#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Aisling O'Neill, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Ireland

#### REVIEWED BY

Paolo Tucci, University of Foggia, Italy Claudia Cristiano, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Marco Colizzi marco.colizzi@uniud.it

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Addictive Disorders, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

RECEIVED 06 September 2022 ACCEPTED 30 September 2022 PUBLISHED 28 October 2022

#### CITATION

Colizzi M, Bortoletto R, Colli C, Bonomo E, Pagliaro D, Maso E, Di Gennaro G and Balestrieri M (2022) Therapeutic effect of palmitoylethanolamide in cognitive decline: A systematic review and preliminary meta-analysis of preclinical and clinical evidence. *Front. Psychiatry* 13:1038122. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.1038122

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Colizzi, Bortoletto, Colli, Bonomo, Pagliaro, Maso, Di Gennaro and Balestrieri. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Therapeutic effect of palmitoylethanolamide in cognitive decline: A systematic review and preliminary meta-analysis of preclinical and clinical evidence

# Marco Colizzi<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Riccardo Bortoletto<sup>1,2†</sup>, Chiara Colli<sup>1†</sup>, Enrico Bonomo<sup>1</sup>, Daniele Pagliaro<sup>1</sup>, Elisa Maso<sup>1</sup>, Gianfranco Di Gennaro<sup>3</sup> and Matteo Balestrieri<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Unit of Psychiatry, Department of Medicine (DAME), University of Udine, Udine, Italy, <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup>Department of Health Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Catanzaro Magna Graecia, Catanzaro, Italy

Cognitive decline is believed to be associated with neurodegenerative processes involving excitotoxicity, oxidative damage, inflammation, and microvascular and blood-brain barrier dysfunction. Interestingly, research evidence suggests upregulated synthesis of lipid signaling molecules as an endogenous attempt to contrast such neurodegeneration-related pathophysiological mechanisms, restore homeostatic balance, and prevent further damage. Among these naturally occurring molecules, palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) has been independently associated with neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory properties, raising interest into the possibility that its supplementation might represent a novel therapeutic approach in supporting the body-own regulation of many pathophysiological processes potentially contributing to neurocognitive disorders. Here, we systematically reviewed all human and animal studies examining PEA and its biobehavioral correlates in neurocognitive disorders, finding 33 eligible outputs. Studies conducted in animal models of neurodegeneration indicate that PEA improves neurobehavioral functions, including memory and learning, by reducing oxidative stress and pro-inflammatory and astrocyte marker expression as well as rebalancing glutamatergic transmission. PEA was found to promote neurogenesis, especially in the hippocampus, neuronal viability and survival, and microtubule-associated protein 2 and brain-derived neurotrophic factor expression, while inhibiting mast cell infiltration/degranulation and astrocyte activation. It also demonstrated to mitigate  $\beta$ -amyloid-induced astrogliosis, by modulating lipid peroxidation, protein nytrosylation, inducible nitric oxide synthase induction, reactive oxygen species production, caspase3 activation, amyloidogenesis, and tau protein hyperphosphorylation. Such effects were related to PEA ability to indirectly activate cannabinoid receptors and modulate proliferator-activated receptor- $\alpha$  (PPAR- $\alpha$ ) activity. Importantly, preclinical evidence suggests that PEA may act as a disease-modifying-drug in the early stage of a neurocognitive disorder, while its protective effect in the frank disorder may be less relevant. Limited human research suggests that PEA supplementation reduces fatigue and cognitive impairment, the latter being also meta-analytically confirmed in 3 eligible studies. PEA improved global executive function, working memory, language deficits, daily living activities, possibly by modulating cortical oscillatory activity and GABAergic transmission. There is currently no established cure for neurocognitive disorders but only treatments to temporarily reduce symptom severity. In the search for compounds able to protect against the pathophysiological mechanisms leading to neurocognitive disorders, PEA may represent a valid therapeutic option to prevent neurodegeneration and support endogenous repair processes against disease progression.

KEYWORDS

neurocognitive disorder, dementia, Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, cannabinoids, acylethanolamines, immune response

### Introduction

At the neurobiological level, neurocognitive disorders (NCDs) are characterized by reduced neuronal survival and increased neuronal death in the central nervous system (CNS), with a consequent progressive loss of neural function (1-3). Such damages are believed to underpin the cognitive deficits observed at the behavioral level, ranging from mild cognitive impairment to frank NCDs, previously classified as dementias (4). With the progressive increase in life expectancy, the prevalence and incidence of NCDs have dramatically increased, making them leading causes of disability. Specifically, both primary (e.g., Alzheimer's disease, AD) and secondary (e.g., Parkinson's disease, PD) cognitive decline associated with NCDs have increased exponentially during the last years (5), doubling from 1990 to 2016 (6), and are estimated of affecting around 100 million by 2050 (7). This implies a consistent burden for the health-care systems, considering the growing demand for treatments and support services.

Although the pathological mechanisms underlying neurodegenerative diseases are complex and not completely understood, neuroinflammation seems to play a crucial role in the neurodegenerative process (8). The neuroinflammatory response is a protective process that promote neuronal regeneration, however when sustained over time it may lead to neurodegeneration. The main cells involved in this process are microglia and astrocytes and their excessive and prolonged activation has been suggested to produce deleterious effects (9).

Currently, there are no therapeutic agents that effectively counteract the neurodegenerative damage or even slow the progression of these disorders (10). In this context, targeting and modulating neuroinflammation pathways seems to be a promising strategy to contrast neurodegeneration and cognitive symptoms (11). Consistently, endogenous lipids belonging to the N-acyl-ethanolamine (NAE) fatty acid amide family, such as anandamide (AEA), oleoylethanolamide (OEA), and palmitoylethanolamide (PEA), have shown the ability to mitigate pathogenetic mechanisms involved in the neurodegeneration process (12). PEA was initially discovered in egg yolk, soybean, and peanut oil and, later, in mammalian tissues. While exerting cannabimimetic action, it does not bind to cannabinoid receptors (13). Instead, it activates the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-a (PPAR-a) as well as its associated independent pathways, including ion channels involved in neuronal firing and the Transient Receptor Potential Vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) receptor (14). Such peculiar activity is believed to explain PEA potential anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and anti-epileptic effects (15-18). Interestingly, several PEAcontaining products are licensed as nutraceuticals or food supplements for human use in different countries, at a recommended dose of 600-1,200 mg/day (19).

Accumulating evidence suggests that PEA may play a role in counteracting neurodegenerative processes (20), by modulating neuroinflammation pathways such as astrocyte and microglia proliferation and neuronal loss (21). Thus, PEA may be a promising therapeutic option to contrast inflammatory and oxidative stress, with potential effects in the treatment of neurodegeneration processes. Within this systematic review, we tried and better clarify the role of PEA in the context of NCDs and cognitive decline by gathering and discussing all available data from clinical and preclinical research, including both interventional and observational studies.

### Methods

### Inclusion and exclusion criteria

In order to bring together previous evidence on the topic, inclusion criteria were used as follows: (1) TABLE 1A Summary of clinical studies investigating palmitoylethanolamide and its correlations to neurocognitive disorders (NCDs).

| References<br>(Country)            | Aim of study  | Type of study                           | Population  | Ν   | Outcome measure (test name or description)  | Summary results  | Additional information of interest   |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---|-----|---|--|--|
| Paterniti et al. (22)<br>(Italy)   | To assess PEA<br>effects on Aβ<br>exposed human<br>neuronal cells                     | <i>In vitro</i> exposure in humans      | <ol> <li>CTRL;</li> <li>Aβ;</li> <li>Aβ+PEA(0.27 + 0.027 μM);</li> <li>Aβ+PEA(2.7 + 0.27 μM);</li> <li>Aβ+PEA(27 + 2.7 μM)</li> </ol> | X   | <ol> <li>Effects on neuronal viability (Vital<br/>staining);</li> <li>Effects on brain function<br/>(Measurement fo nitrite concentration,<br/>Western blot, Alcaline Comet-assay)</li> </ol>   | PEA administration restores ΙκΒα level<br>and ΝFκΒ nuclear translocation in <i>in</i><br><i>vitro</i> neuronal cells after Aβ exposure   | 1  |
| Altamura et al. (23)<br>(Italy)    | To assess eCBs/AEs<br>levels modulation<br>in AD patients                             | Quantitative<br>assessment in<br>humans | 1. AD;<br>2. CTRL   | 71  | <ol> <li>eCBs/AEs levels (Blood sample);</li> <li>Carotid atherosclerosis markers<br/>(continuous wave Doppler, Color flow<br/>B-mode Doppler ultrasound);</li> <li>Memory and cognition (MMSE, Rey<br/>Auditory Verbal Learning, oral<br/>denomination test, Raven's Colored<br/>Progressive Matrices);</li> <li>Neuroradiological evaluation (MRI)</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>PEA blood levels are not significantly<br/>higher in AD patients compared to<br/>controls;</li> <li>Higher PEA blood levels are related to<br/>lower constructional praxia test score</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>2-AG blood levels are higher in AD<br/>patients compared to controls;</li> <li>2-AG blood levels are positively<br/>related to memory, attention and WMH<br/>volume in AD patients;</li> <li>2-AG blood levels are higher in AD<br/>patients with chronic heart ischemic<br/>disease;</li> <li>AEA and OEA blood levels are not<br/>significantly higher in AD patients<br/>compared to controls</li> </ol> |
| Caltagirone et al.<br>(24) (Italy) | To assess PEA<br>effects on memory<br>and cognitive<br>function in stroke<br>patients | <i>In vivo</i> exposure in<br>humans    | Ischemic stroke patients  | 250 | 1. Neurological condition (CNS);<br>2. Memory and cognition (MMSE)  | <ol> <li>PEA administration ameliorates<br/>neurological status after 30-day<br/>treatment in ischemic stroke patients;</li> <li>PEA administration ameliorates<br/>cognitive impairment after 30-day<br/>treatment in ischemic stroke patients;</li> <li>PEA is well tolerated with no side<br/>events all over the time of the study in<br/>stroke patients</li> </ol> | PEA administration improves spasticity<br>pain and independence in daily living<br>after 30-day treatment in ischemic<br>stroke patients   |

| References<br>(Country)         | Aim of study   | Type of study                      | Population   | Ν  | Outcome measure (test name or description)  | Summary results  | Additional information of interest  |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|----|---|--|---|
| Cipriano et al. (25)<br>(Italy) | To assess PEA<br>anti-inflammatory<br>and anti-angiogenic<br>effects on<br>Aβ-exposed<br>HUVEC cells         | <i>In vitro</i> exposure in humans | 1. CTRL;<br>2. $A\beta$ ;<br>3. $A\beta$ +PEA10 <sup>^</sup> -6;<br>4. $A\beta$ +PEA10 <sup>^</sup> -7;<br>5. $A\beta$ +PEA10 <sup>^</sup> -8;<br>6. $A\beta$ +PEA10 <sup>^</sup> 6<br>+GW6471(2.5);<br>7. $A\beta$ +PEA10 <sup>^</sup> -6<br>+GW6471(5);<br>8. $A\beta$ +PEA10 <sup>^</sup> -6<br>+GW6471(10) | Х  | <ol> <li>Cell viability (Cell Vitality Assay);</li> <li>Effect on pro-angiogenic factors<br/>production and release (Western blot,<br/>ELISA);</li> <li>Effect on endothelial cell proliferation<br/>(Immunofluorescence, ELISA BrdU<br/>assay, ATP Bioluminescence assay)</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>PEA administration reduces HUVEC<br/>cell proliferation;</li> <li>PEA effect is counteracted by<br/>GW6471 administration</li> </ol>  | 1   |
| Brotini et al. (26)<br>(Italy)  | To assess PEA<br>effects on<br>non-motor<br>symptoms in PD<br>patients                                       | <i>In vivo</i> exposure in humans  | PD patients  | 30 | Non-motor Aspects of Experiences of Daily Living (MDS-UPDRS)  | <ol> <li>PEA add-on to levodopa ameliorates<br/>several nM-EDL symptoms in PD<br/>patients;</li> <li>PEA is well tolerated with no side<br/>events all over the time of the study in<br/>PD patients</li> </ol>  | PEA add-on to levodopa ameliorates<br>almost all M-EDL symptoms in PD<br>patients |
| Assogna et al. (27)<br>(Italy)  | To assess PEA<br>effects on memory,<br>cognitive function<br>and frontal lobe<br>activity in FTD<br>patients | <i>In vivo</i> exposure in humans  | FTD patients   | 17 | <ol> <li>Behavior, memory and cognition<br/>(NPI, MMSE, FAB, SAND);</li> <li>Independency (ADL/IADL);</li> <li>Neurological condition (FTLD-CDR);</li> <li>Corticospinal evaluation (TMS);</li> <li>TMS-EEG cortical evaluation</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>PEA improves frontal lobe functions<br/>in FTD patients;</li> <li>PEA reduces behavioral disturbances<br/>in FTD patients;</li> <li>PEA restores LICI at ISI 100 in FTD<br/>patients;</li> <li>PEA leads to an increase in<br/>TMS-evoked frontal lobe activity and<br/>high-frequency oscillations in the<br/>beta/gamma range;</li> <li>PEA is well tolerated with no side<br/>events all over the time of the study in<br/>FTD patients</li> </ol> | /   |

(Continued)

| (Country)                         |                   | Type of study Fopulation        |             |    | or description)                       |  | interest                                  |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|----|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Campolo et al. (28) To assess PEA | To assess PEA     | In vivo exposure in 1. PEA+std; | 1. PEA+std; | 30 | 1. TBI severity (GCS, Marshal Score); | 1. PEA add-on improves memory and        | 1. PEA add-on ameliorates                 |
| (Italy)                           | effects on memory | humans                          | 2. std      |    | 2. Memory and cognition (MMSE,        | cognitive function compared to           | independence and mobility in quotidian    |
|                                   | and cognitive     |                                 |             |    | BNCE);                                | standard monotherapy in TBI patients;    | living activities compared to baseline in |
|                                   | function in TBI   |                                 |             |    | 3. Depressive symptoms (BDI);         | 2. PEA is well tolerated with no side    | TBI patients;                             |
|                                   | patients          |                                 |             |    | 4. Independency (Barthel Index)       | events all over the time of the study in | 2. PEA add-on does not improve            |
|                                   |                   |                                 |             |    |                                       | TBI patients                             | significantly depressive symptoms         |
|                                   |                   |                                 |             |    |                                       |  | compared to standard monotherapy in       |
|                                   |                   |                                 |             |    |                                       |  | TBI patients                              |

2D, Parkinson's disease; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society/Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; nM-EDL, Non-motor Aspects of Experiences of Daily Living; n-EDL, Motor Aspects of Experiences of Daily Living; rFD, Frontotemporal dementia; NPI, Neuropsychiatric Inventory; FAB, Frontal Assessment Battery; SAND, Screening for Aphasia in Neurodegeneration; ADL, Activities of Daily Living; IADL, Instrumental Activities of Daily Living; FTLD-CDR, Frontotemporal Lobar scale; TMS, transcranial magnetic stimulation; TMS-EEG, TMS combined with electroencephalography; LICI, long-interval intracortical inhibition; ISI, inter-stimulus-interval; TBI, traumatic brain inventory depression scale. Beck's i BDI, brief neuropsychological cognitive examination; BNCE, Degeneration-modified Clinical Dementia Rating injury; std, standard; GCS, Glasgow coma scale; BN

human or animal studies, (2) studies investigating palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) effects over primary (e.g., Alzheimer's disease), secondary (e.g., Parkinson's disease) or acquired (e.g., Traumatic Brain Injury) cognitive decline associated with neurocognitive disorders (NCDs), (3) studies investigating PEA effects over cognitive decline associated to conditions (e.g., neuropathic pain, obesity) other than NCDs, (4) studies investigating PEA modulatory effects over the biological underpinnings (e.g., neuroinflammation, gliosis, neuronal death) of cognitive decline in the context of NCDs, (5) studies investigating PEA and PEA signaling-related molecular markers (e.g., brain and/or other tissue quantitative alterations) of cognitive decline in the context of NCDs. Exclusion criteria were (1) studies investigating neither PEA as the intervention of interest (e.g., studies evaluating only exogenous cannabinoid agonists or antagonists) nor PEA or PEA signaling-related molecular markers, (2) studies where PEA bio-cognitive correlates were not investigated with reference to NCDs nor other conditions associated with cognitive decline, and (3) studies where PEA bio-cognitive correlates were not directly reported on.

