#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ming Wai Wan, The University of Manchester, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Jonna Bobzien, Old Dominion University, United States Valentina Riva, Eugenio Medea (IRCCS), Italy Angela Caruso, National Institute of Health (ISS), Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE HongZhu Deng denghzh@mail.sysu.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Autism, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

RECEIVED 12 March 2022 ACCEPTED 29 June 2022 PUBLISHED 22 July 2022

#### CITATION

Lv S, Xing Y, Xu Y, Liu L, Zhu H, Ye Q, Wang C, Zou X and Deng H (2022) The role of caregiver gestures and gesture-related responses of toddlers with autism spectrum disorder. *Front. Psychiatry* 13:895029. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.895029

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Lv, Xing, Xu, Liu, Zhu, Ye, Wang, Zou and Deng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# The role of caregiver gestures and gesture-related responses of toddlers with autism spectrum disorder

# ShaoLi Lv, Yu Xing, YanTing Xu, LinRu Liu, HuiLin Zhu, QianYing Ye, ChunMei Wang, XiaoBing Zou and HongZhu Deng\*

Child Development and Behavior Center, Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is characterized by social communicative abnormalities. Deficits and delays in gestural communication are among the early deficits of ASD and also a major social modality in early caregiver-toddler interaction. Caregiver gestures have an important role in the cognitive and social development of children with ASD. Thus, it is urgent to further explore the role of caregiver gestures in early caregiver-toddler interaction. In this cross-sectional study, we observed the caregivers' gestures and responses of toddlers aged between 18 and 24 months during play (ASD = 44, TD = 29) and dining activities (ASD = 34, TD = 27). By observing the different frequencies and patterns of gestures by the caregiver-child interaction and the different proportions of children's responses to the caregiver's gestures, we found that, compared to caregivers of typically developing toddlers, caregivers of toddlers with ASD had fewer synchronized gestures and more unsynchronized gestures in the play activity and more supplementary gestures in dining activity. Toddlers with ASD produced more social responses to caregivers' synchronized gestures, whereas the use of synchronized gestures by the caregivers in caregiver-toddler interaction had a positive influence on social responses to toddlers with ASD. The findings suggest that effective use of gestures by caregivers during caregiver-toddler activities can improve children's social responses.

#### KEYWORDS

autism spectrum disorder, caregiver-toddler interaction, caregiver's gesture, social response, synchronization

# Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder defined by social communication deficits and repetitive and restricted behaviors and is induced by the complex interaction between neural susceptibility and behavior (1). An toddlers' behavior is significantly influenced by the social environment, and because the primary early social environment predominantly comprises caregiver-toddler interaction (CTI),

CTI may have a significant effect on the onset and development of this disorder (2). In particular, caregiver gestures are an important social modality in CTI and have a positive influence on attracting toddlers' social attention and learning from social experiences, whereas toddlers' social responses influence caregiver gestures to produce adaptive changes, thus influencing CTI in both directions (3). Therefore, an in-depth exploration of the role of caregiver gestures in early childhood social experience may potentially contribute to the early guidance of

ASD caregiver-toddler interventions. Gestures are a stable source of visual information in social communication and provide a positive aid to the development of language comprehension, problem-solving, and subsequent learning skills in socially competent speakers or listeners (4). Different from young adults, gestures can serve as alternative channels of expression to compensate for the limitations of toddlers' language and reflect developmental changes in toddlers' cognitive processes. The gesture input toddlers receive from their caregivers has a ripple effect on their language and social development (3, 5). The use and effects of gestures by caregivers on toddlers with ASD have partially been reported in the past. Caregivers of toddlers with ASD use gestures with similar frequency as caregivers of typically developing (TD) toddlers (6, 7), and some studies have reported that caregivers of toddlers with ASD produce more gestures than caregivers of TD toddlers (3). Additionally, the types of gestures and their combinations with speech have been partially reported. During play activities, the frequency of gesture types (deictic, conventional, and representational gestures) and gesture-speech combinations were similar between caregivers of toddlers with ASD and TD (8, 9). Some studies have reported that mothers of toddlers at heightened risk for ASD use more directive gestures and fewer requesting gestures (10). Studies have also explored the effects of caregivers' gestures on toddlers with ASD language and social skills, including facilitation and prediction of language comprehension and expression (11-13), increasing toddlers' compliance and sharing during play (10).

In summary, the existing literature included investigations of the number of caregiver gestures and contribution of caregiver gestures to children's language development, but few studies have reported the effects of caregiver gestures on children's social responses and social participation in CTI. Further, previous studies have mainly reported on the characteristics and effects of mothers' gestures during play activities, but information on caregivers' gestures during different early family activities is lacking. As for TD children, parental behaviors can promote the development of children with ASD (14). Responsive parenting that follows the child's guidance and focus of attention predicts language and social gains in children with and without developmental risk (15). Additionally, responsive parenting styles predict the total time of child-initiated joint engagement, and children's social behaviors are associated with child-initiated engagement (16). Early quantity, diversity, and grammatical informativeness of verb input in follow-in utterances (i.e., utterances that map onto child attentional leads) are significantly associated with later child expressive verb vocabulary (17). Toddlers and toddlers diagnosed with ASD later often display hyporeactivity to sensory stimuli, which has also been associated with lower child communication abilities, but increased caregiver verbal responsiveness may attenuate this negative effect (18). In the context of spatial activities (puzzle play), caregivers use spatial language and gestures to improve their child's spatial skills, whereas parental spatial talk is related to the child's later spatial skills (19).

Previous studies on CTI have reported the effects of caregivers' behaviors on developmental abilities and disorder symptoms in children with ASD, whereas fewer articles have reported on the responses of children with ASD to their caregivers' behaviors during CTI. Choi et al. investigated gestures that caregivers used with 12-, 18-, and 24-month-old infants at high or low risk for ASD and reported that caregivers of three groups gestured in similar frequencies and proportions (8). However, there is a lack of specific analyses of toddlers' differential reciprocal social responses to caregivers' behaviors.

