Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Katie Palmer, Karolinska Institutet (KI), Sweden

REVIEWED BY Joey Ward, University of Glasgow, United Kingdom Sónia Martins, University of Porto, Portugal

*CORRESPONDENCE José Wagner Leonel Tavares-Júnior wagnerleoneljr@gmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Aging Psychiatry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

RECEIVED 25 May 2022 ACCEPTED 11 July 2022 PUBLISHED 10 August 2022

CITATION

Tavares-Júnior JWL, Oliveira DN, da Silva JBS, Feitosa WLQ, Sousa AVM, Cunha LCV, Gaspar SdB, Gomes CMP, de Oliveira LLB, Moreira-Nunes CA, Montenegro RC, Sobreira-Neto MA and Braga-Neto P (2022) Long-covid cognitive impairment: Cognitive assessment and apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotyping correlation in a Brazilian cohort. *Front. Psychiatry* 13:947583. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.947583

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Tavares-Júnior, Oliveira, da Silva, Feitosa, Sousa, Cunha, Gaspar, Gomes, de Oliveira, Moreira-Nunes, Montenegro, Sobreira-Neto and Braga-Neto. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Long-covid cognitive impairment: Cognitive assessment and apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotyping correlation in a Brazilian cohort

José Wagner Leonel Tavares-Júnior^{1*†}, Danilo Nunes Oliveira^{1†}, Jean Breno Silveira da Silva^{2†}, Werbety Lucas Queiroz Feitosa¹, Artur Victor Menezes Sousa¹, Letícia Chaves Vieira Cunha¹, Safira de Brito Gaspar³, Carmem Meyve Pereira Gomes³, Laís Lacerda Brasil de Oliveira², Caroline Aquino Moreira-Nunes², Raquel Carvalho Montenegro², Manoel Alves Sobreira-Neto¹ and Pedro Braga-Neto^{1,3}

¹Neurology Section, Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Federal University of Ceará (UFC), Fortaleza, CE, Brazil, ²Drug Research and Development Center (NPDM), Pharmacogenetics Laboratory, Federal University of Ceará (UFC), Fortaleza, CE, Brazil, ³Center of Health Sciences, State University of Ceará, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil

Introduction: Few studies have objectively evaluated cognitive deficits after the acute phase of COVID-19 disease. Moreover, the role of apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotypes in cognitive decline in patients with COVID-19 has not been evaluated yet.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted in confirmed cases of COVID-19 patients with neurological symptoms that persisted for more than 3 months from the onset. We determined APOE genotypes.

Results: The final sample consisted of 141 patients. The most frequent APOE genotype was E3/E3 (N = 95; 67.3%). In total, 93 patients (65.9%) had memory impairment symptoms as the main complaint, objectively confirmed through screening tests in 25 patients (17.7%). Patients with cognitive impairment had a lower frequency of anosmia than the normal and subjective cognitive decline (SCD) groups (p = 0.005). In addition, depression was recurrent in the cognitive impairment was significantly more frequent in hospitalized patients and those with a lower education level. Cognitive status was not associated with APOE genotypes.

Discussion: Hospitalized patients had more severe infection with a greater possibility of systemic complications, greater inflammatory response, and prolonged hospitalization, which could impact cognitive performance. Cognitive impairment in patients with COVID-19 does not necessarily involve specific APOE polymorphisms. However, psychiatric disorders may also be responsible for cognitive complaints. Cognitive complaints are frequent in patients with COVID-19, even after the acute phase of the disease and in mild

cases. Hospitalized participants and depressed patients may have a higher risk of cognitive impairment. APOE genotypes or haplotypes may not significantly play a role in COVID-19 cognitive impairment.

KEYWORDS

COVID-19, cognitive impairment, SARS-CoV-2 infection, dementia, risk factor

Introduction

The COVID-19 outbreak started in Wuhan, China and was declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on 11 March 2020, with high infection and mortality levels worldwide.¹ COVID-19 has a wide range of clinical manifestations, such as neurological manifestations (1, 2). In a study conducted in Wuhan, 36.4% of the patients had some neurological manifestation, with central or peripheral neurological involvement, such as dizziness, headache, altered level of consciousness, stroke, ataxia, and epilepsy (3).

Aside from general neurological manifestations, cognitive impairment was evaluated in different COVID-19 phases. A Chinese study evaluated the cognition of 29 patients with COVID-19, correlating cognitive complaints to high C-reactive protein (CRP) levels during the disease's acute phase (4). Another study evaluated cognitive impairment in outpatients using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), finding cognitive impairment in patients with mild symptomatic COVID-19 after 12 weeks of COVID-19 onset (5). Moreover, different cognitive presentations have been described in acute patients, such as encephalopathy associated with severe conditions and akinetic mutism associated with frontal hypometabolism in brain fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-PET (6, 7).

More severe COVID-19 manifestations in patients have been correlated with the APOE-4 allele of the apolipoprotein E (APOE) gene (8). This association is significant since the same allele confers a higher risk of sporadic Alzheimer's disease (AD) (9). Furthermore, a previous study showed that single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) rs429358 and rs7412 of the APOE gene are associated with ischemic cerebral infarction, which is essential given the contribution of cerebrovascular diseases in the pathophysiology of many dementia cases (10, 11). As far as we know, no publications have evaluated cognitive manifestations after COVID-19 and correlated them with APOE polymorphisms. Similarly, we observed a limited number of studies in the literature evaluating cognitive manifestations in patients after the COVID-19 acute phase.

