



Dynamic Patterns of Threat-Associated Gene Expression in the Amygdala and Blood

Adriana Lori^{1†}, Stephanie A. Maddox^{2,3†}, Sumeet Sharma^{1,2}, Raül Andero^{4,5,6}, Kerry J. Ressler^{1,2,3} and Alicia K. Smith^{1,7*}

¹ Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, United States, ² Neurobiology of Fear Laboratory, Division of Depression and Anxiety Disorders, McLean Hospital, Belmont, MA, United States, ³ Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States, ⁴ Institut de Neurociènces, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain, ⁵ CIBERSAM, Corporació Sanitaria Parc Taulí, Sabadell, Spain, ⁶ Department of Psychobiology and Methodology in Health Sciences, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain, ⁷ Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Richard Eugene Frye, Phoenix Children's Hospital, United States

Reviewed by:

Polymnia Georgiou, University of Maryland, Baltimore, United States Eva E. Redei, Northwestern University, United States Stefania Schiavone, University of Foggia, Italy

> *Correspondence: Alicia K. Smith alicia.smith@emory.edu

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Molecular Psychiatry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

Received: 18 September 2018 Accepted: 24 December 2018 Published: 17 January 2019

Citation:

Lori A, Maddox SA, Sharma S, Andero R, Ressler KJ and Smith AK (2019) Dynamic Patterns of Threat-Associated Gene Expression in the Amygdala and Blood. Front. Psychiatry 9:778. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00778

Stress and trauma profoundly influence psychiatric biobehavioral outcomes. The identification of treatment and biomarker targets would be accelerated by a broad understanding of the biological responses to these events. The goal of this study was to determine genes responsive to auditory fear conditioning (FC), a well-characterized amygdala-dependent rodent model of threat-exposure, in the presence or absence of prior stress history, providing insight into the physiological processes underlying response to trauma. RNA-sequencing was performed in blood and amygdala from mice that underwent fear conditioning with (Immo+FC) and without (FC) prior immobilization stress, a paradigm that induces HPA axis, and behavioral stress sensitization. In the amygdala, 607 genes were regulated by FC vs. home-cage (HC) controls, and 516 genes differed in stress-sensitized mice (Immo+FC vs. FC). In the former, we observed an enhancement of specific biological processes involved in learning and synaptic transmission, and in the latter processes associated with cell proliferation and the cellular response to drugs. In the blood of stress-sensitized animals, 468 genes were dynamically regulated when compared to FC, and were enriched for the biological pathways of inflammation and cytokine signaling. This study identified genes and pathways that respond to threat in the amygdala and blood of mice with and without a prior stress history and reveals the impact of stress history on subsequent inflammation. Future studies will be needed to examine the role of these dynamically regulated genes may play in human clinical stress and trauma-related disorders.

Keywords: threat, fear, PTSD (post-traumatic stress disorder), amygadala, stress

INTRODUCTION

Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a pervasive and debilitating psychiatric disorder that develops in vulnerable individuals after exposure to variable levels of trauma. A prior stress event, particularly early life trauma or abuse, has also been shown to cause poor psychiatric outcomes in adults, increasing risk for and the severity of PTSD (1, 2). The characteristic features of PTSD, such as hypervigilance and heightened startle reactions (DMS-V), associate with a patient's inability

1

to regulate their fear response in the presence of a nonthreatening situation (3, 4). Human neuroimaging studies and animal models have well established that the amgydala plays a central role in the processing of fearful and threatening stimuli and in mediating the constellation of responses that are associated with fear and threat-related behaviors (5, 6). Accumulating and compelling evidence now suggests that PTSD is associated with dysregulation of the amygdala, generally hyperactivity, in response to trauma-relevant or emotionally salient cues (7, 8).

Recent studies have identified differential gene expression patterns in blood between PTSD cases and trauma-exposed controls, reporting possible genes, and pathways associated with PTSD, several of which show dysregulation of the immune system and glucocorticoid pathways (9). However, there is limited knowledge of the degree of correlation between gene expression changes, accompanying trauma, and psychiatric conditions (e.g., PTSD) in the blood and those in the brain. Additionally, because of the obvious limitations of availability of human brain tissues, there had been paucity of brain-based transcriptomic studies. While transcriptomic studies from human post-mortem issues can aide in examining persistent, long-lasting changes in gene expression relevant to specific disease states, they do not permit examination of the transcriptional changes which occur in brain regions relevant for stress and trauma-related disorders at times proximal to trauma.

In this regard, traumatic memory formation in animal models can facilitate identification of genes whose expression is comparable between the amygdala and blood. As such, studies employing rodent models of stress and threat exposure may present a powerful approach toward bridging this gap. Moreover, studies examining the molecular mechanisms associated with the formation and persistence of threat-relevant memories have largely utilized Pavlovian fear-conditioning (FC), employing as conditioned stimulus either novel auditory cues to result in a largely amygdala dependent memory, or spatial, and contextual cues that integrate hippocampal and amygdala regions to regulate learning (5, 10). As much work has shown in human clinical studies has noted the importance of the amygdala in the pathophysiology of trauma-relevant disorders (11-13) and the impact of prior stress history on later risk for the development of PTSD accompanying trauma (14), we were most interested in examining the molecular changes occurring within the amygdala in the time period proximal to trauma. To meet this objective we used paradigm previously utilized by our group which has confirmed that mice immobilized for one 2h session, 1 week prior to auditory FC have impaired fear extinction and retention, phenotypes that are seen in human clinical PTSD (15, 16). In addition to the observed behavioral phenotype, mice exposed to the immobilization paradigm had hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenal (HPA) axis hypersensitivity, and transient changes in plasma corticosterone levels (4, 17). HPA axis abnormalities, such as low levels of cortisol in urine and plasma or higher suppression of cortisol in response to dexamethasone have been also reported in PTSD patients (18, 19). As the prior (immobilization) stress history model replicates many of the behavioral and hormonal alterations that are observed with human clinical traumarelevant disorders (20, 21), we utilized a robust mouse model of stress exposure and auditory fear conditioning to identify changes in gene expression in the amygdala response to fear conditioning with and without prior stress.

Ultimately, our goal was to identify correlated patterns of gene expression between blood and brain under these conditions to facilitate interpretation of blood-based studies of PTSD and to provide new insight into the pathophysiology stress-related disorders.

METHODS

Animals

All experiments were performed on adult male wild-type C57BL/6J mice aged 2–3 months obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. Male mice were group-housed in a temperature-controlled vivarium with set-point maintained at 72° F (\pm 1°) and relative humidity controlled at 40–50%, with *ad libitum* access to food and water. Each experimental group consisted of 12 mice maintained on a 12-h light/dark cycle, with all behavioral procedures being performed during the light cycle. All procedures used were approved by the Emory University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and in compliance with National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Mouse Immobilization Stress (Immo) and Fear Conditioning (FC)

Immobilization stress (Immo) and fear conditioning (FC) were conducted following the protocol from Andero et al. (4). Briefly, immobilization procedures were conducted in a room separate from housing and behavioral paradigms. Each animal was immobilized by restraining their four limbs with tape in a prone position to metal arms attached to a wooden board for 2 h. All cage-mate animals received the same treatment-either Immo or handling. Handling lasted ~1 min per mouse and consisted of letting the animal walk on top of their home cage and in the hands of the experimenter. After Immo, animals were returned to their home cage (HC) where they remained undisturbed for a week prior to fear conditioning (FC), which was performed in Immo animals and a subset of naïve animals. For auditory fear conditioning mice were habituated to white-light illuminated, standard rodent modular test chambers (ENV-008-VP; Med Associates Inc., St. Albans, VT) with an inside area of 30.5 cm (L) \times 24.1 cm (W) \times 21.0 cm (H) for 10 min on 2 consecutive days prior to fear conditioning. Fear conditioning consisted of five trials of a novel tone conditioned stimulus (CS; 30 s tone, 6 kHz, 70 dB), which co-terminated with a foot-shock (500 ms, 0.6 mA) unconditioned stimulus (US). The tone conditioned stimulus was generated by a Tektronix function generator audio oscillator delivered through a high-frequency speaker (Motorola, Model 948) attached to the side of each chamber. The Pre-CS period lasted 180s and a variable inter-trial interval (ITI) was used between each CS-US pairing to result in a total conditioning session which lasted 840 s. The apparatus was cleaned with Quatricide[®] after each mouse.

Mice were sacrificed under basal conditions (HC group) or 2h following auditory fear conditioning (Immo+FC and FC alone groups)-a time point that our group has consistently utilized for looking at changes in transcriptional processes in the amygdala following auditory fear conditioning-with a brief exposure to isoflurane anesthesia, <30 s, followed by decapitation and trunk blood collection. Trunk blood from two mice of the same behavioral group was collected into a single 3 ml EDTA BD-Vacutainer tubes. A 250 µL aliquot of each blood sample was allocated for complete blood count, and the remaining sample was stored at -80° C. Brains were immediately frozen on dry ice and stored at -80° C, and 1 week later brains were mounted on a sliding, freezing microtome using Tissue-Tek OTC, and sectioned slowly to approximately Bregma -1.34 mm (22) to reveal the amygdala. One millimeter of bilateral amygdala punches, centered on the basolateral nucleus were taken and immediately frozen in microcentrifuge tubes on dry ice and stored at -80 degrees for later RNA extraction. The bilateral amygdala punches (and the blood) from 2 mice of the same behavioral group were pooled together, thus resulting in a total of 6 pooled samples for each behavioral condition that were sequenced. As the murine basolateral amygdala is slightly larger than 1 mm, we cannot exclude the possibility that other amygdala subregions were including in these tissue samples.

RNA Extraction and Sequencing

RNA extraction, QC, library preparation, and sequencing were conducted by the Yerkes Non-Human Primate Genomics Core (Atlanta, GA). Amygdala punches were homogenized with a bead milling homogenizer, and total RNA was isolated and purified from each sample with the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, CA) following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quality and quantity were verified with the 2100 BioAnalyzer PicoChip (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) before sequencing, and all samples had an RNA Integrity Number (RIN) score of nine or higher. For blood samples, globin mRNA transcripts were depleted using the GLOBINclearTM-Mouse kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 1 µg of total RNA was treated with biotinylated oligonucleotides to selectively deplete the α - and β -globin sequences. Subsequently, streptavidin paramagnetic beads were added, to capture the hybridized globin mRNA biotinylated probes, resulting in an average 25% loss of total RNA. Further purification of the globin depleted RNA was performed with SPRI magnetic beads as per manufacturer's recommendation.

Libraries were prepared using the Illumina (Illumina Inc. San Diego, CA) TruSeqTM RNA kit as per manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 250 ng of total RNA was used for library preparation.





GO number	Description	FE ^a	<i>p</i> -value ^b
AMYGDALA			
GO:0007611	Learning or memory	8.20	4.18×10^{-4}
GO:0008306	Associative learning	8.47	0.010
GO:0007268	Chemical synaptic transmission	3.65	0.008
GO:0003007	Heart morphogenesis	5.99	0.008
GO:0035556	Intracellular signal transduction	2.44	0.012
GO:0055085	Transmembrane transport	2.49	0.023
GO:0007507	Heart development	2.80	0.025
GO:0048511	Rhythmic process	3.81	0.025
GO:0007612	Learning	5.28	0.030
GO:0007157	Heterophilic cell-cell adhesion via plasma membrane cell adhesion molecules	6.03	0.027
GO:0045944	Positive regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter	1.75	0.036
GO:0006811	Ion transport	2.03	0.036
GO:0006351	Transcription, DNA-templated	1.50	0.041
GO:0045053	Protein retention in Golgi apparatus	27.87	0.040
GO:0007626	Locomotory behavior	3.87	0.045
BLOOD			
GO:0007156	Homophilic cell adhesion via plasma membrane adhesion molecules	12.4	1.63×10^{-26}
GO:0002376	Immune system process	3.07	0.009

TABLE 1 | Enrichment biological process analyses for differential express genes in fear conditioning mice compared to control (FC vs. HC).

