#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Haojuan Tao, Central South University, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Hui Lei, Hunan Agricultural University, China Bin Zhang, Hunan University of Chinese Medicine, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Xin Liu lx@xzhmu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Adolescent and Young Adult Psychiatry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

RECEIVED 15 April 2022 ACCEPTED 11 July 2022 PUBLISHED 29 July 2022

#### CITATION

Xu H, Peng L, Wang Z, Zeng P and Liu X (2022) Interpersonal sensitivity on college freshmen's depression: A moderated moderation model of psychological capital and family support. *Front. Psychiatry* 13:921045. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.921045

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Xu, Peng, Wang, Zeng and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Interpersonal sensitivity on college freshmen's depression: A moderated moderation model of psychological capital and family support

Haibo Xu<sup>1,2†</sup>, Lixin Peng<sup>2†</sup>, Zhen Wang<sup>2</sup>, Ping Zeng<sup>3</sup> and Xin Liu<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Mental Health Education and Research, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>School of Management, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China

**Background:** The prevalence of depressive symptoms has become very high among college freshmen, with interpersonal sensitivity serving as an important predictor of depression. Combining internal and external positive resources can effectively prevent and alleviate depression. This study explores the moderating role of psychological capital (PsyCap) in the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depression, as well as the moderating effect of familial support on the conditional influence of PsyCap among Chinese college freshmen.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional mental health survey was performed and the anonymous self-reported questionnaires, including the Patient Health Questionnaire, interpersonal sensitivity subscale of Symptom Checklist-90, Psychological Capital Questionnaire 24, and Perceived Social Support from Family, were distributed to the freshmen. Pearson's coefficient was employed to describe correlations between variables. The PROCESS macro and slope difference tests were used to explore the moderating role of PsyCap and family support in the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depression.

**Results:** The prevalence of depression among freshmen was 30.89% (694/2,247). The correlation analysis revealed that depression negatively related to PsyCap (r = -0.187, p < 0.001) and family support (r = -0.193, p < 0.001) and positively related to interpersonal sensitivity (r = 0.399, p < 0.001). The moderation analysis showed that PsyCap negatively moderated the positive relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depression ( $\beta = -0.159$ , p < 0.001). We also found that family support played a moderating role in the conditional influence of PsyCap ( $\beta = 0.076$ , p < 0.01). The slope difference test further showed that family support weakened the effect of interpersonal sensitivity on depression in freshmen when they had low PsyCap.

**Conclusion:** More attention should be paid to freshmen's mental health and interpersonal interaction problems. For freshmen with interpersonal sensitivity and depression, mental health departments can conduct PsyCap development interventions to alleviate psychological symptoms. Freshmen themselves should also seek family support in time, but those individuals with high PsyCap should seek an appropriate level of family support to maintain their autonomy.

KEYWORDS

interpersonal sensitivity, depression, psychological capital, family support, moderated moderation model, freshman

# Introduction

Depression is a common worldwide psychiatric disorder characterized by sadness, loss of interest or pleasure, feelings of tiredness, and poor concentration (1). Depression can reduce an individual's work efficiency and job performance (2), increase the burden of healthcare (3), and even cause suicidal behavior in extreme cases (4). It has been well established that interpersonal problems act as risk factors for depression. In particular, several studies have indicated that poor quality of interpersonal relationships can predict individuals' depressive symptoms (5, 6). According to the need to belong theory, humans have an intrinsic need for social connection. When the need to belong theory remains unmet, the individual's behavior, cognition, and physical and mental health can be damaged (7). Impaired interpersonal relationships are a typical manifestation of this unmet need, and depression is one of the main consequences of interpersonal trauma (8). Previous work has argued that interpersonal relationships are integral to human wellbeing (9); we, therefore, need to manage interpersonal relationships well in daily life. However, individuals sometimes pay too much attention to their own relationships and fear the rejection or criticism of others in social interactions, which are symptoms of interpersonal sensitivity. Interpersonal sensitivity represents a set of symptoms that is likely to lead to the development of depression (10, 11). Researchers have attributed it to a personality trait in follow-up studies (12). Individuals with interpersonal sensitivity are extremely sensitive to the feelings of others and any feelings of discomfort during interpersonal interactions. Their sensitivity to others' perceptions, especially in the form of rejection and criticism, leads them to modify their behavior so as to experience less rejection and criticism (10, 12). Previous research has indicated that interpersonal sensitivity can predict depression. Those with interpersonal sensitivity often have negative self-cognition due to their feelings of personal inferiority in comparison to other persons (13), and negative cognitions have long been considered central to depression (14). In addition, individuals with a high level of interpersonal sensitivity tend to suffer from interpersonal stress in social interactions, which serves as the most significant predictor of depression (15).

