#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Jia Zhao, Southwest University, China

REVIEWED BY Simone Munsch, Université de Fribourg, Switzerland Paolo Meneguzzo, University of Padua, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jack A. Yanovski I yanovskj@mail.nih.gov Marian Tanofsky-Kraff I marian.tanofsky-kraff@usuhs.edu

RECEIVED 11 August 2023 ACCEPTED 22 September 2023 PUBLISHED 27 October 2023

#### CITATION

Byrne ME, Tanofsky-Kraff M, Liuzzi L, Holroyd T, Parker MN, Bloomer BF, Nugent A, Brady SM, Yang SB, Turner SA, Pine DS and Yanovski JA (2023) Neural underpinnings of threat bias in relation to loss-of-control eating behaviors among adolescent girls with high weight. *Front. Psychiatry* 14:1276300. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1276300

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Byrne, Tanofsky-Kraff, Liuzzi, Holroyd, Parker, Bloomer, Nugent, Brady, Yang, Turner, Pine and Yanovski. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Neural underpinnings of threat bias in relation to loss-of-control eating behaviors among adolescent girls with high weight

Meghan E. Byrne<sup>1,2</sup>, Marian Tanofsky-Kraff<sup>2,3,4</sup>\*, Lucrezia Liuzzi<sup>1</sup>, Tom Holroyd<sup>5</sup>, Megan N. Parker<sup>2,3</sup>, Bess F. Bloomer<sup>2</sup>, Allison Nugent<sup>5</sup>, Sheila M. Brady<sup>2</sup>, Shanna B. Yang<sup>6</sup>, Sara A. Turner<sup>6</sup>, Daniel S. Pine<sup>1</sup> and Jack A. Yanovski<sup>2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Section on Development and Affective Neuroscience, Emotion and Development Branch, National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), Bethesda, MD, United States, <sup>2</sup>Division of Intramural Research, National Institutes of Health (NIH), Section on Growth and Obesity, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), Bethesda, MD, United States, <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical and Clinical Psychology, USUHS, Bethesda, MD, United States, <sup>4</sup>Military Cardiovascular Outcomes Research (MiCOR) Program, Department of Medicine, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS), Bethesda, MD, United States, <sup>5</sup>MEG Core Facility, National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), Bethesda, MD, United States, <sup>6</sup>Nutrition Department, Clinical Center, NIH, Bethesda, MD, United States

**Introduction:** Loss-of-control (LOC) eating, a key feature of binge-eating disorder, may relate attentional bias (AB) to highly salient interpersonal stimuli. The current pilot study used magnetoencephalography (MEG) to explore neural features of AB to socially threatening cues in adolescent girls with and without LOC-eating.

**Methods:** Girls (12–17 years old) with overweight or obesity (BMI >85th percentile) completed an AB measure on an affective dot-probe AB task during MEG and evoked neural responses to angry or happy (vs. neutral) face cues were captured. A laboratory test meal paradigm measured energy intake and macronutrient consumption patterns.

**Results:** Girls (N = 34;  $M_{age} = 15.5 \pm 1.5$  years; BMI- $z = 1.7 \pm 0.4$ ) showed a blunted evoked response to the presentation of angry face compared with neutral face cues in the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, a neural region implicated in executive control and regulation processes, during attention deployment (p < 0.01). Compared with those without LOC-eating (N = 21), girls with LOC-eating (N = 13) demonstrated a stronger evoked response to angry faces in the visual cortex during attention deployment (p < 0.001). Visual and cognitive control ROIs had trends suggesting interaction with test meal intake patterns among girls with LOC-eating (ps = 0.01).

**Discussion:** These findings suggest that girls with overweight or obesity may fail to adaptively engage neural regions implicated in higher-order executive processes. This difficulty may relate to disinhibited eating patterns that could lead to excess weight gain.

