



Psychological Distress and Its Association With Quality of Life in Organ Transplant Recipients During COVID-19 Pandemic

Zhongxiang Cai¹, Xin Cai², Yujuan Song², Dianzhen Wang³, Yanbing Zhang⁴, Simeng Ma⁵, Shiming Tang⁵, Hanping Bai⁵, Huawei Tan⁵, Ruiting Li⁵, Lihua Yao⁵, Zhongchun Liu⁵, Gaohua Wang^{5*} and Ying Wang^{5*}

¹ Nursing Office, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, ² Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, ³ The Nephrology Division and Dialysis Transplant Center, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, ⁴ Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, ⁵ Department of Psychiatry, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Elnara Shafiyeva, Baku State University, Azerbaijan

Reviewed by:

Alessandro Rossi, University of Siena, Italy Gianluca Serafini, San Martino Hospital (IRCCS), Italy

*Correspondence:

Ying Wang wangying@whu.edu.cn Gaohua Wang wgh6402@163.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Public Mental Health, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

Received: 02 April 2021 Accepted: 27 May 2021 Published: 24 June 2021

Citation:

Cai Z, Cai X, Song Y, Wang D, Zhang Y, Ma S, Tang S, Bai H, Tan H, Li R, Yao L, Liu Z, Wang G and Wang Y (2021) Psychological Distress and Its Association With Quality of Life in Organ Transplant Recipients During COVID-19 Pandemic. Front. Psychiatry 12:690295. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.690295 **Objectives:** The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic may have an impact on the psychological distress of organ transplant recipients. We aimed to assess the status of psychological distress and its association with quality of life (QoL) in organ transplant recipients during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional survey was carried out with 305 organ transplant recipients during March 30 and April 2, 2020, in Wuhan. Psychological distress comprised depression, anxiety, insomnia, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), which were assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire-9, the seven-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder questionnaire, the Insomnia Severity Index, and Impact of event scale-revised. QoL was assessed using the Chinese version of the short Form 36-item health survey.

Results: The prevalence of depression, anxiety, insomnia, and PTSD in organ transplant recipients was 13.4, 6.9, 11.8, and 30.5%, respectively. Organ transplant recipients with depression had significantly lower scores in all eight dimensions of QoL compared with participants without depression (all p < 0.05). Lower scores on the QoL dimensions of role physical, bodily pain, general health, vitality, role emotional, and mental health were found in organ transplant recipients with anxiety, insomnia, or PTSD compared with their counterparts without the respective disorder (all p < 0.05).

Limitation: The cross-sectional study design limited us to make causal conclusion and the influence of potential confounders cannot be ruled out.

Conclusions: Psychological distress was prevalent in organ transplant recipients during the COVID-19 pandemic, and those with depression, anxiety, insomnia, and PTSD had poorer QoL. Therefore, timely psychological counseling, COVID-19 related health education, and essential community medical services should be provided to organ transplant recipients to relieve their psychological distress, and to improve their QoL.

Keywords: coronavirus disease, organ transport, psychological distress, quality of life, cross-sectional study

1

INTRODUCTION

The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), first reported in China (1), has become a pandemic. As of November 22, 2020, more than 57.8 million confirmed cases and 1.3 million deaths had been reported worldwide (2). During the COVID-19 pandemic, the psychological distress among the population can be prominent because of social isolation, uncertainty of the future, fear of being infected, and overwhelming negative news portrayal in mass media coverage (3, 4). The mechanisms that people get into psychological distress are implicated, for instance, Serafini et al. found that extreme sensory processing patterns show a complex association with depression, and impulsivity, alexithymia, and hopelessness (5). Numerous studies have proven that both healthcare workers and the general public were associated high psychological burden during this crisis (6). It was suggested that psychological interventions targeting high-risk populations with heavy psychological distress are in urgent need, and the importance of protective factors including sufficient medical resources, up-to-date and accurate information, and precautionary measures should be stressed (6, 7).

End-stage organ failure is a serious condition associated with an increased risk of mortality (8, 9). Organ transplantation is often the only treatment for patients with end-stage organ failure. The number of patients undergoing organ transplantation is increasing (10), with more than 100,000 organ transplantations performed annually worldwide (11), and a post-transplant survival exceeding 85-90% in the first year and 70-75% at 5 years (12). Kidney transplantation is the most frequent, globally, followed by liver and heart transplantations. Patients with end-stage organ failure suffer from severe physical and psychological symptoms (13). QoL is a major index to the evaluate the efficacy of medical intervention among patients with end-stage organ failure. Organ transplantation has been demonstrated to be the best treatment both for quality of life (QoL) and cost effectiveness (14-16).

