Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yasser Khazaal, Université de Lausanne, Switzerland

REVIEWED BY

Carla Cannizzaro, University of Palermo, Italy Giovanni Martinotti, University of Studies G. d'Annunzio Chieti and Pescara, Italy Shihao Huang, Peking University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Angela Sanna

angelasanna72@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Addictive Disorders, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

RECEIVED 07 August 2022 ACCEPTED 24 October 2022 PUBLISHED 08 November 2022

CITATION

Sanna A, Bini V, Badas P, Corona G, Sanna G, Marcasciano L, De Vivo MC and Diana M (2022) Role of maintenance treatment on long-term efficacy of bilateral iTBS of the prefrontal cortex in treatment-seeking cocaine addicts: A retrospective analysis. *Front. Psychiatry* 13:1013569. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.1013569

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Sanna, Bini, Badas, Corona, Sanna, Marcasciano, De Vivo and Diana. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Role of maintenance treatment on long-term efficacy of bilateral iTBS of the prefrontal cortex in treatment-seeking cocaine addicts: A retrospective analysis

Angela Sanna¹*, Valentina Bini², Paola Badas², Giorgio Corona², Gabriele Sanna³, Lara Marcasciano², Maria Chiara De Vivo² and Marco Diana⁴

¹Unitá Operativa Complessa Neurologia Riabilitativa, PO SS Trinità, ASL Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy, ²rTMS Italia, Cagliari, Italy, ³Servizio di Radiologia, Osp. Binaghi, ASL Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy, ⁴"G.Minardi' Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience, Department of Chemical, Physical, Mathematical and Biological Sciences, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy

CUD, like other addictions, is a chronic disease characterized by a high rate of relapse and drop-out (DO) from medical and behavioral treatment programs, which is positively correlated with relapse. Repetitive transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) protocols have shown therapeutic potential in addiction in the short term, but only a few studies have explored their long-term efficacy, so far. This study explores the long-term outcome of bilateral intermittent theta-burst stimulation (iTBS) of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) in cocaine use disorder (CUD) and the possible influence of maintenance treatment in improving abstinence and decreasing DO rates. Eighty-nine treatment-seeking CUD patients were exposed to 20 sessions of iTBS. At the end of the treatment 61 (81%) abstinent patients underwent a 12 months follow-up. Among these, 27 patients chose to follow a maintenance treatment (M), whereas 34 patients chose not to adhere to a maintenance treatment (NM). Overall, among patients reaching the 12 months follow-up endpoint, 69.7% were still abstinent and 30.3% relapsed. In NM-patients the DO rate was significantly higher than in M-ones (58.82 vs. 29.63%). The present observations show the long-term therapeutic effect of bilateral PFC iTBS to decrease cocaine consumption. Moreover, they underline the importance to perform a maintenance protocol to consolidate abstinence and decrease DO rates over time.

KEYWORDS

cocaine use disorder, intermittent theta burst stimulation (iTBS), addiction, follow up, drop out, repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS)

Introduction

Cocaine use disorder (CUD) is a chronic, relapsing brain disease, causing health and social problems; cocaine, after cannabis, represents the second most widely used illegal substance in Europe (1, 2). As with other addictions, CUD patients show a high rate of relapse, up to 74% within the first 3 months (3, 4). Vulnerability to drug relapse depends on several factors (4, 5), among which a long-lasting reduced release of dopamine is thought to play a pivotal role (6-9). Many pharmacological and behavioral approaches have been conducted to treat CUD but the results are far from encouraging showing high dropout rates and poor medication adherence (10). Psychosocial approaches such as cognitivebehavioral therapy (CBT) and contingency management (CM) display good efficacy after short and long-term treatment in psychostimulant addiction either alone or in combination, but they are time-consuming, expensive, and often display high drop-out rates (11-14). Among the non-pharmacological strategies, repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) has shown therapeutic potential in treating substance and behavioral addictions targeting focal or wide bilateral areas of the brain (15). The ability of rTMS to induce longlasting therapeutic effects relies on several mechanisms such as neurotransmitter release, modulation of synaptic activity, and expression of neurotrophic factors at the site of stimulation and in distant connected areas, thus modulating Hebbian plasticity of entire brain networks (15-17). According to the so-called "addiction cycle" described by Koob and Le Moal (18), addiction is characterized by an altered functionality of the prefrontal cortex and basal ganglia, resulting in impairment in decision making and reduced sensitivity to natural rewards; furthermore, an increase in stress-conditioned responses, modulated by the limbic system, occurs. Indeed, TMS strategies in CUD are either directed to enhance the reduced functionality of the prefrontal areas with excitatory protocols or to decrease the excessive functionality of the limbic system with inhibitory ones (19). Both approaches have shown ability in reducing cocaine intake and craving (20-24) and in modulating cue-induced responses (25), but the heterogeneity of protocols among different studies has hindered a standardization of protocols thus lowering the level of evidence for the therapeutic use of rTMS in CUD and other addictions (15). Theta-burst stimulation (TBS) protocols that mimic hippocampal endogenous theta rhythms can induce synaptic long-term potentiation (LTP) and depression (LTD), in their intermittent and continuous patterns, respectively (26, 27). TBS protocols were thought to induce longer-lasting effects on brain plasticity than conventional protocols, but a large-scale study on depression has shown a similar short- and long-term efficacy of intermittent TBS (iTBS) compared to conventional protocols in reducing depressive symptoms (28). The main advantage of this protocol is its short duration leading to more tolerability and time saving than other rTMS protocols.

