Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Muthusamy Ramakrishnan, Nanjing Forestry University, China

REVIEWED BY Fozia Saeed, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Pakistan Jingbo Yu, Tea Research Institute (CAAS), China

*CORRESPONDENCE Huanxiu Li Mihuanxiu@sicau.edu.cn

RECEIVED 07 March 2025 ACCEPTED 26 May 2025 PUBLISHED 13 June 2025

CITATION

Ali B, Kumar S, Sui X, Niu J, Yang J, Zheng M, Tang Y and Li H (2025) Exogenous acetylsalicylic acid mitigates cold stress in common bean seedlings by enhancing antioxidant defense and photosynthetic efficiency. *Front. Plant Sci.* 16:1589706. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2025.1589706

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Ali, Kumar, Sui, Niu, Yang, Zheng, Tang and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Exogenous acetylsalicylic acid mitigates cold stress in common bean seedlings by enhancing antioxidant defense and photosynthetic efficiency

Barkat Ali¹, Sujon Kumar², Xiyu Sui¹, Jianpo Niu¹, Junqi Yang¹, Mengni Zheng¹, Yi Tang¹ and Huanxiu Li^{1*}

¹College of Horticulture, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu, China, ²Triticeae Research Institute, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu, China

Cold stress severely limits the growth and productivity of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) seedlings, particularly during early development. Exogenous application of acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) has proven to be an effective strategy for enhancing cold tolerance. This study investigates the usefulness of exogenous ASA in enhancing cold tolerance in common bean seedlings exposed to cold stress of 5°C for 12 and 24 hours, along with a control (0h). ASA treatments (1 mM and 2 mM) significantly improved critical physiological and biochemical parameters, including photosynthesis, chlorophyll and carotenoid concentrations, oxidative stress markers, malondialdehyde, electrical conductivity, total soluble proteins (MDA, EC, SP), and antioxidant enzyme activity. Under cold stress, ASA2 constantly outperformed the other treatments. Following a 12-hour period, ASA2 showed increased chlorophyll concentrations (8.88%) and augmented Chl a levels (21.25%), alongside reducing MDA by 24.96% and SP by 67.1%. After 24 h, ASA2 demonstrated a slight increase in chlorophyll (4.26%) and raised Chl a (25.33%), with a significant reduction in MDA (16.5%) and SP (68.3%). ASA1 showed enhancements, mainly in Chl b (39.89% at 12 h) and antioxidant enzymes, with notable increases in SOD (113.17% at 12 h) and POD (110.98% at 12 h). Correlation studies indicated significant positive relationships between antioxidant enzyme activity such as, superoxide dismutase, catalase, peroxidase, ascorbate peroxidase (SOD, CAT, POD, and APX) and photosynthetic efficiency. Principal component analysis (PCA) identified ASA2 as the most effective treatment for enhancing stress resilience, accounting for the largest variance in membrane integrity and reduction of oxidative stress. Network analysis further confirmed that ASA2 strengthened the connections between photosynthesis and antioxidant activity, with more resilient and interconnected nodes indicating improved stress adaptability. At 2 mM, ASA upregulated antioxidant genes (APX1, POD1, SODC) and photosynthesis genes (RbcS1, PsbS, POR), reducing cold-induced oxidative stress and preserving chloroplast function, thereby enhancing cold tolerance and crop resilience under climate stress.

KEYWORDS

common bean, cold stress, acetylsalicylic acid, physiochemical activity, antioxidant enzymes

Introduction

The common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) is a nutritionally rich legume (Bitocchi et al., 2012; Hiz et al., 2014), containing high levels of protein, dietary fiber, complex carbohydrates, essential vitamins, minerals, and phytochemicals with antioxidant properties (Rodriguez Madrera et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2023b). Since the mid-20th century, climate change has become a global issue, significantly impacting in the agriculture (Toth et al., 2021). Abiotic stressors increasingly threaten crop yields, potentially reducing global agricultural output (Hirayama and Shinozaki, 2010; Rhaman et al., 2021). Cold stress, in particular, poses severe challenges by hindering plant growth, development, and photosynthesis (Liu et al., 2019).

In common beans, these abiotic stress effects are amplified: photosynthetic efficiency declines 70% compared to maize and tomatoes under cold-stress, while membrane damage is 2-3 times more severe (Sánchez-Reinoso et al., 2018; Mombeni and Abbasi, 2019; Naeem et al., 2020; Iqbal, 2023; Qiao et al., 2024). Common beans are highly vulnerable to low temperatures, with cold injury occurring within 0 to 15°C range (Luo Tong et al., 2005; Miura and Furumoto, 2013; Lv et al., 2023a, Lv et al., 2023a). Symptoms of cold stress include visible signs such as chlorophyll loss, wilting, chlorosis, and necrosis (Bracale and Coraggio, 2003; Saibo et al., 2009). These symptoms transform to catastrophic yield losses after 48 to 72 hours at 10°C, a stress duration that causes only moderate damage in other vegetables (Liu et al., 2019; Vargas et al., 2021; Xue et al., 2023). Prolonged exposure to cold can gradually alter cell structure, reduce photosynthetic efficiency, and increase the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), exacerbating damage to plant tissues (Allen and Ort, 2001; Thomashow, 2001; Lv et al., 2023a). Plants have developed mechanisms that primarily involve activating antioxidant systems to neutralize the harmful effects of ROS. Enzymes like CAT, POD, SOD, and APX help maintain cellular homeostasis by eliminating ROS and protecting cell membranes from oxidative stress (Miura and Furumoto, 2013; Das and Roychoudhury, 2014).

Recent research increasingly highlights the role of plant growth regulators (PGRs), as salicylic acid (SA) in enhancing plant stress tolerance (Gautam and Singh, 2009; AbdElgawad et al., 2016; Tabassum et al., 2017). These substances, including SA and osmoprotectants, safeguard cell membranes and the photosynthetic system against environmental damage (Foyer and Noctor, 2003). The phenolic compound SA is well-known for its ability to regulate plant growth and development while boosting resistance to both abiotic and biotic stresses (Khan et al., 2003, Tariq Khan et al., 2012). SA enhances plant resilience to environmental stress, facilitating essential processes such as photosynthesis, proline metabolism, and ROS scavenging (Khan et al., 2003; Saleh et al., 2007; Simaei et al., 2012; Miura and Tada, 2014; Ruelland, 2017). Acetylsalicylic acid (ASA), commonly known as aspirin, has a similar molecular structure to SA and has been extensively studied in plant biology for its mechanisms in mitigating stress (Senaratna et al., 2000; Canakci and Munzuroğlu, 2007; Soliman et al., 2018a).

ASA improves plant tolerance to cold stress by upregulating antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT, APX) through NPR1-dependent and -independent pathways, reducing H2O2 accumulation by 40% to 60% within 24 h (Daneshmand et al., 2010b; Miura and Tada, 2014; Soliman et al., 2018a). ASA maintains membrane integrity by enhancing phospholipidogenesis and inhibiting lipid peroxidation, as evidenced by a 30% to 50% reduction in Malondialdehyde (MDA) levels compared to untreated plants (Samadi et al., 2019; Li et al., 2022). ASA activates the CBF/DREB1 cascade, leading to a 2-3-fold increase in proline accumulation and enhanced synthesis of cryoprotectants (Kabiri and Naghizadeh, 2015; Soliman et al., 2018a), while stabilizing photosystem II through HSP70 induction, reducing the decrease in Fv/Fm under cold conditions by 35-45% (Soliman et al., 2018a). In tomato, SA activated PSII protection mechanisms mediated by EIN3-like proteins involving HSP21 and ascorbic acid (Zhang et al., 2023a; Sperdouli et al., 2024). The effects of ASA on cold stress resistance are similar to those of other plant growth regulators, such as jasmonic acid (JA) and abscisic acid (ABA). JA and ABA are recognized for their regulation of stress response genes and modulation of antioxidant defense mechanisms (de Ollas and Dodd, 2016; Yoon et al., 2020; Margay et al., 2024). ASA exhibits a unique mechanism of action, especially in its ability to simultaneously regulate photosynthetic efficiency and antioxidant pathways. The roles of JA and ABA in regulating stress-induced gene expression and stomatal control have been extensively studied (de Ollas and Dodd, 2016; Li et al., 2024), while the effects of ASA on photosynthesis under cold stress have received relatively little attention. This study highlights the dual function of ASA: protecting the photosynthetic system and enhancing antioxidant defense mechanisms. Despite these protective effects of ASA, its tissue-specific metabolism in coldsensitive crops such as common beans is still not well understood and requires further investigation.

Compared to traditional osmoprotectants that require high concentrations (10–100 mM) (Hayat et al., 2012), ASA is effective at relieving stress at minimal concentrations (1–2 mM) due to its stable acetyl group and rapid absorption (Daneshmand et al., 2010a; Kabiri and Naghizadeh, 2015; Soliman et al., 2018a; Matysiak et al., 2020). Empirical evidence suggests that SA increases survival by 20– 30% compared to SA alone, which is attributed to its triple mechanism: direct scavenging of ROS (Saleem et al., 2021), membrane stabilization (Moussa and Abdel-Aziz, 2008), and activation of stress genes after hydrolysis to SA (Miura and Tada, 2014). The rapid conversion of ASA can be cleverly combined with cold waves, providing effective protection for high-value legumes, which cannot be met by bulky protectants. Despite its high cost, its low dosage and broad-spectrum efficacy make it a promising cold protection agent in the agricultural field.

Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the effects of exogenous ASA on improving the tolerance of common bean seedlings to cold stress. This study focused on the effects of ASA on antioxidant enzyme activities, membrane integrity, photosynthetic efficiency, and physiological parameters under cold stress conditions. The aim was to explore whether the application of ASA could alleviate cold stress damage and enhance plant resistance, thereby providing a practical method to ensure crop yield under climate change.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

The present study was conducted in a controlled growth chamber at the College of Horticulture, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu, China. The cold-sensitive common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cultivar 'Bai-Bulao' was used, obtained from the Department of Horticulture, Olericulture Section. The controlled environment allowed precise regulation of growth conditions to assess the physiological effects of exogenous ASA on common bean seedlings under cold stress.

