Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Roberto Coccurello, National Research Council (CNR), Italy

REVIEWED BY Ann Marie Hake, Indiana University Bloomington, United States Daniel Menezes Guimarães, Western University, Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE Sid E. O'Bryant sid.obryant@unthsc.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Aging Psychiatry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

RECEIVED 21 March 2022 ACCEPTED 18 July 2022 PUBLISHED 23 August 2022

CITATION

O'Bryant SE, Petersen M, Hall J, Johnson LA and HABS-HD Study Team (2022) Depression is differentially related to cognitive and biomarker outcomes among Mexican Americans. *Front. Psychiatry* 13:901403. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.901403

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 O'Bryant, Petersen, Hall, Johnson and HABS-HD Study Team. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Depression is differentially related to cognitive and biomarker outcomes among Mexican Americans

Sid E. O'Bryant^{1*}, Melissa Petersen^{1,2}, James Hall¹ and Leigh A. Johnson^{1,3} for the HABS-HD Study Team

¹Institute for Translational Research, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, TX, United States, ²Department of Family Medicine, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, TX, United States, ³Department of Pharmacology and Neuroscience, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, TX, United States

Introduction: Despite tremendous advancements in the research of Alzheimer's disease (AD), Mexican Americans, who reflect 65% of the US Hispanic community, remain severely underrepresented in research. Our data demonstrate that risk factors for, and biomarkers of, AD are different among Mexican Americans as compared with non-Hispanic whites. Here, we examined the impact of depressive symptoms on cognitive and AD-relevant biomarker outcomes among the Mexican Americans.

Methods: Data were examined from 1,633 (852 Mexican Americans and 781 non-Hispanic whites) of the Health and Aging Brain Study–Health Disparities (HABS–HD). Depression was assessed using the Geriatric Depression Scale while cognition was measured using detailed neuropsychological testing. Plasma biomarkers of A β 40, A β 42, total tau, and NfL were examined in addition to MRI-based neurodegeneration. PET amyloid data were available in a subset of participants.

Results: Depressive symptoms were significantly associated with cognitive testing results among both Mexican Americans and non-Hispanic whites. However, depression was only significantly associated with cognitive outcomes and plasma biomarkers among the Mexican American $APOE_{\rm E}4$ non-carriers.

Discussion: Depressive symptoms are more commonly endorsed by Mexican Americans and these symptoms are more strongly associated with cognitive

and AD-biomarker outcomes among this ethnic group. However, depression scores were only related to AD outcomes among *APOE*_E4 non-carriers within the Mexican American group. These findings can aid in the development of a population-informed precision medicine for treating and preventing cognitive loss among the Mexican Americans.

KEYWORDS

Mexican American, depression, biomarkers, cognition, Alzheimer's disease

Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common neurodegenerative dementia that disproportionately impacts underserved communities [(1), Alzheimer's disease facts and figures; (2)].In fact, the US Hispanic population is projected to experience the largest increase in AD and AD-related dementias (ADRDs) over the next several decades (3). Despite the rapid growth in the US Hispanic population, and the projected increase in AD/ADRDs among this community, Hispanics (65% of which are Mexican American) remain severely underrepresented in AD clinical research (2, 4) and clinical trials (5).

In our prior work, we have shown that AD biomarkers and risk factors are different among Mexican Americans as compared to non-Hispanic whites (4, 6-9). We have shown that Mexican Americans develop cognitive impairment (4, 7, 10) and MRI-based neurodegeneration (9) at significantly younger ages. However, these disparities are in the context of lower rates of amyloid positivity (4) and lower frequency of *APOE* ϵ 4 genotype (7, 10). In addition, plasma-based biomarkers of AD also are different among Mexican Americans (8). Therefore, it is important to study other factors that are related to AD risk among the Mexican Americans.

In our prior work, we found that depressive scores were elevated significantly among the Mexican Americans as compared with the non-Hispanic whites across cognitive diagnoses (10) and those depressive symptoms were a stronger predictor of neuropsychological functioning among Mexican Americans (11). Given the substantial literature documenting the link between depression and risk for AD (12-20), this may be a risk factor disproportionally impacts Mexican that Americans. Therefore, in this study, we examined the link between depressive symptoms and neuropsychological as well as biomarker (MRI, PET, and plasma) outcomes among Mexican Americans as compared with non-Hispanic whites in the ongoing.