Indeed, iTBS has shown therapeutic potential in CUD and other forms of addiction when applied to prefrontal areas with similar efficacy as high-frequency conventional stimulation (23, 24). Nevertheless, although TBS and other rTMS protocols have shown promising results in the short term, only a few studies have studied long-term outcome and the role of maintenance treatment for consolidating long-term abstinence, with conflicting results (29–31).

Based on this evidence, the aim of this study was to retrospectively explore the long-term efficacy of bilateral prefrontal cortex (PFC) iTBS in CUD and the effect of a maintenance iTBS treatment on long-term abstinence and follow-up adherence.

Methods

Experimental design

This is a retrospective analysis of data from clinical records of 89 CUD patients referring to an outpatient clinic from 2018 to 2021. Patients provided written informed consent to disclose their clinical data for research, anonymously. The consent form included all information regarding the nature of the TMS treatment and possible side effects. The Chief Medical Officer of the outpatient clinic approved the study and gave permission to access patients' clinical records for research scopes following Italian Legislative Decree No. 196 of June 30, 2003, "Personal Data Protection Code." The study endorsed the Principles of Human Rights, as adopted by the World Medical Association (18th WMA General Assembly) in 1964 in Helsinki (Finland) and then amended by the 64th WMA General Assembly in 2013 in Fortaleza (Brazil). As described in Figure 1, 89 CUD patients were included in the study. Patients were treated with iTBS applied bilaterally to PFC for 20 sessions. Follow-up was performed in patients that resulted stably drug-free at the end of the treatment. Among these, 27 patients underwent maintenance sessions of iTBS (one treatment a week for 1 month followed by one treatment every 2 weeks for 2 months) while 34 drug-free patients chose not to perform a maintenance treatment. Patients and their relatives/caregivers were asked to perform urine tests at the clinic or at home once a week. Data were collected at 3, 6, and 12 months.

Patients

Eighty-nine treatment-seeking outpatients, diagnosed according to DSM-V criteria (32) were enrolled in the study. Inclusion criteria were: age between 18 and 65 years, current CUD (i.e., have a positive urine drug screen for cocaine), motivation to stop intake, and ability to understand and sign the informed consent. Exclusion criteria were: medical



devices (pacemaker, metal implants, devices for inflating), epilepsy, and pregnancy (33). The screening included medical history, physical and in-depth neurological examinations. Patients were asked about the weekly amount of cocaine consumed at baseline, at the end of rTMS treatment, and throughout follow-up; cocaine consumption was evaluated twice a week through a commercially available urine drug screen test (Home Health Ltd., Hertfordshire, United Kingdom).

Intermittent theta burst stimulation

Magstim Rapid stimulator (Magstim Company, Whitland, Wales, UK) was used along with H4-Coil (Brainsway Ltd., Jerusalem, Israel) specifically designed to stimulate bilateral PFC and insula symmetrically (34, 35). Subjects received 20 stimulations over 4 weeks as previously described (23). ITBS protocol consisted of bursts containing 3 pulses at 50 Hz repeated at 200-ms intervals for 2 s (i.e., at 5 Hz). A 2-s train of iTBS was repeated every 10 s for 190 s and 600 pulses (26). The intensity was set at 100% of the visual resting motor threshold (RMT). For maintenance treatment 1 weekly session of iTBS was administered for 2 months.

Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism 8.01 software (San Diego, CA, USA) was used. To compare demographic features, multiple independent samples Student's *t*-test and Chi-Squared test were performed for normally distributed variables and categorical variables, respectively.

Results

Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical features of patients involved in the study and the rate of side effects induced by iTBS. Treatment was well tolerated and side effects were mild and transient, when observed.

Effect of 20 sessions of ITBS on cocaine consumption

Eighty-nine patients were recruited, among which 14 did not complete the treatment. As shown in Figure 1, 61 (81%) of patients completing the 20 sessions treatment were found stably negative in at least three consecutive urine tests at the end of the treatment, while 14 (19%) were still positive.

Long-term follow-up on drug-free patients

The 61 patients resulting drug-free after 20 iTBS sessions were included in the follow-up. Segregating by maintenance treatment, as shown in Table 2, both M and NM patients display a similar rate of abstinence at 3, 6, and 12 months respectively, with no significant differences between groups for positive and negative rates at every time point.

Drop-out rates

Figure 2 depicts the drop-out (DO) rates at different time points in M and NM patients. A significantly higher rate of total DO in the NM group was found, reaching 58.82 % of enrolled patients vs. 29.63 % in the M group (Fisher exact test p = 0.04). Moreover, DO rates in the NM group show a tendency to be higher at every time point of follow-up, (as compared to the M

TABLE 1 Baseline socio- demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample. Data are expressed as mean and (standard deviation) or (percentage).

Patients		n = 89
Gender (F/M)	F	8
	М	81
Age (yr)		36.7 (9.1
Duration of cocaine use (years)		13.2 (7.4
Weekly cocaine amount (g)		8.7 (7.6)
Route of administration	Inhalation	65
	Smoke	17
	Injective	7
Psychiatric comorbidities		28 (31%)
	Mood disorder	8
	Personality disorder	6
	Anxiety	14
Psychoactive prescription drugs		36 (40%)
	Mood stabilizers	9
	Benzodiazepines	11
	Antidepressants	9
	Antipsychotics	7
Other actual addictions		61 (69%)
	Nicotine	61
	Alcohol	33
	Gap	12
	Heroin	6
	Cannabis	18
rTMS side effects		28 (31%)
	Headache	10
	Dizziness	2
	Sleepiness	16
	Insomnia	9

group) reaching a statistically significant difference at 12 months (Fisher exact test p = 0.04; NM= 26.3%, M = 5.0 %).

Discussion

Our data confirm our previous observation on the efficacy of bilateral iTBS in the treatment of CUD, as previously shown in a pilot study performed in a smaller sample (23). Importantly, the present data also shows that a 20-session protocol of iTBS may promote long-term abstinence in CUD patients and it suggests that a maintenance iTBS treatment increases follow-up adherence and decreases drop-out rates.

The main therapeutic issue in addiction is the longterm efficacy of pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments; indeed, addiction is considered a chronic disease with a high relapse rate after different therapeutic approaches

Time	Maintenance				No maintenance				
		Negative	Positive	Drop out	Total	Negative	Positive	Drop out	Total
3 months	Ν	17	6	4	27	18	6	10	34
	%	63.0	22.2	14.8	100.0	52.9	17.7	29.4	100.0
6 months	Ν	14	6	3	23	15	4	5	24
	%	60.9	26.1	13.0	100.0	62.5	16.7	20.8	100.0
12 months	Ν	13	6	1	20	10	4	5	19
	%	65.0	30.0	5.0	100.0	52.6	21.1	26.3	100.0
12 months	Ν			1 5.0					

TABLE 2 Cocaine positive and negative patients at 3, 6 and 12 months of follow up in maintenance and no-maintenance group. Data are expressed as raw data and percentages.