### Search strategy and data extraction

A literature search was performed using electronic databases (Pubmed, Web of Science, and Scopus) for any published original study written in English, using a combination of terms concerning PEA ("palmitoylethanolamide," "palmitylethanolamide," "N-(2-hydroxyethyl)hexadecanamide," "N-(2hydroxyethyl)palmitate" and "N-palmitoylethanolamine") and NCDs ("dementia," "memory," "cognit\*", "executive function," "neurocognitive disorder," "attenti\*", "learning," "sensory-motor" "language," and "neurodegenerati\*") on 25 May 2022. Broad-meaning terms were used to make the study search as inclusive as possible. Reference lists of eligible studies screened were eligible identify additional Publication to research. data screening and extraction performed were conventional double-screening following process а independently conducted by two reviewers (R.B. and C.C.).

### **Risk of bias**

Due to the methodological heterogeneity of the studies (Table 1) included in this review, risk of bias and study quality assessments were conducted with a

#### TABLE 1B Summary of preclinical studies investigating palmitoylethanolamide and its correlations to neurocognitive disorders (NCDs).

| References<br>(Country) | Aim of study             | PEA type of study    | Population                              | Ν        | Outcome measure (test name or description) | Summary results                       |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|----------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Scuderi et al. (29)     | To assess PEA effects on | In vitro exposure in | 1. CTRL;                                | Х        | 1. Astrocytes activation (Western blot,    | 1. PEA application reduces            |
| (Italy)                 | Aβ-exposed rat           | animals              | 2. Αβ;                                  |          | Immunofluorescence, RT-PCR,                | $A\beta$ -induced neuroinflammation   |
|                         | astrocytes               |                      | 3. Aβ+PEA;                              |          | densitometric analysis, ELISA);            | and astrocytes' activation;           |
|                         |                          |                      | 4. $A\beta$ +PEA+MK;                    |          | 2. Neuroinflammation (Western blot,        | 2. PEA effects on atrocytes are       |
|                         |                          |                      | 4. Aβ+PEA+GW9662                        |          | Immunofluorescence,                        | counteracted by MK886                 |
|                         |                          |                      |   |          | spectrophotometric assay based on the      | administration;                       |
|                         |                          |                      |   |          | Griess reaction, ELISA);                   | 3. PEA application increases          |
|                         |                          |                      |   |          | 3. Anti-inflammatory effects (Western      | PPAR-α, CB1 and CB2 expression        |
|                         |                          |                      |   |          | blot, EMSA analysis);                      | after $A\beta$ exposure in astrocytes |
|                         |                          |                      |   |          | 4.Effects on eCB system (Western blot      |                                       |
|                         |                          |                      |   |          | analysis and densitometric analysis)       |                                       |
| Benito et al. (30)      | To assess PEA effects on | In vitro exposure in | 1. FAAH-WT group: (a)                   | Х        | 1. Anti-inflammatory effects (ELISA,       | PEA alone or combined with othe       |
| (Italy)                 | Aβ-exposed FAAH-KO       | animals              | CTRL-WT; (b) A $\beta$ -WT; (c)         |          | Western blot, qRT-PCR);                    | eCBs/AEs decreases Aβ-induced         |
|                         | mice astrocytes          |                      | PEA; (d) $A\beta$ +PEA; (e)             |          | 2. Cell death (LDH dosage)                 | inflammatory effects in astrocytes    |
|                         |                          |                      | $A\beta$ +PEA+AEA+OEA; (f)              |          |  |                                       |
|                         |                          |                      | OEA; (g) AEA; (h) $A\beta$ +OEA;        |          |  |                                       |
|                         |                          |                      | (i) $A\beta$ +AEA; (j) URB; (k)         |          |  |                                       |
|                         |                          |                      | $A\beta+URB$ ; (l) URB+SR1; (m)         |          |  |                                       |
|                         |                          |                      | $A\beta+URB+SR1;(n)$                    |          |  |                                       |
|                         |                          |                      | URB+SR2; (o)                            |          |  |                                       |
|                         |                          |                      | $A\beta$ +URB+SR2;                      |          |  |                                       |
|                         |                          |                      | 2. FAAH-KO group: (a)                   |          |  |                                       |
|                         |                          |                      | CTRL-KO; (b) Aβ-KO; (c)                 |          |  |                                       |
|                         |                          |                      | SR1; (d) $A\beta$ +SR1; (e) SR2; (f)    |          |  |                                       |
|                         |                          |                      | $A\beta+SR2$ ; (g) WY; (h)              |          |  |                                       |
|                         |                          |                      | $A\beta+WY$ ; (i) TG; (j) $A\beta+TG$ ; |          |  |                                       |
|                         |                          |                      | (k) CPZ; (l) $A\beta$ +CPZ              |          |  |                                       |
| D'Agostino et al.       | To assess PEA effects on | In vivo exposure in  | 1. First set of mice (WT,               | 8-10 per | 1. Memory and cognition (YMT, MWM,         | PEA administration restores           |
| (31) (Italy)            | cognitive function and   | animals              | PPARα-/-): (a) ScAb+VHI;                | group    | WMT, NORT, Rotarod test);                  | learning and memory impairment        |
|                         | neuroprotection in AD    |                      | (b) Ab+VHI; (c) Ab+PEA3;                |          | 2. Effects on brain function (Western      | and exerts a neuroprotective actio    |
|                         | mice                     |                      | (d) Ab+PEA10; (e)                       |          | blot, Lipid Peroxidation Measures)         | at high dose in AD mice               |
|                         |                          |                      | Ab+PEA30; (f)                           |          |  |                                       |
|                         |                          |                      | Ab+GW7647;                              |          |  |                                       |

TABLE 1B (Continued)

| References<br>(Country) | Aim of study                   | PEA type of study    | Population                            | N | Outcome measure (test name or description) | Summary results                   |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|
|                         |                                |                      | 2. Second,                            |   |  |                                   |
|                         |                                |                      | 3. Third sets of mice (WT): (a)       |   |  |                                   |
|                         |                                |                      | ScAb+VHI; (b) Ab+VHI; (c)             |   |  |                                   |
|                         |                                |                      | Ab+PEA30                              |   |  |                                   |
| Scuderi et al. (32)     | To assess PEA effects on       | 1. Ex vivo exposure  | 1. CTRL;                              | Х | Astrocyte proliferation and neuronal       | PEA application blunts            |
| Italy)                  | Aβ-exposed rat neurons         | in animals;          | 2. Αβ;                                |   | loss (Nissl staining,                      | Aβ-induced astrocyte activation   |
|                         | and astrocytes                 | 2. In vitro exposure | 3. $A\beta$ +PEA;                     |   | Immunofluorescence)                        | and exerts a protective effect or |
|                         |                                | in animals           | 4. $A\beta$ +PEA+MK;                  |   |  | neurons in rats                   |
|                         |                                |                      | 5. $A\beta$ +PEA+GW6471               |   |  |                                   |
| Scuderi and Steardo     | To assess PEA effects on       | 1. Ex vivo exposure  | 1. Hippocampal slice cultures,        | Х | 1. Hippocampal tissue functioning,         | PEA application blunts            |
| (33) (Italy)            | Aβ-exposed                     | in animals;          | 2. Cultures of primary                |   | 2. Neuroinflammation (Nissl staining,      | Aβ-induced astrocyte activation   |
|                         | hippocampal tissue and         | 2. In vitro exposure | neurons: (a) CTRL; (b) $A\beta$ ; (c) |   | Immunofluorescence, Western blot,          | and exerts a protective effect or |
|                         | neurons in rats                | in animals           | $A\beta$ +PEA; (d)                    |   | ELISA);                                    | neurons in rats                   |
|                         |                                |                      | Aβ+PEA+GW6471                         |   | 3. Neuronal viability (Neutral red assay)  |                                   |
| Paterniti et al. (22)   | To assess PEA effects on       | Ex vivo exposure in  | 1. CTRL;                              | Х | 1. Effects on neuronal viability (Vital    | 1.PEA administration increases    |
| (Italy)                 | A $\beta$ -exposed mouse brain | animals              | 2. Αβ;                                |   | staining);                                 | neuronal viability in Aβ expose   |
|                         | tissue                         |                      | 3. $A\beta + PEA(0.27 +$              |   | 2. Effects on brain function               | mouse hippocampus;                |
|                         |                                |                      | 0.027 μM);                            |   | (Measurement fo nitrite concentration,     | 2. PEA administration restores    |
|                         |                                |                      | 4. $A\beta$ +PEA(2.7 + 0.27 $\mu$ M); |   | Western blot, Comet analysis)              | BDNF and GDNF levels in $A\beta$  |
|                         |                                |                      | 5. A $\beta$ +PEA(27 + 2.7 $\mu$ M)   |   |  | exposed mouse hippocampus;        |
|                         |                                |                      |                                       |   |  | 3. PEA administration reduces     |
|                         |                                |                      |                                       |   |  | GFAP activation in Aβ exposed     |
|                         |                                |                      |                                       |   |  | mouse hippocampus;                |
|                         |                                |                      |                                       |   |  | 4. PEA administration decrease    |
|                         |                                |                      |                                       |   |  | nitrite production in Aβ expos    |
|                         |                                |                      |                                       |   |  | mouse hippocampus;                |
|                         |                                |                      |                                       |   |  | 5. PEA administration rescues     |
|                         |                                |                      |                                       |   |  | programmed cellular death in .    |
|                         |                                |                      |                                       |   |  | exposed mouse hippocampus;        |
|                         |                                |                      |                                       |   |  | PEA administration reduces D      |
|                         |                                |                      |                                       |   |  | damage in A $\beta$ exposed mouse |
|                         |                                |                      |                                       |   |  | hippocampus                       |

| References<br>(Country)         | Aim of study   | PEA type of study                   | Population   | Ν                 | Outcome measure (test name or description)  | Summary results  |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------|---|--|
| Scuderi et al. (34)<br>(Italy)  | To assess PEA<br>anti-inflammatory and<br>neuro-protective effects<br>in Aβ-exposed rats               | <i>In vivo</i> exposure in animals  | 1. VHI;<br>2. VHI+Aβ;<br>3. PEA+VHI;<br>4. PEA+Aβ;<br>5. PEA+GW6471+VHI;<br>6. PEA+GW6471+Aβ   | 9–12 per<br>group | <ol> <li>Glia activation,</li> <li>Neuroinflammation (RT-PCR,<br/>Immunofluorescence, Western blot,<br/>ELISA);</li> <li>Effect on the amyloidogenic and Wnt<br/>pathway (Western blot);</li> <li>Neuronal viability<br/>(Immunofluorescence);</li> <li>Memory and cognition (MWM)</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>PEA administration counteracts</li></ol>  |
| Cipriano et al. (25)<br>(Italy) | To assess PEA<br>anti-inflammatory and<br>anti-angiogenic effects<br>on Aβ-exposed rat<br>glioma cells | <i>In vitro</i> exposure in animals | 1. CTRL;<br>2. $A\beta$ ;<br>3. $A\beta$ +PEA10 <sup>^</sup> -6;<br>4. $A\beta$ +PEA10 <sup>^</sup> -7;<br>5. $A\beta$ +PEA10 <sup>^</sup> -8;<br>6.<br>$A\beta$ +PEA10 <sup>^</sup> -6+GW6471(2.5);<br>7.<br>$A\beta$ +PEA10 <sup>^</sup> -6+GW6471(5);<br>8.<br>$A\beta$ +PEA10 <sup>^</sup> -6+GW6471(10) | Χ                 | <ol> <li>Glia activation (Cell Vitality assay,<br/>Griess reaction, Western blot);</li> <li>Effect on pro-angiogenic factors<br/>production and release (Western blot,<br/>ELISA)</li> </ol>  | PEA concentration-dependently<br>reduces the expression of<br>1. pro-inflammatory and<br>2. pro-angiogenic markers in Aβ<br>treated cells. |
| Tomasini et al. (35)<br>(Italy) | To assess PEA effects on<br>Aβ exposed AD mouse<br>neurons and astrocytes                              | <i>In vitro</i> exposure in animals | <ol> <li>Primary cerebral cortex<br/>neurons (3xTg-AD, Non-Tg):         <ul> <li>(a) CTRL; (b) PEA; (c) Aβ; (d)</li> <li>PEA+Aβ;</li> <li>Primary cerebral cortex<br/>astrocytes (3xTg-AD,<br/>Non-Tg): (a) CTRL; (b) PEA;</li> <li>(c) Aβ; (d) PEA+Aβ</li> </ul> </li> </ol>                                | Χ                 | <ol> <li>Cell viability (Neutral red assay);</li> <li>Endogenous extracellular glutamate<br/>levels (High-performance liquid<br/>chromatography/fluorimetric detection<br/>system);</li> <li>Cell morphology<br/>(Immunocytochemistry)</li> </ol>   | PEA administration exerts<br>protective properties in Non-Tg<br>but not in 3xTg-AD Aβ-exposed<br>mouse neuronal cultured cells             |

(Continued)

#### TABLE 1B (Continued)

| References<br>(Country)            | Aim of study   | PEA type of study   | Population   | Ν  | Outcome measure (test name or description)  | Summary results   |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Caltagirone et al.<br>(24) (Italy) | To assess PEA<br>neuro-protective and<br>behavioral effects in<br>MCAo rats      | <i>In vivo</i> exposure in animals  | 1.MCAo+VHI;<br>2. MCAo+PEA;<br>3. sham+VHI;<br>4. sham+PEA   | <ol> <li>First set of<br/>experiment: 20<br/>per group;</li> <li>Second set<br/>of experiment:<br/>10 per group</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>First set of experiment: (a) Motor<br/>behavior (Mean rotation number/h,<br/>Neurological scoring); (b) Brain tissue<br/>damage (Histological evaluation);</li> <li>Second set of experiment: (a)<br/>Astrocyte activation<br/>(Immunohistochemistry, Western blot);</li> <li>(b) BDNF, GDNF expression (Western<br/>blot); (c) Mast cells infiltration, (d)<br/>Enzymatic expression<br/>(Immunohistochemistry); (e)</li> </ol> | PEA administration improves<br>neurobehavioural function,<br>reduces neuroinflammation and<br>counteracts histological damage in<br>ischemic rats   |
| Siracusa et al. (36)<br>(Italy)    | To assess PEA<br>anti-inflammatory and<br>neuroprotective effects<br>in VaD mice | <ol> <li>In vivo exposure<br/>in animals;</li> <li>Quantitative<br/>brain assessment</li> </ol> | 1. Healthy rats: only used to<br>test PEA pharmacokinetics;<br>2. Mice: (a) sham+VHI; (b)<br>sham+PEA; (c) VaD+VHI;<br>(d) VaD+PEA | 40 (10 per<br>group)   | <ol> <li>Programmed cell death (Western blot)</li> <li>PEA brain levels (LC-APCI-MS);</li> <li>Memory and cognition (NORT);</li> <li>Social behavior (Social Interaction test);</li> <li>Locomotor activity (OFT);</li> <li>Effects on brain function<br/>(Immunohistochemistry,<br/>Immunofluorescence, Western blot)</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>PEA oral administration results<br/>in low-medium PEA brain<br/>concentrations shortly after in<br/>healthy rats;</li> <li>PEA administration rescues<br/>injured hippocampal CA1 and<br/>CA3 neurons in VaD mice;</li> <li>PEA administration rescues<br/>impaired memory, social behavior<br/>and locomotor activity in VaD<br/>mice;</li> <li>PEA administration exerts<br/>anti-inflammatory and<br/>neuroprotective effects in VaD</li> </ol> |
| Beggiato et al. (37)<br>(Italy)    | To assess PEA effects on<br>Aβ exposed mouse<br>neurons and astrocytes           | <i>In vitro</i> exposure in animals   | 1. CTRL; 2. Αβ; 3. Αβ+ΡΕΑ;<br>4. ΡΕΑ   | х  | <ol> <li>Cell viability (Neutral red assay);</li> <li>Cell morphology<br/>(Immunofluorescence);</li> <li>Programmed cell death (% of neurons'<br/>apoptotic nuclei, DNA staining)</li> </ol>  | mice<br>PEA administration improves<br>neuronal survival and morphology,<br>by blunting Aβ-induced mouse<br>astrocyte activation  |

