

Homers at the interface between reward and pain

Ilona Obara^{1,2}*, Scott P. Goulding¹, Adam T. Gould¹, Kevin D. Lominac¹, Jia-Hua Hu³, Ping Wu Zhang³, Georg von Jonquieres⁴, Marlin Dehoff³, Bo Xiao³, Peter H. Seeburg⁵, Paul F. Worley³, Matthias Klugmann⁴ and Karen K. Szumlinski¹

¹ Department of Psychology, Neuroscience Research Institute, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

⁵ Department of Molecular Neurobiology, Max Planck Institute Medical Research, Heidelberg, Germany

Edited by:

Remi Martin-Fardon, The Scripps Research Institute, USA

Reviewed by:

Scott Edwards, The Scripps Research Institute, USA Thomas J. Martin, Wake Forest University Health Sciences, USA

*Correspondence:

llona Obara, School of Medicine, Pharmacy and Health, Queen's Campus, University of Durham, Stockton on Tees TS17 6BH, UK e-mail: ilona.obara@durham.ac.uk

Pain alters opioid reinforcement, presumably via neuroadaptations within ascending pain pathways interacting with the limbic system. Nerve injury increases expression of glutamate receptors and their associated Homer scaffolding proteins throughout the pain processing pathway. Homer proteins, and their associated glutamate receptors, regulate behavioral sensitivity to various addictive drugs. Thus, we investigated a potential role for Homers in the interactions between pain and drug reward in mice. Chronic constriction injury (CCI) of the sciatic nerve elevated Homer1b/c and/or Homer2a/b expression within all mesolimbic structures examined and for the most part, the Homer increases coincided with elevated mGluR5, GluN2A/B, and the activational state of various down-stream kinases. Behaviorally, CCI mice showed pain hypersensitivity and a conditioned place-aversion (CPA) at a low heroin dose that supported conditioned place-preference (CPP) in naïve controls. Null mutations of Homer1a, Homer1, and Homer2, as well as transgenic disruption of mGluR5-Homer interactions, either attenuated or completely blocked low-dose heroin CPP, and none of the CCI mutant strains exhibited heroin-induced CPA. However, heroin CPP did not depend upon full Homer1c expression within the nucleus accumbens (NAC), as CPP occurred in controls infused locally with small hairpin RNA-Homer1c, although intra-NAC and/or intrathecal cDNA-Homer1c, -Homer1a, and -Homer2b infusions (to best mimic CCI's effects) were sufficient to blunt heroin CPP in uninjured mice. However, arguing against a simple role for CCI-induced increases in either spinal or NAC Homer expression for heroin CPA, cDNA infusion of our various cDNA constructs either did not affect (intrathecal) or attenuated (NAC) heroin CPA. Together, these data implicate increases in glutamate receptor/Homer/kinase activity within limbic structures, perhaps outside the NAC, as possibly critical for switching the incentive motivational properties of heroin following nerve injury, which has relevance for opioid psychopharmacology in individuals suffering from neuropathic pain.

Keywords: Homer proteins, Group1 metabotropic glutamate receptors, NMDA receptors, neuropathic pain, heroin, nucleus accumbens, conditioned place-preference, conditioned place-aversion

INTRODUCTION

Comorbidity exists between chronic pain and motivational disturbances (e.g., Doth et al., 2010; Ohayon and Schatzberg, 2010; Jarcho et al., 2012; Oluigbo et al., 2012), and a cause-effect relationship between chronic pain and a blunted motivational state is apparent also in animal studies (c.f., Niikura et al., 2010). The pain processing pathway interacts at multiple levels with brain structures embedded within mesocorticolimbic subcircuits underpinning subjective responses to, as well as the incentive value of, stimuli (both appetitive or noxious), including subregions of the prefrontal cortex (PFC), nuclei of the amygdala (AMY), the ventral tegmental area (VTA), and subregions of the nucleus accumbens (NAC) (c.f., Leknes and Tracey, 2008; Becker et al., 2012). While the neurocircuitry underpinning pain perception and the subjective pain response is known to involve activation within several frontal cortical subregions and thalamus (c.f., Leknes and Tracey, 2008; Oluigbo et al., 2012), the precise neurocircuitry involved in pain-induced alterations in motivation are less well understood (Becker et al., 2012).

Patients' hypersensitivity to pain stimuli correlates with increases in PFC-NAC connectivity in recent neuroimaging studies and, importantly, heighted connectivity is predictive of affective pain, as well as pain severity in humans (e.g., Baliki et al., 2010, 2012). In animal and human studies, noxious stimuli, including chronic constriction injury (CCI) of the sciatic nerve, alters the activational state of mesocorticolimbic circuit (e.g., Kuroda et al., 1995; Rodella et al., 1998; Narita et al., 2003, 2005; Ozaki et al., 2003, 2004; Wood et al., 2007). Thus, injury-induced mesocorticolimbic anomalies are theorized to underpin the negative affective aspects of pain, as well as the impairments in motivation

² School of Medicine, Pharmacy and Health, Queen's Campus, University of Durham, Stockton on Tees, UK

³ Department of Neuroscience, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

⁴ Translational Neuroscience Facility, School of Medical Sciences, UNSW Kensington Campus, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia

often observed in individuals suffering from chronic somatic pain (c.f., Leknes and Tracey, 2008; Becker et al., 2012; Oluigbo et al., 2012). In support of an interaction between a chronic pain state and drug reinforcement/reward, there is an absence of both opioid drug- and psychomotor stimulant-induced conditioned place-preference (CPP) in animal models of inflammatory or neuropathic pain (c.f., Niikura et al., 2010), which is consistent with very little evidence for the clinical diagnosis of addiction in individuals undergoing pharmacotherapy for chronic pain symptoms (e.g., Niikura et al., 2010; Minozzi et al., 2013). However, pain symptoms augment opioid drug consumption under operant procedures in animal models, which is theorized to reflect a compensation for a depressed mesocorticolimbic circuit (Colpaert et al., 1982, 2001; Dib and Duclaux, 1982; Lyness et al., 1989; Martin et al., 2007, 2011), fitting with extant CPP data indicating blunted drug-conditioned reward following nerve injury (c.f., Niikura et al., 2010).

Glutamate neuroadaptations within the mesocorticolimbic system are theorized to contribute significantly to drug reward/reinforcement in various addiction-related animal models (e.g., Szumlinski et al., 2008; Kalivas, 2009; Olive et al., 2012). As noxious, painful stimuli augment glutamatergic neurotransmission both at the spinal and supraspinal levels and glutamatergic hyperactivity is considered an active mediator of pain symptomatology (c.f., Chiechio and Nicoletti, 2012; Harris and Clauw, 2012; Wozniak et al., 2012; Osikowicz et al., 2013), the present study employed a combination of immunoblotting and behavioral genetic approaches to test the hypothesis that injury-induced increases in mesocorticolimbic glutamate transmission contribute to a blunted motivational state within the confines of a heroin CPP model of drug reward.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SUBJECTS

Subjects included adult male C57BL/6J (B6) mice (8 weeks of age; 25-30 g; the Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME, USA), as well as several strains of constitutive gene knock-out (KO) mice that were available at the time of study, including Homer1a KO (Hu et al., 2012), Homer1 KO (Yuan et al., 2003), and Homer2 KO (Shin et al., 2003) mice. Knock-in (KI) mice expressing mutant mGluR5 with a phenylalanine (F) to arginine (R) switch at position 1128 that markedly reduces mGluR5-Homer interactions (Grm5^{R/R}; Cozzoli et al., 2009) were also employed. All the above mutant strains were bred in-house at UCSB from mating of heterozygous breeder pairs (B6 × 129Xi/SvJ background) and male wild-type (WT), heterozygous (HET), and homozygous KO/KI littermate pups were employed in all studies. For the KO/KI strains bred in-house, mice were selected from a minimum of four different litters within each replicate and testing began at 7-8 weeks of age. Experimental protocols were approved by the IACUCs of our respective institutions and were consistent with the guidelines provided by NIH and the Committee for Research and Ethical Issues of IASP.

