Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Sana Ali, Allama Iqbal Open University, Pakistan

REVIEWED BY Nimra Al Shamsi, Ain Shams University, Egypt Humaira Sharif, University of Malaya, Malaysia

\*CORRESPONDENCE Hongjun Tian I thj-home@163.com Langlang Cheng I langlangchengwzmh@163.com Chuanjun Zhuo Chuanjunzhuotjmh@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 18 January 2023 ACCEPTED 06 April 2023 PUBLISHED 03 May 2023

#### CITATION

Yu H, Tian H, Fang T, Zhang Q, Wang L, Ma X, Li R, Cheng L and Zhuo C (2023) Validity and reliability of a Chinese language suicide screening questionnaire-observer rating (CL-SSQ-OR) assessment for children/ adolescents. *Front. Psychiatry* 14:1147161.

doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1147161

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Yu, Tian, Fang, Zhang, Wang, Ma, Li, Cheng and Zhuo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Validity and reliability of a Chinese language suicide screening questionnaire-observer rating (CL-SSQ-OR) assessment for children/adolescents

Haiping Yu<sup>1,2†</sup>, Hongjun Tian<sup>2\*†</sup>, Tao Fang<sup>2†</sup>, Qiuyu Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Lina Wang<sup>3</sup>, Xiaoyan Ma<sup>3</sup>, Ranli Li<sup>3</sup>, Langlang Cheng<sup>1\*</sup>and Chuanjun Zhuo<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Wenzhou Seventh Peoples Hospital, Wenzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Tianjin Fourth Center Hospital, Nankai University Affiliated Tianjin Fourth Center Hospital, Tianjin, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Emergency Psychiatry, Tianjin Anding Hospital, Nankai University Affiliated Tianjin Anding Hospital, Tianjin Mental Health Center of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China

**Background:** A Suicide Screening Questionnaire-Observer Rating (SSQ-OR) has been used to assess risk of suicide among individuals and to help clinicians identify and rescue individuals attempting suicide. To prevent the risk of suicide in China, a Chinese language SSQ-OR (CL-SSQ-OR) needs to be introduced.

Objective: To test the validity and reliability of a CL-SSQ-OR.

**Method:** A total of 250 individuals were enrolled in this study. Each completed a CL-SSQ-OR assessment, Patient Health Questionnaire-9, and the Beck Scale for Suicide Ideation. Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was adopted to determine structural validity. Spearman correlation coefficients were adopted to determine criterion validity. An internal correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to test interconsistency and Cronbach's  $\alpha$  coefficient was used to test split-half reliability.

**Results:** CFA was conducted with use of the maximum variance method to evaluate the item results. All of the items received scores >0.40. In addition, good model fit indices were observed for the two-factor structure RMSEA=0.046, TLI=0.965, CFI=0.977. The items' factor loading of the CL-SSQ-OR in the first factor ranged from 0.443 to 0.878. The items' factor loading of the CL-SSQ-OR in the second factor ranged from 0.400 to 0.810. The ICC of the total CL-SSQ-OR was 0.855. Cronbach's  $\alpha$  was 0.873.

**Conclusion:** The CL-SSQ-OR described here demonstrates ideal psychometric properties and is found to be a suitable tool for screening Chinese children/ adolescents who are at risk of suicide.