College students are at high risk of depression, and freshmen tend to experience higher levels of depression than nonfreshmen (16). Within Erik Erikson's theory of the eight stages of psychosocial development, traditional-age freshmen (18 years) would be in the fifth stage of development, which involves confronting the problem of identity and role confusion. This stage is vital for the personality development of freshmen (17). A study of nursing students indicated that 61.7% of first-year and 38.1% of last-year college students suffered from various degrees of depressive symptoms (18). Results of the meta-analysis about the prevalence of depression among college students revealed that 33.6% of students reported depressive symptoms (19), and the prevalence of depression among freshmen was 35.4% in Tang's study (20). According to the report on the Development of China's National Mental Health (2019-2020), the detection rate of depression among Chinese adolescents is 24.6%, and for major depression, 7.4%. According to a mental health survey of 1,048 freshmen in China, the detection rate of depression is about 65.6% (16). This recent research discovered that a total of 16.2% of freshmen (1,488 persons) exhibited positive results for depression among 9,013 Chinese samples that were screened by the SCL-90 (21). Depression and interpersonal sensitivity factors were the main characteristics examined. The first year of college serves as an important period of growth for adolescents, as college freshmen must learn to deal with managing their own academic studies, build relationships with new peers and teachers, and cope with potential financial problems (22). If they do not deal with these challenges in an appropriate way, they may develop mental health problems, such as anxiety and depression. Among freshmen, psychological problems most commonly stem from difficulties in interpersonal relationships (23, 24), including relationships with parents, teachers, peers, and romantic partners. Being away from parents may present a challenge to those who have not cultivated the ability to live independently. Research has discovered that a high quality of peer relationships benefits the mental health of adolescents (25). The study among Chinese college students indicated that interpersonal sensitivity positively relates to negative emotions (26) and contributes to individuals' mobile phone addictions (27), which could predict depression (28). Nonetheless, only a few explorations about the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depression among Chinese college freshmen have been conducted.

Although group sandplay can reduce a person's level of interpersonal sensitivity (29), the internal resources of individuals should also be developed to alleviate interpersonal sensitivity and depression. With the rise of positive psychology, many studies began to explore individuals' positive psychological qualities and resources. Positive psychology advocates for using scientific methods to study and develop individuals' positive resources to improve personal wellbeing. Seligman articulated three pillars of positive psychology: positive experience, positive personality, and a positive social organization system (30). In 2004, Luthans proposed the concept of psychological capital (PsyCap), defined as a positive psychological state expressed by individuals in the process of growth and development (31). PsyCap consists of four components: self-efficacy, optimism, hope, and resilience (32). Previous studies have discovered that PsyCap is negatively correlated with depression and could alleviate depressive symptoms (33-35). Furthermore, PsyCap was demonstrated to have a positive moderating effect on the relationship between work-family conflict and depressive symptoms in Chinese nurses (36). In addition, PsyCap can moderate the relationship between perceived stress and negative emotions (37), as well as the influence of interpersonal adaptation on internet addiction among college students (38). However, the moderating role of PsyCap in the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depression among Chinese college freshmen remains unclear. Thus, we selected PsyCap as the indicator of positive personality in the present study.

The moderating role of PsyCap as an internal factor in depression needs to be explored, while the role of external factors cannot be ignored. Many studies have shown that a combination of internal and external factors has a significant effect on the regulation of the poor conduct of adolescents (39), mitigating distress among persons who experienced stressful medical events (40), and improving students' quality of life (41). Social support, as a common external resource, has been proven a crucial social factor that benefits human health (42). As a stress buffer, social support can alleviate physical and mental health (43). Further, as an important aspect of a positive



social organization system, in Chinese traditional culture, the importance of the family in individual development cannot be ignored (44). Family support—a major part of social support could be defined as the material, informational, and emotional support that an individual receives from family members (45, 46). Previous research has discovered an association between a low level of family support and adolescent depression (47). Family support was demonstrated to have the potential to ease depressive symptoms among college students (45). Moreover, family support can significantly alleviate depression in college freshmen when they have a low level of perceived stress reactivity (48). Although research has shown that parental rearing styles can predict different magnitudes of interpersonal sensitivity at varying levels (49), the relationship between family support and interpersonal sensitivity remains unclear.

In conclusion, a wealth of literature has confirmed that interpersonal sensitivity can predict depression among college students. PsyCap and family support could alleviate depression. However, little research has studied the moderating effect of PsyCap on the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depression. Moreover, the functional efficiency of an individual's internal resources is affected by external resources (50), and at the freshmen stage, the influence of family on psychological development would be more indirect in contrast to previous stages (17). Whether the psychological capital of college freshmen is affected by their family support remains unclear. Thus, our study further explores the moderating role of family support in the moderating effect of PsyCap and proposes several hypotheses, listed below, along with a hypothetical model (Figure 1):

H1: Interpersonal sensitivity has a significant correlative effect on depression among Chinese college freshmen.

**H2:** PsyCap can negatively moderate the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depression.

**H3:** Family support can moderate the conditional influence of PsyCap on the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depression.

# Materials and methods

## Subjects and sample selection

The mental health measurement of college freshmen, like physical examination, must be carried out routinely, supported by educational department policy, to ensure the healthy development of college students. In this study, a cross-sectional survey of freshmen was conducted at the end of September 2020 at a university in eastern China, with an anonymous selfreported questionnaire distributed to the freshmen. The purpose of the questionnaire and instructions for completing it were given to them by staff from the school organization departments.

The study was based on a mental health screening survey, conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the ethical committee at the authors' institution. The data collection process removed freshmen's private information. Exclusion criteria for data included: (1) answers to questionnaire questions were incomplete, (2) answers were too short, and (3) random answers to questions or question choices had a clear pattern. For data that met the above conditions, we deleted the respondent's whole set of answers. Since the survey was used to understand the mental health of freshmen, the study did not involve control variables other than gender.

The data acquisition process occurred as follows: the authors first obtained the authorization of relevant departments and the original data from 2,359 freshmen. Then, 112 pieces of data were deleted according to the exclusion criteria (the percentage of problematic data is 4.75%). Finally, the data used in this study were selected according to the threshold of the PHQ-9 (more than or equal to 5), producing a total of 694 samples.

#### Measure

#### Depression

The Patient Health Questionnaire nine-item depression scale (PHQ-9) was used in this research. As the most widely used depression measure in the world, the PHQ-9 has shown strong reliability and validity in the study of population in different countries (51). It consists of nine items, with each

TABLE 1 Descriptive statistics and correlation coefficients.

