the chronology of the events between the first and second phase of shoots growth provides suggestions about the competition between these two processes, which may involve in a shifting of this growth flushes. Mezghani et al., 2008 observed the influence of several factors between the



starting and interruption of these flushes. Our results support the hypothesis of a causal link between the onset of primary growth and the end of secondary growth, which can be attributed either to changes in auxin ratios (Digby and Wareing, 1966a,b) or other source-sink dynamics (Castrodiez et al., 1998; Costes et al., 2000). Castillo-Llanque and Rapoport (2011) found that trees with greater nutrient availability (non-bearing trees in their study) produced more sylleptic shoots, promoting node formation in the vegetative sections of 1-year-old shoots and sylleptic shoots. Additionally, the growth rate of the parent shoot can influence whether axillary buds develop into sylleptic shoots (Costes et al., 2006), while the presence of fruit can impact the number of sylleptic shoots produced during the growth of 1-year-old shoots (Castillo-Llanque and Rapoport, 2011).

Figure 6 illustrated the cultivar and shoots where a second flush growth was also observed. In the apical proleptic shoots of 'Arbequina' (Figure 6A), a second vegetative growth flush (Equation 2) was observed in the middle of June, reaching a final length of 7 cm, until the end of the observed period. Similarly, the lateral proleptic shoots of 'Coratina' (Figure 6B) showed a second growth stage at the beginning of July, with a plateau of 6 cm. For the Urano's lateral proleptic shoots (Figure 6C) was noticed a second vegetative flush between the end of August and the beginning of September e, reaching a final length of 9 cm. The adventitious shoot

of 'Urano' (Figure 6D) showed a different pattern respect to the previous ones. After an initial vegetative growth phase that stopped at the end of June (plateau of 6 cm), a fast second vegetative flush was observed at the beginning of July, reaching a final growth of 18 cm in the middle of July. A common model of olive tree shoot elongation describes two active growth phases: the main one in spring, before blooming, and a secondary, less significant phase in early autumn, with a summer dormancy in between (Lavee, 2007). Our data confirmed an opposite tendency with a secondary vegetative flush during the summer season, except to the lateral proleptic shoots of 'Urano' cultivar. These second vegetative growth flushes underscored the importance cultivar choice in higher planting densities (Strippoli et al., 2013). from an architectural point of view. No influence of exposure on each type of sprouts among the four cultivars, was observed.

3.2 Cultivar and shoot influence

The apical proleptic shoots of 'Arbequina' showed the major final growth length followed by lateral proleptic, sylleptic, and adventitious ones (Figure 7). Furthermore, apical proleptic shoots showed a significant differences with lateral proleptic (p < 0.01), sylleptic (p < 0.01), and adventitious (p < 0.0001). This indicates a



hierarchical vegetative growth of apical proleptic shoots within the 'Arbequina' cultivar. For 'Coratina' sylleptic shoots was observed a significant difference for both lateral proleptic (p < 0.0001) and adventitious shoots (p < 0.05). However, no significant differences were observed between apical proleptic and the others shoots. In the 'Frantoio' cultivar apical proleptic shoots had the greater length, significantly respect to the lateral proleptic (p < 0.01) sylleptic ones (p < 0.05), and adventitious (p < 0.05). This suggests that the apical proleptic and adventitious shoots of 'Frantoio' cultivar were favored in terms of vegetative growth, probably due to the genetic or physiological factors. Lastly, in the 'Urano' cultivar, statistical differences were observed between lateral proleptic and sylleptic shoot (p < 0.05). No differences were noticed among the other shoot types, indicating a uniform vegetative growth pattern.

The effect of cultivar and type of shoot in the second vegetative flush scenario was illustrated in Figure 8. For the four studied shoot types of the cultivar 'Arbequina', no statistical difference emerged among them. For 'Coratina' cultivar was observed a significant difference between apical proleptic shoots and sylleptic (p < 0.05). Final length of second flush growth of adventitious shoots were significantly different from apical proleptic ones (p < 0.05). The cultivar 'Frantoio' and 'Arbequina' shows no statistically significant difference between the observed shoots. Lastly, 'Urano' presented a balanced distribution of L2 values across all shoot types but with no statistical difference. Strippoli et al. (2013) report that the apical proleptic shoot elongation in 'Arbequina' stopped early, around the fruit set (end of May - beginning of June) phase, without a subsequent growth phase. On the contrary, 'Coratina' showed a second flush growth pattern, after the pit hardening (mid-July to August) phase, which aligns with the general model. However, our results were agreed with this general model for 'Arbequina', 'Coratina', and 'Urano' cultivar.

