Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Breno Satler Diniz, University of Connecticut Health Center, United States

REVIEWED BY Salih Selek.

University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, United States Mustafa M. Husain, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Didi Rhebergen d.rhebergen@ggzcentraal.nl

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to

Aging Psychiatry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

RECEIVED 26 May 2022 ACCEPTED 24 June 2022 PUBLISHED 15 July 2022 Rivastigmine for ECT-induced cognitive adverse effects in late life depression (RECALL study): A multicenter, randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over trial in patients with depression aged 55 years or older: Rationale, objectives and methods

Marieke J. Henstra^{1,2,3†}, Thomas C. Feenstra^{4,5†}, Rob M. Kok⁶, Harm-Pieter Spaans⁶, Eric van Exel^{4,7,8,9}, Annemiek Dols^{4,7,8,9,10}, Mardien Oudega^{4,7,8,9}, Anton C. M. Vergouwen¹¹, Adriano van der Loo¹¹, Pierre M. Bet¹², Stephan A. Loer¹³, Merijn Eikelenboom^{4,8}, Pascal Sienaert¹⁴, Simon Lambrichts¹⁴, Filip Bouckaert¹⁵, Judith E. Bosmans^{4,16}, Nathalie van der Velde^{1,3}, Aartjan T. F. Beekman^{4,7,8}, Max L. Stek⁷ and Didi Rhebergen^{4,5,7*}

¹Department of Internal Medicine and Geriatrics, Amsterdam UMC location AMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ²Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Amsterdam UMC location AMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ³Aging and Later Life Program, Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ⁴Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Mental Health Program, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ⁵Department of Research, GGZ Centraal Mental Health Care, Amersfoort, Netherlands, ⁶Department of Old Age Psychiatry and ECT Center Haaglanden, Parnassia Psychiatric Institute, The Hague, Netherlands, ⁷Department of Research, GGZ inGeest Mental Health Care, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ⁸Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam UMC location Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ⁹Amsterdam Neuroscience, Mood, Anxiety, Psychosis, Sleep and Stress Program, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ¹⁰Amsterdam Neuroscience, Neurodegeneration Program, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ¹¹Department of Psychiatry and Medical Psychology, OLVG Hospital, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ¹²Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Pharmacy, Amsterdam UMC location VUmc, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ¹³Department of Anesthesiology, Amsterdam UMC location VUmc, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ¹⁴Department of Neurosciences, University Psychiatric Center KU Leuven, Academic Center for ECT and Neuromodulation (AcCENT), KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, ¹⁵Department of Geriatric Psychiatry, University Psychiatric Center KU Leuven, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, ¹⁶Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Science, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Background: Cognitive side-effects are an important reason for the limited use of electroconvulsive therapy (ECT). Cognitive side-effects are heterogeneous and occur frequently in older persons. To date, insight into these side-effects is hampered due to inconsistencies in study designs and small sample

sizes. Among all cognitive side-effects, confusion and delirious states are especially troublesome for patients, relatives and clinicians. In particular interictal delirium-like states are worrisome, since they may lead to premature treatment discontinuation. Besides a need for further insight into determinants of cognitive side-effects of ECT, there is a great need for treatment options.

Methods and design: The Rivastigmine for ECT-induced Cognitive Adverse effects in Late Life depression (RECALL) study combines a multicenter, prospective cohort study on older patients with depression, treated with ECT, with an embedded randomized, placebo-controlled cross-over trial to examine the effect of rivastigmine on inter-ictal delirium. Patients are recruited in four centers across the Netherlands and Belgium. We aim to include 150 patients into the cohort study, in order to be able to subsequently include 30 patients into the trial. Patients are included in the trial when inter-ictal delirium, assessed by the Confusion Assessment method (CAM), or a drop in Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) score of \geq 4 during ECT, develops. In the cohort study, comprehensive measurements of ECT-related cognitive side-effects-and their putative determinants-are done at baseline and during the ECT-course. The primary outcome of the clinical trial is the effectiveness of rivastigmine on inter-ictal delirium-severity, assessed with a change in the Delirium Rating Scale-Revised-98. Secondary outcomes of the clinical trial are several ECT-characteristics and side-effects of rivastigmine.

Discussion: This study is the first clinical trial with a focus on ECT-induced, inter-ictal delirium. The cohort provides the basis for recruitment of patients for the cross-over trial and additionally provides an excellent opportunity to unravel cognitive side-effects of ECT and identify putative determinants. This paper describes the rationale and study protocol.

Clinical trial registration: EudraCT 2014-003385-24.

KEYWORDS

electroconvulsive therapy (ECT), late life depression (LLD), cognitive side effects, interictal delirium, rivastigmine, cholinesterase inhibitor

Introduction

Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) is considered safe and the most effective treatment for major depressive disorder (1). Despite these qualifications, the use of ECT in clinical practice around the world is limited. This can be attributed to, amongst others, fear of cognitive side-effects, which include amnesia, confusional- or delirious states (e.g., postictal disorientation/agitation, and prolonged postictal delirium or inter-ictal delirium), and other non-memory cognitive side-effects (e.g., executive function disorder) (2–6). Cognitive side-effects are common in ECT-patients, with 41% reporting anterograde memory impairment, confusion in 37%, and post-ECT delirium in 5.7–12% of patients (7–11). To date, insight into these sideeffects is hampered due to a lack of consensus on cognitive testing during and after ECT and variable ECT techniques across studies. This resulted in large heterogeneity in (timing of) outcome measures between studies (12, 13).

Of all studies on cognitive side-effects in ECT, studies on delirious states following ECT have been relatively sparse, in comparison to, for example, memory related side-effects (5, 10). This is troublesome, as especially the inter-ictal (or prolonged post-ictal) delirious states (henceforth called interictal delirium) greatly burden patients and their relatives, and are an important reason to (prematurely) stop ECT, resulting in failure to achieve remission. As ECT is often the last treatment option for severe depression, failure to achieve remission with ECT may lead to persistent loss of quality of life, institutionalization, and high societal costs. It is currently not known how to treat inter-ictal delirium, as nonmedication interventions, benzodiazepines, and antipsychotic medication are seldom effective. However, some reports have shown promising results for (acetyl)cholinesterase inhibitors (AChI) to treat inter-ictal delirium specifically (6, 14).

The rational to supplement acetylcholine in the treatment of post-ictal delirium is based on the hypothesis that changes in acetylcholine levels could be associated with cognitive sideeffects of ECT (15). This may particularly involve older persons, considering changes in cholinergic signaling in the aging brain (16). Additionally, a lack of acetylcholine-the most important neurotransmitter of the cholinergic system-is thought to be one of the important causes of delirium in general (17). It is known that ECT initially causes an ictal peak of acetylcholine and postictal (e.g., post-ECT) drop of acetylcholine, mediated by cholinesterase (15, 18, 19). In 2015, van Schaik et al. published a case-series describing the putative beneficial effect of transdermal rivastigmine on ECT-induced cognitive side-effects in older ECT patients (8). Hence, a systematic review was done to examine the effect of (acetyl)cholinesterase inhibitors (AChI) (e.g., rivastigmine, donepezil, galantamine) to reduce cognitive side-effects of ECT (20). This systematic review by Henstra et al., included 5 clinical trials which all showed a positive effect of AChI on cognitive tests, but none of these studies studied the post-ECT delirium or inter-ictal delirium.

