Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Necla Pehlivan, Recep Tayyip Erdoğan University, Türkiye

REVIEWED BY Juan De Dios Franco-Navarro, Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), Spain Ahmed Muhammad Ajaz, Seoul National University, Republic of Korea

*CORRESPONDENCE Shah Zaman Shahzamantea@163.com Ali Anwar anwar@scau.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 18 December 2024 ACCEPTED 24 March 2025 PUBLISHED 29 April 2025

CITATION

Ma J, Li S, Zaman S and Anwar A (2025) CLC gene family in *Solanum lycopersicum*: genome-wide identification, expression, and evolutionary analysis of tomato in response to salinity and Cd stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 16:1547723. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2025.1547723

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Ma, Li, Zaman and Anwar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

CLC gene family in *Solanum lycopersicum*: genome-wide identification, expression, and evolutionary analysis of tomato in response to salinity and Cd stress

Jun Ma^{1†}, Shan Li^{1†}, Shah Zaman^{2*} and Ali Anwar^{3*}

¹School of Grain and Food & Pharmacy, Jiangsu Vocational College of Finance and Economics, Huaian, Jiangsu, China, ²School of Tea & Coffee, Pu'er University, Pu'er, China, ³College of Horticulture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China

Introduction: Chloride channels (CLCs) play critical roles in anion transport, stress adaptation, and ion homeostasis in plants. Whereas their genomic wide indentification and functional divergence in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) remain largely unexplored.

Methods and results: In this study, we identified nine CLC genes in the tomato genome, classifying them into two evolutionarily distinct clades (Group I and II) based on phylogenetic analysis. Structural dissection revealed conserved transmembrane domains (9–12 TMDs) and motif patterns (e.g., motifs 3/7/9 in Group I), with *SICLCO2* exhibiting the largest gene size (27,041 bp). Promoter analysis indicated the presence of key abiotic stress-responsive cis-elements (ABRE, MYB, MYC), aligning with the pronounced transcriptional dynamics of SICLCs under salinity stress. Notably, qRT-PCR analysis demonstrated that most SICLC genes (particularly *SICLCO5*, an ortholog to *AtCLC-g*) exhibited rapid upregulation within 1-4 hours followed by downregulation in roots under salinity treatment, suggesting early stress signaling roles. Likewise, preliminary expression profiling under cadmium stress further identified specific induction of *SICLCO7*, proposing gene-specific roles in heavy metal detoxification. Strikingly, *SICLCO9* lacked collinearity with Arabidopsis/potato homologs, implying lineage-specific diversification.

Discussion: These findings elucidate the SICLC family's structural diversity, evolutionary constraints, and stress-responsive regulation, providing a framework for targeting specific SICLC genes (e.g., *SICLC05*) to enhance chloride homeostasis in crops under combined salinity and cadmium stress. This study will open a new research direction for genetic crop improvement to ensure protected vegetable production.

KEYWORDS

Solanum lycopersicum, chloride channels, abiotic stress, alleviation of stress, anion transport, chloride ions

1 Introduction

World climate change, excessive irrigation requirements, and soil salinization have a substantial influence on current crop growth and production, limiting the sustainable expansion of irrigated agriculture and presenting a danger to world agricultural security (Hassani et al., 2021; Rehman et al., 2022; Muhammad et al., 2024). Sodium chloride (NaCl) is a predominant salinization agent in agricultural soils, imposing osmotic and ionic stress on crop plants (Zhou et al., 2024). While the toxic effects of sodium (Na⁺) on plants and the associated adaptive mechanisms have been extensively studied (Wu, 2018; Balasubramaniam et al., 2023), the role of chloride ions (Cl⁻) has been less explored. Although previous studies have suggested that chloride ions play an important role as micronutrients in plant growth, plants exhibit symptoms of nutrient deficiency when exposed to environments with low chloride ion concentrations (Broyer et al., 1954; Johnson et al., 1957). In addition, recent studies have proposed a new perspective on chloride ions as beneficial macronutrients. These studies suggest that chloride ions can improve plant water balance and water relations, promote avoidance and tolerance to water stress, and enhance drought resistance in plants (Franco-Navarro et al., 2016, 2021; Colmenero-Flores et al., 2019).

Soil salinity is typically characterized by the salt concentration as non-saline (less than 3 g/L), slightly saline (from 3 g/L to 6 g/L), medium saline (from 6 g/L to12 g/L), and highly saline (more than 12 g/L) (https://www.fao.org/4/r4082e/r4082e08.htm), and salinity in plant bulk tissues is typically expressed in terms of average Na⁺ and Cl⁻ content [mg/g dry weight, (DW)] or concentration (mmol/ L, mM), considering that the Na⁺ and Cl⁻ content/concentration in plants varies among species without non-saline-treated soil. For example, in glycophyte plants, Cl- is accumulated in tissues at concentrations 10 to 500 times higher than the micronutrient requirement (i.e., more than 50 mg/g DW compared to 0.2 mg/g DW), despite the high energy cost of uptake and accumulation (White and Broadley, 2001; Xu et al., 1999; Colmenero-Flores et al., 2019). For Na⁺, most major agricultural crops have poor tolerance to salinity. Although the concentration of sodium in tissues (approximately 1 g/kg DW) is closer to the range of micronutrients, at higher supply levels, the concentration of sodium in tissues approaches that of macronutrients, especially C4, in plant species. This may be related to its ability to substitute for potassium in osmotic regulation (Broadley et al., 2012). However, certain studies have demonstrated that, in a salinity scenario, the excessive accumulation of Cl- in bulk plant tissues can result in several detrimental consequences for plant physiology (Almeida et al., 2014; Rajappa et al., 2024; Yang et al., 2023b), including leaf necrosis, impaired stomatal function, reduced photosynthetic activity, and an increase in the production of reactive oxygen species (Brumós et al., 2009; Wu and Li, 2019), leading to a cumulative suppression of plant growth and yield (Geilfus, 2018; Rajappa et al., 2024). Moreover, the efflux of Clfrom the root system exhibits a positive correlation with plant salt tolerance (Geilfus, 2018), implicating this process as a potential principal mechanism to avert the toxic effects of chloride ions within plants. Some genes, such as the chloride channel protein family (CLC), cation–Cl⁻ cotransporter (CCCs), slow anion channel associated (SLAC/SLAH1), aluminum-activated malate transporter (ALMT), nitrate transporter/peptide transporter (NRT1/NPF), the multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE), and the ion chloride nucleotide-sensitive protein (ICln1) family, are likely crucial for the nutrition, long-distance transport, and compartmentalization of chloride ions, and for regulating cell turgor and enhancing stress tolerance in plants (Brumós et al., 2010; Cubero-Font et al., 2016; Hedrich and Geiger, 2017; Liu et al., 2024; Wu et al., 2025).

CLCs were initially discovered in the electric ray Torpedo californica (Miller and White, 1980), but the first found in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) plants was NtCLC1 (Lurin et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 2018). The function of the CLCs has been confirmed in several species, with seven CLC members in Arabidopsis thaliana (Nedelyaeva et al., 2020), eight CLC members in soybean (Wei et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2021), 17 CLC genes in tobacco (Zhang et al., 2018), and 22 CLC genes in Brassica napus (Liu et al., 2020) identified (Liu et al., 2022). They were identified as an anion channel or transporter, controlling intracellular organelle ion homeostasis and acidification by moving anions across the membrane, which is generally separated into anion channels and anion/proton antiporters (Yang et al., 2023a). A more comprehensive analysis revealed that CLCs, as intrinsic membrane proteins, have a structure consisting of 10 to 12 transmembrane domains (Dutzler et al., 2002; Wei et al., 2019), and play an important role in vesicular transport mainly by regulating the internal pH, such as alkalinization in tobacco endoplasmic reticulum (EPR) lume, or the transmembrane electric potential $(\Delta \psi)$ of organelles (von der Fecht-Bartenbach et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2018). However, the hypotheses formulated from the existing data require further exploration. Some reports suggested that CLCs were present in the cell membranes and involved in various cellular functions (Jentsch et al., 1990; Jentsch, 2008). Moreover, many reports revealed that CLCs are located in the endomembrane system, vacuolar membranes (AtCLCa, AtCLCc, AtCLCg, GmCLC1, and ThCLC-a) (Li et al., 2006; Jossier et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2013, 2023b; Zhou et al., 2013; Wege et al., 2014; Nguyen et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2022; Balasubramaniam et al., 2023; Hodin et al., 2023), Golgi vesicles (AtCLCd and AtCLCf) (von der Fecht-Bartenbach et al., 2007; Marmagne et al., 2007; Guo et al., 2014; Scholl et al., 2021), thylakoid membrane of chloroplasts (AtCLCe), mesophyll cells (AtCLCa) (Geelen et al., 2000; De Angeli et al., 2006), guard cells (AtCLCa) (Wege et al., 2014; Hodin et al., 2023), and mitochondria (ZmCLCc) (Tampieri et al., 2011). Although some genes, such as OsCLC1 and CsCLCs, have already been studied, their functions remain to be established (Diédhiou and Golldack, 2006; Colmenero-Flores et al., 2007; Brumós et al., 2009, 2010; Migocka et al., 2013; Um et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2024). These research studies revealed that CLCs mediate the uptake and translocation of anions such as Cl-, ensuring anion homeostasis (Dutzler et al., 2002; Wei et al., 2019), play a role in nutrient transport (Ludewig et al., 1996), and contribute to modulating membrane potential, maintaining turgor pressure (Dutzler et al., 2002) and controlling stomatal movement (De Angeli et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2023a). Furthermore, they are involved in hormone signaling (Barbier-Brygoo et al., 2011), embryonic development, and adapting to various abiotic and biotic stresses (Jossier et al., 2010; Nedelyaeva et al., 2020, 2022).