Organ transplant recipients are patients who have received organ transplantation. A large proportion of organ transplant recipients suffer psychological distress, including symptoms of depression (17), anxiety (18), and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (11). During the COVID-19 pandemic, moderate to severe depression and anxiety were demonstrated to be independently associated with increased risk of low QoL among healthcare workers (19). Affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, organ transplant recipients may suffer more apparent psychological distress than before, and as a result may endure worsen QoL. Nevertheless, the status of psychological distress during the COVID-19 pandemic and its association with QoL in organ transplant recipients is unknown. Therefore, this study involved a cross-sectional survey with organ transplant recipients to assess their level of psychological distress and QoL, and explore the association between the two, during the COVID-19 pandemic.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Participants

This cross-sectional study was conducted between March 30 and April 2, 2020. It was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University (WDRY2020-K004). Organ transplant recipients were identified from the medical records of Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University. Individuals were included if they underwent kidney, heart, or liver transplantation from January 2015 to December 2019, and were excluded if they died, could not complete the questionnaires, or refused to sign the informed consent. 305 from 342 invited organ transplant recipients (with a response rate of 89.2%) agreed to participate in this study and signed the informed consent before their participation. In the informed consent forms, participants were encouraged to seek psychological assistance through a free online psychological support system, in which psychologist and psychiatrist could provide online psychological assistance (20).

Assessment of Psychological Distress

As described in our previous studies (4, 21), symptoms of depression, anxiety, insomnia, and PTSD were assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) (22), 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) questionnaire (23), Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) (24), and Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R) (24), respectively. The cut-off scores for identifying major depression, anxiety, insomnia, and PTSD are 10, 10, 15, and 22, on the respective scales. The validity and reliability of these instruments have been confirmed in Chinese population (25–28).

Assessment of QoL

QoL was evaluated using the Chinese version of the Short Form 36-Item Health Survey (SF-36) (29). The SF-36 comprises 36 items that cover eight dimensions: physical functioning (PF), role physical (RP), bodily pain (BP), general health (GH), vitality (VT), social functioning (SF), role emotional (RE), and mental health (MH). The score for each dimension ranges from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating better functioning and fewer limitations.

Assessment of Covariates

Covariates were collected by questionnaires, including age, gender, marital status, education level, living location, living condition, type of organ transplantation, post-operative time, comorbidities, and worry about infection.

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was conducted using SPSS version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, United States). The associations between sociodemographic variables, psychological distress, and QoL were analyzed by the analysis of variance (ANOVA) or the

RESULTS

Characteristics of Organ Transplant Recipients

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the organ transplant recipients in this study. Participants were 196 males and 109 females, with a mean (SD) age of 43.1 (10.7) years. A total of 248 (81.3%) were kidney transplant recipients, 39 (12.8) were heart transplant recipients, and 18 (5.9%) were liver transplant recipients. The median post-operative time was 17.1 months. The participants tended to be of Han ethnic group (89.5%), be married (81.3%), have an educational level of less than undergraduate (53.1%), live in an urban area (76.4%), live with others (95.7%), worry about infection (70.2%), and have comorbidities (57.7%).

Psychological Distress

Table 2 presents the status of psychological distress in organ transplant recipients during the COVID-19 pandemic. The estimated prevalence of depression, anxiety, insomnia, and PTSD was 13.4, 6.9, 11.8, and 30.5%, respectively. Older organ transplant recipients had a higher prevalence of insomnia than younger ones. Participants with comorbidities had a higher prevalence of insomnia than those without. A higher prevalence of PTSD was observed in those of older age, married, having an education level lower than undergraduate, and being worried about infection compared to their respective counterparts.

QoL

Table 3 displays the status of QoL in organ transplant recipients during the COVID-19 pandemic. The mean scores (standard deviation) for the eight SF-36 dimensions (PF, RP, BP, GH, VT, SF, RE, and MH) were 81.9 (14.0), 55.7 (41.9), 83.8 (16.3), 66.0 (19.6), 73.1 (18.9), 57.3 (17.7), 68.0 (39.3), and 72.8 (18.7). Males had higher PF scores compared with females. Younger participants had higher PF and SF scores than older ones. Unmarried participants had higher PF scores and lower VT scores than their counterparts. Those worried about infection had lower scores on PF, RP, GH, SF, and RE than those who were not worried. Participants who had undergone organ transplantation within 1 year had lower scores on PF and RP compared with those who had undergone transplantation more than 1 year before. Lower GH, VT, and RE scores were observed in participants with comorbidities compared with those without.

Association of Psychological Distress With QoL

Table 4 shows the results regarding the association of psychological distress with QoL in organ transplant recipients during the COVID-19 pandemic. Organ transplant recipients with depression had significantly lower scores in all eight dimensions of QoL compared with those without depression (all p < 0.05). Lower scores on RP, BP, GH, VT, RE, and MH were found in organ transplant recipients with anxiety,

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of organ transplant recipients.

