



# Depression-, Anxiety-, and Anger and Cognitive Functions: Findings From a Longitudinal Prospective Study

Jutta Lindert<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Kimberley C. Paul<sup>3</sup>, Margie E. Lachman<sup>4</sup>, Beate Ritz<sup>3</sup> and Teresa E. Seeman<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Health and Social Work, University of Applied Sciences Emden/Leer, Emden, Germany, <sup>2</sup> Women's Research Center at Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, United States, <sup>3</sup> Department of Epidemiology, Jonathan and Karin Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, United States, <sup>4</sup> The Heller School for Social Policy and Management, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, United States, <sup>5</sup> Division of Geriatrics, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, United States

**Background:** Determinants of changes in cognitive function during aging are not well-understood. We aimed to estimate the effects of depression-, anxiety- and anger symptoms on cognition and on cognition changes, especially on changes in episodic memory (EM) and executive functioning (EF).

**Methods:** We analyze data from the Mid-Life in the Midlife in the United States Biomarker study at two time points including  $n = 710$  women, and  $n = 542$  men (1996/1997) at the first assessment and  $n = 669$  women, and  $n = 514$  men at the second assessment (2013/2014). To assess cognition we used the Brief Test of Adult Cognition (BTACT). To measure depression-, anxiety- and anger symptoms we used the Mood and Anxiety Symptom Questionnaire (MASQ), the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) and the State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory (STAXI). We used repeated models analyses to explore changes in cognition, and repeated measures linear mixed-effects models to investigate depression, anxiety and anger effects on cognition. All analyses were adjusted for potential confounders (cognition at baseline, age, education, income).

**Results:** At the first assessment, women had significantly better episodic memory functioning than men; men in the oldest age group had significant better executive functioning. At the second assessment, more education, and white ethnicity were associated with less negative changes on episodic memory and executive functioning. Depression- and anger symptoms were associated with declines in episodic memory among women; anxiety symptoms were associated with declines in episodic memory and executive functioning in both gender in men (EF:  $\beta: -0.02$ , 95% CI:  $-0.03$ ,  $-0.01$ ; EM:  $\beta -0.02$  ( $-0.02$ , 95% CI:  $-0.03$ ,  $-0.01$ ) and in women (EF:  $\beta -0.01$ , 95% CI:  $-0.02$ ,  $-0.0004$ ; EM:  $\beta -0.013$ , 95% CI:  $-0.03$ ,  $-0.001$ ).

**Conclusions:** Depression-, anxiety- and anger symptoms were associated with changes in episodic memory and executive functioning. Further longitudinal studies are critical in populations in more countries to better understand the impact of depression, anxiety and anger symptoms on cognition changes.

**Keywords:** depression, anxiety, cognitive function, longitudinal, anger

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Mateusz Cybulski,  
Medical University of Białystok, Poland

### Reviewed by:

Ramit Ravona-Springer,  
Sheba Medical Center, Israel  
Beata Galińska-Skok,  
Medical University of Białystok, Poland

### \*Correspondence:

Jutta Lindert  
jutta.lindert@hs-emden-leer.de

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Aging Psychiatry,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Psychiatry

**Received:** 08 February 2021

**Accepted:** 04 June 2021

**Published:** 06 August 2021

### Citation:

Lindert J, Paul KC, Lachman ME,  
Ritz B and Seeman TE (2021)  
Depression-, Anxiety-, and Anger and  
Cognitive Functions: Findings From a  
Longitudinal Prospective Study.  
Front. Psychiatry 12:665742.  
doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2021.665742

## INTRODUCTION

Decline in cognitive function is a significant Public Health problem associated with disability, personal, and family suffering, institutionalization and increased risk for dementia. Cognitive function refers to the mental processes, which are critical for the conduct of everyday activities (1). Such mental processes include attention, short and long-term memory [episodic memory (EM)], reasoning and planning of tasks (executive function (EF)) (2). Declines in cognitive function are possible with increasing age (3, 4). However, cognitive changes trajectories are heterogeneous and knowledge about modifiable risk factors is needed given the rising number of older people, worldwide (5) and the lack of effective treatments for cognitive function decline (6).

Studies suggest that a variety of socio-demographic and lifestyle factors are associated with cognitive function changes in the aging population such as gender and increasing age (7, 8), low childhood education (9, 10), smoking (11, 12), alcohol abuse (1) and social contact (13). The evidence for gender related cognitive function changes is mixed. In Northern Europe, women show higher EM levels than men, and in Southern Europe, women and men do not differ in cognitive function changes (14). Additionally to regional differences, gender differences in cognitive function tend to disappear among recently born cohorts (15, 16).

Additional to the socio-demographic and behavioral factors several aspects of emotions, especially depression and anxiety, have been implicated in risk of cognition decline (17–19). Studies suggest that ~20% of individuals aged 55+ experience depression- or anxiety symptoms (20, 21). Depression-, and anxiety symptoms are not only associated with physical health outcomes (22–24) such as cardiovascular diseases (25–27), and higher allostatic load (28) but with cognition decline (29–35).

Recent studies suggest that depression, anxiety and anger are associated with cognition decline and dementia risk. Depression has been recognized as potential risk factor for cognitive decline in a meta-analysis investigating risk and protective factors for cognition decline including 32 studies with 62 598 participants and a follow-up from 2 to 17 years, depression symptoms were a risk factor for dementia. However, studies showed substantial heterogeneity and main effects of depression on cognition decline was obvious in older cohorts of 80 years and older but less in younger individuals (36). Anxiety has been recognized as potential risk factor for cognitive in meta-analyses which found that people with anxiety disorders have a 29–45% greater risk of cognitive decline (37, 38). However, depression and anxiety are also part of the prodrome of dementia and associated with early stages of dementia. Therefore, reverse causation is possible whereby depression or anxiety symptoms result from changes in emotions long before cognition declines become recognizable (1). Additionally, there is some evidence, that more anger symptoms are associated with greater declines in cognitive function across long follow-ups (19, 39).