It has been revealed that CLCs serve as pivotal regulators in the maintenance of Cl⁻ equilibrium under saline conditions (Liu et al., 2021). In soybean plants, GsCLC-c2 has been reported to play a role in regulating anionic homeostasis and maintaining lower Cl-/NO3ratios in shoots to enhance NaCl tolerance (Liu et al., 2021). Recently, Rajappa et al. (2024) found the NaCl-induced translocation of AtCLCf to the plasma membrane (PM) from the Golgi in Arabidopsis thaliana, thus increasing the efflux of Cl⁻ from the root system and plant tolerance to saline conditions. In addition to enhancing efflux, CLCs also play a role in ion sequestration, such as GmCLC1 and OsCLC-1 (Nakamura et al., 2006), vacuolar-located ion transporters that sequester ions from the cytoplasm into the vacuole, thereby mitigating their toxic effects (Li et al., 2006), or AtCLCd, first transported into the trans-Golgi network and related compartments, and then transferred from the cytoplasm to the vacuole or apoplast by the endosomes (von der Fecht-Bartenbach et al., 2007). Several studies reported that CLCs are regulated by a variety of factors. As for AtCLCa, its activity is regulated by nucleotides and phospholipids (Jentsch and Pusch, 2018), allowing them to sense the ATP/AMP ratio and modulate AtCLCa accordingly, binding with PI(4,5)P2 or PI(3,5) P2 in the protein dimeric interface and occupying the proton exit pathway to promote vacuolar acidification and stomatal closure (Yang et al., 2023a). The ZmCLCg protein was initially proved to be associated with salt tolerance in maize (Luo et al., 2021). Interestingly, a response regulator ZmRR1 has also been proven to have the same function and can modulate Cl⁻ exclusion from shoots but the potential regulatory relationship between ZmRR1 and CLCs in maize is yet to be reported. These findings highlight the importance of CLC functions in plant salt tolerance, however, the underlying molecular mechanisms remain unclear. The collected evidence points to a model where the Cl⁻/H⁺-antiporter, Na⁺/H⁺-antiporter, and V-type H⁺-ATPase work in concert within endosomes.

Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.), a moderately tolerant species to salinity, is a significant horticultural crop with economic and nutritional importance (Sofy et al., 2021). It is vulnerable to salt stress, and excessive salinity levels influence seed germination, plant growth, and fruit development (Roşca et al., 2023). Research has identified specific genes associated with Cl⁻ transport in tomato plants, contributing to the understanding of salt tolerance in this species; however, studies focusing on tomato CLC genes remain limited. Bioinformatics and experimentation have significantly enhanced the understanding of the structure, function, and regulatory expression of CLC proteins. The precise role of CLC proteins in tomatoes under saline conditions remains unclear, despite a noticeable association with salt stress. This study seeks to elucidate the mechanisms by which CLCs function in tomatoes and evaluate their role in salt stress resistance, which is crucial for theoretical understanding and practical applications in agricultural plant breeding.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Identification and sequence of the CLC gene family in tomato

The latest genomic data of tomato (ITAG5.0) were obtained from Phytozome13 (https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov). We only preserved the longest protein sequence of each gene to eliminate redundancy. The identified CLC protein sequences from Arabidopsis (https://www.arabidopsis.org/), wheat, soybean, and potato were downloaded. These sequences were queried using the BLAST to retrieve homology genes from the tomato genome with E-value of 10⁻⁵. The CLC domain (PF00654) from the Pfam database in InterPro (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/) was employed as a query searched by Hidden Markov model (HMM) profiles with an E-value of 10⁻⁵. Furthermore, the protein sequences identified by both the above methods in the S. lycopersicum genome were integrated. The remaining proteins were considered candidate tomato CLC proteins. We submitted all candidate sequences to NCBI-CDD (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/cdd/) and MEME to verify the CLC conserved domains and motifs (Bailey et al., 2009).

The molecular weight (MW), isoelectric point (pI), instability index (II), aliphatic index (AI), and grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY) of these identified proteins were investigated using ExPASy (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/) online software. Subcellular localization of them were predicted based on the WolfPSORT (https://wolfpsort.hgc.jp/).

2.2 Phylogenetic relationship, gene structure, and conserved motifs analysis

To understand the evolutionary relationship of the tomato CLC genes, multiple sequence alignments of the identified CLC proteins of *Arabidopsis*, wheat, and potato, were performed using Muscle. A neighbor-joining (NJ) tree was constructed using IQ-tree software with a bootstrap value of 1,000. The chromosome physical location of the CLC genes was displayed using the Gene Location Visualize function of TBtools (Gasteiger et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2020).

2.3 Analysis of cis-acting elements in *CLC* promoters

The PlantCARE online tool (http://bioinformatics.psb. ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/) was used to analyze the 2 kb upstream sequence of the promoter sequence from the tomato *CLC* family gene (Rombauts et al., 1999).

2.4 Chromosome distribution and collinearity analysis

The positional information and chromosome lengths of *SlCLC* gene members were from a ITAG 5.0 gff file. These data were

compared and visualized through covariance analysis using the Multiple Co-linear Scanning Toolkit (MCScanX) (Wang et al., 2012).

2.5 Protein tertiary structure and gene expression pattern analysis

CLC proteins are integral in facilitating the transmembrane transport of anions. Within this gene family, SwissModel (https:// swissmodel.expasy.org) was employed to visualize the 3D structure with rainbow color (Waterhouse et al., 2018). The online TomExpress platform (https://tomexpress.gbfwebtools.fr/query) and relevant data mining tools were used to carry out comprehensive transcriptomic profiling of eight *SlCLC* genes in tomato vegetative and reproductive tissues (Zouine et al., 2017).

2.6 Plant materials, NaCl and Cd treatments, RNA extraction, qRT-PCR, and expression of *SI*CLCs in tomato tissues

Tomato was used for expression analysis under salt (NaCl) and Cd stress. Tomato seeds were cultured in soils for 21 days with one seedling in one pot with 16 h light (350 $\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$ light intensity, 28°C) and 8h darkness (28°C) in a plant growth room in Guangzhou, China. The 21-day seedlings were cultivated and watered with 20 mL supplemented with 100 µM Cd or 100 mM NaCl (China National Pharmaceutical Group Co., Ltd.) for 0 h (CK), 1 h, 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 12 h, or 24 h in one pot. The leaves were sampled, quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C. Each treatment was independently replicated three times. A TianGen RNA Plant Kit was used to extract total RNA. qRT-PCR was performed on a LightCycler 96 (Roche). The 10 µL reaction volume contained 5 µL of 2×SYBR Green Mix, 2 µL of cDNA, 0.5 µL of forward and reverse primers (Supplementary Table S6), and 2 µL double distilled water (ddH2O). SIACT was used as a reference gene. The results were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9.0 software, employing oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA).

3 Results

3.1 Identification and phylogenetic analysis of the *SICLC* family members in tomato

Nine genes, designated *SlCLC01* to *SlCLC09*, were identified through BLASTP and HMM searches of the tomato genome, considering homology, conserved domains, and transmembrane domains. However, the gene length, CDS length of *SlCLC01*, and its amino acid count were unusually extensive, measuring 20,890,

5,262, and 1,753 bp, respectively (Supplementary Tables S1, S2). Therefore, we checked it again using Fgenesh software (http:// www.softberry.com/cgi-bin/programs/gfind/fgenesh.pl), and found it to be related to two genes, SlCLC_6_like and PH-like superfamily (cl17171) (Supplementary Figure S1, Supplementary Table S3). Therefore, we reconstructed it with shorter genes, only preserving the SlCLC_6_like gene, and named it SlCLC01 for phylogenetic analysis. The corresponding amino acid sequences were subjected to bioinformatics analysis, including an assessment of their physicochemical properties. The results showed that the length of SlCLC genes varied from 27,041 bp (SlCLC02) to 3,068 bp (SlCLC04), with CDS lengths from 2,223 bp (SlCLC02) to 2,538 bp (SICLC09). The amino acid number of SICLC genes varied from 740 bp (SlCLC02) to 845 bp (SlCLC09). The largest protein had a molecular weight of 191.14 kDa (SlCLC01), while the smallest protein weighed 79.24 kDa (SlCLC02), with an average molecular weight of 97.48 kDa. Among the SlCLC family members, three proteins (SlCLC02, SlCLC06, and SlCLC08) of the Group I members were considered acidic (pI < 7), while six proteins (SICLC01, SICLC03, SICLC04, SICLC05, SICLC07, and SICLC09) of the Group II members were classified as basic (pI > 7) (Table 1; Figure 1). The isoelectric points of the proteins fell within the range of 6.42 to 8.82. The instability index in the SlCLC proteins varied from 33.23 to 48.41. The aliphatic index and GAH of SICLC proteins ranged from 94.14 (SlCLC01) to 113.42 (SlCLC04) and from -0.08 (SlCLC01) to 0.37 (SlCLC05), respectively.