Variables	No. of participants (%)
Total	305 (100.0)
Age (year), IQR	44 (35,50)
Gender	
Male	196 (64.3)
Female	109 (35.7)
Ethnic groups	
Han ethnic group	273 (89.5)
Ethnic minorities	32 (10.5)
Marital status	
Unmarried	57 (18.7)
Married*	248(81.3)
Education level	
Lower than undergraduate	162 (53.1)
Undergraduate or higher	143 (46.9)
Living area	
Unban area	233 (76.4)
Rural area	72 (23.6)
Living status	
Alone	13 (4.3)
With others	292 (95.7)
Worry about infection	
Yes	214 (70.2)
No	91 (29.8)
Type of transplantation	
Kidney	248 (81.3)
Heart	39 (12.8)
Liver	18 (5.9)
Postoperative time (month), IQR	13 (8,21)
Comorbidities	
Yes	176 (57.7)
No	129 (42.3)

IQR, interquartile range.

*Including 13 widowed or divorced participants.

insomnia, or PTSD compared with those without the respective disorder (all p < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

In this study, the estimated prevalence of depression, anxiety, insomnia, and PTSD in organ transplant recipients was 13.4, 6.9, and 11.8, and 30.5%, respectively. Overall, during the COVID-19 pandemic, organ transplant recipients with psychological distress had poorer QoL than those without psychological distress. More specifically, organ transplant recipients with depression experienced poorer QoL in all the eight dimensions of SF-36 than those without depression, and organ transplant recipients with anxiety, insomnia, and PTSD showed reduced performance in six dimensions of SF-36, namely RP, BP, GH, VT, RE, and MH, relative to their counterparts without the respective disorder. The prevalence of depression and anxiety in organ transplant

 TABLE 2 | Psychological distress in organ transplant recipients during COVID-19 pandemic.

Variables		Depression			Anxiety			Insomnia			PTSD	
	Yes	No	Р	Yes	No	Р	Yes	No	Р	Yes	No	Р
Total	41 (13.4)	264 (86.6)		21 (6.9)	284 (93.1)		36 (11.8)	269 (88.2)		93 (30.5)	212 (69.5)	
Gender												
Male	28 (14.3)	168 (85.7)	0.563	14 (7.1)	182 (92.9)	0.812	24 (12.2)	172 (87.8)	0.749	56 (28.6)	140 (71.4)	0.32
Female	13 (11.9)	96 (88.1)		7 (6.4)	102 (93.6)		12 (11.0)	97 (89.0)		37 (33.9)	72 (66.1)	
Age (year)												
<30	2 (6.7)	28 (93.3)	0.298	2 (6.7)	28 (93.3)	0.335	1 (3.3)	29 (96.7)	0.003	5 (16.7)	25 (83.3)	0.00
30-	11 (12.0)	81 (88.0)		4 (4.3)	88 (95.7)		6 (6.5)	86 (93.5)		19 (20.7)	73 (79.3)	
40-	21 (17.8)	97 (82.2)		12 (10.2)	106 (89.8)		24 (20.3)	94 (79.7)		50 (42.4)	68 (57.6)	
≥50	7 (10.8)	58 (89.2)		3 (4.6)	62 (95.4)		5 (7.7)	60 (92.3)		19 (29.2)	46 (70.8)	
Marital status												
Unmarried	8 (14.0)	49 (86.0)	0.884	5 (8.8)	52 (91.2)	0.562	3 (5.3)	54 (94.7)	0.090	9 (15.8)	48 (84.2)	0.00
Married*	33 (13.3)	215 (86.7)		16 (6.5)	232 (93.5)		33 (13.3)	215 (86.7)		84 (33.9)	164 (66.1)	
Education level												
Lower than undergraduate	26 (16.0)	136 (84.0)	0.155	14 (8.6)	148 (91.4)	0.197	15 (9.3)	147 (90.7)	0.143	58 (35.8)	104 (64.2)	0.03
Undergraduate or higher	15 (10.5)	128 (89.5)		7 (4.9)	136 (95.1)		21 (14.7)	122 (85.3)		35 (24.5)	108 (75.5)	
Ethnic groups												
Han Ethnic group	39 (14.3)	234 (85.7)	0.279	21 (7.7)	252 (92.3)	0.145	31 (11.4)	242 (88.6)	0.559	83 (30.4)	190 (69.6)	0.92
Ethnic minorities	2 (6.3)	30 (93.8)		0 (0.0)	32 (100.0)		5 (15.6)	27 (84.4)		10 (31.3)	22 (68.8)	
Living area												
Unban area	33 (14.2)	200 (85.8)	0.507	16 (6.9)	217 (93.1)	1.000	32 (13.7)	201 (86.3)	0.060	70 (30.0)	163 (70.0)	0.75
Rural area	8 (11.1)	64 (88.9)		5 (6.9)	67 (93.1)		4 (5.6)	68 (94.4)		23 (31.9)	49 (68.1)	
Living status												
Alone	4 (30.8)	9 (69.2)	0.081	1 (7.7)	12 (92.3)	1.000	2 (15.4)	11 (84.6)	0.657	7 (53.8)	6 (46.2)	0.07
With others	37 (12.7)	255 (87.3)		20 (6.8)	272 (93.2)		34 (11.6)	258 (88.4)		86 (29.5)	206 (70.5)	
Worry about infection												
Yes	28 (13.1)	186 (86.9)	0.778	15 (7.0)	199 (93.0)	0.896	25 (11.7)	189 (88.3)	0.920	78 (36.4)	136 (63.6)	0.00
No	13 (14.3)	78 (85.7)		6 (6.6)	85 (93.4)		11 (12.1)	80 (87.9)		15 (16.5)	76 (83.5)	
Type of transplantation												
Kidney	6 (15.4)	33 (84.6)	0.470	6 (15.4)	33 (84.6)	0.134	7 (17.9)	32 (82.1)	0.056	13 (33.3)	26 (66.7)	0.35
Heart	31 (12.5)	217 (87.5)		14 (5.6)	234 (94.4)		28 (11.3)	220 (88.3)		72 (29.0)	176 (71.0)	
Liver	4 (22.2)	14 (77.8)		1 (5.6)	17 (94.4)		1 (5.6)	18 (94.4)		8 (44.4)	10 (55.6)	
Post-operative time (month)											
<12	21 (14.8)	121 (85.2)	0.520	8 (5.6)	134 (94.4)	0.420	18 (12.7)	124 (87.3)	0.659	38 (26.8)	104 (73.2)	0.18
≥12	20 (12.3)	143 (87.7)		13 (8.0)	150 (92.0)		18 (11.0)	145 (89.0)		55 (33.7)	108 (66.3)	
_ Comorbidities	. /	. /			. /		. /	. /		. /	. /	
Yes	29 (16.5)	147 (83.5)	0.070	16 (9.1)	160 (90.9)	0.076	29 (16.5)	147 (83.5)	0.003	58 (33.0)	118 (67.0)	0.27
No	12 (9.3)	117 (90.7)		5 (3.9)	124 (96.1)		7 (5.4)	122 (94.6)		35 (27.1)	94 (72.9)	