even in treatment-seeking patients (36-38). rTMS protocols targeting prefrontal areas have shown therapeutic efficacy in several types of addiction, including CUD, due to their ability not only in reducing drug consumption but also in ameliorating the psychological burden related to addiction (15, 22). Despite a proven short-term efficacy of rTMS treatments in CUD and other addictions, only a few studies have explored the long-term efficacy of neuromodulation: a recent metanalysis (31) shows that rTMS can reduce craving and promote abstinence from different drugs (and overeating) in short, mid and long-term. Indeed, previous studies have shown a long-term efficacy of an acute rTMS treatment in different SUDs (29, 39); on the other hand, a recent randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled multi-center study on 42 treatment-seeking CUD patients, showed no difference between real and sham stimulation in reducing cocaine craving and consumption in short and midterm, but a marked reduction in depressive symptoms only in the real TMS patients undergoing a maintenance treatment (40). Maintenance sessions are currently proposed for different chronic disorders such as depression, but the length and frequency of sessions vary widely among different studies. Madeo et al. (30) showed that a maintenance treatment improves

the efficacy of rTMS in CUD, which outlasts the reduction of treatment frequency throughout follow-up; conversely, a previous study on nicotine addiction (41) showed that rTMS can reduce cigarette smoking only in an acute setting, while the effect tends to dissipate when the sessions are less frequent.

It is well known that the heterogeneity of different studies is due to different factors, among which target area and parameters of stimulation may play an important role (8, 23, 29). Indeed, we used an iTBS protocol which is able to induce long-lasting effects on brain plasticity modulating different cellular mechanisms and whose parameters are less prone to be changed (27, 42). When considering addiction as a "whole brain" disease, the use of H-coil, which delivers a simultaneous stimulation of both prefrontal cortices (43), may, in theory, boost higher levels of dopamine and influence plasticity of several areas of both hemispheres which, in turn, may modulate different behavioral and cognitive processes involved in addiction neural underpinnings (8, 44). Accordingly, our data show the efficacy of bilateral iTBS of the prefrontal cortex in reducing cocaine consumption and promoting abstinence in the short and long term; moreover, they show that maintenance treatment is associated with a significantly lower percentage of drop-out rates, suggesting a better efficacy in the long term.

Drop-out is one of the main problems interfering with addiction therapies outcome (45); it has been shown that a high drop-out rate in psychosocial and pharmacological treatments is a relapse predictor (37, 45) depending on several factors, among which duration of treatment is positively associated with a better outcome (45). This is not surprising, since CUD, and SUD in general, are by definition chronic relapsing diseases and vulnerability to relapse remains high even after detoxification programs and correlates with a persistent blunted dopamine transmission and impaired executive functions (46, 47). Thus, it becomes of primary importance to keep patients' motivation and adherence to treatment to limit the relapse rate as shown in psychosocial interventions such as contingency management and cognitive-behavioral therapies (13, 48). Present data show that CUD patients undergoing a maintenance treatment display a significantly higher adherence to follow-up compared to those who did not, which display 59% of drop-out rates. Thus, based on the above-mentioned evidence, although we measured similar abstinence rates between groups, it can be inferred that the percentage of relapse to cocaine use might be higher in the NM group due to the high percentage of drop-out rates.

Limitations

This paper has several limitations that we must recognize. First of all, this study lacks a control group since data originate from observations in treatment-seeking patients who voluntarily underwent rTMS; indeed, previous studies on SUD have shown no differences between sham and real stimulation in reducing drug intake in the short term, while significant differences emerged in the follow up (29, 49); we may speculate that is probably due to a placebo effect of the treatment which tend to vanish overtime. Another limit concerns the follow-up data that are mostly obtained from telephone interviews with patients and/or caregivers doing at-home urine tests. Further, in our sample the percentage of female patients is very low, which is a common problem in rTMS addiction studies (15); however, a recent paper on women with methamphetamine use disorder showed the same responsiveness to rTMS, as compared with men (50). Indeed gender differences should be better explored taking into account hormonal, psychological and cognitive indicators (51). Lastly, we did not perform any psychometric measure which might be helpful to investigate whether abstinence is accompanied by a change in mood parameters or executive functions, as already described by other authors for rTMS and other SUD treatments (5, 22, 40, 52).

Conclusions

In conclusion, despite these limits, this paper confirms the effectiveness of iTBS in treating CUD in the short term and extends these observations to the long term. It shows that maintenance treatments may promote a better adherence to follow-up suggesting an improvement in long-term abstinence, thereby preventing relapse.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

Ethical review approval and was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional The requirements. patients/participants provided their written informed consent participate in to this study.