#### KEYWORDS

loss-of-control eating, obesity, adolescents, attention bias, binge-eating, magnetoencephalography

## 1. Introduction

Approximately one-third of US youth have overweight and 17% have obesity (1-4); these conditions often persist during adulthood (5-8). The DSM-5 definition of binge-eating disorder (BED) (9) involves the presence of objectively large binge episodes with loss-of-control (LOC) overeating (defined as the subjective experience of being unable to stop eating) that occurs at least once a week for 3 months, where the episodes also have other characteristics, such as rapid eating, eating when not hungry or until feeling uncomfortably full, and/or feeling disgusted with oneself, depressed, or guilty after overeating. Full syndrome BED is less common during adolescence than adulthood (10). However, people reporting even low-frequency episodes of LOC-eating (without objectively large binge episodes) share many of the characteristics found among those who have BED (11). For example, LOC-eating uniquely places youth at risk for overweight and obesity (12, 13). Regardless of age or disordered eating status, individuals with obesity also report greater interpersonal stress, characterized by frequent and pervasive weight-related stigma (14-16), than individuals having lower weight (17). Theories of interpersonal sensitivity (18, 19) posit that pervasive experiences of social criticism or exclusion (e.g., weight stigma) increase awareness and vigilance toward negative feedback cues. Indeed, individuals with obesity display increased sensitivity to negative social feedback as indicated by an attention bias to social threat (20, 21). Furthermore, adolescents (22), girls in particular (23, 24), exhibit heightened vulnerability to social stressors. Given the frontal cortex is not yet fully developed during adolescence, this neural region may be particularly vulnerable to psychosocial stress during this stage of development (25). According to interpersonal theory, LOC-eating results from maladaptive coping with social stress (26-30), for example, social exclusion or criticism due to weight stigma. Maladaptive coping, in turn, might reflect perturbed neural responses to social cues (31, 32). Indeed, neuroimaging data support interpersonal theory in revealing that girls with overweight or obesity and LOC-eating express aberrant responses to social distress (i.e., simulated peer rejection) in the ventral prefrontal cortex, striatum, and fusiform face area (31), supplying several regions of interest (ROIs) for analyses. Taken together, interpersonal theory and extant neuroimaging data suggest that girls with LOC-eating may manifest abnormal neural responses to social threats. The current study utilizes magnetoencephalography (MEG), a novel brain imaging tool, to extend this previous study.

Neural correlates of LOC-eating may manifest within attention tasks that employ social threats. Attention bias, expressed as an excessive response to such threats, relates to many health conditions, such as disordered eating (33, 34). In conjunction with the interpersonal model of disordered eating, youth with LOC-eating may respond abnormally to attention paradigms, which engage two key temporal processes: automatic or "bottom-up" attention and voluntary or "top-down" attention. Automatic attention capture involves the engagement of ventral-frontal networks encompassing the insula, anterior cingulate, and medial prefrontal cortices (35–37), which typically occur within 250 milliseconds (ms) (32, 38). Voluntary attention capture involves the

engagement of dorso-frontal regions encompassing the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and dorsal anterior cingulate, which occur in a later or more sustained fashion (35–37, 39, 40). This offers several additional ROIs for imaging (i.e., insula, anterior cingulate, and medial and dorsolateral prefrontal cortices). Aberrant activation in these regions may fail to regulate attention deployment in ways that unleash attentional bias to social threats. Previous research studies have shown strong engagement of occipital regions in healthy individuals during the processing of negative-valence emotional stimuli (41–43), supplying another ROI for a visual attention-biased social threat task.

Imaging studies may extend the interpersonal model of LOCeating. Circuitry central to food reward processing overlaps with ROIs from attention biased imaging research (44-48). Individuals with disordered eating manifest hyperactivity in regions supporting attention capture and social threat processing, such as the amygdala and anterior cingulate cortex (49-51), supplying additional ROIs for analyses. One relevant functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study examined 22 adolescent girls with overweight and obesity, finding that, following a social rejection task, girls with reported LOC-eating failed to engage prefrontal cortex regions implicated in emotion regulation and demonstrated hyperactivity in the fusiform face area, which subsequently related to palatable food intake at a laboratory test meal (31). fMRI, however, is limited in its capacity to elucidate temporal sequences of neural activity. Neural processes involved in attentional bias occur over a short period of time; these processes are optimally measured by temporally sensitive methods (36). MEG, which has both excellent temporal and acceptable spatial resolution (52-54), has been used to isolate neural response periods of attention bias to social threat in pediatric samples (55). This provides a precise quantification of attentional bias to psychosocial stress as a potential mechanism for LOC-eating.