Materials and methods

Participants and assessment

The Health and Aging Brain Study-Health Disparities (HABS-HD; formally the Health and Aging Brain study among Latino Elders, HABLE study) study is an ongoing, longitudinal, community-based project examining health disparities in MCI and AD among Mexican Americans as compared with the non-Hispanic whites with recent expansion currently enrolling African Americans. HABS-HD methods have been published elsewhere (4) and are briefly outlined later. The data included in this study encompass Mexican American and non-Hispanic white participants since the recruitment of the African American participants is ongoing. Inclusion criteria for the study includes (1) self-reported ethnicity of African American, Mexican American, or non-Hispanic white, (2) willingness to provide blood samples, (3) capable of undergoing neuroimaging studies, (4) age 50 years and older, and (5) fluent in English or Spanish. Exclusion criteria include (1) Type 1 diabetes, (2) presence of active infection, (3) current/recent (12 months) cancer (other than skin cancer), (4) current severe mental illness that could impact cognition (other than depression), (5) recent (12 months) traumatic brain injury with loss of consciousness, (6) current/recent alcohol/substance abuse, (7) active severe medical condition that could impact cognition (e.g., end-stage renal failure, chronic heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) and (8) current diagnosis of dementia other than AD. Participant recruitment for HABS-HD includes a community-based participatory research (CBPR) approach (21). The CBPR approach has been used successful as a recruitment modality for reaching underserved and minority populations. It involves collaborating with local communities through outreach (holding community events and seminars), word of mouth, marketing modalities (newspaper, television, and radio), and providing back information (clinical lab work, MRI clinical reads, and neuropsychological test results) to the participants and their healthcare providers. All the aspects of the study protocol can be conducted in Spanish or English. The HABS-HD study is conducted under IRB-approved protocols and each participant (or his/her legal representative) signs written informed consent. All the HABS-HD data are available to the scientific community through the UNTHSC Institute for Translational Research (22).¹

Clinical

An interview is conducted as part of the HABS–HD protocol which includes an interview and neuropsychological testing with the following battery: Mini-mental state examination (MMSE) (23), Wechsler Memory Scale, 3rd Edition (WMS-III) Digit Span and Logical Memory (24), Digit Symbol Substitution, Trail Making Test Parts A and B (25), Spanish–English Verbal Learning Test (SEVLT) (26), Animal Naming (semantic fluency) (26), FAS (phonemic fluency) (26), the American National Adult Reading Test (English speakers) (27), and Word Accentuation Test (Spanish speakers) (28). An informant interview was also conducted for completion of the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) acale (29) by the clinicians with expertise in dementia to evaluate for functional declines. Depressive symptoms were assessed using the 30-item Geriatric Depression Scale (30).

Blood biomarkers

Blood samples were collected, processed, and stored per previously published international guidelines (31). Assay preparation was completed using a custom-automated Star Plus system from Hamilton Robotics. Plasma markers of amyloid (A β_{40} , A β_{42}), tau (total-tau) and neurodegeneration [neurofilament light (NfL)] were assayed using the ultrasensitive Simoa (single molecule array technology platform XD-X (Quanterix.com) (4, 8, 9). APOE genotyping was performed using commercially available TaqMan Genotyping Kits for rs429158 and rs7412 using TaqMan GTXpress Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Target amplification and detection were performed using the 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Genotypes were called according to combined allele amplification results at the two SNPs as follows (rs429358, rs7412): ε2/ε2- T,T; ε2/ε3- T,CT; ε2/ε4- CT,CT; ε3/ε3- T/C; ε3/ε4- CT,C; ε4/ε4- C,C. Positive controls (individuals of known, independently typed APOE genotypes) and negative controls were included on all runs. APOE genotypes frequencies were confirmed to be in the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