	Μ	SD	1	2	3	4
1. Dep	7.909	3.147	-			
2. IS	14.442	6.148	0.399***	-		
3. PsyCap	102.107	13.064	$-0.187^{***}$	-0.361***	-	
4. FS	13.895	4.833	-0.193***	-0.286***	0.229***	-

M, mean; SD, standard deviation; Dep, depression; IS, interpersonal sensitivity; PsyCap, psychological capital; FS, family support. \*\*\*p < 0.001.

item rated from 0 ("never") to 3 ("almost every day"), and the possible total scores of 5, 10, 15, or 20 represent thresholds for mild, moderate, moderately severe, and severe depressive symptoms, respectively. In this study, the Cronbach's  $\alpha$  for the scale was 0.70.

#### Interpersonal sensitivity

The interpersonal sensitivity subscale of symptom checklist 90 (SCL-90) was employed (10). This instrument has been previously used with Chinese college students and has shown strong reliability (29, 52). The subscale includes nine items, and participants rated each item from 0 ("no") to 4 ("very severe"). The sum of the scores from all items produces the interpersonal sensitivity score. A higher score indicates more problems in interpersonal interaction. In this study, the Cronbach's  $\alpha$  for the subscale was 0.85.

#### Psychological capital

The psychological capital of freshmen was measured *via* the Psychological Capital Questionnaire (PCQ-24) developed by Luthans (32). The PCQ-24 consists of four dimensions,

TABLE 2 Model characteristics for moderation analysis (Y = depression).

Variables	Coefficient	SE	t	LLCI	ULCI
Constant	-0.057	0.036	-1.598	-0.128	0.013
IS(X)	0.376	0.037	10.248***	0.304	0.448
PsyCap(M)	-0.050	0.037	-1.372	-0.122	0.022
$\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{M}$	-0.159	0.031	-5.190***	-0.219	-0.100
$R^2$	0.193				
F	54.944***				

Y, dependent variable; X, independent variable; M, moderation variable; IS, interpersonal sensitivity; PsyCap, psychological capital; SE, standard error; LLCI, lower level of the 95% confidence interval; ULCI, upper level of the 95% confidence interval. \*\*\*p < 0.001.

TABLE 3 Model characteristics for moderated moderation analysis (Y = depression).

Variables	Coefficient	SE	t	LLCI	ULCI
Constant	-0.058	0.036	-1.584	-0.129	0.014
IS(X)	0.343	0.038	9.051***	0.269	0.418
PsyCap(M)	-0.006	0.039	-0.164	-0.083	0.070
$\mathbf{X}\times\mathbf{M}$	-0.106	0.036	-2.971**	-0.176	-0.036
FS(W)	-0.033	0.038	-0.871	-0.106	0.041
$\mathbf{X}\times\mathbf{W}$	-0.032	0.035	-0.902	-0.101	0.038
$\mathbf{M}\times\mathbf{W}$	0.011	0.035	0.314	-0.058	0.080
$X\times M\times W$	0.076	0.027	2.802**	0.023	0.130
$R^2$	0.207				
F	25.6511***				

Y, dependent variable; X, independent variable; M, the first moderation variable; W, the second moderation variable; IS, interpersonal sensitivity; PsyCap, psychological capital; FS, family support; SE, standard error; LLCI, lower level of the 95% confidence interval; ULCI, upper level of the 95% confidence interval. \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001.



with each dimension including six items. Each of the items is scored on a Likert six-point scale, with 1 indicating potent disagreement and 6 indicating strong agreement. A higher score generally indicates a higher level of PsyCap. In this study, the Cronbach's  $\alpha$  for PCQ-24 was 0.90.

significance tests were two-sided. Furthermore, we adopted R 4.2.1 to conduct the power analysis of moderated tests.

#### Family support

A scale measuring perceived social support from family was adopted to measure individuals' familial support. The scale was developed by Procidano (53) and included 20 items, each of which was rated 0 ("no") or 1 ("yes"). The total possible score ranges from 0 to 20, with a higher score representing a higher level of perceived family support. In this study, the Cronbach's  $\alpha$ was 0.88. Since this instrument uses a 0–1-point scale, we further reported the KR-21 coefficient (54). The KR-21 coefficient of the scale for our study was 0.86.

## Statistical analysis

Harman's single-factor test was conducted to test for common methods bias. Descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation) and Pearson's coefficient correlation were computed first. Then, an independent samples *t*-test was employed, followed by the moderation analysis using PROCESS macro ver3.5 developed by Hayes (55). We used Models 1 and 3 to explore the moderating role of PsyCap and familial support, respectively. All variables were standardized. In addition, we followed Dawson and Richter's procedure (56) in testing for slope differences for the significant three-way interaction (interpersonal sensitivity, PsyCap, and familial support). SPSS 22.0 was employed to complete the above analyses, and all

## **Results**

## Preliminary analysis

Harman's single-factor test analysis indicated that the variance explained by the first factor was 17.46%, which was less than the threshold of 40%. Therefore, the common method bias did not appear serious in the current study.

In our study, the prevalence of depression was 30.89% (694/2,247). Specifically, 591 freshmen exhibited mild depressive symptoms, 70 displayed moderate symptoms, 23 exhibited moderately severe symptoms, and 10 displayed severe depressive symptoms, according to the thresholds outlined in the method. To explore the influence of the underlying mechanism of PsyCap and familial support on depression, 694 freshmen with a sum score of depression  $\geq 5$  were selected. While 40.35% of these participants were men and 59.65% were women. The result of an independent samples *t*-test did not discover any difference in gender between all variables (p > 0.05).