^aFE, Fold Enrichment.

^bp-value following Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple testing.

The TruSeq method (low-throughput protocol) employs two rounds of poly-A based mRNA enrichment using oligo-dT magnetic beads followed by mRNA fragmentation using cations at high temperature. First and second strand cDNA synthesis was performed followed by end repair of the blunt cDNA ends. One single "A" base was added at the 3' end of the cDNA followed by ligation of barcoded adapter unique to each sample. The adapter-ligated libraries were then enriched using PCR amplification. The amplified library was validated using a High Sensitivity DNA chip on the Agilent Bioanalyzer. The libraries were further quantified on Qubit[®] 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) using the High Sensitivity dsDNA assay. Each library contained the same amount of RNA, and eight sample pools were multiplexed in each lane of the flowcell. PhiX was used as an internal control on each lane to monitor the error statistics, and sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq1000 system employing a paired-end 101 cycles run.

Statistical Analysis

Alignment to the 10 mm UCSC Mouse Assembly was performed using STAR version 2.3 (23); parameters were set using the annotation as a splice junction reference. Sample reads were assembled into transcript models using cufflinks (v2.1.1), which were then merged and processed with cuffdiff v2.1.1 (24) to produce per sample FPKM expression levels and estimate differential expression between the sample groups. Each tissue (amygdala and blood) was processed separately to allow for identification of tissue-specific differences for each behavioral condition. The false discovery rate (FDR) was controlled at 5% to account for multiple testing in all analyses (q < 0.05). Volcano plots were generated in R. Differentially expressed genes were further evaluated for the enrichment of biological processes using DAVID 6.8 (25). Differences in cell counts between groups were evaluated using an independent *t*-test.

RESULTS

In this study, two groups of mice (with and without a history of immobilization stress; Immo), were trained in an auditory fear conditioning paradigm (Immo+FC and FC, respectively); a third group of naïve, home-cage control animals was handled and removed from the vivarium but not exposed to any behavioral intervention (HC). All mice were sacrificed together 2h after last fear conditioning (or an equivalent time of day after handling), and gene expression patterns from blood and amygdala were compared. Examination of the freezing behaviors of animals in the Immo-FC and FC groups did not reveal any significant differences in baseline, pre-tone CS freezing (t = -0.39, p > 0.05) or tone CS freezing across the auditory conditioning session (t = 0.43, p > 0.05). Table S1 shows the total number of expressed genes in both tissues across the three different groups (HC, FC or Immo+FC). We observed tissue-specific gene expression, with an overall higher number of genes expressed in the amygdala relative to the blood in all three groups (HC, FC, Immo+FC). Overall 11,353 genes were expressed in both tissues, with 580 uniquely expressed in blood and 4,271 uniquely expressed in the amygdala.

TABLE 2 | Genes differentially expressed in FC compared to HC in amygdala and blood.

Gene	Amygdala			Blood		
	Fold change	p-value	q-value	Fold change	p-value	q-value
Nxpe4	-0.39	0.0003	0.010	-1.27	5.00E-05	0.002
Plxnd1	-0.22	0.0017	0.047	-0.82	5.00E-05	0.002
C1ql3	0.24	0.0012	0.037	-2.41	0.0001	0.004
Zhx2	0.29	0.0012	0.037	0.68	0.0001	0.006
Pbrm1	-0.26	0.0001	0.005	-0.68	0.0005	0.015
Adam8	0.47	0.0006	0.020	0.62	0.0008	0.022
Zbtb40	0.37	0.0002	0.007	0.67	0.0009	0.026
Myo5a	-0.30	0.0001	0.003	-0.53	0.001	0.030
Dmxl2	-0.42	0.0001	0.003	-0.66	0.002	0.045
Kif1b	-0.25	0.0012	0.036	-0.53	0.003	0.054
Sorl1	-0.37	0.0001	0.003	0.51	0.004	0.078
Sdc4	0.26	0.0008	0.025	0.46	0.005	0.088
Thada	-0.33	0.0010	0.032	0.49	0.006	0.098
Tra2a	0.32	0.0001	0.003	-0.45	0.006	0.106
Insr	-0.22	0.0015	0.044	-0.49	0.008	0.120
Galnt9	0.38	0.0001	0.003	-0.58	0.009	0.137
Myadm	0.22	0.0013	0.039	-0.48	0.011	0.152
Abhd2	-0.25	0.0007	0.023	0.50	0.013	0.172
Klf11	-0.41	0.0009	0.028	-0.48	0.016	0.195
Zfp280c	-0.31	0.0001	0.005	-0.50	0.020	0.225
Bptf	-0.25	0.0001	0.003	0.38	0.020	0.229
Numb	0.26	0.0005	0.017	0.39	0.022	0.241
Pds5a	-0.29	0.0001	0.005	0.39	0.023	0.248
Sdf2l1	0.58	0.0001	0.003	0.44	0.023	0.248
Egr3	0.33	0.0001	0.003	0.89	0.024	0.251
Arhgef12	-0.24	0.0004	0.015	-0.40	0.025	0.260
Kat6a	-0.28	0.0001	0.003	0.36	0.030	0.288
Guf1	-0.27	0.0006	0.021	-0.45	0.036	0.320
Phka2	-0.47	0.0001	0.003	-0.42	0.040	0.344
Zfp445	-0.21	0.0016	0.046	-0.33	0.044	0.362
Dusp1	-0.54	0.0001	0.003	0.37	0.045	0.364
Fkbp5	0.24	0.0007	0.024	0.35	0.048	0.377

Bold Gene Names: Adam8, a disintegrin and metallopeptidase domain 8; C1ql3, C1q-like 3; Dmxl2, Dmx-like 2; Myo5a, myosin VA; Nxpe4, Neurexophilin and PC-Esterase Domain Family, Member 4; Pbrm1, Polybromo 1; Plxnd1, Plexin D1; Zbtb40, Zinc finger and BTB domain containing 40; Zhx2, Zinc fingers and homeoboxes 2. ^bC1ql3 (C1q-like 3) changes in different direction in brain and blood.

Fear Conditioning Induces Robust Gene Expression Differences in Amygdala and Blood

We first investigated differences in response to fear conditioning (FC vs. HC). Figure 1A shows that FC induced gene expression changes in the amygdala, with 607 genes differentially expressed when compared to HC (Table S2; FDR < 0.05). FC resulted in a down-regulation of gene expression in the majority of these genes (76.6%). We then evaluated differentially expressed genes for enrichment of biological process and identified 15 processes that were enriched after multiple test correction (Table 1, Table S3). Among these processes, there was an enrichment of specific biological processes including memory formation and consolidation, and neurotransmission, with

learning or memory ($p = 4.18 \times 10^{-4}$), and associative learning (p = 0.01).

In blood, FC results in expression differences of 352 genes in blood relative to HC (**Table S4**; FDR < 0.05; **Figure 1B**), with the majority of genes identified (84.3%) having lower expression levels in FC when compared to HC. Only two biological processes were enriched among these differentially expressed genes (**Table 1**; **Table S3**), homophilic cell adhesion of plasma membranes of adjacent cells ($p = 1.6 \times 10^{-26}$), and immune system processes (p = 0.009). Comparison of genes regulated in amygdala (FDR < 0.05) with those regulated in blood (p < 0.05) revealed 32 genes differentially expressed in FC vs. HC, 9 of which reached FDR significance in both tissues (**Table 2**).



FIGURE 2 [Lear conditioning and prior immunization induce gene expression differences in amygdala and blood. Volcano plots and heatmaps show changes in gene expression in the amygdala (**A**) and blood (**B**) of animals that experienced immobilization (Immo+FC) prior to fear conditioning (FC). The horizontal axis of the Volcano plot is \log_2 fold change for differently expressed genes, and the vertical axis is the negative- \log_{10} of the *p*-values are plotted. Each dot represents a gene, with red dots showing genes reaching an FDR corrected *p*-value of 0.05, and green dots representing genes with FDR < 0.05 and absolute fold change >1; orange dots have an absolute fold change>1 but do not reach experiment-wide significance; black dots are genes whose expression is similar between the two groups.

Prior Stress Sensitization Induces Gene Expression Changes in Response to Fear Conditioning

To evaluate how prior stress exposure may alter the transcriptional processes that accompany fear conditioning, we compared amygdala expression in the Immo+FC to the FC group (**Figure 2A**). We identified 516 genes that had differences in expression levels (FDR < 0.05; **Table S5**, **Figure 2A**), 84.3% of which were higher in FC. Among those, we observed genes that have been associated with PTSD in previous human studies (e.g., *DRD2* and *HTR2a*) (26–29) or linked to anxiety or PTSD-like behaviors in humans or animal models (*Igf2, Grm2, Clock, Trhr*) (30–32) (**Table S5**; **Figure 3**). Enrichment analyses of the 516 differentially expressed genes revealed four biological pathways, including cell proliferation and cellular response to drugs (**Table 3**; **Table S6**).

In blood, prior stress history (Immo+FC) associates with expression differences in 468 genes relative to FC (**Figure 2B**), the majority of which (97%) increased in expression compared to FC (FDR < 0.05; **Table S7**). Enrichment analysis revealed 39 pathways including immune response, inflammation, and cytokine signaling pathways (**Table 3**). To contextualize these differences, we compared the proportion of blood cell types

(monocytes, neutrophils, and lymphocytes) between each group. Although there were no differences in blood cell composition between the HC and FC groups, Immo+FC had a higher proportion of neutrophils and a lower proportion of lymphocytes relative to both FC (p = 0.013) and HC (p = 0.007; **Figure 4**).

We then compared the genes whose expression differed in the amygdala (FDR < 0.05) with those that differed in blood. We identified 27 genes (Table 4) that change in Immo+FC vs. FC in both tissues, 20 (74%) of which occurred in the same direction in both tissues. Among the 10 genes that remained significant after multiple test correction in both tissues, Dmxl2, Trps1, Fgd4, and Thbd have similar expression patterns in Immo+FC and HC. Interestingly, the remaining genes in which immobilization (Immo+FC) had induced changes in expression seem to be involved in immune response (Lbp and Lnc2), anxiety behavior and schizophrenia (Pde7b), corticosterone homeostasis, and steroid transportation (Lcn2 and Soat1; Figure 5). While we cannot state whether or not these genes are also regulated by immobilization alone, the observation of regulation in both stress exposed and non exposed animals following fear conditioning suggests that these genes are similarly transcribed in the amygdala following fear conditioning.





DISCUSSION

This study utilized a translational animal model to examine molecular alterations associated with threat exposure in both the blood and amygdala of mice with and without a prior stress history. As much work has noted that, while trauma-related disorders can occur in individuals following exposure to a single trauma, individuals with a history of early stress and trauma are more likely to develop PTSD following subsequent trauma exposure (2, 33). We examined RNA expression changes in two different tissues: (1) the amygdala, often considered the "hub" of the fear and threat response in humans and animals (34, 35) and (2) the blood, the most common tissue used in human PTSD studies. Identification of a common gene expression response pattern presents a valuable step in translational biology, toward bridging the disconnect between how peripheral gene expression changes are relevant to PTSD-related behavioral alterations in humans.