Neuroimaging

Magnetic resonance imaging. The HABLE MRI protocol is based on that of ADNI3 using a 3T Siemens Magnetom SKYRA

whole-body scanner. We acquired the following scan sequences: T1-weighted whole brain volumetric spoiled magnetizationprepared rapid gradient (MPRAGE), whole brain volumetric fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR), susceptibilityweighted imaging (SWI), diffusion tensor MRI (dMRI), 3D arterial spin labeling (3DPASL), resting-state functional (rsfMRI), and high resolution (0.4 \times 0.4 mm \times 2 mm) T2weighted hippocampal high resolution (HHR) scans. For this study, the neurodegeneration (i.e., N) component of the AT(N) framework (32) was examined as outlined by Jack et al. (32) as the "meta-ROI," which comprises the surface-area weighted average of the mean cortical thickness in individual ROIs of the entorhinal cortex, fusiform, inferior temporal gyri, and middle temporal gyri. N⁺ was determined based on a cut-off of 2.68 mm for cortical thickness (32). Participants who failed quality checks [quality assurance (QA)] for the FreeSurfer software version 5.3.0 segmentation for at least one of the individual ROI sections (referenced earlier) were excluded when calculating meta-ROI. Meta-ROI was calculated based on the sum of each region in each hemisphere * the surface area for that region divided by the sum of surface areas for all regions included.

Positron emission tomography amyloid (Neuraceq; aka florbetaben). PET amyloid was available on a subset of 34 Mexican Americans and 22 non-Hispanic whites. PET amyloid was conducted using Neuraceq with Siemens Biograph Vision 450 whole-body PET/CT scanner following the ADNI3 protocols. In brief, participants are injected with an 8.1 mCi $(\pm 10\%)$ bolus of Neuraceq. A 4-frame by 5-min (20 min total) dynamic emission acquisition is started 90 min post injection following the acquisition of a low-dose CT scan used for attenuation correction. The emission images are processed by iterative reconstruction, 4 iterations, and 16 subsets. FreeSurferdefined regions (frontal, anterior/posterior cingulate, lateral parietal, and lateral temporal cortex) were used to derive a summary cortical ROI. Normalization to the whole cerebellum reference region was conducted to obtain global standardized update value ratios (SUVR). An SUVR of 1.08 was used to define positivity.

Diagnostic classification

Cognitive diagnoses were assigned algorithmically (decision tree) and verified at consensus review as follows: *Normal control* (*NC*) = no cognitive complaints, CDR sum of boxes score of 0 (33, 34), and cognitive tests scores broadly within normal limits [i.e., performance greater than that defined as meeting diagnostic criteria for MCI (i.e., =1.5 SDs below the normative range)]; *Mild cognitive impairment (MCI)*: cognitive complaint (self or other), CDR sum of boxes score between 0.5 and 2.0 (33, 34) and at least one cognitive test score falling = 1.5 SDs below normative ranges; *Dementia*: CDR sum of boxes score =2.5

¹ https://apps.unthsc.edu/itr/

(33, 34) and at least two cognitive test scores 2 SDs below normative ranges.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted in SPSS 25 (IBM). Chi-square and ANOVA were utilized to compare groups on demographic variables. Linear regression models were run to examine the link between GDS scores and cognitive and biomarker outcomes with age, gender, education, and cognitive diagnosis (i.e., control, mild cognitive impairment, dementia) entered as covariates. Logistic regression models were run to determine the impact of each depression on the risk for diagnosis of MCI and dementia. Analyses were run for the entire cohort and then split by ethnicity and finally by ethnicity × *APOE*e4 genotype (except for amyloid PET results). Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

Descriptive characterization

As of November 2021, a total of 1,633 participants were enrolled with all required data to be included in the current analyses (852 MAs and 781 NHWs). The Mexican American group was significantly younger (p < 0.001) and obtained fewer years of formal education (p < 0.001) than non-Hispanic whites. There was also a significant gender difference between groups with a higher number of females included among those who self-reported as Mexican American (p < 0.001). Regarding neuropsychological test performance, mean differences were found between ethnic groups with Mexican Americans performing lower across all cognitive domains (p < 0.001). The Mexican American group endorsed significantly higher depressive symptoms as compared to non-Hispanic whites (p < 0.001) (see Table 1).