Several studies have reported the positive effects of increased synchronization (responsiveness to the toddlers' interest and behavior) of CTI on children's social interaction. Caregivers of toddlers with ASD whose behaviors were more responsive to the toddler's ongoing interests and activities during early play interaction would accordingly result in toddlers who developed better joint attention and language (20, 21). At-risk toddlers with higher binary synchrony and toddler reactivity at 12 months achieved significantly higher receptive and expressive language (EL) scores at 36 months (22). The importance of interpersonal synchronization in ASD is supported by studies of motor, physiological, and neural synchrony. For example, reduced levels of repetitive behavior were previously reported in children who demonstrated increased hand motor coherence in hand clapping competitions with the experimenter (23). Additionally, reduced electrical skin, heart rate, and neural synchronizations were reported in binary interaction between ASD and caregivers (24, 25). However, there is a lack of studies on specific synchronous behaviors of caregivers, including synchronous non-verbal and verbal ones.

In summary, this study observed CTI in terms of caregiver gestures and children's specific responses to caregiver gestures. The following hypotheses were proposed in this study: 1. The frequency and use patterns of different gestures by caregiver of ASD differ, and synchronized gesture use by caregiver of ASD may be less; 2. toddlers with ASD may respond differently to caregiver gestures in different activities, and the use of different gesture types by caregivers during play or dining activities may cause toddlers to have different social responses; 3. The use of gestures in caregiver-toddler interaction by caregivers will influence toddlers to produce different social responses.

	Play activities		Dining activities	
	ASD $(n = 44)$	TD $(n = 29)$	ASD $(n = 34)$	TD $(n = 27)$
Caregiver characteristics				
Caregiver age in years, mean (SD)	36.48 (6.74)	38.16 (7.62)	36.81 (7.05)	37.98 (7.68)
Caregiving time, mean (SD)	5.31 (2.62)	4.93 (2.98)	5.45 (2.66)	4.79 (2.75)
Caregiver education, <i>n</i> (%)	16 (36.36)	16 (55.17)	11 (32.35)	13 (48.15)
Household Income, n (%)	20 (45.45)	14 (48.28)	19 (55.88)	13 (48.15)
Caregiver, parents, $n$ (%)	32 (72.73)	18 (62.07)	24 (70.59)	17 (62.96)
Toddler characteristics				
Children age in months, mean (SD)	20.61 (2.30)	20.14 (2.15)	20.85 (2.34)	20.37 (2.26)
Child's sex, boys, n (%)	32 (72.73)	14 (48.28)	24 (70.59)	12 (44.44)
Mullen, mean (SD)				
VR T-score	39.75 (10.72)	54.90 (10.41)	40.32 (10.92)	56.48 (9.93)
FM T-score	42.80 (9.07)	51.34 (6.35)	43.59 (9.54)	52.37 (5.31)
RL T-score	35.52 (15.54)	58.90 (11.06)	36.88 (15.83)	60.04 (10.30)
EL T-score	30.50 (11.07)	42.90 (10.07)	31.41 (12.03)	43.78 (10.05)
ADOS-T CSS	5.91 (1.65)	2.28 (0.88)	5.97 (1.73)	2.26 (0.90)

#### TABLE 1 Participant characteristics.

Caregiving time indicates the average care time of the two caregivers. Educational qualifications indicate that both caregivers have a bachelor's degree or higher. Parental caregivers indicate that the child's two caregivers are his or her parents. Household income is monthly income of the following:  $\frac{2}{3},000-\frac{2}{5},500,\frac{2}{5},500-\frac{2}{2},000,\frac{2}{5},300-\frac{$ 



Caregiver-toddler interaction video scene setup and context. Both of the two caregivers complete the video of play and dining activities with their children.

We observed two major caregiver-toddler activities in early childhood, including play activities and daily routines. Further, co-parenting is becoming a common phenomenon in China, and most toddlers and toddlers are raised by at least two caregivers (26). Based on the social context of early childhood in China, and in order to have a more comprehensive picture of the interaction between caregivers and young children, we compared the use of caregiver gestures and toddlers' responses to gestures between the TD and ASD groups by examining dyadic play and dining activities between 18- and 24-monthold toddlers and their two caregivers. Our study questions were as follows:

a. Do different types of gestures produce atypical usage patterns among caregivers of children with ASD in different caregiver-toddler activities, including different types of social functions, types of speech combination, and synchronized and unsynchronized gestures?

- b. Do atypical response patterns of children with ASD to caregiver gestures in different caregiver-toddler activities exist?
- c. Does a correlation between children with ASD's social response to caregiver gestures and caregiver gestures in dyadic interaction exist?

# Materials and methods

#### Participants

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University. The ASD group was recruited from the developmental behavior clinical unit and through the program's webpage. The TD group was recruited from the Department of Child Healthcare after health counseling or medical examination. Written informed consent was obtained from all families. Participants consisted of families among which toddlers were classified as TD or ASD groups. Participants in the ASD group met the following inclusion criteria: (a) chronologic age between 18 and 24 months, (b) meeting the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders criteria for ASD according to an expert clinician, and (c) the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule-Toddler Module (ADOS-T) suggesting that clinical concern was mild to moderate or moderate to severe. A group of chronological age-matched TD children was recruited. The exclusion criteria included the following: serious neurological or physical conditions and diagnosis of Rett syndrome, cerebral palsy, or other congenital disorders. In addition, because toddlers are often shared by two caregivers, to achieve a complete picture of the toddler's early CTI, each child was required to have two caregivers, residing with the child continuously since birth. Since some of the enrolled families did not complete all the videos, we report information only for the families who completed the play and dining videos separately. Demographic information for the TD and ASD groups is provided in Table 1.