## **Correlation analysis**

Mean, standard deviation, and correlation coefficients of all variables are shown in Table 1. The Pearson's correlation analysis indicated that depression negatively related to PsyCap and family support (r = -0.187 and -0.193, p < 0.001, respectively) and positively related to interpersonal sensitivity (r = 0.399, p < 0.001). In addition, a positive relationship between PsyCap and family support was identified (r = 0.229, p < 0.001).

## Moderation analysis

First, we explored the moderating role of PsyCap in the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depression. As shown in **Table 2**, the results of Model 1 supported H1 and revealed that depression was positively affected by interpersonal sensitivity ( $\beta = 0.376$ , p < 0.001). The moderation analysis indicated that PsyCap played the role of negative moderation ( $\beta = -0.159$ , p < 0.001) in the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depression, supporting H2. Then, we examined how family support moderates the conditional influence of PsyCap. The results of Model 3 revealed that the interaction term of interpersonal sensitivity, PsyCap, and family support was significant ( $\beta = 0.076$ , p < 0.01; see **Table 3**).

We performed a power assessment following the moderation model obtained in our analysis:

$$Y = -0.058 + 0.343X - 0.006M - b_3XM - 0.033W$$
$$- 0.032XW + 0.011MW + b_7XMW + \varepsilon,$$

setting  $b_3 = -0.03$ , -0.04, -0.05, -0.06, -0.07, -0.08, -0.09, -0.1, -0.11, or -0.12;  $b_7 = 0.076$  for scenario (A); and  $b_7 = 0.01$ , 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.06, 0.07, 0.08, 0.09, or 0.1; and  $b_3 = -0.106$  for scenario (B). For all scenarios, the noise term  $\varepsilon$  was available from a normal distribution with mean 0 and SD 0.8. We tested whether  $b_3 = 0$  for scenario (A) and whether  $b_7 = 0$  for scenario (B), respectively. We repeated the experiment 1,000 times and estimated the power, which was defined as the proportion of truly detecting a non-zero effect size at the significance level of 0.05. More specifically, we gained a power of 90.8% when  $b_3 = -0.106$  and gained a power of 87.7% when  $b_7 = 0.076$ , demonstrating that our moderated moderation model effectively detected moderation effect size and moderated moderation effect size (see Figure 2).

Following Dawson and Richter's procedure, we probed the relationship between PsyCap and interpersonal sensitivity and depression for each subgroup of family support (low and high), separately. **Figure 3** indicates that the effect of interpersonal sensitivity on depression was lowest for freshmen with high PsyCap and a low familial support level.

Among the six pairs of slopes, we found three significant slope differences (**Table 4**). The first demonstrated that the slope with high PsyCap and family support was lower than that with low PsyCap and family support (b = -0.276, p = 0.003). The second significant slope difference was between freshmen with high and low PsyCap at a low level of familial support



(b = -0.364, p < 0.001). The last indicated a significant slope difference in the interpersonal sensitivity-depression link between high and low family support for low PsyCap freshmen (b = -0.216, p = 0.014). In summary, familial support strengthened the attenuating effect of PsyCap on interpersonal sensitivity. Hence, this analysis supported H3.

# Discussion

This study adopted an interactionist approach exploring the use of internal and external resources to mitigate depressive symptoms caused by interpersonal sensitivity *via* investigating the role of Chinese college freshmen's PsyCap (internal resource) and familial support (external resource). First, we tested a moderation model wherein the path from interpersonal sensitivity to depression varied at different levels of PsyCap. Second, we explored a moderated moderation model to evaluate the moderating role of family support in the conditional influence of PsyCap on the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depressive symptoms.

In our study, the prevalence of depressive symptoms was 30.89%, with no significant difference in the score of depression in terms of gender. For freshmen of different genders who have just entered the university, it may take some time to adapt to

TABLE 4 Slope difference test.

Pair of slopes	Slope difference	Z	LLCI	ULCI
(1) and (2)	0.088	0.969	-0.090	0.266
(1) and (3)	-0.060	-0.583	-0.262	0.142
(1) and (4)	-0.276	-2.936**	-0.460	-0.092
(2) and (3)	-0.148	-1.391	-0.357	0.061
(2) and (4)	-0.364	-4.915***	-0.509	-0.219
(3) and (4)	-0.216	$-2.472^{*}$	-0.387	-0.045

Slope 1: high PsyCap and family support; slope 2: high PsyCap and low family support; slope 3: low PsyCap and high family support; slope 4: low PsyCap and family support. LLCI, lower level of the 95% confidence interval; ULCI, upper level of the 95% confidence interval;  $^*p < 0.05$ ,  $^{**}p < 0.01$ ,  $^{***}p < 0.001$ .

the strange environment and the challenges of interpersonal relationships, the results imply.

The results of the moderation model supported H1, consistent with previous research (13, 57). Compared with life in high school, university life entails more free time for freshmen to build their private lives, but challenges follow. In high school, most students come from the same area, and some may even be neighbors, sharing the same regional culture, such as eating habits and speaking style (e.g., dialect). In contrast, freshmen face a strange living environment and new classmates from different districts. According to the conservation of resources theory (COR) (58), the loss of individual resources can cause a stress response, such as depression. The resource investment principle indicates that investing in existing resources can prevent future resource losses. Therefore, freshmen must build strong interpersonal relationships, which will help provide a strong foundation for future study and life. However, failure in social interactions can result in cumulative interpersonal relationship risks that can decrease an individual's resilience (23). In addition, maladaptation to the surrounding environment also hinders the development of good interpersonal relationships (59). Due to their introverted or shy character, some freshmen became too cautious and develop a sense of inferiority within interpersonal interactions-a typical symptom of interpersonal sensitivity (10). If the intervention does not happen in time, it will develop into depression (60). Timely intervention can effectively prevent and alleviate the interpersonal sensitivity and depressive symptoms of freshmen.