Neuropsychological outcomes

In the total cohort, GDS scores were associated with the poorer performance in language (FAS, t = -2.32, p = 0.02), processing speed (SDMT, t = -3.64, p < 0.001), and immediate memory (SEVLT 1-5, t = -1.21, p = 0.004). However, among Mexican Americans, GDS scores were significantly associated with poorer scores in processing speed (Trails A t = 2.46, p = 0.01, SDMT t = -3.26, p = 0.001), executive functioning (Trails B, t = 2.40, p = 0.02), language (FAS, t = -3.54, p < 0.001), immediate memory (SEVLT 1-5 t = -3.12, p = 0.002), and delayed memory (SEVLT Delayed t = -2.28, p = 0.02). Among

non-Hispanic whites, GDS scores were only associated with poorer processing speed (SDMT t = -2.61, p = 0.009).

When split by APOEE4 genotype, results changed. In fact, GDS scores were only significantly associated with neuropsychological test performance among Mexican Americans who were APOEE4 negative. Among APOEE4 non-carrier Mexican Americans, GDS scores were associated with poorer performance in the areas of processing speed (Trails A t = 2.73, p = 0.007, SDMT t = -3.47, p < 0.001), executive functioning (Trails B t = 2.09, p = 0.04), language (FAS t = -3.82, p < 0.001) as well as immediate (WMS-III LM1 t = -2.07, p = 0.04; SEVLT 1-5 t = -4.03, p < 0.001) and delayed (SEVLT Delayed t = -2.84, p = 0.005 with WMS-III LM2 t = -1.76, p = 0.08 approaching significance). Among non-Hispanic whites, GDS scores were associated with significantly worse scores in the language (FAS t = -2.04, p = 0.04) among APOE $\varepsilon 4$ carriers and poorer immediate memory (SEVLT 1-5 t = -2.05, p = 0.04) among APOE ϵ 4 non-carriers.

Imaging biomarkers

The GDS scores were not associated with neurodegeneration (*via* MetaROI) among either ethnic group, including if split by *APOE* ε 4. Among Mexican Americans, GDS scores were significantly associated with the frontal amyloid burden (t = -2.01, p = 0.04) with a trend toward significance among anterior/posterior cingulate (t = -2.02, p = 0.05) and global amyloid burden (t = -1.83, p = 0.08). GDS scores were not associated with cerebral amyloid burden among non-Hispanic whites.

Plasma biomarkers

In the total cohort, GDS scores were associated with plasma $A\beta_{40}$ (t = 3.24, p = 0.001) and $A\beta_{42}$ (t = 3.52, p < 0.001) levels. Among Mexican Americans, GDS scores were significantly associated with both plasma $A\beta_{40}$ (t = 2.09, p = 0.04) and $A\beta_{42}$ (t = 3.62, p < 0.001) levels; however, GDS scores were only significantly associated with $A\beta_{40}$ levels (t = 2.39, p = 0.02) among non-Hispanic whites. When split by *APOE*64 genotype, GDS scores were only associated with plasma $A\beta_{42}$ levels among Mexican American *APOE*64 non-carriers (t = 3.49, p < 0.001). However, among non-Hispanic whites, GDS scores were significantly associated with plasma $A\beta_{40}$ levels (t = 2.44, p = 0.02) among *APOE*64 non-carriers but plasma total tau (t = 2.64, p = 0.009) levels among *APOE*64 carriers.

Risk for cognitive impairment

The GDS scores were associated with increased risk for diagnosis of MCI (OR = 1.06, 95% CI 1.04–1.09, p < 0.001)

	Total cohort $N = 1,614$	Mexican American N = 853	Non-Hispanic white $N = 781$
Age, mean (SD)Range	66.47 (8.76)50 - 92	63.83 (7.98)50-91	69.35 (8.65)**50-92
Education, mean (SD)Range	12.37 (4.81)0-20	9.51 (4.61)0-20	15.50 (2.55) **0-20
Gender, % female	61%	66%	54%**
GDS-30 item	5.68 (5.87)	6.58 (6.32)	4.69 (5.18)**
WMS-III Digit Span, mean (SD)Range	13.69 (4.31)0-29	11.43 (1.97)0-25	16.15 (3.67) **6–29
Trail making test part A, mean (SD)Range	43.96 (25.39)15.00-150.00	50.79 (29.22)16.00-150.00	36.53 (17.65) **15.00-150.00
Trail making test part B, mean (SD)Range	128.59 (85.43)25.00-300.00	161.17 (93.45)25.00-300.00	93.77 (58.53) **25.00-300.00
FAS, mean (SD)Range	31.84 (12.25)0-68	27.10 (10.99)0-65	37.00 (11.45) **2-68
Animals, mean (SD)Range	17.48 (5.17)0-37	16.27 (4.79)0-33	18.81 (5.24) **0-37
WMS-III logical memory I, mean (SD)Range	35.23 (12.02)0-69	30.74 (10.65)0-58	40.11 (11.52) **0-69
WMS-III logical memory II, mean (SD)Range	21.29 (8.99)0-44	18.48 (8.10)0-41	24.33 (8.92) **0-44
SEVLT 1-5 total, mean (SD)Range	30.74 (9.08)0-53	28.91 (8.32)0-53	32.73 (9.44) **3-53
SEVLT delayed recall, mean (SD)Range	7.61 (3.46)0-15	6.96 (3.30)0-15	8.30 (3.48) **0-15