KEYWORDS

validity, reliability, suicide, CL-SSQ-OR, children, adolescents

#### Introduction

Nearly one million suicide deaths are reported each year worldwide, with suicide being the second leading cause of death among young people aged 15-29 years (1-7). Both suicidal thoughts and suicidal behaviors are caused by a complex reciprocal action of various factors, including nature and nurture factors. For example, suicide-related genetics, social deprivation, cyberbullying, depressive moods, and alcohol abuse are key factors. Overall, suicide rates in low-and middle-income countries (LMIC) are lower than the rates in high-income countries (HIC) (11.2 vs. 12.7 per 100,000 population, respectively). While the majority of suicide deaths occur in LMICs, there remain ongoing challenges in collecting accurate suicide figures in many countries. The World Health Organization (WHO) has published major strategic documents regarding a global approach to suicide prevention. These documents include the Global Mental Health Action Plan (2013-2020), the WHO report Preventing Suicide: A Global Imperative (2014), and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (2030). A target of reducing premature mortality due to noncommunicable diseases by one-third has also been presented, with suicide mortality rate identified as an indicator for this target. Although suicidal ideation has been linked to a higher risk of death by suicide, open discussion of suicidal thoughts and/or plans to friends and family, or seeking of professional help before attempting suicide, is not common. In China, a high suicide ideation rate has been reported, especially among adolescents. Zhang et al. reported prevalences of 15.1%, 7.2%, and 3.5% for suicide ideation, plans, and attempts, respectively, over the past year in China (4, 8, 9). Despite attempts to predict suicide risk over the past 50 years, an effective method remains to be established (10, 11). Moreover, due to lack of a useful assessment tool, suicide risk screening and suicide prevention efforts are limited. Among suicide deaths, those involving adolescents and children represent a large proportion (12-15). In particular, since adolescents and children are generally not proactive in reporting their suicide risk, their guardians often underestimate or neglect the potential suicide risk of their children. This further facilitates the risk of suicide (16). Therefore, development of an observer rating tool, and its use in combination with other self-report tools, could help screen adolescents and children by employing multiple perspectives (13-17). Over the past three years in China, the prevalence of suicide has increased. In 2021, the "China Child Suicide Report" released by the Children's Development Center of the Medical Department of Peking University highlighted that China ranks first in the world in child suicide. Approximately 100,000 adolescents die due to suicide each year, with two deaths and eight attempts every ten minutes. According to the "China Health and Family Planning Statistical Yearbook," suicide has become the third leading cause of death among adolescents aged 10-25 years among all non-disease causes of death. Hence, prevention of suicide among adolescents and children is an important task, and a suitable assessment tool is critical for obtaining information related to suicide.

Many suicide risk assessment tools have been established which are valuable for suicide prevention efforts. To the best of our knowledge, there are currently 38 scales available for assessing suicide risk (18). Some of these include: the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (CSSR) which assesses suicide severity (19), the Suicidality Scale (SS) which focuses on mapping the suicidal mind (20), the Ask Suicide-Screening Questions (ASQ) which surveys suicidal ideation and lifetime suicide attempts (21), the Suicidal Ideation Attributes Scale (SIDAS) which mainly focuses on assessing suicidal ideation (22), the Suicidal Behaviors Questionnaire-Revised (SBQ-R) which assesses suicidal behavior (23), and the Beck Scale of Suicide Ideation (BSSI) which describes characteristics and the severity of suicide ideation (24). However, almost all of these tools tend to focus on a particular risk factor (e.g., suicidal behavior, suicidal thoughts, etc.) (25, 26). In addition, nearly all of the above-mentioned tools are self-reporting tools and do not assess suicide-related risks from other perspectives (27-29). For assessments of patients with depression, the BSSI is more suitable. However, the latter scale is not preferred for assessing risk of suicide in individuals with other mental disorders and/or non-mental disorders (30-32). Hence, a tool which can identify individuals at high-risk for suicide, especially among adolescents, is still needed.

An observer-rated screening tool that incorporates multiple perspectives is needed to identify suicide risk for individuals, particularly for children and adolescents. Professor Young-Hwan Choi established a Suicide Screening Questionnaire-Observer Rating (SSQ-OR) (33) which provides more information for a comprehensive assessment of suicide effects in adolescents and children. Due to the cultural differences that exist between different countries, use of an assessment tool which is initially established in a particular country must be first subjected to tests of reliability and validity according to the background of the country into which it is to be applied in clinical practice. For example, the Ask Suicide-Screening Questions (ASQ) (21) was introduced into the Korean language by Kim et al. from the National Institute of Mental Health (United States), the Cluster Headache Impact Questionnaire (CHIQ) was translated into the Italian language by Onofri et al. (United States), and the Central Sensitization Inventory (CSI-9) was translated into the Chinese language by Liang et al. (United States). Moreover, all of these tools were subjected to validity and reliability tests in the country into which they were introduced (34-36). Consequently, to introduce the SSQ-OR into China, we first tested the validity and reliability of the Chinese language version of this tool. Therefore, the aim of this study was to test the validity and reliability of a Chinese language SSQ-OR (CL-SSQ-OR) for preventing suicide among adolescents and children in China.

## Materials and methods

#### CL-SSQ-OR

The CL-SSQ-OR evaluated included 25 items according to a Likert scale. The score for each item ranges from 1 (very in-appropriate/not important) to 5 (very appropriate/important). Please refer to Table 1 for a list of the items surveyed.