Frontiers in Psychiatry | www.frontiersin.org

PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder.

TABLE 3 | Quality of life in organ transplant recipients during COVID-19 pandemic.

Variables	PF		RP		BP		GH		VT		SF		RE		MH	
	Score	Р	Score	р	Score	р	Score	р	Score	р	Score	р	Score	р	Score	р
Total	81.9 (14.0)		55.7 (41.9)		83.8 (16.3)		66.0 (19.6)		73.1 (18.9)		57.3 (17.7)		68.0 (39.3)		72.8 (18.7)	
Gender																
Male	83.4 (13.5)	0.003	56.0 (41.8)	0.853	83.9 (15.1)	0.803	65.6 (19.8)	0.772	73.9 (18.5)	0.390	57.1 (16.6)	0.592	69.6 (39.0)	0.251	72.6 (18.8)	0.777
Female	79.3 (14.4)		55.3 (42.1)		83.5 (18.2)		66.7 (19.3)		71.7 (19.6)		57.6 (19.5)		65.1 (39.6)		73.0 (18.6)	
Age (year)																
<30	85.0 (11.3)	0.019	55.8 (41.4)	0.588	82.5 (20.5)	0.758	72.6 (18.0)	0.257	69.3 (16.7)	0.184	67.1 (13.3)	0.009	64.4 (41.0)	0.859	73.5 (19.4)	0.172
30-	84.8 (12.1)		57.3 (41.2)		86.0 (13.4)		64.6 (19.6)		72.2 (19.2)		56.5 (18.9)		67.8 (39.4)		70.3 (17.9)	
40-	81.1 (13.3)		52.3 (43.1)		82.9 (16.2)		64.9 (19.6)		73.1 (18.7)		55.2 (16.2)		68.1 (40.0)		73.0 (18.1)	
≥50	77.9 (17.4)		59.6 (39.7)		82.9 (18.1)		66.9 (20.1)		76.1 (19.6)		57.5 (19.1)		69.7 (37.6)		75.5 (20.3)	
Marital status																
Unmarried	84.5 (14.0)	0.045	53.1 (41.7)	0.705	83.8 (17.3)	0.951	65.3 (21.5)	0.935	68.7 (18.6)	0.030	59.4 (17.7)	0.751	64.9 (38.5)	0.372	69.8 (19.1)	0.159
Married*	81.3 (13.9)		56.4 (41.9)		83.9 (16.1)		66.1 (19.2)		74.1 (18.8)		56.8 (17.7)		68.7 (39.5)		73.4 (18.6)	
Education level																
Lower than undergraduate	80.1 (15.0)	0.230	52.3 (42.9)	0.127	83.4 (17.4)	0.971	66.2 (20.0)	0.880	71.2 (19.4)	0.054	56.6 (16.4)	0.211	64.0 (39.7)	0.056	71.2 (19.2)	0.118
Undergraduate or higher	83.2 (12.7)		59.6 (40.5)		84.2 (15.1)		65.7 (19.2)		75.2 (18.1)		58.0 (19.0)		72.5 (38.4)		74.6 (18.1)	
Ethnic groups																
Han Ethnic group	81.7 (14.2)	0.501	54.2 (42.0)	0.069	83.9 (15.9)	0.848	65.0 (19.8)	0.012	72.5 (18.9)	0.134	57.4 (18.0)	0.336	66.5 (39.6)	0.055	72.7 (19.0)	0.930
Ethnic minorities	83.9 (11.5)		68.8 (38.6)		83.1 (19.8)		74.7 (15.9)		77.8 (18.0)		55.9 (14.9)		80.2 (34.8)		73.3 (16.3)	