#### TABLE 1B (Continued)

| References<br>(Country) | Aim of study              | PEA type of study    | Population  | Ν               | Outcome measure (test name or description) | Summary results                      |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Bronzuoli et al. (38)   | To assess PEA             | 1. In vivo exposure  | 1. <i>In vivo</i> ( <i>n</i> = 18 3xTg-AD, <i>n</i> | 84              | 1. Primary astrocytes activation           | PEA in vitro application and in      |
| (Italy)                 | anti-inflammatory and     | in animals;          | = 18 Non-Tg): (a) CTRL; (b)                         |                 | (Immunofluorescence, Western blot);        | vivo administration supports         |
|                         | neuro-protective effects  | 2. In vitro exposure | PEA(0.01); (c) PEA(0.1); (d)                        |                 | 2. Astrocytes and neuronal viability       | neuronal viability and reduces       |
|                         | in AD mice                | in animals           | PEA(1);   |                 | (Neutral red assay);                       | gliosis in AD mice                   |
|                         |                           |                      | 2. In vitro ( $n = 36$ 3xTg-AD, $n$                 |                 | 3. Reactive astrogliosis,                  |                                      |
|                         |                           |                      | = 12 Non-Tg): (a) CTRL; (b)                         |                 | 4. Neuronal support and survival (RNA      |                                      |
|                         |                           |                      | PEA   |                 | isolation, RT-PCR, Western blot,           |                                      |
|                         |                           |                      |   |                 | Immunofluorescence)                        |                                      |
| Crupi et al. (39)       | To assess PEA             | In vivo exposure in  | 1. sham+VHI;  | 40 (10 per      | Effects on brain function                  | PEA administration prevents the      |
| (Italy)                 | anti-inflammatory and     | animals              | 2. sham+PEA;  | group)          | (Immunofluorescence)                       | decrease in adult hippocampal cell   |
|                         | neuroprotective effects   |                      | 3. MPTP+VHI;  |                 |  | proliferation and $\beta$ 3-tubulin  |
|                         | in PD mice                |                      | 4. MPTP+PEA   |                 |  | aggregation in PD mice               |
| Scuderi et al. (20)     | 1. To assess chronic PEA  | In vivo exposure in  | 1. First set of mice (3 months):                    | 1. First set of | 1. Memory and cognition (NORT, IA,         | 1. PEA administration rescues        |
| (Italy)                 | effects on cognitive      | animals              | (a) PEA (3×Tg-AD/Non-Tg);                           | mice (3         | MWM);                                      | early learning and memory deficits   |
|                         | function in AD mice;      |                      | (b) placebo   | months): 9-11   | 2. Depressive-/Anhedonia-like behavior     | in 6-mo AD mice;                     |
|                         | 2. To assess chronic PEA  |                      | (3×Tg-AD/Non-Tg);                                   | per group;      | (TST, FST, SPT);                           | 2. PEA administration improves       |
|                         | effects on brain function |                      | 2. Second set of mice (9                            | 2. Second set   | 3. Effects on brain function (RT-PCR,      | short-term memory in 12-mo AD        |
|                         | in AD mice                |                      | months): (a) PEA                                    | of mice (9      | Western blot, Immunohistochemistry,        | mice, with no significant effects on |
|                         |                           |                      | (3×Tg-AD/Non-Tg); (b)                               | months): 7-9    | Cytokine array, HPLC, MRI/MRS)             | long-term memory;                    |
|                         |                           |                      | placebo (3×Tg-AD/Non-Tg)                            | per group       |  | 3. PEA administration reverses the   |
|                         |                           |                      |   |                 |  | depressive-like phenotype in 6-mo    |

(Continued)

AD mice, with no significant effects

4. PEA administration attenuates the anhedonia-like phenotype in 6-

hippocampal A $\beta$  expression in 12-mo AD mice, with no significant effects in 6-mo AD

in 12-mo AD mice;

and 12-mo AD mice; 5. PEA administration reduces

mice;

| _            |
|--------------|
| ÷.           |
| Ó            |
| <u> </u>     |
|              |
| ¢.           |
|              |
|              |
| <sub>6</sub> |
|              |
| =.           |
| _            |
| _            |
|              |
|              |
| ~            |
| 0            |
| 7            |
| =            |
| a            |
| <b>a</b>     |
|              |
| $\leq$       |
|              |

11

TABLE 1B (Continued)

| References<br>(Country) | Aim of study              | PEA type of study   | Population                     | Ν            | Outcome measure (test name or description) | Summary results                    |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--|------------------------------------|
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | 6. PEA administration reduces      |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | abnormal hippocampal tau           |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | phosphorylation in 6- and 12-mo    |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | AD mice;                           |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | 7. PEA administration promotes     |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | MAP2 expression in the CA1         |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | subregion of hippocampus of AI     |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | mice;                              |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | 8. PEA administration stabilizes   |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | astrocyte function and restrains   |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | neuroinflammation in AD mice;      |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | 9. PEA administration increases    |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | Glx levels as a response to        |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | disrupted glutamatergic function   |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | 6-mo AD mice                       |
| 3occella et al. (40)    | To assess PEA effects on  | In vivo exposure in | 1. sham: (a) VHI; (b) PEA; (c) | 96           | Memory and cognition (NORT)                | 1. PEA administration rescues      |
| Italy)                  | cognitive function and    | animals             | MPEP; (d) MPEP+PEA; (e)        |              |  | discriminative memory in SNI       |
|                         | their mGluR-mediated      |                     | MDCPG; (f) MDCPG+PEA;          |              |  | mice;                              |
|                         | modulation in SNI mice    |                     | 2. SNI: (a) VHI; (b) PEA; (c)  |              |  | 2. PEA beneficial effects on       |
|                         |                           |                     | MPEP; (d) MPEP+PEA; (e)        |              |  | discriminative memory are          |
|                         |                           |                     | MDCPG; (f) MDCPG+PEA           |              |  | prevented by the mGluR5            |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | blockade, but not the mGluR8       |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | blockade in SNI mice               |
| Boccella et al. (41)    | To assess PEA effects on  | 1. In vivo exposure | 1. sham+VHI;                   | 10 per group | 1. Memory and cognition (NORT,             | 1. PEA administration rescues      |
| Italy)                  | cognitive function in SNI | in animals;         | 2. sham+PEA;                   |              | MWM);                                      | discriminative and spatial memo    |
|                         | mice                      | 2. Quantitative     | 3. SNI+VHI;                    |              | 2. Effects eCBs/AEs system                 | deficits in SNI mice, by restoring |
|                         |                           | brain assessment    | 4. SNI+PEA                     |              | (LC-APCI-MS)                               | LTP and synaptic maladaptative     |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | changes in the LEC-DG pathway      |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | 2. PEA administration affects      |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | 2-AG, but not PEA nor AEA LE       |
|                         |                           |                     |                                |              |  | levels in sham and SNI mice        |

| References<br>(Country) | Aim of study             | PEA type of study   | Population   | N          | Outcome measure (test name or description) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|--|
| Impellizzeri et al.     | To assess PEA            | 1. In vivo exposure | 1. sham+VHI; | 40 (10 per | 1. Memory and cognition (NORT,             |
| (42) (Italy)            | anti-inflammatory and    | in animals;         | 2. sham+PEA; | group)     | MWM);                                      |
|                         | neuroprotective effects  | 2. Quantitative     | 3. VaD+VHI;  |            | 2. Effects on brain function and           |
|                         | in VaD mice              | brain assessment    | 4. VaD+PEA   |            | 3. eCBs/AEs system (Light microscospy      |
|                         |                          |                     |              |            | Immunohistochemistry,                      |
|                         |                          |                     |              |            | Immunofluorescence, TUNEL staining         |
|                         |                          |                     |              |            | LP-APCI-MS, Western blot)                  |
|                         |                          |                     |              |            |  |
| Piscitelli et al. (43)  | To assess PEA and other  | Quantitative tissue | 1. WT;       | 10         | 1. Brain tissue eCBs/AEs levels            |
| (Italy)                 | eCBs/AEs brain and       | assessment          | 2. Tg        |            | (LP-APCI-MS);                              |
|                         | plasma levels in AD-like |                     |              |            | 2. Plasma levels                           |
|                         | Tg mice                  |                     |              |            |  |

1. AAV-Glu-FAAH;

2. AAV-Glu-empty;

3. AAV-WT

3-16 per

group

Quantitative brain

assessment

To assess PEA/AEA

related effects on

cognitive function in

AAV-Glu-FAAH mice

signaling alterations and

Zimmermann et al.

(44) (Germany)

Summary results

after VaD induction; 2. PEA administration increases PEA endogenous levels in VaD

mice;

mice;

VaD mice

mice;

model

1. Memory and cognition (spatial object

2. PEA and other AEs brain levels

recognition test);

(LC-MS/MS)

1. Endogenous PEA levels decrease

3. PEA administration rescues injured hippocampal CA1 and CA3 neurons in VaD mice; 4. PEA administration exerts anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects in VaD

5. PEA administration rescues learning and memory deficits in

1. PEA and other eCBs/AEs levels are not altered in AD-like Tg mouse model compared to WT

2. PEA and other eCBs/AEs levels show no overt alterations from presymptomatic, mild symptomatic to symptomatic disease stages in AD-like Tg mouse

Impaired PEA signaling in

hippocampal glutamatergic

neurons alters synaptic plasticity,

learning, and emotional responses

Frontiers in Psychiatry

| TABLE 1B | (Continued) |
|----------|-------------|
|----------|-------------|

| References<br>(Country)            | Aim of study   | PEA type of study  | Population  | Ν                            | Outcome measure (test name or description)   | Summary results  |
|------------------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| Beggiato et al. (45)<br>(Italy)    | To assess PEA<br>neuroprotective effects<br>in AD mice   | <i>In vitro</i> exposure in animals  | <ul> <li>Mature cerebral cortex<br/>astrocytes:</li> <li>1. Non-Tg: (a) CTRL; (b) Aβ;</li> <li>(c) Aβ+PEA;</li> <li>2. 3xTg-AD: (a) CTRL; (b)<br/>Aβ; (c) Aβ+PEA</li> </ul> | 4–5 animals<br>per condition | <ol> <li>Effects on neuronal viability (Neutral<br/>red assay);</li> <li>Effects on neuronal morphology<br/>(Immunohistochemistry);</li> <li>Effects on apoptotic neuronal death<br/>(Immunofluorescence)</li> </ol> | PEA application prevents<br>Aβ-induced astrogliosis, thus<br>improving neuronal survival in AD<br>mice   |
| Beggiato et al. (46)<br>(Italy)    | <ol> <li>To assess PEA effects<br/>on cognitive function in<br/>AD mice;</li> <li>To assess PEA<br/>anti-inflammatory and<br/>neuroprotective effects<br/>in AD mice;</li> <li>To assess PEA effects<br/>on glutamate levels in<br/>AD mice</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>In vivo exposure<br/>in animals;</li> <li>Quantitative<br/>tissue assessment</li> </ol> | 1. 3×Tg-AD+VHI;<br>2. 3×Tg-AD+PEA;<br>3. Non-Tg+VHI;<br>4. Non-Tg+PEA   | 4–11 per<br>group            | <ol> <li>Memory and cognition (NORT);</li> <li>Effects on neuroprotective factors<br/>expression (Immunofluorescence);</li> <li>Hippocampal glutamate levels (HPLC<br/>coupled to fluorescence detection)</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>PEA administration improves<br/>learning and memory in 5-mo AD<br/>mice;</li> <li>PEA administration partially<br/>restrains neuroinflammation in<br/>5-mo AD mice;</li> <li>PEA administration reduces<br/>oxidative stress in 5-mo AD mice;</li> <li>PEA administration does not<br/>affect Synaptophysin hippocampal<br/>levels in 5-mo AD mice;</li> <li>PEA administration partially<br/>rescues increased glutamate levels<br/>in the hippocampus of 5-mo AD</li> </ol> |
| Facchinetti et al.<br>(47) (Italy) | To assess PEA<br>anti-inflammatory and<br>neuroprotective effects<br>in prodromal AD rats  | <i>In vivo</i> exposure in animals   | 1. VHI;<br>2. VHI(Aβ);<br>3. PEA(VHI);<br>4. PEA(Aβ)  | 4–5 per group                | Effects on brain function<br>(Immunofluorescence, qRT-PCR)   | <ul> <li>mice</li> <li>1. Early PEA administration</li> <li>prevents Aβ-induced astrogliosis</li> <li>and microgliosis in AD rats;</li> <li>2. Early PEA administration</li> <li>prevents the increased gene</li> <li>expression of pro-inflammatory</li> <li>cytokines and enzymes in AD rats;</li> <li>3. Early PEA administration</li> <li>improves hippocampal neuronal</li> <li>survival in AD rats</li> </ul>  |

(Continued)

#### TABLE 1B (Continued)

| References<br>(Country)                | Aim of study  | PEA type of study  | Population   | Ν                    | Outcome measure (test name or description)   | Summary results  |
|--|---|--|--|----------------------|--|--|
| Lama et al. (48)<br>(Italy)            | To assess PEA effects on<br>cognitive function in<br>HFD mice   | <i>In vivo</i> exposure in animals   | 1. STD;<br>2. HFD;<br>3. HFD+PEA   | $\geq$ 15 per group  | Memory and cognition (NORT)  | PEA administration restores recognition memory in HFD mice   |
| Boccella et al. (49)<br>(Italy)        | To assess PEA effects on<br>cognitive function in SNI<br>mice   | <i>In vivo</i> exposure in animals   | 1. sham+VHI;<br>2. sham+PEA;<br>3. SNI+VHI;<br>4. SNI+PEA  | 120                  | Memory and cognition (MWM,<br>Y-maze)  | PEA administration rescues spatia<br>memory and working-memory in<br>SNI mice  |
| Campolo et al. (28)<br>(Italy)         | <ol> <li>To assess PEA<br/>anti-inflammatory and<br/>neuroprotective effects<br/>in TBI mice;</li> <li>To assess PEA effects<br/>on cognitive function in<br/>TBI mice</li> </ol> | <i>In vivo</i> exposure in animals   | 1. sham;<br>2. sham+PEA;<br>3. TBI;<br>4. TBI+PEA  | 40 (10 per<br>group) | <ol> <li>Memory and cognition (MWM);</li> <li>Effects on brain function (Histological<br/>analysis, Immunohistochemistry,<br/>Immunofluorescence, FluoroJade,<br/>Western blot)</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>PEA administration rescues<br/>learning and memory deficits in<br/>TBI mice;</li> <li>PEA administration modulates<br/>neurogenesis processes in TBI<br/>mice;</li> <li>PEA administration accelerates<br/>NSCs proliferation in TBI mice</li> </ol>  |
| D'Antongiovanni<br>et al. (50) (Italy) | To assess PEA effects on<br>enteric inflammation<br>and bowel motor<br>dysfunctions in AD mice  | <ol> <li>In vivo exposure<br/>in animals;</li> <li>In vitro exposure<br/>in animals</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>In vivo/In vitro exposure:</li> <li>(a) SAMR1; (b) SAMP8; (c)<br/>SAMP8+PEA;</li> <li>In vitro exposure: (a) CTRL;</li> <li>(b) LPS+Aβ; (c)<br/>LPS+Aβ+PEA</li> </ol> | Χ                    | <ol> <li>Effects on colonic contractile activity<br/>(ES, chemical stimulation);</li> <li>Effects on misfolded proteins (ELISA<br/>assay);</li> <li>Effects on enzymatic activity<br/>(Enzymatic assay);</li> <li>Effects on colonic inflammation<br/>(ELISA, Western blot)</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>PEA administration/application<br/>prevents the enteric glial<br/>hyperactivation in AD mice;</li> <li>PEA administration/application<br/>reduces misfolded protein<br/>accumulation and counteracts<br/>colonic inflammatory condition in<br/>AD mice;</li> <li>PEA administration/application<br/>relieves intestinal motor<br/>dysfunctions in AD mice;</li> <li>PEA administration/application<br/>improves the intestinal epithelial<br/>barrier integrity in AD mice</li> </ol> |