NEUROPATHIC PAIN, INFLAMMATORY PAIN, AND PAIN THRESHOLD ASSESSMENT

The procedures for inducing peripheral neuropathy by CCI of the sciatic nerve were identical to those described recently by our group (Obara et al., 2013). The total length of nerve affected was 3–4 mm. Mechanical and cold hypersensitivity at the plantar surface of the hind paw ipsilateral to the injury was assessed, respectively, using von Frey filaments (0.07–6 g; Stoelting, Wood Dale, IL, USA) and the acetone test (50 μ l) before nerve injury (as one index of basal pain threshold), and on days 3, 7, and/or 14 post-CCI (e.g., Obara et al., 2003, 2013; Osikowicz et al., 2008).

IMMUNOBLOTTING

At 1 or 2 weeks after nerve injury, the entire NAC, the VTA, the entire AMY, and the PFC (anterior cingulate, prelimbic, and infralimbic cortices) were dissected from B6 mice (n = 6-8/group/timepoint) over ice, homogenized in a buffer containing both protease and phosphatase inhibitors and subjected to conventional immunoblotting procedures (20 µg protein/lane) as described previously by our group (e.g., Goulding et al., 2011; Obara et al., 2013). The details regarding the antibodies employed to detect protein levels of Homer1b/c, Homer2a/b, mGluR1, mGluR5, GluN2A, GluN2B, PI3K, p(Tyr)PI3K p85α binding motif, ERK1/2, p(Tyr204)ERK1/2, PKCe, p(Ser729)PKCe, and calnexin (loading and transfer control) are provided in the legend for Figure 2. The data for neuropathic animals at the different time-points post-injury were expressed as a percent change from the mean signal of the uninjured controls for each individual membrane (n = 3-4/membrane) as published previously (e.g., Obara et al., 2013).

HEROIN-INDUCED PLACE-CONDITIONING

Mice were assayed for the development of heroin placeconditioning, starting at 14 days post-nerve injury. The apparatus and procedures for heroin place-conditioning were similar to those employed in our previous studies of drug-conditioned reward in mice (e.g., Penzner et al., 2008) and proceeded in the following four sequential phases: habituation, preconditioning test (Pre-Test), conditioning, postconditioning test (Post-test). All sessions were 15 min in duration and animals received no injections during the habituation, Pre-Test, or Post-Test sessions when they had free-access to both compartments of the apparatus. For conditioning, mice received four alternating pairings of distinct compartments with either intraperitoneal heroin (0.01-3 mg/kg; vol = 0.01 ml/kg) or an equivalent volume of saline in an unbiased fashion. Locomotor activity was monitored during all free-access sessions, as well as on the first and fourth saline/heroin conditioning session to index spontaneous and heroin-induced changes in ambulation, respectively. An increase in heroin-induced locomotion from injections 1-4 indicated the presence of locomotor sensitization. The time spent in the drug-paired vs. -unpaired compartment on the Post-Test served to index place-conditioning. The dose-response study of B6 mice employed 8-9/mice/group/dose, while the sample sizes employed in the single-dose study of mutant mice were: 11-15 mice/group/genotype for Homer1a KO, 11-3 mice/group/genotype for Homer1 KO, 8-15 mice/group/genotype for Homer2 KO, and 12-18 mice/group/genotype for Grm5^{R/R} mutant.

SURGICAL PROCEDURES AND AAV INFUSION

The procedure for generating neurotropic chimeric AAV1/2 vectors carrying the renilla green fluorescent protein (hrGFP) cDNA

or the hemagglutinin (HA) tag fused to the coding region of rat Homer1c, and Homer2b have been described in detail elsewhere (e.g., Klugmann et al., 2005) and the AAV-cDNA constructs were identical to those employed previously (e.g., Klugmann et al., 2005; Tappe et al., 2006; Cozzoli et al., 2009; Goulding et al., 2011; Ary et al., 2013). The design of the AAV constructs for expression of small hairpin RNAs (shRNA) against Homer1c were described in detail in Klugmann and Szumlinski (2008). Briefly, we used a bicistronic expression cassette entailing the human U6 promoter to drive the shRNA, followed by the hrGFP reporter under the control of the chicken-beta actin (CBA) promoter for identification of transduced neurons. The shRNA-Homer1c construct was the same as that used in a recently published report, in which we demonstrated approximately 50% protein knock-down within the brain at 3 weeks post-infusion (Ary et al., 2013). AAV-shEGFP-CBAhrGFP was used as a generic control (GFP) in our AAV studies. The surgical procedures for intra-NAC AAV infusion (0.5 µl/side) were identical to those used in previous studies (e.g., Cozzoli et al., 2009) and resulted in placement of microinjectors within the boundaries of the NAC (see Figure 5A). Studies examining behavioral response in heroin-induced place-preference test after intrathecal AAV infusion employed mice whose neuropathic pain symptoms and AAV transduction patterns within spinal cord were described before (Obara et al., 2013). Following either intracranial or intrathecal infusion, animals were left undisturbed for 3 weeks when AAV-mediated transgene expression peaks to remain at maximally stable levels prior to behavioral testing (e.g., Klugmann et al., 2005; Klugmann and Szumlinski, 2008). Sample sizes employed in the statistical analyses of the data ranged from 8 to 11 mice/group/AAV for both the NAC and spinal cord study.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Behavioral and biochemical results are presented as means \pm SEM (n = 8-12/group). Immunoblotting data were analyzed by oneway analyses of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's multiple comparison *post hoc* tests and these results are presented in **Table 1**. Behavioral results were analyzed by two-way ANOVA and significant interactions were followed up by an analysis for simple effects and Bonferroni's multiple comparison *post hoc* tests, when appropriate. To confirm significant place-conditioning, *a priori* dependent-sample *t*-tests were conducted for the time spent in the heroin-paired vs. -unpaired compartment, separately for each treatment group/genotype. $\alpha = 0.05$ for all analyses and the results of the statistical analyses for the behavioral assays are presented in their corresponding figure legends.

RESULTS

CCI ELEVATES MESOCORTICOLIMBIC PROTEIN EXPRESSION AND ABOLISHES HEROIN CPP

Chronic constriction injury of the sciatic nerve increased mechanical and cold hypersensitivity in B6 mice (**Figures 1A,B**). This hypersensitivity was associated with increased expression of the majority of our proteins of interest within all four mesocorticolimbic structures investigated (as indicated in **Figure 1C**), with regional distinctions in the magnitude and/or time-course of the observed protein changes (**Figure 2**; see **Table 1**). In the PFC (**Figure 2A**), CCI increased Homer1b/c, Homer2a/b, GluN2A, and p(Tyr)p85 α at both time-points post-injury, while those for mGluR1a, GluN2B, and pPKC ε were time-dependent. In the NAC (**Figure 2B**), CCI increased Homer1b/c, GluN2A, and pPKC ε at both time-points, while kinase activation increased time-dependently and the rise in mGluR5 was transient. In the AMY (**Figure 2C**), the rise in mGluR5 was also transient; however, CCI increased Homer1b/c, GluN2B, PKC ε , pPKC ε , and p(Tyr)p85 α both time-points and ERK levels increased timedependently. Unfortunately, we could not detect a reliable signal for mGluR1a within our AMY samples. Finally, in the VTA (**Figure 2D**), CCI increased Homer2a/b, and GluN2A at both time-points, the rise in GluN2B, pPKC ε , and the pPKC ε :PKC ε ratio increased time-dependently and the rise in p(Tyr)p85 α and ERK were transient.

We next assayed for CCI-induced changes in heroinconditioned reward in B6 mice as an index of motivation. All but the lowest heroin dose elicited a significant CPP in injurynaïve B6 controls (**Figure 3**). In contrast, no heroin dose elicited CPP in injured B6 mice and the 0.1-mg/kg dose elicited a significant conditioned place-aversion (CPA). The injury-induced abolishment of CPP did not reflect impairments in motor activity as group differences were not observed regarding: (1) spontaneous locomotor activity (data not shown; total distance traveled during Habituation, Pre-Test, or Post-Test; *t*-tests, p > 0.05); (2) saline- or heroin-induced locomotor activity on injection 1 or 4; or (3) the expression of heroin-induced locomotor sensitization, which was observed only at the 3-mg/kg dose [data not shown; Heroin effect: $F_{(2,48)} = 25.76$, p = 0.001; Heroin × Injection: $F_{(2,48)} = 2.87$, p = 0.07].