TABLE 1 Descriptive statistics of c?ohort.

WMS, Wechsler Memory Scale; SEVLT, Spanish English Verbal Learning Test.

**p < 0.001.

and dementia (OR = 1.09, 95% CI 1.06–1.12, p < 0.001) in the full cohort. Among Mexican Americans, GDS scores were associated with a significantly increased risk for diagnosis of MCI (OR = 1.05, 95% CI 1.02–1.08, p < 0.001) and dementia (OR = 1.09, 95% CI 1.05 - 1.13, p < 0.001). Among non-Hispanic whites, GDS scores were associated with a significantly increased risk for diagnosis of MCI (OR = 1.09, 95% CI 1.05-1.14, p < 0.001) and dementia (OR = 1.09, 95% CI 1.04–1.15, *p* < 0.001). However, the risk for MCI (OR = 1.05, 95% CI 1.02– 1.08, p = 0.001) and dementia (OR = 1.11, 95% CI 1.06–1.16, p < 0.001) was only significant for Mexican American APOE ε 4 non-carriers. On the other hand, among non-Hispanic white APOEE4 carriers, GDS scores were associated with increased risk for diagnosis of MCI (OR = 1.11, 95% CI 1.03–1.20, *p* = 0.009) and dementia (OR = 1.11, 95% CI 1.04-1.19, p = 0.003), but GDS scores were only associated with MCI risk among APOEE4 non-carriers (OR = 1.09, 95% CI 1.04–1.15, *p* < 0.001).

Discussion

The current findings add to the extant literature documenting the depressive symptoms are more strongly related to AD-related outcomes among Mexican Americans as compared to non-Hispanic whites. In addition, the link between depressive symptoms and AD-related outcomes appears to be primarily among Mexican Americans who are $APOE\epsilon4$ non-carriers.

In our prior work, we have demonstrated that the $APOE\varepsilon4$ genotype is less common among Mexican Americans across cognitive diagnostic categories as compared to non-Hispanic whites. Here, we extend upon that work by demonstrating that the link between depression and cognitive outcomes is only present among $APOE\varepsilon4$ non-carriers. In

addition, the link between depression and plasma ADbiomarkers was also restricted to $APOE\varepsilon4$ non-carriers. The current sample size of participants with amyloid PET scans was too small to stratify by $APOE\varepsilon4$ status; however, the HABS-HD study is currently capturing amyloid (and tau) PET scans on the entire cohort and future work will examine this question.

Our team has conducted a series of studies examining the impact of depression on cognitive outcomes among Mexican Americans (14, 15, 35-37). In a recent study, Hall et al. demonstrated a significant link between plasma tau and depressive symptoms among 538 community-dwelling Mexican Americans (38). Johnson et al. have found that comorbid depression-diabetes (14), as well as depression + elevated inflammation (36), were associated with increased risk for cognitive impairment among Mexican Americans. Johnson et al. also found that depressive symptoms were associated with an increased risk for cognitive decline among communitydwelling Mexican Americans (37). The current work expands significantly on that prior work by demonstrating that the link between depression and cognition is only present among APOEE4 non-carriers among Mexican Americans. In addition, the link between depression and AD-relevant biomarkers was also only among Mexican American APOEE4 noncarriers.