#### Translation and optimization

Initially, we translated the SSQ-OR (33) into the Chinese language (CL-SSQ-OR). Then, we invited a native Korean language speaker (Sun Yang Kim, a medical doctor trained at Tianjin Chinese Tradition Medical University) to translate this CL-SSQ-OR back into an

#### TABLE 1 Items of the CL-SSQ-OR.

ltem no.	s of the CL-SSQ-OR. Item text		
1	Rarely meets anyone and spends most of the day alone		
2	Suffers from conflicts with family members such as parents, children, or siblings		
3	Suffers from conflict or breakup with a love object		
4	Depreciates or regards himself/herself as pathetic		
5	Impulsively does something dangerous or regrettable (e.g., drunk driving, violence, binge eating, impulsive consumption, severe argument with people around)		
6	Says that he/she will die when emotions get intense		
7	Has planned a place, time, method for suicide (e.g., checking out a place or buying a tool, etc.)		
8	Has attempted suicide more than once to date		
9	Says that death is the only way to solve the current problems		
10	Talks about suicide or death		
11	Suddenly organizes the surroundings (e.g., property arrangement, personal affair arrangement, efforts to improve relationships)		
12	Says that people around him/her would be better off if he/she dies or disappears		
13	Says that he/she wanted to die following a deceased family member, friend, pet, or celebrity		
14	Looks depressed or lethargic almost every day		
15	Has hurt him/herself to the extent of leaving a scar		
16	Continues drinking even though drinking causes serious problems (e.g., deterioration of health, violence/abusive language, interpersonal conflicts)		
17	Looks very anxious and nervous		
18	Has severe mood swings		
19	Is suspicious of other people's intentions and/or thinks others are doing him/her harm		
20	Complains about sleep problems (e.g., not being able to fall asleep easily, waking up in the middle of the night, change in sleeping hours)		
21	Suffers from a failure (e.g., job, promotion, business, academic failure, etc.)		
22	Suffers from the financial distress (e.g., debt, poverty, bankruptcy, etc.)		
23	Has suffered since experiencing physical, verbal, or sexual violence		
24	Suffers from being unemployed		
25	Suffers from unfair treatment or insult		

English-language version. The final version of the CL-SSQ-OR was acquired from the harmonized Korean language version.

#### Validity evaluation

To determine structural validity, confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was adopted. Spearman correlation coefficients were adopted

to determine criterion validity for the BSSI (37) and Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) (38). Both the BSSI and PHQ-9 were adopted as criteria.

#### **Reliability evaluation**

A total of 250 participants were assessed independently by twelve raters who were blinded to their suicide status. An internal correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to test inter-consistency (39), while Cronbach's  $\alpha$  coefficient was used to test split-half reliability (40).

## Cut-off point selection

Twelve professional doctors with more than 20 years of experience in suicide crisis intervention were employed to apply a consistent clinical standard. These doctors determined the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) to be acceptable (41) to the subjects. They also judged the cutoff points for the severity of suicide features and calculated sensitivity and specificity for various CL-SSQ-OR scores to evaluate severity of suicide features.

## Statistical analysis

Statistical Package for Social Sciences 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) was used to evaluate both validity and reliability for variables and to determine cutoff scores (42). CFA was applied to test constructive validity of the CL-SSQ-OR. Relationships between SSQ-OR scores and scale of suicide ideation scores (43) were analyzed with the Spearman correlation test. The internal consistency of the scale was evaluated by calculating Cronbach's  $\alpha$  coefficient and an ICC. CFA was also used to determine structural validity. The root mean square area of approximation (RMSEA) was expected to be less than 0.05, while confirmatory fit index (CFI), goodness of fit index (GFI), and Tucker–Lewis index (TLI) values were expected to be greater than 0.95 in order to consider acceptability of the model fit by CFA. The significance value was set as p < 0.05, within the 95% confidence interval (95% CI) (44–49).