GENOTYPE × PAIN INTERACTIONS IN HEROIN CPP

Given the CCI-induced rise in Homer expression throughout the mesocorticolimbic system, we next assayed for low-dose heroin-induced place-conditioning in naïve and CCI Homer1a, Homer1, and Homer2 null mutant mice, as well as in transgenic mice with a disrupted mGluR5-Homer interaction (Grm5^{R/R}). The 0.1-mg/kg heroin dose elicited a significant CPP in injurynaïve WT mice from all strains and this CPP was absent in all homozygous mutant littermate animals (Figure 4, left). Consistent with the above data from B6 mice, the 0.1-mg/kg heroin dose elicited a significant CPA in all CCI WT mice, but this too was attenuated or prevented in all homozygous mutant mouse lines (Figure 4, right). Such data pose a necessary role for Homer1a induction, as well as scaffolding by constitutively expressed (coiled-coil) CC-Homer proteins and their interaction with mGluR5 as critical for both heroin-related appetitive and aversive learning.

AAV-MEDIATED HOMER GENE TRANSFER AND INJURY-INDUCED CPA

The pattern of AAV-mediated neuronal transduction within the NAC was consistent with that reported previously by our group (e.g., Cozzoli et al., 2009; Goulding et al., 2011), with little spread beyond the infusion site (**Figures 5A,A**["]). Intra-NAC cDNA-Homer1c and shRNA-Homer1c infusion potentiated and inhibited, respectively, both mechanical and cold hypersensitivity following CCI, but the effect was more pronounced in the von Frey test (**Figure 5B**). Neither Homer manipulation influenced basal

R1a	ANOVA	Desthes
R1a		Post hoc
IIIa	$F_{(2,23)} = 10.53, p = 0.0007$	CCI 2 weeks > CNT = CCI 1 week
R5	$F_{(2,23)} = 3.80, p = 0.04$	
2A	$F_{(2,21)} = 6.47, p = 0.0007$	CCI 1 week = CCI 2 weeks > CNT
2B	$F_{(2,23)} = 10.39, p = 0.0007$	CCI 2 weeks $>$ CNT $=$ CCI 1 week
er1b/c	$F_{(2,21)} = 13.67, p = 0.0002$	CCI 1 week = CCI 2 weeks > CNT
er2a/b	$F_{(2,19)} = 12.51, p = 0.0005$	CCI 1 week = CCI 2 weeks > CNT
	$F_{(2,23)} = 0.32, p = 0.73$	
3	$F_{(2,17)} = 3.94, p = 0.04$	CCI 2 weeks $>$ CNT $=$ CCI 1 week
ε:PKCε ratio	$F_{(2,17)} = 2.06, p = 0.16$	
	$F_{(2,17)} = 0.37, p = 0.69$	
p85α	$F_{(2,19)} = 8.22, p = 0.003$	CCI 1 week = CCI 2 weeks > CNT
	$F_{(2,20)} = 0.06, p = 0.94$	
	$F_{(2,20)} = 0.06, p = 0.95$	
ERK ratio	$F_{(2,17)} = 0.01, p = 0.98$	
R1a	$F_{(2,17)} = 1.45, p = 0.26$	
R5	$F_{(2,17)} = 6.97, p = 0.0007$	CCI 1 week > CNT = CCI 2 weeks
2A	$F_{(2,17)} = 10.52, p = 0.001$	CCI 1 week = CCI 2 weeks > CNT
2B	$F_{(2,17)} = 1.62, p = 0.23$	
er1b/c	$F_{(2,17)} = 7.96, p = 0.004$	CCI 1 week = CCI 2 weeks > CNT
er2a/b	$F_{(2,17)} = 0.24$, p = 0.78	
	$F_{(2,17)} = 1.71, p = 0.21$	
ε	$F_{(2,17)} = 7.31, p = 0.006$	CCI 1 week = CCI 2 weeks > CNT
ε:PKCε ratio	$F_{(2,17)} = 7.28, p = 0.006$	CCI 2 weeks > CNT = CCI 1 week
	$F_{(2,17)} = 0.29, p = 0.74$	
p85α	$F_{(2,17)} = 12.25, p = 0.0007$	CCI 2 weeks > CNT = CCI 1 week
	$F_{(2,17)} = 1.04, p = 0.38$	
	$F_{(2,17)} = 0.42, p = 0.67$	
ERK ratio	$F_{(2,17)} = 7.68, p = 0.005$	CCI 2 weeks > CNT = CCI 1 week
R1a	_	
R5	$F_{(2,17)} = 12.59, p = 0.0006$	CCI 1 week > CNT = CCI 2 weeks
2A	$F_{(2,17)} = 1.26, p = 0.31$	
2B	$F_{(2,17)} = 13.16, p = 0.0005$	CCI 1 week = CCI 2 weeks > CNT
er1b/c		CCI 1 week = CCI 2 weeks > CNT
er2a/b		
	$F_{(2,17)} = 12.65, p = 0.0006$	CCI 1 week = CCI 2 weeks > CNT
3		CCI 1 week = CCI 2 weeks > CNT
ε:PKCε ratio		
ρ85α		CCI 1 week = CCI 2 weeks > CNT
		CCI 2 weeks > CNT = CCI 1 week
		CCI 1 week = CCI 2 weeks > CNT
		CCI 1 week = CCI 2 weeks > CNT
		CCI 2 weeks > CNT = CCI 1 week
	2A 2B er1b/c er2a/b δε δε:PKCε ratio μ985α CERK ratio R1a R5 2A 2B er1b/c er2a/b	2B $F_{(2,17)} = 13.16, p = 0.0005$ er1b/c $F_{(2,17)} = 14.41, p = 0.0003$ er2a/b $F_{(2,17)} = 14.41, p = 0.0003$ er2a/b $F_{(2,17)} = 12.65, p = 0.0006$ $F_{(2,17)} = 12.65, p = 0.0006$ $F_{(2,17)} = 16.43, p = 0.0002$ $F_{(2,17)} = 0.38, p = 0.68$ $F_{(2,17)} = 0.45, p = 0.64$ $P_{(2,17)} = 3.83, p = 0.004$ $F_{(2,17)} = 3.83, p = 0.004$ $F_{(2,17)} = 0.65, p = 0.54$ $F_{(2,17)} = 1.69, p = 0.21$ R1a $F_{(2,17)} = 3.63, p = 0.05$ R5 $F_{(2,17)} = 5.08, p = 0.02$ 2B $F_{(2,17)} = 6.19, p = 0.01$ er1b/c $F_{(2,17)} = 1.68, p = 0.22$ er2a/b $F_{(2,17)} = 6.99, p = 0.007$

Table 1 | Statistical results of the one-way ANOVAs conducted on the immunoblotting data ($\alpha = 0.05$) and follow-up Tukey's multiple comparison *post hoc* tests, where appropriate.

(Continued)

Table 1 | Continued

Region Protein	Protein	Results		
	ANOVA	Post hoc		
	pPKCε	$F_{(2,17)} = 6.29, p = 0.01$	CCI 2 weeks > CNT = CCI 1 week	
	pPKCɛ:PKCɛ ratio	$F_{(2,17)} = 6.22, p = 0.01$	CCI 2 weeks > CNT = CCI 1 week	
	PI3K	$F_{(2,17)} = 2.82, p = 0.09$		
	P(Tyr)p85α	$F_{(2,17)} = 3.87, p = 0.04$	CCI 1 week > CNT = CCI 2 weeks	
	ERK	$F_{(2,17)} = 5.31, p = 0.02$	CCI 1 week > CNT = CCI 2 weeks	
	pERK	$F_{(2,17)} = 0.08, p = 0.92$		
	pERK:ERK ratio	$F_{(2,17)} = 2, p = 0.005$		

The data are summarized in Figure 2 and sample sizes ranged from 6 to 8 mice/group.



cold hypersensitivity as measured in the acetone test (B) [CCI × Time:

pain threshold to mechanical and cold stimuli (Figure 5B) nor

did they alter simple spinal pain reflex assessed in the tail-flick test

of CCI and naïve mice as indicated for processing by immunoblotting. whether or not spinal Homer expression might also regulate heroin place-conditioning. Intrathecal infusion of all three AAVcDNAs blunted heroin CPP in injury-naïve mice (**Figure 6**, left). In this study, the heroin CPA exhibited by GFP-infused CCI mice was not as robust as that observed in the experiments

(Figure 5C).
 While intra-NAC shRNA-Homer1c did not influence heroin
 CPP in injury-naïve animals, it prevented injury-induced heroin
 CPA (Figure 5D, left). In contrast to shRNA-Homer1c infusion, intra-NAC cDNA-Homer1c infusion prevented heroin-induced
 CPA (DA CONA-Homer1c infusion prevented heroin-induced

intra-NAC cDNA-Homer1c infusion prevented heroin-induced place-conditioning in both naïve and injured groups (**Figure 5D**, right).