Furthermore, all the SICLC proteins were found on the plasma membrane and exhibited 9 to 12 transmembrane domains (Table 1; Figure 2). It is noteworthy that SICLC01 and SICLC08 were localized in the chloroplast and mitochondrion, respectively. SICLC02 and SICLC06 were found in both the cell membrane and mitochondrion, while the majority of SICLCs (SICLC03, SICLC04, SICLC05, SICLC07, and SICLC09) were exclusively located in the cell membrane. The localization of proteins within subcellular compartments was fundamentally linked to their functional roles. Predicting the cellular locations of proteins is crucial for understanding gene functions. Further experimental confirmation is necessary to achieve more accurate subcellular localization.

Phylogenetic analysis plays a crucial role in examining gene function, the evolutionary relationships among species, and genetic diversity and variations. We constructed the phylogenetic tree using seven CLC proteins from Arabidopsis thaliana, 10 CLCs from Glycine max, 10 CLCs from Solanum tuberosum, 33 CLCs from Triticum aestivum L., and nine CLCs from Solanum lycopersicum L. to evaluate the evolutionary relationship. A phylogenetic tree was constructed based on 69 CLC proteins from Arabidopsis, soybean, wheat, potato, and tomato. All CLC proteins were classified into two groups (Figure 1). Each assembly covered members from diverse species, implying a high conservation among the CLC family. Group I included three SICLC members (SICLC02, SICLC06, and SlCLC08), and the other six SlCLCs fell into Group II. Notably, SlCLCs genes were found to have significant sequence similarity to StCLCs, except for SlCLC07 which was similar to AtCLC-D. These findings specify that the well-maintained sequences of these genes share a comparable evolutionary relationship in potato.

ID	NAA	MW (kDa)	PI	П	AI	GAH	PSL	TMDs
SICLC01	1753	191,139.97	8.79	42.08	94.14	-0.08	Chloroplast	9
SICLC02	740	79,244.76	6.42	40.24	104.09	0.29	Cell membrane, mitochondrion	9
SICLC03	784	86,467.38	8.82	37.85	113.37	0.32	Cell membrane	9
SICLC04	763	84,897.49	7.55	33.23	113.42	0.35	Cell membrane	10
SICLC05	788	86,502.39	8.68	35.13	105.81	0.37	Cell membrane	11
SICLC06	756	80,599.42	6.77	48.41	95.24	0.07	Cell membrane, mitochondrion	9
SICLC07	793	87,404.59	7.84	43.61	104.1	0.26	Cell membrane	12
SICLC08	822	88,644.01	6.72	36.8	100.16	0.11	Mitochondrion	11
SICLC09	845	92,385.04	8.79	35.83	108.58	0.36	Cell membrane	12

TABLE 1 Physicochemical property characterization of the CLC gene family identified in tomato.

NAA, number of amino acids; MW, molecular weight; PI, theoretical pI; II, instability index; AI, aliphatic index; GAH, grand average of hydropathicity; PSL, prediction of subcellular localization; TMDs, number of putative transmembrane domains.



FIGURE 1

Phylogenetic analysis of evolutionary relationships of CLCs in *Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana,* AtCLC), soybean (*Glycine max,* GmCLC), potato (*Solanum tuberosum,* StCLC), wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L., TaCLC), and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. SICLC). Green and yellow indicate Groups I and II. A red star represents tomato.

05



3.2 Conserved motifs, domains, and models of SICLC proteins

According to the protein structure analysis, nine SICLC proteins were investigated, and a total of 10 conserved motifs were identified. Notably, significant differences were observed among the two different groups (Figure 3A). In fact, *SI*CLC01, *SI*CLC3, *SI*CLC4, *SI*CLC05, *SI*CLC07, and *SI*CLC09 from Group II contained all 10 motifs, but *SICLC02*, *SICLC06*, and *SICLC08* particularly had motifs 3, 7, and 9, indicating a distinct evolutionary process and physiological function. All the SICLC proteins contained one domain named CLC and CBS_pair, which is the typical domain of the CLC family (Figure 3B). The conserved amino acid residues consisted of motif 10 (GxGxPE), motif 7 (GKxGPxxH), and motif 1 (PxGxLF), including motif 3 (GxAxELT) and motif 9 (VxIxKxG) in particular (Figure 3D).

3.3 Exon-intron structure and duplication in the SICLC gene family

A comparison of gene structures within the tomato species SICLC gene family revealed significant variations in the number of introns, as shown in Figure 3C. When investigating the evolutionary mechanisms of the SICLC gene family, our results show that the distribution of the nine genes was not uniform across all the chromosomes in tomato. *SICLC01* and *SICLC02* were found on chromosome 1, while *SICLC08* and *SICLC09* were distributed on chromosome 10. The genes *SICLC03* and *SICLC04* were located on chromosome 2, *SICLC05* and *SICLC06* were distributed on chromosome 7, and *SICLC07* was located on chromosome 9 (Figure 4A).

To better understand the origin and functional divergence of the SICLC gene family, a comparative collinearity analysis was conducted for CLC genes in tomato (Figure 4B). Within the tomato genome, two pairs of paralogous genes, *SICLC06/SICLC08* and *SlCLC03/SlCLC04*, were detected, and *SlCLC03* and *SlCLC04* were on the same chromosome (Figure 4B), signifying a segmented duplication role in the expansion of the *Sl*CLCs gene family. To further reveal the evolution of the CLC family, a comparative syntenic analysis was carried out on tomato, potato, and *Arabidopsis* (Figure 4C). A total of 12 and 8 collinear blocks were detected between tomato (eight genes) and potato (eight genes) and between tomato (five genes) and *Arabidopsis* (five genes), respectively (Figure 4C). Eight SlCLC genes were collinear with seven StCLCs of potato through the eight SlCLC genes, suggesting a close evolutionary relationship between these two species. All SlCLC genes were distinctly collinear with the CLCs, suggesting a high degree of homology and conservation of CLC genes across three species and a close evolutionary relationship among the other two species.

3.4 3D model predictions and cis-acting elements of *SI*CLCs

The three-dimensional structures of these SICLC proteins were predicted using homology modeling. Noteworthy changes were detected in the three-dimensional architectures of the four proteins, as shown in Figure 5. The three-dimensional structures of these proteins serve as the basis for understanding their biological functions. In SlCLC04, SlCLC05, SlCLC07, and SlCLC09, distinct patterns of coiling and folding structures were evident, whereas SICLC02, SICLC03, SICLC08, and SICLC06 exhibited a relatively lower proportion of coiled regions. There were still significant differences in the 3D model protein predictions between SlCLC01 before and after being restricted (Supplementary Figure S2). To better understand the transcriptional regulation and potential function of the SlCLC genes, the cis-regulatory elements in the promoter were predicted (Supplementary Table S4). In total, 36 functional cis-elements were obtained, and the top three were Box 4, G-box, and abscisic acid responsiveness element (ABRE). Their



functions were part of a conserved DNA module, a cis-acting regulatory element involved in light responsiveness, and abscisic acid responsiveness, respectively. Hormone responsiveness and stress-related transcription factors were found in all nine *Sl*CLCs. MYC promoter elements were found in all nine *Sl*CLCs. MYB was found in eight *Sl*CLCs, except *Sl*CLC02. Many hormone-related elements were found in the promoter regions of these *Sl*CLCs (Figure 6A, Supplementary Table S4). Among them, ABRE was identified in four *Sl*CLCs, a low temperature responsive (LTR) element was found in two *Sl*CLCs, and W box (a specific DNA sequence element, with the core sequence TTGACC/T) was found in six *Sl*CLCs, except *SlCLC02*, *SlClC03*, and *SlCLC04*. Our study uncovered multiple cis-regulatory elements that show a critical position in light, abiotic stress, and hormone responsiveness, as illustrated in Figure 6B.

This involved examining the 2,000 upstream base pairs of the CLC genes. These elements included circadian, light, ABA, auxin, gibberellin, methyl jasmonate (MeJA), and salicylic acid, and anaerobic, anoxic, wound, mixed, and low-temperature stresses. In fact, a salicylic acid responsiveness element was identified in *SlCLC02* and *SlCLC03*, and mixed and low-temperature responsive elements were identified in *SlCLC04*. In addition, three genes, *SlCLC05*, *SlCLC07*, and *SlCLC08*, were found to contain elements that are essential for gibberellin and MeJA hormone responsiveness. Anoxic stress elements were found in *SlCLC09*, such as mixed stress and low temperature. The findings indicate that SlCLC genes have a significant role in the stress responsiveness of tomato in the signaling networks that govern different developmental processes and also in response to biotic and abiotic stresses.