## Results

#### Participants

Participants in this study were recruited from the Department of Psychological Consultation/Suicide Crisis Intervention Center (Tianjin Fourth Center Hospital, China) and from the Department of Psychological Consultation (Wenzhou Seventh Peoples' Hospital, China) between September 2022 and November 2022. These individuals had visited the psychological emergency room due to a suicide crisis. Inclusion criteria for this study were: (1) age 12–17 years; (2) at least 6 years of education and an ability to understand the scale of the SSQ-OR; and (3) having at least one visit to a crisis intervention center due to suicide within the last year. Exclusion criteria were: (1) age  $\geq$  18 years, (2) intellectual disabilities, (3) neurodegenerative disease, (4) history of a personality disorder,

#### TABLE 2 Factor loading of the CL-SSQ-OR.

ltems	Factor loading, 95%Cl	Ζ	p
1	0.571, 0.467-0.700	14.880	<0.001
2	0.428, 0.385-0.566	15.589	< 0.001
3	0.671, 0.500-0.821	13.569	< 0.001
4	0.636, 0.474-0.828	16.247	<0.001
5	0.533, 0.400-0.719	16.660	<0.001
6	0.690, 0.452-0.767	14.377	<0.001
7	0.574, 0.411-0.655	24.432	<0.001
8	0.724, 0.527-0.884	18.859	<0.001
9	0.828, 0.735-0.943	16.882	<0.001
10	0.567, 0.451-0.754	20.396	<0.001
11	0.557, 0.408-0.601	25.655	<0.001
12	0.500, 0.467-0.700	16.533	<0.001
13	0.513, 0.485-0.560	15.297	<0.001
14	0.625, 0.500-0.821	16.460	<0.001
15	0.677, 0.574-0.820	18.441	<0.001
16	0.633, 0.435-0.720	13.789	<0.001
17	0.577, 0.452-0.754	15.456	<0.001
18	0.605, 0.522-0.685	14.523	<0.001
19	0.774, 0.577-0.899	16.720	<0.001
20	0.880, 0.735-0.990	15.831	<0.001
21	0.423, 0.347-0.700	17.951	<0.001
22	0.635, 0.588-0.741	17.157	<0.001
23	0.585, 0.452-0.931	15.662	<0.001
24	0.652, 0.555-0.655	18.582	<0.001
25	0.620, 0.521-0.750	15.688	<0.001

Cutoff score	Sensitivity	Specificity	AUC, 95% CI
32	0.967	0.854	0.892, 0.755–0.913
26	0.915	0.830	0.875, 0.680-0.904
10	0.964	0.838	0.825, 0.733-0.990

(5) brain trauma, and (6) any other factors which could potentially interfere with this study. After conveying a full description of the present study, guardians of the participants signed a consent form. All of the participants were interviewed by trained psychological doctors of the Tianjin Fourth Center Hospital and Wenzhou Seventh Peoples' Hospital. All of the participants also completed a set of self-assessment questionnaires. For the CL-SSQ-OR, needed information was provided by friends, classmates, teachers, etc. The Ethics Committee of the Tianjin Fourth Center Hospital approved this study (IRB no. TW-2022-08-190).

#### Construct validity

CFA disclosed that the participants' data were suitable for factor analysis based on Bartlett's test of sphericity and the Kaiser–Meyer– Olkin (KMO) measure (50, 51). In this study, Bartlett's  $\chi^2$  value of 2898.74 and a KMO value of 0.87 (p < 0.01) met the conditions for CFA. The cumulative variance contribution rate was 82.53%. CFA was conducted with use of the maximum variance method to evaluate the item results. All of the items received scores >0.40 (Table 2). Good model fit indices were also observed for the two-factor structure using CFA (RMSEA = 0.046, TLI = 0.965, CFI = 0.977). The contribution rate of the principal factor was 63.589%, which was higher than the standard 50% value of the structural validity test. Promax rotation (52) further demonstrated that each item had a high factor load (0.428– 0.885; Table 2).

#### Criterion validity

Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was 0.900 between the SQR-OR and the BSSI. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was 0.975 between the SQR-OR and the PHQ-9.

#### **Reliability data**

The total ICC value of the inter-rater consistency was 0.853, indicating good adaptability of the scale. In addition, Cronbach's  $\alpha$  coefficient of the total scale was 0.929, indicating good split-half reliability (47–49). With a clinical evaluation standard of personality features used as a reference, the ROC indicated a cutoff score  $\geq$  32 which is accompanied by a sensitivity value of 0.967 and a specificity value of 0.854 (Table 3). The AUC value is 0.892. Values >32 were considered to indicate a severe suicide feature. For a cutoff score  $\geq$  26, the sensitivity value is 0.915 and the specificity value is 0.830 (Table 3). The AUC is 0.875. Values between 32 and 26 were considered to indicate a moderate suicide feature. When the cutoff score is  $\geq$ 10, the sensitivity value is 0.964 and the specificity value is 0.838 (Table 3). The AUC is 0.825. Values >10 and <26 were considered to indicate a mild severity suicide feature.