Finally, some studies suggest gender differences in cognitive function changes (40, 41). However, the gender differences in cognitive function vary across cohorts and regions (15, 16). To understand and support cognition changes in aging men and women a better understanding of the impact of depression-, anxiety- and anger symptoms on cognition changes is needed. This study aims to fill the gap in knowledge by investigating the association between depression-, anxiety- and anger symptoms and cognition changes. Specifically, our objective was to evaluate the association of depression-, anxiety- and anger symptoms on cognition changes, longitudinally.

## METHODS

### Design

The study design is a longitudinal prospective cohort study.

### Participants

Participants were drawn from two waves of the Midlife in the US-Study (MIDUS-II (2004/2005) and MIDUS—III (2013/2014), i.e., the longitudinal follow-up of the original MIDUS-I cohort (1995/1996) ( $n = 7,108$  participants, mean age 46 years,  $SD = 13$ ). The original MIDUS-I cohort is a sample of non-institutionalized English speaking adults living in the 48 United States funded by the National Institute on Aging (42). The MIDUS participants were selected with a random digit dialing sampling procedure (43).

Our analytical sample consists of a subsample of MIDUS participants who provided information on anxiety-, depression-, and anger symptoms at MIDUS -II (the “Biomarker” subsample) and on cognition at MIDUS-II and MIDUS-III (44) (**Supplemental Material Table 1**: Flowchart of the analytical sample).

### Cognitive Function

Cognitive function was assessed with the Brief Test of Adult Cognition by Telephone (BTACT) (45) administered by telephone (46, 47). EM was assessed by the ability to correctly recall as many words read aloud from a 15-word list (word list immediate) and at the end of each BTACT interview after 20 min (word list delayed). Scoring is the number of unique words produced in 60 s or the number of unique words at the end of the interview. For the assessment of EF the following tests were used: Verbal fluency was tested in a test which asks participants to generate as many animals within 60 s as possible (score: number of animals produced in the 60 s); inductive reasoning was tested with the Number Series Test, which requires responding with the correct number in a series of numbers by inferring a pattern; processing speed is assessed with the 30-s-and-Counting-Task, which requires counting backwards from 100 within 30 s (score: total number of correct numbers reported); Attention switching/reaction time was assessed with the “stop” and “go” task. Following Lachman (46, 48), each score on EF was standardized and averaged to obtain a global EF score. The subtests were standardized using means and standard deviations

from MIDUS-II. All cognitive assessment were administered by telephone interview.

## Depression-, Anxiety-, and Anger Symptoms

Participants reported on depression-, anxiety- or anger symptoms in the Mood and Anxiety Symptom Questionnaire (MASQ), the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) and the State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory (STAXI) (**Supplementary Material Table 1**). The MASQ was designed to assess symptoms of distress, depression, and anxiety (49) on two subscales. The distress scale contains mixed anxiety and somatic symptoms (11 items) and the anxiety scale contains anxiety symptoms (17 items) (50). The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression (CES-D) Scale is a self-report scale designed to measure depression symptoms in the (51) with 20-items on a four-point Likert scale. We measured furthermore anger using the STAXI. The STAXI assesses anger-in and anger out with each eight items on a four-point Likert scale; and anger control with four items on a seven-point scale (52, 53). For each scale, we calculated the mean score of all items allowing for up to one missing item per respondent.

## Co-variates

Socio-demographic- and economic variables were measured at baseline with the MIDUS questionnaire. We assessed chronological age (in categories), gender (female, male), race/ethnicity (White, Latino, other), marital status (married, not married), educational level (high school or less, some college or more), working status (employed, unemployed, retired/other not working), and income. Income was measured adjusted for household size to generate a measure relative to the Federal Poverty Level (FPL); i.e., a measure of annual cash income issued every year by the Department of Health and Human Services in the US (for 2018: \$12,140 for individuals). To categorize FPL for this study, we calculated FPL-tertiles for the respective study years (tertile 1: <305 FPL, tertile 2: 306–564 FPL, tertile 3: >565%).

## Data Analyses

First, we calculated cross-sectional descriptive statistics by gender and age (including standardized means for EF and EM). Second, we assessed the correlations between emotional items with Pearson's R. Next, we assessed cross-sectional associations between depression, anxiety and anger and EM and EF age, gender, marital status, race/ethnicity, educational level, and income cross-sectionally using linear regression analyses. To investigate changes in EM and EF from time-point one to time-point two, we estimated linear mixed-effects repeated measures models. We conducted longitudinal hierarchical blockwise linear repeated measures regression analysis. In a blockwise manner, we adjusted for baseline cognition and performed blockwise regression analyses. First we regressed the EM and EF scores on sociodemographic variables (gender, marital status, race/ethnicity, and education), forming residuals. Then we regressed these residuals on working status and household income, forming a second set of residuals without the variance explained by block one and two. Third mental condition symptoms (distress, depression, and anger), were entered into the regression model. Missing data in the sample were handled based on a missing-at-random assumption. To investigate the robustness of our findings we conducted sensitivity analyses in which we excluded one of two siblings who were enrolled in the study or non-white participants. All analyses were conducted in SASv9.4 (SAS Institute Inc.).