This study aimed to determine the relationship between COVID-19 and cognitive impairment and APOE gene polymorphisms in an outpatient public university hospital in Northeast Brazil.

Methods

Patients and clinical assessment

This cross-sectional study was conducted with COVID-19 outpatients at the Walter Cantídio University Hospital in Fortaleza, Northeast Brazil. Patients were recruited from July to August 2020 from an ongoing prospective longitudinal study by our research group.

We included patients with a diagnosis of COVID-19 confirmed in the past 12 months by nasal swab reverse transcription (RT)-polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or serological test, with any neurological symptom that persisted for more than 3 months from the onset. We excluded patients who did not undergo confirmatory testing for COVID-19 and those without neurological complaints (e.g., headaches, cognitive complaints, and others). Evaluations were performed in the neurology outpatient clinic of the Walter Cantídio University Hospital of the Federal University of Ceará, Brazil.

Patients were clinically evaluated by two independent neurologists (JWLTJ and DNO). The same clinical evaluation and identification form was applied to all patients. Age, gender, schooling, initial neurological symptoms, hospitalization, COVID-19 test type, complementary tests, comorbidities, alcohol abuse, and tobacco history were questioned. Moreover, the Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnea scale was applied to assess dyspnea before and after COVID-19 (12).

Cognitive assessment

Participants were submitted to Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination-Revised (ACE-R), the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), and the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR). Pfeffer's instrumental activities of the daily living scale were applied to assess functionality, and the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) was applied to assess mood, or the Beck Inventory, depending on the age of the patient (13–18). Furthermore, the Prospective and Retrospective Memory Questionnaire (PRMQ) scale was applied for retrospective memory assessment (19). The values of 58, 76, and 83 were used as the cutoff points for the ACE-R, respectively, for <4, 4–8, and > 8 schooling years (20, 21). Concerning the MMSE, we employed the cutoff points of 19 and 24, respectively, for 0 and up to 4 schooling years (22, 23). In addition, patients

¹ https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novelcoronavirus-2019 (accessed August 17, 2021).

were defined as healthy if CDR = 0 and cognitively impaired if CDR = 0.5 (15). Functional impairment was defined by a score of 3 on the Pfeffer scale (24). Regarding psychiatric evaluation, we used a cutoff point of 3 on the GDS and 10 on the Beck inventory to diagnose depression (18, 25). In this study, cognitive impairment was defined when a cognitive complaint was confirmed by screening tests, regardless of functional impairment. Patients with cognitive complaints without objective impairment in the tests performed were characterized as subjective cognitive decline (SCD) (26).

APOE genotyping analysis

According to the manufacturer's instructions, the patient's blood samples were collected in EDTA tubes, and subsequently, genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes with the commercial PureLinkTM Genomic DNA Mini Kit[®] (Invitrogen) (25). APOE genotypes were determined by real-time PCR (qPCR) using the TaqMan[®] allelic discrimination system (TaqMan[®] SNP Genotyping Assay, ThermoFisher[®]) (26). To this end, we used probes per sequences provided by the manufacturer: C___3084793_20 (rs429358) and C____904973_10 (rs7412), observing the information contained in the catalog number: 4351379, and similar protocols were used, described in the literature, for performing the technique. All analyses were performed in the QuantStudio[®] 5 qPCR platform (Applied Biosystems[®], Foster City, CA, USA) (27).^{2, 3, 4, 5}

Statistical analysis

Categorical data were expressed as absolute counts and percentages. Chi-square tests were used to evaluate the association among categorical data. Continuous data were first evaluated for normal distribution using the Kolmogorov– Smirnov test (28). Normal data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) and non-normal data as the median and interquartile range (IQR). Continuous data were compared among three groups per cognitive impairment (normal or cognitive unimpaired [CU] vs. cognitive impairment vs. subjective cognitive decline [SCD]). We compared normal data using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's *post hoc test*, and we adopted the Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn's *post hoc test* for non-normal data (29). We analyzed data using SPSS software for Macintosh, version 23 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Ethical aspects

The Research Ethics Committee of the Walter Cantídio University Hospital approved the study project under the number 4.092.933. All patients signed an Informed Consent Form with the right to privacy and confidentiality of the information obtained and could refuse to participate in the proposed activities and questions.

Results

In total, 207 individuals were screened, of which 66 were excluded (48 for not having performed blood collection, 10 for not showing neurological symptoms, and 8 for not having tested positive for COVID-19 through tests) (Figure 1). The final number of patients included in this study was 141, and all the following analyses were conducted on them. Patients were evaluated, on average, 4.5 months after COVID-19.

Table 1 presents a descriptive summary of the patients' characteristics. There was a female predominance (63.1%). The mean age was 48 years (16-90; SD = 14), with most patients having schooling >12 years (54.6%) (Figure 2). Most patients were not hospitalized in the acute phase of the disease (65.2%), and a minority had a severe clinical condition requiring admission to the intensive care unit (ICU) (3.5%). The most frequent APOE genotype was E3/E3 with 67.3% of cases, with a predominance of the E3 allele (96.5%); the second was the genotype E3/E4, corresponding to 23.4% of all cases, and the E4 allele (26.2%). In addition, 93 of the 141 patients (65.9%) had memory impairment symptoms as the main complaint. However, such complaint was objectively confirmed through screening tests in 25 patients (17.7%). In patients with cognitive impairment, we detected new dementia or deteriorated previous dementia in 2.8% of the total sample.