Living area																
Unban area	81.7 (13.5)	0.338	56.9 (41.5)	0.420	84.5 (16.0)	0.172	66.2 (20.1)	0.773	74.1 (19.0)	0.039	57.3 (17.7)	0.684	69.7 (38.7)	0.189	73.6 (18.7)	0.079
Rural area	82.4 (15.5)		52.1 (42.9)		81.6 (17.2)		65.4 (18.1)		69.9 (18.4)		57.1 (17.9)		62.5 (40.7)		69.9 (18.6)	
Living status																
Alone	85.4 (12.8)	0.350	67.3 (40.0)	0.336	74.5 (27.8)	0.151	61.2 (24.7)	0.314	64.2 (25.9)	0.228	55.8 (20.8)	0.426	64.1 (46.1)	0.815	66.8 (19.1)	0.206
With others	81.8 (14.0)		55.2 (41.9)		84.2 (15.5)		66.2 (19.4)		73.5 (18.5)		57.3 (17.6)		68.2 (39.0)		73.0 (18.7)	
Worry about infection																
Yes	80.8 (13.9)	0.013	51.3 (41.6)	0.002	83.5 (16.5)	0.520	63.9 (19.0)	0.002	72.6 (18.4)	0.332	55.6 (18.3)	0.015	63.4 (40.8)	0.003	72.2 (18.2)	0.332
No	84.4 (13.9)		66.2 (40.9)		84.5 (15.9)		71.0 (20.3)		74.2 (19.9)		61.1 (15.4)		78.8 (33.2)		74.1 (19.9)	
Type of transplantation																
Kidney	74.1 (21.6)	0.047	53.9 (43.9)	0.186	80.4 (20.7)	0.681	61.2 (21.5)	0.196	68.6 (23.3)	0.490	59.0 (18.4)	0.779	65.0 (40.4)	0.733	71.7 (19.9)	0.911
Heart	82.9 (11.9)		54.7 (41.4)		84.4 (15.7)		66.4 (19.3)		73.6 (18.1)		57.1 (17.7)		68.0 (39.2)		73.1 (18.4)	
Liver	84.4 (14.8)		73.6 (41.5)		82.6 (13.9)		70.1 (19.1)		75.6 (18.4)		56.3 (16.7)		74.1 (38.9)		71.1 (20.8)	
Post-operative time (mon	ith)															
<12	79.1 (14.6)	<0.001	45.6 (42.2)	< 0.001	81.7 (17.8)	0.038	65.7 (20.5)	0.909	72.3 (19.8)	0.675	55.8 (20.0)	0.324	65.5 (41.1)	0.471	73.6 (19.6)	0.232
≥12	84.4 (12.9)		64.6 (39.6)		85.6 (14.6)		66.3 (18.9)		73.8 (18.1)		58.5 (15.3)		70.1 (37.5)		72.0 (17.9)	
Comorbidities																
Yes	82.2 (13.3)	0.980	53.0 (42.5)	0.191	83.3 (16.3)	0.342	62.1 (19.2)	<0.001	70.5 (18.9)	0.003	56.0 (17.2)	0.159	64.4 (39.8)	0.041	72.0 (18.6)	0.371
No	81.5 (14.9)		59.5 (40.8)		84.5 (16.3)		71.3 (19.0)		76.6 (18.4)		58.9 (18.3)		72.9 (38.1)		73.8 (18.8)	

PF, physical functioning; RP, role physical; BP, bodily pain; GH, general health; VT, vitality; SF, social functioning; RE, role emotional; MH, mental health.

*Including 13 widowed or divorced participants.