Procedure for preparing and sowing seeds

Common bean seeds were soaked in water for 24 hours to facilitate maximum growth. After swelling, the seeds were positioned on Petri dishes lined with damp filter paper to promote germination. Upon reaching a length of roughly 0.5 cm, the white root tips were sown into 32-hole plug trays containing a substrate mixture of peat, vermiculite, and perlite in a 2:1:1 ratio. The trays were subsequently positioned in a growth chamber regulated at 25°C, subjected to a 12-hour light-dark cycle with a light intensity of 30,000 lx. Irrigation was conducted every 48 hours after seedling emergence to promote optimal growth.

Procedure for preparing and applying ASA

Crystals of ASA with a purity of 99.5% (KESHI Company, China) were used in this experiment. Two concentrations (1 mM, 2 mM) of ASA were prepared along with a control group (ASA0), according to the methods of (Soliman et al., 2018a; Wang et al., 2022). For these concentrations the pH was adjusted to 4.5–5 using 0.05 M NaOH and 0.05 M HCl. The experiment was conducted under three different conditions 0, 12 and 24 hours. Once the seedlings reached the stage of having two leaves and one heart stage, the ASA solutions (1 mM, 2 mM) were applied to the leaves using a hand sprayer. Every seedling was drenched until individual droplets started to run off. The seedlings in the non-ASA control group (ASA0) were treated only with distilled water. Triple replications were conducted for each treatment, including the control, under all three conditions normal growth and cold stress of 12 and 24 hours.

Treatment with cold stress and sample collection

Twenty-four hours following ASA treatment, the seedlings were exposed to cold stress at a temperature of 5°C for different periods of time (12 and 24 hours). The control groups were sustained at ambient temperature without exposure to cold stress (ASAO). In a completely randomized design (CRD), treatments were organized with three replicates per condition. Biochemical and physiological analysis were conducted on fresh samples obtained from the normal growth seedlings (0h) and at 12 and 24 hours of cold stress. Additional samples were preserved at -80°C for subsequent investigations.

Measurement of physiochemical parameters

Photosynthetic indices

Photosynthesis is the process in which plants convert light energy into chemical energy, producing glucose and oxygen from CO_2 and water. Crucial parameters comprise Pn, Gs, Ci, Tr and chlorophyll content (*Chl, Chl a, Chl b*), which reflect CO_2 fixation, gas exchange, and light absorption. During stress, photosynthesis is frequently diminished owing to abridged enzyme activity and pigment injury. Evaluating these parameters supports in measuring stress influences and the efficiency of treatments like ASA in sustaining photosynthetic effectiveness. Photosynthesis (Pn) and associated gas exchange parameters (Pn, Gs, Ci, Tr) were quantified using the (LI-6400XT, Lincoln, NE, USA). Only seedlings exhibiting consistent development and intact leaves were chosen for assessment as given by (Anwar et al., 2018).

Chlorophyll estimation

Chlorophyll is accountable for light absorption in photosynthesis, which reflects the plants photosynthetic capability. Chlorophyll quantification was performed by preparing a 95% ethanol solution and pouring it into 10 ml tubes. Each tube contained approximately 0.20 g of vein-free leaf samples, which were then stored in the absence of light for around 16 hours until the samples turned bright white. Following that, 300 microliters of each tube's solution were moved to an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) plate and looked at with a spectrophotometer at 470, 649, and 665 nm. The following formulas was used to quantify chlorophyll as given by (Arnon, 1949).

Chl a content
$$(\frac{mg}{g})$$

= (13.950D665 - 6.880D649) × V/(W × 1000)

Chl b content
$$(\frac{mg}{g}) = (24.960D649 - 7.320D665) \times \frac{V}{W \times 1000}$$

 $Cx.c = (10000D470 - 2.05Ca - 114Cb) \times V/(245 \times W \times 1000)$

Whereas Chl a and Chl b are the concentrations of chlorophyll a and b, respectively and Cx.c is the total concentrations of carotenoids

Assessment of membrane integrity and lipid peroxidation

The effects of cold on membrane integrity and lipid peroxidation were assessed by measuring relative conductivity and MDA levels. Relative conductivity indicates ion leakage and membrane integrity under stress. A leaf disk weighing 0.20 g was immersed in 10 ml of deionized water and the initial electrical conductivity (EC *a*) was measured. After heating the tubes at 100°C for 30 min, the final electrical conductivity (EC *b*) was assessed. Electrolyte leakage (EL) was determined as a percentage using the formula established by: (Jan et al., 2018).

$$Electrolyte \ leakage = \ \frac{(EC_b - EC_a)}{EC_C} \times 100$$

The variables EC a, EC b, and EC c denote the initial conductivity, conductivity after water bath, and total conductivity, respectively.

MDA is an indicator of lipid peroxidation and membrane damage and is measured to assess oxidative stress. For MDA assay, 1 ml of enzyme extract was mixed with 0.65% thiobarbituric acid (TBA) in 20% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) solution. The solution was heated in a 100° C water bath for 20 minutes, then cooled and centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 20 min. Quantify the absorbance at 532 nm, 600 nm, and 450 nm and determine the MDA concentration according to the following formula: (Tiwari et al., 2010).

$$MDA \ \mu mol/gFW = \frac{(C \times V \times 10 - 3)}{W}$$
$$C \ (\mu mol/L) = 6.45 \ \times (OD532 - OD600 - 0.56 \ \times \ OD450$$

Whereas V is the total volume of extract solution (ml); C is the concentration of MDA and W is the fresh weight (g).

Total soluble proteins

Total soluble proteins (SP) display firmness and metabolic activity during stress. The Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 staining technique was employed to quantitatively identify soluble proteins. A volume of 100 μ l of the supernatant was combined with 3 ml of Coomassie reagent and allowed to incubate for a duration of 2 min. The absorbance was then measured at a wavelength of 595 nm. The G-250 reagent was synthesized by dissolving 100 mg of G-250 in 50 ml of 90% ethanol, followed by the addition of 100 ml of 85% phosphoric acid. The total volume was then adjusted to 1000 ml. The following formula was used to quantify total soluble proteins as given by (Arora and Wisniewski, 1994).

Y pro $(\mu g) = 143.450D + 3.6$ (raw) 0r 143.560D + 1.28(park)

Protein content =
$$(\frac{mg}{gFW}) = (3 \times Y \times V)/5 \times 1000 \times a \times W$$

Where Y-reticle value μg ; V is total volume of enzyme solution = 3ml; a = 0.1ml; W = 0.2g.

Antioxidant enzymes estimation

To evaluate the activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD), catalase (CAT), and ascorbate peroxidase (APX), 0.2 g of fresh plant samples were homogenized in 1 ml of ice-cold phosphate buffer (0.05 M, pH 7.8). The mixture was washed with an additional 1 ml of buffer, transferred to a centrifuge tube, and adjusted to a final volume of 5 ml with buffer. The homogenate was centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 20 min at $0-4^{\circ}$ C, and the supernatant was stored at 4° C for future enzyme testing.

SOD activity was measured by evaluating its ability to hinder the photochemical reduction of nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT). A reaction mixture was produced consisting of 0.3 ml 0.75mM *NBT*, 0.3ml 130mM methionine, 0.3ml 0.02mM riboflavin, 0.3ml 0.1mM EDTA-Na2 and 0.25ml distilled water pH 7.8. 30 μ l of enzyme extract were added to 3 ml of this mixture. The mixture was placed under fluorescent light at 4,000 lux for 30 min, while control samples were kept in the dark. Absorbance was quantified at 560 nm, and SOD activity was determined using the formula established by: (Beauchamp and Fridovich, 1971).

Total SOD activity(
$$\mu/g \ FW$$
)
= $[(Ack - AE)] \times V]/(0.5Ack \times W \times Vt)$

Whereas Ack is the absorbance of the light control tube; AE is the absorbance of the sample tube; V is the total volume of the sample solution; Vt is the sample dosage (ml) at the time of determination and W is the sample weight at the time of measurement.

POD activity was assessed using guaiacol as a substrate. The reaction mixture consisted of 0.1 M phosphate *buffer* (pH 6.0), 28 μ l guaiacol, and 19 μ l 30% H₂O₂. To 3 ml of this mixture, 100 μ l of enzyme extract was added. The absorbance at 470 nm was recorded at 30 s intervals over 3 min, and POD activity was determined using the method established by: (Quintanilla-Guerrero et al., 2008; Muñoz-Muñoz et al., 2009).

Total activity of $POD(\Delta OD470m - 1g - 1FW)$ = $(\Delta OD \times V)/(a \times W \times t)$

Whereas V = 5ml; a = 0.1ml; W = 0.2g and t = 0.5 min

CAT activity was assessed by observing the decomposition of H_2O_2 at a wavelength of 240 nm. Prepare a reaction mixture containing 0.1M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and 5 ml of 0.1M H_2O_2 . To 3 ml of this mixture, 100 µl of enzyme extract was added. Absorbance was measured at 0, 1, 2, and 3 min, and catalase activity was determined using the formula established by: (Aebi, 1984).

CAT total activity ($\Delta OD240min - 1g - 1FW$) = ($\Delta OD240 \times V$)/($a \times W \times t$) Whereas V = 5ml; a = 0.1ml and W = 0.2g.

APX activity was assessed by quantifying the oxidation of ascorbic acid at 290 nm. Prepare a reaction mixture using 122 ml of mother liquor A ($Na_2HPO4\cdot12H_2O$), 78 ml of mother liquor B ($NaH_2 PO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$), 56.8 µl of H_2O_2 and 0.0352 g of ascorbic acid. To 3 ml of this mixture, 100 µl of enzyme extract was added. The absorbance at 290 nm was recorded at 30 s intervals over 3 min, and APX activity was determined using the formula established by: (Nakano and Asada, 1981).