The primary objective of this study is to investigate in a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled cross-over trial, whether rivastigmine can be used as a novel treatment to treat ECT-induced inter-ictal delirium in older persons. Additionally, we aim to investigate side-effects of rivastigmine, including its effects on ECT parameters and anesthesia-induced muscle relaxation. In order to detect persons with inter-ictal delirium who are eligible for the trial, longitudinal follow-up of persons treated with ECT is needed. Therefore, we embedded the trial in a cohort study. In this cohort study, comprehensive measurements of ECT-related cognitive side-effects—and their putative determinants—are done to gain insight into the broad palette of cognitive sideeffects of ECT.

Methods and analysis

In line with the SPIRIT (Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials) guidelines, we provide information on scientific background, the rationale and objectives, the design and methodology of this study (21).

Study design and research setting

The RECALL study combines a multicenter, prospective cohort study on older patients with depression who are referred for ECT to study the broad palette of cognitive side-effects (and their determinants), with an embedded cross-over trial to examine rivastigmine as a treatment option for patients with inter-ictal delirium (see Figure 1 for a schematic overview of the RECALL study). The trial is designed as a randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over trial. Patients are recruited at four mental healthcare institutes; (1) GGZ inGeest (partner-institution of Amsterdam University Medical Center, formerly VU University Medical Center), Amsterdam, The Netherlands, (2) Parnassia Psychiatric Institute, The Hague, The Netherlands, (3) OLVG-West, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, and (4) UPC KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium. GGZ InGeest, and Parnassia Psychiatric Institute are general mental healthcare institutes; OLVG West is a general hospital with a psychiatric ward, and UPC KU Leuven is an academic hospital.

Study population and inclusion criteria

In- and outpatients, aged 55 years or older, fulfilling the Composite International Diagnostic Interview CIDI) criteria of a Major Depressive Episode-in the context of a unipolar major depressive disorder or bipolar disorder- and referred for ECT-treatment, are asked to participate in the cohort and, in case of occurrence of inter-ictal delirium, in the trial (22-24). Before inclusion, they provide a written informed consent form. The presence of an inter-ictal delirium is examined with the Confusion Assessment Method (25) (CAM) and/or Mini Mental State Examination (26) (MMSE), administered by a trained research assistant. For a diagnosis of inter-ictal delirium, patients need to have a CAM score indicating delirium or a drop in MMSE-score of at least 4 points as compared to baseline. The evaluation of inter-ictal delirium was done during regular screening once weekly, preferably in the middle of a week, 1 day (and maximum 2 days) after ECT. This timing was chosen to (1) select persistent cases of delirium, and hence not to include mild disorientation or transient delirious episodes immediately post-ECT; (2) to increase feasibility by avoiding cognitive testing during weekends and (3) to diminish the learning effect of repeated cognitive testing. Once delirium was diagnosed, an evaluation was done by the clinician to indicate whether it was needed to further exclude possible causes of delirium.

Exclusion criteria

Exclusion criteria for participation in the cohort study are comorbid somatic conditions that are a relative contraindication for ECT, according to the prevailing Dutch ECT-guidelines, e.g., recent (within 3 months) myocardial infarction or cerebrovascular accident, a diagnosis of neurocognitive disorder, insufficient command of the Dutch language and prior participation in the cohort study (27). Additional exclusion criteria for participation in the trial are: diagnosed (treatable) somatic causes of delirium other than ECT (e.g., urinary tract infection) and contra-indications for rivastigmine use, such



as bradycardia or atrio-ventricular (AV) conduction disorder (first degree AV-block excluded), current use of a AChI or a known allergic or adverse reaction to rivastigmine. As it is a cross-over trial, each cross-over patient serves as his or her own control. Since large, intercurrent changes in individual patients' situation hamper a cross-over comparison, patients who switch from (right) unilateral electrode placement (RUL) to bilateral electrode placement (BL), or individuals who receive dose adjustments of antipsychotics during the trial will be marked as drop-outs. Notably, participation in the cohort can be continued when patients drop out due to trial specific exclusion criteria.

Recruitment

When ECT is indicated (for depression) and the patient is referred by the treating psychiatrist, the patient is subsequently

informed on the study and protocol. This information is provided by the treating physician or a trained research assistant, both orally and with a patient information letter. Next, the patient is given 24 h to consider participation in the study, before written informed consent (for the cohort and if eligible for the trial) is obtained from each patient or legal representative (available upon request, notably the informed consent form is written in the Dutch language). The consent can be withdrawn at any time without citing reasons and without any consequences for the treatment. There is no financial incentive for the patient. The duration of inclusion is estimated at \sim 4 years. Promotional materials, including a folder and posters, have been developed and are available upon request.

ECT procedure

ECT is given twice weekly. Electrode placement is decided by the ECT team, in accordance to Dutch ECT-guidelines (27). A course starts preferably with right unilateral stimulation (RUL), but bilateral stimulation (BL) is also allowed. Seizures are induced using the Thymatron system IV, Somatics, Lake Bluff, Illinois, USA, (maximum energy 200%, 1,008 millicoulombs) or the SpeECTrum 5000 Q, MECTA corp., Tualatin, Oregon, USA (max energy 200 J, 1,152 millicoulombs). Considering the interaction with seizure quality, we aim to discontinue lithium and other mood stabilizers at least 3 days before ECT starts. For the same reason, we aim to taper down benzodiazepines to a maximum of 30 milligrams oxazepam or 10 milligrams diazepam 3 days prior to the start of ECT. Antipsychotics and antidepressants may be continued and their use is recorded at each ECT-session. Anesthesia is induced with intravenous etomidate ($\pm 0.25 \text{ mg/kg}$) or propofol $(\pm 1 \text{ mg/kg})$ and muscle relaxation with succinvlcholine (1-2 mg/kg). Patients are ventilated with 100% oxygen during the procedure in order to obtain hyperoxygenation status. The oxygen saturation (SpO₂) level is kept above 95% in the pretreatment phase. The ECT team performs a stimulus-titration procedure in the first session to determine the seizure threshold. The electroconvulsive treatment goal is set at six times the seizure threshold, brief pulse (0.5-1.0 ms), for RUL ECT, and 1.5-2.5 times seizure threshold for BL ECT. The ECT course is terminated when the patient reaches remission, defined by a Montgomery Åsberg Depression Scale score (28) (MADRS) of ≤10/60 during two consecutive weeks, when intolerable sideeffects occur, or when no improvement occurs for 2 weeks after a full course of ECT is provided [maximum of six sessions of RUL ECT and six sessions of BL ECT (in total 12 sessions)].

