#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Eteri Machavariani, Yale University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Angela Rintoul 🖾 a.rintoul@federation.edu.au

RECEIVED 29 April 2023 ACCEPTED 22 May 2023 PUBLISHED 01 June 2023

#### CITATION

Rintoul A, Marionneau V, Livingstone C, Nikkinen J and Kipsaina C (2023) Editorial: Gambling, stigma, suicidality, and the internalization of the 'responsible gambling' mantra. *Front. Psychiatry* 14:1214531. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1214531

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Rintoul, Marionneau, Livingstone, Nikkinen and Kipsaina. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Editorial: Gambling, stigma, suicidality, and the internalization of the 'responsible gambling' mantra

# Angela Rintoul<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Virve Marionneau<sup>3</sup>, Charles Livingstone<sup>2</sup>, Janne Nikkinen<sup>3</sup> and Chebiwot Kipsaina<sup>2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Health Innovation and Transformation Centre, Research and Innovation, Federation University, Churchill, VIC, Australia, <sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, <sup>3</sup>Centre for Research on Addiction, Control, and Governance, University of Helsinki, Faculty of Social Sciences, Helsinki, Finland, <sup>4</sup>Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

#### KEYWORDS

gambling, suicide prevention, harm reduction, commercial determinants of health, discourse, framing, responsible gambling

#### Editorial on the Research Topic

Gambling, stigma, suicidality, and the internalization of the 'responsible gambling' mantra

Gambling has traditionally been framed by industry, governments and even some academic researchers as a source of recreation, entertainment, and fun (1, 2). In established markets where gambling has been legal for many decades, it has arguably been normalized (3). Yet the reality for those who gamble at high-risk levels belies notions of glamor and excitement that often accompany these popular gambling myths. Framings matter: they determine how gambling is perceived and regulated (2). If gambling is framed as entertainment rather than as a public health concern, regulation is unlikely to be effective in terms of preventing the many harms that gambling causes to individuals, families, and societies (4).

The dominant "responsible gambling" paradigm focuses on individual responsibility. It leads to suboptimal regulation that does not target commercial practices, harmful products, and normalization which are the root of gambling harms. If individuals are seen to bear the sole responsibility for their gambling, those who are unable to control themselves are seen as "irresponsible" and stigmatized (5). The stigma of excessive gambling is often internalized by the individuals who gamble. This can lead to concealing problems and avoiding treatment (6) which can further aggravate harm.

In recent years, research on the harms connected to gambling has become more established. This has occurred alongside concerns about the conflicted evidence base upon which regulation is based, and the ways in which vested (commercial) interests have distorted our understanding of the locus of harm production (7–9). Suicide is among the most severe harmful consequences of gambling, and evidence of a link between gambling, suicidality and suicide is rapidly mounting (10, 11). Systematic reviews have established that gambling is a risk factor for suicide (10) and recent longitudinal evidence suggests that besides clinical problem gambling, any increase in the measured severity of problem gambling is linked to increased suicidality among young adults (12).

The objective of this Research Topic is to further this understanding on the relationship between gambling, self-harm and suicide; to inform understanding of the relationship between industry-oriented discourses like "responsible gaming/gambling" and the "problem gambler"; and, to consider the consequences of the internalization of these discourses. The topic presents papers that explore these questions via a scoping review of gamblingrelated suicides, from the perspectives of people who experience harm from their own gambling in Sweden, active military personnel in the UK, affected others in Japan, and via the "peculiar" intervention of self-exclusion.

Samuelsson and Cisneros Örnberg provide a detailed analysis of the concept of responsibility from the perspective of those experiencing gambling harm in Sweden. They present their analysis through a prism of an incongruent tension between the responsibility of the individual gambler and their medical diagnosis that relies upon symptoms that include an inability to control their gambling behavior. Through interviews with people who gamble at high-risk levels, the authors describe the ways in which people with lived experience of gambling harm interpret the responsibilities of actors throughout the gambling system.

Kraus et al. describe the differing regulatory conditions for selfexclusion programs across seven jurisdictions. Like Samuelsson and Cisneros Örnberg, these authors identify a critical tension between the voluntary action required by an individual who is unable to act in line with their best interests. They reflect that this "peculiar" yet widely adopted measure has no comparison in analogous fields and conclude that this measure represents a violation of governments' responsibility to protect consumers from this harmful commodity.

Marionneau and Nikkinen outline the complexities in gambling-related suicide studies. They find that in almost all reported qualitative evidence, gambling has been a direct contributor to suicidality. Stress related to debt and shame are major factors that lead those who gamble to a state of suicidality or suicide, and these same factors create barriers to seeking support and treatment. Acknowledging that multiple stressors are experienced by those who reach this point of crisis, they highlight the need for further evidence of the temporal order of gambling harm and confounding stressors. They find that evidence of the most effective forms of treatment and support is limited, and that ultimately the most effective measures will be underpinned by a comprehensive public health approach, including a focus on reducing stigma from gambling.

Takiguchi et al. provide a rare insight into the unique regulatory context of gambling in Japan, a country in the process of legalizing casinos. While gambling has technically been illegal in Japan until now, the authors describe the inconsistencies with gambling practices including the ubiquitous *pachinko* and *pashinko slot* machines. They remind us that the burden of gambling harm extends to "affected others," who describe the shame and blame they internalized as a result of their family members gambling. Through their findings, Takiguchi et al. demonstrate how the industry-oriented discourse of responsible gambling has led to harmful consequences for parents, partners, and children of people who experience gambling harm. This framing has been effective in obscuring the role of industry and government in the production of gambling-related harm. Champion et al. report the unique experiences of active, serving military personnel who undertake risky work, typically in situations of serious stress. In their article "Gambling problems and help-seeking in serving United Kingdom military personnel: A qualitative study" they find that occupational hazards associated with military service, combined with organizational norms and practices make this population particularly vulnerable to gamblingrelated harm. They conclude that more research is needed to screen for and support those experiencing gambling harm.