In light of our prior work demonstrating that (1) APOEe4 is less common among Mexican Americans (7, 39), (2) amyloid positivity rates are lower among Mexican Americans clinically diagnosed MCI and dementia (4), (3) Mexican Americans develop cognitive loss (4, 7, 39) and MRI-defined neurodegeneration (9) at younger ages, (4) Mexican Americans express higher rates of depressive symptoms (7, 10), it is possible that Mexican Americans are experiencing higher rates of non-AD dementia syndromes as defined by the AT(N) framework. In fact, our work suggests that there may be a significant subset of Mexican Americans who are suffering cognitive loss because of the depressive symptoms. If this subgroup of individuals can be identified, this can lead to a precision medicine approach to treating and preventing cognitive loss among this underserved community. Additional work is ongoing in the HABS-HD cohort to identify such a subgroup for comprehensive characterization for the generation of a novel clinical trial. In fact, in a small-scale pilot clinical trial (NCT02590874) among 19 Mexican Americans diagnosed with MCI and depression, anti-depressant intervention improved both cognitive and biomarker outcomes (manuscript in preparation).

There are weaknesses to the current study. First, the current data is cross-sectional in nature; however, HABS-HD is longitudinal with visit two assessments underway currently. Therefore, longitudinal analyses of the impact of depression on cognition will be the focus of future work. Second, the sample size of amyloid PET scans was too small to stratify by APOEE4 genotype; however, the HABS-HD study is currently capturing longitudinal amyloid (and tau) PET scans. Therefore, future work will seek to examine the link between depressive symptoms and amyloid burden both crosssectionally and longitudinally. A third weakness is the lack of inclusion of the African American participants. Combined, Mexican Americans, African Americans, and non-Hispanic whites make up approximately 75% of the US population and, therefore, examining these topics simultaneously across the three largest racial/ethnic groups would provide substantial information to the field. HABS-HD is currently enrolling 1000 African Americans and, therefore, future work will be conducted across all three racial/ethnic groups. In spite of these limitations, the current work adds substantially to the extant literature. Additional work is ongoing within the HABS-HD study to determine if and how the current work can be transitioned into a population-informed precision medicine model for treating and/or preventing cognitive loss among Mexican Americans.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: UNTHSC Institute for Translational Research (ITR) website.

Ethics statement

This study protocol was reviewed and approved by the UNTHSC IRB protocols UNTHSC 2016-128 and

2020-125. Each participant (or his/her legal representative) signed written informed consent to participate in the study.

Author contributions

SO'B and LJ: conceptualization and design of the study, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data, drafting and revising the manuscript, final approval of the version to be published, agreement to be accountable for the accuracy and integrity of the work. JH and MP: design of the study, acquisition and interpretation of data, drafting and revising the manuscript, final approval of the version to be published, agreement to be accountable for the accuracy and integrity of the work. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was supported by the National Institute on Aging of the National Institutes of Health under Award Numbers: R01AG054073 and R01AG058533. The content was solely the responsibility of the authors and did not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Acknowledgments

The HABS-HD Study Team: MPIs: SO'B, Kristine Yaffe, Arthur Toga, Robert Rissman, and LJ; and the HABS-HD Investigators: Meredith Braskie, Kevin King, Matthew Borzage, JH, MP, Raymond Palmer, Robert Barber, Yonggang Shi, Fan Zhang, Rajesh Nandy, Roderick McColl, David Mason, Bradley Christian, Nicole Philips, and Stephanie Large. The research team also thanks the local Fort Worth community and the participants of the HABS-HD study.

Conflict of interest

SO'B has multiple patents on precision medicine for neurodegenerative diseases and is the founding scientist of Cx Precision Medicine.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Alzheimers Association. 2020 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. Alzheimers Dement. (2020) 16:391-460. doi: 10.1002/alz.12068

2. Babulal GM, Quiroz YT, Albensi BC, Arenaza-Urquijo E, Astell AJ, Babiloni C, et al. Perspectives on ethnic and racial disparities in Alzheimer's disease and related dementias: Update and areas of immediate need. *Alzheimers Dement.* (2019) 5:292–312. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2018.09.009

3. Matthews KA, Xu W, Gaglioti AH, Holt JB, Croft JB, Mack D, et al. Racial and ethnic estimates of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias in the United States (2015–2060) in adults aged =65 years. *Alzheimers Dement*. (2019) 15:17–24. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2018.06.3063

4. O'Bryant SE, Johnson LA, Barber R, Braskie MN, Christian B, Hall JR, et al. The health and aging brain among Latino elders (HABLE) study methods and participant characteristics. *Alzheimers Dement.* (2021) 13:e12202.