The findings from this study highlight that PsyCap plays a moderating role in the process of the mitigation of interpersonal sensitivity to depression. Compared to those with low PsyCap, freshmen with high PsyCap show fewer depressive symptoms caused by interpersonal sensitivity. As a comprehensive complex of multiple positive traits, PsyCap has led to significant reductions in depressive symptoms among patients (61). Impaired interpersonal relationships can lead to unmet needs for belonging (7), decrease an individual's resilience (23), and develop into depression. According to the gain paradox principle, resources gain more importance when individuals lose some resources (58), meaning individuals fear losing the remaining resources even more. One reason for studying psychological capital is that it can be explored and developed. Previous research has discovered that optimism can moderate the effect of thwarted belongingness on suicidal ideation (62), and self-efficacy was found to contribute to interpersonal behavior (63). After failing in interpersonal interaction, freshmen with high self-efficacy and optimism are not afraid of interacting with others again. Research has shown that school adaptation can be indirectly affected by interpersonal relationships via resilience among Chinese university students (64), and military training can reduce depression in freshmen by improving psychological resilience (65). In addition, hope

serves as a predictor of negative affective conditions linked to interpersonal violence among students in China (66). As a highorder positive resource, PsyCap offers stronger psychological protection than any other single resource. A high level of PsyCap can replenish the resources consumed in interpersonal failure and further reduce depression in freshmen. Intervention should be conducted with those freshmen with low PsyCap to prevent and reduce their depressive symptoms. In addition, the direct moderating effect of familial support did not appear significant in our study. We inferred that family support directly moderates the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depression only when it is sensed and utilized by individuals. According to Beck's cognitive theory, depressed individuals have cognitive biases that cause them to tend to ignore positive information and pay more attention to negative information (67). Therefore, depressed freshmen are unlikely to take initiative to receive family support, which prevents the family support from moderating the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depression.

The present study has discovered that family support has a moderating effect on the conditional influence of PsyCap. Specifically, in the context of low PsyCap, it was found that freshmen with high levels of family support exhibit less depression than those with low family support. When freshmen have insufficient development of internal resources, the role of external resources becomes more critical. Previous research has indicated that increasing the familial support of freshmen in the transitional stage of university may help to prevent depressive symptoms (48). Correct and appropriate family support has a lasting effect on individuals' mental health because it shapes individuals' internalized views of interpersonal relationships and their general expectations of whether they will be accepted or rejected by others (49). In addition, our study further reveals that freshmen with a high level of PsyCap and family support have a lower depression score than those with a low level of PsyCap and family support, and a slope difference test was significant. This result was predictable. Compared with those who lack resources, freshmen with high internal and external resources will certainly deal with depression more successfully. However, this result verified the corollary of the initial resource effect of COR. What is more is that the present study also found that freshmen with low PsyCap display more depression than those with high PsyCap in the context of low family support, which confirmed H2. Interestingly, although the slope difference was not significant, the results shown in Figure 3 reveal that freshmen with a lower level of family support have a lower depression score than those with high family support in the context of high PsyCap and high interpersonal sensitivity. We inferred that overly high family support destroys freshmen's autonomy, especially in those with high PsyCap. Those with high PsyCap have a certain degree of confidence and ability to deal with their own problems. In line with the selfdetermination theory, overly high family support can destroy

their competence (propensity to be secure and confident in their own abilities) and autonomy (ability to make personal choices) (68, 69). Previous research has indicated that parental overprotection increases individuals' interpersonal sensitivity (70). Their excessive involvement may reduce individuals' autonomy and increase their fear of social life and difficulty in dealing with social relationships (71). In Chinese culture, it is true that some parents are overly involved in their children's lives and want to arrange and plan everything for their children. For freshmen who have just entered college and are separated from their parents, this may facilitate some practical elements of their life, but it will also make them more prone to negative emotions in the case of interpersonal failure. Hence, for individuals with high internal positive resources, family members should provide appropriate familial support so as to cultivate their autonomy. The appropriate level of support is relative; however, for those with low PsyCap, very high family support would be the only positive support. They do not have enough self-ability to cope with depression, so they can only rely on attachment and support from their family. Thus, a very high level of family support would not disturb the autonomy of freshmen with low PsyCap.

This study has some limitations that must be acknowledged. First, due to its cross-sectional observational nature, our study was not effective enough to explain causality. Future studies should use longitudinal data to test moderating effects. Second, because the main purpose of the present study was to explore an intervention mechanism, it did not study the effect of covariates on depression, which may lead to bias in the outcomes. Future research should include appropriate control variables. Third, we have not explored the specific role of the components of PsyCap in the moderated mechanism. Fourth, there may be a bidirectional relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depression (72, 73). Our study did not explore the effect of depression on freshmen's interpersonal sensitivity, which requires further research. Fifth, the sample selection is relatively simple, all freshmen from a university in eastern China, which will affect the generalizability of our results.

# Conclusion

Our study revealed that PsyCap can negatively moderate the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and depression among Chinese college freshmen. Moreover, family support can further weaken the effect of interpersonal sensitivity on depression among those with low PsyCap. To combat the issue of depression among college freshmen, school authorities should pay more attention to the mental health of freshmen. Mental health departments can carry out a psychological capital development intervention plan for those freshmen with depressive tendencies. Meanwhile, the families of freshmen should provide appropriate familial support to help the freshmen through the transition period.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# Author contributions

HX contributed to the conception and design of the study, the manuscript preparation, and the final revision. LP performed the data analysis and drafted the initial manuscript. ZW revised the manuscripts. PZ guided the statistical analysis process. XL contributed to the conception and design of the study, investigated the data, and established the databases. All authors contributed to the manuscript, read, and approved the final version of the manuscript for submission.