In this study, fear conditioning resulted in differences in enrichment of genes implicated in learning and memory as well as general cellular processes in the amygdala (**Table 1**). These

results provide confidence in our approach, as they are consistent with established pathways relevant to amygdala-mediated fear learning. However, our observation of fear conditioning induced down-regulation of gene transcription in the amygdala is noteworthy as one might expect that associative learning would increase transcription to support plasticity necessary for memory formation. As fear conditioning has been found to result in multiple waves of transcriptional processes occurring across the minutes to hours that follow (36), these data should be viewed as a static snapshot of the dynamic transcriptional processes that accompany fear conditioning, i.e., 2 h for the current study. Other recent studies have utilized time-points more proximal (30 min or 1 h) to fear conditioning and more distant (6 h and 24 h) to examine transcriptional changes, and have also demonstrated conditioning related down-regulation and up-regulation of gene targets (10, 37-39). Therefore, while 2 h has traditionally been used by our group for examining transcriptional processes following fear conditioning in the amygdala, these data must indeed be considered as only a subset of the dynamic and highly interwoven molecular processes, including translational and epigenetic processes, which also accompany fear conditioning.

TABLE 3 | Enrichment of biological process among genes associated with prior stress immobilization.

AMYODALA COUCCERS Negative regulation of coll proliferation 3,43 0.004 COUCCERS Protein ficking 5,61 0,010 COUCCERS Persponse to undicked protein 9,39 0,014 COUCCERS Persponse to drug 3,18 0,029 ELOD COUCCERS 6,51 2,29 × 10*25 COUCCERS 6,51 2,29 × 10*25 0,000 COUCCERS 6,51 2,49 × 10*25 0,000 COUCCERS 1,81 × 10*6 0,000 1,81 × 10*6 COUCCERS 1,83 × 10*5 1,83 × 10*6 1,84 × 10*5 COUCCERS 1,81 × 10*6 1,54 × 10*5 1,54 × 10*5 COUCCERS 1,54 × 10*5 1,57 × 10*5 1,57 × 10*5 COUCCERS Positive reguidation of tumor necrosis tactor production 1,	GO number	Description	FE ^a	<i>p</i> -value ^b
G0.0008285 Negative regulation of cell proliferation 3.43 0.004 G0.0008285 Petsponne to unitided protein 3.39 0.014 G0.0008286 Responne to drug 3.18 0.029 G0.00082876 Immune registem process 6.51 2.29 × 10 ⁻²⁸⁵ G0.00082876 Immune registem process 6.51 2.29 × 10 ⁻²⁸⁵ G0.0008287 Immune registem process 6.53 3.38 × 10 ⁻⁴⁸⁵ G0.0008286 Response to lipopolysaccharide 4.89 1.61 × 10 ⁻⁵⁶ G0.0008296 Immune registem 3.63 1.64 × 10 ⁻⁵⁵ G0.0008296 Immune registem 3.63 1.64 × 10 ⁻⁵⁵ G0.0008296 Immune registem 3.63 1.64 × 10 ⁻⁵⁵ G0.0008295 Immune registrem 3.63 1.64 × 10 ⁻⁵⁵ G0.0008295 Immune registrem process factor production 3.68 1.64 × 10 ⁻⁵⁵ G0.0008295 Immune registrem process factor production 3.68 1.64 × 10 ⁻⁵⁵ G0.0008295 Profestive regulation of turne necrosis factor production 1.697 4.28 × 10 ⁻⁵⁵	AMYGDALA			
GOC008197Protein Indiany5.610.010GOC0081986Response to unidide protein3.380.029EUCOEuCoSteponse to drug3.180.029COC002370Immune response5.612.92 × 10 ⁻²⁵ GOC00494907India immune response5.613.833.83 × 10 ⁻²¹ GOC002370India immune response5.813.83 × 10 ⁻²¹ GOC002370Xercicitori glucunoitation39.595.18 × 10 ⁻³ GOC0023740Response to lopcolyaccharide4.971.14 × 10 ⁻⁶ GOC0023740Response to lopcolyaccharide3.931.42 × 10 ⁻⁵ GOC0023740Detense response3.831.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ GOC0023740Detense response to bacterium4.061.74 × 10 ⁻⁶ GOC0023770Pathw regulation of turor necrosis factor production9.081.74 × 10 ⁻⁶ GOC0023729Pathw regulation for turor necrosis factor production9.084.88 × 10 ⁻⁶ GOC0023729Pathw regulation of infermatory response7.070.0028GOC002375Pathw regulation of infermatory response7.710.0028GOC002375Pathw regulation of infermatory response3.340.003GOC002375Pathw regulation of infermatory response7.710.0028GOC002375Pathw regulation of infermatory response3.340.003GOC002375Pathw regulation of infermatory response3.340.003GOC002375Pathw regulation of infermatory response3.340.003GOC002376Pathw r	GO:0008285	Negative regulation of cell proliferation	3.43	0.004
GO0008986 Response to unificad protein 9.38 0.014 GO000426430 Response to drug 3.18 0.029 GO0005376 Immune system process 6.51 2.29 × 10 ⁻²⁸ GO0005687 Intrale immune response 6.51 2.29 × 10 ⁻²⁸ GO0005687 Intrale immune response 5.83 3.88 × 10 ⁻¹⁸ GO0005686 Response to lipopolysacharide 4.97 1.81 × 10 ⁻⁶ GO0005686 Intrane response 3.83 1.42 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO0006865 Cherrotaxis 6.04 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO0007274 Defense response to bacterium 4.66 1.44 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO0007274 Defense response to bacterium 6.64 1.74 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO0007274 Defense response to bacterium 6.64 1.74 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO0007274 Defense response to bacterium 6.64 1.74 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO0007274 Defense response to bacterium 6.64 1.74 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO0007290 Pelsive regulation of infermatory response 7.07 0.0028 GO0007575 MpBe-dependent to Hil-	GO:0006457	Protein folding	5.61	0.010
GOOD42433 Response to drug 3.18 0.029 BLOOD CO	GO:0006986	Response to unfolded protein	9.39	0.014
BLOOD Unitary sequences 6.51 2.29 × 10 ⁻²⁶ C0:00023776 Innute instrume response 6.51 6.24 × 10 ⁻²⁶ C0:0002697 Innute instrume response 6.53 3.33 × 10 ⁻¹⁶ C0:0002697 Xenobicit gluuroviralistion 38.59 5.18 × 10 ⁻²⁶ C0:0002695 Instrume response 3.33 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ C0:0000695 Immune response 3.33 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ C0:0000695 Immune response 3.33 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ C0:0000695 Immune response to bacterium 4.66 1.54 × 10 ⁻⁵ C0:0000695 Postive regulation of tumor necreals factor production 9.06 1.77 × 10 ⁻⁵ C0:00008913 Flavoridi biosynthratic process 16.97 4.82 × 10 ⁻⁵ C0:0006979 Postive regulation of Internatory response 7.07 0.0017 C0:0006975 Response to viss 6.38 4.56 × 10 ⁻⁴ C0:0006975 Postive regulation of Internatory response 7.07 0.0017 C0:0006975 Postive regulation of Internatory response 7.71 0.0028	GO:0042493	Response to drug	3.18	0.029
CO.002376 Immune registem process 6.51 2.29 × 10 ⁻²⁵ CO.000564 Inflammatory response 6.81 8.48 × 10 ⁻⁴² CO.000567 Xerobiolic glucuronicition 39.59 5.18 × 10 ⁻⁴⁵ CO.0005686 Response to lipopoyeaccharide 4.37 1.61 × 10 ⁻⁴⁵ CO.0005685 Immune response 3.33 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ CO.0005865 Immune response 3.43 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ CO.0005865 Immune response to bacterium 4.66 1.54 × 10 ⁻⁵ CO.0005876 Penetaxis 6.04 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ CO.0005876 Penetaxis 6.06 1.47 × 10 ⁻⁵ CO.0005876 Penetaxis 6.06 1.47 × 10 ⁻⁵ CO.00058778 Pesitive regulation of timer necroses factor production 16.97 4.82 × 10 ⁻⁵ CO.0005779 Pesitive regulation of interfeachine and 2.809 1.19 × 10 ⁻⁴ CO.000575 Positive regulation of interfeachine production 7.71 0.0027 CO.0005755 Positive regulation of interfeachine production 7.71 0.0028 CO.00057	BLOOD			
GC0046087 Index immune response 6.01 6.40 × 10 ⁻²³ GC0005954 Informutory response 5.83 3.83 × 10 ⁻¹⁸ GC0003257 Xenbidic glucannidation 39.59 5.18 × 10 ⁻⁸ GC0003258 Neutrophi chemotasis 3.39 1.14 × 10 ⁻⁶ GC0003255 Immune response 3.39 1.12 × 10 ⁻⁵ GC0003255 Chemotasis 6.04 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ GC0003256 Chemotasis 6.04 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ GC00032760 Positive regulation of tumor necrosis factor production 9.05 1.42 × 10 ⁻⁵ GC0002655 Flavonoid biosynthetic process 16.97 4.22 × 10 ⁻⁵ GC0002752 Calular response to inpotechic add 2.9.69 1.19 × 10 ⁻⁵⁴ GC0002755 Positive regulation of infermatory response 7.71 0.0028 GC0002755 Positive regulation of infermatory response 7.71 0.0028 GC000275 MOB8-dependent to like receptor signaling pathway 16.70 0.0038 GC0002755 Positive regulation of infermatory response 5.12 0.0031	GO:0002376	Immune system process	6.51	2.29×10^{-25}
CO.0069644 Inflammatory response 5.83 3.8.8 × 10 ⁻¹⁸ CO.0052667 Xenabloid glucumidation 36.59 5.1.8 × 10 ⁻⁸ CO.005266 Response to lipopolysaccharide 3.9.3 1.4.1 × 10 ⁻⁶ CO.005267 Neutrophi chemotaxis 3.9.3 1.7.2 × 10 ⁻⁵ CO.005265 Chemotaxis 3.9.3 1.7.2 × 10 ⁻⁵ CO.0052760 Positive regulation of turon necrosis factor production 9.06 1.4.7 × 10 ⁻⁵ CO.0052760 Positive regulation of turon necrosis factor production 16.97 4.8.2 × 10 ⁻⁵ CO.0052760 Positive regulation of turon necrosis factor production 16.97 4.8.2 × 10 ⁻⁵ CO.0052760 Positive regulation of infermatory response 7.07 0.0028 CO.0052720 Positive regulation of infermatory response 7.07 0.0028 CO.0052751 Positive regulation of infermatory response 7.07 0.0028 CO.0052752 Positive regulation of anglogenesis 4.22 0.0031 CO.0052753 Positive regulation of anglogenesis 4.23 0.0061 CO.0052721 Positive regulat	GO:0045087	Innate immune response	6.01	6.40×10^{-23}
GO.00528297 Xenobiolic glucuronidation 99.59 5.18 × 10 ⁻⁸ GO.0030536 Neutrophi Ichemotaxis 4.97 1.61 × 10 ⁻⁶ GO.0030536 Neutrophi Ichemotaxis 6.04 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0030536 Chemotaxis 6.04 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0006935 Chemotaxis 6.04 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0006936 Patronia biosynthelic process 6.04 1.42 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0006937 Patronia biosynthelic process 16.97 4.82 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0006936 Rearonia biosynthelic process 6.63 4.54 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0006937 Patronia dijucuronidation of infarmatory response 6.63 4.56 × 10 ⁻⁴ GO.000755 Posther regulation of infarmatory response 6.71 0.0007 GO.0003275 Posther regulation of infarmatory response 6.71 0.0007 GO.0003275 Posther regulation of infarmatory response 6.72 0.0007 GO.0003276 Posther regulation of infarmatory response 6.74 0.0007 GO.0003275 Posther regulation of infarmatory response 6.72 0.0003	GO:0006954	Inflammatory response	5.83	3.38×10^{-18}
GO:0032486 Response to lipopolysaccharide 4.97 1.61 × 10 ⁻⁶ GO:0030533 Neutrophil chemotaxis 8.39 1.42 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO:0008055 Immune response to bacterium 6.64 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO:000805 Chemotaxis 6.04 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO:0002742 Defense response to bacterium 4.66 1.47 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO:0002806 Pavonoid biosynthetic process 1.697 4.82 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO:0002706 Positive regulation of internetication 1.617 4.82 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO:0007123 Gelular response to lipotelchoic acid 29.68 1.19 × 10 ⁻⁴ GO:000726 Positive regulation of interleukin-6 production 7.71 0.0028 GO:000725 MpB8-dependent toil-like response to Gram-positive bacterium 7.67 0.0028 GO:000726 MpB8-dependent toil-like response to Gram-positive bacterium 3.61 0.0028 GO:0005726 MpB8-dependent toil-like response to Gram-positive bacterium 3.61 0.0032 GO:0005767 Positive regulation of anterleukin-6 production 3.72 0.0051 GO:000127 Po	GO:0052697	Xenobiotic glucuronidation	39.59	5.18×10^{-8}
GC0005033 Neutrophil chemotaxis 8.39 1.40 × 10 ⁻⁵ GC0006655 Immune response 3.93 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ GC0006655 Chemotaxis 6.04 1.72 × 10 ⁻⁵ GC0002742 Defense response to bacterium 4.66 1.54 × 10 ⁻⁵ GC00027760 Positive regulation of tumor necrosis factor production 9.05 1.47 × 10 ⁻⁵ GC00052806 Flavornoit biosynthetic process 16.97 4.82 × 10 ⁻⁵ GC000527123 Celular response to inpoteichicic acid 29.69 1.19 × 10 ⁻⁴ GC0005275 Positive regulation of infammatory response 7.07 0.0017 GC0005275 Positive regulation of infammatory response 7.07 0.0031 GC0005275 Positive regulation of infammatory response 7.07 0.0031 GC0005275 Positive regulation graphosity 4.27 0.0033 GC0005072 Iron in homeostasis 8.48 0.0045 GC0005276 Positive regulation of anipogenesis 4.42 0.006 GC00042766 Positive regulation of anipogenesis 4.27 0.0031	GO:0032496	Response to lipopolysaccharide	4.97	1.61×10^{-6}