Regarding ACE-R and its sub-items evaluation, the cognitive impairment group showed a worse profile in total ACE-R and all sub-items (Tables 2, 3). The cognitive impairment group had decreased total ACE-R and sub-items than the normal and SCD groups (p < 0.001) (Figure 3).

Furthermore, other tests showed alterations in the cognitive impairment group. The MEEM score decreased in the cognitive impairment group compared with the normal and SCD groups (median of 23.5 [IQR of 17.5–26.5] vs. 29 [28–30] vs. 29 [28–30], respectively, p < 0.001). Concerning Beck's depression inventory, a statistical difference was only observed between the SCD group and the normal group, where SCD had increased levels (p = 0.030). Regarding Pfeffer's score, cognitive impairment had increased levels compared with the normal and SCD groups (Table 4; Figure 4). Before and after, there was no

² https://www.thermofisher.com/order/catalog/product/K182002#/ K182002

³ https://www.thermofisher.com/order/catalog/product/4351379#/ 4351379

⁴ https://www.thermofisher.com/order/genome-database/details/ genotyping/C___3084793_20?CID=&ICID=&subtype=

⁵ https://www.thermofisher.com/order/genome-database/details/ genotyping/C____904973_10?CID=&ICID=&subtype=



TABLE 1	Participant demographics, clinical characteristics, APOE
genotype	e and cognition impairment.

Variables	n	%
Gender		
Male	52	36.9%
Female	89	63.1%
Scholarity (years)		
0	4	2.8%
1-4	6	4.3%
5-8	17	12.1%
9–12	37	26.2%
>12	77	54.6%
Hospitalization		
No	92	65.2%
Yes	36	25.5%
APOE genotype		
E2/E2	1	0.7%
E2/E3	8	5.7%
E2/E4	1	0.7%
E3/E3	95	67.3%
E3/E4	33	23.4%
E4/E4	3	2.1%
APOE allele		
E2	10	7.1%
E3	136	96.5%
E4	37	26.2%
Cognition		
Normal	48	34,0%
CI	25	17.7%
SCD	68	48.2%

CI, cognitive impaired; SCD, Subjective cognitive decline.

statistical significance between the groups for evaluations with other scores, such as PRMQ, GDS, and MRC.

Table 5 shows the correlation between patients' cognitive impairment and other symptoms (anosmia, depression, and headache). The cognitive impairment group had a lower frequency of anosmia than the normal and SCD groups (4 vs. 40 vs. 34%, respectively, p = 0.005). Depression was more frequent in the SCD and cognitive impairment groups than in the normal group (50 vs. 40 vs. 27%, respectively, p = 0.046). A total of 48 patients developed depression after COVID-19. In total, nine patients were hospitalized in the acute phase of the disease. Moreover, they had a mean ACER score of 85.7 [62–99], 63% were women, and the mean age was 43.4 years.

Table 6 shows patients' demographics and APOE genotyping with cognitive status correlation. Cognitive status had no association with APOE genotypes (p = 0.840) or alleles (Table 6). Conversely, the cognitive impairment was significantly more frequent in hospitalized patients and those with a lower education level (Table 6). Table 7 describes age comparisons concerning patients' cognitive status. The cognitive impairment group was significantly older than the SCD and normal groups (Table 7; Figure 2).

Discussion

Cognitive complaints are common during and after COVID-19, but few studies have objectively evaluated such complaints, especially after the acute phase of the disease (5). Furthermore, the literature has not yet reported the assessment of specific APOE haplotypes or genotypes with such cognitive complaints after COVID-19. In this study, we evaluated an outpatient population. Cognitive changes were the main complaints, even



TABLE 2 Total ACER, ACER subitens, MMSE, PRMQ, Beck, GDS, Pfeffer, and MRC scores.

Instruments	Mean	ean Minimum Maximum		Standard deviation	Median	Mean (95%CI)	
						LL	UL
Total ACE-R	82.5	12.0	100.0	15.1	87.0	80.0	85.1
Attention and orientation	16.5	4.0	18.0	2.6	18.0	16.1	17.0
Memory	19.4	0.0	26.0	5.1	20.0	18.6	20.3
Fluency	9.6	0.0	14.0	3.1	10.0	9.1	10.1
Language	23.5	4.0	26.0	4.3	25.0	22.7	24.2
Visuospatial	13.6	0.0	20.0	2.9	14.0	13.1	14.0
MMSE	27.4	10.0	30.0	3.7	29.0	26.8	28.0
PRMQ	7.0	5.0	25.0	4.0	5.0	6.3	7.7
Beck	5.2	0.0	21.0	6.6	0.0	4.0	6.4
GDS	3.7	0.0	12.0	3.6	3.0	2.1	5.3
Pfeffer	1.7	0.0	30.0	6.7	0.0	0.6	2.8
MRC before	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1
MRC after	0.6	0.0	3.0	0.9	0.0	0.4	0.7

LL, Lower limit; UL, Upper limit; ACE-R, Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination-Revised; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; MRC, Medical Research Council; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; PRMQ, Prospective and Retrospective Memory Questionnaire's scale.

in mild cases with a low mean age of 48 years and an average assessment of 4 months after the COVID-19 diagnosis. Analyzed by a cognitive screening instrument, we confirmed objective cognitive deficits in some subjects. Furthermore, depression was more common in subjects with SCD compared to the normal group.