## Discussion

The present data demonstrate that the CL-SSQ-OR exhibits good validity and reliability, and can be used as an assessment tool to examine suicidal features of adolescents/children. The data provided by ROC analysis indicate that the CL-SQQ-OR can further be used to evaluate the severity of suicide problems in Chinese adolescents/ children.

Validity is very important for an assessment tool, with good validity providing more precise information in clinical screenings. CFA was used to confirm the construct validity of the CL-SSQ-OR. Bartlett's  $\chi^2$  value was 599.75 and the KMO value was 0.895 (p < 0.01). The cumulative variance contribution rate was 69.58%. These parameters support the constructive validity of the CL-SSQ-OR and its application as an assessment tool for screening suicide features of adolescents/children. The Spearman rank

correlation coefficients between the CL-SSQ-OR and BSSI, and between the CL-SSQ-OR and PHQ, were 0.902 and 0.987, respectively. These data demonstrate that the CL-SSQ-OR exhibits ideal criterion validity (52–55). Furthermore, correlation of the CL-SSQ-OR with the state versus trait loneliness scale indicates that the CL-SSQ-OR can be used to assess the severity of personality features (52–55).

Reliability is also very important for a scale. Good reliability can provide more consistent information when screening individuals with specific characteristics. Our data demonstrate that the inter-rater consistency of the ICC and the split-half reliability according to Cronbach's  $\alpha$  coefficient analysis both converge to indicate ideal reliability of the CL-SSQ-OR. More notably, use of the ROC method demonstrates that the scores of the CL-SSQ-OR can discriminate mild, moderate, and severe suicide features.

#### Respondents' opinions

After completing the CL-SSQ-OR, all of the participants were asked to complete an additional survey to obtain their opinion regarding use of the CL-SSQ-OR in this study. All of the participants expressed support for the CL-SSQ-OR as a suitable tool for assessing suicide risk at our Consultation/Suicide Crisis Intervention Center.

#### Limitations

There were five limitations associated with the present study. The first limitation is that the CL-SSQ-OR has the potential for systematic distortion to accompany the rater's observations. Hence, when this tool is used, the user should complete the inconsistent training. The second limitation of this study is that use of the CL-SSQ-OR may make it difficult to differentiate among many individuals at a low level for SSQ-OR. The third limitation is that although the CL-SSQ-OR exhibits good psychometric properties in the present study, multiple sites are needed to test its validity and reliability, and also to further confirm its psychometric properties. The fourth limitation is that only adolescents who visited the crisis intervention center of our hospital were enrolled. Hence, lack of a health control (due to the stigma of mental health, healthy control adolescents are very difficult to recruit in China) is a flaw of the present study. In a future study, we will recruit healthy controls and evaluate the CL-SSQ-OR as an assessment tool for the general population of adolescents in China. Finally, the fifth limitation of the present study is that the BSSI and PHQ were both used to establish validity. However, neither the BSSI nor PHQ have been tested on cohorts which included adolescents and/or children. Clinical experience was used to define the criteria for selecting cutoff points, and the validity and reliability of these cutoff points in children and adolescents should be tested in future studies.

# Conclusion

Our data demonstrate that the CL-SSQ-OR exhibits ideal validity and reliability in screening the suicide features of children and adolescents in China, and was able to further indicate the severity of the suicide features identified. To the best of our knowledge, there are very few scales available which can be used to assess suicide features among Chinese adolescents. Therefore, the present study provides a tool which can potentially provide new insights into the suicide features of adolescents and children to improve screening and treatment.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of the Tianjin Fourth Center Hospital. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

## Author contributions

HY, HT, TF, and QZ conceived and designed research. LW, XM, RL, and LC collected data and conducted research. HT and CZ analyzed and interpreted data. HY and LC wrote the initial draft. HT and XM revised the manuscript. CZ had primary responsibility for final content. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This work was supported by the Wenzhou Science and Technology Bureau (Y20180563 to HY).

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all of the participants for their contributions to this study.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

1. World Health Organization. *Preventing Suicide: A Global Imperative*. Geneva: World Health Organization (2014).

2. Nordentoft M. Prevention of suicide and attempted suicide in Denmark. Epidemiological studies of suicide and intervention studies in selected risk groups. *Dan Med Bull.* (2007) 54:306–69.