These results were in line with Rosati et al., 2013 that indicate how different olive cultivars have different architectural traits. To our knowledge, this is the first report about the growth dynamics of four shoot types of four different olive tree cultivar with three different levels of vigor (low, medium, and high) under a new cropping system as SHD (Rosati et al., 2024).

3.3 Year influence

The year influence on shoot growth per type of shoots and cultivar was shown in Figure 9. 'Arbequina' showed a significant year-to-year variability, particularly in sylleptic shoots, between 2009 and 2012 (p < 0.0001). On the other hand, lateral proleptic shoots in 2011 had significantly greater than 2012 (p < 0.05). For 'Coratina', the lateral proleptic shoots showed a significant growth variability; in the 2010 these shoots showed a higher length respect to 2009 and 2010 (p < 0.05). In 'Frantoio' cultivar only for



adventitious shoots was noticed a significant growth in 2010 respect to 2009 (p < 0.05). 'Urano' showed the most year-to-year vegetative growing variation: lateral proleptic shoots had a significantly greater vegetative growth in 2009 respect to 2011 and 2012 (p < 0.01). Sylleptic shoots also showed significant differences (p < 0.05), with a final length greater in 2009 compared to 2010 and 2012. The reduction in vegetative growth during fruit-bearing years is attributed to the competition for assimilates between shoots and fruits. This phenomenon was observed not only in olive trees (Connor and Fereres, 2005), but also in other fruit trees such as: apricot, avocado, peach, and pistachio (Costes et al., 2000; Salazar-García et al., 1998; Berman and DeJong, 2003; Stevenson and Shackel, 1998). An opposite trend was observed in pistachio, where Stevenson et al. (2000) reported a predominance of short shoots and a decreasing number of longer shoots in both bearing and non-bearing years.

3.4 Crop load and environmental influence

Crop load had a significant impact on shoot growth, reducing it (Liang et al., 2020). Olive trees exhibit a pronounced alternate bearing pattern, with alternating "on" and "off" years (Lavee, 2007). The trees regulate the source allocation, as well as the growth of the roots and canopy (Lodolini and Neri, 2012; Smith and Samach, 2013). The endogenous and exogenous factors influence on alternate bearing in olive trees can be genetic, environmental, nutritional, hormonal, or agronomic (Baktir et al., 2004; Fernández-Escobar et al., 2004; Lavee, 2007); these factors may interact either at the whole-tree level or locally at the level of individual shoots (Fichtner and Lovatt, 2018; Rosati et al., 2018; Rosati et al., 2018b). Specifically, buds that form on new mixed 1year-old shoots (both vegetative and reproductive) can shift between reproductive and vegetative cycle. Therefore, high crop



load can delay the elongation of mixed shoots (Proietti and Tombesi, 1996; Dag et al., 2010; Fernández et al., 2015), reducing the presence of new potential reproductive buds for the following year. The influence of crop load on vegetative growth per cultivar and type of shoots was reported on Table 4, confirming what has been observed in previous studies. This pattern is evident across all cultivars; Lateral Proleptic shoots of 'Urano' cultivar showed the negative correlation (-0.52), highlighting a significant trade-off between vegetative growth and crop load. Similarly, 'Arbequina' with Adventitious shoots (-0.42), 'Coratina' with sylleptic shoots (-0.35), and 'Frantoio' with sylleptic shoots (-0.31) follow the same trend, but with weaker correlations. The other combinations (cultivar x type of shoot) are not reported because they had a correlation less than -0.30. Whitin the same shoot type, shoot length variations due to fruiting need to be considered. The production of shorter shoots can be considered a response to high fruit loads, driven by competition between vegetative and reproductive growth for resources (Rosati et al., 2018b). Additionally, shorter shoots can export carbon sooner, contributing to an earlier carbon redistribution within the plant (Hansen, 1977; Lakso, 1984; Sansavini and Corelli-Grappadelli, 1992; Lauri and Kelner, 2001). GDD showed no correlation with the shoot elongation (L), in line with the results of Ben Sadok et al., 2015 where no effect between the interaction of genotype - environment (GxE) on architectural features was found. Conversely, other studies remark on the influence of temperature and water stress on shoot growth dynamic (Benlloch-González et al., 2024: Zucchini et al., 2023; Siakou et al., 2021) However, the effects of warmer climates on the vegetative phenology of olive trees have received less attention compared to their reproductive development, with even fewer studies examining the influence of different cultivars (Maldera et al., 2024b; Benlloch-González et al., 2024).