Intrathecal infusion of cDNA-Homer1c and -Homer2b potentiates, while that of cDNA-Homer1a attenuates, CCI-induced pain hypersensitivity (Obara et al., 2013). Thus, we determined

DISCUSSION

right).

Pain-associated affective and motivational blunting is hypothesized to involve injury-induced changes in mesocorticolimbic



PFC (A), NAC (B), ANY (C), and VIA (D) In sciatic nerve-ligated mice (CCI) sacrificed at 1 or 2 weeks following injury, as well as in naïve controls. The following rabbit polyclonal antibodies were used: anti-Homer 2a/b and anti-Homer 1b/c (Dr. Paul F. Worley, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine; 1:1000 dilution), anti-GluN2A (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA; 1:000 dilution), anti-GluN2A and anti-GluN2A (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA; 1:200 dilution), anti-PI3K natibody (Upstate; 1:1000 dilution), and anti-p-(Tyr) PI3K p85α binding motif (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA; 1:250 dilution), anti-PKCε and anti-p(Ser729)PKCε (Santa Cruz

Biotechnology; 1:1000 dilution). Anti-mGluR1a (Upstate; 1:1000 dilution) and anti-p(Tyr204)ERK1/2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; 1:1000) mouse polyclonal antibodies were also used. A rabbit anti-calnexin monoclonal antibody (Stressgen, Victoria, BC, Canada; 1:1000 dilution) was used as a loading and transfer control. Immunoreactive bands were detected using enhanced chemiluminescence and immunoreactivity quantified using Image J (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA). The data for neuropathic animals at the different time-points post-CCI were expressed as a percent change from the mean signal of the uninjured controls for each individual membrane (n=3-4/membrane). The data represent the mean ± SEM of 6–8 mice/group and detailed results of the statistical analyses of these data are presented in **Table 1.** * p < 0.05 vs. naïve (control) mice (see **Table 1**; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's *post hoc* tests).

function (c.f., Leknes and Tracey, 2008; Becker et al., 2012; Oluigbo et al., 2012). Thus, the present study characterized CCI-induced changes glutamate receptor expression/signaling within four major components of the mesocorticolimbic system and then assayed the functional relevance of mGluR5 interactions with its scaffolding molecule Homer (Shiraishi-Yamaguchi and Furuichi, 2007) for pain-elicited changes in heroin's incentive motivational properties.



FIGURE 3 | Chronic constriction injury perturbs heroin-induced CPP in B6 mice. Summary of the difference in the time spent (in seconds) between the heroin-paired and -unpaired compartment on a test for place-conditioning conducted 24 h following conditioning with 0.01, 0.1, 1, and 3 mg/kg heroin in B6 mice. CCI significantly altered heroin CPP [CCI × Heroin: $F_{(1,58)} = 11.67$, p = 0.001]. Deconstruction of the interaction along with Heroin Dose factor indicated no CCI effects at the lowest dose of heroin, but group differences at all other doses [0.01 mg/kg: $t_{(14)} = 0.20$, p = 0.42; 0.1 mg/kg: $t_{(16)} = 4.63$, p = 0.0001; 1 mg/kg: $t_{(14)} = 1.31$, p = 0.11; 3 mg/kg: $t_{(14)} = 2.58$, p = 0.01]. To confirm the presence or absence of place-conditioning in each group, t-tests were conducted and verified significant CPP in control naïve mice at doses of 0.1 mg/kg heroin or greater $[0.1 \text{ mg/kg}: t_{(8)} = 5.60, p = 0.001; 1 \text{ mg/kg}: t_{(7)} = 2.58, p = 0.04; 3 \text{ mg/kg}:$ $t_{(7)} = 3.90$, p = 0.006], while the 0.01-mg/kg dose produced a significant CPA in CCI mice [$t_{(9)} = 3.97$, p = 0.004]. No significant conditioning was observed in CCI mice at the other heroin doses (p > 0.05). The data represent the mean \pm SEM of 8–9 animals/group/dose. * p < 0.05 vs. naïve control; +p < 0.05 vs. 0 min (Bonferroni's post hoc tests or t-test).

NEUROPATHY AUGMENTS INDICES OF MESOCORTICOLIMBIC GLUTAMATE TRANSMISSION

Chronic constriction injury-induced hypersensitivity was associated with up-regulated mesocorticolimbic glutamate receptor and CC-Homer expression, as well as increased indices of ERK, PI3K, and/or PKCe activity. The present PFC data replicate our earlier study (Obara et al., 2013), indicating that injury up-regulates glutamate receptor signaling within a forebrain region important for volitional control over behavior, cognition, and emotion (c.f., Arnsten and Rubia, 2012; Depue, 2012). CCI-induced increases in protein expression were observed also within VTA, NAC, and AMY, with some regional differences that are not to be unexpected. However, CCI elevated Homer1b/c levels and PI3K activation in all mesocorticolimbic regions examined. Homer proteins are involved in the recruitment of PI3K-enhancer to Group1 mGluRs to induce PI3K activity (Rong et al., 2003). PI3K induction, at least within spinal cord, contributes to the development of neuropathic pain hypersensitivity (Xu et al., 2011). As an intra-NAC infusion of cDNA-Homer1c was sufficient to promote CCI-induced pain hypersensitivity, injury-induced increases in mesocorticolimbic Homer-dependent PI3K activity may contribute significantly to somatic and affective pain chronification following peripheral nerve injury. Indeed, certain AMY subregions receive direct and

indirect nociceptive input from spinal cord, brainstem, thalamus, and cortex (c.f., Leknes and Tracey, 2008; Becker et al., 2012). Moreover, central sensitization, via signaling pathways involving ERK, PKCs, and PI3K, occurs within this structure in various models of chronic pain (c.f., Neugebauer et al., 2004; Neugebauer, 2006; Fu et al., 2008; Tappe-Theodor et al., 2011). Our observation of up-regulated protein expression within AMY could reflect a central sensitization of mesocorticolimbic activity that would be predicted to elicit negative emotional disturbances characteristic of chronic pain sufferers.

While we failed to detect a significant reduction in VTA ERK activity following CCI, previous studies indicated reduced VTA ERK activation and *c-fos* expression following injury, which was interpreted to reflect blunted VTA responsiveness and theorized to contribute to pain-induced amotivational states (e.g., Narita et al., 2003, 2004; Ozaki et al., 2004). However, CCI elevated our other indices of signaling within VTA, most notably GluN2 subunits, Homer2a/b, activated PKCE, and PI3K, which would be predicted to elevate, rather than depress, basal activity of mesolimbic dopamine neurons to heighten the saliency of both conditioned and unconditioned pain cues (Berridge, 2007; Bromberg-Martin et al., 2010). Indeed, these present immunoblotting results are consistent with human neuroimaging data indicating correlations between heightened PFC-NAC connectivity and pain chronification (Baliki et al., 2010, 2012). Thus, injury-induced plasticity within corticofugal glutamatergic and mesocorticolimbic dopaminergic projections might heighten PFC-NAC connectivity predictive of somatic and affective pain chronification. In support of this notion, NAC Homer1c expression bi-directionally altered CCI-induced pain symptoms, with increased Homer1c promoting nociception in CCI mice (see below).