Other studies have evaluated the association between cognitive impairment and COVID-19, both in the

acute/subacute phase (<12 weeks) or after this period (>12 weeks) of the disease (30, 31). This differentiation is crucial since other factors can contribute to cognitive complaints, such as hospitalization, hypoxemia, and delirium in the acute phase of the disease (32-34). Our study, for example, found a significantly higher number of subjects with cognitive complaints and cognitive impairment hospitalized during the

TABLE 3 Total ACE-R and subitens scores comparison in relation of patients cognitive status.

	(
	Normal $(n = 48)$	CI $(n = 25)$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{SCD} \\ (n = 68) \end{array}$	P-value*
Total ACE-R	89 (81–93)	65.5 (46.5–76)	89 (84–92)	<0.001 ^A
Attention and orientation	18 (17–18)	14 (10–17.5)	18 (17–18)	<0.001 ^A
Memory	22 (19–24)	12.5 (10-15.5)	21 (19–23)	<0.001 ^A
Fluency	11 (8–12)	6.5 (3.5-8)	11 (9–12)	<0.001 ^A
Language	25 (24–26)	21 (15-22.5)	25 (24–26)	<0.001 ^A
Visuospatial	14 (13–16)	11 (8–13)	15 (14–16)	<0.001 ^A

Continuous data expressed as median and interquartile range between parenthesis. *Kruskal-Wallis test was applied with Dunn post-test. A: p < 0.001 between CI vs. SCD, and p < 0.001 between CI vs. Normal.

CI, cognitive impaired; SCD, Subjective cognitive decline.

acute phase of the disease. Possible explanations could be that hospitalized patients had more severe infection with a greater possibility of systemic complications, more significant inflammatory response, and prolonged hospitalization, all related to worse cognitive performance (33, 34). Negrini et al. evaluated cognitive impairment in discharged patients and demonstrated that cognitive malfunctioning appears to be linearly associated with the length of stay in the intensive care unit (35). Furthermore, two recent systematic reviews, one with meta-analysis, found a lower general cognition in patients with COVID-19 (36, 37). The meta-analysis with the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) showed lower scores for patients with COVID-19 compared to healthy controls (37). Conversely, other factors may account for the symptoms after the acute phase, such as inflammatory markers (4). This last finding is important, as previous evidence shows a possible causal role of microglial inflammation and Alzheimer's disease (38).

To correlate a possible genetical predisposition and a significant risk of developing cognitive impairment, we performed an APOE genotyping for SNPs rs429358 and rs7412 in those patients, which are widely discussed in the literature as responsible for increasing the risk of dementia and cognitive impairment (39–42). APOEs play a vital role in lipid transport and metabolism, thus influencing the risk of cardiovascular disease (10). They also have neuroprotective functions, including the E4 haplotype associated with an



CI vs. SCD and Normal. CI, coginitve impaired; SCD, subjective cognitive decline.

increased risk of Alzheimer's disease (9). The E3 allele, in turn, does not display a greater or lesser risk of developing Alzheimer's disease. Most of our sample had E3/E3 genotype (67.3%), and the E4 allele was detected in 26.2% of the cases, similar to previous studies in the Brazilian population where the E3/E3

TABLE 4 MEEM, PRMQ, Beck, GDS, Pfeffer, and MRC scores comparison in relation of patients cognitive status.

	Normal	CI $(n = 25)$	SCD	P-value*	
	(n = 48)		(n = 68)		
MEEM	29 (28-30)	23.5 (17.5–26.5)	29 (28-30)	<0.001 ^A	
PRMQ	5 (5-5.5)	5 (5-13)	5 (5–7)	0.079	
Beck	0 (0-5)	1.5 (0-14.5)	4 (0-12)	0.030 ^B	
GDS	3 (0-4)	3 (2-11)	1.5 (0-6)	0.407	
Pfeffer	0 (0-0)	0 (0-22)	0 (0-0)	<0.001 ^A	
MRC before	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0.885	
MRC after	0 (0-1)	0 (0-1)	0 (0-1)	0.333	

Continuous data expressed as median and interquartile range between parenthesis. The bold values indicate the statistically significant signaled values.

*Kruskal-Wallis test was applied with Dunn post-test.

A: p < 0.001 between CI vs. SCD and p < 0.001 between CI vs. Normal.

B: p = 0.024 between Normal vs. SCD. CI, cognitive impaired; SCD, Subjective cognitive decline.

oi, coginare imparea, o ob, oubjective coginare a

genotype was predominant (43). Our study did not evidence significant difference between groups regarding genotypes found or specific alleles, perhaps due to a low number of participants, mainly in the cognitive impairment group, despite a trend of a direct correlation between the cognitive impairment/SCD groups and the E4 allele, the same implicated in an increased risk of sporadic Alzheimer's disease (9). However, other factors could trigger cognitive impairment and do not necessarily involve specific APOE polymorphisms, such as inflammation, cerebral ischemia, and hypoxemia. To the best of our knowledge, no study has evaluated this association of post-COVID cognitive impairment with APOE polymorphism to date.

After the acute disease phase of COVID-19 infection, some patients have described some persistent symptoms, such as memory complaints, receiving the name "long-haulers" by some authors (44). This clinical picture is similar to that of myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome and the symptoms described after influenza (45, 46). However, post-COVID-19 symptoms occur at a higher frequency than influenza (46).