GO.0009955 Immune response 3.83 1.72 x 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0009835 Chemotaxis 6.04 1.72 x 10 ⁻⁵ GO.00082760 Positive regulation of tumor necrosis factor production 3.06 1.47 x 10 ⁻⁵ GO.00082760 Positive regulation of tumor necrosis factor production 3.06 1.47 x 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0008281 Flavonoid glucorondation 16.97 4.82 x 10 ⁻⁵ GO.000729 Cellular response to lipotelichoic acid 29.69 1.19 x 10 ⁻⁴ GO.0002755 Positive regulation of infarmatory response 7.07 0.0027 GO.0002755 Positive regulation of infarmatory response 7.07 0.0028 GO.0002755 Positive regulation of infareuk/m-6 production 7.71 0.0028 GO.0002755 MyD88-dependent toll-like receptor signaling pathway 16.70 0.0028 GO.00019221 Cytokine-mediated signaling pathway 4.27 0.0031 GO.000275 Iron ion hornosotasis 0.0046 0.0069 GO.0004766 Positive regulation of anglogenesis 4.42 0.009 GO.000572 Brown fat cell differentiation <td>GO:0030593</td> <td>Neutrophil chemotaxis</td> <td>8.39</td> <td>1.40×10^{-5}</td>	GO:0030593	Neutrophil chemotaxis	8.39	1.40×10^{-5}
GO.0006935 Chemotaxis 6.04 1.70 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0042742 Defense response to bacterium 4.66 1.54 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.00022760 Positive regulation of tumor necrosis factor production 9.06 1.54 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.00022760 Flavonoid biosynthetic process 16.97 4.82 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.00022696 Flavonoid biosynthetic process 16.97 4.82 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.00027123 Cellular response to lipoteichola acid 26.96 1.97 × 10 ⁻⁴⁴ GO.0002755 Positive regulation of inflammatory response 7.07 0.0017 GO.0002755 Positive regulation of interioukin-6 production 7.71 0.0028 GO.0002755 Positive regulation of interioukin-6 production 7.71 0.0028 GO.0005072 Iron ion meostasis 8.48 0.0045 GO.00042127 Regulation of cell proliferation 3.34 0.0062 GO.000528 Positive regulation of inflammatory response 5.12 0.003 GO.00042127 Regulation of cell proliferation 3.44 0.0062 GO.00042127 Regulation of tumor necrosis factor biosynthe	GO:0006955	Immune response	3.93	1.72×10^{-5}
GO.0042742 Defense response to bacterium 4.66 1.54 x 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0052760 Positive regulation of tumor necrosis factor production 9.06 1.47 x 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0052760 Flavonoid glucuronidation 16.97 4.82 x 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0052866 Flavonoid glucuronidation 16.97 4.82 x 10 ⁻⁵ GO.005276 Response to virus 6.66 4.56 x 10 ⁻⁴ GO.005275 Positive regulation of internuxiory response 7.07 0.0028 GO.005275 MoB8-dependent tol-like neceptor signaling pathway 16.70 0.0028 GO.005275 MyB8-dependent tol-like neceptor signaling pathway 4.27 0.0031 GO.005272 Iron ion homeostasis 8.48 0.0045 GO.005272 Iron ion homeostasis 8.48 0.0062 GO.005272 Regulation of algiogenesis 4.42 0.0062 GO.005272 Regulation of angiogenesis 4.42 0.0062 GO.005273 Brown fat cell differentiation 3.34 0.0062 GO.005673 Brown fat cell differentiation 3.44 0.0073	GO:0006935	Chemotaxis	6.04	1.70×10^{-5}
GO.0032760 Positive regulation of tumor necrosis factor production 9.06 1.47 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0002913 Flavonoid biosynthetic process 16.97 4.82 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.00025666 Flavonoid glucuronidation 16.97 4.82 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0002752 Cellular response to injuscicholo acid 29.99 1.19 × 10 ⁻⁴ GO.0002755 Positive regulation of inflammatory response 7.07 0.0017 GO.0002755 MyB8-dependent tol-like receptor signaling pathway 16.70 0.0028 GO.0005072 In on homeostasis 8.48 0.0045 GO.0005072 Iron ion homeostasis 8.48 0.0045 GO.0005072 Iron ion homeostasis 8.48 0.0061 GO.0005072 Iron ion homeostasis 8.48 0.0061 GO.0005072 Iron ion homeostasis 0.0062 0.0062 GO.0005072 Regulation of angiogenesis 4.42 0.0061 GO.0005072 Regulation of angiogenesis 1.01 0.002 GO.0005072 Regulation of tumor necrosis factor biosynthetic process 1.71 0.003	GO:0042742	Defense response to bacterium	4.66	1.54×10^{-5}
GO.0009813 Flavonoid biosynthetic process 16.97 4.82 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.00252996 Flavonoid glucurrolidation 16.97 4.82 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.00071223 Cellular response to lipoteichoic acid 29.69 1.19 × 10 ⁻⁴ GO.0005729 Positive regulation of inflammatory response 7.07 0.0017 GO.0002755 Positive regulation of interleukin-6 production 7.11 0.0028 GO.0002755 Positive regulation of interleukin-6 production 7.71 0.0028 GO.0002755 Positive regulation of interleukin-6 production 4.27 0.0033 GO.0002755 Iron ion homeostasis 8.48 0.0045 GO.0002720 Iron ion homeostasis 8.48 0.0051 GO.00021663 Lipopolysaccharide-mediated signaling pathway 10.66 0.0052 GO.0002726 Iron ion homeostasis 3.44 0.006 GO.0002727 Regulation of angiogenesis 4.42 0.009 GO.00026073 Brown fat cell differentiation 9.17 0.002 GO.00026248 Monocyte chernotaxis 7.79 0.020	GO:0032760	Positive regulation of tumor necrosis factor production	9.06	1.47×10^{-5}
GO.0052696 Flavonoid glucuronidation 16.97 4.82 × 10 ⁻⁵ GO.0071223 Cellular response to lipoteichoic acid 29.69 1.19 × 10 ⁻⁴ GO.0009615 Response to virus 6.36 4.56 × 10 ⁻⁴ GO.0002755 Positive regulation of inflarmatory response 7.07 0.0028 GO.0002755 MyD88-dependent toll-like receptor signaling pathway 16.70 0.0028 GO.0005072 Iron ion homeostasis 4.84 0.0045 GO.0005072 Iron ion homeostasis 0.006 0.0062 GO.0005073 Defense response to Gram-positive bacterium 5.27 0.0051 GO.0005074 Iron ion homeostasis 0.006 0.0062 GO.0005075 MyD89-acharide-mediated signaling pathway 10.06 0.0062 GO.0005076 Defense response to Gram-positive bacterium 5.27 0.0051 GO.0005073 Brown fat cell differentiation 3.44 0.0062 GO.0005073 Brown fat cell differentiation 9.17 0.009 GO.0005078 Negative regulation of tumor necrosis factor biosynthetic process 7.79 <t< td=""><td>GO:0009813</td><td>Flavonoid biosynthetic process</td><td>16.97</td><td>4.82×10^{-5}</td></t<>	GO:0009813	Flavonoid biosynthetic process	16.97	4.82×10^{-5}
G0:0071223 Cellular response to lipoteichoic acid 29.69 1.19 × 10 ⁻⁴ G0:0009615 Response to vins 6.36 4.56 × 10 ⁻⁴ G0:000729 Positive regulation of inferieukin-6 production 7.07 0.0017 G0:002755 My088-dependent tol-like receptor signaling pathway 16.70 0.0028 G0:0002755 My088-dependent tol-like receptor signaling pathway 4.27 0.0033 G0:00055072 Iron ion homeostasis 8.48 0.0045 G0:0002766 Positive regulation of cell proliferation 5.27 0.0051 G0:00045766 Positive regulation of angiogenesis 4.42 0.009 G0:0005728 Negative regulation of inferentiation 9.17 0.009 G0:0005728 Negative regulation of inferentiation 9.17 0.009 G0:0005248 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.009 G0:0005248 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.020 G0:0005248 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.020 G0:0007125 Signal transduction 1.70 0.026 G0:0007126<	GO:0052696	Flavonoid glucuronidation	16.97	4.82×10^{-5}
GO:0009615 Response to virus 6.3.6 4.56 × 10 ⁻⁴ GO:0050729 Positive regulation of inflarmatory response 7.07 0.0017 GO:002755 Positive regulation of interleukin-6 production 7.71 0.0028 GO:0019221 Cytokine-mediated signaling pathway 16.70 0.0028 GO:005072 Iron ion homeostasis 8.48 0.0045 GO:0005030 Defense response to Gram-positive bacterium 5.27 0.0051 GO:0005072 Iron ion homeostasis 0.42 0.0061 GO:00050803 Defense response to Gram-positive bacterium 5.27 0.0051 GO:0005073 Brown fat cell differentiation 3.44 0.006 GO:0005073 Brown fat cell differentiation 9.17 0.009 GO:0005078 Negative regulation of inflarmatory response 5.12 0.013 GO:0002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.022 GO:0002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.99 0.022 GO:00027122 Cellular response to inpopolysaccharide 3.24 0.026 GO:00051607	GO:0071223	Cellular response to lipoteichoic acid	29.69	1.19×10^{-4}
GO.0050729Positive regulation of inflammatory response7.070.0017GO.0022755Positive regulation of interleukin-6 production7.710.0028GO.002755MyD88-dependent toll-like receptor signaling pathway16.700.0033GO.005072Iron ion horneostasis8.480.0045GO.0050830Defense response to Gram-positive bacterium5.270.0051GO.0042127Regulation of cell proliferation3.340.006GO.005073Brown fat cell differentiation9.170.009GO.005073Brown fat cell differentiation9.170.009GO.0050728Negative regulation of gene expression2.570.0051GO.0050728Positive regulation of unercorsis factor biosynthetic process7.130.013GO.002548Monocyte cherrotaxis7.790.020GO.002548Response to lipopolysaccharide3.200.021GO.002548Regulation of cell shape3.840.022GO.0007165Signal transduction1.700.026GO.0007185Receptor-mediated endocytosis5.940.026GO.0007186Receptor-mediated endocytosis5.940.026GO.0007185Signal transduction3.470.026GO.0007186Positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling3.590.035GO.0007186Positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling3.590.035GO.000766Positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling3.590.036 <trr<td>GO.003249</trr<td>	GO:0009615	Response to virus	6.36	4.56×10^{-4}
GO.0032755Positive regulation of interleukin-6 production7.710.0028GO.0002755MyD88-dependent toll-like receptor signaling pathway16.700.0028GO.0019221Cytokine-mediated signaling pathway4.270.0033GO.0055072Iron ion homestasis8.480.0045GO.0019231Defense response to Gram-positive bacterium5.270.0051GO.0031663Lipopolysaccharide-mediated signaling pathway10.060.0062GO.0042127Regulation of cell proliferation3.340.006GO.0045766Positive regulation of angiogenesis4.420.009GO.0050873Brown fat cell differentiation9.170.009GO.0050728Negative regulation of gene expression2.570.0031GO.002548Monocyte chernotaxis7.790.020GO.002548Monocyte chernotaxis7.790.020GO.002548Monocyte chernotaxis7.790.022GO.0027122Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide3.200.021GO.000360Regulation of cell shape3.840.022GO.0007122Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide3.470.026GO.0007165Signal transduction1.700.026GO.00051607Defense response to virus3.470.026GO.00051607Defense response to virus3.470.026GO.00051607Defense response to virus3.470.026GO.00051607Defense response to virus3.470.026GO.00051607	GO:0050729	Positive regulation of inflammatory response	7.07	0.0017
G0.0002755MyD88-dependent toll-like receptor signaling pathway16.700.0028G0:0019221Cytokine-mediated signaling pathway4.270.0033G0:0055072Iron ion homeostasis8.480.0045G0:0050830Defense response to Gram-positive bacterium5.270.0051G0:0031663Lipopolysaccharide-mediated signaling pathway10.060.0062G0:0042167Regulation of cell proliferation3.340.006G0:0045766Positive regulation of angiogenesis4.420.009G0:0050873Brown fat cell differentiation9.170.009G0:0050728Positive regulation of inflammatory response5.120.013G0:0042535Positive regulation of inflammatory response5.120.013G0:0050728Monocyte chemotaxis7.790.020G0:0034341Response to interferon-gamma10.280.012G0:0007122Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide3.200.021G0:0007122Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide3.440.022G0:0007165Signal transduction1.700.026G0:0007162Defense response to virus3.470.026G0:00051607Defense response to virus3.470.026G0:00051607Defense response to virus3.470.026G0:00051607Defense response to virus3.470.026G0:00051607Defense response to virus3.470.035G0:00051607Defense response to virus3.470.036 <td< td=""><td>GO:0032755</td><td>Positive regulation of interleukin-6 production</td><td>7.71</td><td>0.0028</td></td<>	GO:0032755	Positive regulation of interleukin-6 production	7.71	0.0028
GO:0019221 Cytokine-mediated signaling pathway 4.27 0.0033 GO:0055072 Iron ion homeostasis 8.48 0.0045 GO:0031663 Lipopolysaccharide-mediated signaling pathway 10.06 0.0052 GO:0042127 Regulation of cell proliferation 3.34 0.006 GO:0042127 Regulation of cell proliferation 3.34 0.009 GO:0045766 Positive regulation of angiogenesis 4.42 0.009 GO:004573 Brown fat cell differentiation 9.17 0.009 GO:0050728 Negative regulation of inflammatory response 5.12 0.013 GO:002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.020 GO:002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.021 GO:002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.022 GO:001122 Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide 3.20 0.021 GO:002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.026 GO:0007165 Signal transduction 1.70 0.026 GO:0007165 Signal transduction 3.47	GO:0002755	MyD88-dependent toll-like receptor signaling pathway	16.70	0.0028