## RESULTS

We included  $n = 1,252$  ( $n = 542$  (43.3% male) at MIDUS II, and  $n = 1,183$  [ $n = 514$  male (43.5% male)] at MIDUS-III (**Supplementary Material Table 1**). The majority of participants were white ( $N = 821$ , 87%) with a mean age of 54.9 (S.D. = 11.8) at the first time point and 63.4 (S.D. = 11.1) at the second time point, and slightly more were women (56.5%). A majority of the male participants reported some college education or more (75.9%). Female participants were less often married than men

**TABLE 1** | Means and standard deviations for EM and EF scores by gender at the two exams.

Age group	First exam							Second exam						
	Men			Women			<i>p</i> -value	Men			Women			<i>p</i> -value
	<i>n</i>	Mean, SD	Range	<i>n</i>	Mean, SD	Range		<i>n</i>	Mean, SD	Range	<i>n</i>	Mean, SD	Range	
All	493	-0.25, 0.89	-2.10–2.57	652	0.25, 0.89	-2.50–3.23	<0.0001	414	-0.34, 0.84	-2.10–2.63	552	0.26, 1.04	-2.50–3.64	<0.0001
40–49	132	-0.01, 0.72	-1.88–2.41	169	0.45, 0.79	-1.47–2.44	<0.0001	33	0.10, 0.89	-1.44–2.03	60	0.60, 1.09	-1.47–3.42	0.028
50–59	147	-0.19, 0.71	-1.88–1.56	205	0.32, 0.90	-1.66–3.23	<0.0001	105	0.05, 0.85	-1.88–2.63	132	0.55, 1.00	-1.44–3.64	<0.0001
60–69	105	-0.50, 0.74	-2.10–2.57	137	0.12, 0.74	-1.66–2.44	<0.0001	124	-0.32, 0.72	-2.10–2.60	145	0.40, 0.91	-1.88–2.79	<0.0001
≥70	73	-0.69, 0.73	-2.10–0.96	72	-0.33, 0.56	-1.66–2.82	0.006	119	-0.75, 0.69	-1.88–1.56	142	-0.13, 1.04	-2.50–2.65	<0.0001
All	495	0.13, 0.65	-2.58–2.01	654	-0.001, 0.65	-3.07–1.93	0.001	415	-0.01, 0.69	-2.22–1.97	553	-0.19, 0.74	-3.15–1.71	0.002
40–49	132	0.40, 0.64	-0.99–2.01	169	0.22, 0.62	-2.01–1.51	0.018	33	0.34, 0.66	-1.07–1.49	60	0.23, 0.63	-1.06–1.71	0.445
50–59	147	0.17, 0.58	-1.38–1.50	206	0.05, 0.61	-1.77–1.56	0.051	105	0.38, 0.67	-1.41–1.97	132	0.18, 0.59	-1.74–1.41	0.016
60–69	105	-0.06, 0.55	-1.16–1.33	138	-0.19, 0.63	-3.07–1.30	0.088	124	0.04, 0.55	-1.26–1.35	145	-0.05, 0.64	-1.66–1.58	0.194
≥70	73	-0.29, 0.63	-2.58–1.30	72	-0.50, 0.51	-2.02–0.64	0.025	120	-0.34, 0.55	-1.58–0.96	143	-0.57, 0.65	-3.15–1.36	0.002

**TABLE 2 |** Associations of socio-demographic, work related, and mental conditions (depressive-, anxiety-, and anger symptoms) and executive function and episodic memory by gender in cross-sectional analyses.

	Men				Women			
	Executive function		Episodic memory		Executive function		Episodic memory	
	(n = 558)		(n = 556)		(n = 558)		(n = 556)	
	$\beta$	95% CI	$\beta$	95% CI	$\beta$	95% CI	$\beta$	95% CI
<b>Socio-Demographics</b>								
Baseline age	-0.02	-0.02, -0.01*	-0.02	-0.02, -0.01*	-0.02	-0.02, -0.02*	-0.02	0.03, -0.02*
<b>Ethnicity (Reference:White)</b>								
Latino	-0.42	-0.73, -0.11*	0.02	-0.46, -0.01*	-0.25	-0.47, -0.03*	-0.06	-0.41, 0.28
Other	-0.09	-0.33, -0.12*	-0.17	-0.69, -0.29*	-0.45	-0.65, -0.25*	-0.38	-0.70, -0.07*
<b>Education (Reference: Less Than College Education)</b>								
Some college	0.30	0.17, 0.43*	0.27	-0.18, 0.01	0.33	0.25, 0.43*	0.25	0.11, 0.39*
<b>Marital status (reference: married)</b>								
Not married	-0.12	-0.25, 0.01	0.02	-0.18, 0.01	-0.12	-0.21, -0.02	0.02	-0.13, 0.16
<b>Economic Variables</b>								
<b>Working status (reference: working)</b>								
Unemployed	-0.55	-0.92, -0.17*	-0.73	-0.22, 0.05	-0.14	-0.27, -0.01*	0.08	-0.13, 0.29
Retired/other	-0.09	-0.24, 0.07	-0.17	-0.30, -0.05*	-0.19	-0.31, -0.07*	-0.13	-0.32, 0.06
<b>Household income (reference: tertile 3)</b>								
Tertile 1	-0.12	-0.26, 0.02	-0.11	-0.35, -0.11*	-0.22	-0.34, -0.10*	-0.27	-0.46, 0.09
Tertile 2	-0.02	-0.14, 0.11	-0.17	-0.21, 0.02	0.08	-0.19, 0.04	-0.14	-0.31, 0.04
<b>Mental Health</b>								
Depression 1*	-0.004	-0.01, 0.004	-0.01	-0.02, -0.01*	-0.01	-0.02, -0.01*	-0.01	-0.02, 0.001
Distress	0.003	-0.01, 0.01	0.000	-0.02, -0.003*	-0.01	-0.02, -0.01*	-0.01	-0.02, -0.01*
Depression 2**	0.01	-0.01, 0.02	0.002	-0.02, -0.003*	-0.01	-0.02, -0.003*	-0.01	-0.02, -0.001*
Anxiety*	-0.01	-0.03, -0.001*	-0.02	-0.02, -0.003*	-0.02	-0.02, -0.006*	-0.01	-0.02, 0.003
<b>Anger***</b>								
<b>State anger (reference: no state anger)</b>								
Anger—in	0.01	-0.003, 0.03	0.01	-0.02, 0.003	-0.01	-0.02, -0.01*	-0.01	-0.03, 0.01
Anger—out	0.004	-0.01, 0.02	0.01	-0.02, 0.02	-0.002	-0.02, 0.01	-0.01	-0.02, -0.001*
<b>Trait Anger/Reference: No Trait Anger)</b>								
Trait anger	0.006	-0.004, 0.02	0.01	-0.01, 0.01	-0.003	-0.01, 0.01	0.000	-0.01, 0.01

\*Assessed with the MASQ; \*\*Assessed with the CESD; \*\*\*Assessed with the STAXI.

were. Mean levels of household income were higher in men than in women.