### Activities

All children were assessed using the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL) for non-verbal and verbal abilities (27). Toddlers were evaluated for ASD symptoms using ADOS-T. Notably, although the current recommendation for the average age of diagnosis of ASD is 3 years, however, the previous literature has reported that ASD was independently confirmed at age 3 years in 82.6 and 91.8% of young children diagnosed with ASD at 18 months and 24 months, respectively (28). TABLE 2 Caregiver gestures and types of caregivers.

Types of caregiver gestures	Definition	Examples			
Communication	functions				
Behavior	A gestural act used to	Pointing to the blocks on			
regulation	manage the behavior of	the ground and requesting			
	another person.	the child to pick it up			
Social	A gestural act used to attract	Hand movements to play			
interaction	or maintain the attention of	"peek-a-boo"; waving hi oi			
	another for social purposes.	bye-bye			
Joint attention	A gestural act used to direct	Pointing and looking to th			
	or share another person's	blocks			
	attention to an object or				
	event.				
Gesture-speech co	ombinations				
Reinforcing	Gesture conveyed	"Block" + point at block			
gestures	information that was				
	redundant with speech				
Disambiguating	Gesture clarified a	"This" + point at block			
gestures	pronominal, demonstrative				
	or demonstrative referent in				
	speech				
Supplementary	Gesture added semantic	"Yellow" + point at block			
gestures	information to the message				
	conveyed in speech				
Gesture synchron	ization				
Synchronized	A gesture in synchrony with	The mother points to the			
gesture	the object or activity that	car the child is playing wit			
	the children is attending to	and says, "yellow car".			
Unsynchronized	A gesture that is not	The mother points to the			
gesture	synchronized with the	car the toddler is playing			
	object or activity the	with and says, "yellow car"			
	children is attending to				

The participating families were instructed to complete four CTI sessions: semi-structured free play activities at the hospital and dining activities at home with two caregivers. All activities were recorded on video for 7 min. The first phase during the first min of the play/dining activities, known as the adaptation phase, allowed the toddlers to adapt to their surrounding environment, the caregiver's placement of them, and adjustment of shooting equipment time. No coding assessment was performed in this phase. Play activities were conducted in a  $3 \times 2$  m<sup>2</sup> carpeted game room. The room contained a set of toys, including a car, music box, pop-up toy, set of boxes, eight textured blocks, eight building blocks, and eight plastic snowflakes. At the start of each session, we instructed caregivers to select any three toys and then engage in a typical "at-home" play session. Dining activities



TABLE 3 Gesture frequency of caregivers caring for toddlers with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and typically developing (TD) toddlers during different activities.

Caregiver gestures types $M$ (SD)	Play activity		Dining activity	
	ASD $(n = 44)$	TD $(n = 29)$	ASD $(n = 34)$	TD $(n = 27)$
Total gestures	24.14 (8.25)	23.62 (8.13)	11.44 (7.08)	8.56 (3.88)
Communicative function				
Behavior regulation	11.02 (5.11)	11.79 (5.74)	3.97 (3.16)	4.04 (2.10)
Social interaction	0.93 (1.28)	0.93 (0.96)	1.00 (1.54)	0.85 (1.32)
Joint attention	12.55 (5.51)	11.34 (4.64)	5.17 (4.99)	4.00 (2.81)
Gesture-speech combination				
Reinforcing gestures	7.48 (4.83)	6.21 (3.79)	4.09 (3.23)	3.70 (3.23)
Disambiguating gestures	5.55 (3.33)	6.41 (3.77)	1.76 (2.12)	1.70 (1.35)
Supplementary gestures	10.39 (4.38)	9.66 (4.75)	5.41 (3.82)	2.78 (1.25)#
Gesture synchronization				
Synchronized gesture	8.84 (5.38)	14.14 (4.42)#	6.29 (3.75)	5.11 (3.47)
Unsynchronized gesture	15.48 (6.86)	9.73 (5.84)#	5.41 (4.44)	3.67 (2.47)

Data are reported as group means with standard deviations in parentheses. <sup>#</sup>Adjusted p < 0.005.

the home setting. The video-taker was instructed to capture the following: (a) the faces of the toddler and caregiver, (b) the hands of the toddler and caregiver, and (c) the toys/utensils used. Background noises (e.g., television, open window) were avoided as much as possible (Figure 1). Play activities were recorded by the researcher and dining activities were recorded by family members who were familiar with the children.

Play and dining activities were selected because of the following reasons: (1) the increasing number of interventions emphasizing the importance of natural situations (29, 30) and early dyadic social activities for toddlers mainly include games and daily routines, of which dining is one of the important and indispensable routines; (2) the two activities cover different patterns of CTI during this period (including differences in behavior and sensory stimulus input patterns on both sides of the interaction and dining activities) based on toddlers' physiological protection mechanisms and requirements for nutritional intake, involve fewer objects, and are usually caregiver-led, whereas play activities include

more child behavior patterns and sensory information input from different objects and are usually not caregiverled; and (3) consider achieving more specific guidance on caregiver gestures in the clinic, structured dining activities may be more helpful in guiding the use of gestures by the caregivers.

#### Measures

# The autism diagnostic observation schedule-toddler module

The ADOS-T is used for toddlers aged 12–30 months and consists of two domains: social affect and restricted repetitive behaviors. Using the algorithm, the following ranges of concerns were identified: little to no concern, mild to moderate concern, and moderate to severe concern (31, 32). The calibrated severity score (CSS) of the ADOS was used to assess the severity of individual modules (33).



#### The mullen scales of early learning: AGS edition

Toddlers' developmental levels were assessed using the MSEL, a measure that comprises four scales: VR, FM, RL, and EL (27). The two non-verbal scales (VR and FM) were averaged to obtain a non-verbal score, and the two verbal scales (RL and EL) were averaged to obtain a verbal score. T-score cutoff values were based on standard deviations and 95% score between 70 and 130, and T-scores  $\leq$  30 on any scale are indicative of significant delay and warrant early intervention.