4 Conclusions

A logistic regression was applied to modelling the shoot growth dynamics of four self-rooted olive cultivar. Single-phase logistic

TABLE 4 $\,$ Pearson's correlation between crop load and shoot elongation (L) per cultivar and type of shoots.

Cultivar	Shoot type	Pearson's correlation
Arbequina	Adventitious	-0.42
Coratina	Sylleptic	-0.35
Frantoio	Sylleptic	-0.31
Urano	Lateral Proleptic	-0.52

growth model represented the shoot elongation of olive cultivar. However, 'Urano' and 'Coratina' cultivar a second growth flush was observed, particularly for lateral proleptic and adventitious shoots. The likelihood of a second vegetative flush may be associated with genetics and physiological traits, highlighting the importance of agricultural management practices (e.g. canopy management, irrigation). Additionally, we observed that GDD had no correlation with shoots elongation. Conversely, crop load showed a negative influence on adventitious shoots of 'Arbequina', sylleptic shoots of 'Coratina' and 'Frantoio' and lateral proleptic shoots of 'Urano'. Furthermore, 'Arbequina' and 'Urano' showed the most pronounced *trade-off*, indicating a reduction of shoot length when olive yield was higher. This suggested a different source-sink relationships, probably due to their intrinsic genetic traits as well as, the competition for assimilates between reproductive and vegetative. To our knowledge, this is the first study on the growth dynamics of different olive shoot types for different olive cultivar with distinct levels of vigor, within a SHD orchard. These findings are crucial for understanding cultivar-specific shoot elongation dynamics, supporting the optimization of agricultural practices for a sustainable cultivar-specific management. Further studies need to be defined to study vegetative growth dynamics, including other factors (e.g. Leaf Area Index, trunk diameter, branches length, water stress indices), to improve the olivegrowing practices.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

LC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Investigation, Validation, Visualization. DP: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition. LP: Writing – review & editing, Supervision. GV: Validation, Writing – review & editing, Visualization. SC: Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization, Visualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work has been partially supported by EU FESR program of LAZIO Region 2021-2027 on "RIPOSIZIONAMENTO COMPETITIVO RSI - Ambito 2 - Agrifood", through the project ATENA - Agricoltura di precisione e Intelligenza Artificiale per l'Innovazione Sostenibile dell'Arboricoltura (Det. n. G18823 del 28/12/2022, concesso con la Det. n. G14867 del 09/11/2023) (Grant No. A0613-2023-078199,

CUP - F89J23001000007). This study was carried out within the Agritech National Research Center and received funding from the European Union Next-GenerationEU (PIANO NAZIONALE DI RIPRESA E RESILIENZA (PNRR)—MISSIONE 4 COMPONENTE 2, INVESTIMENTO 1.4—D.D. 1032 17/06/2022, CN00000022).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest or competing interests.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2025.1542816/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1 Box plots of residuals at seven time points

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2 Q-Q plot of residuals.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3 Logistic model fit with observed shoot length data.

References

Badr, G., Hoogenboom, G., Moyer, M., Keller, M., Rupp, R., and Davenport, J. (2018). Spatial suitability assessment for vineyard site selection based on fuzzy logic. *Precision Agriculture*. 19, 1027–1048. doi: 10.1007/s11119-018-9572-7

Baktir, I., Ulger, S., Kaynak, L., and Himelrick, D. G. (2004). Relationship of seasonal changes in endogenous plant hormones and alternate bearing of olive trees. *HortScience* 39, 987–990. doi: 10.21273/hortsci.39.5.987

Bandino, G., and Dettori, S. (2001). Manuale di Olivicoltura. - Consorzio Interprovinciale per la Frutticoltura (Nuoro: Cagliari-Oristano).