To describe cognition and depression-, anxiety-, and anger symptoms in the analytical sample, we analyzed means and standard deviations for EM and EF scores in men and women at the two exams (Table 1). Consistent with other findings on the MIDUS sample (48), in our analytical sample women had higher EM mean scores across all age groups at both exams compared to men, while men had higher EF scores across all age groups at both exams (Table 1). Among participants, mean EM and EF declined with increasing age. Women exhibited higher levels of anxiety and depression than men at the second exam (Table 1).

Correlations among the variables are shown in **Supplementary Material Table 2**. Measures for depression and anxiety symptoms correlated strongly.

An initial set of analyses examined within person changes in EM and EF. For EM, significant within-person changes between exams were not observed until participants reached 70 years of age (women) and 60 years of age (men) (**Supplementary Material Table 3**).

## Depression-, Anxiety-, and Anger Symptoms and Cognition

We observed different associations between depression-, anxiety-, and anger symptoms with EM and EF (Table 2). At the first exam, higher age, being of race/ethnicity other than white, lower education, being unemployed and lower income was associated with worse EM and EF scores. More anxiety symptoms were associated with worse EM and EF, while less anger symptoms was associated with better EF. In women, higher age, being of race/ethnicity other than white and lower education was associated with worse EM and EF. However, not being married and low income were associated with worse EF only. Additionally, depression- and anxiety symptoms but not anger symptoms were associated with worse EM and EF.

In repeated measures analyses, we assessed the impact of a variety of socio-demographic- and work related mental conditions related factors on EM and EF in block-wise analyses (Table 3). In men, lower levels in EF at follow-up were associated

**TABLE 3** | Effects of socio-demographic and -economic variables on executive function (EF) and episodic memory (EM) 10 years after baseline, adjusted for baseline age, time, socio-demographic and -economic variables.

Variable	Men				Women			
	Executive function		Episodic memory		Executive function		Episodic memory	
	$\beta$	95% CI	$\beta$	95% CI	$\beta$	95% CI	$\beta$	95% CI
	<b>N = 365</b>		<b>N = 364</b>		<b>N = 465</b>		<b>N = 463</b>	
Follow-up time	-0.02	-0.03, -0.01	-0.02	-0.03, 0.004	-0.03	-0.03, -0.02	-0.004	-0.02, 0.01
Baseline age	-0.02	-0.03, -0.02	-0.03	-0.03, -0.02	-0.03	-0.03, -0.02	-0.02	-0.03, -0.02
<b>Socio-Demographics</b>								
<b>Ethnicity (reference: white)</b>								
Latino	-0.77	-0.63, -0.12	-0.04	-0.25, 0.28	-0.19	-0.37, -0.001	-0.09	-0.39, 0.20
Other	-0.23	-0.43, -0.04	-0.13	-0.36, 0.11	-0.47	-0.64, 0.29	-0.33	-0.60, -0.05
<b>Education</b>								
Some college	0.21	0.11, 0.31	0.20	0.06, 0.34	0.36	0.28, 0.43	0.27	0.15, 0.38
<b>Marital status (reference: married)</b>								
Not married	-0.09	-0.20, 0.03	0.07	-0.07, 0.20	-0.10	-0.18, -0.03	0.01	-0.11, 0.12
<b>Economic Variables</b>								
	<i>n</i> = 357	<i>n</i> = 356	<i>n</i> = 459	<i>n</i> = 459				
<b>Working status (reference: employed)</b>								
Unemployed	-0.54	-0.87, 0.20	-0.45	-0.86, -0.04	-0.09	-0.21, 0.02	0.11	-0.07, 0.28
Retired/other	-0.08	-0.20, 0.04	-0.08	-0.22, 0.66	-0.12	-0.20, -0.04	-0.01	-0.15, 0.12
<b>Household income (reference: tertile 3)</b>								
Tertile 1 <sup>+</sup>	-0.08	-0.19, 0.04	-0.11	-0.25, 0.03	-0.16	-0.24, 0.08	-0.17	-0.30, -0.03
Tertile 2 <sup>++</sup>	-0.002	-0.10, 0.10	-0.14	-0.25, -0.02	-0.07	-0.15, 0.01	-0.06	-0.20, 0.08

<sup>+</sup> Tertile 1 <305%FPL, <sup>++</sup> Tertile 2: 306–564%FPL.

with higher age, race/ethnicity other than white and being unemployed, and lower levels in EM were associated with being unemployed and lower household income. In women, lower levels in EF were associated with higher age, and race/ethnicity other than white, not being married, being retired and lower household income. A decline in EM was associated with race/ethnicity other than white and lower education.

Finally, we examined whether depression-, anxiety- or anger symptoms influence EM and EF change over a decade, accounting for *socio-demographic- and work related* covariates (Table 4). We found that in both, in men and women, more anxiety symptoms were statistically significantly associated with worse EM and EF. Additionally, in women higher depression symptoms were significantly associated with worse EM and EF. In men, more trait anger symptoms were associated with better EM. In contrast, in women, more state anger symptoms contributed to worse EM.

## Sensitivity Analyses

We conducted two sensitivity analyses. First, to investigate whether the twins which are included in the sample influenced results, we analyzed data excluding one twin from each pair from all analyses. Results were robust and any differences are likely related to the reduction of statistical power in the smaller sample. Second, to investigate whether race/ethnicity (white, Latino, and Latina) had an impact on the results, we analyzed participants of

white race/ethnicity vs. race/ethnicity other than white and found no more than minor changes in effect estimates.