APX total activity = $[\Delta a 290 \times VT]/(W \times Vs \times 0.1 \times t)(\mu g - 1)$

 Δ A290 is the change of absorbance; W is the fresh weight of the sample (0.2g); t is the reaction time (min); Vt is the total volume of enzyme solution (5ml) and Vs is the volume of the enzyme solution taken during the measurement (0.1ml).

Expression of gene related to cold stress

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from the leaves of each treatment group using the RaPure Total RNA Plus Kit (Guangzhou Meiji Biotechnology Co., Ltd., China; http://www.magentec.com.cn), according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration was measured using a Nano-Drop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed using the PrimeScriptTM RT Reagent Kit with the gDNA Eraser (TaKaRa, Maebashi, Japan), following the manufacturers protocol. Gene-specific primers were designed using Primer Premier 5. Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) was conducted using the iScience SYBR Green I qPCR Mix on a CFX96 Real-Time PCR System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). β -Actin was employed as the reference gene for normalization, and relative gene expression levels were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Expression differences in response to various ASA treatments were analyzed using R software (version 4.4.0). RT-qPCR was performed with three independent technical replicates and three biological replicates. The specific primer sequences for the 14 target genes are provided in Supplementary Table S2.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses of photosynthetic and biochemical parameters were performed using R software (version 4.4.0) for Windows. A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the least significant difference (LSD) test was employed to assess significant differences among treatment means at a 95% confidence level ($P \le 0.05$). Results are presented as mean ± standard error (SE) based on three independent biological replicates.

Results

Following cold stress, we observed variations in plant phenotypes between the treated and untreated seedlings (Figure 1a). The control plants thrived, and ASA facilitated the growth of bean seedlings at the normal temperature. The plants exhibited considerable wilting, possessed pliable petioles, and displayed twisted and desiccated leaves. Seedlings treated with ASA exhibited milder symptoms under cold stress, characterized by minor dehydration of the leaves and slight wilting at the edges.

Acetylsalicylic acid enhanced photosynthetic parameters

Photosynthesis is an important process in plants because it generates the energy needed for growth, development, and stress response. Under stress conditions such as cold stress, photosynthetic efficiency is often reduced, limiting the plant's ability to absorb carbon and manage water. Therefore, understanding the effects of treatments like acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) on photosynthesis during stress is critical to enhance plant resilience and efficacy.

After cold stress, the photosynthetic parameters (Pn, Gs, Ci and Tr) of ASA-treated bean seedlings changed significantly, as shown in Figure 2. Under standard growth conditions (Figure 2a), photosynthetic parameters changed significantly among ASA0, ASA1 and ASA2. The Pn, Gs, Ci, and Tr values of ASA0 were significantly high compared with ASA1 and ASA2. ASA1 exhibits the lowest values on all parameters, while ASA2 exhibits superior performance compared to ASA1, but is still inferior to ASA0. The results showed that these treatments affected gas exchange and photosynthesis under standard conditions, with the photosynthetic activity of ASA0 (control) exceeding that of the ASA treatment (p < 0.05).

During cold stress, ASA treatment showed a significant increase in photosynthetic efficiency. After 12 h of cold stress (Figure 2b), Pn (119.05%), Gs (147.89%), Ci (113.14%), and Tr (133.33%) were significantly increased in ASA2 compared with ASA0 (100%), while ASA1 only showed a slight increase of 114.29% (p < 0.05). These enhancements became evident after 24 h of cold stress (Figure 2c), with significant increases (p < 0.05) in Pn (146.90%), Gs (152.63%), Ci (112.66%), and Tr (166.88%) of ASA2 compared to ASA0 (100%). ASA1 showed moderate enhancement in Pn (121.90%), Gs (130.79%), Ci (106.73%), and Tr (124.91%) compared with ASA0 (100%). While ASA1 showed some improvements in photosynthesis metrics, these improvements were significantly less pronounced than ASA2. The results clearly showed that 2 mM ASA was superior to ASA1 and ASA0 in alleviating cold stress and improving gas exchange efficiency (Supplementary Table S1a).

ASA shielded chlorophyll under cold stress

Chlorophyll is the green pigment found in plants and is essential for photosynthesis because it captures light energy and



FIGURE 1

Effect of exogenous ASA on the phenotype of common bean seedlings during 0, 12, and 24 hours of cold stress. Seedlings were treated with 0 mM (ASA0), 1 mM (ASA1), and 2 mM (ASA2) acetylsalicylic acid and then exposed to cold stress (5 °C) for 0, 12, and 24 hours. Phenotypic differences were visibly observed, with ASA-treated plants at 2 mM showing better tolerance, less wilting, and improved leaf turgor compared to the untreated control (ASA0) under cold stress conditions.

converts it into chemical energy. This process is crucial to the development and sustainability of the plant. Carotenoids are a unique class of pigments that promote light absorption and provide protection against oxidative damage, especially under stressful conditions such as cold stress. Maintaining chlorophyll and carotenoid levels during stress is crucial in maintaining plant health and resilience. This study evaluated the effects of ASA0, ASA1 and ASA2 treatments on chlorophyll and carotenoid levels under standard growth conditions (0 h) and during 12 and 24 h cold stress. Our results demonstrated the impact of these treatments on chlorophyll concentrations and their potential to improve recovery under cold stress.

Under standard growth conditions, both ASA1 and ASA2 treatments significantly increased chlorophyll and carotenoid concentrations compared to the control (ASA0). ASA2 specifically

increased total chlorophyll by 20.21% and total carotenoids by 33.33%, while ASA1 increased *Chl b* by 19.70% and *Chl a* by 20.48% as shown in Figure 3 (p < 0.05). These findings suggest that ASA treatment can have a positive effect on pigment production even in the absence of stress. After 12 hours of cold stress, ASA2 showed a significant capacity to maintain chlorophyll content, with a slight increase of 8.88% relative to ASA0. Furthermore, ASA2 preserved *Chl a* content with a significant increase of 21.25% (Figure 3c). In contrast, the total chlorophyll content increased significantly by 27.41% (p < 0.05) for ASA1 and 39.89% for *Chl b* as shown in Figure 3a (p < 0.05). These findings highlight the efficacy of ASA1 in rapidly increasing chlorophyll levels during initial cold stress, but ASA2 excels in maintaining pigment stability. After 24 h of cold stress, the effects of ASA1 and ASA2 diverge. ASA1 significantly increased total chlorophyll by 31.01%, indicating a continued



beneficial effect on chlorophyll synthesis under long-term cold stress (Figure 3a). ASA2 moderately increased total chlorophyll by 4.26% (p < 0.05) while effectively maintaining *Chl a* levels by 25.33% (Figure 3c). *Chl b* of ASA1 significantly increased by 41.76% as shown in Figure 3d (p < 0.05), thus enhancing its function of increasing pigment content under long-term stress.

These findings emphasize the specific benefits of ASA1 and ASA2 in increasing chlorophyll concentrations during cold stress. ASA2 was characterized by a superior ability to maintain chlorophyll and carotenoid levels during the first 12 h of cold stress, but ASA1 showed a more pronounced ability to increase chlorophyll content and *Chl b* after 24 h of cold stress. This suggests that ASA1 may be superior at enhancing pigment synthesis over long-term stress, but ASA2 is good at maintaining pigment integrity under stress conditions. Both ASA treatments ultimately enhanced resistance to cold stress by enhancing photosynthetic pigments, indicating their potential use in enhancing plant tolerance to environmental stress.

ASA mitigated oxidative stress and maintained membrane integrity

Oxidative stress in plants can significantly damage cellular structures, leading to membrane damage, increased ion leakage, and reduced protein levels. Malondialdehyde (MDA) is a major indicator of oxidative stress and represents membrane lipid peroxidation. Electrical conductivity (EC) indicates membrane integrity, while soluble protein (SP) quantifies cellular protein concentration, which is critical for stress response. Maintaining membrane integrity and protein concentration is critical for improving cold stress recovery.

Under standard growth conditions (0 h), ASA0 had the highest levels of oxidative stress markers: EC, MDA, and SP, all established at 100% of baseline values. ASA1 reduced MDA by 2.02% and SP by 70.2%; however, these reductions were relatively small (Figure 4). In contrast, ASA2 showed the greatest reduction (p < 0.05) in both MDA (39.23%) and SP (65.1%), indicating a stronger protection



b (Chlb) in common beans under cold stress. Measurements were taken under normal conditions (0 h), 12 h, and 24 h of cold stress.

against oxidative damage and a more significant increase in protein content. ASA2 showed enhanced efficacy in attenuating oxidative damage after 12 hours of cold stress. MDA levels were reduced by 24.96%, EC by 4.25%, and SP by 67.1% (p < 0.05), indicating the ability of ASA2 to maintain membrane integrity and protein levels under stress (Figure 4). In contrast, the effect of ASA1 was small, with only a 1.6% reduction in MDA and a 3.14% reduction in SP, neither of which reached statistical significance (p < 0.05), indicating that the efficacy of ASA1 during early cold stress is limited. After 24 h of cold stress, ASA2 showed superior performance, reducing MDA by 16.5%, SP by 68.3%, and EC by 16.9% (p < 0.05), highlighting its ability to maintain cell function during long-term cold stress (Figure 4). In contrast, ASA1 showed the smallest decrease, with only SP showing a statistically significant decrease (p < 0.05). These findings highlight the function of ASA2 in maintaining membrane integrity and protecting cellular proteins during prolonged cold stress.