# Funding

This work was supported by the Industry–University Cooperative Project of the Ministry of Education (202101085008) and the Xuzhou Science and Technology Project under Grant (KC21306).

# Acknowledgments

We would like to appreciate all the participants and mental health department staff included in the study.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

1. Friedman RA. Grief, depression, and the DSM-5. N Engl J Med. (2012) 366:1855–7. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp1201794

2. Adler D. Job performance deficits due to depression. Am J Psychiatry. (2006) 163:1569-76. doi: 10.1176/ajp.2006.163.9.1569

3. Bai L, Huang C, Xu Z, Huang T, Guan X, Shi L. Differences of healthcare utilization and cost between cancer inpatients with and without depression: based on national health insurance database. *Psychooncology.* (2021) 30:979–81. doi: 10. 1002/pon.5660

4. Kalin NH. Anxiety, depression, and suicide in youth. Am J Psychiatry. (2021) 178:275–9. doi: 10.1007/s11126-021-09959-y

5. Huprich SK, Lengu K, Evich C. Interpersonal problems and their relationship to depression, self-esteem, and malignant self-regard. *J Pers Disord*. (2016) 30:742–61. doi: 10.1521/pedi\_2015\_29\_227

6. Jung G, Oh J, Jung IC. Depression and physical health as serial mediators between interpersonal problems and binge-eating behavior among hospital nurses in South Korea. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs.* (2021) 35:250–4. doi: 10.1016/j.apnu.2021.02. 003

7. Baumeister RF, Brewer LE, Tice DM, Twenge JM. Thwarting the need to belong: understanding the interpersonal and inner effects of social exclusion. *Soc Pers Psychol Compass.* (2007) 1:506–20. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-9004.2007.00020.x

8. Chen R, Peng K, Liu J, Wilson A, Wang Y, Wilkinon MR, et al. Interpersonal trauma and risk of depression among adolescents: the mediating and moderating effect of interpersonal relationship and physical exercise. *Front Psychiatry.* (2020) 11:194. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00194

9. Hames JL, Hagan CR, Joiner TE. Interpersonal processes in depression. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. (2013) 9:355–77. doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185553

10. Derogatis LR, Rickels K, Rock AF. The SCL-90 and the MMPI: a step in the validation of a new self-report scale. *Br J Psychiatry*. (1976) 128:280–9. doi: 10.1192/bjp.128.3.280

11. Boyce P, Mason C. An overview of depression-prone personality traits and the role of interpersonal sensitivity. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. (1996) 30:90–103. doi: 10.3109/00048679609076076

12. Boyce P, Parker G. Development of a scale to measure interpersonal sensitivity. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. (1989) 23:341–51. doi: 10.3109/00048678909068291

13. Otani K, Suzuki A, Matsumoto Y, Shirata T. Close relation of interpersonal sensitivity with negative core beliefs about the self, the central construct of cognitive vulnerability to depression. *Psychiatry Res.* (2018) 263:162–5. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.03.015

14. Chahar Mahali S, Beshai S, Feeney JR, Mishra S. Associations of negative cognitions, emotional regulation, and depression symptoms across four continents: international support for the cognitive model of depression. *BMC Psychiatry.* (2020) 20:18. doi: 10.1186/s12888-019-2423-x

15. Vrshek-Schallhorn S, Stroud CB, Mineka S, Hammen C, Zinbarg RE, Wolitzky-Taylor K, et al. Chronic and episodic interpersonal stress as statistically unique predictors of depression in two samples of emerging adults. *J Abnorm Psychol.* (2015) 124:918–32. doi: 10.1037/abn0000088

16. Lu W, Bian Q, Song YY, Ren JY, Xu XY, Zhao M. Prevalence and related risk factors of anxiety and depression among Chinese college freshmen. *J Huazhong Univ Sci Technolog Med Sci.* (2015) 35:815–22. doi: 10.1007/s11596-015-1512-4

17. Elkind D. Erik Erikson's eight ages of man. *New York Times Magaz.* (1970) 5:25-7.

18. Janatolmakan M, Andaieshgar B, Aryan A, Jafari F, Khatony A. Comparison of depression rate between the first- and final-year nursing students in Kermanshah, Iran. *Psychol Res Behav Manag.* (2019) 12:1147–53. doi: 10.2147/PRBM.S238873

19. Li W, Zhao Z, Chen D, Peng Y, Lu Z. Prevalence and associated factors of depression and anxiety symptoms among college students: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* (2022). doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13606 [Epub ahead of print].

20. Tang W, Dai Q. Depressive symptoms among first-year Chinese undergraduates: the roles of socio-demographics, coping style, and social support. *Psychiatry Res.* (2018) 270:89–96. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.09.027

21. Liang J, Zhang X, Wang J, Feng L, Liu B. Mental health status of college freshmen and influencing factors. *Psychology.* (2020) 11:737-47. doi: 10.4236/ psych.2020.115050

22. Bowman NA. The development of psychological well-being among first-year college students. *J Coll Student Dev.* (2010) 51:180–200. doi: 10.1353/csd.0.0118

23. Zhao YA, Zhao YA, Yt B, Chen LC. Cumulative interpersonal relationship risk and resilience models for bullying victimization and depression in adolescents. *Pers Individ Differ*. (2019) 155:109706. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2019.109706