In this study, memory complaints without objective evidence were common and found in 65.9% of patients. Similarly, objective cognitive impairment detected through screening tests occurred in 17.7% of patients. These two backdrops refer to subjective cognitive decline and mild cognitive impairment conditions, whose gold standard for the diagnosis lies in extensive neuropsychological assessment not



	Cog			
	Normal = 48 n (%)	CI = 25 n (%)	SCD = 68 n (%)	P-value*
Anosmia				0.005
No	29 (60.4)	24 (96)	45 (66.2)	
Yes	19 (39.6)	1 (4)	23 (33.8)	
Depression				0.046
No	35 (72.9)	15 (60)	34 (50)	
Yes	13 (27.1)	10 (40)	34 (50)	
Headache				0.291
No	37 (77.1)	19 (76)	44 (64.7)	
Yes	11 (22.9)	6 (24)	24 (35.3)	

TABLE 5 Comparison between cognitive impairment in relation to other symptoms.

Categorical data expressed as absolute count and percentages between parenthesis. The bold values indicate the statistically significant signaled values.

*Chi-square test was used.

CI, cognitive impaired; SCD, Subjective cognitive decline.

performed in these patients (26, 47). These conditions are essential given the possible progression to Alzheimer's disease (48, 49).

Psychiatric disorders may also be responsible for cognitive complaints (50). In our study, subjects with SCD had significantly higher scores on Beck's depression inventory than the normal group, which is relevant since patients with SCD had more depressive symptoms (26). Furthermore, Ismael et al. evaluated patients with mild COVID-19 and showed that 26.2% of patients had depressive symptoms 2 months after infection (51). Moreover, the impact on patients' lives also contributes to depressive symptoms (52).

In addition, our study found an inverse correlation between cognitive impairment and anosmia, which was in disagreement with other studies. Cristillo et al. found a direct association between cognitive impairment and olfactory dysfunction in patients after COVID-19 but in an old sample, making it possible as a brain aging marker (53). Previous studies demonstrated that olfactory dysfunction occurred in elderly patients along with cognitive impairment as brain aging marker (54). Finally, our study did not find associations between cognitive impairment and headache. Notwithstanding this, this association between headache and cognitive impairment can be found in patients after the acute phase of COVID-19 (55).

The most affected cognitive impairment group domain in ACE-R sub-items was the memory, as found in other studies (56, 57), which is relevant because limbic structures may suffer from inflammation (58). Hosp et al. evaluated brain PET-FDG in patients with acute phase COVID-19 and showed limbic involvement besides other brain structures (30). There was also a worse performance in the other ACE-R sub-items of attention,

TABLE 6 Comparison between patients demographics and APOE genotype in relation to cognitive status.

	Cog			
	Normal = 48	CI = 25	SCD = 68	P-value*
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Gender				0.104
Female	22 (45.8)	11 (44)	19 (27.9)	
Male	26 (54.2)	14 (56)	49 (72.1)	
Scholarity (years)				< 0.001
Until 8 years	5 (10.4)	12 (48)	10 (14.7)	
9 years or more	43 (89.6)	13 (52)	58 (85.3)	
Hospitalization				< 0.001
No	34 (79.1)	9 (36)	49 (81.7)	
Yes	9 (20.9)	16 (64)	11 (18.3)	
APOE genotype				0.840
E2/E2	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1.5)	
E2/E3	2 (4.2)	2 (8)	4 (5.9)	
E2/E4	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1.5)	
E3/E3	37 (77.1)	16 (64)	42 (61.8)	
E3/E4	8 (16.7)	6 (24)	19 (27.9)	
E4/E4	1 (2.1)	1 (4)	1 (1.5)	
APOE allele				
E2	2 (4.2)	2 (8)	6 (8.8)	0.618
E3	47 (97.9)	24 (96)	65 (95.6)	0.793
E4	9 (18.8)	7 (28)	21 (30.9)	0.335

Categorical data expressed as absolute count and percentages between parenthesis. The bold values indicate the statistically significant signaled values.

*Chi-square test was used.

CI, cognitive impaired; SCD, Subjective cognitive decline.

TABLE 7 Total sample age and according cognitive status.

Age (years)

	Mean	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum	P-value*
Total	48	14	16	90	-
sample					
Cognitive					< 0.001
status					
Normal	44	13	17	69	
CI	60	15	23	90	
SCD	45	13	16	74	

*ANOVA test was applied with Tukey post-test for multiple comparisons: p < 0.001 between CI vs. SCD and p < 0.001 between CI vs. Normal.

fluency, language, and visuospatial functions, but it was lighter than the memory sub-item.

Our study has some significant limitations. First, there was no control group. Additionally, our study has a selection

bias, as we selected patients with neurological symptoms. We also did not perform a broader neuropsychological assessment to determine which cognitive domains were more affected and objectively assess other patients with subjective memory complaints without objective evidence in screening tests. Furthermore, a neuropsychological assessment is part of the diagnostic criteria for cognitive impairment and SCD; as it was not performed, the diagnosis of these conditions was impaired.

Moreover, despite differences found between cognitive impairment and normal/SCD groups' ACE-R scores, we should mention that there were essential differences between these groups regarding age and schooling, respectively, lower and higher in the normal/SCD groups, which may explain these differences found in ACE-R. Furthermore, selecting patients whose symptoms persisted for more than 3 months created a noteworthy bias since those whose symptoms disappeared before this period did not seek care. Furthermore, as the number of dementia cases found was low, we did not adjust for the total sample, and this study may achieve only a moderate effect if it exists since the total sample size should be n = 1,283 to achieve a small effect (w = 0.3) with a power of 80% for an association between APOE status and cognition on independence tests (59). Finally, there was no neuroimaging evaluation, precluding analysis of associations between complaints and radiological correlations. Nonetheless, this study is the most extensive series of patients so far, emphasizing cognitive complaints in an outpatient setting after the disease's acute phase. Furthermore, our sample consisted of patients with mild forms of the disease and after the acute and subacute phases of the disease, allowing us to show the persistent symptoms even in this population. Finally, APOE polymorphism analysis and possible associations with other symptoms strengthen our study.