GO.0055072 Iron ion homeostasis 8.48 0.0045 GO.0050830 Defense response to Gram-positive bacterium 5.27 0.0051 GO.0031663 Lipopolysaccharide-mediated signaling pathway 10.06 0.0062 GO.0042127 Regulation of cell proliferation 3.34 0.0061 GO.0045766 Positive regulation of angiogenesis 4.42 0.009 GO.0050873 Brown fat cell differentiation 9.17 0.009 GO.0050728 Positive regulation of inflarmatory response 5.12 0.013 GO.002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.020 GO.002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.021 GO.0002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.022 GO.0002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.022 GO.0003030 Regulation of cell shape 3.84 0.022 GO.0004341 Response to lipopolysaccharide 3.20 0.021 GO.00051607 Defense response to virus 3.47 0.026 GO.00051607 Defense response to virus 3.4	GO:0019221	Cytokine-mediated signaling pathway	4.27	0.0033
G0:0050830Defense response to Gram-positive bacterium5.270.0051G0:0031663Lipopolysaccharide-mediated signaling pathway10.060.0062G0:0042127Regulation of cell proliferation3.340.006G0:0050873Brown fat cell differentiation9.170.009G0:0050873Brown fat cell differentiation9.170.009G0:0050728Positive regulation of gene expression2.570.003G0:0050728Negative regulation of inflammatory response5.120.013G0:002548Monocyte chemotaxis7.790.020G0:002548Monocyte chemotaxis7.790.020G0:002548Monocyte chemotaxis3.200.021G0:002548Regulation of cell shape3.840.022G0:0003600Regulation of cell shape3.470.026G0:00051807Defense response to virus3.470.026G0:00051807Defense response to virus3.470.026G0:00051807Defense response to virus3.470.026G0:00051807Defense response to virus3.470.026G0:0051807Defense response to virus3.470.026G0:0051807Defense response to virus3.470.035G0:0051807Defense response to virus6.490.041G0:0051807Defense response to virus6.490.041G0:0051807Positive regulation of hagocytosis6.490.041G0:0052454Response to interferon-beta19.790.050 <td>GO:0055072</td> <td>Iron ion homeostasis</td> <td>8.48</td> <td>0.0045</td>	GO:0055072	Iron ion homeostasis	8.48	0.0045
G0:0031663 Lipopolysaccharide-mediated signaling pathway 10.06 0.0062 G0:0042127 Regulation of cell proliferation 3.34 0.006 G0:0045766 Positive regulation of angiogenesis 4.42 0.009 G0:0050873 Brown fat cell differentiation 9.17 0.009 G0:0050728 Positive regulation of gene expression 2.57 0.009 G0:002548 Negative regulation of tumor necrosis factor biosynthetic process 17.13 0.013 G0:002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.020 G0:002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 3.20 0.021 G0:002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 3.84 0.022 G0:002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 3.84 0.022 G0:007122 Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide 3.84 0.026 G0:0007165 Signal transduction 1.70 0.026 G0:0007165 Signal transduction 3.47 0.026 G0:00051607 Defense response to virus 3.47 0.026 G0:00032494 Response to peritor engulation of	GO:0050830	Defense response to Gram-positive bacterium	5.27	0.0051
GO:0042127Regulation of cell proliferation3.340.006GO:0045766Positive regulation of angiogenesis4.420.009GO:0050873Brown fat cell differentiation9.170.009GO:0050728Positive regulation of gene expression2.570.009GO:0042535Positive regulation of inflammatory response5.120.013GO:002548Monocyte chemotaxis7.790.020GO:002548Monocyte chemotaxis7.790.020GO:002548Monocyte chemotaxis3.200.021GO:002548Regulation of cell shape3.840.022GO:0034341Response to interferon-gamma10.280.021GO:0071222Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide3.200.021GO:0007165Signal transduction1.700.026GO:00051607Defense response to virus3.470.026GO:003123Positive regulation of 1-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling3.590.035GO:002546Response to virus3.590.036GO:002546Positive regulation of phagocytosis6.490.041GO:0025456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050GO:0025456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050	GO:0031663	Lipopolysaccharide-mediated signaling pathway	10.06	0.0062
GO:0045766 Positive regulation of angiogenesis 4.42 0.009 GO:0050873 Brown fat cell differentiation 9.17 0.009 GO:0010628 Positive regulation of gene expression 2.57 0.009 GO:00250728 Negative regulation of inflammatory response 5.12 0.013 GO:002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.020 GO:00034341 Response to interferon-gamma 10.28 0.019 GO:00071222 Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide 3.20 0.021 GO:0007165 Signal transduction 1.70 0.026 GO:0006898 Receptor-mediated endocytosis 5.94 0.026 GO:00051607 Defense response to virus 3.47 0.026 GO:0002494 Response to peptidoglycan 22.27 0.036 GO:0002494 Response to peptidoglycan 22.27 0.036 GO:00050766 Positive regulation of phagocytosis 6.49 0.041 GO:00050766 Response to interferon-beta 19.79 0.050 GO:00050566 Response to interferon-beta	GO:0042127	Regulation of cell proliferation	3.34	0.006
GO:0050873 Brown faccell differentiation 9.17 0.009 GO:0010628 Positive regulation of gene expression 2.57 0.009 GO:0050728 Negative regulation of inflammatory response 5.12 0.013 GO:002548 Monocyte chemotaxis 7.79 0.020 GO:0034341 Response to interferon-gamma 10.28 0.013 GO:0007122 Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide 3.20 0.021 GO:0007165 Signal transduction 1.70 0.026 GO:00051607 Defense response to virus 3.47 0.026 GO:00032494 Response to virus 3.47 0.026 GO:00043123 Positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling 3.59 0.035 GO:00050766 Positive regulation of phagocytosis 6.49 0.041 GO:00050766 Positive regulation of phagocytosis 6.49 0.041 GO:00050766 Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process 19.79 0.050	GO:0045766	Positive regulation of angiogenesis	4.42	0.009
GO:0010628Positive regulation of gene expression2.570.009GO:0050728Negative regulation of inflammatory response5.120.013GO:0042535Positive regulation of tumor necrosis factor biosynthetic process17.130.013GO:002548Monocyte chemotaxis7.790.020GO:0034341Response to interferon-gamma10.280.019GO:0071222Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide3.200.021GO:007165Signal transduction1.700.026GO:007165Signal transduction3.470.026GO:0051607Defense response to virus3.470.026GO:0032494Response to petidoglycan22.270.036GO:005766Positive regulation of plagocytosis6.490.041GO:0050766Response to interferon-beta19.790.050GO:005410Positive regulation of plagocytosis6.490.041	GO:0050873	Brown fat cell differentiation	9.17	0.009
GO:0050728Negative regulation of inflammatory response5.120.013GO:0042535Positive regulation of tumor necrosis factor biosynthetic process17.130.013GO:002548Monocyte chemotaxis7.790.020GO:0034341Response to interferon-gamma10.280.019GO:0071222Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide3.200.021GO:0007165Signal transduction1.700.026GO:0005898Receptor-mediated endocytosis5.940.026GO:0051607Defense response to virus3.470.026GO:0032494Response to peptidoglycan22.270.036GO:005766Positive regulation of phagocytosis6.490.041GO:0035456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050	GO:0010628	Positive regulation of gene expression	2.57	0.009
GO:0042535Positive regulation of tumor necrosis factor biosynthetic process17.130.013GO:0002548Monocyte chemotaxis7.790.020GO:0034341Response to interferon-gamma10.280.019GO:0071222Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide3.200.021GO:0008360Regulation of cell shape3.840.022GO:0007165Signal transduction1.700.026GO:00051607Defense response to virus5.940.026GO:00343123Positive regulation of 1-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling3.590.035GO:0032494Response to peptidoglycan22.270.036GO:005766Positive regulation of phagocytosis6.490.041GO:0035456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050GO:0045410Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process19.790.050	GO:0050728	Negative regulation of inflammatory response	5.12	0.013
G0:0002548Monocyte chemotaxis7.790.020G0:0034341Response to interferon-gamma10.280.019G0:0071222Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide3.200.021G0:0008360Regulation of cell shape3.840.022G0:0007165Signal transduction1.700.026G0:0006898Receptor-mediated endocytosis5.940.026G0:0051607Defense response to virus3.470.026G0:0032494Response to peptidoglycan22.270.036G0:005766Positive regulation of phagocytosis6.490.041G0:0035456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050G0:0045110Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process19.790.050	GO:0042535	Positive regulation of tumor necrosis factor biosynthetic process	17.13	0.013
GO:0034341Response to interferon-gamma10.280.019GO:0071222Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide3.200.021GO:008360Regulation of cell shape3.840.022GO:007165Signal transduction1.700.026GO:0006898Receptor-mediated endocytosis5.940.026GO:0051607Defense response to virus3.470.026GO:0032494Positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling3.590.035GO:005766Positive regulation of phagocytosis6.490.041GO:0035456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050GO:0045110Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process19.790.050	GO:0002548	Monocyte chemotaxis	7.79	0.020
GO:0071222Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide3.200.021GO:0008360Regulation of cell shape3.840.022GO:0007165Signal transduction1.700.026GO:0006898Receptor-mediated endocytosis5.940.026GO:0051607Defense response to virus3.470.026GO:0032494Response to peptidoglycan22.270.036GO:005766Positive regulation of phagocytosis6.490.041GO:0035456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050GO:0045110Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process19.790.050	GO:0034341	Response to interferon-gamma	10.28	0.019
GO:0008360Regulation of cell shape3.840.022GO:0007165Signal transduction1.700.026GO:0006898Receptor-mediated endocytosis5.940.026GO:0051607Defense response to virus3.470.026GO:0043123Positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling3.590.035GO:0032494Response to peptidoglycan22.270.036GO:005766Positive regulation of phagocytosis6.490.041GO:0035456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050GO:0045410Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process19.790.050	GO:0071222	Cellular response to lipopolysaccharide	3.20	0.021
GO:0007165Signal transduction1.700.026GO:0006898Receptor-mediated endocytosis5.940.026GO:0051607Defense response to virus3.470.026GO:0043123Positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling3.590.035GO:0032494Response to peptidoglycan22.270.036GO:0050766Positive regulation of phagocytosis6.490.041GO:0035456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050GO:0045110Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process19.790.050	GO:0008360	Regulation of cell shape	3.84	0.022
GO:0006898Receptor-mediated endocytosis5.940.026GO:0051607Defense response to virus3.470.026GO:0043123Positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling3.590.035GO:0032494Response to peptidoglycan22.270.036GO:0050766Positive regulation of phagocytosis6.490.041GO:0035456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050GO:0045410Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process19.790.050	GO:0007165	Signal transduction	1.70	0.026
GO:0051607Defense response to virus3.470.026GO:0043123Positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling3.590.035GO:0032494Response to peptidoglycan22.270.036GO:0050766Positive regulation of phagocytosis6.490.041GO:0035456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050GO:0045410Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process19.790.050	GO:0006898	Receptor-mediated endocytosis	5.94	0.026
GO:0043123Positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling3.590.035GO:0032494Response to peptidoglycan22.270.036GO:0050766Positive regulation of phagocytosis6.490.041GO:0035456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050GO:0045410Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process19.790.050	GO:0051607	Defense response to virus	3.47	0.026
GO:0032494Response to peptidoglycan22.270.036GO:0050766Positive regulation of phagocytosis6.490.041GO:0035456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050GO:0045410Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process19.790.050	GO:0043123	Positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling	3.59	0.035
GO:0050766Positive regulation of phagocytosis6.490.041GO:0035456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050GO:0045410Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process19.790.050	GO:0032494	Response to peptidoglycan	22.27	0.036
GO:0035456Response to interferon-beta19.790.050GO:0045410Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process19.790.050	GO:0050766	Positive regulation of phagocytosis	6.49	0.041
GO:0045410 Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process 19.79 0.050	GO:0035456	Response to interferon-beta	19.79	0.050
	GO:0045410	Positive regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process	19.79	0.050