In conclusion, ASA2 showed a significantly enhanced ability to reduce oxidative stress markers (MDA and EC) and maintain SP, particularly under cold stress conditions. Although ASA1 was advantageous, its effect was reduced, exclusively during prolonged cold stress (24 h). The findings indicate that ASA2 is more effective in enhancing cold stress tolerance by significantly reducing oxidative damage and maintaining cell function, making it a possible option for enhancing plant resistance under adverse environmental conditions

ASA boosted antioxidant enzymes activity

Plants rely on antioxidant enzymes like SOD, POD, CAT, and APX to mitigate oxidative damage during stress. These enzymes are crucial for neutralizing ROS and protecting cellular components. The ability of plants to initiate these responses is critical for survival under adverse climatic conditions such as cold stress.

Under standard growth conditions, ASA2 significantly increased the activities of all evaluated antioxidant enzymes. Relative to the control treatment (ASA0), SOD activity increased by 52.64%, POD by 188.98%, and CAT by 106.7% (Figures 5 a-c). The observed results suggest that ASA2 is particularly effective in enhancing antioxidant defense in non-stressful situations. ASA1 showed significant increases, especially in POD (83.98%) and CAT (58.27%). Nonetheless, the APX activity of ASA2 was moderately but significantly reduced (6.29%) compared with ASA0, suggesting that there may be a trade-off in APX activity when other antioxidant enzymes are enhanced. ASA2 showed enhanced antioxidant activity after 12 h of cold stress. SOD increased by 30.96%, POD by 157.77%, and CAT by 118.49% (p < 0.05), all of which were



significantly higher than the levels recorded in ASA1. ASA1 showed a more significant increase in SOD (113.17%) and POD (110.98%), although the increase in CAT (55.74%) was smaller than that of ASA2. Both treatments showed significant decreases in APX activity, with ASA1 decreasing by 31.73% and ASA2 decreasing by 37.03% (Table S1b), consistent with oxidative stress responses in cold environments. ASA2 significantly enhanced antioxidant enzyme activity after 24 h of exposure to cold. SOD increased by 51.06%, POD by 87.49%, and CAT by 7.8% (*p* < 0.05), indicating its continued ability to enhance oxidative stress defense throughout the stress process. In contrast, ASA1 showed a small enhancement in SOD (18.84%) and POD (65.3%), along with a significant decrease in CAT activity of 49.05% (p < 0.05). Notably, APX activity was significantly enhanced by ASA2 by 31.88%, offsetting the decrease noted previously for both treatments during the initial cold shock (Figure 5d).

The results showed that ASA2 was significantly better than ASA1 in enhancing antioxidant enzyme activity, particularly during long-term cold stress. The increase in SOD, POD, and CAT activities under normal and cold stress environments indicates that ASA2 can effectively stimulate antioxidant defense. APX activity was significantly enhanced (31.88%) after 24 h of cold stress, emphasizing the ability of ASA2 to enhance overall oxidative stress resistance. Although ASA1 can enhance antioxidant defense, it is not as effective as ASA2, especially under continuing cold stress. The atypical trend in the data specifically, the first decrease in APX activity followed by the resurgence of ASA2–24 h later suggests a complex regulatory process that may require modulation of many antioxidant pathways under stress conditions. ASA2 is a more effective treatment for alleviating oxidative stress and promoting cold stress tolerance, providing a feasible strategy to improve plant resilience

Correlation between antioxidant enzymes and photosynthesis

Correlation matrix demonstrates the effect of ASA treatment on the balance between photosynthetic efficiency and oxidative stress defense.

In the control (Figure 6a), photosynthetic parameters (Pn, Gs, Tr) were positively correlated with photosynthetic pigments (*Chl, Chl a, Chl b*), emphasizing their importance in photosynthesis. Nonetheless, antioxidant enzymes (SOD, POD) were negatively correlated with these parameters, indicating that oxidative stress impedes photosynthesis. MDA and SP were negatively correlated, highlighting the deleterious effects of oxidative stress. ASA1 increases photosynthetic efficiency, as evidenced by the strong



association with soluble protein (SP) and photosynthetic parameters (Figure 6b). Antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT, and APX) are positively correlated with pigments, indicating enhanced oxidative defense capabilities. Nonetheless, oxidative stress still exists because MDA and EC adversely affect photosynthesis. ASA2 showed the most substantial association. Antioxidant enzymes (SOD, POD, CAT, APX) and photosynthetic parameters (Pn, *Chl, Chl a, Chl b*) showed significant positive correlations, indicating that ASA2 has an excellent ability to enhance photosynthesis and mitigate oxidative damage (Figure 6c). The negative correlation between MDA and essential photosynthetic parameters suggests that ASA2 can effectively alleviate oxidative stress.

ASA2 is the most effective treatment for enhancing photosynthesis and antioxidant defense while reducing oxidative stress. ASA1 had certain advantages but could not completely alleviate oxidative damage, while ASA0 showed obvious signs of stress.

Principal component analysis

Principal component analysis was performed between all physiochemical parameters for ASA0 (without ASA) and ASA (ASA1 Mm and ASA2 Mm) treated seedlings under both normal

growth (0h) and cold stress of 12 and 24 hours (Figure 7). In the control treatment, PC1 accounted for 62.99% of the variance, indicating a strong negative correlation between MDA and EC, indicating membrane instability and oxidative damage (Figure 7a). Photosynthetic factors (Pn, Tr, Chl) had a favorable effect on PC1; however, their effects were attenuated under stress, indicating impaired photosynthetic performance in the absence of ASA. PC2 accounted for 17.46% of the variance and was related to antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT, POD), but its effect was rather small. Oxidative stress had a more pronounced effect on control plants, resulting in significant deterioration in physiological performance. In ASA1 (Figure 7b), PC1 accounted for 58.46% of the variance, showing a strong positive correlation with antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT, POD, APX) and photosynthetic parameters (Pn, Chl, Chl a). This indicates that ASA1 significantly improves photosynthetic efficiency and antioxidant defense. Negative correlations with MDA and EC indicate reduced oxidative stress. PC2 accounted for 21.01% of the variance, focusing on soluble proteins and gas exchange, thus emphasizing the importance of protein stability and gas exchange in the stress response. ASA1 enhances plant recovery by alleviating oxidative stress and enhancing physiological functions. In ASA2 (Figure 7c), PC1 accounted for 53.66% of the variation and showed strong connections with antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT, POD, APX) and photosynthetic parameters (Pn, Chl, Chl a, Chl b). This suggests



that ASA2 optimizes the enhancement of photosynthesis and oxidative defense. MDA and EC were negatively correlated with PC1, indicating the absence of oxidative damage. PC2 represented 21.57% of the variance and showed changes in Gs, Ci, and SP, emphasizing the importance of protein stability and stomatal function in stress responses. ASA2 significantly reduces oxidative damage while improving overall plant performance.

PCA results indicated that ASA2 provided the fairest enhancement, improving photosynthesis and antioxidant defense while reducing oxidative stress. ASA1 also improves photosynthesis and antioxidant activity, but the effect is less pronounced than ASA2. The control (ASA0) clearly shows that in the absence of treatment, oxidative stress significantly impairs physiological function.

Network analysis

Network analysis comparing ASA0 and ASA1 showed a more robust positive correlation between antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT, APX) and photosynthetic parameters (Pn, *Chl*, SP) in ASA1, as evidenced by the larger green nodes (Figure 8a) This suggests that ASA1 can enhance photosynthetic efficiency and antioxidant defense. The reduction in the number and size of red nodes (representing MDA and EC) in ASA1 implies reduced oxidative stress and reduced membrane instability relative to the control (ASA0). ASA1 treatment improved plant physiological performance by enhancing antioxidant activity and photosynthetic activity, as evidenced by enhanced green node connectivity. In the comparison of ASA0 and ASA2, ASA2 exhibits a significantly stronger network. Prominent green nodes representing antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT) and photosynthetic parameters (Pn, Chl) exhibit tight interconnections, indicating that ASA2 provides enhanced protection by improving antioxidant defense and photosynthetic efficiency (Figure 8b). The reduction in the number of MDA and EC red nodes and their limited connectivity suggests that the effects of oxidative stress are greatly attenuated in ASA2treated plants compared to ASA0. The strong correlation between antioxidant enzymes and photosynthetic indicators further confirms that ASA2 is highly effective in mitigating oxidative stress and improving overall plant performance.





Network analysis of physicochemical parameters across different ASA treatments. The network illustrates the degree of interaction between (a) ASAO-ASA1 and (b) ASAO-ASA2. The size of each node corresponds to the strength of interactions. The green color represents the strength of positive and red color represent negative interactions.

Network analysis showed that ASA2 most broadly enhances antioxidant defense and photosynthetic efficiency while significantly reducing oxidative stress. ASA1 enhances plant stress resistance; however, its effect is less dramatic than ASA2, as evidenced by the reduction in the number and size of green nodes. In the absence of treatment, ASA0 exhibits elevated oxidative stress and decreased physiological performance.

ASA upregulates antioxidant and photosynthetic genes in cold-stressed common bean

Cold stress significantly reduced the expression of genes related to antioxidant defense, chlorophyll, and photosynthesis in common bean seedlings. Though, exogenous ASA alleviated these possessions in a concentration-dependent manner. Fold change analysis showed that antioxidant-related genes, including APX1, POD1, and SODC, were significantly upregulated under ASA treatment, APX1 expression increased more than 2-fold and nearly 3-fold under ASA1-CS and ASA2-CS treatments, respectively, compared with cold-stressed control seedlings (ASA0-CS), indicating enhanced ROS scavenging ability. Similarly, ASA significantly alleviated the cold-stress-induced repression of photosynthesis genes RbcS1, PsbS, and POR, with the expression of these genes under ASA2-CS treatment increasing more than 2- to 4-fold compared with that under ASA0-CS treatment. In addition, the expression of chlorophyll metabolismrelated genes, including CHLH, CAO, and NYC1, increased 2- to 3fold after ASA treatment compared with the cold stress control. These results indicated that ASA, especially at 2 mM concentration, significantly enhanced the expression of stress-responsive genes, thereby enhancing antioxidant defense and maintaining photosynthetic efficiency under cold stress conditions (Figure 9).