In conclusion, our study helps to build knowledge about patients with post-COVID-19 cognitive manifestations. Our study reveals that cognitive complaints are common in patients with COVID-19, even after the acute disease phase and in mild cases, similar to other studies in the literature (36, 37). Hospitalized participants may have a higher risk of cognitive impairment. Moreover, APOE genotypes or haplotypes may not significantly play a role in the COVID-19 cognitive impairment. Longitudinal follow-up of these patients is critical to determine whether this cognitive impairment persists after a certain period. Furthermore, a neuropsychological assessment of these patients is crucial for better characterization of SCD or MCI and determining the most affected cognitive domains. Finally, it would be necessary for those with cognitive impairment to evaluate biomarkers of neurodegenerative diseases in cerebrospinal fluid or plasma, such as amyloid Beta 1-42, phosphorylated tau, and light chain neurofilament, thus bringing a link between COVID-19 and the onset or worsening of neurodegenerative diseases (60, 61).

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Research Ethics Committee of the Walter Cantídio University Hospital under the number 4.092.933. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

Conception and design of work: JT-J, MS-N, and PB-N. Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data and work and drafting the work: JT-J and PB-N. All authors were involved in the critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Funding

The authors are grateful to the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) for the funding of the Fellowship to authors PB-N and RM; to the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel—Brazil (CAPES) for the funding to authors PB-N and RM (88881.505364/2020-01); and to the Ceará Foundation to Support Scientific and Technological Development (FUNCAP) for the funding to author CG.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang W-H, Ou C-q, He J-x, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med. (2019) 382:1708–20. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2002032

2. Wu Y, Xu X, Chen Z, Duan J, Hashimoto K, Yang L, et al. Nervous system involvement after infection with COVID-19 and other coronaviruses. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2020) 87:18–22. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.03.031

3. Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, Hu Y, Chen S, He Q, et al. Neurologic Manifestations of Hospitalized Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Neurol.* (2020) 77:683–90. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.1127

4. Zhou H, Lu S, Chen J, Wei N, Wang D, Lyu H, et al. The landscape of cognitive function in recovered COVID-19 patients. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2020) 129:98–102. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2020.06.022

5. Del Brutto OH, Wu S, Mera RM, Costa AF, Recalde BY, Issa NP. Cognitive decline among individuals with history of mild symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection: A longitudinal prospective study nested to a population cohort. *Eur J Neurol.* (2021) 28:1–9. doi: 10.1111/ene.14775

6. Delorme C, Paccoud O, Kas A, Hesters A, Bombois S, Shambrook P, et al. COVID-19-related encephalopathy: a case series with brain FDG-positronemission tomography/computed tomography findings. *Eur J Neurol.* (2020) 27:2651–7. doi: 10.1111/ene.14478

7. Cani I, Barone V, D'Angelo R, Pisani L, Allegri V, Spinardi L. Frontal encephalopathy related to hyperinflammation in COVID-19. *J Neurol.* (2021) 268:16–19. doi: 10.1007/s00415-020-10057-5

8. Kuo CL, Pilling LC, Atkins JL, Masoli JAH, Delgado J, Kuchel GA, et al. APOE e4 genotype predicts severe COVID-19 in the UK Biobank community cohort. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. (2020) 75:2231–2. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glaa131

9. Poirier J, Davignon J, Bouthillier D, Kogan S, Bertrand P, Gauthier S, et al. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet.* (1993) 342:697–9. doi: 10.1016/0140-6736(93)91705-Q

10. Wu H, Huang Q, Yu Z, Wu H, Zhong Z. The SNPs rs429358 and rs7412 of APOE gene are association with cerebral infarction but not SNPs rs2306283 and rs4149056 of SLCO1B1 gene in southern Chinese Hakka population. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2020) 19:202. doi: 10.1186/s12944-020-01379-4

11. Pendlebury ST, Rothwell PM, Oxford Vascular S. Incidence and prevalence of dementia associated with transient ischaemic attack and stroke: analysis of the population-based Oxford Vascular study. *Lancet Neurol.* (2019) 18:248–58. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(18)30442-3

12. Kovelis D, Segretti NO, Probst VS, Lareau SC, Brunetto AF, Pitta F. Validation of the modified pulmonary functional status and dyspnea questionnaire and the medical research council scale for use in Brazilian patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Bras Pneumol.* (2008) 34:1008–18. doi: 10.1590/S1806-37132008001200005

13. Mioshi E, Dawson K, Mitchell J, Arnold R, Hodges JR. The Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination Revised (ACE-R): a brief cognitive test battery for dementia screening. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2006) 21:1078–85. doi: 10.1002/gps.1610

14. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, Mchugh PR. Mini-mental state': a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatry Res.* (1975) 12:189–98. doi: 10.1016/0022-3956(75)90026-6

15. Hughes CP, Berg L, Danziger WL, Coben LA, Martin RL. A new clinical scale for the staging of dementia. *Br J Psychiatry.* (1982) 140:566–72. doi: 10.1192/bjp.140.6.566

16. Pfeffer RI, Kurosaki TT, Harrah CH Jr, Chance JM, Filos S. Measurement of functional activities in older adults in the community. *J Gerontol.* (1982) 37:323–9. doi: 10.1093/geronj/37.3.323

17. Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, Lum O, Huang V, Adey M, et al. Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: a preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res.* (1982–1983) 17:37–49. doi: 10.1016/0022-3956(82)90033-4

18. Beck AT, Steer RA. Beck Depression Inventory Manual. Psychological Corporation, San Antonio.-References-Scientific Research Publishing, disponível em., acesso em: 2 set 2020 (1993).