## DISCUSSION

Using data of a large longitudinal sample of middle aged and older individuals, the present study examined the long-term associations between depression-, anxiety-, and anger symptoms and EM and EF. Consistently, our analyses suggest that depression-, anxiety-, and anger symptoms are related to cognition. In repeated measures analysis, higher anxiety was associated with lower levels of EM and EF. Analyzing the data by female and male gender, we found that in women, more depression symptoms were associated with worse EM; and that in men, more anger symptoms were associated with better EM.

Overall, we found age-related EM and EF changes which have been found previously in studies analyzing the MIDUS data (48). We observed decreases in cognition after age 70. Prior studies with other samples suggested age-related changes after age 60 (54). The difference of a decade might be related to worldwide increases in cognitive performances favoring later born cohorts in many population groups. Cognitive performance increases have been found in more recent birth cohorts and have been attributed to changes in living conditions, family size, health, and better education (15, 16).

**TABLE 4 |** Effects of mental health (depressive-, anxiety-, or anger symptoms) on executive function and episodic memory 10 years after baseline on men and women, adjusted for baseline age, time, socio-demographic and—economic variables United States, 2016.

Variable	Men				Women			
	Executive function		Episodic memory		Executive function		Episodic memory	
	$\beta$	95% CI	$\beta$	95% CI	$\beta$	95% CI	$\beta$	95% CI
	<i>N</i> = 365		<i>N</i> = 364		<i>N</i> = 465		<i>N</i> = 463	
<b>Mental conditions</b>								
<b>Distress (reference: no distress)</b>								
Distress*	0.001	−0.01, 0.01	−0.003	−0.01, 0.01	−0.008	−0.01, −0.002*	−0.012	−0.02, −0.003
<b>Depression (reference: no depression)</b>								
Depression 1*	0.001	−0.01, 0.01	−0.003	−0.01, 0.01	−0.008	−0.01, −0.002	−0.012	−0.02, −0.002
<b>Anxiety (reference: no anxiety)</b>								
Anxiety*	−0.02	−0.03, −0.01	−0.02	−0.03, −0.01	−0.01	−0.02, −0.004	−0.013	−0.03, −0.001
<b>Depression 2 (reference: no depression 2)</b>								
Depression 2**	−0.002	−0.01, 0.01	−0.006	−0.01, 0.002	−0.008	−0.01, −0.004	−0.01	−0.02, 0.002
<b>Anger</b>								
State anger (Anger-in)***	0.007	−0.02, 0.001	0.002	−0.01, 0.02	0.007	−0.02, 0.001	−0.006	−0.02, −0.01
Trait anger***	0.004	−0.004, 0.01	0.01	0.002, 0.02	0.004	−0.002, 0.01	0.001	−0.01, 0.01

\*Assessed with the MASQ; \*\*Assessed with the CESD; \*\*\*Assessed with the STAXI.

The pattern for cognition confirms the results of previous studies with data from respondents ages 50–93 years from other longitudinal surveys (14), suggesting that women outperform same age men on EM (55). Studies conducted in non-Western societies, such as China and India, however, have reported a disadvantage for older women compared with men in the same age group in measures of EM and word fluency.

## Depression and Cognition

Adjusting for all covariates, more depression symptoms were associated with worse EM and EF in women. The findings are in line with previous research which suggested that individuals with mood disorders have worse cognition (56), and that positive emotions help maintain cognitive function (57, 58). Furthermore, in women, depression symptoms are associated with worse EM and EF. Depression symptoms may trigger hypercortisolemia (59, 60), increase autonomic responses associated with cardiovascular disease (61, 62), contribute to inflammation (63), and herewith to neurotoxicity. These processes may accelerate cognitive decline during aging (64).

## Anxiety and Cognition

We show that higher levels of anxiety symptoms are associated with lower levels of EM and EF in men and women. An explanation for the adverse effects of increased anxiety on decreases in EF and EM is given by the attentional control theory (65, 66). Attentional control theory predicts that anxiety impairs various functions (66, 67), specifically, the inhibition, shifting and updating functions and herewith reduces resources for EM and EF (68, 69). A further explanation might be

that anxiety is associated with anxiety induced hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) over-activation, and chronic changes in cortisol levels, which may lead to dysregulation of the immune system, damage of the hippocampus and subsequent changes in cognition.

## Anger and Cognition

More anger symptoms are associated with better EM and worse EF. It has been suggested that anger symptoms are associated with reductions in cortisol (70, 71). The associations were small, however, they are important from a Public Health perspective as small changes in a huge population are of huge importance from a Public Health Point of view.

Strengths of our study include the longitudinal design, well-validated measures and the 10 years of follow-up. Additional strengths are that we were able to investigate these effects in a large population-based sample with information on multiple cognitive domains as well as detailed confounding variables. The study used measures that are useful in community-based studies of non-clinical populations. An additional strength of this study is that we analyzed data separately for men and women. Assessment of depression-, anxiety- and anger symptoms was based on self-report and therefore some misclassification is likely. Any such misclassification, however, is expected to bias our results toward the null. The findings concerning non-white populations are based on a small sample size. It might be that the results of the findings are limited due to this sample size. Additionally, this study is an observational study, which does not test mental health symptoms, EM, and EF under standardized conditions. However, sensitivity analyses analyzing a “white only” sample yielded the same results, suggesting an association of increased depression and anxiety symptoms with

decreased EM and EF. Attrition is a concern when carrying out longitudinal research. It might be that individuals in poorer health tend not to participate in longitudinal cohort studies. We can report changes between two time points but not trajectories based on multiple assessments. The MIDUS sample underrepresents those with lower levels of education. A further limitation might be that the sample we used is based on the Biomarker study only, which is a subsample of the MIDUS II study. However, these respondents were quite similar to the sample, which they were recruited from (44). The differences between the baseline sample and the follow up sample might have introduced some selection bias if loss was differential according to exposure and outcome. Specifically, as individuals with more severe cognitive limitations tend to participate less in studies at follow-up and those with certain mood disorders specifically depression also are more likely lost, we may have underestimated the impact of such emotions on cognitive outcomes.