#### Dyadic interaction coding

The videos of CTI were coded on a per-second basis. All videos entered the coding from the second min of the interaction, and the duration was 6 min. No third-party interaction with caregivers or toddlers other than video were coded. We standardized the manually coded indicators for statistical analysis. The specific treatments were as follows: (1) caregiver gesture frequency, the number of caregiver gestures per 6 min of dyadic interaction (i.e., the total number of caregiver gestures divided by total time and multiplied by 6), and (2) the proportion of toddler's social response, the number of each response type that was divided by the number of all responses recorded in CTI. Video coding of caregiver gestures and toddler responses was performed using ELAN software (34).

#### **Caregiver gestures**

The caregiver gesture codes included the following: the frequency of gestures, frequency of different types of gestures, different communication functions (35), and different gesture-speech combinations (36). In addition to the above types, we further classified caregiver gestures according to a previously established description of synchronized behavior (20): synchronized gesture, in which the caregiver makes a gesture in synchrony with the object or activity that the toddler is attending to, and unsynchronized gesture, in which the caregiver makes a gesture that is not synchronized with the



#### FIGURE 4

toddlers' responses to supplementary gestures in dining activities. Toddler responses include toddler responses into the following: gesture-related attentional disengaging (GAD), gesture-related gestures (GGs), gesture-related actions (GAs), gesture-related language (GL), gesture-related integrative responses (GIs) and non-GAD, non-GGs, non-GAs (NGAs), non-GL (NGL), and non-GIs, with error bars showing  $\pm$ standard error. #Adjusted  $\rho < 0.005$ .



object or activity the toddler is attending to. The synchronization of caregiver gestures with the toddler's attention included the following: (1) temporal overlap, gestures occurring between the time the toddler initiated and ended attention to the object, and (2) content overlap, gestures toward the same object or thing as the toddler's attention (Table 2).

#### **Toddler responses**

Toddler responses were coded within 3 s after the caregiver gesture was provided until the time the gesture ended (37). Referring to the concept of correlated response proposed by Kadlaskar et al. (38), responses were categorized as follows: noresponse, where the toddler continued to perform activities after the caregivers' gestures appeared; gesture-related responses, where the toddler generated responses after the caregiver gesture was provided, including temporal overlap, where toddlers' responses appeared within 3 s after the gesture was provided, and content overlap, where the toddler developed a gesturerelated response (Figure 2); and non-gesture-related responses, where the toddler developed a response after the caregiver gesture was provided, but not related to the communicative message intended by the gesture. We classified toddler responses into the following: gesture-related attentional disengaging (GAD), gesture-related gestures (GGs), gesture-related actions (GAs), gesture-related language (GL), gesture-related integrative

responses (GIs) and non-GAD, non-GGs (NGGs), non-GAs (NGAs), non-GL, and non-GIs (see Supplementary Material for details of toddler response types).

#### Coding reliability

After reliability had been established using training videos, two coders independently coded the videos and overlapped 20% of the randomly selected videos to calculate interrater reliability. Interrater reliability was calculated using intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). The agreement for caregiver gesture types was 0.982 (range, 0.975–0.987), and the proportion agreement for toddler response and classification of response were as follows: toddler response [ICC = 0.982 (range, 0.967–0.990)], gesturerelated response [ICC = 0.964 (range, 0.944–0.976)], and nongesture-related response [ICC = 0.920 (range, 0.878–0.948)]. For any disagreements, the researchers watched segments for which there was any disagreement and reached a consensus decision, which was then used for analysis.

#### Data analyses

To verify possible differences in the distribution of sample variable groups and caregiver gestures in different activities, *t*-tests or non-parametric tests were conducted for continuous variables and chi-squared tests were conducted for categorical variables. To further compare differences in children's social responses to caregiver gestures. Based on the 50th percentile of use of gestures by the two caregivers, we divided the CTI between the two caregivers and toddler in the same activity into Group I (caregivers who use gestures more than 50th percentile) and Group II (caregivers who used gestures <50th percentile). Finally, we performed a multiple linear regression analysis to enter the demographic characteristics, toddlers' abilities, and the caregivers' gesture variables into the model to determine the strength of the correlation between the caregivers' gestures and the toddlers' responses.

Since the purpose of this study was to explore the effects of gestures as early social information input to children and no significant differences were observed in the basic information of the two caregivers, the gestures of both caregivers were considered to be a uniform source of social information learning for the children. Therefore, we used the two caregivers' gestural means to compare caregivers' gestural input across activities without distinguishing and comparing the use of different caregivers' gestures in the same activity. We corrected for all Bonferroni *p*-values.

# Results

Finally, 73 families (ASD = 44, TD = 29) completed the video of play activities; 61 families (ASD = 34, TD = 27) completed the videos of dining activities. The two groups did not differ in toddlers' physiological age, sex, caregiver age, caregiving time, education, or household income. We also compared the two groups of caregivers who were both caregivers, and no significant differences were found (play:  $\chi^2 = 0.920$ , p =0.337; dining:  $\chi^2 = 0.397$ , p = 0.529). According to Mullen's definition of developmental delay (T scores of  $\leq$  30), ASD showed significant delay in terms of language abilities, including receptive language (RL) (play:  $\chi^2 = 18.156$ , p < 0.01, df = 1; dining:  $\chi_2 = 15.796$ , p < 0.01, df = 1) and EL (play:  $\chi^2 =$ 29.937, p < 0.01, df = 1; dining:  $\chi^2 = 25.432$ , p < 0.01, df = 1). In terms of non-verbal abilities, among toddlers with ASD who participated in play and meal activities, 8 and 6 had VR delays and 4 and 3 had FM delays, respectively. And most toddlers in both groups had non-verbal abilities at normal levels, including visual reception (VR) (play:  $\chi^2 = 5.922$ , p = 0.015, df = 1; dining:  $\chi^2 = 5.284$ , p = 0.022, df = 1) and fine motor (FM) (play:  $\chi^2 = 2.789$ , p = 0.095, df = 1; dining:  $\chi^2 = 2.506$ , p =0.113, df = 1). Toddler with ASD scored higher the calibrated severity score (CSS) of the ADOS-T than TD (Table 1).