Author contributions

AS, PB, GC, and MD conceived the study and designed the experiments. MD supervised the research. AS, VB, MCDV, GS, and LM performed the study. AS and VB analyzed the data. AS, PB, VB, and MD discussed the data and prepared the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported, in part, by funds FAR 2019 and FAR 2020 from Uniss to MD.

Acknowledgments

Authors are grateful to UniCredit (Italia) and NeuroSardynia ONLUS (Italy) for generous donation in support to TMS equipment.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. European Drug Report 2020: Trends and developments. Publications Office. https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2810/420678

2. World Drug Report 2020, United Nations publication, Sales No. E.20.XI.6.

3. Paliwal P, Hyman SM, Sinha R. Craving predicts time to cocaine relapse: further validation of the Now and Brief versions of the cocaine craving questionnaire. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* (2008) 93:252–9. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2007.10.002

4. Milivojevic V, Sinha R. Central and peripheral biomarkers of stress response for addiction risk and relapse vulnerability. *Trends Mol Med.* (2018) 24:173– 86. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2017.12.010

5. Koob GF, Volkow ND. Neurobiology of addiction: a neurocircuitry analysis. Lancet Psychiatry. (2016) 3:760-73. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(16)00104-8

6. Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Telang F, Fowler JS, Logan J, Jayne M, et al. Profound decreases in dopamine release in striatum in detoxified alcoholics: possible orbitofrontal involvement. *J Neurosci.* (2007) 27:12700–6. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3371-07.2007

7. Melis M, Spiga S, Diana M. The dopamine hypothesis of drug addiction: hypodopaminergic state. *Int Rev Neurobiol.* (2005) 63:101–54. doi: 10.1016/S0074-7742(05)63005-X

8. Sanna A, Fattore L, Badas P, Corona G, Diana M. The hypodopaminergic state ten years after: transcranial magnetic stimulation as a tool to test the dopamine hypothesis of drug addiction. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* (2021) 56:61–7. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2020.11.001

9. Nutt DJ, Lingford-Hughes A, Erritzoe D, Stokes PR. The dopamine theory of addiction: 40 years of highs and lows. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* (2015) 16:305–12. doi: 10.1038/nrn3939

10. Brandt L, Chao T, Comer SD, Levin FR. Pharmacotherapeutic strategies for treating cocaine use disorder-what do we have to offer? *Addiction.* (2021) 116:694–710. doi: 10.1111/add.15242

11. De Crescenzo F, Ciabattini M, D'Alò GL, De Giorgi R, Del Giovane C, Cassar C, et al. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of psychosocial interventions for individuals with cocaine and amphetamine addiction: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* (2018) 15:e1002715. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002715

12. Ronsley C, Nolan S, Knight R, Hayashi K, Klimas J, Walley A, et al. Treatment of stimulant use disorder: a systematic review of reviews. *PLoS One.* (2020) 15:e0234809. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0234809

13. Epstein DH, Hawkins WE, Covi L, Umbricht A, Preston KL. Cognitivebehavioral therapy plus contingency management for cocaine use: findings during treatment and across 12-month follow-up. *Psychol Addict Behav.* (2003) 17:73– 82. doi: 10.1037/0893-164X.17.1.73

14. Ray LA, Meredith LR, Kiluk BD, Walthers J, Carroll KM, Magill M. Combined pharmacotherapy and cognitive behavioral therapy for adults with alcohol or substance use disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open.* (2020) 3:e208279. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.8279

15. Ekhtiari H, Tavakoli H, Addolorato G, Baeken C, Bonci A, Campanella S, et al. Transcranial electrical and magnetic stimulation (tES and TMS) for addiction medicine: a consensus paper on the present state of the science and the road ahead. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2019) 104:118–40. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.06.007

16. Chervyakov AV, Chernyavsky AY, Sinitsyn DO, Piradov MA. Possible mechanisms underlying the therapeutic effects of transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Front Hum Neurosci.* (2015) 9:303. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00303

17. Diana M, Raij T, Melis M, Nummenmaa A, Leggio L, Bonci A. Rehabilitating the addicted brain with transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* (2017) 18:685–93. doi: 10.1038/nrn.2017.113

18. Koob GF, Le Moal M. Drug addiction, dysregulation of reward, and allostasis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. (2001) 24:97–129. doi: 10.1016/S0893-133X(00)00195-0