HEROIN CPP AND HOMER-mGluR5 INTERACTIONS

In all experiments, repeated low-dose (0.1 mg/kg) heroin consistently supported CPP in injury-naïve WT mice. Remarkably, this low-dose heroin CPP was attenuated or absent in injury-naïve mice from all four mutant strains. Opioids and their withdrawal alter Homer1 gene products within the PFC and AMY (Ammon et al., 2003; Kuntz et al., 2008) and recently, polymorphisms in Homer1, as well as changes in striatal and AMY Homer1 mRNA expression, were reported in post-mortem studies of heroin addicts (Okvist et al., 2011; Jacobs et al., 2012). While constitutive Homer2 deletion does not impact heroin-induced locomotor activity (Szumlinski et al., 2004), to the best of our knowledge, these data are the first to describe the heroin reward phenotype produced by constitutive deletion of different Homer genes or transgenic disruption of mGluR5-Homer interactions. That null mutations of Homer1a and Homer1 (the latter of which eliminates both inducible and CC Homer1 isoforms; see Yuan et al., 2003) produced a more pronounced effect upon conditioning than Homer2 deletion argues a more critical role for Homer1 gene products, particularly Homer 1a, in this form of heroin-related learning. Moreover, the fact that Grm5^{R/R} mice not only failed to exhibit heroin CPP, but tended toward CPA, argues further that the interaction between Homer1 gene products and mGluR5 is fundamental to the motivational valence of low-dose heroin, which is worthy



FIGURE 4 | Mutations affecting mGluR5-Homer interactions blunt heroin CPP and reverse the effects of CCI upon heroin CPA Summary of the difference in the time spent in the heroin-paired and -unpaired compartments (CPP) following conditioning with 0.1 mg/kg heroin in mice with constitutive deletion of Homer1a, Homer1, or Homer2, and in mice expressing the Grm5R/R transgene. Analysis of the data from all of the mutant animals revealed significant Genotype x CCI interactions [Homer1a: $F_{(2,64)} = 3.50$, p = 0.04; Homer1: $F_{(2,67)} = 6.10$, p = 0.004; Homer2: $F_{(2,66)} = 4.14$, p = 0.02; $Grm5^{R/R}$: $F_{(2,101)} = 6.71$, p = 0.002]. (A) In uninjured mice from the Homer1a study, a priori t-tests (time on paired vs. unpaired side) confirmed significant CPP in Homer1a WT [$t_{(10)} = 8.43$, p < 0.0001; n = 11], but no place-conditioning was evidence in their HET or KO counterparts (*t*-tests, p's > 0.50. n = 13-15). In CCI mice from the Homer1a study, CPA was apparent in WT controls ($t_{(10)} = 2.81$, p = 0.02; n = 11), but again no conditioning was apparent in their HET or KO counterparts (t-tests, p > 0.65;

of further exploration. The Grm5^{R/R} data are interesting as the effect of mGluR5 antagonism upon opioid-induced CPP is inconsistent (Popik and Wróbel, 2002; McGeehan and Olive, 2003; van der Kam et al., 2009). As the Grm5^{R/R} mutation does not impact total receptor expression (Cozzoli et al., 2009), the present behavioral observations implicate intracellular signaling processes that are known to be modulated by dynamic changes in Homer1a/CC-Homer interactions with mGluR5 in the positive incentive motivational properties of heroin-paired cue/contexts. Such signaling processes include (but are not likely limited to): altered regulation of voltage-gated ion channels, constitutive mGluR5 activity, induction of PI3K activity, and mGluR-dependent regulation of NMDA receptor current (c.f., Shiraishi-Yamaguchi and Furuichi, 2007). While the precise biochemical mechanisms mediating the blunted heroin CPP exhibited by Homer mutant and Grm5^{R/R} mice obviously require detailed study that are beyond the scope of this report, the results of larger-scale dose-response studies of cocaine or alcohol CPP argue that this heroin phenotype does not reflect a mere impairment of associative learning processes (Szumlinski et al., 2004, 2005; Datko et al., 2008; Goulding et al., 2009). Unfortunately, cessation of breeding programs for the various mutant lines precludes a full dose-response analysis of heroin CPP. Thus, it remains to be determined whether or not the blunted low-dose heroin CPP observed in injury-naïve Homer1a/1/2 or Grm5^{R/R} mutant mice reflects changes in the sensitivity or efficacy of heroin to elicit conditioned reward or if the blunted CPP extends to any other measure of heroin reward/reinforcement. However, arguing against increased sensitivity to heroin intoxication as a mechanism underpinning the blunted heroin CPP, all mutant lines exhibited WT-levels of heroin-induced locomotion throughout testing.



Interestingly, Homer1 deletion abolished low-dose heroin CPP, while intra-NAC shRNA-Homer1c infusion had absolutely no effect. These data indicate either that: (1) the neural locus mediating the CPP effect of Homer1 deletion resides outside the NAC or (2) the CPP effect of Homer1 deletion reflect an absence of inducible, rather than constitutively expressed, Homer1 gene products. As the effects of Homer1a deletion mirrored those of Homer1 deletion argues in favor of the latter possibility. However, based on suggestions of regional differences in heroin-induced changes in Homer1 mRNA within PFC, AMY, and dorsal striatum (Kuntz et al., 2008; Okvist et al., 2011; Jacobs et al., 2012), Homer1 gene products in these other addiction-relevant brain regions may contribute more so to the conditioned incentive motivational properties of low-dose heroin. It is interesting to note, however, that intra-NAC cDNA-Homer1c, as well as intrathecal cDNA-Homer1a, -Homer1c, and -Homer2b infusion, in injury-naïve mice was sufficient to block heroin CPP. The result for the NAC may be counterintuitive based on the findings from the KO studies, but, as argued below, may reflect a facilitation of low-dose heroin hyperalgesia that renders the heroin experience more aversive.

INJURY-INDUCED HEROIN CPA ALSO REQUIRES INTACT mgluR5-HOMER INTERACTIONS

Most notable and distinct from the results of earlier CPP studies in injured animals (c.f., Niikura et al., 2010), neuropathic B6 mice exhibited CPA in response to 0.1 mg/kg heroin – a dose of heroin that supported CPP in uninjured animals. In WT mice, CCI clearly augmented pain symptoms prior to heroin conditioning (see also Obara et al., 2013), supporting a causal



(4x) of GPP staining within the NAC shell produced by the siniva-homenic construct [see box in (A) for orientation]. (A") Micrograph (20×) of immunostaining for the HA-tagged cDNA-Homenic construct within NAC shell illustrating both cell body and process staining in the tissue surrounding the microinjector tip (bracket). At 3 weeks following intra-NAC infusion, mice were subjected to CCI procedures, followed by behavioral testing. (B) Relative to GFP vector controls (GFP), altering NAC Homenic expression bi-directionally altered both mechanical hypersensitivity assessed in the von Frey test [AAV × Time ANOVA: $F_{(2,130)} = 150.6$, p < 0.0001] and cold hypersensitivity assessed in the acetone test [AAV × Time ANOVA: $F_{(2,130)} = 93.27$, p < 0.0001].

p < 0.05 vs. GPF. (C) No charges in the tai-link test were observed following intra-NAC AAV infusion (one-way ANOVA, p = 0.38). (D) An AAV × CCI interaction was observed for heroin-induced place-conditioning [$F_{12,52}$] = 3.79, p = 0.03]. In GFP controls, cDNA-Homer1c over-expression prevented heroin CPP, while shRNA-Homer1c was without effect [$F_{0,230}$] = 3.36, p = 0.04; Tukey's *post hoc* tests]. *T*-tests confirmed the presence of a significant CPP in GFP controls [$t_{(0)}$ = 4.20, p = 0.002] and shRNA-infused control animals [$t_{(0)}$ = 3.49, p = 0.007]. In contrast, both Homer1c manipulations attenuated heroin CPA in CCI mice [$F_{(2,24)}$ = 7.37, p = 0.003; Tukey's *post hoc* tests]. *T*-tests confirmed a significant CPA in scrambled controls [$t_{(0)}$ = 3.49, p = 0.007], but no conditioning in Homer1c-manipulated animals (*t*-tests, p > 0.05). *p < 0.05 Paired vs. unpaired (conditioning; *t*-tests); *p < 0.05 vs. scrambled AAV. The data represent the mean ± SEM of 8–11 mice/AAV/condition.