3.5 SICLC interaction networks

Protein-protein interactions (PPIs) were projected using the STRING tool. Nodes with higher connectivity were considered more critical for the stability of the entire network and we utilized Cytoscape software to refine it. SlCLC02 exhibited higher connectivity with SlCLC01, SlCLC03, SlCLC04, SlCLC05, SlCLC07, and SICLC09, excepting SICLC06 and SICLC08. SICLC08 interacted with SlCLC01, SlCLC05, SlCLC07 and SlCLC09, SlCLC03, and SlCLC04 (Figure 7A). In tomato, SlCLC01, SlCLC02, SlCLC06, SICLC07, SICLC08, and SICLC09 were likely to be regulated by A0A3Q7FID6, which belongs to transmembrane transport, demonstrating transmembrane transporter activity. Specifically, SICLC07 was also connected with A0A3171674, A0A494G8R9, and A0A3Q71061. The functions of A0A3171674, A0A494G8R9, and A0A3Q71061 were ion binding, metal ion binding, transition metal ion binding, lipid transporter activity, and intramembrane lipid transporter activity. It is worth noting that NHX1 annotation was sodium and potassium-proton antiporter activity, anion antiporter activity, and active ion transmembrane transporter activity (Supplementary Table S5; Figure 7B).

3.6 SICLC expression patterns in a range of tissue types and prediction of miRNA targeting

To determine the expression profiles of *SlCLCs* genes in *Solanum lycopersicum*, a systematic analysis was performed across a range of plant tissues. Due to the lack of data for *SlCLC04* in the corresponding





Predicted 3D structure of nine genes in the SICLCs family using a Swiss model.



database, the data analysis was conducted excluding SICLC04, and the findings showed unique transcription levels for each of the eight genes (Figure 8). SICLC01, SICLC02, SICLC05, and SICLC07 showed significant expression in leaves. SICLC06, SICLC08, and SICLC09 displayed high expression levels in stems. It is noteworthy that the transcript levels of SICLC03 and SICLC09 were generally higher in roots than other members of SICLC across all plant tissues examined. Based on these findings, we can better understand how various organs in tomato plants regulate chloride ions via the SICLC gene family. The prediction of miRNA targeting in whole-genome analysis is essential for unraveling the intricacies of gene regulation and can have significant implications for understanding developmental processes and responses to environmental stimuli. The prediction of miRNA targeting is shown in Table 2. The miRNAs sly-miR390a-5p, slymiR390b-5p, sly-miR395a, sly-miR395b, and sly-miR482e-3p target the gene Solyc01T003527.1 (SICLC01), and sly-miR5303 targets the gene Solyc10T001041.2 (SICLC09), both of which are involved in inhibiting translation. Furthermore, other miRNAs are involved in the inhibition of cleavage.

3.7 *SI*CLC expression patterns under salt stress condition

To further investigate the response of SlCLCs in tomato under salt stress, qRT-PCR was conducted to examine the expression patterns of these genes in plant roots, as demonstrated in Figure 9. After being treated with NaCl (100 mM), these SlCLCs have different responses to environmental changes. Like SlCLC01 and SICLC03, the expression of SICLC02 in the roots was still low 4 hours after salt stress, but then SlCLC02 expression was moderately upregulated compared with SlCLC01 and SlCLC03. The response of SlCLC05 was the most rapid, with its expression rapidly increasing during the first hour of salt stress treatment and maintaining a high level of expression for up to 8 hours. In contrast, the expression of SlCLC07 increased slowly, reaching its peak at 12 hours. SlCLC04 and SlCLC07 also experienced a cliff-like drop after 12 hours, with a sharp decrease observed at the 24-hour mark. Other genes slowly declined after reaching their peak values. Similar to SICLC08, SlCLC06 exhibited a slow increase in expression over 24 hours,



reaching its maximum at 4 hours, and then gradually decreased. The expression of *SlCLC09* exhibited fluctuation.

3.8 CLC gene expression pattern under Cd stress

The expression of *SlCLC01* steadily increased during the cadmium stress treatment, reaching its maximal value at 4 hours and then gradually decreasing. At 4 hours, the expression of *SlCLC02* and *SlCLC03* significantly increased, a phenomenon that was also observed in other genes, including *SlCLC04* after 8 hours of stress treatment and *SlCLC05* and *SlCLC07* after 2 hours of stress treatment. The maximal values of *SlCLC06* and *SlCLC09* were achieved at 8 hours, respectively, even though they exhibited similar phenomena. Notably, *SlCLC02* and *SlCLC09* underwent an abrupt and substantial downregulation at 12 hours. After 2 hours of treatment, the *SlCLC08* gene exhibited a relatively stable upregulated expression, which persisted for 24 hours, as illustrated in Figure 10.

4 Discussion

Numerous CLCs have been found and functionally described as a result of their significant role in transporting anions across membranes and regulating ion homeostasis (Rajappa et al., 2024; Luan et al., 2025). Understanding the mechanisms of anion absorption and transport in plants is crucial for elucidating plant nutrition and stress responses. Although the association between CLC transporters and salt stress has been documented in certain species, such as *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Glycine max* L (Liu et al., 2022; Rajappa et al., 2024), advancements in this area of research in other plants remain notably limited. Hence, this study undertook a comprehensive analysis of the CLC gene family within a tomato species using bioinformatics methodologies. Our objective was to provide novel insights into the function of the CLC gene family within the tomato species.

In this investigation, a total nine CLC family genes from genome of Solanum lycopersicum, designated as SlCLC01 to SlCLC09, were discerned in other previously documented species, including Arabidopsis thaliana (7), Glycine max (10), Solanum tuberosum (10), and Triticum aestivum L. (33). Based on previously published investigations, it has been observed that numerous plant species possess up to 33 CLC genes. Our research findings align with previous studies, highlighting the conserved origin of the CLC gene family across diverse plant species. In concordance with previous studies that have characterized eukaryotic CLC proteins as containing two hydrophilic regulatory CBS domains, we observed that all nine tomato CLC proteins harbor these domains (Figure 2). During the structural analysis of the SICLC protein, we found that the SICLCs contain a common conserved domain that was also found in other species. Our analysis also confirmed the presence of the three highly conserved amino acid motifs that are crucial for the formation of the anion conduction pathway (Nedelyaeva et al., 2020, 2023): the GxGxPE motif, which forms the selective filter in motif 10; the GKxGPxxH motif in motif 7; and the PxxGxLF motif in motif 1 (Bergsdorf et al., 2009; Wege et al., 2010). The selective filter in motif 10 is significant due to the presence of GSGxPE, which confers chloride specificity, and GPGxPE, which is responsible for nitrate specificity. Additionally, we postulate that the gating glutamate residue is located within motif 7, as in CLC proteins, the gating glutamate residue is situated within a conserved motif, GKxGPxxH. This motif is one of the three highly conserved regions in the CLC gene family, playing a crucial role in anion selectivity and transport function (Xing et al., 2020). The presence of the gating glutamate residue is a hallmark of CLC antiporters, which mediate active transport by coupling with energy consumption to move substrates against their electrochemical gradient (Zifarelli, 2022; Fortea et al., 2024).



Although we have identified the genes containing motif 1 that potentially function in monomeric and homodimeric forms, the precise roles of these forms await further functional validation in future studies.

The cis-acting elements of CLC can provide more information about the regulation profiles of the SICLC gene family. In this study, multiple cis-elements, which were identified from the promoter region of SICLC family genes, directly respond to a variety of biotic and abiotic stresses, including anoxic stress, low-temperature stress, and wound stress. Nevertheless, there were ABRE, MeJA responsive elements, auxin-responsive elements, gibberellin-responsive elements, and salicylic acid-responsive elements. Our analysis showed that the MYC was prevalent in the promoters of all SICLC genes, particularly in *SICLC08*, which has five MYC binding sites. Given MYC's role in jasmonate (JA)-mediated growth, development, and defense, it likely plays a significant part in the regulation of SICLC genes under salt stress, especially in mitigating chloride toxicity (Fu et al., 2020). Additionally, the

TABLE 2 Prediction of miRNAs targeting the SICLC genes in tomato.