^aFE, Fold Enrichment.

^bp-value following Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple testing.

In response to fear conditioning, prior acute stress immobilization conducted the previous week, induces distinct gene expression differences involved in immune activation pathways in blood. Several studies have shown a strict causal association between immune response, inflammation, and PTSD (40). Compared to mice that underwent FC alone, mice exposed

8



to prior immobilization showed gene expression patterns consistent with immune dysregulation. This was supported by the observation that blood from Immo+FC mice had a higher proportion of neutrophils, an essential part of the innate immune system, and an indicator of inflammation. The neutrophillymphocyte ratio has recently been used as an indicator of chronic low-grade inflammation, and known to associate with clinical outcomes in neuropsychiatric disorders (41, 42). Our data suggested that immune response genes and pathways are responsive to prior immobilization stress both in the blood and amygdala.

In examining transcriptional differences in the amygdala of mice with and without prior stress exposure, we observed a number of genes that have previously been associated with PTSD. In particular, DRD2, and HTR2a are of interest as both the dopaminergic and serotonergic systems have been traditionally implicated in the pathophysiology of PTSD (28, 43, 44). Indeed, dopamine dysregulation has been implicated in various PTSD symptoms (e.g., attention, vigilance, arousal, sleep), and DRD2 has been associated with PTSD diagnosis (26, 29). Similarly, as serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SSRI and SNRI) remain the first line pharmacotherapy for PTSD, and numerous candidate gene studies have identified a link between variants in serotonin related genes, including HTR2a (27, 28), our observation of differential expression of HTR2a is consistent with the previous literature and support for this neurotransmitter system in the consequences of threat and trauma exposure. We also identified genes (Igf2, Clock, Grm2, Trhr1) that have been previously associated with stressrelated phenotypes. Interestingly, Igf2 methylation has been found to associate with PTSD (45), fear extinction (30), and more classically in chronic stress response (46). Among other differentially expressed genes in Immo+FC vs. FC, Clock, a gene involved in the circadian rhythms, is particularly interesting as mice with mutations of this gene have altered anxiety behaviors (31), and as sleep disturbances are commonly

reported by PTSD patients (47). Similarly, Grm2 (metatropic glutamate 2 receptor) has been associated with anxiety-like behaviors in several rodent models, and activation of these receptors in the amygdala has been found to be necessary for fear related behaviors. Highly selective mGluR2/3 agonists depress excitatory neurotransmission in the amygdala (48), suggesting that such agonists may be potentially therapeutic for PTSD patients by reducing amygdala hyperactivity (32, 49). Finally, we observed regulation of the Thyrotropin Releasing Hormone Receptor1 (Trhr1) in Immo+FC animals; a novel finding that is of interest given its role in the hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis and the observation that clinical dysfunction of the thyroid hormone system can manifest with anxiety behaviors (50). These data support the utility of this approach in examining blood and brain-based alterations in threat exposure occurring with and without a prior stress history.

As one of our main objectives was to identify common biological responses to fear and stress in both brain and blood, we evaluated shared genes in amygdala and blood. Although there was a limited overlap in individual genes that responded to each condition (Table 4), we found six genes in which changes of expression were specifically associated with immobilization. Among these genes, Pde7 encodes phosphodiesterase-7, known for its regulation of T-cell function and association with regulation of immune response, and its inhibitors may help patients with immunological and neuro-inflammatory disorders (51). In rodents, inhibition of Pde7 regulates anxiety behaviors, mediated by increasing levels of hypothalamic thyrotropinreleasing hormone (52). Notably, a dual Pde7 and GSK-3β inhibitor significantly improves episodic and spatial memory and enhances fear memory, as well as facilitating paired-pulse inhibition and latent inhibition, both behaviors that have been found to be impaired in psychosis, suggesting that inhibition of Pde7 and GSK-3β enhances cognition (53). Lipopolysaccharide Binding Protein (Lbp) and Lipocalin 2 (Lnc2) are involved in an acute phase of immunological response and metabolic inflammation (54). Sterol O-Acyltransferase 1 (Soat1) plays an important role in cholesterol homeostasis regulation and metabolism, and it has been extensively studied as a target for hypercholesterolemia and Alzheimer's disease (55). The observation of immune response and inflammation-related genes is of particular interest given the recent emerging appreciation of the role of immune-response and inflammatory pathways in psychiatric disorders, including depression, autism, and trauma-related disorders (56, 57). Recent work examining the consequences of early-life inflammation via lipopolysaccharide administration has revealed impairments in fear memory extinction during adulthood (58), in line with our observation that a prior history of stress results alterations in inflammation, might suggest that prolonged inflammatory responses contribute to impaired fear extinction. Further, it is of interest that inhibition of pro-inflammatory cytokines has been suggested to facilitate fear memory extinction (59). Taken together, these findings suggest that a closer examination of the induction of inflammation and cytokine pathways in stress and trauma

TABLE 4	Overlap in	amygdala	and blood	d in	Immo+FC	vs. F	C.
---------	------------	----------	-----------	------	---------	-------	----