relation between pain symptomatology and low-dose heroin aversion. In further support of a direct cause-effect relation between pain and heroin aversion, cDNA-Homer1 infusion into either the NAC or spinal cord augments pain hypersensitivity and abolishes heroin CPP in injury-naive animals. Furthermore, intra-NAC shRNA-Homer1c infusion, a manipulation that reduced pain hypersensitivity following CCI, prevented subsequent heroin CPA. However, neither intra-NAC nor intrathecal cDNA-Homer1c infusion potentiated heroin CPA in CCI animals. In fact, NAC cDNA-Homer1c transduction in CCI mice attenuated heroin CPA,



although the magnitude of place-conditioning was not statistically different from GFP-infused CCI controls. These data, coupled with the lack of any significant cDNA effect in our spinal cord study (where weak CPA was observed in CCI mice) argue against a ceiling effect limiting the expression of CCI-induced CPA. Arguably, however, the fact that the effects of cDNA-Homer infusion upon heroin place-conditioning were not additive with those produced by CCI alone might be interpreted to reflect mechanistic interdependency, an interpretation that would be consistent with the notion that CCI-induced increases in glutamate receptor/Homer expression are neuroadaptations that promote dysphoric states.

Indeed, the present data from the studies of transgenic mice support this possibility as no evidence for CCI-induced heroin CPA was apparent in any mutant strain; in fact, both Homer1 and Homer2 KO mice exhibited conditioned approach behavior following nerve injury. That both Homer1a deletion and the *Grm5*^{*R*/*R*} transgene exacerbate neuropathic pain symptoms, while neither Homer1 nor Homer2 deletion influence pain hypersensitivity (Obara et al., 2013), argues that the severity of neuropathic pain symptoms is not a determinant of CCI-induced deficits in heroin CPP (Table 2). CCI-induced neuropathy likely involves temporally dynamic changes in inducible vs. constitutive Homer expression, with early post-injury elevations in inducible Homers facilitating synaptic rearrangement that is later maintained by injury-induced increases in CC-Homer expression (e.g., Miletic et al., 2005, 2009; Miyabe et al., 2006; Tappe et al., 2006; Ma et al., 2009; Obara et al., 2013). Thus, the genetic interruption Table 2 | Comparison of the effects of constitutive gene mutations affecting mGluR5-Homer interactions or AAV-mediated changes in Homer expression upon the development of neuropathic pain symptoms following CCI, the expression of a low-dose heroin CPP, and the heroin CPA observed in CCI animals (present study; Obara et al., 2013)¹.

Gene manipulation	CCI pain symptoms	Heroin CPP	CCI-induced heroin CPA
CONSTITUTIVE GENE	MUTATION		
Homer1a KO	\uparrow^1	\downarrow	\downarrow
Homer1 KO	No effect ¹	\downarrow	\downarrow
Homer2 KO	No effect ¹	\downarrow	↓ (Full reversal)
Grm5 ^{R/R}	\uparrow^1	\downarrow	\downarrow
AAV-MEDIATED GENE	TRANSFER		
NAC cDNA-Homer1c	↑	\downarrow	\downarrow
NAC shRNA-Homer1c	\downarrow	No effect	\downarrow
IT cDNA-Homer1a	\downarrow^1	\downarrow	No effect
IT cDNA-Homer1c	\uparrow^1	\downarrow	No effect
IT cDNA-Homer2b	↑ ¹	\downarrow	No effect

CCI, chronic constriction injury of the sciatic nerve; CPA, conditioned placeaversion; CPP, conditioned place-preference; IT, intrathecal; NAC, intra-nucleus accumbens.

of the temporal dynamics of the interplay between inducible and CC-Homer protein expression at glutamate receptors, and likely other Homer-interacting molecules, while not always sufficient to prevent neuroplasticity within pain pathways, appears to be sufficient to prevent whatever mesocorticolimbic neuroplasticity mediating CCI-induced deficits in heroin-conditioned reward. Given the present data, it becomes important to characterize more systematically: (1) how heroin dose interacts with a chronic pain state to influence drug reward/reinforcement and to relate these interactions to the expression of different Homer isoforms, as well as their major interacting partners throughout the central nervous system; (2) to extrapolate findings for heroin to prescription opioid drugs employed in pain management, and importantly; (3) to examine the relevance of injury-induced changes in glutamate receptor/Homer expression for the incentive motivational properties of opioid and other non-opioid analgesic drugs (e.g., cannabinoids). Arguably, such lines of investigation will enable a better understanding of the molecular and cellular processes mediating pain-induced dysphoria, which has relevance not only for therapeutic intervention of pain-induced negative affective states, but also individual vulnerability to develop abuse or addiction during pain management with opioid or non-opioid drugs with high abuse potential.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by funds from UCSB, as well as NIH grants DA024038 and AA016650 to Karen K. Szumlinski, NIH grants DA11742 and DA10309 to Paul F. Worley, and by funds from UNSW, as well as the Australian Research Council Future Fellowship to Matthias Klugmann.

REFERENCES

- Ammon, S., Mayer, P., Riechert, U., Tischmeyer, H., and Hollt, V. (2003). Microarray analysis of genes expressed in the frontal cortex of rats chronically treated with morphine and after naloxone precipitated withdrawal. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* 112, 113–125. doi:10.1016/S0169-328X(03)00057-3
- Arnsten, A. F., and Rubia, K. (2012). Neurobiological circuits regulating attention, cognitive control, motivation, and emotion: disruptions in neurodevelopmental psychiatric disorders. J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry 51, 356–367. doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2012.01.008
- Ary, A. W., Lominac, K. D., Wroten, M. G., Williams, A. R., Campbell, R. R., Ben-Shahar, O., et al. (2013). Imbalances in prefrontal cortex CC-Homer1 versus CC-Homer2 expression promote cocaine preference. J. Neurosci. 33, 8101–8113. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1727-12.2013
- Baliki, M. N., Geha, P. Y., Fields, H. L., and Apkarian, A. V. (2010). Predicting value of pain and analgesia: nucleus accumbens response to noxious stimuli changes in the presence of chronic pain. *Neuron* 66, 149–160. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.03.002
- Baliki, M. N., Petre, B., Torbey, S., Herrmann, K. M., Huang, L., Schnitzer, T. J., et al. (2012). Corticostriatal functional connectivity predicts transition to chronic back pain. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15, 1117–1119. doi:10.1038/nn.3153
- Becker, S., Gandhi, W., and Schweinhardt, P. (2012). Cerebral interactions of pain and reward and their relevance for chronic pain. *Neurosci. Lett.* 520, 182–187. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2012.03.013
- Berridge, K. C. (2007). The debate over dopamine's role in reward: the case for incentive salience. *Psychopharmacology* (*Berl.*) 191, 391–431. doi:10.1007/s00213-006-0578-x
- Bromberg-Martin, E. S., Matsumoto, M., and Hikosaka, O. (2010). Dopamine in motivational control: rewarding, aversive, and alerting. *Neuron* 68, 815–834. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.11.022
- Chiechio, S., and Nicoletti, F. (2012). Metabotropic glutamate receptors and the control of chronic pain. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* 12, 28–34. doi:10.1016/j.coph.2011.10.010
- Colpaert, F. C., Meert, T., De Witte, P., and Schmitt, P. (1982). Further evidence validating adjuvant arthritis as an experimental model of chronic