This collection of articles reaffirms that those experiencing harm from their gambling have often been cast as flawed consumers of a mostly harmless recreational pastime. Such framings are likely to compound the sense of shame and contribute to stigma. This creates barriers to understanding the true extent of gambling harm, undermines and misguides regulation of harmful products, and critically, impedes access to treatment and support. We hope this Research Topic can contribute to a critical reframing of gambling policy, research, and practices.

### Author contributions

AR conceptualized the Research Topic and drafted the editorial. VM, CL, JN, and CK provided input and revisions and approved the content prior to submission.

# Funding

AR has received seed grant funding from Federation University and is currently funded through a Suicide Prevention Australia post-doctoral research fellowship. VM and JN are currently been funded by the Finnish Ministry of Social Affairs and Health [based on Section 52 of the Finnish Lotteries Act (1047/2001), Ministry charges the cost of research from gambling monopoly operator] and the Academy of Finland (project 349589 Commercial determinants of harm in digital gambling). CL has received funding from the Victorian Responsible Gambling Foundation, the (former) Victorian Gambling Research Panel, and the South Australian Independent Gambling Authority (the funds for which were derived from hypothecation of gambling tax revenue to research purposes), from the Australian and New Zealand School of Government and the Foundation for Alcohol Research and Education, and from non-government organizations for research into multiple aspects of poker machine gambling, including regulatory reform, existing harm minimization practices, and technical characteristics of gambling forms. He has received travel and co-operation grants from the Alberta Problem Gambling Research Institute, the Finnish Institute for Public Health, the Finnish Alcohol Research Foundation, the Ontario Problem Gambling Research Committee, the Turkish Red Crescent Society, and the Problem Gambling Foundation of New Zealand. He was a Chief Investigator on an Australian Research Council funded project researching mechanisms of influence on government by the tobacco, alcohol and gambling industries, and is a CI on an ARC project researching expenditure patterns on alcohol, tobacco and gambling. He has undertaken consultancy research for local governments and non-government organizations in Australia and the UK seeking to restrict or reduce the concentration of poker machines and gambling impacts, and was a member of the Australian government's Ministerial Expert Advisory Group on Gambling in 2010-11. He is a member of the Lancet Public Health Commission into gambling, and of the World Health Organization expert group on gambling and gambling harm.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships

### References

1. Francis L, Livingstone C. Discourses of responsible gambling and gambling harm: Observations from Victoria, Australia. *Addiction Research and Theory.* (2021) 29:212–22. doi: 10.1080/16066359.2020.1867111

2. Reith G, Wardle H. The framing of gambling and the commercial determinants of harm: Challenges for regulation in the UK. In *The Global Gambling Industry: Structures, Tactics, and Networks of Impact* (pp. 71-86). Wiesbaden: Springer (2022). doi: 10.1007/978-3-658-35635-4\_6

3. Orford J. The normalisation of dangerous gambling: An ethical issue. In *Harm Reduction for Gambling* (pp. 36-44). Routledge (2019). doi: 10.4324/9780429490 750-5

4. Goodwin BC, Browne M, Rockloff M, and Rose J. A typical problem gambler affects six others. *Int Gamb Stud.* (2017) 1:276–89. doi: 10.1080/14459795.2017.1331252

5. Livingstone C, Rintoul A. Gambling-related suicidality: stigma, shame, and neglect. *Lancet Public Health.* (2021) 6:e4–5. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30 257-7

6. Quigley L. Gambling disorder and stigma: opportunities for treatment and prevention. *Curr Add Rep.* (2022) 9:410–19. doi: 10.1007/s40429-022-00437-4

that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

7. Cassidy R. Fair game? Producing and publishing gambling research. Int Gamb Stud. (2014) 14:345–53. doi: 10.1080/14459795.2014.971420

8. Sulkunen P, Babor TF, Cisneros Ornberg J, Egerer M, Hellman M, Livingstone C, et al. *Setting Limits: Gambling, Science and Public Policy*. Oxford: Oxford Scholarship Online. (2019). doi: 10.1093/oso/9780198817321.001.0001

9. Livingstone C, Rintoul A. Moving on from responsible gambling: a new discourse is needed to prevent and minimize harm from gambling. *Public Health.* (2020) 184:107–12. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2020.03.018

10. Andreeva M, Audette-Chapdelaine S, Brodeur M. Gamblingrelated completed suicides: a scoping review. *Add Res Theory.* (2022) 30:391–402. doi: 10.1080/16066359.2022.2055001

11. Gray HM, Edson TC, Nelson SE, Grossman AB, LaPlante DA. Association between gambling and self-harm: a scoping review. *Add Res Theory.* (2021) 29:183–95. doi: 10.1080/16066359.2020.1784881

12. Wardle H, Kesaite V, Tipping S, McManus S. Changes in severity of problem gambling and subsequent suicide attempts: a longitudinal survey of young adults in Great Britain, 2018–20. *Lancet Public Health.* (2023) 8:e217–25. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(23)0008-7