3. Arensman E, Scott V, De Leo D, Pirkis J. Suicide and suicide prevention from a global perspective. *Crisis.* (2020) 41:S3-s7. doi: 10.1027/0227-5910/a000664

4. Zhang Y, Wu C, Yuan S, Xiang J, Hao W, Yu Y. Association of aggression and suicide behaviors: a school-based sample of rural Chinese adolescents. *J Affect Disord.* (2018) 239:295–302. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2018.07.029

5. Christiansen E, Jensen BF. Risk of repetition of suicide attempt, suicide or all deaths after an episode of attempted suicide: a register-based survival analysis. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. (2007) 41:257–65. doi: 10.1080/00048670601172749

6. Wang Z, Qin Y, Zhang Y, Zhang B, Li L, Ding L. Prevalence and correlated factors of lifetime suicidal ideation in adults in Ningxia. *China Shanghai Arch Psychiatry*. (2013) 25:287–94. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1002-0829.2013.05.004

7. Zhang J, Zhou L. Suicidal ideation, plans, and attempts among rural young Chinese: the effect of suicide death by a family member or friend. *Community Ment Health J.* (2011) 47:506–12. doi: 10.1007/s10597-010-9332-2

8. Lee S, Fung SC, Tsang A, Liu ZR, Huang YQ, He YL, et al. Lifetime prevalence of suicide ideation, plan, and attempt in metropolitan China. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* (2007) 116:429–37. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.2007.01064.x

9. Orui M. Suicide and suicide prevention activities following the great east Japan earthquake 2011: a literature review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2022) 19:10906. doi: 10.3390/ijerph191710906

10. Huang S, Lewis MO, Bao Y, Adekkanattu P, Adkins LE, Banerjee S, et al. Predictive modeling for suicide-related outcomes and risk factors among patients with pain conditions: a systematic review. *J Clin Med.* (2022) 11:11. doi: 10.3390/jcm11164813

11. Sparrow-Downes VM, Trincao-Batra S, Cloutier P, Helleman AR, Salamatmanesh M, Gardner W, et al. Peripheral and neural correlates of self-harm in children and adolescents: a scoping review. *BMC Psychiatry.* (2022) 22:318. doi: 10.1186/s12888-022-03724-6

12. Benton TD. Suicide and suicidal behaviors among Minoritized youth. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. (2022) 31:211-21. doi: 10.1016/j.chc.2022.01.002

13. de Lange J, Baams L, van Bergen DD, Bos HMW, Bosker RJ. Minority stress and suicidal ideation and suicide attempts among LGBT adolescents and young adults: a meta-analysis. *LGBT Health*. (2022) 9:222–37. doi: 10.1089/lgbt.2021.0106

14. Walsh EH, McMahon J, Herring MP. Research review: the effect of school-based suicide prevention on suicidal ideation and suicide attempts and the role of intervention and contextual factors among adolescents: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. (2022) 63:836–45. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13598

15. Virk F, Waine J, Berry C. A rapid review of emergency department interventions for children and young people presenting with suicidal ideation. *BJPsych Open*. (2022) 8:e56. doi: 10.1192/bjo.2022.21

16. Seag DEM, Cervantes PE, Baroni A, Gerson R, Knapp K, Tay ET, et al. Barriers to universal suicide risk screening for youth in the emergency department. *Pediatr Emerg Care.* (2022) 38:e1009–13. doi: 10.1097/pec.00000000002506

17. Phillip A, Pellechi A, DeSilva R, Semler K, Makani R. A plausible explanation of increased suicidal behaviors among transgender youth based on the interpersonal theory of suicide (IPTS): case series and literature review. *J Psychiatr Pract.* (2022) 28:3–13. doi: 10.1097/pra.00000000000604

18. Riblet NB, Matsunaga S, Shiner B, Schnurr PP, Watts BV. Are suicide risk scales sensitive to change? A scoping review. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2023) 161:170–8. doi: 10.1016/j. jpsychires.2023.03.007

19. Posner K, Brown GK, Stanley B, Brent DA, Yershova KV, Oquendo MA, et al. The Columbia-suicide severity rating scale: initial validity and internal consistency findings from three multisite studies with adolescents and adults. *Am J Psychiatry*. (2011) 168:1266–77. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2011.10111704

20. Harris KM, Wang L, Mu GM, Lu Y, So C, Zhang W, et al. Measuring the suicidal mind: the 'open source' suicidality scale, for adolescents and adults. *PLoS One.* (2023) 18:e0282009. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0282009