Heatmap depicts differential expression of essential genes related to photosynthesis, antioxidant defense, and chlorophyll metabolism in four treatments (ASA1-CS, ASA2-CS, ASA0-CS, and ASA0-NT). Warm tones (red) indicate upregulation, while cool tones (blue) indicate downregulation. Genes such as *RbcS1*, *CHLH*, and *PsbS* were significantly upregulated under ASA0-CS and ASA0-NT treatments, indicating enhanced photosynthesis activity under untreated and control conditions. In contrast, antioxidant-related genes such as *SODC*, *APXT*, and *POD1* showed enhanced expression under ASA1-CS and ASA2-CS treatments, indicating that ASA treatment enhanced antioxidant defense mechanisms under cold stress. The expression patterns suggest that ASA, especially at 1 mM and 2 mM concentrations, is able to regulate gene networks that mitigate the adverse effects of cold stress on common bean seedlings (Figure 10).

Discussion

In this study exogenous acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) significantly improved the cold stress tolerance of common bean seedlings, indicating that it has the potential to be an effective method to alleviate abiotic stress. Cold stress significantly reduces the photosynthetic efficiency, enzymatic activity, and membrane stability of plants (Banerjee and Roychoudhury, 2019; Ali et al., 2022), and ASA has been shown to have the potential to alleviate these adverse effects (Figure 11).

ASA and photosynthesis

Cold stress usually reduces photosynthetic rate by limiting stomatal conductance and impairing chlorophyll stability (Fu et al., 2016; Bhattacharya, 2022). This study showed that application of 2 mM concentration of ASA (ASA2) could maintain higher photosynthetic parameters (Pn, Gs, Ci, Tr) under cold stress, indicating that ASA has a protective effect on photosynthesis. ASA2 can maintain chlorophyll (Chl, Chl a, Chl b) and carotenoids (Cx.c), which are essential for light absorption and energy conversion. This is consistent with the findings that SA can stabilize the photosynthetic apparatus, thereby improving light energy utilization (Moustakas et al., 2023; Sperdouli et al., 2024). Similarly, ASA2 maintained chlorophyll content, increasing chl a by 21.25% and chl content by 8.88%. This finding was also confirmed by the study demonstrated that SA treatment can alleviate oxidative damage and photoinhibition under stress conditions (Yang et al., 2019). At lower concentrations (ASA1, 1 mM), ASA increased the levels of photosynthetic pigments, indicating that low doses can also be effective, as pointed out by (Daneshmand et al., 2010b). Similarly, ascorbic acid treatment in wheat enhanced the responses of photosynthetic pigments, osmoprotectants, and antioxidant enzymes to salt stress (Siddiqui et al., 2018). Correspondingly, several plant growth regulators, including jasmonic acid (JA) and abscisic acid (ABA), have been documented to increase chlorophyll content and photosynthetic efficiency under stress conditions (Awan et al., 2021; Tariq et al., 2022).

ASA and oxidative stress mitigation

Cold stress leads to the formation of ROS, which cause oxidative damage to cell membranes, proteins, and lipids (Dreyer and Dietz, 2018; Juan et al., 2021; Manasa S et al., 2022). MDA, an indicator of lipid peroxidation, and EC decreased in seedlings treated with ASA under cold stress (MDA decreased by 39.23% after 12 h and by 24.96% after 24 h), confirming the protective role of ASA in maintaining membrane integrity. This is consistent with earlier studies, showed that SA and other plant growth regulators (PGRs) mitigate oxidative damage by enhancing membrane stability (Paul et al. 2024; Kaya et al., 2023). Similarly, Studies have shown that exogenous SA can alleviate salt stress in mustard plants by enhancing their growth, physiological and biochemical parameters, and antioxidant enzyme activities (Islam et al., 2023). The reduction in EC further confirmed the improved membrane stability, as increased EC levels are associated with cell damage and membrane permeability (Sun et al., 2020; Gurova and Denisyuk, 2021).



in plants.

ASA and antioxidant enzyme activities

Regulating the activity of antioxidant enzymes is the key mechanism by which ASA reduces oxidative damage. In the current study ASA1 (1 mM) significantly increased SOD activity by 113.17% after 12 hours of cold stress, while ASA2 increased the activity of POD and CAT by 157.77% and 118.49% respectively after 24 hours. The increase in the activity of SOD, CAT and POD is associated with the enhancement of ROS scavenging ability and the reduction of oxidative damage (Gill and Tuteja, 2010; Azarabadi et al., 2017; Fujita and Hasanuzzaman, 2022). The increase in CAT and POD activities may indicate an alteration in the antioxidant pathways, thereby enhancing the detoxification of ROS under cold stress (Valizadeh-Kamran et al., 2018). Similar changes in antioxidant processes have been observed in other studies on PGR treatments, in which SA and JA enhance stress responses by reconfiguring antioxidant pathways (Khan et al., 2020; Ghassemi-Golezani and Farhangi-Abriz, 2021; Sabagh et al., 2021; Samanta and Roychoudhury, 2025). Although SA has been documented to affect antioxidant enzyme activities, other plant growth regulators, like JA and ABA, have also been shown to affect the response of the antioxidant system to abiotic stress (Saxena et al., 2019; Tariq et al., 2022).

ASA-mediated antioxidant-photosynthesis crosstalk

Principal component and network studies showed that ASA treatment, especially ASA2, promoted a synchronized response between antioxidant defense and photosynthetic efficiency under cold stress. In both ASA1 and ASA2 treatments, there were significant positive correlations between antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT, APX) and photosynthetic parameters (Pn, Chl, SP), suggesting a mechanistic interaction: enhanced ROS scavenging capacity (SOD) could protect the structure and function of chloroplasts, thereby maintaining CO2 uptake and chlorophyll integrity The interaction between antioxidants and photosynthesis was reflected in the reduction of oxidative stress indicators (MDA, EC) and the enhancement of physiological performance in ASA-treated seedlings. The SOD-Pn interaction showed that superoxide dismutation is essential for maintaining electron transport efficiency and reducing photooxidative damage. ASA2 showed the most resilient integrated network, indicating its enhanced ability to maintain membrane stability and photosynthetic function under cold stress. These results confirm previous studies that SA derivatives enhance plant stress resilience by enhancing antioxidant capacity and optimizing photosynthetic efficiency (Farouk et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2023).



During cold stress, APX activity unveiled a biphasic response to ASA treatments. In seedlings treated with ASA1 and ASA2, APX activity decreased significantly after 12 h, with a more decrease at the 2 mM ASA treatment. This early inhibition may

indicate a transient alteration in antioxidant defense mechanisms, in which ASA affects the redox environment and redistributes ROS scavenging functions between various enzymes (Caverzan et al., 2012; Rajput et al., 2021). Consistent with recent studies,



FIGURE 11

Schematic representation of ASA-induced enhancement of cold stress tolerance in plants. Exogenous application of acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) improves plant growth under cold stress conditions (5° C). ASA treatment enhances physiological and biochemical processes, including: (1) photosynthesis parameters (Pn: net photosynthetic rate, Gs: stomatal conductance, Ci: intercellular CO₂ concentration, Tr: transpiration rate), (2) chlorophyll content (Chl, Cx-c; Chla, Chlb); (3) antioxidant enzyme activities (SOD, superoxide dismutase; POD, peroxidase; CAT, catalase; APXm ascorbate peroxidase), and (4) biochemical indicators such as soluble protein (SP). Meanwhile, cold-induced damage indicators like Malondialdehyde (MDA) and electrolyte conductivity (EC) are reduced. These responses contribute to improved cold stress tolerance and plant growth.

APX is markedly sensitive to the cellular redox environment and may be transiently inhibited when other pathways, such as SOD or POD, are temporarily favored to control acute oxidative surges (Gill and Tuteja, 2010; Sharma et al., 2012). Remarkably, APX activity was significantly enhanced under ASA2 treatment at 24 h of cold stress, indicating that its activation was time-dependent. This pattern suggests that ASA may first inhibit APX activity as a rapid defense response but then reactivate it to maintain a sustained antioxidant balance during plant adaptation to prolonged cold stress (Duan et al., 2012). This suggests that ASA2 can provide a more durable and stable antioxidant defense under long-term cold stress, which is consistent with previous research results (Wu et al., 2024). SA analogs such as ASA are recognized for their ability to modulate gene expression and enzyme activity through redox-sensitive signaling pathways and hormone interactions (Mittler, 2002; Koornneef et al., 2008; Caarls et al., 2015; Khan et al., 2015), which may play a role in the subsequent enhancement of APX. The temporal regulation of APX activity during ASA treatment suggests the existence of a dynamic and coordinated antioxidant response, which improves the cold stress tolerance of common bean seedlings.

Gene expression modulation by ASA

At the molecular level, ASA regulates the expression of essential genes related to photosynthesis and antioxidant defense. Cold stress (ASA0-CS) reduced the expression of multiple genes related to ROS detoxification, such as APX1, POD1, and SODC, leading to increased ROS levels and oxidative damage (Karami-Moalem et al., 2018; Gorpenchenko et al., 2023). However, ASA treatment, especially 2 mM concentration of ASA (ASA2-CS), counteracted these effects by upregulating the expression of antioxidant genes and enhancing ROS scavenging ability. Similar results were obtained with the exogenous application of abscisic acid (ABA) which enhanced antioxidant enzyme activities and related gene expressions, particularly SOD and POD, thereby improving plant tolerance to cold-induced oxidative stress (Guo et al., 2012). SA analogs and ASA can enhance the expression of antioxidant genes in response to cold stress (Akçay et al. 2024; Soliman et al., 2018a). In addition to antioxidant genes, ASA also restored the expression of genes related to photosynthesis (RbcS1, PsbS, and POR), emphasizing its function in protecting the photosynthetic apparatus under stress. Studies have shown that SA mitigates aluminum toxicity in alfalfa by maintaining chloroplast integrity and enhancing the expression of photosynthetic genes (Cheng et al., 2020). Similarly exogenous hemin can alleviate Cd stress in maize by promoting leaf photosynthesis (Piao et al., 2022). These findings are consistent with studies in other legumes, including mung bean and broad bean, in which PGRs enhanced the expression of genes related to stress tolerance (Tuteja et al., 2010; Reddy et al., 2012; Divi et al., 2016; Büyük and Aras, 2017).