The current study examined the links between neural activation implicated in attention bias to social threat and subsequent laboratory test meal energy intake. The sample consisted of adolescent girls with overweight or obesity, with and without reported LOC-eating. We hypothesized that, compared with neutral control face cues, youth would exhibit a greater bottomup evoked response to social threat cues in aforementioned ROIs related to attention capture and threat detection [i.e., occipital, amygdala, fusiform, insula, striatum, anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (PFC), medial PFC, and dorsolateral PFC]. Similarly, this sample of youth with overweight or obesity was expected to exhibit a blunted top-down evoked response to angry salient face cues in ROIs related to attention deployment. Moreover, youth with LOC-eating were expected to exhibit a greater bottom-up evoked response and a blunted top-down evoked response to social threat cues compared with youth without LOC-eating. Finally, it was hypothesized that the associations between bottom-up evoked response to angry face cues and maladaptive test meal intake patterns [i.e., greater total calorie intake and percentage of consumption from carbohydrates and fats and lower percentage of intake from protein, in line with previous research studies (56)] would be most strongly positively associated with girls with LOC-eating. The relationships between top-down evoked response and maladaptive test meal intake

patterns were expected to be most strongly negatively related to girls with LOC-eating.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Participants and recruitment

The inclusion criteria of the participants were as follows: female sex, 12-17 years old at the start of the study, overweight or obesity (BMI at or above the 85th percentile for age and sex according to the Centers for Disease Control US standards) (57), English-speaking, and right-handedness. Girls were excluded if they met any of the following criteria: an obesity-related health comorbidity requiring medical treatment, such as hypertension or fasting hyperglycemia consistent with diabetes; presence of other major medical illnesses including renal, hepatic, gastrointestinal, endocrinologic, hematological problems, or pulmonary disorders; regular use of any medication known to affect body weight or eating behavior (e.g., stimulants prescribed for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder); current pregnancy or a history of pregnancy; a significant reduction in weight during the past 3 months, for any reason, exceeding 5% of body weight; presence of a significant, full-threshold psychiatric disorder based on the DSM-5 (9) criteria that may impede competence or compliance or possibly hinder completion of the study; a history of significant or recent brain injury that may considerably influence performance; current involvement in a weight loss program, participating in psychotherapy aimed at weight loss or treatment of eating behavior; or a condition under which MEG participation would be contradicted (e.g., metal in the body, pregnancy, claustrophobia, and history of significant neurological insult or injury). Girls who reported allergies to gluten, nuts, dairy, fruit, or any other item in the test meal array were excluded from the test meal portion of the study.

Participant recruitment methods involved mailing to families in the greater Washington, D.C. metropolitan area. This recruitment method has been used successfully for prior community-based studies of youth with and without LOC-eating (13, 56, 58). All participants received monetary compensation for each visit. Based on rates reported in previous studies involving fMRI and MEG in youth, 35% attrition was estimated a priori due to excessive movement during MRI/MEG (55, 59). Consistent with standards in the disordered eating literature (10, 56, 60), LOCeating was defined by the presence of one or more episodes of LOC-eating during the previous month prior to assessment, as assessed using a clinical diagnostic interview for eating disorders (61) described below.

#### 2.2. Study procedures

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved all study procedures. All study visits took place at the NIH Hatfield Clinical Research Center. The current study was a component of the Pilot Mobile Attention Retraining in Overweight Female Adolescents protocol (ClinicalTrials.gov ID: NCT02977403). All data for the current study were collected prior to the participation of youths in the larger protocol's intervention. Adolescent girls with overweight or obesity participated in a screening visit and a laboratory visit. At the first visit, interested parents and daughters signed IRB-approved consent and assent forms, and then, participants underwent a physical examination with weight and height objectively measured in triplicate by trained staff and two semi-structured interviews to determine eligibility. Total mass (kg), lean mass (kg), fat mass (kg), and fat mass percentage (%) were assessed by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA, GE Lunar iDXA, GE Healthcare, Madison WI; software GE encore 15), a validated measure of body composition in youth (62). During the laboratory visit, girls completed an anatomical MRI scan, a social threat attention bias paradigm while undergoing MEG, and lastly a laboratory test meal. Participants were instructed to begin fasting at 10:00 p.m., the night before the laboratory visit. At approximately 10:00 a.m. in the morning of the laboratory visit, participants consumed a standardized breakfast shake (17% protein, 16% fat, and 67% carbohydrate) containing 21% of daily energy needs as estimated by measured body weight, height, age, and average activity level within the previous week (63).