miRNA_Acc.	Target_Acc.	Target site	miRNA_aligned_fragment	Alignment	Target_aligned_fragment	Inhibition
sly-miR167b-5p	Solyc01T004399.1	1086-1107	UAAAGCUGCCAGCAUGAUCUGG		UCUGGUUGGGCUGGUAGCUUUA	Cleavage
sly-miR9471a-3p	Solyc10T000071.2	1190-1210	UUGGCUGAGUGAGCAUCACGG		GUGUGGUGUUUACUCGGCUAG	Cleavage
sly-miR9471b-3p	Solyc10T000071.2	1190-1210	UUGGCUGAGUGAGCAUCACUG		GUGUGGUGUUUACUCGGCUAG	Cleavage
sly-miR167a	Solyc01T004399.1	1087-1107	UGAAGCUGCCAGCAUGAUCUA		CUGGUUGGGCUGGUAGCUUUA	Cleavage
sly-miR395a	Solyc10T000071.2	1215-1236	CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGAACUCC		CUGGUUCUCUAAAGCAUUUCAG	Cleavage
sly-miR395b	Solyc10T000071.2	1215-1236	CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGAACUCC		CUGGUUCUCUAAAGCAUUUCAG	Cleavage
sly-miR390a-5p	Solyc10T001041.2	1645-1665	AAGCUCAGGAGGGAUAGCACC		AUUGCUAUUCCUUCUGGACUC	Cleavage
sly-miR390a-5p	Solyc01T003527.1	1633-1653	AAGCUCAGGAGGGAUAGCACC		AUUGCUAUUCCCUCUGGGCUG	Translation
sly-miR9476-3p	Solyc07T000272.1	2101-2121	AAAAAGAUGCAGGACUAGACC		UUUAUAGAUCUGCAUCCUUUU	Cleavage
sly-miR166c-5p	Solyc02T001059.1	721-741	GGGAUGUUGUCUGGCUCGACA		GAUCGGGACAGGCGAGAUCUC	Cleavage
sly-miR390b-5p	Solyc01T003527.1	1633-1653	AAGCUCAGGAGGGAUAGCGCC		AUUGCUAUUCCCUCUGGGCUG	Translation
sly-miR390b-5p	Solyc10T001041.2	1645-1665	AAGCUCAGGAGGGAUAGCGCC		AUUGCUAUUCCUUCUGGACUC	Cleavage
sly-miR395a	Solyc01T003527.1	4018-4040	CUGAAGUGUU-UGGGGGAACUCC		UAUGUUCCCCCACAACACUUUAU	Translation
sly-miR395b	Solyc01T003527.1	4018-4040	CUGAAGUGUU-UGGGGGAACUCC		UAUGUUCCCCCACAACACUUUAU	Translation
sly-miR477-3p	Solyc07T002783.1	1700-1721	AGUUCUUGUAGGGUGAGACAAC		AAGGUCUCAUUUUAGAAGAUCU	Cleavage
sly-miR9469-3p	Solyc01T003527.1	4619-4639	AUUCGGUCUUCUUAUGUGGAC		CUUUAGCUGAGAAGACUGAGU	Cleavage
sly-miR9476-3p	Solyc09T002320.2	1519-1540	AAAAAGAUGCAGGA-CUAGACC		CUUCUAGGUGCUGCAUCUUUUC	Cleavage
sly-miR156d-5p	Solyc02T002924.1	383-402	UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC		GUGCUAACUUUCUGUUGACA	Cleavage
sly-miR156e-3p	Solyc01T004399.1	1676-1696	GCUUACUCUCUAUCUGUCACC		CUUCACAAAAAGAGAGUAACC	Cleavage
sly-miR156e-5p	Solyc07T000272.1	1378-1396	UGAUAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC		AUGCUGAUUUUCUU-UAUCA	Cleavage
sly-miR164a-5p	Solyc02T001059.1	637-657	UGGAGAAGCAGGGCACGUGCA		GGCGCUUGCUUUGCUUCCUUA	Cleavage
sly-miR164a-5p	Solyc02T002924.1	601-621	UGGAGAAGCAGGGCACGUGCA		GGCAGUUGCAUUGCUUCUUUA	Cleavage
sly-miR164b-5p	Solyc02T001059.1	637-657	UGGAGAAGCAGGGCACGUGCA		GGCGCUUGCUUUGCUUCCUUA	Cleavage
sly-miR164b-5p	Solyc02T002924.1	601-621	UGGAGAAGCAGGGCACGUGCA		GGCAGUUGCAUUGCUUCUUUA	Cleavage
sly-miR482d-3p	Solyc02T001059.1	210-231	UUUCCUAUUCCACCCAUGCCAA		CAAGCAUGACUGGAGAAGGAGA	Cleavage
sly-miR482e-3p	Solyc01T003527.1	4973-4994	UCUUUCCUACUCCUCCCAUACC		AUAAUGUGAAGCGUAGGAGGGA	Translation
sly-miR5302a	Solyc07T002783.1	968-988	AAACGAGGUUUGUUACUUUGG		GUGGAGUAGUAAGUGUUGUUU	Cleavage
sly-miR5302a	Solyc02T002924.1	639-659	AAACGAGGUUUGUUACUUUGG		AGACAGUUACAAACUUAGUUG	Cleavage
sly-miR5303	Solyc10T001041.2	717-737	UUUUUGAAGAGUUCGAGCAAC		AUGGCUAAAAUACUUCAAAAA	Translation
sly-miR6027-5p	Solyc10T001041.2	1859-1880	AUGGGUAGCACAAGGAUUAAUG		UGGUGAUGCUUGUUCUCCUCAU	Cleavage

SICLCs contained elements related to hormones, with MYB present in eight SICLC genes (excluding *SICLC02*) (Figure 6), therefore, CLC genes may have had some function related to plant growth and development during evolution. According to previous studies, a beneficial range of chloride (Cl⁻) applications can increase the ability of plants to tolerate drought stress (Franco-Navarro et al., 2021). Furthermore, CLCs have a relationship with the tolerance to salt and nitrate assimilation of plants (Li et al., 2024; Lundell and Biligetu, 2024). From the PPI results, we found a sodium proton antiporter NHX1 that interacted with *Sl*CLC03, *Sl*CLC06, *Sl*CLC07, and *Sl*CLC09 (Supplementary Table S5; Figure 7B), and it is worth noting that NHX1 also plays a crucial role in the developmental process and adaptation responses through phytohormonal signaling mechanisms (Ayadi et al., 2022). This also explains why these genes play a responsive role in plant hormone signaling as well. A recent study found that potatoes, closely related to tomatoes on the phylogenetic tree, were engineered to overexpress two genes from *Vitis vinifera*: *VvNHX*, a sodium/proton antiporter, and *VvCLC*, a chloride channel. The genetic modification led to enhanced plant growth, significantly improving tuber yield and quality. This indicates that the CLC and NHX genes may significantly influence tomato development (Ayadi et al., 2022).

To investigate the expression patterns of the SICLC gene family in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) under NaCl stress, this study



conducted qRT-PCR analysis on nine genes of the SICLC family. The results showed that the genes of the SICLC family genes generally exhibited an expression pattern of initial upregulation followed by downregulation under NaCl stress. However, the expression patterns of SICLC genes at various time points showed similarities with those in other species. In tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum), the expression levels of some NtCLC genes were significantly induced by salt stress (Zhang et al., 2018). In studies on soybean, where different chloride salts (MnCl₂, KCl, and NaCl) were used for treatment, GmCLC1 exhibited similar effects in alleviating the stress on yeast GEF1 mutants caused by different chloride salts (Wei et al., 2016), however, the expression patterns of the genes cannot be solely attributed to chloride ions, as different effects have been observed in the expression patterns of CsCLCs in Camellia sinensis when utilizing KCl (Xing et al., 2020). Furthermore, although the expression of SlCLC02 in the roots underwent moderate upregulation, it was still relatively low compared to other genes (Figure 9). This can be attributed to its predominant expression in leaves (Figure 8), a pattern shared by SlCLC01, SlCLC05, and SICLC07. Interestingly, SICLC05 showed significant upregulation within the first hour of NaCl stress and was closely related to AtCLCg (Figure 3), and AtCLCg has been reported to participate in plant Cl⁻ homeostasis during NaCl stress (Nguyen et al., 2016). In other studies, the expression of MhCLC-c1 was enhanced in response to NaCl stress, suggesting that these proteins are actively involved in Cl⁻ homeostasis and play a significant role in enhancing the plant's overall salinity tolerance (Song et al., 2023). We confirmed that SlCLC05 in tomato roots is highly sensitive and can rapidly respond to NaCl stress. Furthermore, we investigated SlCLC03 and SlCLC04, which are closely related to the soybean GmCLC-Aa gene (Figure 1) (Wei et al., 2016). GmCLC-Aa, the first reported member, encodes a Cl⁻/H⁺ antiporter localized to the vacuolar membrane, contributing to Cl⁻ homeostasis under salt stress (Liu et al., 2022). Both SlCLC03 and SlCLC04 exhibited relatively high expression levels, with SlCLC03 peaking rapidly at 4 hours before declining and dropping sharply after 12 hours. Given their phylogenetic relationship with AtCLCa-AtCLCd (De Angeli et al., 2006; von der Fecht-Bartenbach et al., 2010), SICLC03 and SICLC04 likely function as anion/proton antiporters and play a crucial role in rapidly responding to salt stress to maintain Cl⁻ homeostasis. SlCLC06 and SlCLC08, which are phylogenetically close to AtCLCf, are speculated to play roles in chloride detoxification under salt stress (Rajappa et al., 2024). Furthermore, AtCLCf is also confirmed to be regulated by the WRKY9 transcription factor, and increased intracellular NaCl levels can induce the translocation of AtCLCf from the Golgi apparatus to the plasma membrane. However, the specific function of SlCLC06 and SICLC08 still needs further experimental verification.

Currently, the expression patterns of the CLC gene family are highly variable and largely determined by the specific tissues sampled. The expression profiles of CLC genes are highly dependent on the sampling locations. For instance, the expression of TaCLC genes sampled from whole plants showed a downregulated expression



pattern under transient salt stress (Mao et al., 2022). In contrast, the expression of SICLC genes in plant roots initially increased and then decreased. Notably, even within the same plant, expression patterns can vary significantly among different tissues. Transcriptomic data from the TomExpress website suggest that this differential expression pattern may be attributed to the tissue-specific regulation of SICLC genes (Figure 8), similar to the expression patterns observed for *GhCLC5/16* genes in cotton (Yang et al., 2023b). Additionally, comparative synteny analysis revealed that SICLC09 does not share orthologous relationships with CLC genes from potato or *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Figure 4C). Collectively, these findings indicate that the SICLC gene family has undergone functional differentiation during its evolutionary history.