Conclusion

In summary, the utilization of ASA, particularly at a dose of 2 mM (ASA2), presents considerable promise for enhancing cold stress resilience in common bean seedlings. ASA not only maintained photosynthetic efficiency and chlorophyll integrity but also reduced oxidative damage by regulating antioxidant enzyme activity and gene expression. These results align with prior research on SA and other PGRs, indicating that ASA may serve as an effective means to bolster plant resilience against abiotic stressors. Subsequent investigations should concentrate on the intricate molecular processes through which ASA influences gene expression and enzymatic activity to enhance its utilization in agricultural contexts. Furthermore, comparing the effects of ASA with those of other plant growth regulators such as *JA* and *ABA* may yield additional insights into their synergistic roles in stress tolerance.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

BA: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SK: Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. XS: Writing – review & editing. JN: Writing – review & editing. JY: Writing – review & editing. MZ: Writing – review & editing. YT: Investigation, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. HL: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by Breeding Research in Vegetables (2021YFYZ0022) and the Sichuan Innovation Team Project of National Modern Agricultural Technology System (SCCXTD-2024-5).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank to the Sichuan Innovation Team Project of National Modern Agricultural Technology System (SCCXTD- 2024-5), Breeding Research in Vegetables (2021YFYZ0022) for funding, and the College of Horticulture (Olericulture section) Team for the technical support (Akçay et al., 2024).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative Al statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

References

AbdElgawad, H., Zinta, G., Hegab, M. M., Pandey, R., Asard, H., and Abuelsoud, W. (2016). High salinity induces different oxidative stress and antioxidant responses in maize seedlings organs. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00276

Aebi, H. (1984). "13] catalase in vitro," in Methods in enzymology (Elsevier: Academic press), 121-126.

Akçay, U.Ç., Kumbul, H. N., and Erkan, İ.E. (2024). Salicylic acid improves cold and freezing tolerance in pea. *Harran Tarım ve Gıda Bilimleri Dergisi* 28, 321–334. doi: 10.29050/harranziraat.1440738

Ali, K., Zaghum, M. J., Ali, Z., Javaid, M. U., Qayyum, M. U., and Raza, A. (2022). Chilling stress effects on structure, function and development of different plant processes. *Acta Sci. Agric.* 6, 52–58. doi: 10.31080/ASAG.2022.06.1098

Allen, D. J., and Ort, D. R. (2001). Box 1. Disruption of circadian regulation by a chill. *Trends Plant Sci.* 6, 36–42. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01808-2

Anwar, A., Bai, L., Miao, L., Liu, Y., Li, S., Yu, X., et al. (2018). 24-epibrassinolide ameliorates endogenous hormone levels to enhance low-temperature stress tolerance in cucumber seedlings. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19, 2497. doi: 10.3390/ijms19092497

Arnon, D. I. (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in beta vulgaris. *Plant Physiol.* 24, 1–15. doi: 10.1104/pp.24.1.1

Arora, R., and Wisniewski, M. E. (1994). Cold acclimation in genetically related (sibling) deciduous and evergreen peach (Prunus persica [L.] Batsch)(II. A 60-kilodalton bark protein in cold-acclimated tissues of peach is heat stabl and related to the dehydrin family of proteins). *Plant Physiol.* 105, 95–101. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.1.95

Awan, S. A., Khan, I., Rizwan, M., Zhang, X., Brestic, M., Khan, A., et al. (2021). Exogenous abscisic acid and jasmonic acid restrain polyethylene glycol-induced drought by improving the growth and antioxidative enzyme activities in pearl millet. *Physiol. Plant* 172, 809–819. doi: 10.1111/ppl.v172.2

Azarabadi, S., Abdollahi, H., Torabi, M., Salehi, Z., and Nasiri, J. (2017). ROS generation, oxidative burst and dynamic expression profiles of ROS-scavenging enzymes of superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) in response to Erwinia amylovora in pear (Pyrus communis L). *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 147, 279–294. doi: 10.1007/s10658-016-1000-0

Banerjee, A., and Roychoudhury, A. (2019). Cold stress and photosynthesis. *Photosynthesis productivity Environ. Stress* 27-37. doi: 10.1002/9781119501800.ch2

Beauchamp, C., and Fridovich, I. (1971). Superoxide dismutase: improved assays and an assay applicable to acrylamide gels. *Anal. Biochem.* 44, 276–287. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(71)90370-8

Bhattacharya, A. (2022). Effect of low temperature stress on photosynthesis and allied traits: A review. *Physiol. processes Plants under low temperature Stress*, 199–297. doi: 10.1007/978-981-16-9037-2

Bitocchi, E., Nanni, L., Bellucci, E., Rossi, M., Giardini, A., Zeuli, P. S., et al. (2012). Mesoamerican origin of the common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) is revealed by sequence data. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 109, E788–E796. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1108973109

Bracale, M., and Coraggio, I. (2003). "Chilling and freezing stresses in plants: cellular responses and molecular strategies for adaptation," in *Abiotic stresses in plants* (Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands), 23–51.

Büyük, İ., and Aras, S. (2017). Genome-wide in silico identification, characterization and transcriptional analysis of the family of growth-regulating factors in common bean

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2025.1589706/ full#supplementary-material

(Phaseolus vulgaris L.) subjected to polyethylene glycol-induced drought stress. Arch. Biol. Sci. 69, 5-14. doi: 10.2298/ABS160204033B

Caarls, L., Pieterse, C. M., and Van Wees, S. C. (2015). How salicylic acid takes transcriptional control over jasmonic acid signaling. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 170. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00170

Canakci, S., and Munzuroğlu, O. (2007). Effects of acetylsalicylic acid on germination, growth and chlorophyll amounts of cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) seeds. *Pakistan J. Biol. sciences: PJBS* 10, 2930–2934. doi: 10.3923/pjbs.2007.2930.2934

Caverzan, A., Passaia, G., Rosa, S. B., Ribeiro, C. W., Lazzarotto, F., and Margis-Pinheiro, M. (2012). Plant responses to stresses: Role of ascorbate peroxidase in the antioxidant protection. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* 35, 1011–1019. doi: 10.1590/s1415-47572012000600016

Cheng, X., Fang, T., Zhao, E., Zheng, B., Huang, B., An, Y., et al. (2020). Protective roles of salicylic acid in maintaining integrity and functions of photosynthetic photosystems for alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) tolerance to aluminum toxicity. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 155, 570–578. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.08.028

Daneshmand, F., Arvin, M., and Kalantari, K. M. (2010a). Acetylsalicylic acid ameliorates negative effects of NaCl or osmotic stress in Solanum stoloniferum in *vitro. Biol. plantarum* 54, 781-784. doi: 10.1007/s10535-010-0142-8

Daneshmand, F., Arvin, M. J., and Kalantari, K. M. (2010b). Acetylsalicylic acid (Aspirin) induces salinity and osmotic tolerance in Solanum acaule. *vitro*. 54 (1), 52–64.

Das, K., and Roychoudhury, A. (2014). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and response of antioxidants as ROS-scavengers during environmental stress in plants. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 2, 53. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2014.00053

de Ollas, C., and Dodd, I. C. (2016). Physiological impacts of ABA-JA interactions under water-limitation. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 91, 641–650. doi: 10.1007/s11103-016-0503-6

Divi, U. K., Rahman, T., and Krishna, P. (2016). Gene expression and functional analyses in brassinosteroid-mediated stress tolerance. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 14, 419–432. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12396

Dreyer, A., and Dietz, K. J. (2018). Reactive oxygen species and the redox-regulatory network in cold stress acclimation. *Antioxidants (Basel)* 7, 169. doi: 10.3390/antiox7110169

Duan, M., Ma, N.-N., Li, D., Deng, Y.-S., Kong, F.-Y., Lv, W., et al. (2012). Antisensemediated suppression of tomato thylakoidal ascorbate peroxidase influences antioxidant network during chilling stress. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 58, 37–45. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2012.06.007

Farouk, S., Elhindi, K. M., and Alotaibi, M. A. (2020). Silicon supplementation mitigates salinity stress on Ocimum basilicum L. via improving water balance, ion homeostasis, and antioxidant defense system. *Ecotoxicology Environ. Saf.* 206, 111396. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.111396

Foyer, C. H., and Noctor, G. (2003). Redox sensing and signalling associated with reactive oxygen in chloroplasts, peroxisomes and mitochondria. *Physiologia plantarum* 119, 355–364. doi: 10.1034/j.1399-3054.2003.00223.x

Fu, J., Gates, R. N., Xu, Y., and Hu, T. (2016). Diffusion limitations and metabolic factors associated with inhibition and recovery of photosynthesis following cold stress in Elymus nutans Griseb. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biol.* 163, 30–39. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2016.08.008

Fujita, M., and Hasanuzzaman, M. (2022). Approaches to enhancing antioxidant defense in plants (MDPI: Antioxidants).

Gautam, S., and Singh, P. K. (2009). Salicylic acid-induced salinity tolerance in corn grown under NaCl stress. *Acta physiologiae plantarum* 31, 1185–1190. doi: 10.1007/ s11738-009-0338-8

Ghassemi-Golezani, K., and Farhangi-Abriz, S. (2021). Plant responses to exogenous salicylic and jasmonic acids under drought stress. *Jasmonates salicylates Signaling Plants*, 65–85.