24. Fiorilli C, Grimaldi Capitello T, Barni D, Buonomo I, Gentile S. Predicting adolescent depression: the interrelated roles of self-esteem and interpersonal stressors. *Front Psychol.* (2019) 10:565. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00565

25. Foulkes L, Blakemore SJ. Individual differences in adolescent mental health during COVID-19: the importance of peer relationship quality. *Neuron.* (2021) 109:3203–5. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2021.07.027

26. Ding X, Zhao T, Li X, Yang Z, Tang YY. Exploring the relationship between trait mindfulness and interpersonal sensitivity for chinese college students: the mediating role of negative emotions and moderating role of effectiveness/authenticity. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 12:624340. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg. 2021.624340

27. Lin L, Wang X, Li Q, Xia B, Chen P, Wang W. The influence of interpersonal sensitivity on smartphone addiction: a moderated mediation model. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 12:670223. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.670223

28. Zhang X, Gao F, Kang Z, Zhou H, Zhang J, Li J, et al. Perceived academic stress and depression: the mediation role of mobile phone addiction and sleep quality. *Front Public Health.* (2022) 10:760387. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.760387

29. Yu S, Zhan L. Effect of restricted group sandplay on interpersonal sensitivity in college students. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 12:771209. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021. 771209

30. Seligman ME, Csikszentmihalyi M. Positive psychology. An introduction. Am Psychol. (2000) 55:5–14. doi: 10.1007/978-94-017-9088-8\_18

31. Luthans F, Youssef CM. Human, social and now positive psychological capital management: investing in people for competitive advantage. *Organ Dyn.* (2004) 33:143–60. doi: 10.1016/j.orgdyn.2004.01.003

32. Luthans F, Avolio BJ, Avey JB, Norman SM. Positive psychological capital: measurement and relationship with performance and satisfaction. *Pers Psychol.* (2007) 60:541–72. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-6570.2007.00083.x

33. Zhao Y, Li L, Wang X. Relationship between adolescent depression and insecure attachment: mediating effect of psychological capital. *Psychiatr Danub.* (2021) 33:499–505. doi: 10.24869/psyd.2021.499

34. Yu X, Zhang L, Lin Z, Zhou Z, Hazer-Rau D, Li P, et al. Ostracism, psychological capital, perceived social support and depression among economically disadvan taged youths: a moderated mediation model. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2021) 18:11282. doi: 10.3390/ijerph182111282

35. Bakker DJ, Lyons ST, Conlon PD. An exploration of the relationship between psychological capital and depression among first-year doct or of veterinary medicine students. *J Vet Med Educ.* (2017) 44:50–62. doi: 10.3138/jvme.0116-006R

36. Hao J, Wu D, Liu L, Li X, Wu H. Association between work-family conflict and depressive symptoms among chinese female nurses: the mediating and moderating role of psychological capital. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2015) 12:6682–99. doi: 10.3390/ijerph120606682

37. Wang W, Mehmood A, Li P, Yang Z, Niu J, Chu H, et al. Perceived stress and smartphone addiction in medical college students: the mediating role of negative emotions and the moderating role of psychological capital. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 12:660234. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.660234

38. Bi X, Jin J. Psychological capital, college adaptation, and internet addiction: an analysis based on moderated mediation model. *Front Psychiatry*. (2021) 12:712964. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.712964

39. Lipschitz-Elhawi R, Itzhaky H. The contribution of internal resources, external resources, and emotional distress to use of drugs and alcohol among Israeli Jewish urban adolescents. *Subst Use Misuse*. (2014) 49:475–8. doi: 10.3109/10826084.2013.856638

40. Shani-Sherman T, Dolgin MJ, Leibovitch L, Mazkereth R. Internal and external resources and the adjustment of parents of premature infants. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings.* (2019) 26:339–52. doi: 10.1007/s10880-018-9583-6

41. Greimel E, Kato Y, Muller-Gartner M, Salchinger B, Roth R, Freidl W. Internal and external resources as determinants of health and quality of life. *PLoS One.* (2016) 11:e0153232. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0153232

42. Cobb S. Presidential address-1976. Social support as a moderator of life stress. Psychosom Med. (1976) 38:300–14. doi: 10.1097/00006842-197609000-00003

43. Cohen S, Wills TA. Stress, social support, and the buffering hypothesis. Psychol Bull. (1985) 98:310–57. doi: 10.1037//0033-2909.98.2.310

44. Giskin H, Walsh BS. An Introduction to Chinese Culture Through the Family. Albany, NY: SUNY Press (2001).

45. Harris TL, Molock SD. Cultural orientation, family cohesion, and family support in suicide ideation and depression among African American college students. *Suicide Life Threat Behav.* (2000) 30:341–53. doi: 10.1111/j.1943-278X. 2000.tb01100.x

46. Nasser EH, Overholser JC. Recovery from major depression: the role of support from family, friends, and spiritual beliefs. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* (2005) 111:125–32. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.2004.00423.x

47. Sheeber L, Hops H, Alpert A, Davis B, Andrews J. Family support and conflict: prospective relations to adolescent depression. *J Abnorm Child Psychol.* (1997) 25:333–44. doi: 10.1023/a:1025768504415

48. Levens SM, Elrahal F, Sagui SJ. The role of family support and perceived stress reactivity in predicting depression in college freshman. J Soc Clin Psychol. (2016) 35:342–55. doi: 10.1521/jscp.2016.35. 4.342

49. Zhang Y, Tian W, Xin Y, Zhou Q, Yan G, Zhou J, et al. Quantile regression analysis of the association between parental rearing and interpersonal sensitivity in Chinese adolescents. *BMC Public Health.* (2022) 22:66. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-12487-w