Cadmium is mobilized through the phloem, allowing it to accumulate in any part of the plant (Zulfiqar et al., 2022). It leads to a reduction in biomass and yield due to its ability to induce membrane lipid peroxidation and competition for the Ca-calmodulin binding sites between Cd and Ca ions (Haider et al., 2021). Chloride ions can form CdCl⁺, which has less adsorption than Cd²⁺ because of the high exchange selectivity of the divalent ion (Saeki and Kunito, 2012). In our study, *SlCLC01*, *SlCLC02*, and *SlCLC03* exhibited gradual increases in expression, peaking at 4 hours before declining. *SlCLC04* and *SlCLC06* showed significant upregulation after 8 hours of stress treatment, while *SlCLC05* and *SlCLC07* displayed marked increases after only 2 hours. The earlier peak expression pattern of *SlCLC05* and *SlCLC07* shows their function in the early phases of the stress response and may be related to certain defensive mechanisms. The expression of the *SlCLC08* and *SlCLC09* genes increased slowly and resulted in a stably coordinated reaction that sequesters or transports cadmium away from sensitive cellular components, which is necessary for survival. The declining expression of these genes after a peak may indicate stress-related adaptation. Adaptation to cadmium stress depends on chloride channels, notably those carried by *CLC* genes, which control chloride ion flow and hence reduce cadmium toxicity (Fu et al., 2020). *SlCLC02* and *SlCLC09* downregulation after 12 hours may indicate a shift in the plant's strategy for sustained stress control. These reactions indicate a sophisticated and adaptable regulatory network that allows plants to react to different degrees of stress over time.

5 Conclusion

In this study, we identified nine CLC family genes in tomato and analyzed their sequences and genetic structures. A qRT-PCRbased analysis showed the response patterns of the CLC genes under NaCl stress, thus providing genetic resources for studying the transportation and accumulation of Cl^- in tomato. The results indicate an intricate relationship between these genes and the plant's capability to regulate chloride ion balance (Figure 11). The



SICLC gene's promoter contains a variety of cis-acting elements, including light response, abscisic acid response, auxin response gibberellin, MeJA, salicylic acid, and anaerobic. The interactions between the proteins were strong, although *SI*CLC02 had low sensitivity to NaCl stress but it plays an important role in coordinating function with other CLC genes. *SI*CLC05 may have a physiological function in chloride homeostasis during NaCl stress due to its quick response to stress, and *SI*CLC03 and *SI*CLC04 play important roles in maintaining the balance of Cl⁻ by acting as anion/proton antiporters. Future studies must focus on supporting the computational predictions by means of lab-based experiments and more investigations into the functions performed by CLC genes in salinity tolerance. Such efforts might be very helpful in promoting the development of crop varieties with increased tolerance to environmental problems such as salinization.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

JM: Writing – original draft. SL: Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft. SZ: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. AA: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported and funded by the Qinglan Project of Jiangsu Province of China, Huai'an Municipal Basic Research Program (grant numbers HAB2024073), and the School-Level Project (2024XJY01 and 2022XJY15).

Acknowledgments

We thank the public database for the downloaded raw data. We are very grateful to the editor and reviewers for critically evaluating

the manuscript and providing constructive comments for its improvement.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

References

Almeida, P., Feron, R., De Boer, G.-J., and De Boer, A. H. (2014). Role of Na⁺, K⁺, Cl⁻, proline and sucrose concentrations in determining salinity tolerance and their correlation with the expression of multiple genes in tomato. *AoB Plants* 6, plu039–plu039. doi: 10.1093/aobpla/plu039

Ayadi, M., Chiab, N., Charfeddine, S., Abdelhedi, R., Dabous, A., Talbi, O., et al. (2022). Improved growth and tuber quality of transgenic potato plants overexpressing either NHX antiporter, CLC chloride channel, or both. *Plant Physiol. Bioch.* 189, 46–58. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2022.07.030

Bailey, T. L., Boden, M., Buske, F. A., Frith, M., Grant, C. E., Clementi, L., et al. (2009). MEME Suite: tools for motif discovery and searching. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, 202–208. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp335

Balasubramaniam, T., Shen, G., Esmaeili, N., and Zhang, H. (2023). Plants' response mechanisms to salinity stress. *Plants* 12, 2253. doi: 10.3390/plants12122253

Barbier-Brygoo, H., De Angeli, A., Filleur, S., Frachisse, J. M., Gambale, F., Thomine, S., et al. (2011). Anion channels/transporters in plants: from molecular bases to regulatory networks. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 62, 25–51. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042110-103741

Bergsdorf, E. Y., Zdebik, A. A., and Jentsch, T. J. (2009). Residues important for nitrate/proton coupling in plant and mammalian CLC transporters. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 11184–11193. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M901170200

Broadley, M., Brown, P., Cakmak, I., Ma, J. F., Rengel, Z., and Zhao, F. (2012). "Chapter 8—Beneficial elements," in *Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants*. Ed. P. Marschner (Amsterdam, Netherlands: Academic Press), 249–269. doi: 10.1016/ B978-0-12-384905-2.00008-X

Broyer, T. C., Carlton, A. B., Johnson, C. M., and Stout, P. R. (1954). Chlorine—A micronutrient element for higher plants. *Plant Physiol.* 29, 526–532. doi: 10.1104/ pp.29.6.526

Brumós, J., Colmenero-Flores, J. M., Conesa, A., Izquierdo, P., Sánchez, G., Iglesias, D. J., et al. (2009). Membrane transporters and carbon metabolism implicated in chloride homeostasis differentiate salt stress responses in tolerant and sensitive Citrus rootstocks. *Funct. Integr. Genomic.* 9, 293–309. doi: 10.1007/s10142-008-0107-6

Brumós, J., Talón, M., Bouhlal, R., and Colmenero-Flores, J. M. (2010). Cl⁻ homeostasis in includer and excluder citrus rootstocks: transport mechanisms and identification of candidate genes. *Plant Cell Environ.* 33, 2012–2027. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02202.x

Chen, C., Chen, H., Zhang, Y., Thomas, H. R., Frank, M. H., He, Y., et al. (2020). TBtools: An integrative toolkit developed for interactive analyses of big biological data. *Mol. Plant* 13, 1194–1202. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009

Colmenero-Flores, J. M., Franco-Navarro, J. D., Cubero-Font, P., Peinado-Torrubia, P., and Rosales, M. A. (2019). Chloride as a beneficial macronutrient in higher plants: new roles and regulation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 4686. doi: 10.3390/ijms20194686

Colmenero-Flores, J. M., Martínez, G., Gamba, G., Vázquez, N., Iglesias, D. J., Brumós, J., et al. (2007). Identification and functional characterization of cation-chloride cotransporters in plants. *Plant J.* 50, 278–292. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03048.x

Cubero-Font, P., Maierhofer, T., Jaslan, J., Rosales, M. A., Espartero, J., Díaz-Rueda, P., et al. (2016). Silent S-Type anion channel subunit SLAH1 gates SLAH3 open for chloride root-to-shoot translocation. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 2213–2220. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2016.06.045

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2025.1547723/ full#supplementary-material

De Angeli, A., Monachello, D., Ephritikhine, G., Frachisse, J., Thomine, S., Gambale, F., et al. (2006). The nitrate/proton antiporter AtCLCa mediates nitrate accumulation in plant vacuoles. *Nature* 442, 939–942. doi: 10.1038/nature05013

De Angeli, A., Zhang, J., Meyer, S., and Martinoia, E. (2013). AtALMT9 is a malateactivated vacuolar chloride channel required for stomatal opening in *Arabidopsis. Nat. Commun.* 4, 1804. doi: 10.1038/ncomms2815

Diédhiou, C. J., and Golldack, D. (2006). Salt-dependent regulation of chloride channel transcripts in rice. *Plant Sci.* 170, 793–800. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2005.11.014

Dutzler, R., Campbell, E. B., Cadene, M., Chait, B. T., and MacKinnon, R. (2002). Xray structure of a ClC chloride channel at 3.0 Å reveals the molecular basis of anion selectivity. *Nature* 415, 287–294. doi: 10.1038/415287a

Fortea, E., Lee, S., Chadda, R., Argyros, Y., Sandal, P., Mahoney-Kruszka, R., et al. (2024). Structural basis of pH-dependent activation in a CLC transporter. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 31, 644–656. doi: 10.1038/s41594-023-01210-5

Franco-Navarro, J. D., Brumós, J., Rosales, M. A., Cubero-Font, P., Talón, M., and Colmenero-Flores, J. M. (2016). Chloride regulates leaf cell size and water relations in tobacco plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* 67, 873–891. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv502

Franco-Navarro, J. D., Díaz-Rueda, P., Rivero-Núñez, C. M., Brumós, J., Rubio-Casal, A. E., De Cires, A., et al. (2021). Chloride nutrition improves drought resistance by enhancing water deficit avoidance and tolerance mechanisms. *J. Exp. Bot.* 72, 5246– 5261. doi: 10.1093/jtb/erab143