#### TABLE 1B (Continued)

| References<br>(Country) | Aim of study              | PEA type of study    | Population                      | Ν             | Outcome measure (test name or description) | Summary results                   |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Gaspar et al. (51)      | 1. To assess PEA effects  | 1. In vivo exposure  | 1. noCFA,                       | 80            | 1. Memory and cognition (NORT);            | 1. PPARα antagonist impairs       |
| (Ireland)               | on inflammatory           | in animals;          | 2. CFA: (a) VHI; (b) GW6471;    |               | 2. PEA and other AEs brain levels          | spatial memory in CFA-treated     |
|                         | pain-related cognitive    | 2. Quantitative      | (c) GSK; (d) GW9662; (e)        |               | (LC-MS/MS)                                 | rats;                             |
|                         | impairment in             | brain assessment     | PEA                             |               |  | 2. PEA levels are not modified in |
|                         | CFA-treated rats;         |                      |                                 |               |  | the Dorsal Hippocampus nor in     |
|                         | 2. To assess PEA and      |                      |                                 |               |  | the Entorhinal Cortex of          |
|                         | other AEs brain levels in |                      |                                 |               |  | CFA-Injected rats                 |
|                         | CFA-treated rats          |                      |                                 |               |  |                                   |
| Gatta et al. (52)       | To assess PEA             | 1. In vitro exposure | 1. BV2 microglial cell model:   | 4–6 animals   | Effects on brain function (Western blot,   | PEA reduces LPS- or Aβ-induced    |
| (Italy)                 | anti-inflammatory and     | in animals;          | (a) CTRL; (b) LPS; (c)          | per condition | semi-quantitative qRT-PCR)                 | neuroinflammation and TG2         |
|                         | neuroprotective effects   | 2. Ex vivo exposure  | LPS+PEA; (d) $A\beta$ ; (e)     |               |  | overexpression in mouse           |
|                         | in AD-like mouse          | in animals           | $A\beta + PEA;$                 |               |  | microglial cells                  |
|                         | microglial cells          |                      | 2. Mature cerebral cortex       |               |  |                                   |
|                         |                           |                      | microglial cells: (a) CTRL; (b) |               |  |                                   |
|                         |                           |                      | LPS; (c) LPS+PEA                |               |  |                                   |

Frontiers in Psychiatry

PEA, palmitoylethanolamide; Aβ, β-amyloid precursor protein; CTRL, control; MK, MK886 (PPARα antagonist); GW9662, PPARγ antagonist; RT-PCR, Reverse transcriptase-PCR analysis; ELISA, Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; EMSA, Electrophoretic mobility shift assay; eCB, endocannabinoid; FAAH, Fatty acid amide hydrolase; KO, Knock-out; WT, Wild-type; AEA, anandamide; OEA, oleoylethanolamide; URB, URB597; SR1, SR141716A; SR2, SR144528; WY, WY14643; TG, troglitazone; CPZ, capsazepine; qRT-PCR, RT quantitative-PCR; LDH, Lactate dehydrogenase; AE, acylethanolamine; AD, Alzheimer's disease; PPARa, Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha; ScAb, Scrambled Ab25-35 peptide; VHI, vehicle; Ab, Ab25-35 peptide; PEA(3, 10, 30), PEA (3, 10, 30 mg/Kg); GW7647, PPARα agonist; YMT, Y-Maze test; MWM, Morris Water Maze test; WMT, Working-memory test; NORT, Novel Object Recognition test; GW6471, PPARα antagonist; μM, micromolar; BDNF, Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor; GDNF, Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor; GFAP, Glial fibrillary acidic protein; DNA, Deoxyribonucleic acid; 3xTg-AD, triple-transgenic mouse model of AD; non-Tg, non-transgenic mouse model; MCAo, middle cerebral artery occlusion; VaD, vascular dementia; LC-APCI-MS, Liquid chromatography-atmospheric pressure chemical ionization-mass spectrometry; OFT, Open-field test; RNA, Ribonucleic acid; PD, Parkinson's disease; MPTP, 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine; IA, Inhibitory passive avoidance; TST, Tail suspension test; FST, Forced swim test; SPT, Sucrose preference test; HPLC, High-performance liquid chromatography; MRI, Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; -mo, month-old; MAP2, Microtubule-associated protein 2; GLx, Glutamine/glutamate; mGluR, Metabotropic glutamate receptor; SNI, spare nerve injury; MPEP, 2-Methyl-6-(phenylethyl)) pythalic acid; LTP, long-term potentiation; LEC, lateral entorhinal cortex; DG, dentate gyrus; 2-AG, 2-arachidonoy/glycerol; eCBs, endocannabinoids; AEs, acylethanolamines; Tg, transgenic; MDCPG, (RS)-4-(1-amino-1-carbox

10.3389/fpsyt.2022.1038122

reasonably inclusive and flexible approach, in line with previous research in the field (15, 53). To this extent, interventional and observational studies in humans were evaluated through an adapted set of criteria suggested by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) guidance (54), and risk of systematic bias across human studies was further ruled out by screening all papers for potential confounding variables, such as patients' age and educational level (Table 2). Moreover, factors possibly similarities and differences accounting for between animal studies were assessed, extracting information about study characteristics, including animal model (e.g., mouse or rat), developmental stage (e.g., postnatal, adult, primary cultures of astrocytes or neurons), gender, PEA measure (e.g., PEA dosage and administration route, PEA assessment in tissues) and adequate PEA evaluation (e.g., time of exposure, single or multiple tissue assessments) (Table 2).

### Statistical analysis

When deemed appropriate, studies with similar methodologies and output measures were gathered to be further explored from a meta-analytic perspective. Specifically, baseline and post-treatment values were extracted. Changefrom-baseline standard deviation was calculated when not reported by assuming a moderate pre-post correlation coefficient (r = 0.7) as suggested by Cochrane Handbook 5.1 (https://handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/chapter\_16/16\_1\_3\_2\_ imputing\_standard\_deviations\_for\_changes\_from\_baseline. htm). Data were pooled by using a DerSimonian and Laird random-effects model (55). A meta-regression model was developed to investigate the effects of patients' age and length of follow up. I-squared index was calculated to assess heterogeneity among studies. Publication bias was not investigated due to the low number of studies included. Data were analyzed by the statistical software STATA software, version 16.1.

### Results

# Identified studies for inclusion in systematic review

In summary, 1914 records were identified through the initial data search. After excluding duplicates as well as articles owing to article type (systematic and non-systematic reviews), by using a three-step screening approach, titles, abstracts, or full texts of all records were screened against the inclusion and exclusion criteria (Figure 1). A final list of thirty-three studies was used for systematic analysis in this review, including 4 studies conducted only in human populations, 26 studies

performed only in animal models, and 3 studies including both animal and human data, investigating different aspects of the palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) signaling pathway (Table 1). These include (i) in vivo PEA treatment exposure in humans with different neurocognitive disorders (NCDs) and related conditions (4 studies; Table 1A); (ii) in vitro PEA exposure in Amyloid- $\beta$  (A $\beta$ ) exposed human cells (2 studies; Table 1A); (iii) PEA quantitative blood assessment in humans with Alzheimer's Disease (AD; 1 study; Table 1A); (iv) in vivo PEA exposure in animal models of NCDs and related conditions (17 studies; Table 1B); (v) in vitro PEA exposure in AB exposed animal cells (7 studies; Table 1B) and AD animal model cells (4 studies; Table 1B); (vi) ex vivo PEA exposure in in Aβ exposed animal cells (3 studies; Table 1B) and AD animal model cells (1 study; Table 1B); and (vii) PEA quantitative brain/tissue assessment in animal models of different NCDs (7 studies; Table 1B). Additional data on methodological quality of studies conducted in humans and animals are reported in Tables 2A,B. A detailed presentation of human and animal results is reported in Supplementary Tables 1A,B. A brief synthesis of the main results is presented below.

# *In vivo* PEA treatment exposure in humans with different NCDs and related conditions

Most human studies identified in this review addressed the effects of PEA exposure on cognitive function (Table 1A), using similar but not overlapping methodologies (Table 2A) in terms of disorder [stroke (24), Parkinson's disease (PD) (26), Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) (27), and traumatic brain injury (TBI) (28)], PEA dosage [600 mg bid/daily (26), 700 mg bid (24, 27, 28)], PEA formulation [alone (26), with luteolin (24, 27, 28)], PEA mode of administration [sublingual (24, 26), oral administration (27, 28)], and PEA period of exposure [4 weeks (27), 60 days (24), 180 days (28), 12 months (26)]. Apart from a single study that adopted a placebo-controlled design (28), all studies lacked a controlled condition (24, 26, 27). Nevertheless, results indicated a beneficial effect of PEA in ameliorating cognitive impairment following cerebral ischemia (24) and TBI (28) as well as non-motor aspects of experiences of daily living (nM-EDL; e.g., anxious-depressive symptoms, sleep problems, and fatigue) in PD (26) and frontal lobe disfunctions and behavioral disturbances in FTD (27). Noteworthy, PEA was well tolerated, in the absence of any relevant side effect across all the studies, and for the entire duration of the compound administration.

Out of the 4 studies, 3 (24, 27, 28) adopted the same tool to investigate impairment of cognitive abilities, the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE), a widely accepted instrument to gather the cognitive state of patients suffering from NCDs (56),

| TABLE 2A Methodological quality of clinical studies investigating palmitoylethanolamide and its correlations to neurocognitive disorders (NCDs) | ;). |
|---|-----|
|---|-----|

| References<br>(Country)               |   | Defined<br>study<br>population  | Age<br>(years)         | Gender                  | PEA measure   | Adequate<br>PEA<br>evaluation          | Control           | Comparability<br>of subjects          | Other<br>comorbidity  | Excluded/adjusted for confounding factors   |   | Funding or<br>sponsorship |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------|
| Paterniti et al.<br>(22) (Italy)      | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ SH-SY5Y<br>neuroblastoma<br>differentiated<br>neuron-like<br>cells                            | X                      | X                       | $\sqrt{\text{co-ultra PEALut}}$<br>(um-PEA 0.27 $\mu$ M +<br>luteolin 0.027 $\mu$ M or<br>um-PEA 2.7 $\mu$ M +<br>luteolin 0.27 $\mu$ M or<br>um-PEA 27 $\mu$ M +<br>luteolin 2.7 $\mu$ M) <i>in</i><br><i>vitro</i> addition | application<br>(added to<br>medium 2 h | 1. CTRL;<br>2. Αβ | √ Experimental<br>condition           | √ No comorbidity  | √ No exclusion criteria; no<br>confounders  | √ ANOVA,<br>Bonferroni's<br>test  | X                         |
| Altamura et al.<br>(23) (Italy)       |   | √ AD patients<br>dementia<br>therapy naive  | $77.3 \pm 6.4;$        | √ Male<br>and<br>female | √ Blood levels  | √ Single<br>assessment                 | √ CTRL            | √ Age; gender                         | √ Obesity;<br>Smoking habit;<br>Diabetes;<br>Hypertension;<br>Hyperlipidemia;<br>Chronic heart<br>ischemic disease;<br>ApoEε4 | other neurological diseases;<br>(b) chronic or recurrent acute<br>pain; (c) use of cannabinoids<br>for recreational or medical<br>purposes; (d) acute infectious<br>disease; (e) alcohol abuse; (f)   | <i>t</i> -test, Mann-<br>Whitney U<br>test, χ2 test,<br>Kolmogorov–<br>Smirnov test,<br>ANOVA,<br>Spearman's<br>rho | Х                         |
| Caltagirone<br>et al. (50)<br>(Italy) | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ First<br>ischemic stroke<br>stabilized<br>patients<br>undergoing<br>rehabilitative<br>therapy | $\sqrt{71.4 \pm 12.4}$ | √ Male<br>and<br>female | √ co-ultra PEALut<br>(um-PEA 700 mg +<br>luteolin 70 mg)<br>sublingual<br>administration  |  | х                 | √ Clinical<br>condition; age<br>range | √ No comorbidity  | and eigenform assessments)<br>$\checkmark$ Excluded if: (a) previously<br>hospitalized stroke patients;<br>(b) hemorrhagic stroke<br>patients; (c) bilateral stroke<br>patients; (d) no first ischemic<br>stroke patients; (e) $\ge 18$<br>months before ischemic<br>event; (f) inadequate<br>information about ischemic<br>event; Adjusted for: (a) age;<br>(b) educational level (MMSE) | •   | $\checkmark$              |

| _        |
|----------|
| 2        |
| 0        |
|          |
| <u>_</u> |
| 0        |
| 5        |
| S        |
| =.       |
| -        |
| σ        |
| Š        |
| $\leq$   |
| 0        |
| 5        |
|          |
| <u>a</u> |
|          |
| $\leq$   |
|          |

18

#### TABLE 2A (Continued)

| References<br>(Country)         |   | Defined<br>study<br>population  | Age<br>(years) | Gender                  | PEA measure  | Adequate<br>PEA<br>evaluation  | Control | Comparability<br>of subjects                      | Other<br>comorbidity   | Excluded/adjusted for<br>confounding factors  |   | Funding or<br>sponsorship |
|---------------------------------|---|---|----------------|-------------------------|--|--|---------|---|--|---|---|---------------------------|
| Cipriano et al.<br>(25) (Italy) | observational,                                  | •   | X              | X                       | √ PEA 10 <sup>^</sup> -6,<br>10 <sup>^</sup> -7,<br>10 <sup>^</sup> -8 M<br>( <i>in vitro</i><br>addition) | combined application   | Αβ;     | √ Study population;<br>experimental<br>conditions | . √ No comorbidity   | √ No exclusion criteria;<br>no confounders  | √ ANOVA,<br>Bonferroni's<br>test  | X                         |
| Brotini et al.<br>(26) (Italy)  | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √/ levodopa<br>treated PD<br>patients<br>(PDSBB clinicat<br>diagnostic<br>criteria):<br>(a) HY scale ><br>0;<br>(b) MMSE<br>≥26/30;<br>(c) age>18<br>years;<br>(d) levodopa<br>therapy<br>(eventually<br>other PD<br>medication)<br>without<br>modification<br>over 4<br>consecutive<br>weeks | √73±8<br>1     | √ Male<br>and<br>female | √ um-PEA<br>600 mg   | √ Bid<br>administration<br>(3 months), ther<br>daily<br>administration<br>(9 months) | x       | √ Clinical<br>condition; age<br>range             | √ Hypertensive<br>heart disease; Mild<br>ischemic heart<br>disease;<br>Hypertension;<br>Previous ictus<br>cerebri; Epilepsy;<br>History of juvenile<br>migraine; Previous<br>oncological surgery<br>Prostatic<br>hypertrophy;<br>Asthma;<br>Osteoarthritis;<br>Osteoporosis;<br>Diabetes | parkinsonism;<br>(b) other forms of dementia;<br>(c) unreliable patients;<br>(d) non-compliant patients | √ GLMM,<br>Wilcoxon<br>signed-rank<br>test,<br>Bonferroni's<br>correction,<br>Tukey-Kramer<br>adjusted test | Χ                         |

| References<br>(Country)        |   | Defined<br>study<br>population | Age (years)Gender                             | PEA measure   | Adequate<br>PEA<br>evaluation | Control | Comparability<br>of subjects          | Other<br>comorbidity                    | Excluded/adjusted for<br>confounding factors   |   | Funding or<br>sponsorship |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------|
| Assogna et al.<br>(27) (Italy) | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | FTD patients                   |   | √ co-ultra PEALut<br>(um-PEA 700 mg +<br>luteolin 70 mg) oral<br>administration | administration                | X       | √ Clinical<br>condition; age<br>range | √ No comorbidity                        | <ul> <li>√ Excluded if:</li> <li>(a) use of drugs modulating brain excitability in the 3 previous months;</li> <li>(b) other CNS NDDs;</li> <li>(c) psychiatric illnesses;</li> <li>(d) signs of concomitant CVD on MRI</li> </ul>   | ANOVA,<br>Shapiro-Wilk<br>test, Wilcoxon<br>test, Student's<br><i>t</i> -test,<br>Mauchly's test,<br>Huynh–Feldt $\varepsilon$<br>correction,<br>Bonferroni's<br>correction,<br>Kruskal-Wallis<br>non-<br>parametric<br>test, | X                         |
| Campolo et al.<br>(28) (Italy) | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | <u>^</u>                       | $\sqrt{52 \pm 17.5}$ $\sqrt{Male}$ and female | √ co-ultra PEALut<br>(um-PEA 700 mg +<br>luteolin 70 mg) oral<br>administration |                               | √ std   | √ Clinical<br>condition; age<br>range | √ Diabetes;<br>Arterial<br>hypertension | <ul> <li>√ Excluded if:</li> <li>(a) evolving to severe</li> <li>neurological status;</li> <li>(b) poor application or</li> <li>compliance to the study</li> <li>protocol;</li> <li>Adjusted for:</li> <li>(a) age;</li> <li>(b) educational level</li> <li>(Memory and cognition</li> <li>assessments)</li> </ul> | rmANOVA<br>√ Student's<br><i>t</i> -test, Mann-<br>Whitney<br>U-test, χ2 test   | $\checkmark$              |

SH-SY5Y, cloned subline of a neuroblastoma cell line; co-ultra PEALut, co-ultramicronized palmitoylethanolamide and luteoline; um-PEA, ultramicronized palmitoylethanolamide;  $\mu$ M, micromolar; h, hours; CTRL, control; A $\beta$ ,  $\beta$ -amyloid precursor protein; ANOVA; analysis of variance; AD, Alzheimer's disease; ApoEe4, Apolipoprotein Ee4; Bid, twice a day;  $\geq$ , equal or more than; MMSE, Mini Mental State Examination; GLMM, Generalized linear mixed model; HUVEC, Human umbilical vein endothelial cells; PD, Parkinson's disease; PDSBB, Parkinson's Disease Society Brain Bank; HY, Hoehn and Yahr; FTD, Frontotemporal dementia; bvFTD, behavioral variant FTD; PPA, primary progressive aphasia; FTLD-CDR SoB, FTLD-modified Clinical Dementia Rating scale Sum of Boxes;  $\leq$ , equal or less than; PET, positron emission tomography; CNS, central nervous system; NDDs, neurodegenerative disorders; CVD, cerebrovascular disease; MRI, Magnetic resonance imaging; rmANOVA, repeated-measures ANOVA; TBI, traumatic brain injury; GCS, Glasgow coma scale; std, standard.