Benlloch-González, M., Martos-García, I., Benlloch, M., and Fernández-Escobar, R. (2024). High temperatures have a different effect on vegetative and reproductive processes of 'Picual' and "'Arbequina" olive in Spain. *Sci. Hortic.* 337, 113560. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2024.113560

Benlloch-González, M., Sánchez-Lucas, R., Bejaoui, M. A., Benlloch, M., and Fernández-Escobar, R. (2019). Global warming effects on yield and fruit maturation of olive trees growing under field conditions. *Sci. Hortic.* 249, 162–167. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2019.01.046

Benlloch-González, M., Sánchez-Lucas, R., Benlloch, M., and Fernández-Escobar, R. (2018). An approach to global warming effects on flowering and fruit set of olive trees growing under field conditions. *Sci. Hortic.* 240, 405–410. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2018.06.054

Ben Sadok, I., Martinez, S., Moutier, N., Garcia, G., Leon, L., Belaj, A., et al. (2015). Plasticity in Vegetative Growth over Contrasted Growing Sites of an F1 Olive Tree Progeny during Its Juvenile Phase. *PLoS One* 10, e0127539. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0127539 Berman, M. E., and DeJong, T. M. (2003). Seasonal patterns of vegetative growth and competition with reproductive sink in peach. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.* 78, 303–309. doi: 10.1080/14620316.2003.11511622

Bongi, G., and Paliotti, A. (1994). "Olive," in *Handbook of environmental physiology* of fruit crops. Eds. B. Schaffer and P. C. Anderson (CRC Press Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, USA), 165–167, 320.

Bufacchi, M., Famiani, F., Passeri, V., Domesi, A., Rosati, A., and Paoletti, A. (2024). Canopy architectural characteristics of ten new olive (*Olea europaea* L.) genotypes and their potential for cultivation in super-high density orchards. *Plants.* 13, 1399. doi: 10.3390/ plants13101399

Camposeo, S., Ferrara, G., Palasciano, M., and Godini, A. (2008). Varietal behaviour according to the superintensive oliveculture training system. *Acta Hort.* 791, 271–274. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2008.791.38

Camposeo, S., and Vivaldi, G. A. (2011). Short-term effects of de-oiled olive pomace mulching application on a young super high-density olive orchard. *Sci. Hortic.* 129, 613–621. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2011.04.034

Camposeo, S., Vivaldi, G. A., and Gattullo, C. E. (2013). Ripening indices and harvesting times of different olive cultivars for continuous harvest. *Sci. Hortic.* 151, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2012.12.019

Camposeo, S., Vivaldi, G. A., Montemurro, C., Fanelli, V., Canal, C., and Lecciana, M. (2021). A new low-vigour olive cultivar suitable for super high density orchards and for nutraceutical EVOO production. *Agronomy* 11, 2154. doi: 10.3390/agronomy11112154

Camposeo, S., Vivaldi, G. A., Russo, G., and Melucci, F. M. (2022). Intensification in olive growing reduces global warming potential under both integrated and organic farming. *Sustainability* 14, 6389. doi: 10.3390/su14116389

Carella, A., Massenti, R., Milazzo, G., Caruso, T., and Lo Bianco, R. (2022). Fruiting, morphology, and architecture of "Arbequina" and 'Calatina' Olive branches. *Horticulturae.* 8, 109. doi: 10.3390/horticulturae8020109

Castillo-Llanque, F., and Rapoport, H. F. (2011). Relationship between reproductive behavior and new shoot development in 5-year-old branches of olive trees (*Olea europaea* L.). *Trees* 25, 823–832. doi: 10.1007/s00468-011-0558-6

Castrodiez, P., Puyravaud, J. P., Cornelissen, J. H. C., and Villar-Salvador, P. (1998). Stem anatomy and relative growth rate in seedlings of a wide range of woody plant species and types. *Oecologia* 166, 57–66. doi: 10.1007/s004420050563