19. Hanlon CA, Dowdle LT, Henderson JS. Modulating neural circuits with transcranial magnetic stimulation: implications for addiction treatment development. *Pharmacol Rev.* (2018) 70:661–83. doi: 10.1124/pr.116.013649

20. Bolloni C, Badas P, Corona G, Diana M. Transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of cocaine addiction: evidence to date. *Subst Abuse Rehabil.* (2018) 9:11–21. doi: 10.2147/SAR.S161206

21. Terraneo A, Leggio L, Saladini M, Ermani M, Bonci A, Gallimberti L. Transcranial magnetic stimulation of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex

reduces cocaine use: a pilot study. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* (2016) 26:37-44. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2015.11.011

22. Pettorruso M, Martinotti G, Santacroce R, Montemitro C, Fanella F, di Giannantonio M. rTMS reduces psychopathological burden and cocaine consumption in treatment-seeking subjects with cocaine use disorder: an open label, feasibility study. *Front Psychiatry.* (2019) 10:621. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00621

23. Sanna A, Fattore L, Badas P, Corona G, Cocco V, Diana M. Intermittent theta burst stimulation of the prefrontal cortex in cocaine use disorder: a pilot study. *Front Neurosci.* (2019) 13:765. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2019.00765

24. Steele VR, Maxwell AM, Ross TJ, Stein EA, Salmeron BJ. Accelerated intermittent theta-burst stimulation as a treatment for cocaine use disorder: a proof-of-concept study. *Front Neurosci.* (2019) 13:1147. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2019.01147

25. Kearney-Ramos TE, Dowdle LT, Lench DH, Mithoefer OJ, Devries WH, George MS, et al. Transdiagnostic effects of ventromedial prefrontal cortex transcranial magnetic stimulation on cue reactivity. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging*. (2018) 3:599–609. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2018.03.016

26. Huang YZ, Edwards MJ, Rounis E, Bhatia KP, Rothwell JC. Theta burst stimulation of the human motor cortex. *Neuron.* (2005) 45:201-6. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2004.12.033

27. Suppa A, Huang YZ, Funke K, Ridding MC, Cheeran B, Di Lazzaro V, et al. Ten years of theta burst stimulation in humans: established knowledge, unknowns and prospects. *Brain Stimul.* (2016) 9:323–35. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2016.01.006

28. Blumberger DM, Vila-Rodriguez F, Thorpe KE, Feffer K, Noda Y, Giacobbe P, et al. Effectiveness of theta burst versus high-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients with depression (THREE-D): a randomised non-inferiority trial. *Lancet.* (2018) 391:1683–92. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30295-2

29. Bolloni C, Panella R, Pedetti M, Frascella AG, Gambelunghe C, Piccoli T, et al. Bilateral transcranial magnetic stimulation of the prefrontal cortex reduces cocaine intake: a pilot study. *Front Psychiatry*. (2016) 7:133. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2016.00133

30. Madeo G, Terraneo A, Cardullo S, Gómez Pérez LJ, Cellini N, Sarlo M, et al. Long-term outcome of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in a large cohort of patients with cocaine-use disorder: an observational study. *Front Psychiatry.* (2020) 11:158. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00158

31. Song S, Zilverstand A, Gui W, Pan X, Zhou X. Reducing craving and consumption in individuals with drug addiction, obesity or overeating through neuromodulation intervention: a systematic review and meta-analysis of its follow-up effects. *Addiction.* (2022) 117:1242–55. doi: 10.1111/add.15686

32. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5th ed. Arlington: American Psychiatric Association. (2013).

33. Rossi S, Antal A, Bestmann S, Bikson M, Brewer C, Brockmöller J, et al. Safety and recommendations for TMS use in healthy subjects and patient populations, with updates on training, ethical and regulatory issues: expert guidelines. *Clin Neurophysiol.* (2021) 132:269–306. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2020.10.003

34. Rapinesi C, Del Casale A, Di Pietro S, Ferri VR, Piacentino D, Sani G, et al. Add-on high frequency deep transcranial magnetic stimulation (dTMS) to bilateral prefrontal cortex reduces cocaine craving in patients with cocaine use disorder. *Neurosci Lett.* (2016) 629:43–7. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2016.06.049

35. Tendler A, Barnea Ygael N, Roth Y, Zangen A. Deep transcranial magnetic stimulation (dTMS) - beyond depression. *Expert Rev Med Devices*. (2016) 13:987–1000. doi: 10.1080/17434440.2016.1233812