Gene	Amygdala			Blood		
	Fold change	p-value	q-value	Fold change	p-value	q-value
Dmxl2	0.39	5E-05	0.003	0.99	5E-05	0.002
Erdr1	-0.59	5E-05	0.003	-1.07	5E-05	0.002
Lcn2	3.36	5E-05	0.003	0.95	5E-05	0.002
Pde7b	0.33	4E-04	0.015	1.15	5E-05	0.002
Trps1	0.40	2E-04	0.007	0.81	5E-05	0.002
Sdf2l1	0.39	7E-04	0.024	0.64	2E-04	0.007
Soat1	0.34	5E-04	0.019	0.55	5E-04	0.015
Thbd	0.69	5E-05	0.003	0.69	7E-04	0.019
Fgd4	0.78	5E-05	0.003	0.84	8E-04	0.021
Lbp	0.83	5E-05	0.003	0.94	2E-03	0.047
Gh	-4.49	5E-04	0.019	-1.84	3E-03	0.058
Cers6	0.44	5E-05	0.003	0.55	4E-03	0.072
Rbm3	-0.30	1E-03	0.032	-0.46	4E-03	0.075
Stxbp6	-0.27	8E-04	0.025	0.74	7E-03	0.107
Lyst	0.53	5E-05	0.003	0.42	7E-03	0.110
Jhdm1d	0.35	5E-05	0.003	0.40	1E-02	0.154
Lnpep	3.10	5E-05	0.003	0.41	1E-02	0.178
Endou	1.01	5E-05	0.003	-0.76	2E-02	0.212
Cdk5rap2	0.72	5E-05	0.003	-0.44	2E-02	0.242
Polr2a	-0.26	7E-04	0.023	-0.36	3E-02	0.270
F5	0.60	3E-04	0.012	-0.38	3E-02	0.282
Prkdc	0.29	1E-03	0.031	-0.37	3E-02	0.310
Hpcal1	-0.31	5E-05	0.003	0.33	3E-02	0.311
Nr4a1	0.31	1E-04	0.005	-0.33	3E-02	0.312
Dnajb14	1.55	5E-05	0.003	0.54	4E-02	0.342
Pcnx	0.26	5E-04	0.017	0.33	5E-02	0.368
Pisd_ps3	-0.40	5E-05	0.003	-0.31	5E-02	0.373

Gene associated in both blood and amygdala. Gene Name: Dmxl2, Dmx-like 2; Erdr1, erythroid differentiation regulator 1; Fgd4, FYVE, RhoGEF, and PH domain containing 4; Lcn2, lipocalin 2; Lbp, lipopolysaccharide binding protein; Pde7b, phosphodiesterase 7B; Soat1, sterol O-acyltransferase 1; Sdf2l1, stromal cell-derived factor 2-like 1; Thbd, thrombomodulin; Trps1, trichorhinophalangeal syndrome I.

responses may yield new strategies for alleviating the deleterious consequences of stress and trauma.

While our data reveal transcriptional alterations in the amygdala and peripheral blood that accompany fear conditioning with and without prior stress history, it is important to note that other recent studies employing alternative models of rodent stress have examined gene expression in blood and brain (38, 60, 61). Our use of immobilization restraint stress is predicated on our prior experience with this paradigm resulting in altered fear memory processes, anxiety behaviors and HPA axis function (4, 15); as our primary goal was to examine the consequences of stress history on subsequent molecular alterations that accompany fear conditioning, we utilized this model to conduct this genetic discovery study. Importantly, while recent studies employing different stress procedures, 21-day variable stress, chronic restraint stress, repeated shock administration, and social defeat stress have been used to examine transcriptional processes in the amygdala, this single-session immobilization stress procedure is relatively acute in comparison (38, 61, 62). Coupled with our previous demonstration of altered fear and anxiety processes using this paradigm, the altered transcriptional processes observed in this current study suggest that even relatively brief exposures to stress prior to a threating situation, such as auditory fear conditioning, can be useful in revealing the lasting imprint of stress history on molecular events associated with traumatic memory formation. While it would be unwise to intensively interpret our data with relevance to those which have utilized different paradigms, it is important to highlight that many of these studies have also revealed alterations of genes that are associated with immune response, dopamine function, and glucocorticoid related signaling (38, 60, 61), which suggest that shared pathways altered by stress are emerging across a variety of behavioral models.

We acknowledge that this study has some limitations. First, although blood cell counts varied in the different groups, we were not able to account for such variation in the analysis but were able to capture the information related to that variation. Alternatively, as white blood cell counts change following immobilization, covarying for cell composition may obscure biological differences that accompany gene expression changes.



median; outliers are indicated by single dots.

Second, we focused our analyses on the amygdala, because of its role in fear and threat response behaviors. As such, we utilized auditory fear conditioning as it is well established to rely on amygdala function. As a result, we cannot extrapolate these data to other brain regions that contribute to stress and traumatic memory (e.g., hippocampus, insula, cingulate, and prefrontal cortex). Additionally, this study was conducted exclusively in male rodents, which showed a higher response to shock-induced contextual fear conditioning than females in terms of behavioral phenotypes (freezing and rearing activity) (63). However, given the higher prevalence and heritability of PTSD in females in human population (64, 65), and the emerging role of circulating estrogen levels in relation to fear and anxiety behaviors, additional studies should specifically examine transcriptional changes in females with full regard to the estrus phase. Next, in order to get sufficient RNA for a comprehensive survey of the transcriptome, we pooled two animals per sample for each group. Though this does not limit our ability to identify overall similarities and differences in different tissues of the same animals in response to threat exposure or to interpret pathway analysis, caution should be taken in interpreting the results of individual genes. Finally, we are aware that different strains of mice may generate different patterns of expression in response to FC; a cumulative analysis in different strains of mice could eventually increase the power to detect additional genes relevant to PTSD-related phenotypes (66).

In conclusion, this study provides a translational framework for mouse and human studies aimed at examining the molecular correlates that inform studies of psychiatric disorders. We were able to identify, in the amygdala, several genes that specifically respond to the stress immobilization paradigm, some of which have been traditionally associated with PTSD, and anxiety disorders. Future studies will be needed to evaluate if these genes associate with PTSD or stress-related traits in human clinical studies.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AL performed statistical analyses and contributed to writing the manuscript. SM performed the mouse experiments and contributed to study design, and manuscript writing. SS and RA helped with the mouse experiments and manuscript editing. KR helped to design the experiment, interpret the data, and write the manuscript. AS helped to design the experiment, analyze and interpret the data, and write the manuscript.

FUNDING

This research was supported by NARSAD Young Investigator Grants (#19233 and #22434) from the Brain Behavior Foundation. Additional support for RA provided by Ramón y Cajal program RYC2014-15784, RETOS-MINECO SAF2016-76565-R, and FEDER funds.

REFERENCES

- Daviss WB. The child trauma screening questionnaire predicts ptsd onset 6 months after traumatic accident better than the children's impact of events scale. *Evid Based Ment Health* (2007) 10:44. doi: 10.1136/ebmh. 10.2.44
- Powers A, Fani N, Cross D, Ressler KJ, Bradley B. Childhood trauma, PTSD, and psychosis: findings from a highly traumatized, minority sample. *Child Abuse Negl.* (2016) 58:111–8. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2016. 06.015
- Orr SP, Pitman RK, Lasko NB, Herz LR. Psychophysiological assessment of posttraumatic stress disorder imagery in World War II and Korean combat veterans. J Abnorm Psychol. (1993) 102:152–9. doi: 10.1037/0021-843X.102.1.152
- Andero R, Heldt SA, Ye K, Liu X, Armario A, Ressler KJ. Effect of 7,8-dihydroxyflavone, a small-molecule TrkB agonist, on emotional learning. *Am J Psychiatry* (2011) 168:163–72. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.100 30326
- Fanselow MS, LeDoux JE. Why we think plasticity underlying Pavlovian fear conditioning occurs in the basolateral amygdala. *Neuron* (1999) 23:229–32. doi: 10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80775-8
- Keifer OP Jr, Hurt RC, Ressler KJ, Marvar PJ. The physiology of fear: reconceptualizing the role of the central amygdala in fear learning. *Physiology* (2015) 30:389–401. doi: 10.1152/physiol.00058.2014
- Rauch SL, Whalen PJ, Shin LM, McInerney SC, Macklin ML, Lasko NB, et al. Exaggerated amygdala response to masked facial stimuli in posttraumatic stress disorder: a functional MRI study. *Biol Psychiatry* (2000) 47:769–76. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3223(00)00828-3
- Neumeister P, Feldker K, Heitmann CY, Buff C, Brinkmann L, Bruchmann M, et al. Specific amygdala response to masked fearful faces in post-traumatic stress relative to other anxiety disorders. *Psychol Med.* (2017) 48:1209–17. doi: 10.1017/S0033291717002513
- Logue MW, Smith AK, Baldwin C, Wolf EJ, Guffanti G, Ratanatharathorn A, et al. An analysis of gene expression in PTSD implicates genes involved in the glucocorticoid receptor pathway and neural responses to stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* (2015) 57:1–13. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.03.016
- Lamprecht R, Dracheva S, Assoun S, LeDoux JE. Fear conditioning induces distinct patterns of gene expression in lateral amygdala. *Genes Brain Behav.* (2009) 8:735–43. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-183X.2009.00515.x
- Hayes JP, LaBar KS, McCarthy G, Selgrade E, Nasser J, Dolcos F, et al. Reduced hippocampal and amygdala activity predicts memory distortions for trauma reminders in combat-related PTSD. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2011) 45:660–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2010.10.007
- Stevens JS, Jovanovic T, Fani N, Ely TD, Glover EM, Bradley B, et al. Disrupted amygdala-prefrontal functional connectivity in civilian women with posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2013) 47:1469–78. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2013.05.031
- 13. Stevens JS, Almli LM, Fani N, Gutman DA, Bradley B, Norrholm SD, et al. PACAP receptor gene polymorphism impacts fear responses in the

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the Yerkes Genomics Core at Emory University, and in particular Dr. Gregory Tharp and Dr. Nirav Patel for their help with RNA sequencing.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt. 2018.00778/full#supplementary-material

amygdala and hippocampus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (2014) 111:3158-63. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1318954111