### Caregiver gesture

To solve the first question, we compared the frequencies of the total number of caregiver gestures, different gesture communication function types, gesture-speech combinations types, and gesture synchronization in CTI. As shown in Table 3, caregivers of toddlers with ASD and TD produced a similar total frequency of gestures during play (Z = -0.051, p = 0.959, df = 71) and dining (Z = -1.456, p = 0.146, df = 59) activities. For speech-gesture combinations, there was no significant difference in reinforcing and disambiguating gestures between the two groups (Bonferroni, p > 0.005). However, notably, the frequency of supplementary gestures in the ASD group was higher than that in the TD group during dining activity (Z = -2.895, p = 0.004, df = 59). During play activity, significant differences were observed between the two groups regarding synchronized gestures (t = -4.407, Bonferroni, p < 0.005, df = 71) and unsynchronized gestures (Z = -3.642, Bonferroni, p < 0.005, df = 71), indicating fewer synchronized gestures but more unsynchronized gestures from caregivers of children with ASD than TD. However, during dining activity, there were no significant differences regarding these two types of gestures in

either of the two activities. This indicated that different types of gestures were used by the caregiver in different activities.

#### Toddler response

# Response proportion in different activities for the two groups

We first explored whether the proportion of no-responses, gesture-related responses, and non-gesture-related responses categories differed between the two groups. There were significant group differences in proportion of no-responses and gesture-related responses, indicating that toddlers with ASD had a significantly greater proportion of no-responses (play activity: t = 4.502, Bonferroni, p < 0.005, df = 71; dining activity: Z = -3.277, Bonferroni, p < 0.005, df = 59) and lower gesturerelated responses to caregiver gestures compared to TD toddlers (play activity: t = -6.122, Bonferroni, p < 0.005, df = 71; dining activity: t = -4.033, Bonferroni, p < 0.005, df = 59) (Figure 3). However, there were no significant differences in the proportion of non-gesture-related responses (play activity: Z = -1.809, p =0.071, df = 71; dining activity: Z = -1.341, p = 0.180, df = 59) between the two groups. This suggests that toddlers in the ASD group were less responsive to caregiver gestures compared to TD toddlers when they were engaged in CTI.

We next examined whether the proportion of each gesturerelated response type (GAD, GGs, GL, GAs, GIs) differed between the two groups. Results indicated a significant difference in the proportion of GAD, GL, GA, and GI responses across the two groups, indicating that toddlers with ASD displayed significantly more GAD (Z= -3.861, Bonferroni, p < 0.005, df = 71) and fewer GL (Z = -2.982, p = 0.003, df = 71), GAs (Z = -3.079, p = 0.002, df = 71), and GIs (Z = -3.083, p= 0.002, df = 71) compared to TD toddlers during play activity. However, during dining activity, the ASD group produced significantly fewer GAs compared to the TD group (Z = -3.594, Bonferroni, p < 0.005, df = 59). This suggests that toddlers with ASD respond differently to caregiver gestures in different activities. Further, toddlers with ASD mainly showed attentional disengaging and gesture responses to caregiver gestures, whereas TD toddlers showed more language, action, and integrative responses than toddlers with ASD.

# Respose proportion to different frequencies of gesture use in activities

The above results suggested that toddlers with ASD may respond differently to synchronized and unsynchronized gestures from caregivers during play activities, and that TD toddlers may respond differently to supplementary gestures during dining activities. We therefore further analyzed the children's responses to the three gestures during two activities. Groupings were made according to the frequency of the two

caregivers' gestures used to explore the differences in toddlers' social responses to caregiver gestures during two activities in both the ASD and TD groups. Based on the 50th percentile of use of gestures by the two caregivers, two caregivers and toddler in the same activity into group I ( $n_{synchronized}$  = 43,  $n_{unsynchronized}$  = 39,  $n_{supplementary}$  = 25) and group II  $(n_{synchronized} = 45, n_{unsynchronized} = 49, n_{supplementary} = 43).$ Toddlers with ASD produced a significantly greater proportion of GGs (Z = -2.879, p = 0.004, df = 86), GAs (Z = -2.856, p= 0.004, df = 86), and GIs (Z = -3.947, Bonferroni, p < 0.005, df = 86) in synchronized gestures group I than those in group II during play activity, whereas the unsynchronized gestures in group I had significantly more NGGs (Z = -3.634, p < 0.005, df = 86) and NGAs (Z = -2.869, p = 0.004, df = 86) than group II. In dining activities, TD toddlers in supplementary gesture group I had significantly more GIs (Z = -2.973, p = 0.003, df = 66) than group II (Figure 4).

Overall, caregivers of toddlers with ASD who used synchronized gestures during play activities produced more gesture-related responses (GGs, GAs, GIs) and increased non-gesture-related responses (NGGs, NGAs) with unsynchronized gestures. In addition, caregivers of TD toddlers produced more GIs using supplementary gestures during dining activities.

# Correlation between toddler response and caregiver gesture

We combined the differences in caregiver gestures and toddler responses to caregiver gestures between the two groups. To examine the relationship between caregiver gestures and toddler responses during CTI, synchronized and asynchronized gestures of the caregivers during play activities were entered into a series of three regression models predicting toddlers' social responses in CTI. The caregivers' synchronized gestures were entered into a series of three regression models predicting toddler's no-response in play activities. Model 1 included demographic information parameters (toddler's age, sex). Model 2 included toddler parameters (non-verbal score, verbal score, and ADOS CSS). The final model 3 included caregiver synchronized gestures. Compared to models 1 and 2, model 3 (the addition of caregiver synchronized gestures:  $\beta = -0.708$ , t = -2.505, p = 0.015, df = 1) accounted for a significantly greater portion of toddlers' no-response variance ( $R^2$  change = 0.034, F change = 6.277, *p* = 0.015, df = 71, Figure 5). Similar to no-response, model 3 applied to the GA and GI responses in play activities did not reveal significance with synchronized gestures (p > 0.015). The results of the regressions indicated that caregiver synchronized gestures predicted no-response of ASD during play activity.