The findings of this study suggest a long-term association between depression-, anxiety- and anger symptoms in midlife and EM and EF in later life. EM and EF in aging populations can be improved by reducing depression-, anxiety- and anger symptoms. In the future differences between men in women in the effect of the different emotional factors on cognitive changes over time should be assessed. Our findings have important implications for preventive interventions. Although some aspects of early life cannot be modified such as early life education, later life conditions such as depression-, anxiety-, or anger symptoms might be modifiable. Interventions to reduce depression-, anxiety-, and anger symptoms in midlife may benefit EM and EF in later life.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available from the authors, without undue reservation.

## REFERENCES

- Livingston G, Huntley J, Sommerlad A, Ames D, Ballard C, Banerjee S, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the lancet commission. *Lancet*. (2020) 396:413–46. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30367-6
- Gallagher D, Ni Mhaolain A, Crosby L, Ryan D, Lacey L, Coen RF, et al. Dependence and caregiver burden in alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen*. (2011) 26:110–4. doi: 10.1177/1533317510394649
- MacDonald SW, Hultsch DF, Dixon RA. Aging and the shape of cognitive change before death: terminal decline or terminal drop? *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. (2011) 66:292–301. doi: 10.1093/geronb/gbr001
- Zahodne LB, Manly JJ, Smith J, Seeman T, Lachman ME. Socioeconomic, health, and psychosocial mediators of racial disparities in cognition in early, middle, late adulthood. *Psychol Aging*. (2017) 32:118–30. doi: 10.1037/pag0000154
- Patterson C. (2018) *World Alzheimer report* Retrieved from London:
- Dumas JA. Strategies for preventing cognitive decline in healthy older adults. *Can J Psychiatry*. (2017) 62:754–60. doi: 10.1177/0706743717720691

## ETHICS STATEMENT

Data collection for all phases of the MIDUS studies was approved by Institutional Review Boards and all participants provided informed consent.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JL, BR, MEL, and TES designed this study. TES and MEL collected the data for the MIDUS studies. JL, BR, and KCP developed the analysis strategy. KCP analyzed the data. JL wrote the manuscript. All authors commented on the manuscript and approved the manuscript.

## FUNDING

The MIDUS data collection was supported, in part, by Grant P01-AG020166 from the National Institute on Aging to conduct a longitudinal follow-up of the MIDUS (Midlife in the United States) investigation. MIDUS II data collection was further supported by the following Grants: M01-RR023942 (Georgetown University), M01-RR00865 (University of California, Los Angeles) from the General Clinical Research Centers Program, and UL1TR000427 (University of Wisconsin) and UL1TR001881 (University of California, Los Angeles) from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health. Additional support was provided by the USC/UCLA Biodemography & Population Health Center (P30-AG017265) as well as from the original MIDUS study was supported by the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Successful Midlife Development.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsy.2021.665742/full#supplementary-material>

- Mayeux R, Stern Y. Epidemiology of Alzheimer disease. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. (2012) 2:6239. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a006239
- Meng X, D'Arcy C. Education and dementia in the context of the cognitive reserve hypothesis: a systematic review with meta-analyses and qualitative analyses. *PLoS ONE*. (2012) 7:e38268. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0038268
- Albert MS, Jones K, Savage CR, Berkman L, Seeman T, Blazer D, et al. Predictors of cognitive change in older persons: macArthur studies of successful aging. *Psychol Aging*. (1995) 10:578–589.
- Lyu J, Burr JA. Socioeconomic status across the life course and cognitive function among older adults: an examination of the latency, pathways, accumulation hypotheses. *J Aging Health*. (2016) 28:40–67. doi: 10.1177/0898264315585504
- Berryman N, Bherer L, Nadeau S, Lauziere S, Lehr L, Bobeuf F, et al. Multiple roads lead to rome: combined high-intensity aerobic and strength training vs. gross motor activities leads to equivalent improvement in executive functions in a cohort of healthy older adults. *Age (Dordr)*. (2014) 36:9710. doi: 10.1007/s11357-014-9710-8
- Kramer AF, Erickson KI. Capitalizing on cortical plasticity: influence of physical activity on cognition and brain function. *Trends Cogn Sci*. (2007) 11:342–8. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2007.06.009