RECALL trial: Treatment allocation, intervention, and blinding

After inclusion in the trial, randomization is organized with a two by two Williams design (29). Block randomization is performed by the pharmacy department of the Amsterdam University Medical Center-location VUmc, with stratification for each site. Patients are randomly assigned to either rivastigmine-followed-by-placebo group, the or the placebo-followed-by-rivastigmine group. Each treatment arm lasts for four consecutive ECT sessions (Figure 1). Except for the unblinded nurse or research assistant (i.e., nurse or research assistant who is not involved in other parts of the study, nor in clinical practice, but only applies the patches), all other study members are blinded. "Trial treatment randomization lists" with codes are provided by an independent pharmacist and will only be available for the unblinded nurse or research assistant who applies the patches. Rivastigmine patches delivering 4.6 mg/24 h (Hexal AG, Holzkirchen, Germany) and Hansaplast[®] placebo patches (of similar size) are labeled in accordance with EU GMP annex 13 regulations and supplied to the sites. To improve and monitor adherence to the trial protocol, drug accountability lists are filled in. The Tmax of rivastigmine patches is 10-16 h, therefore the application of the rivastigmine (and placebo) patches is done the evening prior to ECT. The patch is removed after 24 h. As previously mentioned, during the trial, co-medication is allowed and there are no restrictions to clinical care as usual. As specified in the exclusion criteria however, patients with adjustments in antipsychotic medication or in electrode placement, are excluded from the trial. Benzodiazepines are allowed (e.g., in cases of profound agitation or insomnia). All changes in medications during the trial are registered after every ECT-session. Prior to each ECTsession, side-effects of rivastigmine are assessed, and in case of serious side-effects (e.g., gastrointestinal adverse reactions such as severe nausea), the participation in the trial will be stopped and rivastigmine discontinued. In case of persistent side-effect after discontinuation, the clinician will treat the patient according to the clinical picture (e.g., anti-emetics in case of nausea).

RECALL trial: (Emergency) unblinding procedure

Unblinding will take place after ending the treatment period by the research nurse. Emergency unblinding may occur on an individual basis for safety reasons—such as the occurrence of a (Serious) Adverse Event [(S)AE] or Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reaction (SUSAR). The medical team will contact the local investigator, through which the decision to unblind will be made. For emergency unblinding, envelopes are available at the medication storage room at the in-patients' ward, kept separately from the patches. The sealed envelope can be opened by anyone on the clinical team. The reason and time of unblinding will be documented in the study files.

Outcome measures

Table 1 shows a full summary of patient characteristics and outcome measures, including the time of collection.

Primary outcome measure

During the trial, the effect of rivastigmine on inter-ictal delirium is examined through the Delirium Rating Scale-Revised-98 (DRS-R-98) (30). It was demonstrated that the DRS-R-98 is sensitive, specific, reliable, and easy to use for the assessment of severity of a delirium (30). We will compare delta-scores of the DRS-R-98 computed over the period of rivastigmine-use and placebo (T3-T0 and T6-T3, depending on whether the patients start with rivastigmine or placebo). This is assessed by a trained, blinded research nurse once weekly.

Secondary outcome measures

Additional cognitive function tests are used to further specify the cognitive deficits, namely the Mini Mental State Examination (26) (MMSE), letter fluency or Controlled Oral Word Association Test-FAS (31), the Clock Drawing Test (32), reorientation time (33, 34), the Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale (35) (RASS) and the Confusion Assessment method (25) (CAM). The MMSE is a screening instrument for measuring the severity of cognitive impairment with good interrater and testretest reliability (25). The Controlled Oral Word Association Test (COWAT) is widely used in clinical neuropsychology and is a measure of language and executive function. The patient is asked to name as many words as possible with the assigned letter. Patients cannot use names or numbers and cannot use words with different tenses or endings once the root word has been given. Psychometric properties were similar in the Dutch-version as compared to the original version, with reliability-scores of 0.8 (31). The COWAT is specifically chosen as an addition to the MMSE to test executive functioning. The Clock-Drawing Test (CDT) is another widely used cognitive screening tool of executive function, visuospatial abilities, motor execution, attention, language comprehension, and numerical knowledge, with good reliability and validity in patients with cognitive impairment (36). Patients are verbally asked to draw an analog clock, including all of the numbers, and set to a specified time (e.g., 10 min past 11 am). In order to diminish a learning

effect of repetitive cognitive testing, the three-word recall test (included in the MMSE) and letter fluency differs in consecutive sessions. The early reorientation time-or postictal orientation recovery time-is the time until reorientation directly post-ECT, until a maximum of 90 min. It is a continuous assessment by the research nurse, asking the patient to state his / her name, location, age, date of birth and the day of the week. Four correct answers out of five questions is considered as adequate orientation (34). When unable to answer correctly within 90 min, a score of 100 min will be noted. The reorientation time is an easy test to administer. Duration of postictal reorientation has been associated with the extent of retrograde amnesia in the past (34). Sedation or agitation status is assessed by the Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale (RASS) (35). The RASS has excellent interrater reliability and criterion-, construct-, and face validity (37). It takes around 30 s to administer. The Confusion Assessment Method is used as an outcome measure in the cohort to define the amount of patients with a probable delirium in the cohort. It takes $\sim 2 \min$ to administer. For a diagnosis of delirium by CAM, the patient must display: (1) presence of acute onset and fluctuating discourse, and (2) inattention, and either (3) disorganized thinking or (4) altered level of consciousness. The CAM has good sensitivity (94-100%) and specificity (90-95%) and the interobserver reliability rates are high (0.81–1.00) (25).

Furthermore, in order to investigate the safety and effect of rivastigmine on ECT-parameters and anesthesia, we measure blood pressure, peak heart rate, seizure length (EEG and motor duration in seconds), postictal suppression index (in %) and seizure threshold. Apart from the postictal suppression index and seizure length measured by the Thymatron IV (unavailable at sites with the spECTrum), all variables are recorded by a blinded anesthesiologist. Next, side-effects of rivastigmine are evaluated twice weekly (by a research assistant) using a fourpoint side-effects scale with the five most common side-effects of rivastigmine (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal pain, allergic skin reaction), and an open question for additional sideeffects.

Patient characteristics

In addition to the outcome measures, various patient characteristics are assessed, including socio-demographics, physical-, clinical-, and neurocognitive characteristics. All variables are collected by a trained research nurse or assistant, unless otherwise specified.

Socio-demographics include age, gender, partner status, current living situation, level of education (total years of education), all self-reported.

Physical characteristics include Body Mass Index (BMI), waist circumference (centimeters), blood pressure (mmHg), vision- and hearing, dental condition, smoking status (current, former, never), alcohol use (number of drinks per week),

	Baseline	Regular care	Trial							Regular care in cohort after finishing the trial		
		in cohort										
	Baseline	Max 90 minutes after ECT Once a week during ECT^\wedge	Day before first ECT	Max 90 minutes after first ECT	Max 90 minutes after 2 nd ECT	Day after $2^{nd} \operatorname{ECT}^{\wedge}$	Max 90 minutes after 3 rd ECT	Max 90 minutes after 4 th ECT	Day after $4^{{ m th}}$ ECT $^{\wedge}$	Max 90 minutes after ECT	Once a week during ECT^{\wedge}	1 week after stop ECT
Time	Та	Tb	T0	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	Т6		Tb	
Socio-demographics	Х											
General clinical-demograph												
Medical history	Х											
Substance use	Х											
Medication use	Х	х	х	х	х		х	х	х	Х		Х
ATHF	Х											
BMI	Х											
Waist circumference	Х											
Blood pressure	Х											
Physical activity and frailty	•											
Frailty index	Х											Х
SPPB	Х											Х
Physical activity*	Х											
Vision and hearing ability	Х											
Depression related clinical	characteristics											
BAI ^a	Х											
GDS ^a	Х											
AES ^a	Х		Х			Х			Х			Х
MADRS ^a	Х	Х				Х			Х		Х	Х
CORE	Х	Х				Х			Х		Х	Х
Neurocognitive characteris												
Battery of	Х											Х
neuropsychological tests**												
Self reported cognitive	Х											Х
decline												
IQCODE	Х											Х
(f)MRI	Х											
EEG	Х											
Laboratory characteristics	N.		V									V
Blood / urine sample	Х		Х									Х
Primary outcome measure			v	v	v	V	v	v	v			
DRS-R-98			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
Secondary outcome measure MMSE	X	Х				Х			Х		х	х
MMSE Letter fluency	X	Λ	х			X			X X		л	X X
Ectter nuclicy	Λ		А			А			Λ			Λ

TABLE 1 Collection of patient characteristics and outcome measures in the RECALL trial and cohort.