June 2021 | Volume 12 | Article 690295

Variables	ΡF		ЯР		ВР		GH		Ţ		SF		RE		HW	_
	Score	٩	Score	٩	Score	٩	Score	٩	Score	٩	Score	٩	Score	٩	Score	٩
Depression																
Yes	74.3 (19.1)	0.005	23.2 (34.2)	<0.001	71.3 (19.2)	<0.001	44.8 (16.9)	<0.001	47.7 (17.9)	<0.001	47.9 (21.8)	<0.001	22.0 (34.6)	<0.001	48.1 (16.6)	<0.001
No	83.1 (12.6)		60.8 (40.7)		85.7 (14.9)		69.3 (17.9)		77.0 (15.7)		58.7 (16.5)		75.1 (34.9)		76.6 (15.9)	
Anxiety																
Yes	76.9 (20.4)	0.336	36.9 (38.4)	0.037	75.3 (20.8)	0.022	45.8 (16.5)	<0.001	49.1 (15.9)	<0.001	56.5 (21.0)	0.336	27.0 (37.5)	<0.001	44.2 (15.1)	<0.001
No	82.3 (13.3)		57.1 (41.8)		84.4 (15.8)		67.5 (19.0)		74.9 (17.2)		57.1 (17.4)		71.0 (37.7)		74.9 (17.2)	
Insomnia																
Yes	76.5 (18.2)	0.065	37.5 (40.3)	0.008	72.3 (19.4)	<0.001	51.1 (16.4)	<0.001	58.1 (21.7)	<0.001	52.4 (22.5)	0.046	46.3 (44.6)	0.001	56.8 (20.8)	<0.001
No	82.6 (13.2)		58.2 (41.5)		85.3 (15.2)		68.0 (19.2)		75.1 (17.6)		57.9 (16.9)		70.9 (37.6)		74.9 (17.4)	
PTSD																
Yes	79.9 (14.2)	0.070	45.4 (41.7)	0.003	81.2 (16.2)	0.032	60.7 (18.3)	0.001	66.0 (19.2)	<0.001	54.0 (16.8)	0.004	50.9 (42.4)	<0.001	62.8 (18.8)	<0.001
No	82.8 (13.8)		60.3 (41.2)		84.9 (16.3)		68.3 (19.7)		76.2 (17.9)		58.7 (17.9)		75.5 (35.3)		77.1 (16.9)	

recipients was in consistent with previous studies, which reported a prevalence of depression ranging from 13 to 37% (17), and a prevalence of anxiety ranging from 3 to 18% (18, 30). The prevalence of PTSD in organ transplant recipients seemed to be higher than that in a prospective cohort study, which found that the prevalence of PTSD in liver transplant recipients before transplantation and at 1-year post-transplantation was 10.5 and 6.3%, respectively (31). It has been suggested that more organ transplant recipients suffered from PTSD during the COVID-19 pandemic compared with normal conditions (31). However, the difference in PTSD prevalence might be partially attributed to variations in study populations, assessment tools, and the time of assessment. When compared with general population, organ transplant recipients had higher prevalence of depression and insomnia, lower prevalence of anxiety, and similar prevalence of PTSD, during the same stage of COVID-19 pandemic in China (32, 33).

Organ transplant recipients have been found to exhibit various forms of psychological distress such as depression, anxiety, and stress associated with physical and psychosocial stress factors such as life-threatening illness, transplant surgery, pain, and intensive care unit stays with mechanical ventilation and possible delirium (11). Although psychological problems may decrease after transplantation as patient outcomes and QoL improve (34), one-third of heart transplant recipients experienced high levels of psychological distress in the year following transplantation (35, 36). Notably, being a public health emergency, the COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant psychological impact on both the general population and healthcare workers. Prior to this study, the status of psychological distress in transplant recipients during the COVID-19 pandemic was unknown. Since this study found that psychological distress is prevalent in organ transplant recipients, we therefore suggest that more attention be paid to the mental health status of this patient population and social support be provided for them during the COVID-19 pandemic.

QoL in organ transplant recipients could be improved posttransportation. Based on a review, in all included studies that used the SF 36 to assess QoL, the PF scores of elderly transplant recipients were significantly higher compared to their ageadjusted norms, while the BP, GH, VT, SF, RE, and MH scores did not differ significantly (37). This has brought hope for organ transplant recipients to reach an acceptable level of QoL after transplantation. However, the QoL in organ transplant recipients could be affected by several factors, such as age, educational level, employment status, family support, and negative emotional states (38). In this study, we provided evidence that symptoms of depression, anxiety, insomnia, and PTSD were associated with poorer QoL in organ transplant recipients. Our findings highlight the importance of implementing essential measures to relieve organ transplant recipients' psychological distress and improve their QoL.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the status of psychological distress and its association with QoL in organ transplant recipients during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, our study had several limitations. We analyzed cross-sectional data from a limited number of participants, and all the variables were self-reported; thus, there is a possibility of recall and misclassification bias, which may lead to misleading results. In addition, our study was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic, and there was no baseline data that obtained before the outbreak of COVID-19; therefore, we could not assess whether or not the status of psychological distress in organ transplant recipients was more severe during the COVID-19 pandemic. Moreover, the prevalence of psychological distress may decline over time after transplantation; therefore, the present findings should be further confirmed using longitudinal data.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the current study indicated that psychological distress was prevalent in organ transplant recipients during the COVID-19 pandemic. Moreover, organ transplant recipients with depression, anxiety, insomnia, and PTSD had poorer QoL than their counterparts without the respective disorder. Timely psychological counseling, COVID-19 related health education, and essential community medical services should thus be provided to organ transplant recipients to relieve their psychological distress, and improve their QoL.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