pain in the rat. *Life Sci.* 31, 67–75. doi:10.1016/0024-3205(82)90402-7

- Colpaert, F. C., Taraye, J. P., Alliaga, M., Bruins Slot, L. A., Attal, N., and Koek, W. (2001). Opioid selfadministration as a measure of chronic nociceptive pain in arthritic rats. *Pain* 91, 33–45. doi:10.1016/ S0304-3959(00)00413-9
- Cozzoli, D. K., Goulding, S. P., Zhang, P. W., Xiao, B., Hu, J. H., Ary, A. W., et al. (2009). Binge drinking upregulates accumbens mGluR5-Homer2-PI3K signaling: functional implications for alcoholism. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 8655–8668. doi:10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.5900-08.2009
- Datko, M. C., Hu, J.-H., Williams, M., Reyes, C., Seeburg, P. H., Schwarz, M. K., et al. (2008). The induction of Homer1a is necessary for cocaineinduced neuroplasticity. *Soc. Neurosci. Abstr.* 767.10.
- Depue, B. E. (2012). A neuroanatomical model of prefrontal inhibitory modulation of memory retrieval. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 36, 1382–1399. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2012.02.012
- Dib, B., and Duclaux, R. (1982). Intracerebroventricular self-injection of morphine in response to pain in the rat. *Pain* 13, 395–406. doi:10.1016/0304-3959(82)90008-2
- Doth, A. H., Hansson, P. T., Jensen, M. P., and Taylor, R. S. (2010). The burden of neuropathic pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of health utilities. *Pain* 149, 338–344. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2010.02.034
- Fu, Y., Han, J., Ishola, T., Scerbo, M., Adwanikar, H., Ramsey, C., et al. (2008). PKA and ERK, but not PKC, in the amygdala contribute to pain-related synaptic plasticity and behavior. *Mol. Pain* 4, 26. doi:10.1186/1744-8069-4-26
- Goulding, S. P., Bergin, M., Hu, J. H., Worley, P. F., and Szumlinski, K. K. (2009). Evidence that the negative regulator of Group1 mGluR function Daughter of Homer (DOH) is necessary for high-dose alcohol reward in mice. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 33(Suppl. 1), 75A.
- Goulding, S. P., Obara, I., Lominac, K. D., Gould, A. T., Miller, B. W., Klugmann, M., et al. (2011). Accumbens Homer2-mediated signaling: a factor contributing to mouse strain differences in alcohol drinking? *Genes Brain Behav.* 10, 111–126. doi:10.1111/j.1601-183X.2010.00647.x
- Harris, R. E., and Clauw, D. J. (2012). Imaging central neurochemical alterations in chronic pain with proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy.

Neurosci. Lett. 520, 192–196. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2012.03.042

- Hu, J. H., Yang, L., Kammermeier, P. J., Moore, C. G., Brakeman, P. R., Tu, J., et al. (2012). Preso1 dynamically regulates group I metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15, 836–844. doi:10.1038/nn.3103
- Jacobs, M. M., Okvist, A., Horvath, M., Keller, E., Bannon, M. J., Morgello, S., et al. (2012). Dopamine receptor D1 and postsynaptic density gene variants associate with opiate abuse and striatal expression levels. *Mol. Psychiatry* (in press). doi:10.1038/mp.2012.140
- Jarcho, J. M., Mayer, E. A., Jiang, Z. K., Feier, N. A., and London, E. D. (2012). Pain, affective symptoms, and cognitive deficits in patients with cerebral dopamine dysfunction. *Pain* 153, 744–754. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2012.01.002
- Kalivas, P. W. (2009). The glutamate homeostasis hypothesis of addiction. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 10, 561–572. doi:10.1038/nrn2515
- Klugmann, M., Symes, C. W., Leichtlein, C. B., Klaussner, B. K., Dunning, J., Fong, D., et al. (2005). AAVmediated hippocampal expression of short and long Homer 1 proteins differentially affect cognition and seizure activity in adult rats. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 28, 347–360. doi:10.1016/j.mcn.2004.10.002
- Klugmann, M., and Szumlinski, K. K. (2008). Targeting Homer genes using adeno-associated viral vector: lessons learned from behavioural and neurochemical studies. *Behav. Pharmacol.* 19, 485–500. doi:10. 1097/FBP.0b013e32830c369f
- Kuntz, K. L., Patel, K. M., Grigson, P. S., Freeman, W. M., and Vrana, K. E. (2008). Heroin self-administration:
 II. CNS gene expression following withdrawal and cue-induced drug-seeking behavior. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 90, 349–356. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2008.03.019
- Kuroda, R., Yorimae, A., Yamada, Y., Nakatani, J., and Takatsuji, K. (1995). c-Fos expression after formalin injection into the face in the cat. *Stereotact. Funct. Neurosurg.* 65, 152–156. doi:10.1159/000098686
- Leknes, S., and Tracey, I. (2008). A common neurobiology for pain and pleasure. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 9, 314–320. doi:10.1038/nrn2333
- Lyness, W. H., Smith, F. L., Heavner, J. E., Iacono, C. U., and Garvin, R. D. (1989). Morphine selfadministration in the rat during adjuvant-induced arthritis. *Life Sci.* 45, 2217–2224. doi:10.1016/0024-3205(89)90062-3

- Ma, Z. L., Zhu, W., Zhang, W., and Gu, X. P. (2009). Effect of the synaptic scaffolding protein Homer1a on chronic compression of dorsal root ganglion. *Ann. Clin. Lab. Sci.* 39, 71–75.
- Martin, T. J., Buechler, N. L., Kim, S. A., Ewan, E. E., Xiao, R., and Childers, S. R. (2011). Involvement of the lateral amygdala in the antiallodynic and reinforcing effects of heroin in rats after peripheral nerve injury. *Anesthesiology* 114, 633–642. doi:10. 1097/ALN.0b013e318209aba7
- Martin, T. J., Kim, S. A., Buechler, N. L., Porreca, F., and Eisenach, J. C. (2007). Opioid self-administration in the nerve injured rat: relevance of antiallodynic effects to drug consumption and effects of intrathecal analgesics. *Anesthesiology* 106, 312–322. doi:10.1097/00000542-200702000-00020
- McGeehan, A. J., and Olive, M. F. (2003). The mGluR5 antagonist MPEP reduces the conditioned rewarding effects of cocaine but not other drugs of abuse. *Synapse* 47, 240–242. doi:10.1002/syn.10166
- Miletic, G., Driver, A. M., Miyabe-Nishiwaki, T., and Miletic, V. (2009). Early changes in Homer1 proteins in the spinal dorsal horn are associated with loose ligation of the rat sciatic nerve. *Anesth. Analg.* 109, 2000–2007. doi:10. 1213/ANE.0b013e3181beea9b
- Miletic, G., Miyabe, T., Gebhardt, K. J., and Miletic, V. (2005). Increased levels of Homer1b/c and Shank1a in the post-synaptic density of spinal dorsal horn neurons are associated with neuropathic pain in rats. *Neurosci. Lett.* 386, 189–193. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2005.06.007
- Minozzi, S., Amato, L., and Davoli, M. (2013). Development of dependence following treatment with opioid analgesics for pain relief: a systematic review. *Addiction* 108, 688–698. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.04005.x
- Miyabe, T., Miletic, G., and Miletic, V. (2006). Loose ligation of the sciatic nerve in rats elicits transient up-regulation of Homer1a gene expression in the spinal dorsal horn. *Neurosci. Lett.* 398, 296–299. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2006.01.011
- Narita, M., Kishimoto, Y., Ise, Y., Yajima, Y., Misawa, K., and Suzuki, T. (2005). Direct evidence for the involvement of the mesolimbic kappaopioid system in the morphineinduced rewarding effect under an inflammatory pain-like state. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 30, 111–118. doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1300527