Fu, J., Liu, L., Liu, Q., Shen, Q., Wang, C., Yang, P., et al. (2020). ZmMYC2 exhibits diverse functions and enhances JA signaling in transgenic Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Rep.* 39, 273–288. doi: 10.1007/s00299-019-02490-2

Gasteiger, E., Hoogland, C., Gattiker, A., Duvaud, S., Wilkins, M. R., Appel, R. D., et al. (2005). "Protein identification and analysis tools on the ExPASy server," in *The Proteomics Protocols Handbook*. Ed. J. M. Walker (Humana Press, Totowa, NJ), 571– 607. doi: 10.1385/1-59259-890-0:571

Geelen, D., Lurin, C., Bouchez, D., Frachisse, J., Lelièvre, F., Courtial, B., et al. (2000). Disruption of putative anion channel gene AtCLC-a in Arabidopsis suggests a role in the regulation of nitrate content. *Plant J.* 21, 259–267. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.2000.00680.x

Geilfus, C. M. (2018). Chloride: from nutrient to toxicant. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 59, 877–886. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcy071

Guo, W., Zuo, Z., Cheng, X., Sun, J., Li, H., Li, L., et al. (2014). The chloride channel family gene *CLCd* negatively regulates pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP)-triggered immunity in *Arabidopsis. J. Exp. Bot.* 65, 1205–1215. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert484

Haider, F. U., Liqun, C., Coulter, J. A., Cheema, S. A., Wu, J., Zhang, R., et al. (2021). Cadmium toxicity in plants: Impacts and remediation strategies. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 211, 111887. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.111887

Hassani, A., Azapagic, A., and Shokri, N. (2021). Global predictions of primary soil salinization under changing climate in the 21st century. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 6663. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-26907-3

Hedrich, R., and Geiger, D. (2017). Biology of SLAC1-type anion channels - from nutrient uptake to stomatal closure. *New Phytol.* 216, 46-61. doi: 10.1111/nph.14685

Hodin, J., Lind, C., Marmagne, A., Espagne, C., Bianchi, M. W., De Angeli, A., et al. (2023). Proton exchange by the vacuolar nitrate transporter CLCa is required for plant growth and nitrogen use efficiency. *Plant Cell* 35, 318–335. doi: 10.1093/plcell/koac325

Jentsch, T. J. (2008). CLC chloride channels and transporters: from genes to protein structure, pathology and physiology. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol.* 43, 3–36. doi: 10.1080/10409230701829110

Jentsch, T. J., and Pusch, M. (2018). CLC chloride channels and transporters: structure, function, physiology, and disease. *Phy. Rev.* 98, 1493–1590. doi: 10.1152/ physrev.00047.2017

Jentsch, T. J., Steinmeyer, K., and Schwarz, G. (1990). Primary structure of *Torpedo* marmorata chloride channel isolated by expression cloning in *Xenopus oocytes*. Nature 348, 510–514. doi: 10.1038/348510a0

Johnson, C. M., Stout, P. R., Broyer, T. C., and Carlton, A. B. (1957). Comparative chlorine requirements of different plant species. *Plant Soil* 8, 337–353. doi: 10.1007/BF01666323

Jossier, M., Kroniewicz, L., Dalmas, F., Le Thiec, D., Ephritikhine, G., Thomine, S., et al. (2010). The Arabidopsis vacuolar anion transporter, AtCLCc, is involved in the regulation of stomatal movements and contributes to salt tolerance. *Plant J.* 64, 563–576. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04352.x

Li, W., Gao, S., Zhao, Y., Wu, Y., Li, X., Li, J., et al. (2024). GhCLCc-1, a chloride channel gene from upland cotton, positively regulates salt tolerance by modulating the accumulation of chloride ions. *Genes* 15, 555. doi: 10.3390/genes15050555

Li, W. F., Wong, F., Tsai, S., Phang, T., Shao, G., and Lam, H. (2006). Tonoplastlocated *GmCLC1* and *GmNHX1* from soybean enhance NaCl tolerance in transgenic bright yellow (BY)-2 cells. *Plant Cell Environ.* 29, 1122–1137. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01487.x

Liu, L. L., Li, X. F., Wang, C., Ni, Y. X., and Liu, X. Y. (2024). The role of chloride channels in plant responses to NaCl. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 25, 19. doi: 10.3390/ijms25010019

Liu, X., Liu, F., Zhang, L., Cheng, C., Wei, P., and Yu, B. (2021). *GsCLC-c2* from wild soybean confers chloride/salt tolerance to transgenic Arabidopsis and soybean composite plants by regulating anion homeostasis. *Physiol. Plantarum* 172, 1867–1879. doi: 10.1111/ppl.13396

Liu, X., Pi, B., Du, Z., Yang, T., Gu, M., Sun, S., et al. (2022). The transcription factor GmbHLH3 confers Cl⁻/salt tolerance to soybean by upregulating *GmCLC1* expression for maintenance of anion homeostasis. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 194, 104755. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2021.104755

Liu, X., Pi, B., Pu, J., Cheng, C., Fang, J., and Yu, B. (2020). Genome-wide analysis of chloride channel-encoding gene family members and identification of CLC genes that respond to Cl⁻/salt stress in upland cotton. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 47, 9361–9371. doi: 10.1007/s11033-020-06023-z

Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Luan, C., Gao, Y., Zhao, J., Zhang, X., Wang, C., Sun, W., et al. (2025). Chloride intracellular channel CLIC3 mediates fibroblast cellular senescence by interacting with ERK7. *Commun. Biol.* 8, 51. doi: 10.1038/s42003-025-07482-5

Ludewig, U., Pusch, M., and Jentsch, T. J. (1996). Two physically distinct pores in the dimeric CIC-0 chloride channel. *Nature* 383, 340–343. doi: 10.1038/383340a0

Lundell, S., and Biligetu, B. (2024). Differential gene expression of salt-tolerant alfalfa in response to salinity and inoculation by *Ensifer meliloti. BMC Plant Biol.* 24, 633. doi: 10.1186/s12870-024-05337-5

Luo, M., Zhang, Y., Li, J., Zhang, P., Chen, K., Song, W., et al. (2021). Molecular dissection of maize seedling salt tolerance using a genome-wide association analysis method. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 19, 1937–1951. doi: 10.1111/pbi.13607

Lurin, C., Geelen, D., Barbier-Brygoo, H., Guern, J., and Maurel, C. (1996). Cloning and functional expression of a plant voltage-dependent chloride channel. *Plant Cell* 8, 701–711. doi: 10.1105/tpc.8.4.701

Mao, P., Run, Y., Wang, H., Han, C., Zhang, L., Zhan, K., et al. (2022). Genome-wide identification and functional characterization of the chloride channel TaCLC gene family in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Front. Genet.* 13. doi: 10.3389/ fgene.2022.846795

Marmagne, A., Vinauger-Douard, M., Monachello, D., De Longevialle, A. F., Charon, C., Allot, M., et al. (2007). Two members of the *Arabidopsis* CLC (chloride channel) family, AtCLCe and AtCLCf, are associated with thylakoid and Golgi membranes, respectively. *J. Exp. Bot.* 58, 3385–3393. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erm187

Migocka, M., Warzybok, A., Papierniak, A., and Kłobus, G. (2013). $\rm NO_3^-/H^+$ antiport in the tonoplast of cucumber root cells is stimulated by nitrate supply: evidence for a reversible nitrate-induced phosphorylation of vacuolar $\rm NO_3^-/H^+$ antiport. *PloS One* 8, e73972. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073972

Miller, C., and White, M. M. (1980). A voltage-dependent chloride conductance channel from *Torpedo* electroplax membrane. *Ann. Ny. Acad. Sci.* 341, 534–551. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1980.tb47197.x

Muhammad, M., Waheed, A., Wahab, A., Majeed, M., Nazim, M., Liu, Y.-H., et al. (2024). Soil salinity and drought tolerance: An evaluation of plant growth, productivity, microbial diversity, and amelioration strategies. *Plant Stress* 11, 100319. doi: 10.1016/j.stress.2023.100319

Nakamura, A., Fukuda, A., Sakai, S., and Tanaka, Y. (2006). Molecular cloning, functional expression and subcellular localization of two putative vacuolar voltagegated chloride channels in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Cell Physiol.* 47, 32–42. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pci220 Nedelyaeva, O. I., Popova, L. G., Khramov, D. E., Volkov, V. S., and Balnokin, Y. V. (2023). Chloride channel family in the Euhalophyte *Suaeda altissima* (L.) pall: cloning of novel members SaCLCa2 and SaCLCc2, general characterization of the family. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 24, 941. doi: 10.3390/ijms24020941

Nedelyaeva, O. I., Popova, L. G., Volkov, V. S., and Balnokin, Y. V. (2022). Molecular cloning and characterization of SaCLCd, SaCLCf, and SaCLCg, novel proteins of the chloride channel family (CLC) from the Halophyte *Suaeda altissima* (L.) Pall. *Plants* 11, 409. doi: 10.3390/plants11030409

Nedelyaeva, O. I., Shuvalov, A. V., and Balnokin, Y. (2020). Chloride channels and transporters of the CLC family in plants. *Russ. J. Plant Physl.* 67, 767–784. doi: 10.1134/S1021443720050106