5. Canevelli M, Bruno G, Grande G, Quarata F, Raganato R, Remiddi F, et al. Race reporting and disparities in clinical trials on Alzheimer's disease: A systematic review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2019) 101:122–8. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.03. 020

6. O'Bryant SE, Humphreys JD, Schiffer RB, Sutker PB. Presentation of Mexican Americans to a memory disorder clinic. *J Psychopathol Behav Assess.* (2007) 29:137–40. doi: 10.1007/s10862-006-9042-9

7. O'Bryant Sid E, Johnson L, Reisch J, Edwards M, Hall J, Barber R, et al. Risk factors for mild cognitive impairment among Mexican Americans. *Alzheimers Dement.* (2013) 9: 622–31. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2012.12.007

8. O'Bryant S, Petersen M, Hall J, Johnson L, Yaffe K, Braskie M, et al. Characterizing plasma NfL in a community-dwelling multi-ethnic cohort: Results from the HABLE study. *Alzheimers Dement.* (2021) 18:240–50. doi: 10.1002/alz. 12404

9. O'Bryant SE, Zhang F, Petersen M, Hall JR, Johnson LA, Yaffe K, et al. A blood screening tool for detecting mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease among community-dwelling Mexican Americans and nonhispanic whites: A method for increasing representation of diverse populations in clinical research. *Alzheimers Dement*. (2021) 18:77–87. doi: 10.1002/alz.1 2382

10. O'Bryant SE, Johnson L, Balldin V, Edwards M, Barber R, Williams B, et al. Characterization of Mexican Americans with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2013) 33:373–9. doi: 10.3233/JAD-2012-121420

11. Falkowski J, Atchison T, Debutte-Smith M, Weiner MF, O'Bryant S. Executive functioning and the metabolic syndrome: A project FRONTIER study. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol.* (2014) 29:47–53. doi: 10.1093/arclin/act078

12. Andersen K, Lolk A, Kragh-Sorensen P, Petersen NE, Green A. Depression and the risk of Alzheimer disease. *Epidemiology*. (2005) 16:233-8.

13. Green RC, Cupples LA, Kurz A, Auerbach S, Go R, Sadovnick D, et al. Depression as a risk factor for Alzheimer disease: The MIRAGE study. *Arch Neurol.* (2003) 60:753–9. doi: 10.1001/archneur.60.5.753

14. Johnson LA, Gamboa A, Vintimilla R, Cheatwood AJ, Grant A, Trivedi A, et al. Comorbid depression and diabetes as a risk for mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease in elderly Mexican Americans. J Alzheimers Dis. (2015) 47:129–36. doi: 10.3233/JAD-142907

15. Johnson LA, Hall JR, O'Bryant SE. A depressive endophenotype of mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS One.* (2013) 8:e68848. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0068848

16. Johnson LA, Mauer C, Jahn D, Song M, Wyshywaniuk L, Hall JR, et al. Cognitive differences among depressed and non-depressed MCI participants: A project FRONTIER study. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2013) 28:377–82. doi: 10.1002/gps.3835

17. Modrego PJ, Ferrandez J. Depression in patients with mild cognitive impairment increases the risk of developing dementia of Alzheimer type: A prospective cohort study. *Arch Neurol.* (2004) 61: 1290–3.

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

18. Ownby RL, Crocco E, Acevedo A, John V, Loewenstein D. Depression and risk for Alzheimer disease: Systematic review, meta-analysis, and metaregression analysis. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. (2006) 63:530–8.

19. Rapp MA, Schnaider-Beeri M, Grossman HT, Sano M, Perl DP, Purohit DP, et al. Increased hippocampal plaques and tangles in patients with Alzheimer disease with a lifetime history of major depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* (2006) 63:161–7. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.63.2.161

20. Speck CE, Kukull WA, Brenner DE, Bowen JD, McCormick WC, Teri L, et al. History of depression as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease. *Epidemiology.* (1995) 6:366–9.