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

	Baseline	-	lar care ohort	Trial							Regular care in cohort after finishing the trial		
	Baseline	Max 90 minutes after ECT	Once a week during ECT^{\wedge}	Day before first ECT	Max 90 minutes after first ECT	Max 90 minutes after 2 nd ECT	Day after $2^{nd} \operatorname{ECT}^{\wedge}$	Max 90 minutes after 3 rd ECT	Max 90 minutes after 4 th ECT	Day after 4 th ECT^	Max 90 minutes after ECT	Once a week during ECT^{\wedge}	1 week after stop ECT
Clock Drawing Test	Х			х			х			x			Х
Reorientation time		Х			Х	Х		Х	Х		Х		
RASS		Х			Х	Х		Х	Х		Х		
CAM		Х	Х										
ECT characteristics		Х			Х	Х		Х	Х		Х		
Rivastigmine side-effects				Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х			

ATHF, Antidepressant Treatment History Form; BMI, Body Mass Index; SPPB, Short Physical Performance Battery; BAI, Beck Anxiety Inventory; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; AES, Apathy Evaluation Scale; MADRS, Montgomery Åsberg Depression Scale; IQCODE, Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly; DRS-R-98, Delirium Rating Scale-Revised-98; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; RASS, Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale; CAM, Confusion Assessment Method. ^The day of measurement is Wednesday, as ECT is done twice weekly.

*Physical activity includes assessment of mobility, International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ), and self-reported activities of daily living (ADL).

** Stroop Color Word Test, 15-Words Test or Auditory Verbal Learning Test, Trail making Test, Kopelman Autobiographic Memory Interview, and Visual Association Test.

activities of daily living, physical activity, International Physical Activity Questionnaire (38), Short Physical Performance Battery (39) and frailty status (40). Vision and hearing ability is measured with self-reported questions on the quality of vision and hearing and questions on the presence of glasses or hearing devices. Dental condition is measured with a question on the presence of dentures. Level of activities of daily living (ADL) is measured through 6 questions on the ability of the patient to (1) shower, (2) dress up, (3) go to the bathroom, (4) transfer from bed to chair, (5) eat, and whether the patient (6) uses incontinence material. Physical activity is measured by a 16-item questionnaire on self-reported mobility (i.e., questions on the risk of falls, need of walking aids, maximum walking distance, ability to climb stairs, cycling) (available upon request). The International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) is a validated questionnaire in older adults for assessing physical activity, measured in metabolic equivalent minutes (MET-minutes). The MET-minutes are calculated through assigning a ratio of energy expenditure during an activity (as compared to energy expenditure in resting state), multiplied by the amount of minutes these activities are performed per week (38). The Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) is a reliable and valid score to assess lower extremity function. The SPPB sums up the scores of three timed performance tests: the tandem balance test; the walking speed; and the repeated rising up from the chairtest. It gives important information on actual lower extremity function and is a predictor and outcome which can be used for physical decline (41). The prevalence of frailty using Fried's phenotype will be assessed (40). This phenotype consists of 5 variables: unintentional weight loss, muscle weakness, selfreported exhaustion, poor endurance, and low activity level. Patients will be classified as non-frail (0 variables present), pre-frail (1-2 variables present) or frail (3 or more variables present). Unintentional weight loss is measured with a positive response to the CIDI question about unwanted weight loss (with a minimum of 1 kg/week or a BMI \leq 18.5 kg/m²). Muscle weakness is measured through hand grip strength [for a detailed description see Penninx et al. (42)]. Self-reported exhaustion is measured according to two statements of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression scale (43) (CES-D), namely (a) I felt that everything I did was an effort, and (b) I could not get going. If at least one condition is present for 3 days or more during the last week, the criterion is positive. Poor endurance will be defined with the walking speed test from the SPPB. Low physical activity level will be defined with the IPAQ.

Clinical characteristics include somatic and psychiatric (family) history, presence of chronic diseases, medication use, treatment resistance, Geriatric Depression Scale (44),

Montgomery Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (28), Beck Anxiety Index (45), Apathy Evaluation Scale (46), and the CORE (47). Somatic and psychiatric (family) history is self-reported and checked by examining clinical records. Standardized questions for chronic diseases are used, which include chronic pulmonary disease, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cerebrovascular disease, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis and cancer. These categories were chosen based on prevalence rates (48). In addition, patients are asked whether they have any other chronic diseases (defined as a disease of which symptoms and/or treatment had been present for at least 3 months). Current medication use, medication changes during ECT course and treatment resistance through the Antidepressant Treatment History Form: Short Form (49) (ATHF-SF) are noted by the treating physician. The 15-item self-reported Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) is a valid and reliable scale for differentiating depressed from non-depressed patients (44). The 10-item Montgomery Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) is a widely used scale to measure the severity of depressive symptoms with high interrater reliability and good validity (50). The 21-item Beck Anxiety Index (BAI) helps to discriminate between anxiety and depression and measures the severity of anxiety with high internal consistency and testretest reliability (45). Apathy is measured with the Apathy Evaluation Scale-clinician version (46) (AES-C). The AES-C is a well-known scale with good reliability and validity (46). Severity of psychomotor symptoms and the probability of melancholia is measured by the CORE, an 18-item observation scale subdivided into three subscales (retardation, agitation and non-interaction) (47). It has high inter-rater reliability and good validity for psychomotor disturbance in depressed persons (51).

Neurocognitive characteristics include the Stroop Color and Word Test (52), the 15-words Test (53), the Trail Making Test (54), Kopelman Autobiographical Memory Interview (55), the Visual Association Test (56), self-reported cognitive decline and the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly- Short Form (57) (IQCODE-SF). The Stroop Color and Word Test is a neuropsychological test extensively used to assess the ability to inhibit cognitive interference, working memory and speed of visual search (58). The 15-words test, or Auditory Verbal Learning Test, is a test used to assess attention, memory, and learning ability. The Trail Making Test A and B is used to assess executive functioning, visual search and scanning and speed of processing. Kopelman Autobiographical Memory Interview is used to measure autobiographical and semantic memory. The Visual Association Test is used to measure signs of anterograde amnesia through visual associated learning. Self-reported decline is measured with the CIDI questionnaire, section E (22). The IQCODE-SF is a 16-item questionnaire for caregivers that is used for screening and evaluation of cognitive decline and dementia in patients.