Colizzi et al.

TABLE 2B Methodological quality of preclinical studies investigating palmitoylethanolamide and its correlations to neurocognitive disorders (NCDs).

| References                          | Study design                                    | Defined study population  | Age   | Gender | PEA measure   | Adequate PEA evaluation  | Control                             | Comparability of subjects                                 | Statistical<br>analyses  | Funding or<br>sponsorship |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|--------|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|
| Scuderi et al. (29)<br>(Italy)      | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ Astrocytes from<br>newborn<br>Sprague-Dawley<br>rats                                      | √ PND 2   | Х      | $\sqrt{\text{PEA } 10^{-7} \text{ M}}$<br>( <i>in vitro</i> addition)   | $\sqrt{24}$ -hour application<br>(added to medium after<br>A $\beta$ administration)   | √ CTRL; Aβ                          | √ Study population;<br>experimental<br>conditions         | √ ANOVA,<br>Bonferroni's test,<br>Newman-Keuls test  | Х                         |
| Benito et al. (30)<br>(Italy)       | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ Astrocites from<br>newborn<br>FAAH-KO mice;<br>Astrocites from<br>newborn C57/BL6<br>mice | √ PND 1   | Х      | √ PEA 10 µM<br>( <i>in vitro</i> addition)  | $\sqrt{24}$ -hour alone or<br>combined application<br>(added to medium prior<br>to A $\beta$ administration)   | √CTRL-WT;<br>Aβ-WT                  | √ Study population;<br>experimental<br>conditions         | √ ANOVA,<br>Student's t-test,<br>Newman-Keuls test   | х                         |
| D'Agostino et al.<br>(31) (Italy)   | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ WT mice;<br>PPAR-α -/- mice<br>backcrossed to<br>C57/BL6                                  | X   | √ Male | <ul> <li>√ 1. First set of mice:</li> <li>PEA 3 mg/Kg,</li> <li>10 mg/Kg,</li> <li>30 mg/kg</li> <li>(sc administration);</li> <li>2. Second and</li> <li>3. Third sets of mice:</li> <li>30 mg/Kg (sc administration)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>√ 1. First and 3. Third sets of mice: daily administration (7 days and 5 days);</li> <li>2. Second set of mice: single administration (30 min before test)</li> </ul> | √ ScAb+VHI;<br>Ab+VHI;<br>Ab+GW7647 | √ Study population;<br>experimental<br>conditions; gender | √ ANOVA,<br>Student's t-test,<br>Dunnett's <i>post hoc</i><br>test, Wilcoxon<br>signed-rank test | $\checkmark$              |
| Scuderi et al. (32)<br>(Italy)      | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ Sprague-Dawley<br>rats  | <ul> <li>√ 1. Primary</li> <li>cultures of cerebral</li> <li>cortex neurons:</li> <li>ED 18;</li> <li>2. Primary cultures</li> <li>of cerebral cortex</li> <li>astrocytes: PND 1-2</li> </ul> | Х      | √ PEA 0.1 µM<br>( <i>in vitro</i> addition)   | √ Alone or combined<br>application (added to<br>medium after Aβ<br>administration)   | √ CTRL; Aβ                          | √ Study population;<br>experimental<br>conditions         | √ ANOVA,<br>Bonferroni's test  | $\checkmark$              |
| Scuderi and Steardo<br>(33) (Italy) | o√Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ Sprague-Dawley<br>rats  | •   | Х      | √ PEA 0.1 µM ( <i>ex</i><br><i>vivo/in vivo</i> addition)   | $\sqrt{24}$ -h alone or<br>combined application<br>(added to medium after<br>A $\beta$ administration)   | √ CTRL; Aβ                          | √ Study population;<br>experimental<br>conditions         | √ ANOVA,<br>Bonferroni's test  | $\checkmark$              |

(Continued)

| 0        |
|----------|
| 5        |
| Ŧ.       |
| ₩.       |
| <u>u</u> |
| Ś        |
|          |
|          |
|          |
|          |
| 2        |
| 2        |
| <u>~</u> |
| ⊒.       |
| a        |
| <b>a</b> |
| 5        |
|          |

#### TABLE 2B (Continued)

| References                         | Study design                                    | Defined study population                            | Age       | Gender | PEA measure  | Adequate PEA<br>evaluation   | Control   | Comparability of subjects   | Statistical<br>analyses  | Funding or sponsorship |
|------------------------------------|---|---|-----------|--------|--|--|---|---|--|------------------------|
| Paterniti et al.<br>(22) (Italy)   | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ CD1 mice  | √ PND 6   | Х      | $\sqrt{\text{co-ultra PEALut}}$<br>(um-PEA 0.27 $\mu$ M +<br>luteolin 0.027 $\mu$ M or<br>um-PEA 2.7 $\mu$ M +<br>luteolin 0.27 $\mu$ M or<br>um-PEA 27 $\mu$ M +<br>luteolin 2.7 $\mu$ M)<br>addition to medium | √ Single application<br>(added to medium after<br>21-day incubation, 2 h<br>before Aβ)   | √ CTRL; Aβ  | √ Study population;<br>age; experimental<br>conditions            | √ ANOVA,<br>Bonferroni's test  | Х                      |
| Scuderi et al.<br>(34) (Italy)     | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ Sprague-Dawley<br>rats                            | √/X Adult | √ Male | √ PEA 10 mg/Kg (ip<br>administration)  | √ Daily administration<br>(7 days)   | $\surd$ VHI; VHI+A\beta   | √ Study population;<br>experimental<br>conditions; gender;<br>age | √ ANOVA,<br>Bonferroni's test  | $\checkmark$           |
| Cipriano et al.<br>(25) (Italy)    | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ C6 rat glioma<br>cells                            | Х         | Х      | $\sqrt{PEA 10^{-6}},$<br>10 <sup>-7</sup> ,<br>10 <sup>-8</sup> M<br>( <i>in vitro</i> addition)   | $\sqrt{48}$ -h alone or<br>combined application<br>(added to medium after<br>A $\beta$ administration)   | $\sqrt{\text{CTRL}; A\beta;}$<br>A $\beta$ +PEA10 <sup>^</sup><br>-6+GW6471 | √ Study population;<br>experimental<br>conditions                 | √ ANOVA,<br>Bonferroni's test  | Х                      |
| Tomasini et al.<br>(35) (Italy)    | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | $\sqrt{3 \times \text{Tg-AD}}$ mice;<br>non-Tg mice | Х         | Х      | $\sqrt{\text{PEA } 0.1 \mu \text{M}}$ ( <i>in vitro</i> addition)  | √ 24-h application<br>(added to medium 1 h<br>before Aβ<br>administration)   | √ (3xTg-AD,<br>non-Tg): CTRL;<br>Aβ   | √ Study population;<br>experimental<br>conditions                 | √ ANOVA,<br>Newman-Keuls test  | $\checkmark$           |
| Caltagirone et al.<br>(24) (Italy) | Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional   | $\sqrt{ m Wistar}$ rats                             | X         | √ Male | √ co-ultraPEALut 1<br>mg/Kg (oral<br>administration)   | √ Double<br>administration (1 h after<br>ischemia, 6 h after<br>reperfusion)   | √ MCAo+VHI;<br>sham+VHI   | √ Study population;<br>experimental<br>conditions; gender         | $\sqrt{ANOVA}$ ,<br>Student's <i>t</i> -test,<br>Bonferroni's test,<br>Newman-Keuls test | $\checkmark$           |
| Siracusa et al.<br>(36) (Italy)    | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ CD1 mice;<br>Sprague-Dawley<br>rats               | Х         | √ Male | √ 1. Brain tissue levels<br>(healthy rats);<br>2. co-ultra PEALut 1<br>mg/Kg<br>(oral administration)<br>(mice)  | <ul> <li>√ 1. Single assessment<br/>(healthy rats);</li> <li>2. Daily administration<br/>(15 days, 24 h after VaD<br/>induction) (mice)</li> </ul> | √ sham+VHI;<br>sham+PEA;<br>VaD+VHI   | √ Study population;<br>gender; experimental<br>conditions         | √ ANOVA,<br>Bonferroni's test  | Х                      |

Colizzi et al.

#### TABLE 2B (Continued)

| References                       | Study design                                    | Defined study population   | Age   | Gender | PEA measure   | Adequate PEA evaluation  | Control                              | Comparability of subjects                                      | Statistical<br>analyses  | Funding or<br>sponsorship |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|
| Beggiato et al.<br>(37) (Italy)  | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ Cerebral cortex<br>astrocytes from<br>C57/BL6 mice;<br>Cerebral cortex<br>neurons from<br>C57/BL6 mice | √ 1. Primary<br>cultures of cerebral<br>cortex neurons: ED<br>18; 2. Primary<br>cultures of cerebral<br>cortex astrocytes:<br>PND 1-2 | Х      | √ PEA 0.1 µM<br>( <i>in vitro</i> addition)   | $\sqrt{24}$ -h alone or<br>combined application<br>(added to medium 1 h<br>before A $\beta$<br>administration)   | √ CTRL; Aβ                           | √ Study population;<br>experimental<br>conditions              | √ ANOVA,<br>Newman-Keuls<br>test   | $\checkmark$              |
| Bronzuoli et al.<br>(20) (Italy) | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ 1. 3×Tg-AD<br>mice; 2. non-Tg<br>mice  | √ 1. <i>In vivo</i> : 3<br>months;<br>2. <i>In vitro</i> : PND 1-2  | √ Male | √ 1. um-PEA 10 mg/kg<br>(sc administration);<br>2. PEA 0.01, 0.1, 1 μM<br>( <i>in vitro</i> addition) | <ul> <li>√ 1. Daily</li> <li>administration</li> <li>(90 days);</li> <li>2. 24-h application</li> <li>(added to medium after</li> <li>7 and 28 days, for</li> <li>astrocytes and neurons</li> <li>respectively)</li> </ul> | √ (3xTg-AD,<br>non-Tg): CTRL         | √ Study population;<br>experimental<br>conditions; gender; age | √ ANOVA,<br>Student's <i>t</i> -test,<br>Bonferroni's test   | Х                         |
| Crupi et al.<br>(39) (Italy)     | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | $\sqrt{\text{CD1}}$ mice   | $\sqrt{21}$ months  | √ Male | $\sqrt{\text{PEAm 10 mg/Kg}}$ (oral administration)   | √ Daily administration<br>(60 days)  | √ sham+VHI;<br>sham+PEA;<br>MPTP+VHI | √ Study population;<br>age; gender;<br>experimental conditions | √ ANOVA,<br>Bonferroni's test  | Х                         |
| Scuderi et al.<br>(20) (Italy)   | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | $\sqrt{3 \times \text{Tg-AD}}$ mice  | $\sqrt{1}$ . First set of<br>mice: 3 to 6 months;<br>2. Second set of<br>mice: 9 to 12 month  |        | √ um-PEA 28 mg<br>(sc administration)   | √ Daily administration<br>(3 months)   | √ non-Tg mice;<br>placebo            | √ Study population;<br>age; gender;<br>experimental conditions | √ ANOVA,<br>Tukey's HSD test,<br>s Bonferroni's test   | $\checkmark$              |
| Boccella et al.<br>(40) (Italy)  | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ C57/BL6 mice   | X   | √ Male | √ um-PEA 10 mg/Kg<br>(ip administration)  | √ Daily administration<br>(15 days, starting 15<br>days after sham or SNI)   | SNI+VHI;                             |  | √ ANOVA,<br>Dunnett's multiple<br>comparison <i>post</i><br><i>hoc</i> test, Student's<br>t-test, Bonferroni's<br>test | $\checkmark$              |

| References                          | Study design                                    | Defined study population                                  | Age                  | Gender | PEA measure  | Adequate PEA evaluation  | Control                             | Comparability of subjects                                 | Statistical<br>analyses  | Funding or<br>sponsorship |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|----------------------|--------|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|
| Boccella et al. (41)<br>(Italy)     | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √WT mice;<br>PPAR-α -/- mice<br>backcrossed to<br>C57/BL6 | X                    | √ Male | √ 1. um-PEA 10 mg/Kg<br>(ip administration);<br>2. Brain tissue levels       | <ul> <li>√ 1. Daily</li> <li>administration</li> <li>(15 days, starting</li> <li>15 days after sham or</li> <li>SNI);</li> <li>2. Single assessment</li> </ul> | √sham+VHI;<br>sham+PEA;<br>SNI+VHI  | √ Study population;<br>gender; experimental<br>conditions | √ ANOVA,<br>Dunnett's multiple<br>comparison <i>post</i><br><i>hoc</i> test, Student's<br><i>t</i> -test, D'Agostino-<br>Pearson's normality<br>test, Bonferroni's<br>test, Kruskall-Wallis<br>test, Dunn's test | $\checkmark$              |
| Impellizzeri et al.<br>(42) (Italy) | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | $\sqrt{\text{CD1}}$ mice                                  | X                    | √ Male | √ 1. PEA-OXA 10<br>mg/kg (oral<br>administration);<br>2. Brain tissue levels | √ 1. Daily<br>administration<br>(15 days);<br>2. Single assessment   | √ sham+VHI;<br>sham+PEA;<br>VaD+VHI | √ Study population;<br>gender; experimental<br>conditions | √ ANOVA,<br>Bonferroni's test,<br>Neuman-Keuls<br>multiple<br>comparison test  | Х                         |
| Piscitelli et al. (43)<br>(Italy)   | √ Analytic,<br>observational                    | √ Tg2576 mice   | $\sqrt{4-15}$ months | √ Male | √ 1. Plasma levels;<br>2. Brain tissue levels                                | √ Multiple assessment<br>(T1 presymptomatic:<br>4–6 months; T2 mild<br>symptomatic: 7–10<br>months; T3<br>symptomatic: 12–15<br>months)                        | √ WT                                | √ Age; experimental conditions                            | √ ANOVA, Tukey's<br>post hoc test, Tukey<br>HSD test   | $\checkmark$              |
| Zimmermann et al.<br>(44) (Germany) | . √ Analytic,<br>observational                  | √ NEX-Cre mice<br>(C57/BL6<br>background)                 | $\sqrt{2-3}$ months  | √ Male | $\sqrt{\rm Brain}$ tissue levels   | √ Single assessment  | √ AAV-WT;<br>AAV-Glu-empty          | √ Age; gender;<br>experimental condition                  | √ ANOVA, Tukey's<br><i>post hoc</i> test,<br>Student's <i>t</i> -test,<br>Kolmogorov-<br>Smirnov test,<br>Bonferroni's test,<br>Sidak's multiple<br>comparison test  | $\checkmark$              |