Gill, S. S., and Tuteja, N. (2010). Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 48, 909–930. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.08.016

Gorpenchenko, T. Y., Veremeichik, G. N., Shkryl, Y. N., Yugay, Y. A., Grigorchuk, V. P., Bulgakov, D. V., et al. (2023). Suppression of the HOS1 gene affects the level of ROS depending on light and cold. *Life* 13, 524. doi: 10.3390/life13020524

Guo, W., Chen, R., Gong, Z., Yin, Y., Ahmed, S., and He, Y. (2012). Exogenous abscisic acid increases antioxidant enzymes and related gene expression in pepper (Capsicum annuum) leaves subjected to chilling stress. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 11, 4063–4080. doi: 10.4238/2012.September.10.5

Gurova, T. A., and Denisyuk, S. G.. (2021). The relationship of cell membrane permeability of wheat seedlings with resistance of the variety (IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental ScienceIOP Publishing) (2021) 042034.

Hayat, H., Hayat, H., AlYemeni, M., Wani, A., Pichtel, J., and Aqil Ahmad, A. A. (2012). Role of proline under changing environments: a review. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 7 (11), 456–114.

Hirayama, T., and Shinozaki, K. (2010). Research on plant abiotic stress responses in the post-genome era: past, present and future. *Plant J.* 61, 1041–1052. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04124.x

Hiz, M. C., Canher, B., Niron, H., and Turet, M. (2014). Transcriptome analysis of salt tolerant common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) under saline conditions. *PloS One* 9, e92598. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0092598

Iqbal, N. (2023). Ethylene-dependent effects of fusaric acid and fumonisin B1 on photosynthetic activity and reactive oxygen *species* metabolism in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) leaves (Szegedi Tudomanyegyetem (Hungary): Doctoral dissertation).

Islam, S., Shah, S. H., Corpas, F. J., Alamri, S., and Mohammad, F. (2023). Plant growth regulators mediated mitigation of salt-induced toxicities in mustard (Brassica juncea L.) by modifying the inherent defense system. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 196, 1002–1018. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2023.02.053

Jan, S., AlYemeni, M. N., Wijaya, L., Alam, P., Siddique, K. H., and Ahmad, P. (2018). Interactive effect of 24-epibrassinolide and silicon alleviates cadmium stress via the modulation of antioxidant defense and glyoxalase systems and macronutrient content in Pisum sativum L. seedlings. *BMC Plant Biol.* 18, 146. doi: 10.1186/s12870-018-1359-5

Juan, C. A., Perez de la Lastra, J. M., Plou, F. J., and Perez-Lebena, E. (2021). The chemistry of reactive oxygen species (ROS) revisited: outlining their role in biological macromolecules (DNA, lipids and proteins) and induced pathologies. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 4642. doi: 10.3390/ijms22094642

Kabiri, R., and Naghizadeh, M. (2015). Exogenous acetylsalicylic acid stimulates physiological changes to improve growth, yield and yield components of barley under water stress condition. *J. Plant Physiol. Breed.* 5, 35–45.

Karami-Moalem, S., Maali-Amiri, R., and Kazemi-Shahandashti, S. S. (2018). Effect of cold stress on oxidative damage and mitochondrial respiratory properties in chickpea. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 122, 31–39. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2017.11.011

Kaya, C., Ugurlar, F., Ashraf, M., and Ahmad, P. (2023). Salicylic acid interacts with other plant growth regulators and signal molecules in response to stressful environments in plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 196, 431–443. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2023.02.006

Khan, N., Bano, A., Ali, S., and Babar, M. A. (2020). Crosstalk amongst phytohormones from planta and PGPR under biotic and abiotic stresses. *Plant Growth Regul.* 90, 189–203. doi: 10.1007/s10725-020-00571-x

Khan, M. I. R., Fatma, M., Per, T. S., Anjum, N. A., and Khan, N. A. (2015). Salicylic acid-induced abiotic stress tolerance and underlying mechanisms in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 462. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00462

Khan, W., Prithiviraj, B., and Smith, D. L. (2003). Photosynthetic responses of corn and soybean to foliar application of salicylates. *J. Plant Physiol.* 160, 485–492. doi: 10.1078/0176-1617-00865

Koornneef, A., Leon-Reyes, A., Ritsema, T., Verhage, A., Den Otter, F. C., Van Loon, L., et al. (2008). Kinetics of salicylate-mediated suppression of jasmonate signaling reveal a role for redox modulation. *Plant Physiol.* 147, 1358–1368. doi: 10.1104/ pp.108.121392

Li, X., Huang, D. M., and Lin, X. F. (2024). Interlinked regulator loops of ABA and JA respond to salt and drought stress in Caragana korshinskii. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 225, 105829. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2024.105829

Li, X., Yang, Q., Liao, X., Tian, Y., Zhang, F., Zhang, L., et al. (2022). A natural antisense RNA improves chrysanthemum cold tolerance by regulating the transcription factor DgTCP1. *Plant Physiol.* 190, 605–620. doi: 10.1093/plphys/kiac267

Liu, C., Yang, X., Yan, Z., Fan, Y., Feng, G., and Liu, D. (2019). Analysis of differential gene expression in cold-tolerant vs. cold-sensitive varieties of snap bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) in response to low temperature stress. *Genes Genomics* 41, 1445–1455. doi: 10.1007/s13258-019-00870-2

Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2– $\Delta\Delta$ CT method. *methods* 25, 402–408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Luo Tong, L. T., Ma DanWei, M. D., Deng WuYuan, D. W., and Chen Fang, C. F. (2005). Effects of low temperature on physiological indices of Jatropha curcas. *Chin. J. Oil Crop Sci.* 27, 50–54, 58.

Lv, N., Wang, C.-P., Zhou, H.-T., Guo, C.-J., Zhang, H.-Y., and Ren, D.-Y. (2023a). 1-Methylcyclopropene alleviates postharvest chilling injury of snap beans by enhancing antioxidant defense system. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.* 61, 283–293. doi: 10.17113/ftb

Manasa S, L., Panigrahy, M., Panigrahi, K. C., and Rout, G. R. (2022). Overview of cold stress regulation in plants. *Botanical Rev.* 88, 359–387. doi: 10.1007/s12229-021-09267-x

Margay, A. R., Mehmood, A., and Bashir, L. (2024). Review on hormonal regulation of drought stress response in plants. *Int. J. Plant Soil Sci.* 36, 902–916. doi: 10.9734/ ijpss/2024/v36i84921

Matysiak, K., Siatkowski, I., Kierzek, R., Kowalska, J., and Krawczyk, R. (2020). Effect of foliar applied acetylsalicilic acid on wheat (L.) under field conditions. *Agronomy-Basel* 10, 1918. doi: 10.3390/agronomy10121918

Mittler, R. (2002). Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. Trends Plant Sci. 7, 405–410. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(02)02312-9

Miura, K., and Furumoto, T. (2013). Cold signaling and cold response in plants. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 14, 5312–5337. doi: 10.3390/ijms14035312

Miura, K., and Tada, Y. (2014). Regulation of water, salinity, and cold stress responses by salicylic acid. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00004

Mombeni, M., and Abbasi, A. (2019). Biochemical responses of some common bean (L.) genotypes to drought stress. J. Agric. Sci. Technol. 21, 407-421.

Moussa, H. R., and Abdel-Aziz, S. M. (2008). Comparative response of drought tolerant and drought sensitive maize genotypes to water stress. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* 1, 31–36.

Moustakas, M., Sperdouli, I., Moustaka, J., Sas, B., Isgoren, S., and Morales, F. (2023). Mechanistic insights on salicylic acid mediated enhancement of photosystem II function in oregano seedlings subjected to moderate drought stress. *Plants (Basel)* 12, 518. doi: 10.3390/plants12030518

Muñoz-Muñoz, J., García-Molina, F., García-Ruiz, P., Arribas, E., Tudela, J., García-Cánovas, F., et al. (2009). Enzymatic and chemical oxidation of trihydroxylated phenols. *Food Chem.* 113, 435–444. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.07.076

Naeem, M., Traub, J. R., Athar, H. U. R., and Loescher, W. (2020). Exogenous calcium mitigates heat stress effects in common bean: a coordinated impact of photoprotection of PSII, up-regulating antioxidants, and carbohydrate metabolism. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum* 42, 1–13. doi: 10.1007/s11738-020-03171-4

Nakano, Y., and Asada, K. (1981). Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbatespecific peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 22, 867–880. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a076232

Paul, A., Kakoti, M., Dutta, P., Hazarika, B., Robertson, A., Talukdar, N., et al. (2024). Role of salicylic acid in mitigating stress and improving productivity of crops: A review. *J. Adv. Biol. Biotechnol.* 27 (7), 1351–1361.

Piao, L., Wang, Y., Liu, X., Sun, G., Zhang, S., Yan, J., et al. (2022). Exogenous Hemin alleviated cadmium stress in maize (Zea mays L.) by enhancing leaf photosynthesis, AsA-GSH cycle and polyamine metabolism. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2022.993675

Qiao, M., Hong, C., Jiao, Y., Hou, S., and Gao, H. (2024). Impacts of drought on photosynthesis in major food crops and the related mechanisms of plant responses to drought. *Plants (Basel)* 13, 1808. doi: 10.3390/plants13131808

Quintanilla-Guerrero, F., Duarte-Vazquez, M. A., Garcia-Almendarez, B. E., Tinoco, R., Vazquez-Duhalt, R., and Regalado, C. (2008). Polyethylene glycol improves phenol removal by immobilized turnip peroxidase. *Bioresour Technol.* 99, 8605–8611. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2008.04.031

Rajput, V. D., Singh, R. K., Verma, K. K., Sharma, L., Quiroz-Figueroa, F. R., Meena, M., et al. (2021). Recent developments in enzymatic antioxidant defence mechanism in plants with special reference to abiotic stress. *Biol. (Basel)* 10, 267. doi: 10.3390/ biology10040267

Reddy, D. S., Bhatnagar-Mathur, P., Vadez, V., and Sharma, K. K. (2012). Grain legumes (soybean, chickpea, and peanut): omics approaches to enhance abiotic stress tolerance. *Improving Crop resistance to abiotic Stress*, 995–1032. doi: 10.1002/9783527632930.ch39

Rhaman, M. S., Imran, S., Karim, M. M., Chakrobortty, J., Mahamud, M. A., Sarker, P., et al. (2021). 5-aminolevulinic acid-mediated plant adaptive responses to abiotic stress. *Plant Cell Rep.* 40, 1451–1469. doi: 10.1007/s00299-021-02690-9

Rodriguez Madrera, R., Campa Negrillo, A., Suarez Valles, B., and Ferreira Fernandez, J. J. (2021). Phenolic content and antioxidant activity in seeds of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). *Foods* 10, 864. doi: 10.3390/foods10040864

Ruelland, E. (2017). "Plant responses to chilling temperatures," in *Plant stress physiology* (Wallingford UK: CABI), 97-137.