In a subset of patients—those derived from GGZ inGeest and OLVG hospital and whom provided informed consentwe conduct an electroencephalogram (EEG) and (Functional) Magnetic Resonance Imaging [(f)MRI] at baseline. EEG assessment is a non-invasive, widely available, cheap and objective measure for brain functioning, capable of detecting signs of delirium or vulnerability of the brain associated with neurocognitive disorder (59, 60). On MRI, the presence of white matter hyperintensities [Fazekas score (61)], cortical atrophy [Pasquier or GCA score (62)] and medial temporal lobe atrophy [Scheltens or MTA score (63)] atrophy are rated. In order to obtain insight in brain activity as well, MRI scans will be extended by 15–20 min to derive functional imaging (fMRI).

Laboratory characteristics include inflammation markers (e.g., high sensitive CRP, interleukin-6), s100-beta, the regular laboratory tests done in a comprehensive geriatric assessment (such as complete blood count, basic electrolyte and metabolic panel, kidney and thyroid functioning, vitamin and lipid status) and urine samples (64, 65). S100-beta is a calcium-binding protein expressed by astrocytes, associated with delirious states and cognitive decline during ECT (66, 67). Urine morning samples are collected for the assessment of several biomarkers which have shown to be involved in the prediction, diagnosis and evaluation of antidepressant treatment in recent studies (68, 69). The final selection of biomarkers of interest will be done at time of laboratory processing, depending on state-of-the art laboratory techniques, possibly including additional inflammation markers and/or neurotransmitters.

Sample size calculation

We estimated that in our vulnerable study population, prevalence rates of delirium and profound cognitive decline are \sim 20%, based on a previous study (11). In order to detect a reduction on the DRS-R-98 of 4 points (SD \pm 7), at 80% power with $\alpha = 0.05$, 120 delirious patients should be included in a non-cross-over trial. These patients (n = 120) should be identified during regular ECT (cohort study). Since the estimated prevalence of inter-ictal delirium is \sim 20%, the cohort should include in total at least 600-1,000 persons in order to select 120 patients. This is not possible, due to limited availability of resources. Therefore, a cross-over design will be used. A cross-over design requires fewer patients than a noncross-over trial to achieve similar power. With an estimated correlation between intra-individual measurements of r = 0.50, a cross-over trial requires only 25% of the number of patients (70). Hence, a total of 30 patients provide sufficient power for the trial. With an estimated dropout of 20%, 38 patients need to be included to obtain sufficient power for the trial. We aimed at a probability of 75% to include 38 delirious

10.3389/fpsyt.2022.953686

patients in the trial, which would amount to 210 patients in the cohort. Eventually, with an additional 20% margin of safety due to estimated prevalence, we decided to set the inclusion goal at 250 patients for the cohort, to achieve a sample of 30 delirious patients completing the trial. However, during the first months of data-collection, the prevalence of inter-ictal delirium was noticeably higher, namely \sim 25%. Hence, in an amendment, the goal of inclusion in the cohort was set back to 150 patients.

Data collection, management, and analysis

Data collection and retention

All assessors are trained in the questionnaires or instruments they assess. In addition, they are trained to motivate patients to complete follow-up. All training sessions for the Recall study are recorded in a training log.

Data management

The acquired data and examination results will be entered into an electronic case record form (eCRF), stored on a laptop, only accessible by members of the research team. Where it is necessary to be able to trace data to an individual subject, a subject identification code list will be used to link the data to the subject. This code list will be stored at the department of psychiatry Amsterdam University Medical Center—location VUmc. The key to the code will be safeguarded by the data management in case the data or the blood samples are kept for a longer period of time. The handling of personal data is in accordance with the Dutch Personal Data Protection Act (in Dutch: De Wet Bescherming Persoonsgegevens).

Data analysis

Significance will be set at p < 0.05 for all statistics and 95% confidence intervals will be presented. Intention to treat analyses will be conducted. Descriptive baseline statistics will be generated for the patients included in the trial. As the trial is a patient cross-over design, no comparison or correction for baseline variables will be done.

To estimate the efficacy of rivastigmine on inter-ictal delirium in the RECALL trial, delta scores of the DRS-R-98 will be used. These delta scores will be computed over the period of rivastigmine (intervention, 2 ECT sessions, 7 days) and placebo (comparison, 2 ECT sessions, 7 days) separately. For further details, see section Primary outcome measure. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) by a mixed model for repeated measures, with treatment (rivastigmine vs. placebo) as a within effect and sequence of treatment (arm A vs. arm B) as a between factor, will be performed. Additionally, the association between rivastigmine and (deltascores of the) DRS-R-98 will be examined in a traditional regression model, with putative effect modifiers, confounders and determinants.

Cox's regression analyses will be conducted in the RECALL cohort, with outcome defined as the (time to) occurrence of inter-ictal delirium measured by a positive CAM and/or a decline of MMSE of 4 points, adjusted for putative effect modifiers, confounders and determinants. Additionally, putative patterns of cognitive decline will be identified by data-driven methods (e.g., latent-class analysis), based on cognitive outcome measures. Future analyses in the data will depend on appropriate research questions.

Monitoring, auditing, and harms

Monitoring and auditing

A data monitoring committee has been installed, consisting of the Clinical Research Bureau (CRB) of VUmc, Amsterdam (currently Amsterdam University Medical Center). This CRB is independent from the sponsor and has no competing interests. In summary, the CRB monitors whether the rights and wellbeing of the respondents are protected; whether data from the research is reported correctly and fully verifiable in source documents; and whether the execution of the study is in accordance with the protocol or amendment(s) approved at that time, with Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and with the relevant legal requirements. In addition, monitoring visits at all Dutch sites are conducted shortly after the start of the study, and once yearly during the study. Audits on trial conduct are performed at random by the Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute. Considering the low risk of rivastigmine, as a long-term registered drug, an additional Data and Safety Monitoring Board was not installed. Likewise, interim analyses will not be conducted. Notably, all documents, including Patients' Information Folder (PIF) and Patients' Informed Consent forms (IC), and protocols for MRI and EEG measurements, blood and urine collection, laboratory evaluation and storage and data management plan (including information on data entry, coding, security and storage) are available upon request.

Harms

Adverse events are defined as 'any undesirable experience occurring to a subject during a clinical trial, whether or not considered related to the intervention'. Therefore, we decided to note only adverse events in the trial, and not during regular ECT-treatment (cohort-study). All adverse events reported spontaneously by the subject or observed

10.3389/fpsyt.2022.953686

by the investigator or his staff are recorded. All serious adverse events, defined by the Central Committee on Research involving Human Subjects (CCMO), that occur in any of the participating centers are reported immediately to the principal investigator, who will report to the medical ethical board of the VUmc. The local investigators at each collaborating center are responsible for immediate reporting to the principal investigator.

Discussion

The RECALL study aims to provide insight into the effects of rivastigmine on inter-ictal delirium, and to study the determinants and subtypes of cognitive side-effects of ECT in older persons. For this purpose, the design consists of a prospective cohort study in older patients treated with ECT for depression, primarily aiming to identify patients eligible for the cross-over trial to examine rivastigmine as a treatment for inter-ictal delirium. The prospective cohort is efficiently used to provide insight into cognitive side-effects and their determinants. We hypothesize that rivastigmine can prevent the excessive post-ECT drop in acetylcholine, and through this mechanism, reduce inter-ictal delirium severity. To our knowledge, the RECALL study will be the first clinical trial in patients with inter-ictal delirium during ECT.