Charalampopoulos, I., Polychroni, I., Psomiadis, E., and Nastos, P. (2021). Spatiotemporal estimation of the olive and vine cultivations' Growing degree days in the balkans region. *Atmosphere* 12, 148. doi: 10.3390/atmos12020148

Cinosi, N., Moriconi, F., Farinelli, D., Marchionni, D., Lodolini, E. M., Rosati, A., et al. (2024). Effects of summer pruning on the water status and physiology of olive trees and on fruit characteristics and oil quality. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 324, 112612 doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2023.112612

Climent, J., Tapias, R., Pardos, J. A., and Gil, L. (2004). Fire adaptations in the Canary Islands pine (Pinus canariensis). *Plant Ecol.* 171, 185–196. doi: 10.1023/B: VEGE.0000029374.64778.68

Connor, D. J., and Fereres, E. (2005). The physiology of adaptation and yield expression in olive. *Hortic. Rev.* 31, 155-229. doi: 10.1002/9780470650882.ch4

Connor, D. J., Gómez-del-Campo, M., Rousseaux, M. C., and Searles, P. S. (2014). Structure, management and productivity of hedgerow olive orchards: a review. *Sci. Hortic.* 169, 71–93. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2014.02.010

Costanza, L., Maldera, F., Garofalo, S. P., Vivaldi, G. A., and Camposeo, S. (2024). Ecological optima show the potential diffusion of minor tree crops in Xylella fastidiosa subsp. pauca-infected areas through a GIS-based approach. *Frontiers in Agronomy*. 6, 1421627. doi: 10.3389/fagro.2024.1421627

Costes, E., Fournier, D., and Salles, J. C. (2000). Changes in primary and secondary growth as influenced by crop load in 'Fantasme' apricot trees. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.* 75, 510–519. doi: 10.1080/14620316.2000.11511277

Costes, E., Lauri, P. E., and Regnard, J. L. (2006). Analyzing fruit tree architecture: implications for tree management and fruit production. *Hortic. Rev.* 32, 1–61. doi: 10.1002/9780470767986

Dag, A., Bustan, A., Avni, A., Tzipori, I., Lavee, S., and Riov, J. (2010). Timing of fruit removal affects concurrent vegetative growth and subsequent return bloom and yield in olive (*Olea europaea* L.). *Sci. Hortic.* 123, 469–472. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2009.11.014

Del Tredici, P. (2001). Sprouting in temperate trees: A morphological and ecological review. *Bot. Rev.* 67, 121–140. doi: 10.1007/BF02858075

Díez, C. M., Moral, J., Cabello, D., Morello, P., Rallo, L., and Barranco, D. (2016). Cultivar and tree density as key factors in the long-term performance of super highdensity olive orchards. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01226

Digby, J., and Wareing, P. F. (1966a). The effect of applied growth hormones on cambial division and the differentiation of the cambial derivatives. *Ann. Bot.* 30, 539–548. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a084095

Digby, J., and Wareing, P. F. (1966b). The relation between endogenous hormone levels in the plant and seasonal aspects of cambial activity. *Ann. Bot.* 30, 607–622. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a084100

Esparza, G., DeJong, T. M., and Grossman, Y. L. (1998). Modeling the vegetative and reproductive growth of almonds. *Acta Hortic.* 470, 324–330. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.1998.470.45

Fernández, F. J., Ladux, J. L., and Searles, P. S. (2015). Dynamics of shoot and fruit growth following fruit thinning in olive trees: Same season and subsequent season responses. *Sci. Hortic.* 192, 320–330. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2015.06.028

Fernández-Escobar, R., Moreno, R., and Sanchez-Zamora, M. A. (2004). Nitrogen dynamics in the olive bearing shoot. *HortScience* 39, 1406–1411. doi: 10.21273/ hortsci.39.6.1406

Fichtner, E. J., and Lovatt, C. J. (2018). Alternate bearing in olive. Acta Hortic. 1199, 103–108. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2018.1199.17