REFERENCES

- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet.* (2020) 395:497-506. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5
- World Health Organization. (2021). Available online at: https://covid19.who. int/ (accessed March 31, 2021).
- Bo H-X, Li W, Yang Y, Wang Y, Zhang Q, Cheung T, et al. Posttraumatic stress symptoms and attitude toward crisis mental health services among clinically stable patients with COVID-19 in China. *Psychol Med.* (2020) 1-7. doi: 10.1017/S0033291720000999
- 4. Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, Hu J, Wei N, et al. Factors Associated With Mental Health Outcomes Among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. JAMA Network Open (2020) 3(3):e203976. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3976
- Serafini G, Gonda X, Canepa G, Pompili M, Rihmer Z, Amore M, et al. Extreme sensory processing patterns show a complex association with depression, and impulsivity, alexithymia, and hopelessness. J Affect Disord. (2017) 210:249-57. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2016.12.019
- Luo M, Guo L, Yu M, Jiang W, Wang H. The psychological and mental impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) on medical staff and general public - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Res.* (2020) 291:113190. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113190
- Amerio A, Bianchi D, Santi F, Costantini L, Odone A, Signorelli C, et al. Covid-19 pandemic impact on mental health: a web-based cross-sectional survey on a sample of Italian general practitioners. *Acta Bio-Med.* (2020) 91:83-8. doi: 10.23750/abm.v91i2.9619
- Cornet AD, Issa AI, van de Loosdrecht AA, Ossenkoppele GJ, Strack van Schijndel RJ, Groeneveld AB. Sequential organ failure predicts mortality of patients with a haematological malignancy needing intensive care. *Eur J Haematol.* (2005) 74:511-6. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0609.2005.00418.x
- 9. Poves Prim I, Fabregat Pous J, García Borobia FJ, Jorba Martí R, Figueras Felip J, Jaurrieta Mas E. Early onset of organ failure is the best predictor

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed substantially to the conception and design, reviewed the manuscript, and approved the submitted version of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Key R & D Program of China (2018YFC1314600) as a grant for ZL, from the Ministry of Science and Technology of People's Republic of China (URL: http://www.most.gov.cn/). The funder played no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to express their gratitude to all investigators and participants of the studies included in this analysis.

of mortality in acute pancreatitis. $Rev\ Esp\ Enferm\ Dig.$ (2004) 96:705-9, 709-13. doi: 10.4321/S1130-01082004001000005

- Gezginci E, Kosucu SN, Goktas S, Sahin E. Relationship between depression and healthy lifestyle behaviors of patients with history of transplant. *Transplant Proc.* (2019) 51:2367-72. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2019. 01.185
- Davydow DS, Lease ED, Reyes JD. Posttraumatic stress disorder in organ transplant recipients: a systematic review. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. (2015) 37:387-98. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2015.05.005
- Yu XY, Wang Y, Zhong H, Dou QL, Song YL, Wen H. Diagnostic value of serum procalcitonin in solid organ transplant recipients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Transplant Proc.* (2014) 46:26-32. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2013.07.074
- Klindtworth K, Oster P, Hager K, Krause O, Bleidorn J, Schneider N. Living with and dying from advanced heart failure: understanding the needs of older patients at the end of life. *BMC Geriatr.* (2015) 15:125. doi: 10.1186/s12877-015-0124-y
- Czyzewski L, Frelik P, Wyzgal J, Szarpak L. Evaluation of quality of life and severity of depression, anxiety, and stress in patients after kidney transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* (2018) 50:1733-7. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2018.04.026
- Parmar A, Vandriel SM, Ng VL. Health-related quality of life after pediatric liver transplantation: a systematic review. *Liver Transpl.* (2017) 23:361-74. doi: 10.1002/lt.24696
- Beilby S, Moss-Morris R, Painter L. Quality of life before and after heart, lung and liver transplantation. N Z Med J. (2003) 116:U381.
- Kugler C, Bara C, von Waldthausen T, Einhorn I, Haastert B, Fegbeutel C, et al. Association of depression symptoms with quality of life and chronic artery vasculopathy: a cross-sectional study in heart transplant patients. J Psychosom Res. (2014) 77:128-34. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2014. 06.007
- 18. Dew MA, Kormos RL, DiMartini AF, Switzer GE, Schulberg HC, Roth LH, et al. Prevalence and risk of depression and anxiety-related disorders during

the first three years after heart transplantation. *Psychosomatics*. (2001) 42:300-13. doi: 10.1176/appi.psy.42.4.300