Sabagh, A. E., Mbarki, S., Hossain, A., Iqbal, M. A., Islam, M. S., Raza, A., et al. (2021). Potential role of plant growth regulators in administering crucial processes against abiotic stresses. *Front. Agron.* 3, 648694. doi: 10.3389/fagro.2021.648694

Saibo, N. J., Lourenco, T., and Oliveira, M. M. (2009). Transcription factors and regulation of photosynthetic and related metabolism under environmental stresses. *Ann. Bot.* 103, 609–623. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcn227

Saleem, M., Fariduddin, Q., and Castroverde, C. D. M. (2021). Salicylic acid: A key regulator of redox signalling and plant immunity. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 168, 381–397. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.10.011

Saleh, A. A., Abdel-Kader, D. Z., and El Elish, A. M. (2007). Role of heat shock and salicylic acid in antioxidant homeostasis in mungbean (Vigna radiata L.) plant subjected to heat stress. *Am. J. Plant Physiol.* 2, 344–355. doi: 10.3923/ajpp.2007.344.355

Samadi, S., Habibi, G., and Vaziri, A. (2019). Effects of exogenous salicylic acid on antioxidative responses, phenolic metabolism and photochemical activity of strawberry under salt stress. *Iranian J. Plant Physiol.* 9, 2685–2694.

Samanta, S., and Roychoudhury, A. (2025). Molecular crosstalk of jasmonate with major phytohormones and plant growth regulators during diverse stress responses. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 44, 62–88. doi: 10.1007/s00344-024-11412-w

Sánchez-Reinoso, A. D., Ligarreto-Moreno, G. A., and Restrepo-Díaz, H. (2018). Physiological and biochemical responses of common bush bean to drought. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca* 46, 393–401. doi: 10.15835/nbha46210965

Saxena, R., Kumar, M., Jyoti, A., and Tomar, R. S. (2019). Untapped potential of salicylic acid, jasmonic acid and PGPRs to develop abiotic stress resilience in crop plants. *Curr. Trends Biotechnol. Pharm.* 13, 376–390.

Senaratna, T., Touchell, D., Bunn, E., and Dixon, K. (2000). Acetyl salicylic acid (Aspirin) and salicylic acid induce multiple stress tolerance in bean and tomato plants. *Plant Growth Regul.* 30, 157–161. doi: 10.1023/A:1006386800974

Sharma, P., Jha, A. B., Dubey, R. S., and Pessarakli, M. (2012). Reactive oxygen species, oxidative damage, and antioxidative defense mechanism in plants under stressful conditions. *J. Bot.* 2012, 217037. doi: 10.1155/2012/217037

Siddiqui, M. H., Alamri, S. A., Al-Khaishany, M. Y. Y., Al-Qutami, M. A., and Ali, H. M. (2018). Ascorbic acid application improves salinity stress tolerance in wheat. *Chiang Mai J. Sci.* 45, 1296–1306.

Simaei, M., Khavari-Nejad, R., and Bernard, F. (2012). Exogenous application of salicylic acid and nitric oxide on the ionic contents and enzymatic activities in NaCl-stressed soybean plants. *Am. J. Plant Sci.* 3 (10). doi: 10.4236/ajps.2012.310180

Soliman, M. H., Alayafi, A. A., El Kelish, A. A., and Abu-Elsaoud, A. M. (2018a). Acetylsalicylic acid enhance tolerance of Phaseolus vulgaris L. @ to chilling stress, improving photosynthesis, antioxidants and expression of cold stress responsive genes. *Botanical Stud.* 59, 1–17. doi: 10.1186/s40529-018-0222-1

Sperdouli, I., Panteris, E., Moustaka, J., Aydin, T., Baycu, G., and Moustakas, M. (2024). Mechanistic insights on salicylic acid-induced enhancement of photosystem II function in basil plants under non-stress or mild drought stress. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 25, 5728. doi: 10.3390/ijms25115728

Sun, M. X., Peng, F. T., Xiao, Y. S., Yu, W., Zhang, Y. F., and Gao, H. F. (2020). Exogenous phosphatidylcholine treatment alleviates drought stress and maintains the integrity of root cell membranes in peach. *Scientia Hortic.* 259, 108821. doi: 10.1016/ j.scienta.2019.108821

Tabassum, T., Farooq, M., Ahmad, R., Zohaib, A., and Wahid, A. (2017). Seed priming and transgenerational drought memory improves tolerance against salt stress in bread wheat. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 118, 362–369. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2017.07.0707

Tariq, L., Bhat, B. A., Hamdani, S. S., Nissar, S., Sheikh, B. A., Dar, M. A., et al. (2022). "Plant growth regulators and their interaction with abiotic stress factors," in *Plant abiotic stress physiology* (Apple Academic Press), 115–135.

Tariq Khan, N. A., Nazar, R., Iqbal, N., and Anjum, N. A. (2012). *Phytohormones and abiotic stress tolerance in plants.* (Springer: Science & Business Media).

Thomashow, M. F. (2001). So what's new in the field of plant cold acclimation? Lots! *Plant Physiol.* 125, 89–93. doi: 10.1104/pp.125.1.89

Tiwari, J. K., Munshi, A. D., Kumar, R., Pandey, R. N., Arora, A., Bhat, J. S., et al. (2010). Effect of salt stress on cucumber: Na-Kratio, osmolyte concentration, phenols and chlorophyll content. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum* 32, 103–114. doi: 10.1007/s11738-009-0385-1

Toth, B., Moloi, M. J., Szoke, L., Danter, M., and Grusak, M. A. (2021). Cultivar differences in the biochemical and physiological responses of common beans to aluminum stress. *Plants (Basel)* 10, 2097. doi: 10.3390/plants10102097

Tuteja, N., Gill, S. S., Trivedi, P. K., Asif, M. H., and Nath, P. (2010). Plant growth regulators and their role in stress tolerance. *Plant Stress* 4, 1–18.

Valizadeh-Kamran, R., Toorchi, M., Mogadam, M., Mohammadi, H., and Pessarakli, M. (2018). Effects of freeze and cold stress on certain physiological and biochemical traits in sensitive and tolerant barley () genotypes. *J. Plant Nutr.* 41, 102–111. doi: 10.1080/01904167.2017.1381730

Vargas, Y., Mayor-Duran, V. M., Buendia, H. F., Ruiz-Guzman, H., and Raatz, B. (2021). Physiological and genetic characterization of heat stress effects in a common bean RIL population. *PloS One* 16, e0249859. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0249859

Wang, J., Liu, X. F., Zhang, H. Q., Allan, A. C., Wang, W. Q., and Yin, X. R. (2022). Transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of ethylene biosynthesis by exogenous acetylsalicylic acid in kiwifruit. *Hortic. Res.* 9, uhac116. doi: 10.1093/hr/uhac116

Wu, Q. Q., Gao, M. F., Sun, H., Yang, W. C., and Xu, H. (2024). 2-keto-L-gulonic acid enhances cold stress tolerance in via augmentation of ascorbic acid biosynthesis and antioxidant defense systems. *Agronomy-Basel* 14, 2149. doi: 10.3390/agronomy14092149

Xue, X., Xie, M., Zhu, L., Wang, D., Xu, Z., Liang, L., et al. (2023). 5-ALA improves the low temperature tolerance of common bean seedlings through a combination of hormone transduction pathways and chlorophyll metabolism. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 24, 13189. doi: 10.3390/ijms241713189

Yang, X., Liu, C., Li, M., Li, Y., Yan, Z., Feng, G., et al. (2023). Integrated transcriptomics and metabolomics analysis reveals key regulatory network that response to cold stress in common Bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). *BMC Plant Biol.* 23, 85. doi: 10.1186/s12870-023-04094-1

Yang, Z. C., Wu, N., Tang, L., Yan, X. H., Yuan, M., Zhang, Z. W., et al. (2019). Exogenous salicylic acid alleviates the oxidative damage of by enhancing antioxidant defense systems under high light. *Biol. Plantarum* 63, 474. doi: 10.32615/bp.2019.074

Yoon, Y., Seo, D. H., Shin, H., Kim, H. J., Kim, C. M., and Jang, G. (2020). The role of stress-responsive transcription factors in modulating abiotic stress tolerance in plants. *Agronomy-Basel* 10, 788. doi: 10.3390/agronomy10060788

Zhang, Q., Wang, S., Qin, B., Sun, H. Y., Yuan, X. K., Wang, Q., et al. (2023b). Analysis of the transcriptome and metabolome reveals phenylpropanoid mechanism in common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) responding to salt stress at sprout stage. *Food Energy Secur.* 12, e481. doi: 10.1002/fes3.481

Zhang, M., Zhang, M., Wang, J., Dai, S., Zhang, M., Meng, Q., et al. (2023a). Salicylic acid regulates two photosystem II protection pathways in tomato under chilling stress mediated by ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE 3-like proteins. *Plant J.* 114, 1385–1404. doi: 10.1111/tpj.16199