19. Benites D, Gomes WB. The Prospective and Retrospective Memory Questionnaire's (PRMQ) translation, adaptation and preliminary validation. *Psico-USF.* (2007) 12:45–54. doi: 10.1590/S1413-82712007000100006

20. Tavares Júnior, José Wagner Leonel. Avaliação da acurácia da escala de Addenbrooke como instrumento de rastreio cognitivo de pacientes idosos com baixa escolaridade/José Wagner Leonel Tavares Júnior (2020).

21. César KG, Yassuda MS, Porto FHG, Brucki SMD, Nitrini R. Addenbrooke's cognitive examination-revised: normative and accuracy data for seniors with

heterogeneous educational level in Brazil. Int Psychogeriatr. (2017) 29:1345-53. doi: 10.1017/S1041610217000734

22. Herrera E Jr, Caramelli P, Silveira AS, Nitrini R. Epidemiologic survey of dementia in a community-dwelling Brazilian population. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. (2002) 16:103-8. doi: 10.1097/00002093-200204000-00007

23. Brucki SMD, Nitrini R, Caramelli P, Bertolucci PHF, Okamoto IH. Sugestões para o uso do mini-exame do estado mental no Brasil. *Arq neuropsiquiatr.* (2003) 61:777–81. doi: 10.1590/S0004-282X200300500014

24. Dutra, MC. Validação do questionário de Pfeffer para população idosa brasileira. 72 f. Dissertação (Mestrado em Ciências da Saúde)-Universidade Católica de Brasília, Brasília (2014).

25. Almeida OP, Almeida SA. Confiabilidade da versão brasileira da Escala de Depressão em Geriatria (GDS) versão reduzida. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr.* (1999) 57:421-6. doi: 10.1590/S0004-282X1999000300013

26. Jessen F, Amariglio RE, van Boxtel M, Breteler M, Ceccaldi M, Chételat G, et al. Subjective cognitive decline initiative (SCD-I) working group. a conceptual framework for research on subjective cognitive decline in preclinical alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* (2014) 10:844–52. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2014.01.001

27. Koch W, Ehrenhaft A, Griesser K, Pfeufer A, Müller J, Schömig A, et al. TaqMan systems for genotyping of disease-related polymorphisms present in the gene encoding apolipoprotein E. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* (2002) 40:1123–31. doi:10.1515/cclm.2002.197

28. Conover WJ, Conover WJ. Practical nonparametric statistics. 3rd ed. New York, NY John Wiley & Sons (1999).

29. Akritas MG. Nonparametric statistical methods. 2nd ed. First Published August 1 (1999). doi: 10.1177/096228029900800405

30. Hosp JA, Dressing A, Blazhenets G, Bormann T, Rau A, Schwabenland M, et al. Cognitive impairment and altered cerebral glucose metabolism in the subacute stage of COVID-19. *Brain.* (2021) 144:1263–76. doi: 10.1093/brain/awab009

31. Amalakanti S, Arepalli KVR, Jillella JP. Cognitive assessment in asymptomatic COVID-19 subjects. *VirusDis.* (2021) 32:146–9. doi: 10.1007/s13337-021-00663-w

32. Honarmand K, Lalli RS, Priestap F, Chen JL, McIntyre CW, Owen AM, et al. Natural History of Cognitive Impairment in Critical Illness Survivors. A systematic review. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2020) 202:193–201. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201904-0816CI

33. Pfoh ER, Chan KS, Dinglas VD, Girard TD, Jackson JC, Morris PE, et al. Cognitive screening among acute respiratory failure survivors: a cross-sectional evaluation of the Mini-Mental State Examination. *Crit Care.* (2015) 19:220. doi:10.1186/s13054-015-0934-5

34. Sartori AC, Vance DE, Slater LZ, Crowe M. The impact of inflammation on cognitive function in older adults: implications for health care practice and research. *J Neurosci. Nurs.* (2012) 44:206. doi: 10.1097/JNN.0b013e3182527690

35. Negrini F, Ferrario I, Mazziotti D, Berchicci M, Bonazzi M, de Sire A, et al. Neuropsychological features of severe hospitalized coronavirus disease (2019) patients at clinical stability and clues for postacute rehabilitation. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* (2021) 102:155–8. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2020.09.376

36. Tavares-Júnior JWL, de Souza ACC, Borges JWP, Oliveira DN, Siqueira-Neto JI, Sobreira-Neto MA, et al. COVID-19 associated cognitive impairment: a systematic review. *Cortex*. (2022) 152:77–97. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2022.04.006

37. Crivelli L, Palmer K, Calandri I, Guekht A, Beghi E, Carroll W, et al. Changes in cognitive functioning after COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Alzheimers Dement.* (2022) 18:1047–66. doi: 10.1002/alz.12644

38. Mandrekar-Colucci S, Landreth GE. Microglia and inflammation in Alzheimer's disease. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets. (2010) 9:156–67. doi: 10.2174/187152710791012071

39. Ibarreta L, Gómez-Isla T, Portera-Sanchez A, Parrilla R, Ayuso-Parrilla MS. Apolipoprotein E genotype in Spanish patients of Alzheimer's or Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Sci.* (1995) 134:146–9. doi: 10.1016/0022-510X(95) 00238-3