Garofalo, S. P., Giannico, V., Costanza, L., Alhajj Ali, S., Camposeo, S., Lopriore, G., et al. (2024a). Prediction of stem water potential in olive orchards using high-resolution planet satellite images and machine learning techniques. *Agronomy*. 14 (1), 1. doi: 10.3390/agronomy14010001

Garofalo, S. P., Modugno, A. F., De Carolis, G., Sanitate, N., Negash Tesemma, M., Scarascia-Mugnozza, G., et al. (2024b). Explainable Artificial Intelligence to Predict the Water Status of Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L., 1763) from Sentinel-2 Images in the Mediterranean Area. *Plants.* 13 (23), 3325. doi: 10.3390/plants13233325

Guerrero-Casado, J., Carpio, A. J., Tortosa, F. S., and Villanueva, A. J. (2021). Environmental challenges of intensive woody crops: The case of super highdensity olive groves. *Sci. Total. Environ.* 798, 149212. doi: 10.1016/ j.scitotenv.2021.149212

Hansen, P. (1977). "Carbohydrate allocation," in *Environmental effects on crop physiology*. Eds. J. J. Landsberg and C. V. Cutting (Academic Press, London), 247–258.

Honorio, F., García-Martín, A., Moral, F. J., Paniagua, L. L., and Rebollo, F. J. (2018). Spanish vineyard classification according to bioclimatic indexes. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*. 24 (3), 335–344. doi: 10.1111/ajgw.12342

Iglesias, M. A., Rousseaux, M. C., and Searles, P. S. (2024). Deficit irrigation and warming during the late winter and spring affect vegetative growth and reproductive development in young olive trees. *Irrig. Sci.* 42, 815–828. doi: 10.1007/s00271-024-00935-5

Kervella, J., Pagès, L., and Génard, M. (1995). Growth context and fate of axillary meristems of young peach trees. Influence of parent shoot growth characteristics and of emergence date. *Annals of Botany.* 76 (6), 559–567. doi: 10.1006/anbo.1995.1133

Lakso, A. N. (1984). Leaf area development patterns in young pruned and unpruned apple trees. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 109, 861–865. doi: 10.21273/JASHS.109.6.861

Lanner, R. (2002). Why do trees live so long? Ageing Res. Rev. 1, 653-671. doi: 10.1016/S1568-1637(02)00025-9

Lauri, P. É., and Kelner, J. J. (2001). Shoot type demography and dry matter partitioning: a morphometric approach in apple (Malus x domestica). *Can. J. Bot.* 79, 1270–1273. doi: 10.1139/b01-113

Lavee, S. (2007). Biennial bearing in olive (Olea europaea). Ann. Ser. His. Nat. 17, 101–112.

Liang, B., Sun, Y., Li, Z., Zhang, X., Yin, B., Zhou, S., et al. (2020). Crop Load Influences growth and hormone changes in the roots of "Red fuji" Apple. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00665

Lodolini, E. M., and Neri, D. (2012). How growth and reproduction cycles affect alternate bearing in olive. *Acta Hortic*. 949, 191–198. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2012.949.26

Luedeling, E., and Fernandez, E. (2022). chillR Package: statistical methods for phenology analysis in temperate fruit trees.

Lysiak, G. P., and Szot, I. (2023). The Use of Temperature Based Indices for Estimation of Fruit Production Conditions and Risks in Temperate Climates. *Agriculture*. 13 (5), 960. doi: 10.3390/agriculture13050960

Maldera, F., Garofalo, S. P., and Camposeo, S. (2024a). Architectural approach to evaluate the design and management of almond cultivars suitable for super high-density orchards. *Front. Plant Sci.* 15. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1407862

Maldera, F., Garofalo, S. P., and Camposeo, S. (2024b). Ecophysiological recovery of micropropagated olive cultivars: field research in an irrigated super-high-density orchard. *Agronomy* 14, 1560. doi: 10.3390/agronomy14071560

Miserere, A., Searles, P. S., García-Inza, G. P., and Rousseaux, M. C. (2018). Elevated temperature affects vegetative growth and fruit oil concentration in olive trees (*Olea europaea*). Acta Hortic. 1199, 523–528. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2018.1199.83

Openstreetmap.org (2024). Available online at: https://www.openstreetmap.org (Accessed August 20, 2024).