The RECALL study will provide us with a large selection of putative determinants and outcome measures of ECT-related cognitive side-effects. Since these determinants are measured at baseline, their association with the onset of inter-ictal delirium can be assessed. Furthermore, the wide array of cognitive measures could enable detection of ECT-related cognitive side-effects. To date, studies on cognitive side-effects during ECT are often hampered by limited assessment of (putative predictors of) ECT-related cognitive side-effects. In addition, it enables detailed description of the study sample, facilitating generalizability of findings.

The cross-over design is chosen for three specific reasons; (1) to reduce the influence of confounding covariates, as each crossover patient serves as his or her own control; (2) to enable all trial patients to benefit from the study medication; and (3) most important, feasibility, as cross-over designs require fewer patients than non-cross-over designs to achieve sufficient power (71). The feasibility was the major argument for the design team as this is a population that is particularly hard to study. It is difficult to include patients due to less motivation which is a symptom of their disease, and—in case of legal incapability- doubts from the legal representative (e.g., family) to agree to an additional extensive battery of tests during the treatment. In addition, as in all studies on depression, we predict that the anhedonia and indecisiveness, core symptoms of depression, cause difficulties for patients to stay motivated

during the entire study, resulting in missing data. The crossover design allows this study to achieve sufficient power with 30 delirious patients completing the trial. A potential bias in cross-over designs may be "carry-over" effects. However, rivastigmine has a short elimination time [T1/2 patch = 3.4 h (72)], therefore a minimal "wash-out" period of 1 day is deemed sufficient. After removal of the patch, rivastigmine is eliminated for more than 99% within 24 h—or before the next ECT session is done. Furthermore, transdermal rivastigmine was chosen over other AChI due to reported superiority on gastro-intestinal side-effects and similar expected efficacy (73–75).

A limitation of our study is the possibly invalid use of the MMSE as an inclusion measure for patients with an inter-ictal delirium. It might be improper, as it is previously not validated as a tool for the diagnosis of inter-ictal delirium, or any other delirium (76). The same goes for the MMSE as a measure of delirium severity. However, we claim, based on our clinical experience, that inter-ictal delirium does not always behave as a "regular" delirium and therefore does not always meet the criteria for delirium as measured with the CAM. For example, hallucinations are often lacking, and the patients usually suffer from clouding of consciousness, persistent disorientation or reduced speed of processing. Hence, by screening for inter-ictal delirium using the CAM only, eligible patients for the trial might be missed.

In short, this paper provides the study protocol of the first clinical trial on interictal delirium, embedded in a cohort study that extensively measures ECT-related cognitive side-effects and their putative determinants. These extensive (outcome) measures facilitate future research, and may contribute to a future consensus on cognitive testing during ECT. Most importantly, this study may provide evidence for treatment of inter-ictal delirium with rivastigmine.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Medical-Ethical Board of VU University Medical Center and the Central Committee on Research involving Human Subjects (CCMO). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

DR and MH initiated the study and are grant holder. TF, MH, and DR drafted the manuscript. EvE, JB, AV, AvdL, NvdV, AD, ME, PS, FB, HS, RK, SL, AB, and MS helped with the design and implementation of study. PB provided expertise in clinical trial design (handling medication and randomization format). EvE, AV, AvdL, PS, FB, HS, and RK were assigned as

local lead investigator and were responsible for recruitment and data collection, and organization of the study in participating centers. All authors contributed to reviewing the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

ZonMW goed gebruik geneesmiddelen (https://www. zonmw.nl/nl/); project number 848015012: no influence on any aspect of the study; only monitoring progress. Amsterdam University Medical Center: delegation of all study responsibilities to principal investigator, including study design, data collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication.

References

1. UKECTReviewGroup. Efficacy and safety of electroconvulsive therapy in depressive disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet.* (2003) 361:799–808. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)12705-5

2. Wilkinson ST, Agbese E, Leslie DL, Rosenheck RA. Identifying recipients of electroconvulsive therapy: data from privately insured Americans. *Psychiatr Serv.* (2018) 69:542–8. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201700364

3. McFarquhar TF, Thompson J. Knowledge and attitudes regarding electroconvulsive therapy among medical students and the general public. *J ECT*. (2008) 24:244–53. doi: 10.1097/YCT.0b013e318168be4a

4. Porter RJ, Baune BT, Morris G, Hamilton A, Bassett D, Boyce P, et al. Cognitive side-effects of electroconvulsive therapy: what are they, how to monitor them and what to tell patients. *BJPsych Open.* (2020) 6:e40. doi: 10.1192/bj0.2020.17

5. Ingram A, Saling MM, Schweitzer I. Cognitive side effects of brief pulse electroconvulsive therapy: a review. *J ECT*. (2008) 24:3–9. doi: 10.1097/YCT.0b013e31815ef24a

6. Selvaraj AG, Praharaj SK. Delayed onset and prolonged interictal delirium following electroconvulsive therapy. *Psychogeriatrics*. (2012) 12:211–3. doi: 10.1111/j.1479-8301.2012.00419.x

7. Oudega ML, van Exel E, Wattjes MP, Comijs HC, Scheltens P, Barkhof F, et al. White matter hyperintensities and cognitive impairment during electroconvulsive therapy in severely depressed elderly patients. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* (2014) 22:157–66. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2012.08.002

8. van Schaik AM, Rhebergen D, Henstra MJ, Kadouch DJ, van Exel E, Stek ML. Cognitive impairment and electroconvulsive therapy in geriatric depression, what could be the role of rivastigmine? *A Case Series Clin Pract.* (2015) 5:780. doi: 10.4081/cp.2015.780

9. Kerner N, Prudic J. Current electroconvulsive therapy practice and research in the geriatric population. *Neuropsychiatry (London).* (2014) 4:33–54. doi: 10.2217/npy.14.3

10. Grover S, Kumar A, Chakrabarti S, Avasthi A. The incidence of prolonged post-electroconvulsive therapy delirium: a retrospective study. *Indian J Psychiatry.* (2020) 62:193–7. doi: 10.4103/psychiatry.IndianJPsychiatry_553_19

11. Fink M. Post-ECT Delirium. Convuls Ther. (1993) 9:326-30.

12. Landry M, Moreno A, Patry S, Potvin S, Lemasson M. Current practices of electroconvulsive therapy in mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis of short and long-term cognitive effects. *J ect.* (2021) 37:119–27. doi: 10.1097/YCT.00000000000723

13. Rasmussen KG. What type of cognitive testing should be part of routine electroconvulsive therapy practice? *J ECT*. (2016) 32:7–12. doi: 10.1097/YCT.00000000000257

14. Logan CJ, Stewart JT. Treatment of post-electroconvulsive therapy delirium and agitation with donepezil. *J ECT.* (2007) 23:28–9. doi: 10.1097/01.yct.0000263259.29291.c4

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

15. Pigot M, Andrade C, Loo C. Pharmacological attenuation of electroconvulsive therapy-induced cognitive deficits: theoretical background and clinical findings. *J ECT*. (2008) 24:57–67. doi: 10.1097/YCT.0b013e318 1616c14

16. Gasiorowska A, Wydrych M, Drapich P, Zadrozny M, Steczkowska M, Niewiadomski W, et al. The biology and pathobiology of glutamatergic, cholinergic, and dopaminergic signaling in the aging brain. *Front Aging Neurosci.* (2021) 13:654931. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2021.654931

17. Hshieh TT, Fong TG, Marcantonio ER, Inouye SK. Cholinergic deficiency hypothesis in delirium: a synthesis of current evidence. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* (2008) 63:764–72. doi: 10.1093/gerona/63.7.764

18. Lerer B. Electroconvulsive shock and neurotransmitter receptors: implications for mechanism of action and adverse effects of electroconvulsive therapy. *Biol Psychiatry*. (1984) 19:361–83.