| References                         | Study design  | Defined study population                | Age   | Gender | PEA measure   | Adequate PEA evaluation   | Control   | Comparability of subjects   | Statistical<br>analyses   | Funding or<br>sponsorship |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|
| Beggiato et al.<br>(45) (Italy)    | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional               | √ 3×Tg-AD mice                          | <ul> <li>√ 1. Primary</li> <li>cultures of cerebral</li> <li>cortex neurons: ED</li> <li>18;</li> <li>2. Primary cultures</li> <li>of cerebral cortex</li> <li>astrocytes: PND 1-2</li> </ul> | X      | √ PEA 0.1 µM<br>( <i>in vitro</i> addition)   | √ 24-h application<br>(added to medium 1 h<br>before Aβ)  | √ non-Tg mice;<br>3xTg-AD(CTRL);<br>3xTg-AD(Aβ) | √ Study population;<br>experimental<br>conditions                 | √ Student's <i>t</i> -test  | $\checkmark$              |
| Beggiato et al. (46)<br>(Italy)    | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional               | √3×Tg-AD mice;<br>C57BL6/129SvJ<br>mice | $\sqrt{2}$ months $\pm 2$<br>weeks of age   | √ Male | √ 1. um-PEA<br>100 mg/Kg (oral<br>administration);<br>2. Brain tissue levels;<br>3. Plasma levels | <ul> <li>√ 1. Pharmacokinetic<br/>studies: (a) single or<br/>daily (8 days)<br/>administration; (b)<br/>single brain tissue or<br/>plasma assessment (prior<br/>to PEA; 1, 1.5, 3, 4 h afte<br/>PEA);</li> <li>2. Biobehavioral studies:<br/>daily administration<br/>(3 months)</li> </ul> | er  | √ Age; gender;<br>experimental<br>conditions                      | √ ANOVA,<br>Tukey's HSD test,<br>Bonferroni's test,<br>Student's <i>t</i> -test | ~                         |
| Facchinetti et al.<br>(47) (Italy) | $\sqrt{\text{Analytic,}}$<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ Sprague-Dawley<br>rats                | √/X Adult rats  | √ Male | √ co-ultra PEALut 5<br>mg/Kg (ip<br>administration)   | √ Daily administration<br>(14 days)   | $\sqrt{VHI}$ ;<br>VHI(A $\beta$ )               | √ Study population;<br>age; gender;<br>experimental<br>conditions | √ ANOVA,<br>Bonferroni's test   | $\checkmark$              |
| Lama et al. (48)<br>(Italy)        | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional               | √ C57/BL6 mice                          | √ 6 weeks   | √ Male | um-PEA 30 mg/Kg<br>(oral administration)  | √ Daily administration<br>(7 weeks)   | √ STD;<br>HFD                                   | √ Study population;<br>age; gender;<br>experimental<br>conditions | √ ANOVA,<br>Bonferroni's test   | Х                         |
| Boccella et al. (49)<br>(Italy)    | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional               | √ C57/BL6 mice                          | √ 4-5 weeks   | √ Male | √ PEA-OXA 10 mg/kg<br>(ip administration)   | √ Daily administration<br>(16 days, starting<br>14 days after SNI or<br>sham surgery)   | √ sham+VHI;<br>sham+PEA;<br>SNI+VHI             | √ Study population;<br>age; gender;<br>experimental<br>conditions | √ ANOVA,<br>Kolmogorov–<br>Smirnov<br>test                                      | $\checkmark$              |

#### TABLE 2B (Continued)

| References                             | Study design                                    | Defined study population   | Age  | Gender | PEA measure   | Adequate PEA<br>evaluation  | Control  | Comparability of subjects                                      | Statistical<br>analyses   | Funding or<br>sponsorship |
|--|---|--|--|--------|---|---|--|--|---|---------------------------|
| Campolo et al. (21)<br>(Italy)         | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | $\sqrt{\text{CD1}}$ mice   | √ 10–12 weeks  | √ Male | √ co-ultra PEALut 1<br>mg/Kg<br>(oral administration)                                     | $\sqrt{\text{Daily administration}}$<br>(72 h and 7 days, 1 h<br>after craniotomy)  | $\sqrt{ m sham; TBI}$  | √ Study population;<br>age; gender;<br>experimental conditions | √ Student's <i>t</i> -test,<br>Mann-Whitney<br>U-test, χ2 test  | Х                         |
| D'Antongiovanni<br>et al. (50) (Italy) | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ SAMP8 mice   | $\sqrt{4}$ months  | х      | √ 1. PEA 5 mg/Kg<br>(oral administration)<br>2. PEA 0.1 μM ( <i>in vitro</i><br>addition) | <ul> <li>√ 1. Daily</li> <li>administration</li> <li>(2 months);</li> <li>2. 1-h application</li> <li>(added to medium 4 h<br/>after LPS, 1 h before Aβ)</li> </ul> | √ SAMR1;<br>SAMP8;<br>CTRL; LPS+Aβ                                   | √ Study population;<br>age; experimental<br>conditions         | √ ANOVA, Tukey's<br>test, Student's <i>t</i> -test  | $\checkmark$              |
| Gaspar et al. (51)<br>(Ireland)        | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | √ Sprague-Dawley<br>rats   | Χ  | √ Male | √ 1. PEA 2 mg/Kg<br>(ip administration)<br>2. Brain tissue levels                         | <ul> <li>√ 1. Single</li> <li>administration</li> <li>(day 28 post-CFA);</li> <li>2. Single assessment</li> </ul>   | √ noCFA groups;<br>CFA+VHI;<br>CFA+GSK;<br>CFA+GW6471;<br>CFA+GW9662 | √ Study population;<br>gender; experimental<br>conditions      | √ ANOVA, SNK<br>post hoc test,<br>Cohen's d<br>coefficient, Kruskal<br>Wallis test,<br>Friedman's test,<br>Dunn's post hoc test,<br>Mann-Whitney<br>U-test, Bonferroni's<br>test, Shapiro-Wilk<br>test, Levene's test | $\checkmark$              |
| Gatta et al. (52)<br>(Italy)           | √ Analytic,<br>observational,<br>interventional | <ul> <li>√ 1. In vitro</li> <li>experiment: BV2</li> <li>microglial cell</li> <li>model;</li> <li>2. Ex vivo</li> <li>experiment:</li> <li>C57/BL6 mice</li> </ul> | $\sqrt{X}$<br><i>Ex vivo</i><br>primary cultures of<br>cerebral cortex<br>microglia: PND 3 | х      | √ PEA 10 µM ( <i>in vitro</i><br>addition)  | $\surd$ 24-/48-hour application (added to medium before or in presence of LPS or A\beta)  | $\sqrt{\text{CTL};\text{LPS+PEA}};$ Ab+PEA                           | √ Study population;<br>experimental<br>conditions              | √ ANOVA, Tukey's<br>test  | $\checkmark$              |

PND, postnatal day; PEA, palmitoylethanolamide; M, molar; Aβ, β-amyloid precursor protein; CTRL, control; FAAH, Fatty acid amide hydrolase; KO, Knock-out; μM, micromolar; WT, Wild-type; PPAR, Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; C57/BL6, multipurpose mouse model; mg/Kg, milligrams per kilogram; sc, subcutaneous; ScAb, Scrambled Ab25-35 peptide; VHI, vehicle; Ab, Ab25-35 peptide; GW7647, PPARα agonist; ED, embryonic day; CD1, multipurpose mouse model; coultra PEALut, co-ultramicronized palmitoylethanolamide and luteoline; um-PEA, ultramicronized palmitoylethanolamide; ip, intraperitoneal; C6, glial cell line; GW6471, PPARα antagonist; 3xTg-AD, triple-transgenic mouse model of AD; non-Tg, non-transgenic mouse model; h, hours; MCAo, middle cerebral artery occlusion; VaD, vascular dementia; PEAm, micronized PEA; MPTP, 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine; HSD, honestly significant difference; SNI, spare nerve injury; MPEP, 2-Methyl-6-(phenylethynyl) pyridine; MDCPG, (RS)-4-(1-amino-1-carboxyethyl)phthalic acid; PEA-OXA, N-Palmitoylethanolamine-oxazoline; Tg2576, transgenic mouse model; T(1, 2, 3), time (1, 2, 3); NEX-Cre, mouse line expressing Cre recombinase under control of regulatory sequences of NEX; AAV, adeno-associated virus; Glu, glutamatergic neurons; 1298vJ, multipurpose mouse model; STD, standard-diet group; HFD, high-fat diet; TBI, traumatic brain injury; SAMP8, Senescence Accelerated Mouse-prone 8; SAMR1, Senescence-Accelerated Mouse-Resistant 1; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; CFA, Complete Freund's Adjuvant; GW9662, PPARy antagonist; GSK, GSK0660 (PPARβ/8 antagonist); BV2, microglial cell line.



Effect size Weight Author Year with 95% CI (%) 2021 7.40 [ 6.54, 8.26] 35.70 Campolo Assogna 2020 0.88 [ -2.70, 4.46] 28.00 Caltagirone 2015 2.50 [ 2.45, 2.55] 36.31 3.80 [ -0.16, 7.75] -5 5 10 Ó FIGURE 2 Forest plot showing the pooled Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) change from baseline. Homogeneity (I-squared): 98.40%, p < 0.001; Estimation by DerSimonian and Laird random-effects model.

and were included in the meta-analysis. A total of 282 patients were considered. Weighted mean and standard deviation were 69.70 and 12.51 years respectively. The pooled change-from baseline was 3.80 points (95% C.I. -0.16-7.75; Figure 2). Meta-regression did not show a significant effect of patients' age (coefficient: 0.17; 95% CI: -0.28-0.61) or length of follow-up

(coefficient: 0.06; 95% CI: -0.01-0.12). The heterogeneity was remarkable (I-squared: 98.40%, p < 0.001).

# In vitro PEA exposure in amyloid- $\beta$ (A $\beta$ ) exposed human cells

In total, two studies did not evaluate the effect of PEA administration in humans suffering from NCDs and related conditions, while analyzing the biological effect of PEA in human cells from *in vitro* models of NCDs (22, 25). In such studies, AD features were induced by A $\beta$  stimulation in either neuron-like cells (22) or Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVEC) (25) (Tables 1A, 2A). When compared to control conditions, PEA alone (25) or combined with luteolin (22) was found to blunt A $\beta$ -induced astrocyte activation and exert protective effects on glial cells (22) possibly via a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPAR- $\alpha$ )-mediated reduction of the production of pro-inflammatory and pro-angiogenic markers (25).

# PEA quantitative blood assessment in humans with Alzheimer's disease

This systematic review identified a single study specifically analyzing peripheral PEA levels in humans suffering from AD, as compared to healthy controls (23) (Tables 1A, 2A). Despite not significantly different between AD patients and controls, PEA levels appeared to be associated with cognitive performance among patients. Interestingly, 2-Arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) levels also correlated with memory, attention, and underlying brain atrophy, suggesting an extensive role of the endocannabinoid system in the neuropathology of AD (23).

# *In vivo* PEA exposure in animal models of NCDs and related conditions

Most evidence regarding a potential therapeutic effect of PEA in NCDs was gathered from preclinical studies administering the compound to animal models of NCDs (Table 1B), including AD (20, 31, 38, 46, 47, 50), A\beta-exposed (34), middle cerebral artery occlusion (MCAo) (24), PD (39), vascular dementia (VaD) (36, 42), spared nerve injury (SNI) (40, 41, 49), TBI (28), Complete Freund's Adjuvant (CFA) (51), and high-fat diet (48) models. Despite the evidence of similar methodologies across the reviewed studies, a certain heterogeneity was found in terms of animal type [mice (20, 28, 31, 36, 38-42, 46, 48-50), rat (24, 34, 47, 51)], period of exposure [from 4 to 5 weeks old to 21 months old), PEA formulation [alone unspecified, PEA (31, 34, 50, 51); alone micronized, PEAm (39); alone ultra-micronized, um-PEA (20, 38, 40, 41, 46, 48); combined with oxazoline, PEA-OXA (42, 49); combined with luteolin, PEALut (24, 28, 36, 47)], PEA mode of administration [intraperitoneal (34, 40, 41, 47, 49, 51), subcutaneous (20, 31, 38), oral (24, 28, 36, 39, 42, 46, 48, 50)], dosage of PEA [2 to 10 mg/kg for intraperitoneal administration (34, 40, 41, 47, 49, 51), 3 to 30 mg/kg for subcutaneous (20, 31, 38), 1 to 100 mg/kg for oral administration (24, 28, 36, 39, 42, 46, 48, 50)], and duration of exposure (from single administration to 3 months) (Table 2B).

Studies conducted in experimental models of AD found a dose-dependent (31), early intervention (20), and chronic (46) effect of PEA in reducing highly representative features of AD such as working memory-like impairments (31) and learning and memory deficits (20, 31, 46) as well as the associated depressive-like and anhedonia-like phenotypes (20). Such effect was dependent of PPAR- $\alpha$  activation (31) and related to the ability of PEA of reducing AD-associated biomolecular mechanisms such as A $\beta$  expression (20), abnormal hippocampal tau phosphorylation (20), lipid peroxidation (31), protein nytrosylation (31), inducible nitric oxide synthase induction (31) and reactive oxygen species production (46), and caspase3 activation (31). A role of PEA administration in restoring astrocyte (20, 38, 47) and glutamatergic (20, 46) functions, restraining neuroinflammation (20, 46, 47) and enteric inflammation and motor dysfunction (50), and promoting neuronal viability (38), hippocampal neuronal survival (47), and microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP2) expression (20), in AD mice, was also found.

Similar findings were found among A $\beta$ -exposed animals (Tables 1B, 2B) where PEA reduced memory impairments, reactive gliosis, amyloidogenesis, and neuroinflammation, and improved neuronal integrity, *via* PPAR- $\alpha$  activation (34). The same remarks suggesting an effect of PEA in rescuing memory deficits and injured hippocampal neurons, possibly by exerting anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects, were reported in preclinical models of VaD (36, 42). Finally, converging evidence for an improving effect of PEA administration on learning and memory and their biological underpinnings was also found in the context of brain ischemia reperfusion injury (24), PD (39), SNI (40, 41, 49), TBI (28), CFA (51), and high-fat diet (48), where cognitive decline is a common complication of the disease.

# *In vitro* PEA exposure in Aβ exposed animal cells and AD animal model cells

In total, 11 studies evaluated the effect of in vitro PEA exposure on several neurobiological mechanisms underlying NCDs (Tables 1B, 2B), using both Aß exposed animal cells (25, 29, 30, 32, 33, 35, 37) and AD animal model cells (38, 45, 50, 52). PEA application was reported to reduce Aβ-induced neuroinflammation and astrocyte activation (25, 29, 30, 32, 33, 37) as well as angiogenesis (25), exerting a protective effect on neuronal cells (32, 33, 37). Such effect was dependent on PPAR-a and cannabinoid receptor type 1 (CB1) and 2 (CB2) activation (29). Also, PEA was found to exert protective properties in wild-type mouse cell cultures but not in AD mouse neuronal cultured cells overexpressing AB, suggesting its effectiveness in early AD or when  $A\beta$  is accumulating and initiating damage in the central nervous system (35). Similar findings were found among AD models where in vitro PEA application reduced neuroinflammation (52) and astrogliosis (38, 45), supporting neuronal viability and survival (38, 45), and also improving enteric inflammation (50).

# *Ex vivo* PEA exposure in in A $\beta$ exposed animal cells and AD animal model cells

To confirm the results obtained with the *in vitro* models, some studies made the same PEA treatment *ex vivo* (Tables 1B,

2B) with organotypic cultures challenged with A $\beta$  (22, 32, 33) or lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (52). Converging evidence suggests that PEA may enhance neuroprotection against the neurodegenerative processes associated with A $\beta$  deposition, including astrocyte activation (32, 33) and neuroinflammation (32, 33, 52). Also, PEA exposure showed specific effects in reducing inducible nitric oxide synthase (22), glial fibrillary acidic protein expression (22), and apoptosis (22, 52) as well as restoring neuronal nitric oxide synthase (22) and brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (22).

# PEA quantitative brain/tissue assessment in animal models of different NCDs

Seven studies analyzed PEA levels in the brain and tissues of animal models of NCDs (Tables 1B, 2B), including VaD (36, 42), SNI (41), CFA (51) and genic models of AD (43, 46) and related conditions (44). Genetically inducing a reduction in PEA levels resulted in changes in hippocampal synaptic activity and aberrant cognition (44). Consistently, PEA levels were reported to be reduced after VAD induction (42), but restored following exogenous PEA administration (36, 42), possibly accounting for the observed therapeutic effects (36, 42). Similarly, plasma and brain PEA levels were found to be slightly lower in a genic model of AD, despite not significantly (46). Another study in a genic model of AD revealed that changes in PEA levels may depend on the disease stage, from being relatively higher in the presymptomatic and mild symptomatic phases to being relatively lower in the symptomatic stage (43). Further, no differences in PEA levels were observed in CFA-injected models (51), neither PEA administration affected PEA levels in SNI models (41), warranting further investigation of a potential selective effect of PEA in primary cognitive decline.