Nguyen, C. T., Agorio, A., Jossier, M., Depré, S., Thomine, S., and Filleur, S. (2016). Characterization of the chloride channel-like, AtCLCg, involved in chloride tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 57, 764–775. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcv169

Rajappa, S., Krishnamurthy, P., Huang, H., Yu, D., Friml, J., Xu, J., et al. (2024). The translocation of a chloride channel from the Golgi to the plasma membrane helps plants adapt to salt stress. *Nat. Commun.* 15, 3978. doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-48234-z

Rehman, A., Farooq, M., Lee, D.-J., and Siddique, K. H. M. (2022). Sustainable agricultural practices for food security and ecosystem services. *Environ. Sci. pollut. R.* 29, 84076–84095. doi: 10.1007/s11356-022-23635-z

Roşca, M., Mihalache, G., and Stoleru, V. (2023). Tomato responses to salinity stress: From morphological traits to genetic changes. *Front. Plant Sci.* 14. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2023.1118383

Rombauts, S., Déhais, P., Van Montagu, M., and Rouzé, P. (1999). PlantCARE, a plant cis-acting regulatory element database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 27, 295–296. doi: 10.1093/nar/27.1.295

Saeki, K., and Kunito, T. (2012). Influence of chloride ions on cadmium adsorptions by oxides, hydroxides, oxyhydroxides, and phyllosilicates. *Appl. Clay Sci.* 62–63, 58–62. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2012.04.018

Scholl, S., Hillmer, S., Krebs, M., and Schumacher, K. (2021). ClCd and ClCf act redundantly at the *trans*-Golgi network/early endosome and prevent acidification of the Golgi stack. J. Cell. Sci. 134, jcs258807. doi: 10.1242/jcs.258807

Sofy, A. R., Sofy, M. R., Hmed, A. A., Dawoud, R. A., Alnaggar, A. E. A. M., Soliman, A. M., et al. (2021). Ameliorating the adverse effects of tomato mosaic tobamovirus Infecting tomato plants in Egypt by boosting immunity in tomato plants using zinc oxide nanoparticles. *Molecules* 26, 1337. doi: 10.3390/molecules26051337

Song, J., Han, M., Zhu, X., Li, H., Ning, Y., Zhang, W., et al. (2023). MhCLC-c1, a Cl channel c homolog from *Malus hupehensis*, alleviates NaCl-induced cell death by inhibiting intracellular Cl⁻ accumulation. *BMC Plant Biol.* 23, 1–14. doi: 10.1186/ s12870-023-04270-3

Sun, H., Shen, L., Qin, Y., Liu, X., Hao, K., Li, Y., et al. (2018). *CLC-Nt1* affects *Potato Virus* Y infection via regulation of endoplasmic reticulum luminal Ph. *New Phytol.* 220, 539–552. doi: 10.1111/nph.15310

Tampieri, E., Baraldi, E., Carnevali, F., Frascaroli, E., and De Santis, A. (2011). The activity of plant inner membrane anion channel (PIMAC) can be performed by a chloride channel (CLC) protein in mitochondria from seedlings of maize populations divergently selected for cold tolerance. *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* 43, 611–621. doi: 10.1007/s10863-011-9386-z

Um, T. Y., Lee, S., Kim, J.-K., Jang, G., and Choi, Y. D. (2018). CHLORIDE CHANNEL 1 promotes drought tolerance in rice, leading to increased grain yield. Plant Biotechnol. Rep. 12, 283–293. doi: 10.1007/s11816-018-0492-9

von der Fecht-Bartenbach, J., Bogner, M., Dynowski, M., and Ludewig, U. (2010). CLC-b-mediated NO₃⁻/H⁺ exchange across the tonoplast of Arabidopsis vacuoles. Plant Cell Physiol. 51, 960–968. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcq062

von der Fecht-Bartenbach, J., Bogner, M., Krebs, M., Stierhof, Y., Schumacher, K., and Ludewig, U. (2007). Function of the anion transporter AtCLC-d in the trans-Golgi network. *Plant J.* 50, 466–474. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03061.x

Wang, Y., Tang, H., DeBarry, J. D., Tan, X., Li, J., Wang, X., et al. (2012). MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, 49. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr1293

Waterhouse, A., Bertoni, M., Bienert, S., Studer, G., Tauriello, G., Gumienny, R., et al. (2018). SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, 296–303. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky427

Wege, S., De Angeli, A., Droillard, M.-J., Kroniewicz, L., Merlot, S., Cornu, D., et al. (2014). Phosphorylation of the vacuolar anion exchanger AtCLCa is required for the stomatal response to abscisic acid. *Sci. Signal.* 7, 65. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2005140

Wege, S., Jossier, M., Filleur, S., Thomine, S., Barbier-Brygoo, H., Gambale, F., et al. (2010). The proline 160 in the selectivity filter of the *Arabidopsis* NO₃/H⁺ exchanger AtCLCa is essential for nitrate accumulation in *planta*. *Plant J*. 63, 861–869. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04288.x

Wei, P., Che, B. N., Shen, L. K., Cui, Y. Q., Wu, S. Y., Chen, C., et al. (2019). Identification and functional characterization of the chloride channel gene, *GsCLC-c2* from wild soybean. *BMC Plant Biol.* 19, 121. doi: 10.1186/s12870-019-1732-z

Wei, P., Wang, L., Liu, A., Yu, B., and Lam, H. M. (2016). *GmCLC1* confers enhanced salt tolerance through regulating chloride accumulation in soybean. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01082

White, P. J., and Broadley, M. R. (2001). Chloride in soils and its uptake and movement within the plant: a review. *Ann. Bot.* 88, 967–988. doi: 10.1006/anbo.2001.1540

Wu, H. (2018). Plant salt tolerance and Na $^+$ sensing and transport. Crop J. 6, 215–225. doi: 10.1016/j.cj.2018.01.003

Wu, H., and Li, Z. (2019). The importance of Cl⁻ exclusion and vacuolar Cl⁻ sequestration: revisiting the role of Cl⁻ transport in plant salt tolerance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10, doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01418

Wu, Y., Yuan, J., Shen, L., Li, Q., Li, Z., Cao, H., et al. (2025). A phosphorylationregulated NPF transporter determines salt tolerance by mediating chloride uptake in soybean plants. *EMBO J.* 44:923–946. doi: 10.1038/s44318-024-00357-1

Xing, A., Ma, Y., Wu, Z., Nong, S., Zhu, J., Sun, H., et al. (2020). Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the CLC superfamily genes in tea plants (*Camellia sinensis*). *Funct. Integr. Genomic.* 20, 497–508. doi: 10.1007/s10142-019-00725-9

Xu, G., Magen, H., Tarchitzky, J., and Kafkafi, U. (1999). "Advances in chloride nutrition of plants," in *Advances in Agronomy*. Ed. D. L. Sparks (Amsterdam, Netherlands: Academic Press), 97–150. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2113(08)60844-5

Yang, T., Liu, X., Cheng, C., Pi, B., and Yu, B. (2023b). GhCLC5/16 genes participate in the regulation of Cl—salinity tolerance in seedlings of different upland cotton cultivars. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 211, 105373. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2023.105373

Yang, Y., Wu, F., and Zhou, X. (2013). Protein expression in accessions of Chinese onion with different allelopathic potentials under monocropping and

intercropping systems. Acta Physiol. Plant 35, 2241-2250. doi: 10.1007/s11738-013-1260-7

Yang, Z., Zhang, X., Ye, S., Zheng, J., Huang, X., Yu, F., et al. (2023a). Molecular mechanism underlying regulation of *Arabidopsis* CLCa transporter by nucleotides and phospholipids. *Nat. Commun.* 14, 4879. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-40624-z

Zhang, H., Jin, J., Jin, L., Li, Z., Xu, G., Wang, R., et al. (2018). Identification and analysis of the chloride channel gene family members in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*). *Gene* 676, 56–64. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2018.06.073

Zhou, H., Shi, H., Yang, Y., Feng, X., Chen, X., Xiao, F., et al. (2024). Insights into plant salt stress signaling and tolerance. *J. Genet. Genomics* 51, 16–34. doi: 10.1016/j.jgg.2023.08.007

Zhou, C., Wang, H., Zhu, J., and Liu, Z. (2013). Molecular cloning, subcellular localization and functional analysis of *ThCLC-a* from *Thellungiella halophila*. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 31, 783–790. doi: 10.1007/s11105-012-0545-0

Zifarelli, G. (2022). The role of the lysosomal Cl⁻/H⁺ antiporter ClC-7 in Osteopetrosis and Neurodegeneration. Cells 11, 366. doi: 10.3390/cells11030366

Zouine, M., Maza, E., Djari, A., Lauvernier, M., Frasse, P., Smouni, A., et al. (2017). TomExpress, a unified tomato RNA-Seq platform for visualization of expression data, clustering and correlation networks. *Plant J.* 92, 727–735. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13711

Zulfiqar, U., Jiang, W., Xiukang, W., Hussain, S., Ahmad, M., Maqsood, M. F., et al. (2022). Cadmium phytotoxicity, tolerance, and advanced remediation approaches in agricultural soils; a comprehensive review. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.773815