### Discussion

We examined the characteristics of caregiver gestures and toddlers' responses to caregiver gestures in early family environments and the relationship between caregiver gestures and toddlers' social responses. Our results indicate that caregivers of toddlers with ASD used different types of gestures in different activities, whereas children with ASD had different types of responses to caregivers in both activities. In addition, caregivers used synchronized gestures during play and supplementary gestures during dining, which increased the gesture-related responses exhibited by toddlers with ASD. Finally, for ASD CTI, synchronized gestures by the playful caregiver effectively predicted toddlers' social responses. We discuss possible explanations for the pattern of caregiver gesture use as a key behavior in early CTI.

First, we observed that the overall frequency of gesture use was similar between the two groups, consistent with previous studies that reported ASD and TD caregivers use a similar number of gestures (11, 39). We also observed that caregivers of toddlers with ASD used fewer synchronized gestures and more unsynchronized gestures during play activities and more supplementary gestures during dining activities. This extends previous evidence on caregiver gesture types into a new domain, as previous reports on caregiver gestures were recorded only in play settings and mostly involved gesture patterns (7, 8). In this study, multi-scenario and different gesture type reports were relevant. We observed that the use of unsynchronized gestures by caregivers of toddlers with ASD in games was mostly used to instruct toddlers to pay attention to and recognize novel items. Relatively consistent with this result is a study on parenting instructional styles, which reported that in situations where toddlers have fewer functional games and behaviors, caregivers of toddlers with ASD dominate more interaction and do not make the dyad more mutual (40, 41). Therefore, the use of unsynchronized gestures in caregivers of toddlers with ASD may not be beneficial.

Supplementary gestures during dining are mostly used to further add clarification to toddlers' concerns and are a more complex pattern of gesture-speech combinations than reinforcing and disambiguating gestures. Most studies report no group differences in the number of gesture-verbal combinations provided to toddlers by their caregivers during play activities (7, 13). In contrast, this study's reporting of supplementary gestures in everyday situations (dining activities) may reveal caregivers' verbal fine-tuning, according to their toddlers' communicative needs in different situations. The present findings revealed group differences in caregiver gestures across contexts that may reflect the specific effects of caregiver gestures on early CTI. Second, in gesture-related responses, ASD is associated with more attentional disengaging and less language, actions, and integrative responses, reflecting a marked lag in the development of social skills for this age group (28, 42). Although different from previous assessments of children's competence development, observations of children's responses to caregiver gestures may serve as a way to gain initial insight into the early social skill levels of children with ASD.

Third, although the poor response to gestures by toddlers with ASD is associated with a lack of interest in social stimuli (43, 44), our study provides evidence for the possibility of increasing social responses in ASD through effective use of caregiver gestures. In the context of low CTI response in the early stages of ASD (41, 45), we observed that the use of synchronized gestures by the caregiver increased gesturerelated responses in toddlers with ASD, whereas unsynchronized gestures increased gesture-unrelated responses, suggesting that using synchronized gestures may be a key behavior in promoting toddlers' engagement in CTI. We also observed that caregivers of toddlers with ASD use synchronized gestures mostly to indicate and demonstrate to toddlers how to manipulate objects during play and to respond to toddlers' needs in a timely manner. This suggests that carefully following toddlers' interests during CTI and responding to their communication behaviors in a timely manner are effective ways to provide synchronized gestures to toddlers with ASD. Evidence related to this result included a report on synchronous behaviors of parenting to promote joint attention and engagement in ASD that indicated that parental responses to toddlers' attention and activities during play was positive for toddler initiations with caregiver and for caregiver-toddler shared attention (21, 46). Therefore, the study of synchronized gestures provides specific ways for caregivers to increase synchronous behavior in children.

Finally, by examining basic toddler information developmental and symptom levels, we observed that synchronized caregiver gestures were associated with no response in interaction with ASD, suggesting that more synchronized gestures by the caregiver may be associated with reduced non-responsiveness from children.

Although previous studies have addressed the effects of synchronous caregiver behavior on children's developmental abilities and disorder symptoms (25, 47), our study provides further preliminary scientific evidence for the use and positive effects of caregiver synchronized gestures in natural family settings.

#### Limitations

As a cross-sectional study, the present study highlights group differences between key developmental transition points and

cannot reveal trajectories that deviate from typical milestones and cannot account for causal relationships between behaviors. Also, although there were no significant differences between the two groups of children's non-verbal abilities in this study, 18-24 months of age is an important stage in children's ability development, and the impact of changes in the developmental characteristics of children's abilities, both verbal and non-verbal (gestures), on caregiver-toddlers interaction and children's social behavior during this stage was not considered in this study. Further, due to sample size limitations and the language ability differences among the two groups, the current study cannot exclude that children's social responses in CTI are a manifestation of early competence developmental limitations. Thus, the effect of caregiver gestures on children's social responses in early childhood needs to be further illustrated by a prospective cohort design. Finally, the current results are aimed at CTI with young children and cannot be extended to older children and other contexts.

# Conclusion

In summary, these findings reveal that the specificity of caregiver gesture use in early CTI for ASD is demonstrated by synchronized gestures during play and supplementary gestures during dining activities, whereas synchronized gestures by the caregiver during play contribute to the development of social responses and competence in CTI for ASD. Coaching caregivers on how to use gestures more effectively can promote joint participation in CTI for children with ASD. Thus, the relationship between caregiver gestures and children's responses in early CTI warrants further studies, as it may be a moderator of children's social development and intervention effects.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Medical Ethics Committee of the Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

# Author contributions

SL, XZ, and HD conceived the current study and contributed to the interpretation of the results. SL, YX, and CW

participated in data collection. SL, HZ, and LL performed the analyses. SL took the lead in writing the manuscript. HD and LL supervised the writing. All authors provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis, and manuscript.