Palese, A. M., Nuzzo, V., Favati, F., Pietrafesa, A., Celano, G., and Xiloyannis, C. (2010). Effects of water deficit on the vegetative response, yield and oil quality of olive trees (Olea europea L., cv "Coratina") grown under intensive cultivation. *Sci. Hortic.* 125, 222–229. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2010.03.025

Paoletti, A., Rosati, A., and Famiani, F. (2021). Effects of cultivar, fruit presence and tree age on whole-plant dry matter partitioning in young olive trees. *Heliyon* 7, e06949. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06949

Paoletti, A., Cinosi, N., Lodolini, E. M., Famiani, F., and Rosati, A. (2023). Effects of root constriction on vegetative growth and yield efficiency in young trees of a low- and a high-vigor olive cultivar. *Trees - Structure and Function.* 37 (4), 1179–1187. doi: 10.1007/s00468-023-02416-2

Pellegrini, G., Ingrao, C., Camposeo, S., Tricase, C., Contò, F., and Huisingh, D. (2016). Application of Water Footprint to olive growing systems in the Apulia region: a comparative assessment. J. Cleaner Prod. 112, 2407–2418. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.10.088 Portarena, S., Farinelli, D., Famiani, F., Cinosi, N., Traini, C., Traini, C., et al. (2024). Differential tolerance to summer stress conditions in two olive cultivars using the dendro-isotopic approach. *Dendrochronologia*. 84, 126812 doi: 10.1016/j.dendro.2024.126182

Proietti, P., and Tombesi, A. (1996). Translocation of assimilates and source-sink influences on productive characteristics of the olive tree. *Adv. Hortic. Sci.* 10, 11–14.

Rallo, L. (1998). "Fructificación y producción, pp. 107-136," in *El cultivo del Olivo*. Eds. D. Barranco, R. Fernández-Escobar and L. Rallo (Junta de Andalucia, Mundi-Prensa Ediciones, Madrid, Spain), 800.

Rallo, L., Barranco, D., Castro-Gacía, S., Connor, D. J., Gómez del Campo, M., and Rallo, P. (2013). High-density olive plantations. *Hortic. Rev. (Am. Soc Hortic. Sci).* 41, 303–382. doi: 10.1002/9781118707418.ch07

Rallo, L., Barranco, D., de la Rosa, R., and León, L. (2007). El programa de mejora genetica de Cordoba. *Phytoma Espana* 190, 22–31.

Rallo, L., Caruso, T., Díez, C. M., and Campisi, G. (2016). "Olive growing in a time of change: from empiricism to genomics," in *The olive tree genome*. Eds. E. Rugini, L. Baldoni, R. Muelo and L. Sebastiani (Springer: The olive tree genome), 55–64. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-48887-5_4

Rallo, L., Torreno, P., Vargas, A., and Alvarado, J. (1994). Dormacy and alternate bearing in olive. Acta Hortic. 356, 127-134. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.1994.356.28

Rejeb, H. (1997). Etude de la croissance rythmique du pommier(Malus x domestica Borkh.) en conditions naturelles contrastées. *Annales de L'Institut National Agronomique deTunisie.* 70, 75–94.

Rosati, A., Paoletti, A., Al Hariri, R., and Famiani, F. (2018). Fruit production and branching density affect shoot and whole-tree wood to leaf biomass ratio in olive. *Tree Physiol.* 38, 1278–1285. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpy009

Rosati, A., Paoletti, A., Caporali, S., and Perri, E. (2013). The role of tree architecture in super high density olive orchards. Sci. Hortic. 161, 24–29. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2013.06.044

Rosati, A., Paoletti, A., Al Hariri, R., Morelli, A., and Famiani, F.. (2018a). Resource investments in reproductive growth proportionately limit investments in whole-tree vegetative growth in young olive trees with varying crop loads. *Tree Physiology.* 38 (9), 1267–1277 doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpy011

Rosati, A., Paoletti, A., Al Hariri, R., and Famiani, F. (2018b). Fruit production and branching density affect shoot and whole-tree wood to leaf biomass ratio in olive. *Tree Physiology.* 38 (9), 1278–1285. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpy009