36. McLellan AT, Lewis DC, O'Brien CP, Kleber HD. Drug dependence, a chronic medical illness: implications for treatment, insurance, and outcomes evaluation. *JAMA*. (2000) 284:1689–95. doi: 10.1001/jama.284.13.1689

37. Lappan SN, Brown AW, Hendricks PS. Dropout rates of in-person psychosocial substance use disorder treatments: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Addiction*. (2020) 115:201–17. doi: 10.1111/add.14793

38. Dutra L, Stathopoulou G, Basden SL, Leyro TM, Powers MB, Otto MW, et al. meta-analytic review of psychosocial interventions for substance use disorders. *Am J Psychiatry*. (2008) 165:179–87. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.06111851

39. Dinur-Klein L, Dannon P, Hadar A, Rosenberg O, Roth Y, Kotler M, et al. Smoking cessation induced by deep repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the prefrontal and insular cortices: a prospective, randomized controlled trial. *Biol Psychiatry.* (2014) 76:742–9. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych. 2014.05.020

40. Martinotti G, Pettorruso M, Montemitro C, Spagnolo PA, Acuti Martellucci C, Di Carlo F, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation

in treatment-seeking subjects with cocaine use disorder: a randomized, doubleblind, sham-controlled trial. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry.* (2022) 116:110513. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2022.110513

41. Amiaz R, Levy D, Vainiger D, Grunhaus L, Zangen A. Repeated high-frequency transcranial magnetic stimulation over the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex reduces cigarette craving and consumption. *Addiction.* (2009) 104:653–60. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2008.02448.x

42. Rounis E, Huang YZ. Theta burst stimulation in humans: a need for better understanding effects of brain stimulation in health and disease. *Exp Brain Res.* (2020) 238:1707–14. doi: 10.1007/s00221-020-05880-1

43. Roth Y, Zangen A, Hallett M. A coil design for transcranial magnetic stimulation of deep brain regions. *J Clin Neurophysiol.* (2002) 19:361–70. doi: 10.1097/00004691-200208000-00008

44. Diana M. The dopamine hypothesis of drug addiction and its potential therapeutic value. *Front Psychiatry.* (2011) 2:64. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2011.00064

45. Ciraulo DA, Piechniczek-Buczek J, Iscan EN. Outcome predictors in substance use disorders. *Psychiatr Clin North Am.* (2003) 26:381–409. doi: 10.1016/S0193-953X(02)00106-5

46. Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Wang GJ, Hitzemann R, Logan J, Schlyer DJ, et al. Decreased dopamine D2 receptor availability is associated with reduced frontal metabolism in cocaine abusers. *Synapse.* (1993) 14:169–77. doi: 10.1002/syn.890140210

47. Stevens L, Betanzos-Espinosa P, Crunelle CL, Vergara-Moragues E, Roeyers H, Lozano O, et al. Disadvantageous decision-making as a predictor of drop-out among cocaine-dependent individuals in long-term

residential treatment. Front Psychiatry. (2013) 4:149. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2013. 00149

48. Rawson RA, Huber A, McCann M, Shoptaw S, Farabee D, Reiber C, et al. A comparison of contingency management and cognitivebehavioral approaches during methadone maintenance treatment for cocaine dependence. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* (2002) 59:817–24. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc. 59.9.817

49. Harel M, Perini I, Kämpe R, Alyagon U, Shalev H, Besser I, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in alcohol dependence: a randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled proof-of-concept trial targeting the medial prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortices. *Biol Psychiatry.* (2022) 91:1061–9. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2021.11.020

50. Liu T, Li Y, Shen Y, Liu X, Yuan TF. Gender does not matter: add-on repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation treatment for female methamphetamine dependents. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. (2019) 92:70–5. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp. 2018.12.018

51. Zeng Y, Zheng H, He W. A commentary on gender does not matter: add-on repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation treatment for female methamphetamine dependents. *Front Neural Circuits.* (2020) 14:27. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2020.00027

52. Vergara-Moragues E, Verdejo-García A, Lozano OM, Santiago-Ramajo S, González-Saiz F, Betanzos Espinosa P, et al. Association between executive function and outcome measure of treatment in therapeutic community among cocaine dependent individuals. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* (2017) 78:48–55. doi: 10.1016/j.jsat.2017.04.014