13. Seeman TE, Lusignolo TM, Albert M, Berkman L. Social relationships, social support, and patterns of cognitive aging in healthy, high-functioning older adults: macarthur studies of successful aging. *Health Psychol.* (2001) 20:243–55. doi: 10.1037/0278-6133.20.4.243
14. Weber D, Skirbekk V, Freund I, Herlitz A. The changing face of cognitive gender differences in europe. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* (2014) 111:11673–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1319538111
15. Dodge HH, Zhu J, Hughes TE, Snitz BE, Chang CH, Jacobsen EP, et al. Cohort effects in verbal memory function and practice effects: a population-based study. *Int Psychogeriatr.* (2017) 29:137–48. doi: 10.1017/S1041610216001551
16. Leggett A, Clarke P, Zivin K, McCammon RJ, Elliott MR, Langa KM. Recent improvements in cognitive functioning among older u.s. Adults: how much does increasing educational attainment explain? *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci.* (2017). doi: 10.1093/geronb/gbw210
17. Cherbuin N, Kim S, Anstey KJ. Dementia risk estimates associated with measures of depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open.* (2015) 5:e008853. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008853
18. Low LF, Harrison F, Lackersteen SM. Does personality affect risk for dementia? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* (2013) 21:713–28. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2012.08.004
19. Terracciano A, Stephan Y, Luchetti M, Albanese E, Sutin AR. Personality traits and risk of cognitive impairment and dementia. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2017) 89:22–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2017.01.011
20. Baxter AJ, Scott KM, Vos T, Whiteford HA. Global prevalence of anxiety disorders: a systematic review and meta-regression. *Psychol Med.* (2013) 43:897–910. doi: 10.1017/S003329171200147X
21. Petkus AJ, Reynolds CA, Wetherell JL, Kremen WS, Gatz M. Temporal dynamics of cognitive performance and anxiety across older adulthood. *Psychol Aging.* (2017) 32:278–92. doi: 10.1037/pag0000164
22. Chirinos DA, Murdock KW, LeRoy AS, Fagundes C. Depressive symptom profiles, cardio-metabolic risk and inflammation: results from the mIDUS study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* (2017) 82:17–25. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2017.04.011
23. Eng PM, Fitzmaurice G, Kubzansky LD, Rimm EB, Kawachi I. Anger expression and risk of stroke and coronary heart disease among male health professionals. *Psychosom Med.* (2003) 65:100–10. doi: 10.1097/01.psy.0000040949.22044.c6
24. Pressman SD, Cohen S. Does positive affect influence health? *Psychol Bull.* (2005) 131:925–71. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.131.6.925
25. Chida Y, Steptoe A. The association of anger and hostility with future coronary heart disease: a meta-analytic review of prospective evidence. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2009) 53:936–46. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2008.11.044
26. Mostofsky E, Maclure M, Tofler GH, Muller JE, Mittleman MA. Relation of outbursts of anger and risk of acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* (2013) 112:343–8. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2013.03.035
27. Pimple P, Shah A, Rooks C, Bremner JD, Nye J, Ibeanu I, et al. Association between anger and mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia. *Am Heart J.* (2015) 175:115–21.e112. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2014.07.031
28. Zilioli S, Imami L, Ong AD, Lumley MA, Gruenewald T. Discrimination and anger control as pathways linking socioeconomic disadvantage to allostatic load in midlife. *J Psychosom Res.* (2017) 103:83–90. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2017.10.002
29. Bierman EJ, Comijs HC, Rijmen F, Jonker C, Beekman AT. Anxiety symptoms and cognitive performance in later life: results from the longitudinal aging study amsterdam. *Aging Ment Health.* (2008) 12:517–23. doi: 10.1080/13607860802224276
30. Brodaty H, Heffernan M, Draper B, Reppermund S, Kochan NA, Slavin MJ, et al. Neuropsychiatric symptoms in older people with and without cognitive impairment. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2012) 31:411–20. doi: 10.3233/JAD-2012-120169
31. Bunce D, Batterham PJ, Mackinnon AJ, Christensen H. Depression, anxiety and cognition in community-dwelling adults aged 70 years and over. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2012) 46:1662–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2012.08.023
32. Chapman B, Duberstein P, Tindle HA, Sink KM, Robbins J, Tancredi DJ, et al. Personality predicts cognitive function over 7 years in older persons. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* (2012) 20:612–21. doi: 10.1097/JGP.0b013e31822cc9cb
33. Ganguli M, Du Y, Dodge HH, Ratcliff GG, Chang CC. Depressive symptoms and cognitive decline in late life: a prospective epidemiological study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* (2006) 63:153–60. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.63.2.153
34. Okereke OI, Grodstein F. Phobic anxiety and cognitive performance over 4 years among community-dwelling older women in the nurses' health study. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* (2013) 21:1125–34. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2013.01.050
35. Pietrzak RH, Maruff P, Woodward M, Fredrickson J, Fredrickson A, Krystal JH, et al. Mild worry symptoms predict decline in learning and memory in healthy older adults: a 2-year prospective cohort study. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* (2012) 20:266–75. doi: 10.1097/JGP.0b013e3182107e24
36. Gulpers B, Ramakers I, Hamel R, Kohler S, Oude Voshaar R, Verhey F. Anxiety as a predictor for cognitive decline and dementia: a Systematic review and meta-Analysis. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* (2016) 24:823–42. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2016.05.015
37. Lipnicki DM, Makkar SR, Crawford JD, Thalamuthu A, Kochan NA, Lima-Costa MF, et al. Determinants of cognitive performance and decline in 20 diverse ethno-regional groups: a COSMIC collaboration cohort study. *PLoS Med.* (2019) 16:e1002853. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002853
38. Santabarbara J, Lipnicki DM, Bueno-Notivol J, Olaya-Guzman B, Villagrana B, Lopez-Anton R. Updating the evidence for an association between anxiety and risk of Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *J Affect Disord.* (2020) 262:397–404. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2019.11.065
39. Sutin AR, Stephan Y, Terracciano A. Psychological distress, self-Beliefs, and risk of cognitive impairment and dementia. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2018) 65:1041–50. doi: 10.3233/JAD-180119
40. Herlitz A, Nilsson LG, Backman L. Gender differences in episodic memory. *Mem Cognit.* (1997) 25:801–11.
41. Jäncke L. Sex/gender differences in cognition, neurophysiology, and neuroanatomy. *Fl000Res.* (2018) 7. doi: 10.12688/fl000research.13917.1
42. Brim OG, Baltes PB, Marmot MG, Ryff CD, Rossi AS, Bumpass LL, et al. *Midlife in the United States (MIDUS 1), 1995-1996. Interuniversity Consortium for Political and Social Research (distributor), 2020-09-28.* (2017). p. 1995–1996. doi: 10.3886/ICPSR02760.v19
43. Radler BT, Ryff CD. Who participates? Accounting for longitudinal retention in the MIDUS national study of health and well-being. *J Aging Health.* (2010) 22:307–31. doi: 10.1177/0898264309358617
44. Dienberg Love G, Seeman TE, Weinstein M, Ryff CD. Bioindicators in the mIDUS national study: protocol, measures, sample, comparative context. *J Aging Health.* (2010) 22:1059–80. doi: 10.1177/0898264310374355
45. Tun PA, Lachman ME. Telephone assessment of cognitive function in adulthood: the brief test of adult cognition by telephone. *Age Ageing.* (2006) 35:629–32. doi: 10.1093/ageing/af095
46. Lachman ME, Agrigoroaei S, Tun PA, Weaver SL. Monitoring cognitive functioning: psychometric properties of the brief test of adult cognition by telephone. *Assessment.* (2014) 21:404–17. doi: 10.1177/1073191113508807
47. Tun PA, Lachman ME. Age differences in reaction time and attention in a national telephone sample of adults: education, sex, and task complexity matter. *Dev Psychol.* (2008) 44:1421–9. doi: 10.1037/a0012845
48. Hughes ML, Agrigoroaei S, Jeon M, Bruzzese M, Lachman ME. Change in cognitive performance from midlife into old age: findings from the midlife in the united states (MIDUS) study. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* (2018) 24:1–16. doi: 10.1017/S1355617718000425
49. Morozink JA, Friedman EM, Coe CL, Ryff CD. Socioeconomic and psychosocial predictors of interleukin-6 in the MIDUS national sample. *Health Psychol.* (2010) 29:626–35. doi: 10.1037/a0021360
50. Watson D, Weber K, Assenheimer JS, Clark LA, Strauss ME, McCormick RA. Testing a tripartite model: i. Evaluating the convergent and discriminant validity of anxiety and depression symptom scales. *J Abnorm Psychol.* (1995) 104:3–14.
51. Radloff LS. The use of the center for epidemiologic studies depression scale in adolescents and young adults. *J Youth Adolesc.* (1991) 20:149–66. doi: 10.1007/BF01537606
52. Forgays DK, Spielberger CD, Ottaway SA, Forgays DG. Factor structure of the state-Trait anger expression inventory for middle-aged men and women. *Assessment.* (1998) 5:141–55. doi: 10.1177/107319119800500205