# Discussion

This is the first systematic review of all studies investigating the biobehavioral effects of palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) with reference to cognitive decline, that is the core symptomatologic domain of neurocognitive disorders (NCDs) (4). Independently of potential effects of PEA on additional features of NCDs, such as motor impairments, pain, and overall disability [recently reviewed here (57)], disentangling whether PEA is effective in improving cognition, possibly corroborated by evidence of a restoring effect on its neurobiological underpinnings, is of paramount importance to tip the scales toward considering PEA an adjunctive therapeutic option for NCDs. Based on evidence that degeneration of basal forebrain neurons causes a loss of cholinergic tone in the basal forebrain cholinergic system, with implications for the development of cognitive decline (58), most research has focused on the role of acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitors as a potential treatment for NCDs (59). Further, in the absence of other successful interventions, recent research is focusing on the possibility to refine AChE inhibitors to maximize their potential (10). However, growing evidence indicates a crucial role for neuroinflammation in neurodegeneration (8) and a potential therapeutic effect of neuroinflammation modulation in contrasting neurodegeneration at both the neurobiological and behavioral level (11). In this regard, recent research highlights the importance that cannabinoid-related compounds, whose actions depend on the interaction with non-CB receptors, may have in terms of anti-inflammatory properties (16–18), in turn accounting for their ability to mitigate biological mechanisms involved in neurodevelopmental disorders (53), epilepsy (15), and neurodegeneration (12).

Overall, this review indicated that PEA, whose biological effects are related to indirect activation of CB1 receptors as well as PPAR-a and Transient Receptor Potential Vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) modulation (14, 60), may be involved in NCDs and related conditions. With reference to human studies, evidence was obtained from interventional studies of the positive cognitive effects of PEA supplementation in humans, benefits of PEA at the neurobiological level in both in vivo and in vitro human studies, and a single observational study that changes in the PEA tone affect cognitive performance. Regarding animal studies, evidence was obtained from interventional studies of a PPAR-a-dependent, dose-dependent, and early intervention pro-cognitive effect of PEA, benefits of PEA on several biomolecular mechanisms in *in vivo*, *in vitro*, and *ex vivo* studies, and observational studies that a reduction in the PEA tone affects cognitive performance and related hippocampal activity, possibly specific to primary cognitive decline in the symptomatic stage.

Some important findings from this systematic review deserve to be highlighted. First, NCDs represent the group of conditions where the use of PEA seems to be the most supported by research studies, with an overwhelming convergence of evidence toward a therapeutic effect on core cognitive symptoms and underlying neurobiological underpinnings. Also, compared to other conditions, such as autism spectrum disorders (53) or epilepsy (15), where the evidence for a therapeutic potential of PEA is robust, but very limited (53) or absent (15) in humans, the present review identified 7 studies performed in humans. Such studies were either in vivo, in vitro, or observational studies, and a preliminary meta-analysis of studies assessing cognition before and after PEA administration (24, 27, 28) revealed an effect of PEA in partially reversing cognitive decline. Instead, studies of PEA in other neuropsychiatric disorders are still in their infancy, despite results seem promising. For instance, very recent clinical trials provided initial evidence that PEA may be a valid adjunctive treatment in acute mania (61) and schizophrenia (62). It is worth mentioning that, while not being the focus of this review, results presented here

support a potential role of PEA also in depressive-like symptoms (20, 26).

Second, thanks to numerous preclinical studies, performed adopting different methodological strategies, as well as some in vitro human studies and an observational human study, this review was able to offer a sufficiently solid neurobiological explanation for the therapeutic effects of PEA. In fact, PEA was found to control AB expression (20), hippocampal tau phosphorylation (20), and associated astrocyte/glial dysfunction (20, 22, 25, 29, 30, 32, 33, 37, 38, 45, 47), resulting in increased neuronal viability (38, 45) and survival (22, 38, 45, 47, 52), MAP2 (20) and BDNF (22) expression, and overall neuroprotection (32, 33, 36, 37, 42). An effect of PEA in controlling glutamatergic function (20, 46) as well as neuroinflammation (20, 25, 32, 33, 36, 42, 46, 47, 52) and enteric inflammation (50) was observed, with specific modulation of lipid peroxidation (31), protein nytrosylation (31), inducible nitric oxide synthase induction (22, 31) and reactive oxygen species production (46), and caspase3 activation (31). PEA effects seemed to depend on PPAR-a activation (25, 34).

Third, brain PEA levels were found to be reduced in preclinical models of primary NCDs, that is VAD (42) and AD (46), the latter possibly as a result of the disease progression (43), further corroborating a potential need for its supplementation. Consistently, PEA level restoration *via* supplementation seemed to explain the therapeutic effect observed in VAD (36, 42). Instead, less clear appeared the role of PEA levels in other models of NCDs (41, 51). However, even when not different from a control group, animal brain (44) and human blood (23) PEA levels were found to be associated with modulation of cognitive function.

Fourth, some information was gathered in terms of PEA dosages and therapeutic window. Specifically, a a dosedependent effect of PEA was revealed (31), with PEA exerting its maximal potential in the early stages of NCDs (20, 35). Interestingly, this may be due to PEA levels being still high in the early stages of NCDs, possibly reflecting a compensatory innate mechanism, before falling in the frank symptomatic stage (43).

Finally, the effect of PEA did not seem to be confined to the so-called primary dementias, such as AD or VAD. In fact, PEA ameliorated cognitive domains and associated symptoms also in patients with stroke (24), Parkinson's disease (PD) (26), and traumatic brain injury (TBI) (28), as well as learning and memory and underlying neurobiology in animal models of brain ischemia reperfusion injury (24), PD (39), spared nerve injury SNI (40, 41, 49), TBI (28), Complete Freund's Adjuvant (CFA) (51), and high-fat diet (48). Nevertheless, as for instance PEA levels were not altered in CFA-injected models (51), neither SNI models (41), further studies are needed to investigate potential differential mechanisms of action for PEA in primary vs. other NCDs.

The findings of this systematic review must be seen considering some strengths and limitations. Research in the field is quite advanced, even though especially in animal models. Despite being tested in different conditions, PEA effect needs to be further studied to fully address its relevance for the different clinical phenotypes of cognitive decline. In other words, evidence does not fully clarify whether PEA is useful only in primary cognitive decline associated with NCDs (e.g., AD) or also secondary (e.g., PD) and acquired (e.g., TBI) ones. While the beneficial effects of PEA in NCDs seem to be reasonably mediated by a protective role of the compound against altered neuroinflammation and related mechanisms, whether such effect is sustained in the longer-term remains to be tested. Longer-term studies are needed to support a potential effect of PEA as a disease modifying drug in blunting or halting the NCD course. Also, PEA levels seem to be altered in NCDs and differently depending on the phase of illness. However, whether this can be considered a biomarker for diagnosis and treatment response deserves additional studies. Finally, no study made a direct comparison of different PEA formulations in the same population, making it difficult to draw any conclusion about the potential superiority of any of such pharmaceutical forms.

In conclusion, this review revealed several experimental and observational investigations of PEA and its pathway in NCDs. Evidence discussed here converges in reporting alterations of the PEA signaling, implications for NCD-related biobehavioral manifestations, and benefits from PEA supplementation. In particular, PEA seems to be therapeutic in improving cognitive performance, whose decline is a characteristic manifestation of NCDs. Importantly, no serious adverse effects were reported across the *in vivo* PEA treatment exposure human studies, suggesting that PEA supplementation may represent not only an effective treatment strategy in NCDs but also exempt from major health risks.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

### Author contributions

MC, RB, CC, EB, DP, EM, GD, and MB: conceptualization, methodology, resources, validation, and writing-review and editing. MC, RB, CC, EB, DP, and GD: data curation, investigation, and visualization. GD: formal analysis. MC and MB: project administration. MC: supervision. MC, RB, CC, and GD: writing-original draft preparation. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge infrastructure from the University of Udine.

# **Conflict of interest**

Author MC has been a consultant/advisor to GW Pharma Limited, GW Pharma Italy SRL and F. Hoffmann-La Roche Limited, outside of this work.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpsyt.2022.1038122/full#supplementary-material

#### SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1A

Results of clinical studies investigating palmitovlethanolamide and its correlations to neurocognitive disorders (NCDs). I $\kappa$ B $\alpha$ , nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells inhibitor, alpha; Aβ,  $\beta$ -amyloid precursor protein; <, lower than; CTRL, control; PEA, palmitoylethanolamide; >, higher than; NF<sub>K</sub>B, nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; µM, micromolar; vs., compared to; NS, not significant; 2-AG, 2-arachidonoylglycerol; AD, Alzheimer's disease; AEA, anandamide; OEA, oleoylethanolamide; ↓, reduced; ↑, increased; CNS, Canadian Neurological Scale; T0, baseline; T30, after 30 days; MMSE, Mini Mental State Examination; p-p38, phospho-p38; MAPK, Mitogen-activated protein kinase; VEGF, Vascular endothelial growth factor; GW6471, PPARα antagonist; HUVEC, Human umbilical vein endothelial cells; ATP, Adenosine triphosphate; nM-EDL, Non-Motor Aspects of Experiences of Daily Living; NPI, Neuropsychiatric Inventory; FAB, Frontal Assessment Battery; SAND, Screening for Aphasia in Neurodegeneration; LICI, long-interval intracortical inhibition; ISI, inter-stimulus-interval; SICI, short-interval intracortical inhibition; ICF, intracortical facilitation; SAI, short-latency afferent inhibition; LTP, long-term potentiation; std, standard; BNCE, Brief Neuropsychological Cognitive Examination; BDI, Beck's inventory depression scale. Bold font emphasizes significant results.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1B

Results of preclinical studies investigating palmitoylethanolamide and its correlations to neurocognitive disorders (NCDs). GFAP, Glial fibrillary acidic protein; S100B, S100 calcium-binding protein B; A $\beta$ ,  $\beta$ -amyloid precursor protein; >, higher than; CTRL, control; PEA, palmitoylethanolamide; GW9662, PPAR $\gamma$  antagonist; <, lower than; MK, MK886 (PPARα antagonist); vs., compared to; NS, not significant; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; COX-2; cyclooxygenase-2; PGE2, Prostaglandin E2; NO-, Nitrogen Dioxide; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor alpha; IL-1β, Interleukin 1 beta; p-p38, pospho-p38; p-JNK, anti-phosphorylated Jun N-terminal kinase; NFkB, nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; AP-1, Activator protein 1; PPARs, Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors; eCB, endocannabinoid; CB1, Cannabinoid receptor type 1; CB2, Cannabinoid receptor type 2; FAAH, Fatty acid amide hydrolase; CCL, C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand; WT, Wild-type; KO, Knock-out; IL-6, Interleukin 6; h, hours; SR1, SR141716A; SR2, SR144528; LDH-Abs, LDH activity; mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; AEA, anandamide; OEA, oleoylethanolamide; ERK1/2, Extracellular signal-regulated protein kinases 1 and 2; MAPK, Mitogen-activated protein kinase; TRPV1, transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily V member 1; WY, WY14643; TG, troglitazone; CPZ, capsazepine; YMT, Y-maze test; Ab, Ab25-35 peptide; VHI, vehicle; ScAb, Scrambled Ab25-35 peptide; PEA(3, 10, 30), PEA (3, 10, 30 mg/Kg); MWM, Morris Water Maze test; NORT, Novel Object Recognition test; MAP2, Microtubule-associated protein 2; GW6471, PPARα antagonist; BDNF, Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor; GDNF, Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor; nNOS, Neuronal nitric oxide synthase; AIF, Apoptosis-Inducing Factor; BACE1, Beta-secretase 1; APP, Amyloid precursor protein; WNT, Wingless and Int-1; pTau, phosphorylated Tau protein; pGSK3βB, phosphorylated-Glycogen synthase kinase 3-beta; Dkk1, Dickkopf WNT Signaling Pathway Inhibitor 1; DNA, Deoxyribonucleic acid; PARP1, Poly(ADP-Ribose)Polymerase1; C6, glial cell line; NO, Nitrogen Oxide; VEGF, Vascular endothelial growth factor; MMP-9, Matrix metallopeptidase 9; non-Tg, non-transgenic mouse model; 3xTg-AD, triple-transgenic mouse model of AD; MCAo, middle cerebral artery occlusion; Bax, Bcl-2 associated X-protein; Bcl-2, B-cell lymphoma 2; BrdU, Bromodeoxyuridine; MPTP, 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1, 2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine; VaD, vascular dementia; OFT, Open-field test; PAR, Poly(ADP-ribose); µm, micrometer; TST, Tail suspension test; FST, Forced swim test; SPT, Sucrose preference test; p[Thr308]Akt, Phospho-Akt(Threonine308) Antibody; p[Ser9]Gsκ3β, Phospho-Gsκ3β(Serine9); p[Ser396]tau, Phospho-tau(Serine396); p[Ser536]p65, Phospho-p65(Serine536); IL-16, Interleukin 16; IL-5 Interleukin 5; MCSF, Macrophage colony-stimulating factor; MCP5, Murine Monocyte Chemoattractant Protein; IL-10, Interleukin 10; Glx, Glutamine/glutamate; NAA, N-acetylaspartate; GLT1, Glutamate Transporter-1: RI, recognition index; SNI, spare nerve injury; MPEP, 2-Methyl-6-(phenylethynyl) pyridine; MDCPG, (RS)-4-(1-amino-1-carboxyethyl)phthalic acid; eCB, endocannabinoid; AE, acylethanolamine; IPSI, ipsilateral; CONTRA, contralateral; 2-AG, 2-arachidonoylglycerol; NAAA, N-acylethanolamine acid amide hydrolase; Iba1, Ionized calcium-binding adaptor molecule 1;  $I\kappa B\alpha$ , nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells inhibitor alpha; NRF2, nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2; MnSOD, Manganese superoxide dismutase; HO1, Heme oxygenase 1; T(1, 2, 3), time (1, 2, 3); Tg, transgenic; CX, cortex; HIPP, hippocampus; STR, striatum; CER, cerebellum; AAV, adeno-associated virus; Glu, glutamatergic neurons; AAV-Glu-FAAH, Animals overexpressing FAAH in glutamatergic neurons; PFC, prefrontal cortex; ROS, reactive oxygen species; CD11b, Integrin alpha M; STD, standard-diet group; HFD, high-fat diet; TBI, traumatic brain injury; DCX, doublecortine; d, days; NT3, neurotrophin 3; SAMP8, Senescence Accelerated Mouse-prone 8; SAMR1, Senescence-Accelerated Mouse-Resistant 1; SP, Stimulation protocol; α-syn, α-synuclein; TLR-4, Toll-like receptor 4; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; CFA, Complete Freund's Adjuvant; TG2, Tissue type 2 transglutaminase; TREM2, Triggering Receptor Expressed On Myeloid Cells 2; ARG1, Arginase 1. Bold font emphasizes significant results.

#### 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.1038122

# References

1. Shinosaki K, Nishikawa T, Takeda M. Neurobiological basis of behavioral and psychological symptoms in dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* (2000) 54:611–20. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1819.2000.00773.x

2. Halliday GM, Leverenz JB, Schneider JS, Adler CH. The neurobiological basis of cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord*. (2014) 29:634–50. doi: 10.1002/mds.25857

3. Young JJ, Lavakumar M, Tampi D, Balachandran S, Tampi RR. Frontotemporal dementia: latest evidence and clinical implications. *Ther Adv Psychopharmacol.* (2018) 8:33–48. doi: 10.1177/2045125317739818

4. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5).* Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association (2013).

5. Fereshtehnejad SM, Vosoughi K, Heydarpour P, Sepanlou SG, Farzadfar F, Tehrani-Banihashemi A, et al. Burden of neurodegenerative diseases in the Eastern Mediterranean Region, 1990-2016: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Eur J Neurol.* (2019) 26:1252–65. doi: 10.1111/ene.13972

6. Collaborators GD. Global, regional, and national burden of Alzheimer's disease and other dementias, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Neurol.* (2019) 18:88-106. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(18)30403-4

7. Collaborators GDF. Estimation of the global prevalence of dementia in 2019 and forecasted prevalence in 2050: an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet Public Health*. (2022) 7:e105-e25. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00249-8

8. Kempuraj D, Thangavel R, Natteru PA, Selvakumar GP, Saeed D, Zahoor H, et al. Neuroinflammation Induces Neurodegeneration. *J Neurol Neurosurg Spine*. (2016) 1:1003–17.