- Suryavanshi N, Kadam A, Dhumal G, Nimkar S, Mave V, Gupta A, et al. Mental health and quality of life among healthcare professionals during the COVID-19 pandemic in India. *Brain Behav.* (2020) 10:e01837. doi: 10.1002/brb3.1837
- Wang Y, Tang S, Yang C, Ma S, Bai H, Cai Z, et al. Psychological intervention in Fangcang shelter hospitals for COVID-19 in China. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* (2020) 74:618-9. doi: 10.1111/pcn.13149
- 21. Wang Y, Ma S, Yang C, Cai Z, Hu S, Zhang B, et al. Acute psychological effects of Coronavirus Disease 2019 outbreak among healthcare workers in China: a cross-sectional study. *Transl Psychiatry*. (2020) 10:348. doi: 10.1038/s41398-020-01031-w
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med. (2001) 16:606-13. doi: 10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x
- Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Lowe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. (2006) 166:1092-7. doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092
- Bastien CH, Vallieres A, Morin CM. Validation of the insomnia severity index as an outcome measure for insomnia research. *Sleep Med.* (2001) 2:297-307. doi: 10.1016/S1389-9457(00)00065-4
- Wu KK, Chan KS. The development of the Chinese version of impact of event scale-revised (CIES-R). Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. (2003) 38:94-8. doi: 10.1007/s00127-003-0611-x
- Yu DS. Insomnia severity index: psychometric properties with Chinese community-dwelling older people. J Adv Nurs. (2010) 66:2350-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2010.05394.x
- Tong X, An D, McGonigal A, Park SP, Zhou D. Validation of the Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7) among Chinese people with epilepsy. *Epilepsy Res.* (2016) 120:31-6. doi: 10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2015.11.019
- Chen S, Fang Y, Chiu H, Fan H, Jin T, Conwell Y. Validation of the nine-item Patient Health Questionnaire to screen for major depression in a Chinese primary care population. *Asia Pac Psychiatry*. (2013) 5:61-8. doi: 10.1111/appy.12063
- Li L, Wang HM, Shen Y. Chinese SF-36 health survey: translation, cultural adaptation, validation, and normalisation. J Epidemiol Commun Health. (2003) 57:259. doi: 10.1136/jech.57.4.259
- Dew MA, DiMartini AF, DeVito Dabbs AJ, Fox KR, Myaskovsky L, Posluszny DM, et al. Onset and risk factors for anxiety and depression during the first 2 years after lung transplantation. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry.* (2012) 34:127-38. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2011. 11.009

- Annema C, Drent G, Roodbol PF, Metselaar HJ, Van Hoek B, Porte RJ, et al. A prospective cohort study on posttraumatic stress disorder in liver transplantation recipients before and after transplantation: prevalence, symptom occurrence, and intrusive memories. J Psychosom Res. (2017) 95:88-93. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2017.01.012
- 32. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, McIntyre RS, et al. A longitudinal study on the mental health of general population during the COVID-19 epidemic in China. Brain Behav Immun. (2020) 87:40-8. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.028
- 33. Shi L, Lu ZA, Que JY, Huang XL, Liu L, Ran MS, et al. Prevalence of and risk factors associated with mental health symptoms among the general population in China during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *JAMA Netw Open*. (2020) 3:e2014053. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.14053
- 34. Cannavo A, Passamonti SM, Vincenti D, Aurelio MT, Torelli R, Poli F, et al. Quality of life before and after transplantation in solid organ recipients referred to the North Italy transplant program (NITp): a cross-sectional study. *Transplant Proc.* (2019) 51:1692-8. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2019.02.034
- Crawford I, Hogan T, Silverman MJ. Effects of music therapy on perception of stress, relaxation, mood, and side effects in patients on a solid organ transplant unit: a randomized effectiveness study. *Arts Psychother.* (2013) 40:224-9. doi: 10.1016/j.aip.2013.02.005
- 36. Dew MA, Roth LH, Schulberg HC, Simmons RG, Kormos RL, Trzepacz P, et al. Prevalence and predictors of depression and anxiety-related disorders during the year after heart transplantation. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry.* (1996) 18:S48-61. doi: 10.1016/S0163-8343(96)00077-1
- 37. Kniepeiss D, Wagner D, Pienaar S, Thaler HW, Porubsky C, Tscheliessnigg KH, et al. Solid organ transplantation: technical progress meets human dignity a review of the literature considering elderly patients' health related quality of life following transplantation. *Ageing Res Rev.* (2012) 11:181-7. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2011.06.003
- Ortega F, Valdés C, Ortega T. Quality of life after solid organ transplantation. *Transplant Rev.* (2007) 21:155-70. doi: 10.1016/j.trre.2007.06.002

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Cai, Cai, Song, Wang, Zhang, Ma, Tang, Bai, Tan, Li, Yao, Liu, Wang and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.