53. Goodstein LD, Spielberger CD, Williams JE, Dahlstrom WG. The effects of serial position and design difficulty on recall of the bender-Gestalt test designs. *J Consult Psychol.* (1955) 19:230–4.
54. Drewelies J, Agrigoroaei S, Lachman ME, Gerstorf D. Age variations in cohort differences in the united states: older adults report fewer constraints nowadays than those 18 years ago, but mastery beliefs are diminished among younger adults. *Dev Psychol.* (2018) 54:1408–25. doi: 10.1037/dev0000527
55. Bonsang E, Skirbekk V, Staudinger UM. As you sow, so shall you reap: gender-Role attitudes and late-Life cognition. *Psychol Sci.* (2017) 28:1201–13. doi: 10.1177/0956797617708634
56. Beaudreau SA, O'Hara R. Late-life anxiety and cognitive impairment: a review. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* (2008) 16:790–803. doi: 10.1097/JGP.0b013e31817945c3
57. Lee PL. A joyful heart is good medicine: positive affect predicts memory complaints. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* (2016) 24:662–70. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2016.04.001
58. Yang H, Yang S, Isen AM. Positive affect improves working memory: implications for controlled cognitive processing. *Cogn Emot.* (2013) 27:474–82. doi: 10.1080/02699931.2012.713325
59. Green KN, Billings LM, Roozendaal B, McGaugh JL, LaFerla FM. Glucocorticoids increase amyloid-beta and tau pathology in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurosci.* (2006) 26:9047–56. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2797-06.2006
60. Sapolsky RM. Glucocorticoids and hippocampal atrophy in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* (2000) 57:925–35. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.57.10.925
61. Batelaan NM, Seldenrijk A, Bot M, van Balkom AJ, Penninx BW. Anxiety and new onset of cardiovascular disease: critical review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry.* (2016) 208:223–31. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.114.156554
62. Lambiase MJ, Kubzansky LD, Thurston RC. Prospective study of anxiety and incident stroke. *Stroke.* (2014) 45:438–43. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.003741
63. Furtado M, Katzman MA. Neuroinflammatory pathways in anxiety, posttraumatic stress, and obsessive compulsive disorders. *Psychiatry Res.* (2015) 229:37–48. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2015.05.036
64. Fung AWT, Lee JSW, Lee ATC, Lam LCW. Anxiety symptoms predicted decline in episodic memory in cognitively healthy older adults: a 3-year prospective study. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* (2018). doi: 10.1002/gps.4850
65. Englert C, Bertrams A. Integrating attentional control theory and the strength model of self-control. *Front Psychol.* (2015) 6:824. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00824
66. Eysenck MW, Derakshan N, Santos R, Calvo MG. Anxiety and cognitive performance: attentional control theory. *Emotion.* (2007) 7:336–53. doi: 10.1037/1528-3542.7.2.336
67. Derakshan N, Smyth S, Eysenck MW. Effects of state anxiety on performance using a task-switching paradigm: an investigation of attentional control theory. *Psychon Bull Rev.* (2009) 16:1112–7. doi: 10.3758/PBR.16.6.1112
68. Cocks AJ, Jackson RC, Bishop DT, Williams AM. Anxiety, anticipation and contextual information: a test of attentional control theory. *Cogn Emot.* (2016) 30:1037–48. doi: 10.1080/02699931.2015.1044424
69. Edwards EJ, Edwards MS, Lyvers M. Cognitive trait anxiety, situational stress, and mental effort predict shifting efficiency: implications for attentional control theory. *Emotion.* (2015) 15:350–9. doi: 10.1037/emo0000051
70. Owen JM. Transdiagnostic cognitive processes in high trait anger. *Clin Psychol Rev.* (2011) 31:193–202. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2010.10.003
71. Shields GS, Moons WG, Tewell CA, Yonelinas AP. The effect of negative affect on cognition: anxiety, not anger, impairs executive function. *Emotion.* (2016) 16:792–7. doi: 10.1037/emo0000151

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Lindert, Paul, Lachman, Ritz and Seeman. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.