# Funding

This Science work was supported by the and Technology Program of Guangzhou, China. Development Key Area Research and Program (202007030011).

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all the families who kindly volunteered to participate and all the individuals involved in data collection. All authors provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis, and manuscript.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpsyt.2022.895029/full#supplementary-material

### References

1. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing (2013).

2. Franchini M, Duku E, Armstrong V, Brian J, Bryson SE, Garon N, et al. Variability in verbal and nonverbal communication in infants at risk for autism spectrum disorder: predictors and outcomes. J Autism Dev Disord. (2018) 48:3417–31. doi: 10.1007/s10803-018-3607-9

3. Yoshida H, Cirino P, Mire SS, Burling JM, Lee S. Parents' gesture adaptations to children with autism spectrum disorder. *J Child Lang.* (2020) 47:205–24. doi: 10.1017/S0305000919000497

4. Özer D, Göksun T. Gesture use and processing: a review on individual differences in cognitive resources. *Front Psychol.* (2020) 11:573555. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.573555

5. Gomez JC. Hand leading and hand taking gestures in autism and typically developing children. J Autism Devel Disord. (2015) 45:68-74. doi: 10.1007/s10803-014-2305-5

6. Medeiros K, Winsler A. Parent-child gesture use during problem solving in autistic spectrum disorder. J Autism Dev Disord. (2014) 44:1946–58. doi: 10.1007/s10803-014-2069-y

7. Özçalişkan S, Adamson LB, Dimitrova N, Baumann S. Do parents model gestures differently when children's gestures differ? *J Autism Dev Disord.* (2018) 48:1492–507. doi: 10.1007/s10803-017-3411-y

8. Choi B, Shah P, Rowe ML, Nelson CA, Tager-Flusberg H. A longitudinal study of parent gestures, infant responsiveness, and vocabulary development in infants at risk for autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord*. (2019) 23:811–20. doi: 10.1007/s10803-020-04855-z

9. Wan MW, Green J, Scott J. A systematic review of parent-infant interaction in infants at risk of autism. *Autism Int J Res Pract.* (2019) 23:811–20. doi: 10.1177/1362361318777484

10. Srinivasan S, Bhat A. Differences in caregiver behaviors of infants at-risk for autism and typically developing infants from 9 to 15 months of age. *Infant Behav Dev.* (2020) 59:101445. doi: 10.1016/j.infbeh.2020. 101445

11. Choi B, Castelbaum L, McKechnie R, Rowe ML, Nelson CA, Tager-Flusberg H. Brief report: parents' declarative use of deictic gestures predict vocabulary

development in infants at high and low risk for autism spectrum disorder. J Autism Dev Disord. (2021) 52:914–22. doi: 10.1007/s10803-021-04989-8

12. Choi B, Rowe ML. A parent gesture intervention as a means to increase parent declarative pointing and child vocabulary. *Infancy Offic J Int Soc Infant Studies*. (2021) 26:735–44. doi: 10.1111/infa.12418

13. Talbott MR, Nelson CA, Tager-Flusberg H. Maternal gesture use and language development in infant siblings of children with autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord.* (2015) 45:4–14. doi: 10.1007/s10803-013-1820-0

14. Crowell JA, Keluskar J, Gorecki A. Parenting behavior and the development of children with autism spectrum disorder. *Compr Psychiatry.* (2019) 90:21–9. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2018.11.007

15. Caplan B, Blacher J, Eisenhower A. Responsive parenting and prospective social skills development in early school-aged children with autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord.* (2019) 49:3203–17. doi: 10.1007/s10803-019-04039-4

16. Patterson SY, Elder L, Gulsrud A, Kasari C. The association between parental interaction style and children's joint engagement in families with toddlers with autism. *Autism Int J Res Pract.* (2014) 18:511–8. doi: 10.1177/1362361313483595

17. Crandall MC, McDaniel J, Watson LR, Yoder PJ. The relation between early parent verb input and later expressive verb vocabulary in children with autism spectrum disorder. *J Speech Lang Hearing Res.* (2019) 62:1787–97. doi: 10.1044/2019\_JSLHR-L-18-0081

18. Grzadzinski R, Nowell SW, Crais ER, Baranek GT, Turner-Brown L, Watson LR. Parent responsiveness mediates the association between hyporeactivity at age 1 year and communication at age 2 years in children at elevated likelihood of asd. *Autism Res.* (2021) 14:2027–37. doi: 10.1002/aur.2557

19. Kisa YD, Aktan-Erciyes A, Turan E, Göksun T. Parental use of spatial language and gestures in early childhood. *Br J Dev Psychol.* (2019) 37:149-67. doi: 10.1111/bjdp.12263

20. Siller M, Sigman M. The behaviors of parents of children with autism predict the subsequent development of their children's communication. J Autism Dev Disord. (2002) 32:77–89. doi: 10.1023/A:1014884404276

21. Siller M, Sigman M. Modeling longitudinal change in the language abilities of children with autism: parent behaviors and child characteristics as predictors of change. *Dev Psychol.* (2008) 44:1691–704. doi: 10.1037/a0013771

22. Kellerman AM, Schwichtenberg AJ, Abu-Zhaya R, Miller M, Young GS, Ozonoff S. Dyadic synchrony and responsiveness in the first year: associations with autism risk. *Autism Res.* (2020) 13:2190–201. doi: 10.1002/aur.2373

23. Fitzpatrick P, Romero V, Amaral JL, Duncan A, Barnard H, Richardson MJ, et al. Evaluating the importance of social motor synchronization and motor skill for understanding autism. *Autism Res.* (2017) 10:1687–99. doi: 10.1002/aur.1808