9. Kwon HS, Koh SH. Neuroinflammation in neurodegenerative disorders: the roles of microglia and astrocytes. *Transl Neurodegener.* (2020) 9:42. doi: 10.1186/s40035-020-00221-2

10. Moss DE. Improving Anti-Neurodegenerative Benefits of Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitors in Alzheimer's Disease: Are Irreversible Inhibitors the Future? *Int J Mol Sci.* (2020) 21:3438–55. doi: 10.3390/ijms21103438

11. Guzman-Martinez L, Maccioni RB, Andrade V, Navarrete LP, Pastor MG, Ramos-Escobar N. Neuroinflammation as a Common Feature of Neurodegenerative Disorders. *Front Pharmacol.* (2019) 10:1008. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.01008

12. Kasatkina LA, Rittchen S, Sturm EM. Neuroprotective and immunomodulatory action of the endocannabinoid system under neuroinflammation. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:5431. doi: 10.3390/ijms22115431

13. Di Marzo V. 'Endocannabinoids' and other fatty acid derivatives with cannabimimetic properties: biochemistry and possible physiopathological relevance. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* (1998) 1392:153–75. doi: 10.1016/S0005-2760(98)00042-3

14. Rankin L, Fowler CJ. The Basal Pharmacology of Palmitoylethanolamide. Int J Mol Sci. (2020) 21:7942–62. doi: 10.3390/ijms21217942

15. Bortoletto R, Balestrieri M, Bhattacharyya S, Colizzi M. Is It Time to Test the Antiseizure Potential of Palmitoylethanolamide in Human Studies? A systematic review of preclinical evidence. *Brain Sci.* (2022) 12:101. doi: 10.3390/brainsci12010101

16. Lo Verme J, Fu J, Astarita G, La Rana G, Russo R, Calignano A, et al. The nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha mediates the anti-inflammatory actions of palmitoylethanolamide. *Mol Pharmacol.* (2005) 67:15–9. doi: 10.1124/mol.104.006353

17. Solorzano C, Zhu C, Battista N, Astarita G, Lodola A, Rivara S, et al. Selective N-acylethanolamine-hydrolyzing acid amidase inhibition reveals a key role for endogenous palmitoylethanolamide in inflammation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2009) 106:20966–71. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0907417106

18. Jaggar SI, Hasnie FS, Sellaturay S, Rice AS. The anti-hyperalgesic actions of the cannabinoid anandamide and the putative CB2 receptor agonist palmitoylethanolamide in visceral and somatic inflammatory pain. *Pain.* (1998) 76:189–99. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3959(98)00041-4

19. Pesce M, Seguella L, Cassarano S, Aurino L, Sanseverino W, Lu J, et al. Phytotherapics in COVID19: Why palmitoylethanolamide? *Phytother Res.* (2021) 35:2514–22. doi: 10.1002/ptr.6978

20. Scuderi C, Bronzuoli M, Facchinetti R, Pace L, Ferraro L, Broad K, et al. Ultramicronized palmitoylethanolamide rescues learning and memory impairments in a triple transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease by

exerting anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects. *Transl Psychiatry*. (2018) 8:32. doi: 10.1038/s41398-017-0076-4

21. Petrosino S, Di Marzo V. The pharmacology of palmitoylethanolamide and first data on the therapeutic efficacy of some of its new formulations. *Br J Pharmacol.* (2017) 174:1349–65. doi: 10.1111/bph.13580

22. Paterniti I, Cordaro M, Campolo M, Siracusa R, Cornelius C, Navarra M, et al. Neuroprotection by association of palmitoylethanolamide with luteolin in experimental Alzheimer's disease models: the control of neuroinflammation. *CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets*. (2014) 13:1530–41. doi: 10.2174/1871527313666140806124322

23. Altamura C, Ventriglia M, Martini MG, Montesano D, Errante Y, Piscitelli F, et al. Elevation of Plasma 2-Arachidonoylglycerol Levels in Alzheimer's Disease Patients as a Potential Protective Mechanism against Neurodegenerative Decline. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2015) 46:497–506. doi: 10.3233/JAD-142349

24. Caltagirone C, Cisari C, Schievano C, Di Paola R, Cordaro M, Bruschetta G, et al. Co-ultramicronized Palmitoylethanolamide/Luteolin in the Treatment of Cerebral Ischemia: from Rodent to Man. *Transl Stroke Res.* (2016) 7:54–69. doi: 10.1007/s12975-015-0440-8

25. Cipriano M, Esposito G, Negro L, Capoccia E, Sarnelli G, Scuderi C, et al. Palmitoylethanolamide Regulates Production of Pro-Angiogenic Mediators in a Model of beta Amyloid-Induced Astrogliosis *In vitro*. *CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets*. (2015) 14:828–37. doi: 10.2174/1871527314666150317224155

26. Brotini S, Schievano C, Guidi L. Ultra-micronized palmitoylethanolamide: an efficacious adjuvant therapy for Parkinson's Disease. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets. (2017) 16:705–13. doi: 10.2174/1871527316666170321124949

27. Assogna M, Casula EP, Borghi I, Bonnì S, Samà D, Motta C, et al. Effects of palmitoylethanolamide combined with luteoline on frontal lobe functions, high frequency oscillations, and GABAergic transmission in patients with frontotemporal dementia. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2020) 76:1297–308. doi: 10.3233/JAD-200426

28. Campolo M, Crupi R, Cordaro M, Cardali SM, Ardizzone A, Casili G, et al. Co-Ultra PEALut enhances endogenous repair response following moderate traumatic brain injury. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:8717. doi: 10.3390/ijms22168717

29. Scuderi C, Esposito G, Blasio A, Valenza M, Arietti P, Steardo L, et al. Palmitoylethanolamide counteracts reactive astrogliosis induced by  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide. J Cell Mol Med. (2011) 15:2664–74. doi: 10.1111/j.1582-4934.2011.01267.x

30. Benito C, Tolón RM, Castillo AI, Ruiz-Valdepeñas L, Martínez-Orgado JA, Fernández-Sánchez FJ, et al.  $\beta$ -Amyloid exacerbates inflammation in astrocytes lacking fatty acid amide hydrolase through a mechanism involving PPAR- $\alpha$ , PPAR- $\gamma$  and TRPV1, but not CB1 or CB<sub>2</sub> receptors. *Br J Pharmacol.* (2012) 166:1474–89. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2012.01889.x

31. D'Agostino G, Russo R, Avagliano C, Cristiano C, Meli R, Calignano A. Palmitoylethanolamide protects against the amyloid-β25-35-induced learning and memory impairment in mice, an experimental model of Alzheimer disease. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. (2012) 37:1784–92. doi: 10.1038/npp.2012.25

32. Scuderi C, Valenza M, Stecca C, Esposito G, Carratù MR, Steardo L. Palmitoylethanolamide exerts neuroprotective effects in mixed neuroglial cultures and organotypic hippocampal slices via peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α. J Neuroinflammation. (2012) 9:49. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-9-49

33. Scuderi C, Steardo L. Neuroglial roots of neurodegenerative diseases: therapeutic potential of palmitoylethanolamide in models of Alzheimer's disease. *CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets.* (2013) 12:62–9. doi: 10.2174/1871527311312010011

34. Scuderi C, Stecca C, Valenza M, Ratano P, Bronzuoli MR, Bartoli S, et al. Palmitoylethanolamide controls reactive gliosis and exerts neuroprotective functions in a rat model of Alzheimer's disease. *Cell Death Dis.* (2014) 5:e1419. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2014.376

35. Tomasini MC, Borelli AC, Beggiato S, Ferraro L, Cassano T, Tanganelli S, et al. Differential Effects of Palmitoylethanolamide against Amyloid- $\beta$  Induced Toxicity in Cortical Neuronal and Astrocytic Primary Cultures from Wild-Type and 3xTg-AD Mice. J Alzheimers Dis. (2015) 46:407–21. doi: 10.3233/JAD-143039

36. Siracusa R, Impellizzeri D, Cordaro M, Crupi R, Esposito E, Petrosino S, et al. Anti-Inflammatory and Neuroprotective Effects of Co-UltraPEALut in a Mouse Model of Vascular Dementia. *Front Neurol.* (2017) 8:233. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2017.00233

37. Beggiato S, Borelli AC, Ferraro L, Tanganelli S, Antonelli T, Tomasini MC. Palmitoylethanolamide blunts amyloid-β42-induced astrocyte activation and improves neuronal survival in primary mouse cortical astrocyte-neuron co-cultures. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2018) 61:389–99. doi: 10.3233/JAD-170699

38. Bronzuoli M, Facchinetti R, Steardo L, Romano A, Stecca C, Passarella S, et al. Palmitoylethanolamide dampens reactive astrogliosis and improves neuronal trophic support in a triple transgenic model of Alzheimer's Disease: *in vitro* and *in vivo* evidence. *Oxid Med Cell Longev*. (2018) 2018:14. doi: 10.1155/2018/4720532

39. Crupi R, Impellizzeri D, Cordaro M, Siracusa R, Casili G, Evangelista M, et al. N-palmitoylethanolamide Prevents Parkinsonian Phenotypes in Aged Mice. *Mol Neurobiol.* (2018) 55:8455–72. doi: 10.1007/s12035-018-0959-2

40. Boccella S, Marabese I, Iannotta M, Belardo C, Neugebauer V, Mazzitelli M, et al. Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 and 8 modulate the ameliorative effect of ultramicronized palmitoylethanolamide on cognitive decline associated with neuropathic pain. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2019) 20:1757–71. doi: 10.3390/ijms20071757

41. Boccella S, Cristiano C, Romano R, Iannotta M, Belardo C, Farina A, et al. Ultra-micronized palmitoylethanolamide rescues the cognitive decline-associated loss of neural plasticity in the neuropathic mouse entorhinal cortex-dentate gyrus pathway. *Neurobiol Dis.* (2019) 121:106–19. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2018.09.023

42. Impellizzeri D, Siracusa R, Cordaro M, Crupi R, Peritore AF, Gugliandolo E, et al. N-Palmitoylethanolamine-oxazoline (PEA-OXA): A new therapeutic strategy to reduce neuroinflammation, oxidative stress associated to vascular dementia in an experimental model of repeated bilateral common carotid arteries occlusion. *Neurobiol Dis.* (2019) 125:77–91. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2019.01.007

43. Piscitelli F, Coccurello R, Totaro A, Leuti A, Giacovazzo G, Verde R, et al. Targeted lipidomics investigation of N-acylethanolamines in a transgenic mouse model of AD: A longitudinal study. *Eur J Lipid Sci Technol.* (2019) 121:7. doi: 10.1002/ejlt.201900015

44. Zimmermann T, Bartsch JC, Beer A, Lomazzo E, Guggenhuber S, Lange MD, et al. Impaired anandamide/palmitoylethanolamide signaling in hippocampal glutamatergic neurons alters synaptic plasticity, learning, and emotional responses. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* (2019) 44:1377–88. doi: 10.1038/s41386-018-0274-7

45. Beggiato S, Cassano T, Ferraro L, Tomasini MC. Astrocytic palmitoylethanolamide pre-exposure exerts neuroprotective effects in astrocyteneuron co-cultures from a triple transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Life Sci.* (2020) 257:118037. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2020.118037

46. Beggiato S, Tomasini MC, Cassano T, Ferraro L. Chronic oral palmitoylethanolamide administration rescues cognitive deficit and reduces neuroinflammation, oxidative stress, and glutamate levels in A transgenic murine model of Alzheimer's Disease. J Clin Med. (2020) 9:428-46. doi: 10.3390/jcm9020428

47. Facchinetti R, Valenza M, Bronzuoli M, Menegoni G, Ratano P, Steardo L, et al. Looking for a treatment for the early stage of alzheimer's disease: preclinical evidence with co-ultramicronized palmitoylethanolamide and luteolin. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2020) 21:3802–18. doi: 10.3390/ijms21113802

48. Lama A, Pirozzi C, Annunziata C, Morgese MG, Senzacqua M, Severi I, et al. Palmitoylethanolamide counteracts brain fog improving depressive-like behaviour in obese mice: Possible role of synaptic plasticity and neurogenesis. *Br J Pharmacol.* (2021) 178:845–59. doi: 10.1111/bph.15071

49. Boccella S, Guida F, Iannotta M, Iannotti FA, Infantino R, Ricciardi F, et al. 2-Pentadecyl-2-oxazoline ameliorates memory impairment

and depression-like behaviour in neuropathic mice: possible role of adrenergic alpha2- and H3 histamine autoreceptors. *Mol Brain.* (2021) 14:28. doi: 10.1186/s13041-020-00724-z

50. D'Antongiovanni V, Pellegrini C, Antonioli L, Benvenuti L, Di Salvo C, Flori L, et al. Palmitoylethanolamide counteracts enteric inflammation and bowel motor dysfunctions in a mouse model of Alzheimer's Disease. *Front Pharmacol.* (2021) 12:748021. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.748021

51. Gaspar JC, Healy C, Ferdousi MI, Roche M, Finn DP. Pharmacological blockade of PPAR $\alpha$  exacerbates inflammatory pain-related impairment of spatial memory in rats. *Biomedicines*. (2021) 9:610. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines9060610

52. Gatta N, Parente A, Guida F, Maione S, Gentile V. Neuronutraceuticals Modulate Lipopolysaccharide- or Amyloid-beta 1-42 Peptide-Induced Transglutaminase 2 Overexpression as a Marker of Neuroinflammation in Mouse Microglial Cells. *Appl Sci.* (2021) 11:5718–28. doi: 10.3390/ app11125718

53. Colizzi M, Bortoletto R, Costa R, Zoccante L. Palmitoylethanolamide and Its biobehavioral correlates in autism spectrum disorder: a systematic review of human and animal evidence. *Nutrients.* (2021) 13:1346. doi: 10.3390/nu13041346

54. West S, King V, Carey TS, Lohr KN, McKoy N, Sutton SF, et al. Systems to rate the strength of scientific evidence. *Evid Rep Technol Assess.* (2002) 1–11.

55. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials revisited. *Contemp Clin Trials*. (2015) 45(Pt A):139–45. doi: 10.1016/j.cct.2015.09.002

56. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res.* (1975) 12:189–98. doi: 10.1016/0022-3956(75)90026-6

57. Landolfo E, Cutuli D, Petrosini L, Caltagirone C. Effects of palmitoylethanolamide on neurodegenerative diseases: a review from rodents to humans. *Biomolecules*. (2022) 12:667. doi: 10.3390/biom12050667

58. Hampel H, Mesulam MM, Cuello AC, Farlow MR, Giacobini E, Grossberg GT, et al. The cholinergic system in the pathophysiology and treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Brain.* (2018) 141:1917–33. doi: 10.1093/brain/awy132

59. Yoshiyama Y, Kojima A, Ishikawa C, Arai K. Anti-inflammatory action of donepezil ameliorates tau pathology, synaptic loss, and neurodegeneration in a tauopathy mouse model. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2010) 22:295–306. doi: 10.3233/JAD-2010-100681

60. Citraro R, Russo E, Scicchitano F, van Rijn CM, Cosco D, Avagliano C, et al. Antiepileptic action of N-palmitoylethanolamine through CB1 and PPAR- $\alpha$  receptor activation in a genetic model of absence epilepsy. *Neuropharmacology.* (2013) 69:115–26. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2012.11.017

61. Abedini T, Hosseyni R, Ghannadi F, Sanjari Moghaddam H, Khodaei Ardakani MR, Talaei A, et al. Efficacy and safety of palmitoylethanolamide as an adjunctive treatment for acute mania: a randomized, double-blind and placebo-controlled trial. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* (2022) 76:505–11. doi: 10.1111/pcn.13441

62. Salehi A, Namaei P, TaghaviZanjani F, Bagheri S, Moradi K, Khodaei Ardakani MR, et al. Adjuvant palmitoylethanolamide therapy with risperidone improves negative symptoms in patients with schizophrenia: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. *Psychiatry Res.* (2022) 316:114737. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2022.114737