- Narita, M., Oe, K., Kato, H., Shibasaki, M., Narita, M., Yajima, Y., et al. (2004). Implication of spinal protein kinase C in the suppression of morphine-induced rewarding effect under a neuropathic pain-like state in mice. *Neuroscience* 125, 545–551. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004. 02.022
- Narita, M., Ozaki, S., Narita, M., Ise, Y., Yajima, Y., and Suzuki, T. (2003). Change in the expression of c-fos in the rat brain following sciatic nerve ligation. *Neurosci. Lett.* 352, 231–233. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2003.08.052
- Neugebauer, V. (2006). "Subcortical processing of nociceptive information: basal ganglia and amygdala," in *Pain*, eds F. Cervero and T. S. Jensen (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 141–158.
- Neugebauer, V., Li, W., Bird, G. C., and Han, J. S. (2004). The amygdala and persistent pain. *Neuroscientist* 10, 221–234. doi:10.1177/1073858403261077
- Niikura, K., Narita, M., Butelman, E. R., Kreek, M. J., and Suzuki, T. (2010). Neuropathic and chronic pain stimuli downregulate central mu-opioid and dopaminergic transmission. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 31, 299–305. doi:10.1016/j.tips.2010.04.003
- Obara, I., Goulding, S. P., Hu, J. H., Klugmann, M., Worley, P. F., and Szumlinski, K. K. (2013). Nerve injury-induced changes in Homer/glutamate receptor signaling contribute to development and maintenance of neuropathic pain. *Pain* (in press). doi:10.1016/j.pain.2013.03.035
- Obara, I., Mika, J., Schafer, M. K., and Przewlocka, B. (2003). Antagonists of the kappa-opioid receptor enhance allodynia in rats and mice after sciatic nerve ligation. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 140, 538–546. doi:10.1038/sj.bjp.0705427
- Ohayon, M. M., and Schatzberg, A. F. (2010). Chronic pain and major depressive disorder in the general population. J. Psychiatr. Res. 44, 454–461. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2009.10.013
- Okvist, A., Fagergren, P., Whittard, J., Garcia-Osta, A., Drakenberg, K., Horvath, M. C., et al. (2011). Dysregulated postsynaptic density and endocytic zone in the amygdala of human heroin and cocaine abusers. *Biol. Psychiatry* 69, 245–252. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.09.037
- Olive, M. F., Cleva, R. M., Kalivas, P. W., and Malcolm, R. J. (2012). Glutamatergic medications

for the treatment of drug and behavioral addictions. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 100, 801–810. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2011.04.015

- Oluigbo, C. O., Salma, A., and Rezai, A. R. (2012). Targeting the affective and cognitive aspects of chronic neuropathic pain using basal forebrain neuromodulation: rationale, review and proposal. *J. Clin. Neurosci.* 19, 1216–1221. doi:10.1016/j.jocn. 2012.04.002
- Osikowicz, M., Mika, J., Makuch, W., and Przewlocka, B. (2008). Glutamate receptor ligands attenuate allodynia and hyperalgesia and potentiate morphine effects in a mouse model of neuropathic pain. *Pain* 139, 117–126.
- Osikowicz, M., Mika, J., and Przewłocka, B. (2013). The glutamatergic system as a target for neuropathic pain relief. *Exp. Physiol.* 98, 372–384. doi:10. 1113/expphysiol.2012.069922
- Ozaki, S., Narita, M., Narita, M., Iino, M., Miyoshi, K., and Suzuki, T. (2003). Suppression of the morphine-induced rewarding effect and G-protein activation in the lower midbrain following nerve injury in the mouse: involvement of G-protein-coupled receptor kinase 2. Neuroscience 116, 89–97. doi:10.1016/S0306-4522(02)00699-1
- Ozaki, S., Narita, M., Narita, M., Ozaki, M., Khotib, J., and Suzuki, T. (2004). Role of extracellular signal-regulated kinase in the ventaral tegmental area in the suppression of the morphine-induced rewarding effect in mice with sciatic nerve ligation. *J. Neurochem.* 88, 1389–1397. doi:10.1046/j.1471-4159.2003.02272.x
- Penzner, J. H., Thompson, D. L., Arth, C., Fowler, J. K., Ary, A. W., and Szumlinski, K. K. (2008). Protracted "anti-addictive" phenotype produced in C57BL/6J mice by adolescent phenylpropanolamine treatment. Addict. Biol. 13, 310–325. doi:10.1111/ j.1369-1600.2008.00101.x
- Popik, P., and Wróbel, M. (2002). Morphine conditioned reward is inhibited by MPEP, the mGluR5 antagonist. *Neuropharmacology* 43, 1210–1217. doi:10.1016/S0028-3908(02)00309-X
- Rodella, L., Rezzani, R., Gioia, G., Tredici, G., and Bianchi, R. (1998). Expression of fos immunoreactivity in the rat supraspinal regions following noxious visceral stimulation. *Brain Res. Bull.*

47, 357-366. doi:10.1016/S0361-9230(98)00123-3

- Rong, R., Ahn, J. Y., Huang, H., Nagata, E., Kalman, D., Kapp, J. A., et al. (2003). PI3 kinase enhancer-Homer complex couples mGluRI to PI3 kinase, preventing neuronal apoptosis. *Nat. Neurosci.* 6, 1153–1161. doi:10.1038/nn1134
- Shin, D. M., Dehoff, M., Luo, X., Kang, S. H., Tu, J., Nayak, S. K., et al. (2003). Homer 2 tunes G protein-coupled receptors stimulus intensity by regulating RGS proteins and PLCbeta GAP activities. J. Cell Biol. 162, 293–303. doi:10.1083/jcb.200210109
- Shiraishi-Yamaguchi, Y., and Furuichi, T. (2007). The Homer family proteins. *Genome Biol.* 8, 206. doi:10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-206
- Szumlinski, K. K., Ary, A. W., and Lominac, K. D. (2008). Homers regulate drug-induced neuroplasticity: implications for addiction. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 75, 112–133. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2007.07.031
- Szumlinski, K. K., Dehoff, M. H., Kang, S. H., Frys, K. A., Lominac, K. D., Rohrer, J., et al. (2004). Homer proteins regulate vulnerability to cocaine. *Neuron* 43, 401–413. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2004.07.019
- Szumlinski, K. K., Lominac, K. D., Oleson, E. B., Walker, J. K., Mason, A., Dehoff, M. H., et al. (2005). Homer2 is necessary for ethanolinduced neuroplasticity. J. Neurosci. 25, 7054–7061. doi:10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.1529-05.2005
- Tappe, A., Klugmann, M., Luo, C., Hirlinger, D., Agarwal, N., Benrath, J., et al. (2006). Synaptic scaffolding protein Homer1a protects against chronic inflammatory pain. Nat. Med. 12, 677–681. doi:10.1038/nm1406
- Tappe-Theodor, A., Fu, Y., Kuner, R., and Neugebauer, V. (2011). Homer1a signaling in the amygdala counteracts pain-related synaptic plasticity, mGluR1 function and pain behaviors. *Mol. Pain* 7, 38. doi:10.1186/1744-8069-7-38
- van der Kam, E. L., De Vry, J., and Tzschentke, T. M. (2009). 2-Methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)-pyridine (MPEP) potentiates ketamine and heroin reward as assessed by acquisition, extinction, and reinstatement of conditioned place preference in the rat. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 606, 94–101. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2008.12.042
- Wood, P. B., Schweinhardt, P., Jaeger, E., Dagher, A., Hakyemez, H.,

Rabiner, E. A., et al. (2007). Fibromyalgia patients show an abnormal dopamine response to pain. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 25, 3576–3582. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05623.x

- Wozniak, K. М., Rojas, С., Wu, Y., and Slusher, B. S. (2012). The role of glusignaling tamate in pain processes and its regulation by GCP II inhibition. Curr. Med Chem 19, 1323-1334. doi:10.2174/092986712799462630
- Xu, Q., Fitzsimmons, B., Steinauer, J., O'Neill, A., Newton, A. C., Hua, X. Y., et al. (2011). Spinal phosphinositide 3-kinase-Akt-mammalian target of rapamycin signaling cascades in inflammation-induced hyperalgesia. J. Neurosci. 31, 2113–2124. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2139-10.2011
- Yuan, J. P., Kiselyov, K., Shin, D. M., Chen, J., Shcheynikov, N., Kang, S. H., et al. (2003). Homer binds TRPC family channels and is required for gating of TRPC1 by IP3 receptors. *Cell* 114, 777–789. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00716-5

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 05 March 2013; paper pending published: 18 March 2013; accepted: 10 May 2013; published online: 07 June 2013.

Citation: Obara I, Goulding SP, Gould AT, Lominac KD, Hu J-H, Zhang PW, von Jonquieres G, Dehoff M, Xiao B, Seeburg PH, Worley PF, Klugmann M and Szumlinski KK (2013) Homers at the interface between reward and pain. Front. Psychiatry 4:39. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2013.00039

This article was submitted to Frontiers in Addictive Disorders and Behavioral Dyscontrol, a specialty of Frontiers in Psychiatry.

Copyright © 2013 Obara, Goulding, Gould, Lominac, Hu, Zhang, von Jonquieres, Dehoff, Xiao, Seeburg, Worley, Klugmann and Szumlinski. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any third-party graphics etc.