40. Farrer LA, Cupples LA, Haines JL, Hyman B, Kukull WA, Mayeux, et al. Effects of age, sex, and ethnicity on the association between apolipoprotein E genotype and alzheimer disease. A meta-analysis. APOE and alzheimer disease meta analysis consortium. *JAMA*. (1997) 278:1349–56. doi: 10.1001/jama.278.16.1349

41. Zhen J, Huang X, Halm-Lutterodt V, Dong S, Ma W, Xiao R, et al. ApoE rs429358 and rs7412 Polymorphism and gender differences of serum lipid profile

and cognition in aging Chinese population. Front Aging Neurosci. (2017) 9:248. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2017.00248

42. González RD, Gomes I, Gomes C, Rocha R, Durães L, Sousa P, et al. APOE variants in an Iberian Alzheimer cohort detected through an optimized sanger sequencing protocol. *Genes.* (2021) 12:4. doi: 10.3390/genes12010004

43. Mendes-Lana A, Pena GG, Freitas SN, Lima AA, Nicolato RL, Nascimento-Neto RM, et al. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism in Brazilian dyslipidemic individuals: Ouro Preto study. *Braz J Med Biol Res.* (2007) 40:49–56. doi: 10.1590/S0100-879X2007000100007

44. Graham EL, Clark JR, Orban ZS. Persistent neurologic symptoms and cognitive dysfunction in non-hospitalized Covid-19 "long haulers". Ann Clin Transl Neurol. (2021) 8:1073–85. doi: 10.1002/acn3.51350

45. Nath AMD. Long-Haul COVID. Neurology. (2020) 95:559-60. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000010640

46. Taquet M, Dercon Q, Luciano S, Geddes JR, Husain M, Harrison PJ. Incidence, co-occurrence, and evolution of long-COVID features: A 6-month retrospective cohort study of 273,618 survivors of COVID-19. *PLoS Med.* (2021) 18:e1003773. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003773

47. Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. J Intern Med. (2004) 256:183–94. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2796.2004.01388.x

48. Wolfsgruber S, Polcher A, Koppara A, Kleineidam L, Frölich L, Peters O, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers and clinical progression in patients with subjective cognitive decline and mild cognitive impairment. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2017) 58:939–50. doi: 10.3233/JAD-161252

49. Eckerström C, Olsson E, Bjerke M, Malmgren H, Edman A, Wallin A, et al. A combination of neuropsychological, neuroimaging, and cerebrospinal fluid markers predicts conversion from mild cognitive impairment to dementia. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2013) 36:421–31. doi: 10.3233/JAD-122440

50. Ownby RL, Crocco E, Acevedo A, John V, Loewenstein D. Depression and risk for Alzheimer disease: systematic review, meta-analysis, and metaregression analysis. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. (2006) 63:530–8. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.63.5.530

51. Ismael F, Bizario JCS, Battagin T, Zaramella B, Leal FE, Torales J, et al. Postinfection depressive, anxiety and post-traumatic stress symptoms: a prospective cohort study in patients with mild COVID-19. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry.* (2021) 111:110341. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2021.110341 52. COVID-19 Mental Disorders Collaborators. Global prevalence and burden of depressive and anxiety disorders in 204 countries and territories in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet.* (2021) 398:1700–12. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02143-7

53. Cristillo V, Pilotto A, Cotti Piccinelli S, Zoppi N, Bonzi G, Gipponi S, et al. Age and subtle cognitive impairment are associated with long-term olfactory dysfunction after COVID-19 infection. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (2021) 69:2778–80. doi: 10.1111/jgs.17296

54. Yahiaoui-Doktor M, Luck T, Riedel-Heller SG, Loeffler M, Wirkner K, Engel C. Olfactory function is associated with cognitive performance: results from the population-based LIFE-Adult-Study. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* (2019) 11:43. doi: 10.1186/s13195-019-0494-z

55. Martelletti P, Bentivegna E, Spuntarelli V, Luciani M. Long-COVID Headache. *SN Compr Clin Med.* (2021) 20:1–3. doi: 10.1080/14740338.2021.1907342

56. Jaywant A, Vanderlind WM, Alexopoulos GS, Fridman CB, Perlis RH, Gunning FM. Frequency and profile of objective cognitive deficits in hospitalized patients recovering from COVID-19. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* (2021) 1–6. doi: 10.1101/2020.10.28.20221887

57. Puchner B, Sahanic S, Kirchmair R, Pizzini A, Sonnweber B, Wöll E, et al. Beneficial effects of multi-disciplinary rehabilitation in postacute COVID-19: an observational cohort study. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* (2021) 57:189–98. doi: 10.23736/S1973-9087.21.06549-7

58. Raz N, Rodrigue KM. Differential aging of the brain: patterns, cognitive correlates and modifiers. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2006) 30:730-48. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2006.07.001

59. Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. Routledge (2013). doi: 10.4324/9780203771587

60. Palmqvist S, Mattsson N, Hansson O. Cerebrospinal fluid analysis detects cerebral 1022 amyloid-beta accumulation earlier than positron emission tomography. *Brain.* (2016) 139:1226–36. doi: 10.1093/brain/a ww015

61. Palmqvist S, Janelidze S, Quiroz YT, Zetterberg H, Lopera F, Stomrud E, et al. Discriminative accuracy of plasma Phospho-tau217 for alzheimer disease vs other neurodegenerative disorders. *JAMA*. (2020) 324:772–81. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.12134