21. Kim HJ, Kim S, Son Y, Youn I, Lee K. Reliability and validity of the Korean version of the ask suicide-screening questions (ASQ). *J Korean Med Sci.* (2023) 38:e41. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2023.38.e41

22. van Spijker BA, Batterham PJ, Calear AL, Farrer L, Christensen H, Reynolds J, et al. The suicidal ideation attributes scale (SIDAS): community-based validation study of a new scale for the measurement of suicidal ideation. *Suicide Life Threat Behav.* (2014) 44:408–19. doi: 10.1111/sltb.12084

23. Huen JMY, Yip PSF, Osman A, Leung ANM. The suicidal behaviors questionnairerevised (SBQ-R) and its Chinese version (C-SBQ-R): further validity testing using the culture, comprehension, and translation bias procedure. *Psychol Assess.* (2022) 34:704–10. doi: 10.1037/pas0001134 24. Beck AT, Steer RA, Ball R, Ranieri W. Comparison of Beck depression inventories-IA and-II in psychiatric outpatients. *J Pers Assess.* (1996) 67:588–97. doi: 10.1207/ s15327752jpa6703\_13

25. Wu H, Lu L, Qian Y, Jin XH, Yu HR, Du L, et al. The significance of cognitivebehavioral therapy on suicide: an umbrella review. *J Affect Disord*. (2022) 317:142–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2022.08.067

26. David Rudd M, Bryan CJ, Jobes DA, Feuerstein S, Conley D. A standard protocol for the clinical Management of suicidal thoughts and behavior: implications for the suicide prevention narrative. *Front Psych.* (2022) 13:929305. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.929305

27. Xiong Q, Tang F, Li Y, Xie F, Yuan L, Yao C, et al. Association of inflammatory bowel disease with suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Psychosom Res.* (2022) 160:110983. doi: 10.1016/j. jpsychores.2022.110983

28. de Beurs DP, Fokkema M, de Groot MH, de Keijser J, Kerkhof AJ. Longitudinal measurement invariance of the Beck scale for suicide ideation. *Psychiatry Res.* (2015) 225:368–73. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2014.11.075

29. Boudreaux ED, Jaques ML, Brady KM, Matson A, Allen MH. The patient safety screener: validation of a brief suicide risk screener for emergency department settings. *Arch Suicide Res.* (2015) 19:151–60. doi: 10.1080/13811118.2015.1034604

30. Batterham PJ, Ftanou M, Pirkis J, Brewer JL, Mackinnon AJ, Beautrais A, et al. A systematic review and evaluation of measures for suicidal ideation and behaviors in population-based research. *Psychol Assess.* (2015) 27:501–12. doi: 10.1037/pas0000053

31. Ayub N. Validation of the Urdu translation of the Beck scale for suicide ideation. *Assessment*. (2008) 15:287–93. doi: 10.1177/1073191107312240

32. Pettit JW, Garza MJ, Grover KE, Schatte DJ, Morgan ST, Harper A, et al. Factor structure and psychometric properties of the modified scale for suicidal ideation among suicidal youth. *Depress Anxiety.* (2009) 26:769–74. doi: 10.1002/da.20575

33. Choi YH, Yook V, Yang K, Cho Y, Lee DH, Lee HJ, et al. Development and validation study of the suicide screening questionnaire-observer rating (SSQ-OR). *Front Psych.* (2022) 13:945051. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.945051

34. Solikhah S, Perwitasari DA, Rejeki DSS. Cross-cultural adaptation and psychometric properties of the Indonesian version for quality of life among breast cancer patients. *Front Public Health*. (2023) 11:1069422. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1069422

35. Onofri A, Iannone LF, Granato A, Garascia G, Bartole L, Manganotti P, et al. Italian headache registry (RICe) study group. Validation of the Italian version of the cluster headache impact questionnaire (CHIQ). *Neurol Sci.* (2023) 20. doi: 10.1007/s10072-023-06758-0

36. Liang D, Yu X, Guo X, Zhang J, Jiang R. Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the Chinese version of the short-form of the central sensitization inventory (CSI-9) in patients with chronic pain: a single-center study. *PLoS One.* (2023) 18:e0282419. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0282419

37. Berg RC, Solberg BL, Glavin K, Olsvold N. Instruments to identify symptoms of paternal depression during pregnancy and the first postpartum year: a systematic scoping review. *Am J Mens Health.* (2022) 16:15579883221114984. doi: 10.1177/15579883221114984