50. Xi Y, Xu Y, Wang Y. Too-much-of-a-good-thing effect of external resource investment-a study on the moderating effect of psychological capital on the contribution of social support to work engagement. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2020) 17:437. doi: 10.3390/ijerph1702 0437

51. Kroenke K. PHQ-9: global uptake of a depression scale. *World Psychiatry.* (2021) 20:135–6. doi: 10.1002/wps.20821

52. You Z, Zhang Y, Zhang L, Xu Y, Chen X. How does self-esteem affect mobile phone addiction? The mediating role of social anxiety and interpersonal sensitivity. *Psychiatry Res.* (2019) 271:526–31. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.1 2.040

53. Procidano ME, Heller K. Measures of perceived social support from friends and from family: three validation studies. *Am J Community Psychol.* (1983) 11:1–24. doi: 10.1007/bf00898416

54. Kuder GF, Richardson MW. The theory of the estimation of test reliability. *Psychometrika*. (1937) 2:151–60. doi: 10.1007/BF02288391

55. Hayes AF, Rockwood NJ. Conditional process analysis: concepts, computation, and advances in the modeling of the contingencies of mechanisms. *Am Behav Sci.* (2019) 64:19–54.

56. Dawson JF, Richter AW. Probing three-way interactions in moderated multiple regression: development and application of a slope difference test. *J Appl Psychol.* (2006) 91:917–26. doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.91.4.917

57. Zhao X, Wu M, Zhang D, Sun Y, Yang Y, Xie H, et al. The relationship of interpersonal sensitivity and depression among patients with chronic atrophic gas tritis: the mediating role of coping styles. *J Clin Nurs.* (2018) 27:e984–91. doi: 10.1111/jocn.14114

58. Hobfoll SE, Halbesleben J, Neveu J-P, Westman M. Conservation of resources in the organizational context: the reality of resources and their consequences. *Annu Rev Organ Psychol Organ Behav.* (2018) 5:103–28. doi: 10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-032117-104640

59. Aldam SFS, Keliat BA, Wardani IY, Sulistiowati NMD, Florensa MVA. Risk factors of mental health in adolescents: emotional, behavioral, family, and peer relationship problems. *Compr Child Adolesc Nurs.* (2019) 42(Suppl. 1):284–90. doi: 10.1080/24694193.2019.1594461

60. Vidyanidhi K, Sudhir PM. Interpersonal sensitivity and dysfunctional cognitions in social anxiety and depression. *Asian J Psychiatr.* (2009) 2:25–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2008.12.001

61. Song R, Sun N, Song X. The efficacy of psychological capital intervention (PCI) for depression from the perspective of positive psychology: a pilot study. *Front Psychol.* (2019) 10:1816. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.0 1816

62. Rasmussen KA, Wingate LR. The role of optimism in the interpersonalpsychological theory of suicidal behavior. *Suicide Life Threat Behav.* (2011) 41:137– 48. doi: 10.1111/j.1943-278X.2011.00022.x

63. Morimoto T, Matsuyama K, Ichihara-Takeda S, Murakami R, Ikeda N. Influence of self-efficacy on the interpersonal behavior of schizophrenia patients undergoing rehabilitation in psychiatric day-care services. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* (2012) 66:203–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1819.2012.02 332.x

64. Zhang X, Huang PF, Li BQ, Xu WJ, Li W, Zhou B. The influence of interpersonal relationships on school adaptation among Chinese university students during COVID-19 control period: multiple mediating roles of social support and resilience. *J Affect Disord*. (2021) 285:97–104. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021. 02.040

65. Guo R, Sun M, Zhang C, Fan Z, Liu Z, Tao H. The Role of Military Training in Improving Psychological Resilience and Reducing Depression Among College Freshmen. *Front Psychiatry.* (2021) 12:641396. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.641396

66. Li M, Chang EC, Chang OD. Beyond the role of interpersonal violence in predicting negative affective conditions in adults: an examination of hope components in chinese college students. *J Interpers Violence.* (2022) 37:2150–64. doi: 10.1177/088626052093 8515

67. Beck AT, Haigh E. Advances in cognitive theory and therapy: the generic cognitive model. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol.* (2014) 10:1–24. doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032813-153734

68. Scharf M, Rousseau S, Bsoul S. Overparenting and young adults' interpersonal sensitivity: cultural and parental gender-related diversity. *J Child Family Stud.* (2017) 26:1356–64. doi: 10.1007/s10826-016-0652-x

69. Deci EL, Ryan RM. The" what" and" why" of goal pursuits: human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychol Inquiry*. (2000) 11:227–68. doi: 10.1207/S15327965PLI1104\_01

70. Otani K, Suzuki A, Matsumoto Y, Kamata M. Parental overprotection increases interpersonal sensitivity in healthy subjects. *Compr Psychiatry.* (2009) 50:54–7. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2008.0 5.009

71. Rowe SL, Gembeck MJ, Rudolph J, Nesdale D. A longitudinal study of rejecting and autonomy-restrictive parenting, rejection sensitivity, and socioemotional symptoms in early adolescents. *J Abnorm Child Psychol.* (2015) 43:1107–18. doi: 10.1007/s10802-014-9966-6

72. Triscoli C, Croy I, Sailer U. Depression predicts interpersonal problems partially through the attitude towards social touch. *J Affect Disord*. (2019) 246:234–40. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2018.12.054

73. Masillo A, Day F, Laing J, Howes O, Fusar-Poli P, Byrne M, et al. Interpersonal sensitivity in the at-risk mental state for psychosis. *Psychol Med.* (2012) 42:1835–45. doi: 10.1017/S0033291711002996