19. Lerer B. Studies on the role of brain cholinergic systems in the therapeutic mechanisms and adverse effects of ECT and lithium. *Biol Psychiatry.* (1985) 20:20–40. doi: 10.1016/0006-3223(85)90132-5

20. Henstra MJ, Jansma EP, van der Velde N, Swart EL, Stek ML, Rhebergen D. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors for electroconvulsive therapy-induced cognitive side effects: a systematic review. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* (2017) 32:522–31. doi: 10.1002/gps.4702

21. Chan AW, Tetzlaff JM, Gotzsche PC, Altman DG, Mann H, Berlin JA, et al. SPIRIT 2013 explanation and elaboration: guidance for protocols of clinical trials. *BMJ.* (2013) 346:e7586. doi: 10.1136/bmj.e7586

22. Robins LN, Wing J, Wittchen HU, Helzer JE, Babor TF, Burke J, et al. The composite international diagnostic interview. An epidemiologic Instrument suitable for use in conjunction with different diagnostic systems and in different cultures. Arch Gen Psychiatry. (1988) 45:1069–77. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1988.01800360017003

23. Wittchen HU, Robins LN, Cottler LB, Sartorius N, Burke JD, Regier D. Cross-cultural feasibility, reliability and sources of variance of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI). The Multicentre WHO/ADAMHA Field Trials. *Br J Psychiatry.* (1991) 159:645–53, 58. doi: 10.1192/bjp.159. 5.645

24. Wittchen HU. Reliability and validity studies of the WHO—Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI): a critical review. *J Psychiatr Res.* (1994) 28:57–84. doi: 10.1016/0022-3956(94)90036-1

25. Inouye SK, van Dyck CH, Alessi CA, Balkin S, Siegal AP, Horwitz RI. Clarifying confusion: the confusion assessment method. A new method for detection of delirium. *Ann Intern Med.* (1990) 113:941-8. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-113-12-941

26. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res.* (1975) 12:189–98. doi: 10.1016/0022-3956(75)90026-6

27. Psychiatrie NVv. *Guideline Electroconvulsive Therapy [richtlijn elektroconvulsietherapie]. Werkgroep Electroconvulsieve therapie.* Utrecht, The Netherlands: de Tijdstroom (2010).

28. Montgomery SA, Asberg M, A. new depression scale designed to be sensitive to change. Br J Psychiatry. (1979) 134:382–9. doi: 10.1192/bjp.134.4.382

29. Williams EJ. Experimental designs balanced for the estimation of residual effects of treatments. *Aust J Chem.* (1949) 2:149–68. doi: 10.1071/CH9490149

30. de Rooij SE, van Munster BC, Korevaar JC, Casteelen G, Schuurmans MJ, van der Mast RC, et al. Delirium subtype identification and the validation of the Delirium Rating Scale–Revised-98 (Dutch version) in hospitalized elderly patients. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2006) 21:876–82. doi: 10.1002/gps.1577

31. Schmand B, Groenink SC, van den Dungen M. [Letter fluency: psychometric properties and Dutch normative data]. *Tijdschr Gerontol Geriatr.* (2008) 39:64–76. doi: 10.1007/BF03078128

32. Hazan E, Frankenburg F, Brenkel M, Shulman K. The test of time: a history of clock drawing. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2018) 33:e22–30. doi: 10.1002/gps.4731

33. Prudic J, Sackeim HA, Devanand DP, Krueger RB, Settembrino JM. Acute cognitive effects of subconvulsive electrical stimulation. *Convuls Ther.* (1994) 10:4–24.

34. Sobin C, Sackeim HA, Prudic J, Devanand DP, Moody BJ, McElhiney MC. Predictors of retrograde amnesia following ECT. *Am J Psychiatry.* (1995) 152:995–1001. doi: 10.1176/ajp.152.7.995

35. Ely EW, Truman B, Shintani A, Thomason JW, Wheeler AP, Gordon S, et al. Monitoring sedation status over time in ICU patients: reliability and validity of the Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS). *JAMA*. (2003) 289:2983–91. doi: 10.1001/jama.289.22.2983

36. Sunderland T, Hill JL, Mellow AM, Lawlor BA, Gundersheimer J, Newhouse PA, et al. Clock drawing in Alzheimer's disease. A novel measure of dementia severity. J Am Geriatr Soc. (1989) 37:725–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.1989.tb02233.x

37. Sessler CN, Gosnell MS, Grap MJ, Brophy GM, O'Neal PV, Keane KA, et al. The Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale: validity and reliability in adult intensive care unit patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2002) 166:1338–44. doi: 10.1164/rccm.2107138

38. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjostrom M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2003) 35:1381–95. doi: 10.1249/01.MSS.0000078924.61453.FB

39. Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, Glynn RJ, Berkman LF, Blazer DG, et al. A short physical performance battery assessing lower extremity function: association with self-reported disability and prediction of mortality and nursing home admission. J Gerontol. (1994) 49:M85–94. doi: 10.1093/geronj/49.2.M85

40. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, et al. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* (2001) 56:M146–56. doi: 10.1093/gerona/56.3.M146

41. Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Simonsick EM, Salive ME, Wallace RB. Lowerextremity function in persons over the age of 70 years as a predictor of subsequent disability. *N Engl J Med.* (1995) 332:556–61. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199503023320902

42. Penninx BW, Beekman AT, Smit JH, Zitman FG, Nolen WA, Spinhoven P, et al. The Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA): rationale, objectives and methods. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.* (2008) 17:121-40. doi: 10.1002/mpr.256

43. Roberts RE, Vernon SW. The center for epidemiologic studies depression scale: its use in a community sample. *Am J Psychiatry*. (1983) 140:41–6. doi: 10.1176/ajp.140.1.41

44. Yesavage JA, Sheikh JI. 9. Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS). Clin. Gerontol. (2008) 5:165-73. doi: 10.1300/J018v05n01_09

45. Beck AT, Epstein N, Brown G, Steer RA. An inventory for measuring clinical anxiety: psychometric properties. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* (1988) 56:893–7. doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.56.6.893

46. Marin RS, Biedrzycki RC, Firinciogullari S. Reliability and validity of the apathy evaluation scale. *Psychiatry Res.* (1991) 38:143–62. doi: 10.1016/0165-1781(91)90040-V

47. Parker G, Hadzi-Pavlovic D. Development and structure of the CORE system. In: Hadzi-Pavlovic D, Parker G, editors. *Melancholia: A Disorder of Movement and Mood.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (1996). p. 82–129.