38. Levis B, Benedetti A, Thombs BD. Accuracy of patient health questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) for screening to detect major depression: individual participant data metaanalysis. *BMJ.* (2019) 365:11476. doi: 10.1136/bmj.l1476

39. Tore NG, Oskay D, Satıs H, Haznedaroglu S. Cross-cultural adaptation, validity and rehability of the QUIPA tool: Turkish version. *Reumatismo*. (2022) 74:74. doi: 10.4081/reumatismo.2022.1457

40. Jung MS, Kim M, Cha K, Cui X, Lee JW. Psychometric properties of the Korean version of the chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy assessment tool. *Res Theory Nurs Pract.* (2022):Sep 12:RTNP-2022-0037.R1. doi: 10.1891/rtnp-2022-0037

41. Chen X, Huang Y, Chen F, She H, Chen X. Risk factors and prognostic factors for pulmonary large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma with brain metastasis. *Cancer Med.* (2022) 12:4087–99. doi: 10.1002/cam4.5267

42. Ojha SC, Chen K, Yuan Y, Ahmed S, Malik AA, Nisha M, et al. Clinical relevance of molecular testing methods in the diagnosis and guidance of therapy in patients with staphylococcal empyema: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2022) 12:758833. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022.758833

43. Patro S, Meto A, Mohanty A, Chopra V, Miglani S, Das A, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of pulp vitality tests and pulp sensibility tests for assessing pulpal health in permanent teeth: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2022) 19:9599. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19159599

44. Artuch-Garde R, González-Torres MDC, Martínez-Vicente JM, Peralta-Sánchez FJ, Fuente-Arias J. Validation of the child and youth resilience measure-28 (CYRM-28) among Spanish youth. *Heliyon*. (2022) 8:e09713. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09713

45. Jonkman H, van Rooijen M, Wiersma M, van Goor R. Validation study of the child and youth resilience measure (CYRM-28) among Dutch youth. *Front Psych.* (2022) 13:637760. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.637760 46. Langham E, McCalman J, Redman-MacLaren M, Hunter E, Wenitong M, Britton A, et al. Validation and factor analysis of the child and youth resilience measure for indigenous Australian boarding school students. *Front Public Health.* (2018) 6:299. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2018.00299

47. McGeough J, Gallagher-Mitchell T, Clark DPA, Harrison N. Reliability and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) of a paper-versus app-administered resilience scale in Scottish youths: comparative study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth.* (2021) 9:e11055. doi: 10.2196/11055

48. Alayarian A. An intercultural psychoanalytic view In: . *Handbook of Working with Children, Trauma, and Resilience*. New York: Taylor & Francis (2018)

49. Benard B. Fostering Resiliency in Kids: Protective Factors in the Family, School and Community. Portland: Western Center for Drug-Free Schools and Communities (1991).

50. Erci B, Yildirim H, Isik K. Psychometric evaluation of the patient perspective on care and rehabilitation scale in geriatric patients. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* (2019) 81:84–90. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2018.11.013

51. Dursun G, Bektas H. Cultural validation and reliability of the Turkish version of the geriatric pain measure in the elderly. *Pain Pract.* (2017) 17:505–13. doi: 10.1111/papr.12473

52. Sarakatsianou C, Baloyiannis I, Perivoliotis K, Kolonia K, Georgopoulou S, Tzovaras G. Validation and scoring of the Greek version of the strategic and clinical quality indicators in postoperative pain management (SCQIPP) questionnaire. *J Perianesth Nurs.* (2022) 37:918–24. doi: 10.1016/j.jopan.2022.03.005

53. Hair J, Black W, Babin B, Anderson R. *Multivariate Data Analysis*. London: Prentice Hall, 8th ed (2019).

54. Chiu YC, Tang SC, Tsai LK, Hsieh MJ, Chiang WC, Jeng JS, et al. Development and validation of a risk score for predicting ischemic stroke after transient ischemic attack. *J Emerg Med.* (2023) 64:167–74. doi: 10.1016/j.jemermed.2022.12.027

55. Liu H, Li C, Jiao J, Wu X, Zhu M, Wen X, et al. Development and validation of risk prediction model for identifying 30-day frailty in older inpatients with undernutrition: a multicenter cohort study. *Front Nutr.* (2023) 9:1061299. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.1061299