21. Marin G, Sabogal F, Marin BV, Otero-Sabogal R, Perez-Stable EJ. Development of a short acculturation scale for Hispanics. *Hisp J Behav Sci.* (1987) 9:183–205.

22. Institute for Translational Research. *Creating innovative ways to test for and treat Alzheimer's*. Woolloongabba, QLD: Institute for Translational Research (2020).

23. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res.* (1975) 12:189–98. doi: 10.1016/0022-3956(75)90026-6

24. Reitan RM, Wolfson D. The halstead-reitan neuropsychological test battery: Theory and interpretation. Tucson, AZ: Neuropsychology Press (1985).

25. González HM, Mungas D, Haan MN. A verbal learning and memory test for English- and Spanish-speaking older Mexican-American adults. *Clin Neuropsychol.* (2002) 16:439–51. doi: 10.1076/clin.16.4.439.13908

26. Lezak MD, Howieson DB, Loring DW. Neuropsychological assessment. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press (2004).

27. Sierra Sanjurjo N, Montañes P, Sierra Matamoros FA, Burin D. Estimating intelligence in Spanish: Regression equations with the word accentuation test and demographic variables in Latin America. *Appl Neuropsychol Adult.* (2015) 22:252–61. doi: 10.1080/23279095.2014.918543

28. Berg L. Clinical dementia rating (CDR). Psychopharmacol Bull. (1988) 24:637-9.

29. Morris JC. The clinical dementia rating (CDR): Current version and scoring rules. *Neurology*. (1993) 43:2412-4.

30. Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, Lum O, Huang V, Adey M, et al. Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: A preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res.* (1983) 17:37–49. doi: 10.1016/0022-3956(82)90033

31. O'Bryant SE, Gupta V, Henriksen K, Edwards M, Jeromin A, Lista S, et al. Guidelines for the standardization of preanalytic variables for blood-based biomarker studies in Alzheimer's disease research. *Alzheimers Dement.* (2015) 11:549–60. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2014.08.099

32. Jack CR, Wiste HJ, Therneau TM, Weigand SD, Knopman DS, Mielke MM, et al. Associations of amyloid, tau, and neurodegeneration biomarker profiles with rates of memory decline among individuals without dementia. *JAMA*. (2019) 321:2316–25. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.7437

33. O'Bryant SE, Lacritz LH, Hall J, Waring SC, Chan W, Khodr ZG, et al. Validation of the new interpretive guidelines for the clinical dementia rating scale sum of boxes score in the National Alzheimer's coordinating center database. *Arch Neurol.* (2010) 67:746–9. doi: 10.1001/archneurol. 2010.115

34. O'Bryant SE, Waring SC, Cullum CM, Hall J, Lacritz L, Massman PJ, et al. Staging dementia using clinical dementia rating scale sum of boxes scores. *Arch Neurol.* (2008) 65:1091–5. doi: 10.1001/archneur.65.8.1091

35. Johnson LA, Zhang F, Large S, Hall J, O'Bryant SE. The impact of comorbid depression-diabetes on proteomic outcomes among community-dwelling Mexican Americans with mild cognitive impairment. *Int Psychogeriatr.* (2020) 32:17–23. doi: 10.1017/S1041610219001625

36. Johnson LA, Edwards M, Gamboa A, Hall J, Robinson M, O'Bryant SE. Depression, inflammation, and memory loss

among Mexican Americans: Analysis of the HABLE cohort. *Int Psychogeriatr.* (2017) 29:1693–9. doi: 10.1017/S104161021700 1016

37. Johnson LA, Gamboa A, Vintimilla R, Edwards M, Hall J, Weiser B, et al. A depressive endophenotype for predicting cognitive decline among Mexican American adults and elders. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2016) 54:201–6. doi: 10.3233/JAD-150743

38. Hall JR, Petersen M, Johnson L, O'Bryant SE. Plasma total tau and neurobehavioral symptoms of cognitive decline in cognitively normal older adults. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 12:774049. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.77 4049

39. O'Bryant SE, Xiao G, Edwards M, Devous M, Gupta VB, Martins R, et al. Biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease among Mexican Americans. J Alzheimers Dis. (2013) 34:841–9. doi: 10.3233/JAD-122074