Rosati, A., Paoletti, A., Lodolini, E. M., and Famiani, F. (2024). Cultivar ideotype for intensive olive orchards: plant vigor, biomass partitioning, tree architecture and fruiting characteristics. *Front. Plant Sci.* 15. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1345182

Russo, G., Vivaldi, G. A., De Gennaro, B., and Camposeo, S. (2015). Environmental sustainability of different soil management techniques in a high-density olive orchard. *J. Cleaner Prod.* 107, 498–508. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.06.064

Salazar-García, S., Lord, E. M., and Lovatt, C. J. (1998). Inflorescence and flower development of the 'Hass' avocado (Persea americana Mill.) during 'on' and 'off' crop years. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 123, 537–544. doi: 10.21273/JASHS.123.4.537 Sansavini, S., and Corelli-Grappadelli, L. (1992). Canopy structure of apple as affected by microclimatic factors and tree structure. *Acta Hortic.* 322, 69–77. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.1992.322.7

Siakou, M., Bruggeman, A., Eliades, M., Zoumides, C., Djuma, H., Kyriacou, M. C., et al. (2021). Effects of deficit irrigation on 'Koroneiki' olive tree growth, physiology and olive oil quality at different harvest dates. *Agric. Water Manage.* 258, 107200. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2021.107200

Smith, H. M., and Samach, A. (2013). Constraints to obtaining consistent annual yields in perennial tree crops. I: Heavy fruit load dominates over vegetative growth. *Plant Sci.* 207, 158–167. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2013.02.014

Stevenson, M. T., and Shackel, K. A. (1998). Alternate bearing in pistachio as a masting phenomenon: construction cost of reproduction versus vegetative growth and storage. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 123, 1069–1075. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.1998.470.47

Stevenson, M. T., Shackel, K. A., and Ferguson, L. (2000). Shoot length distribution and its relation to yield of alternate-bearing pistachio trees. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 125, 165–168. doi: 10.21273/JASHS.125.2.165

Strippoli, G., Vivaldi, G. A., Camposeo, S., and Contò, F. (2013). Sprouts seasonal elongation of two olive cultivars in a high-density orchard. *Agric. Sci.* 04, 376–381. doi: 10.4236/as.2013.48054

Taguas, V. E., Marín-Moreno, V., Díez, M. C., Mateos, L., Barranco, D., Mesas-Carrascosa, F. J., et al. (2021). Opportunities of super high-density olive orchard to improve soil quality: Management guidelines for application of pruning residues. *J. Environ. Manage.* 293, 112785. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112785

Tous, J., Romero, A., Hermoso, J. F., Msallem, M., and Larbi, A. (2014). Olive orchard design and mechanization: Present and future. *Acta Hortic.* 1057, 231–246. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2014.1057.27

Tous, J., Romero, A., Plana, J., and Baiges, F. (1999). Planting density trial with "Arbequina" olive cultivar in Catalonia (Spain). *Acta Hortic.* 474, 177–180. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.1999.474.34

Vandermeer, J. (2010). How populations grow: the exponential and logistic equations. *Nat. Educ. Knowl.* 3, 15.

Verhulst, P. F. (1838). Notice sur la loi que la population suit dans son accroissement. *Correspondence mathematique et physique*. 10, 113–129.

Vivaldi, G. A., Strippoli, G., Pascuzzi, S., Stellacci, A. M., and Camposeo, S. (2015). Olive genotypes cultivated in an adult high-density orchard respond differently to canopy restraining by mechanical and manual pruning. *Sci. Hortic.* 192, 391–399. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2015.06.004

Yin, X., Goudriaan, J. A. N., Lantinga, E. A., Vos, J. A. N., and Spiertz, H. J. (2003). A flexible sigmoid function of determinate growth. *Annals of botany*. 91 (3), 361–371. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcg091

Zucchini, M., Lodolini, E. M., Tarragoni, A., and Neri, D. (2023). Shoot growth pattern and return bloom of six olive varieties in response to cultivation practices stimulating or limiting the vegetative growth in young trees. *Sci. Hortic.* 321, 112373. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2023.112373.