- Kessler RC, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Alonso J, Benjet C, Bromet EJ, Cardoso G, et al. Trauma and PTSD in the WHO world mental health surveys. *Eur J Psychotraumatol.* (2017) 8:1353383. doi: 10.1080/20008198.2017.13 53383
- Andero R, Daviu N, Escorihuela RM, Nadal R, Armario A. 7,8dihydroxyflavone, a TrkB receptor agonist, blocks long-term spatial memory impairment caused by immobilization stress in rats. *Hippocampus* (2012) 22:399–408. doi: 10.1002/hipo. 20906
- Andero R, Brothers SP, Jovanovic T, Chen YT, Salah-Uddin H, Cameron M, et al. Amygdala-dependent fear is regulated by Oprl1 in mice and humans with PTSD. *Sci Transl Med.* (2013) 5:188ra173. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.30 05656
- Wingo AP, Velasco ER, Florido A, Lori A, Choi DC, Jovanovic T, et al. Expression of the PPM1F gene is regulated by stress and associated with anxiety and depression. *Biol Psychiatry* (2018) 83:284–95. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.08.013
- Stein MB, Yehuda R, Koverola C, Hanna C. Enhanced dexamethasone suppression of plasma cortisol in adult women traumatized by childhood sexual abuse. *Biol Psychiatry* (1997) 42:680–6. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3223(96)00489-1
- Phassouliotis C, Garner BA, Phillips LJ, Bendall S, Yun Y, Markulev C, et al. Enhanced cortisol suppression following administration of low-dose dexamethasone in first-episode psychosis patients. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* (2013) 47:363–70. doi: 10.1177/00048674124 65125
- Jovanovic T, Norrholm SD, Blanding NQ, Davis M, Duncan E, Bradley B, et al. Impaired fear inhibition is a biomarker of PTSD but not depression. *Depress Anxiety* (2010) 27:244–51. doi: 10.1002/da. 20663
- Sawamura T, Klengel T, Armario A, Jovanovic T, Norrholm SD, Ressler KJ, et al. Dexamethasone treatment leads to enhanced fear extinction and dynamic Fkbp5 regulation in amygdala. *Neuropsychopharmacology* (2016) 41:832–46. doi: 10.1038/npp.2015.210
- 22. Paxinos G, Franklin KBJ. *The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates*. 2nd Edn. San Diego, CA: Academic Press (2001).
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* (2013) 29:15–21. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635
- Trapnell C, Roberts A, Goff L, Pertea G, Kim D, Kelley DR, et al. Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. *Nat Protoc.* (2012) 7:562–78. doi: 10.1038/nprot.20 12.016
- Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc.* (2009) 4:44–57. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2008.211
- 26. Segman RH, Cooper-Kazaz R, Macciardi F, Goltser T, Halfon Y, Dobroborski T, et al. Association between the dopamine transporter gene and posttraumatic

stress disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* (2002) 7:903–7. doi: 10.1038/sj.mp.40 01085

- Lee HJ, Lee MS, Kang RH, Kim H, Kim SD, Kee BS, et al. Influence of the serotonin transporter promoter gene polymorphism on susceptibility to posttraumatic stress disorder. *Depress Anxiety* (2005) 21:135–9. doi: 10.1002/da.20064
- Mellman TA, Alim T, Brown DD, Gorodetsky E, Buzas B, Lawson WB, et al. Serotonin polymorphisms and posttraumatic stress disorder in a trauma exposed African American population. *Depress Anxiety* (2009) 26:993–7. doi: 10.1002/da.20627
- 29. Li L, Bao Y, He S, Wang G, Guan Y, Ma D, et al. The association between genetic variants in the dopaminergic system and posttraumatic stress disorder: a meta-analysis. *Medicine* (2016) 95:e3074. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000003074
- Agis-Balboa RC, Arcos-Diaz D, Wittnam J, Govindarajan N, Blom K, Burkhardt S, et al. A hippocampal insulin-growth factor 2 pathway regulates the extinction of fear memories. *EMBO J.* (2011) 30:4071–83. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2011.293
- Lamont EW, Legault-Coutu D, Cermakian N, Boivin DB. The role of circadian clock genes in mental disorders. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci.* (2007) 9:333–42.
- Baker DG, Nievergelt CM, Risbrough VB. Post-traumatic stress disorder: emerging concepts of pharmacotherapy. *Expert Opin Emerg Drugs* (2009) 14:251–72. doi: 10.1517/147282109029 72494
- Ogle CM, Rubin DC, Siegler IC. The impact of the developmental timing of trauma exposure on PTSD symptoms and psychosocial functioning among older adults. *Dev Psychol.* (2013) 49:2191–200. doi: 10.1037/a00 31985
- Blair HT, Schafe GE, Bauer EP, Rodrigues SM, LeDoux JE. Synaptic plasticity in the lateral amygdala: a cellular hypothesis of fear conditioning. *Learn Mem.* (2001) 8:229–42. doi: 10.1101/lm.30901
- Mahan AL, Ressler KJ. Fear conditioning, synaptic plasticity and the amygdala: implications for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Trends Neurosci.* (2012) 35:24–35. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2011.06.007
- Ou LC, Yeh SH, Gean PW. Late expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in the amygdala is required for persistence of fear memory. *Neurobiol Learn Mem.* (2010) 93:372–82. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2009. 12.003
- Ressler KJ, Paschall G, Zhou XL, Davis M. Regulation of synaptic plasticity genes during consolidation of fear conditioning. *J Neurosci.* (2002) 22:7892– 902. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-18-07892.2002
- Daskalakis NP, Cohen H, Cai G, Buxbaum JD, Yehuda R. Expression profiling associates blood and brain glucocorticoid receptor signaling with trauma-related individual differences in both sexes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2014) 111:13529–34. doi: 10.1073/pnas.14016 60111
- Katz IK, Lamprecht R. Fear conditioning leads to alteration in specific genes expression in cortical and thalamic neurons that project to the lateral amygdala. J Neurochem. (2015) 132:313–26. doi: 10.1111/jnc. 12983
- Baker DG, Nievergelt CM, O'Connor DT. Biomarkers of PTSD: neuropeptides and immune signaling. *Neuropharmacology* (2012) 62:663–73. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.02.027
- Rembach A, Watt AD, Wilson WJ, Rainey-Smith S, Ellis KA, Rowe CC, et al. An increased neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in Alzheimer's disease is a function of age and is weakly correlated with neocortical amyloid accumulation. *J Neuroimmunol.* (2014) 273:65–71. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2014. 05.005
- Ivkovic M, Pantovic-Stefanovic M, Dunjic-Kostic B, Jurisic V, Lackovic M, Totic-Poznanovic S, et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predicting suicide risk in euthymic patients with bipolar disorder: moderatory effect of family history. *Compr Psychiatry* (2016) 66:87–95. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2016.01.005
- Southwick SM, Paige S, Morgan CA III, Bremner JD, Krystal JH, Charney DS. Neurotransmitter alterations in PTSD: catecholamines and serotonin. *Semin Clin Neuropsychiatry* (1999) 4:242–8.
- 44. Lawford BR, Young R, Noble EP, Kann B, Ritchie T. The D2 dopamine receptor (DRD2) gene is associated with co-morbid depression, anxiety

and social dysfunction in untreated veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder. *Eur Psychiatry* (2006) 21:180–5. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2005. 01.006

- Rusiecki JA, Byrne C, Galdzicki Z, Srikantan V, Chen L, Poulin M, et al. PTSD and DNA methylation in select immune function gene promoter regions: a repeated measures case-control study of U.S. military service members. *Front Psychiatry* (2013). 4:56. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2013.00056
- 46. Jung S, Lee Y, Kim G, Son H, Lee DH, Roh GS, et al. Decreased expression of extracellular matrix proteins and trophic factors in the amygdala complex of depressed mice after chronic immobilization stress. *BMC Neurosci.* (2012) 13:58. doi: 10.1186/1471-2202-13-58
- Cox RC, Tuck BM, Olatunji BO. Sleep disturbance in posttraumatic stress disorder: epiphenomenon or causal factor? *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* (2017) 19:22. doi: 10.1007/s11920-017-0773-y
- Muly EC, Mania I, Guo JD, Rainnie DG. Group II metabotropic glutamate receptors in anxiety circuitry: correspondence of physiological response and subcellular distribution. *J Comp Neurol.* (2007) 505:682–700. doi: 10.1002/cne.21525
- Helton DR, Tizzano JP, Monn JA, Schoepp DD, Kallman MJ. Anxiolytic and side-effect profile of LY354740: a potent, highly selective, orally active agonist for group II metabotropic glutamate receptors. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* (1998) 284:651–60.
- Montero-Pedrazuela A, Fernandez-Lamo I, Alieva M, Pereda-Perez I, Venero C, Guadano-Ferraz A. Adult-onset hypothyroidism enhances fear memory and upregulates mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors in the amygdala. *PLoS ONE* (2011) 6:e26582. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.00 26582
- Safavi M, Baeeri M, Abdollahi M. New methods for the discovery and synthesis of PDE7 inhibitors as new drugs for neurological and inflammatory disorders. *Expert Opin Drug Discov.* (2013) 8:733–51. doi: 10.1517/17460441.2013.787986
- Valdes-Moreno MI, Alcantara-Alonso V, Estrada-Camarena E, Mengod G, Amaya MI, Matamoros-Trejo G, et al. Phosphodiesterase-7 inhibition affects accumbal and hypothalamic thyrotropin-releasing hormone expression, feeding, and anxiety behavior of rats. *Behav Brain Res.* (2017) 319:165–73. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2016.11.027
- Lipina TV, Palomo V, Gil C, Martinez A, Roder JC. Dual inhibitor of PDE7 and GSK-3-VP1.15 acts as antipsychotic and cognitive enhancer in C57BL/6J mice. *Neuropharmacology* (2013) 64:205–14. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2012.06.032
- Gomes JM, Costa JA, Alfenas RC. Metabolic endotoxemia and diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *Metabolism* (2017) 68:133–44. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2016.12.009
- Shibuya Y, Chang CC, Chang TY. ACAT1/SOAT1 as a therapeutic target for Alzheimer's disease. *Future Med Chem.* (2015) 7:2451–67. doi: 10.4155/fmc.15.161
- Miller MW, Lin AP, Wolf EJ, Miller DR. Oxidative stress, inflammation, and neuroprogression in chronic PTSD. *Harv Rev Psychiatry* (2017) 26:57–69. doi: 10.1097/HRP.00000000000167
- 57. Queissner R, Pilz R, Dalkner N, Birner A, Bengesser SA, Platzer M, et al. The relationship between inflammatory state and quantity of affective episodes in bipolar disorder. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* (2018) 90:61–7. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.01.024
- Doenni VM, Song CM, Hill MN, Pittman QJ. Early-life inflammation with LPS delays fear extinction in adult rodents. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2017) 63:176–85. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2016.11.022
- 59. Young MB, Howell LL, Hopkins L, Moshfegh C, Yu Z, Clubb L, et al. A peripheral immune response to remembering trauma contributes to the maintenance of fear memory in mice. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* (2018) 94:143–51. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018. 05.012
- Cohen H, Zohar J. An animal model of posttraumatic stress disorder: the use of cut-off behavioral criteria. *Ann NY Acad Sci.* (2004) 1032:167–78. doi: 10.1196/annals.1314.014
- Sillivan SE, Joseph NF, Jamieson S, King ML, Chevere-Torres I, Fuentes I, et al. Susceptibility and resilience to posttraumatic stress disorderlike behaviors in inbred mice. *Biol Psychiatry* (2017) 82:924–33. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.06.030

- Ponomarev I, Rau V, Eger EI, Harris RA, Fanselow MS. Amygdala transcriptome and cellular mechanisms underlying stress-enhanced fear learning in a rat model of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* (2010) 35:1402–11. doi: 10.1038/npp.2010.10
- Daviu N, Andero R, Armario A, Nadal R. Sex differences in the behavioural and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal response to contextual fear conditioning in rats. *Horm Behav.* (2014) 66:713–23. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2014. 09.015
- Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, Hughes M, Nelson CB. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the national comorbidity survey. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* (1995) 52:1048–60. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1995.03950240066012
- Duncan LE, Ratanatharathorn A, Aiello AE, Almli LM, Amstadter AB, Ashley-Koch AE, et al. Largest GWAS of PTSD (N=20 070) yields genetic overlap with schizophrenia and sex differences in heritability. *Mol Psychiatry* (2018) 23:666–73. doi: 10.1038/mp.2017.77
- Keum S, Park J, Kim A, Park J, Kim KK, Jeong J, et al. Variability in empathic fear response among 11 inbred strains of mice. *Genes Brain Behav.* (2016) 15:231–42. doi: 10.1111/gbb.12278

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Lori, Maddox, Sharma, Andero, Ressler and Smith. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.