24. Wang H, Suveg C, West KB, Han ZR, Zhang X, Hu X, et al. Synchrony of respiratory sinus arrhythmia in parents and children with autism spectrum disorder: moderation by interaction quality and child behavior problems. *Autism Res.* (2021) 14:512–22. doi: 10.1002/aur.2401

25. McNaughton KA, Redcay E. Interpersonal synchrony in autism. Curr Psychiatry Rep. (2020) 22:12. doi: 10.1007/s11920-020-1135-8

26. Li X, Liu Y. Parent-Grandparent coparenting relationship, maternal parenting self-efficacy, and young children's social competence in chinese urban families. *J Child Family Studies*. (2019) 28:1145–53. doi: 10.1007/s10826-019-01346-3

27. Mullen EM. Mullen Scales of Early Learning. Circle Pines, MN: American Guidance Service (1995).

28. Zwaigenbaum L, Bryson SE, Brian J, Smith IM, Roberts W, Szatmari P, et al. Stability of diagnostic assessment for autism spectrum disorder between 18 and 36 months in a high-risk cohort. *Autism Res.* (2016) 9:790–800. doi: 10.1002/aur.1585

29. Schreibman L, Dawson G, Stahmer AC, Landa R, Rogers SJ, McGee GG, et al. Naturalistic developmental behavioral interventions: empirically validated treatments for autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord*. (2015) 45:2411–28. doi: 10.1007/s10803-015-2407-8

30. Wetherby AM, Guthrie W, Woods J, Schatschneider C, Holland RD, Morgan L, et al. Parent-implemented social intervention for toddlers with autism: an rct. *Pediatrics.* (2014) 134:1084–93. doi: 10.1542/peds.2014-0757

31. Luyster R, Gotham K, Guthrie W, Coffing M, Petrak R, Pierce K, et al. The autism diagnostic observation schedule-toddler module: a new module of a standardized diagnostic measure for autism spectrum disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord*. (2009) 39:1305–20. doi: 10.1007/s10803-009-0746-z

32. Yaari M, Yitzhak N, Harel A, Friedlander E, Bar-Oz B, Eventov-Friedman S, et al. Stability of early risk assessment for autism spectrum disorder in preterm infants. *Autism.* (2016) 20:856–67. doi: 10.1177/1362361315614758

33. Esler AN, Bal VH, Guthrie W, Wetherby A, Ellis Weismer S, Lord C. The autism diagnostic observation schedule, toddler module: standardized severity scores. J Autism Dev Disord. (2015) 45:2704–20. doi: 10.1007/s10803-015-2432-7

34. Brugman HR, A. Annotating multimedia/multi-modal resources with elan. In Proceedings of LREC 2004, Fourth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation The Language Archive, Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics Online. Nijmegen (2004).

35. Colgan SE, Lanter E, McComish C, Watson LR, Crais ER, Baranek GT. Analysis of social interaction gestures in infants with

autism. Child Neuropsychol. (2006) 12:307-19. doi: 10.1080/092970406007 01360

36. Ozçalişkan S, Goldin-Meadow S. Gesture is at the cutting edge of early language development. *Cognition.* (2005) 96:B101–13. doi: 10.1016/j.cognition.2005.01.001

37. Steiner AM, Gengoux GW, Smith A, Chawarska K. Parent-child interaction synchrony for infants at-risk for autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord.* (2018) 48:3562–72. doi: 10.1007/s10803-018-3624-8

38. Kadlaskar G, Seidl A, Tager-Flusberg H, Nelson CA, Keehn B. Atypical response to caregiver touch in infants at high risk for autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord.* (2019) 49:2946–55. doi: 10.1007/s10803-019-04021-0

39. Dimitrova N, Ozcaliskan S, Adamson LB. Parents' translations of child gesture facilitate word learning in children with autism, down syndrome and typical development. *J Autism Dev Disord.* (2016) 46:221–31. doi: 10.1007/s10803-015-2566-7

40. Doussard-Roosevelt JA, Joe CM, Bazhenova OV, Porges SW. Motherchild interaction in autistic and nonautistic children: characteristics of maternal approach behaviors and child social responses. *Dev Psychopathol.* (2003) 15:277– 95. doi: 10.1017/S0954579403000154

41. Wan MW, Green J, Elsabbagh M, Johnson M, Charman T, Plummer F. Parent-infant interaction in infant siblings at risk of autism. *Res Dev Disabil.* (2012) 33:924–32. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2011.12.011

42. Pierce K, Gazestani VH, Bacon E, Barnes CC, Cha D, Nalabolu S, et al. Evaluation of the diagnostic stability of the early autism spectrum disorder phenotype in the general population starting at 12 months. *JAMA Pediatr.* (2019) 173:578–87. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.0624

43. Chevallier C, Kohls G, Troiani V, Brodkin ES, Schultz RT. The social motivation theory of autism. *Trends Cogn Sci.* (2012) 16:231–9. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2012.02.007

44. Elsabbagh M, Fernandes J, Webb SJ, Dawson G, Charman T, Johnson MH. Disengagement of visual attention in infancy is associated with emerging autism in toddlerhood. *Biol Psychiatry.* (2013) 74:189–94. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.11.030

45. Kinard JL, Sideris J, Watson LR, Baranek GT, Crais ER, Wakeford L, et al. Predictors of parent responsiveness to 1-year-olds at-risk for autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord*. (2017) 47:172–86. doi: 10.1007/s10803-016-2944-9

46. Green J, Charman T, McConachie H, Aldred C, Slonims V, Howlin P, et al. Parent-mediated communication-focused treatment in children with autism (pact): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* (2010) 375:2152-60. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60587-9

47. Georgescu AL, Koeroglu S, Hamilton AFC, Vogeley K, Falter-Wagner CM, Tschacher W. Reduced nonverbal interpersonal synchrony in autism spectrum disorder independent of partner diagnosis: a motion energy study. *Mol Autism.* (2020) 11:11. doi: 10.1186/s13229-019-0305-1