48. Milieu RvVe. Volkgezondheid Toekomst Verkenning: Ministerie van Volksgezondheid, Welzijn en Sport. (2018). Available from: https://www.volksgezondheidenzorg.info/ranglijst/ranglijst-aandoeningen-op-basis-van-v %C3%B3%C3%B3rkomen/leeftijd#node-top-tien-v%C3%B3%C3%B3rkomen-aandoeningen-65-plussers

49. Sackeim HA, Aaronson ST, Bunker MT, Conway CR, Demitrack MA, George MS, et al. The assessment of resistance to antidepressant treatment: rationale for the Antidepressant Treatment History Form: Short Form (ATHF-SF). *J Psychiatr Res.* (2019) 113:125–36. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2019.03.021

50. Hartong EGTM, Goekoop JG. De Montgomery-Asberg beoordelingsschaal voor depressie. *Tijdschr Psychiatr.* (1986) 657–68.

51. Rhebergen D, Arts DL, Comijs H, Beekman AT, Terwee CB, Parker G, et al. Psychometric properties of the dutch version of the core measure of melancholia. *J Affect Disord.* (2012) 142:343–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2012.03.043

52. Stroop JR. Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. J Exp Psychol. (1935) 18:643–62. doi: 10.1037/h0054651

53. Rey A, L. 'examen clinique en psychologie [Clinical tests in psychology]. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France (1964).

54. Reitan RM, Wolfson D. The Halstead-Reitan Neuropsychological Test Battery: Theory and Clinical Interpretation. Tucson, Ariz: Neuropsychology Press (1985).

55. Kopelman MD, Wilson BA, Baddeley AD. The autobiographical memory interview: a new assessment of autobiographical and personal semantic memory in amnesic patients. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol.* (1989) 11:724–44. doi: 10.1080/01688638908400928

56. Lindeboom J, Schmand B, Tulner L, Walstra G, Jonker C. Visual association test to detect early dementia of the Alzheimer type. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* (2002) 73:126–33. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.73.2.126

57. Jorm AF, Jacomb PA. The Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE): socio-demographic correlates, reliability, validity and some norms. *Psychol Med.* (1989) 19:1015–22. doi: 10.1017/S003329170000 5742

58. Periáñez JA, Lubrini G, García-Gutiérrez A, Ríos-Lago M. Construct validity of the stroop color-word test: influence of speed of visual search, verbal fluency, working memory, cognitive flexibility, and conflict monitoring. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. (2020) 36:99–111. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acaa034

59. van der Kooi AW, Zaal IJ, Klijn FA, Koek HL, Meijer RC, Leijten FS, et al. Delirium detection using EEG: what and how to measure. *Chest.* (2015) 147:94–101. doi: 10.1378/chest.13-3050

60. Jacobson S, Jerrier H, EEG. in delirium. Semin Clin Neuropsychiatry. (2000) 5:86-92.

61. Fazekas F, Chawluk JB, Alavi A, Hurtig HI. Zimmerman RA. MR signal abnormalities at 15 T in Alzheimer's dementia and normal aging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* (1987) 149:351–6. doi: 10.2214/ajr.149.2.351

62. Pasquier F, Leys D, Weerts JG, Mounier-Vehier F, Barkhof F, Scheltens P. Inter- and intraobserver reproducibility of cerebral atrophy assessment on MRI scans with hemispheric infarcts. *Eur Neurol.* (1996) 36:268–72. doi: 10.1159/000117270

63. Scheltens P, Launer LJ, Barkhof F, Weinstein HC, van Gool WA. Visual assessment of medial temporal lobe atrophy on magnetic resonance imaging: interobserver reliability. *J Neurol.* (1995) 242:557–60. doi: 10.1007/BF00868807

64. Carlier A, Rhebergen D, Veerhuis R, Schouws S, Oudega ML, Eikelenboom P, et al. Inflammation and cognitive functioning in depressed older adults treated with electroconvulsive therapy: a prospective cohort study. *J Clin Psychiatry*. (2021) 82:20m13631. doi: 10.4088/JCP.20m13631

65. Hodes GE, Ménard C, Russo SJ. Integrating Interleukin-6 into depression diagnosis and treatment. *Neurobiol Stress.* (2016) 4:15–22. doi: 10.1016/j.ynstr.2016.03.003

66. Peng L, Xu L, Ouyang W. Role of peripheral inflammatory markers in postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD): a meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE.* (2013) 8:e79624. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0079624

67. Arts B, Peters M, Ponds R, Honig A, Menheere P, van Os J. S100 and impact of ECT on depression and cognition. J ECT. (2006) 22:206–12. doi: 10.1097/01.yct.0000235925.37494.2c

68. Biomarkers Definitions Working G. Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints: preferred definitions and conceptual framework. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* (2001) 69:89–95. doi: 10.1067/mcp.2001.113989

69. Lopresti AL, Maes M, Meddens MJ, Maker GL, Arnoldussen E, Drummond PD. Curcumin and major depression: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial investigating the potential of peripheral biomarkers to predict treatment response and antidepressant mechanisms of change. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* (2015) 25:38–50. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2014. 11.015

70. Roach RE, Siegerink B, Lijfering WM. [Case-crossover studies. Research into risk factors with the patient as his or her own control]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd.* (2013) 157:A5896. Available online at: https://www.ntvg.nl/artikelen/patient-cross-overstudies

71. Bonten TN, Siegerink B, van der Bom JG. [Cross-over studies]. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd. (2013) 157:A5542.

72. Novartis Farmaceuticals Corporation. Exelon Transdermal System (rivastigmine) [package insert]. U.S.: Food and Drug Administration. Available online at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/ 2015/022083s020lbl.pdf (revised on 1, 2015) (accessed on 6, 2021).

73. Birks J. Cholinesterase inhibitors for Alzheimer's disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2006) 2006:Cd005593. doi: 10.1002/14651858. CD005593

74. Winblad B, Cummings J, Andreasen N, Grossberg G, Onofrj M, Sadowsky C, et al. A six-month double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study of a transdermal patch in Alzheimer's disease-rivastigmine patch versus capsule. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* (2007) 22:456–67. doi: 10.1002/gps.1788

75. Overshott R, Karim S, Burns A. Cholinesterase inhibitors for delirium. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2008) 2008:Cd005317. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD005317.pub2

76. Mitchell AJ, Shukla D, Ajumal HA, Stubbs B, Tahir TA. The mini-mental state examination as a diagnostic and screening test for delirium: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry.* (2014) 36:627–33. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2014. 09.003

CITATION

Henstra MJ, Feenstra TC, Kok RM, Spaans HP, van Exel E, Dols A, Oudega M, Vergouwen ACM, van der Loo A, Bet PM, Loer SA, Eikelenboom M, Sienaert P, Lambrichts S, Bouckaert F, Bosmans JE, van der Velde N, Beekman ATF, Stek ML and Rhebergen D (2022) Rivastigmine for ECT-induced cognitive adverse effects in late life depression (RECALL study): A multicenter, randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over trial in patients with depression aged 55 years or older: Rationale, objectives and methods. *Front. Psychiatry* 13:953686. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.953686

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Henstra, Feenstra, Kok, Spaans, van Exel, Dols, Oudega, Vergouwen, van der Loo, Bet, Loer, Eikelenboom, Sienaert, Lambrichts, Bouckaert, Bosmans, van der Velde, Beekman, Stek and Rhebergen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.