# 2.2.1. Semi-structured interviews 2.2.1.1. Eating disorder examination

The Eating Disorder Examination (EDE) (61) is a semi-structured diagnostic interview of eating disorder psychopathology. The EDE contains 21 items that assess disordered attitudes and behaviors related to cognitive and dietary restraint, eating, body shape, and weight, and 13 items designed and adapted to diagnose specific DSM-5 eating disorders. The presence or absence of LOC-eating was determined by the overeating subsection of the EDE. Girls who reported at least one episode of LOC-eating group. The EDE has demonstrated sound psychometric properties, including good construct validity with physiological and objective measures and good-to-excellent interrater reliability (Cohen's  $\kappa = 0.8$ –1.0) in adolescent samples (10, 64).

# 2.2.1.2. Kiddie schedule for affective disorders and schizophrenia for school-age children

The Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children (KSADS) (65) is a reliable and valid semi-structured diagnostic interview to assess DSM-5 psychiatric diagnoses. Specific diagnostic sections of the KSADS were used to assess psychiatric functioning and exclude participants with significant psychiatric comorbidities (e.g., bipolar, psychosis, and suicidality) that may have impeded competence or compliance or possibly hindered completion of the study. The KSADS was only administered to participants who positively endorsed depression, suicidal ideation, mania, psychosis, or substance use disorder on a screening measure. Girls were administered only a relevant portion of the KSADS in order to identify any current psychiatric issues that warranted the exclusion of the study or would have impeded adherence to the study.

#### 2.2.2. Anatomical MRI scan

An MRI scan was conducted on a Siemens MAGNETOM Verio 3T scanner equipped with a 16-channel head/neck coil for coregistration with MEG. Standard imaging parameters were used including the acquisition of T1-weighted structural images for coregistration. Individuals were screened for contraindications before the scan. In addition, all magnetic objects (e.g., watches, coins, and jewelry) were removed before entering the MRI scan room. As all participants were female, a pregnancy test was performed not more than 24 h before the structural MRI scan. Participants were fitted with hearing protection during the MRI scan. Anatomical MRI data preprocessing was conducted using AFNI software, which included removing the skull and normalizing it to standard Talairach coordinates.

# 2.2.3. Magnetoencephalography equipment and procedures

This study was performed on the 275-channel CTF MEG<sup>TM</sup> brain imaging system at the National Institute of Mental Health MEG CORE Facility. Participants sat in the magnetically shielded recording room with their heads positioned in the MEG helmet. The position of the head was determined before and after each MEG session by localizing the position of three indicator coils that are attached to the preauricular and the nasion fiducial points. The positions were used to co-register the MEG coordinate system with the individual MRI. Padding was used to minimize movement of the head during the scan. Participants with excessive head motion and poor MRI co-registration, according to visual inspection, were excluded from the MEG analysis. MEG data were sampled at 600 Hz, and synthetic 3<sup>rd</sup>-order gradiometer correction was applied to reduce environmental noise. The entire MEG session lasted for approximately 60 min.

MEG source reconstruction was performed in MNE Python (66) with a multiple-sphere head model and an LCMV beamformer on a 5-mm grid. ROI voxels were defined with Freesurfer (aparc.a2009s+aseg.mgz) with reference to individual MRIs. Sensor data were first filtered between 1 and 30 Hz and then segmented into trials with reference to the presentation of face stimuli (t = 0) in a time window starting 500 ms pre-stimulus and ending 800 ms post-stimulus. The data covariance was calculated from the concatenated data of all trials to estimate beamformer weights. The trial-average responses to each face stimulus type (angry, happy, or neutral) were then reconstructed to voxels within the ROIs. To account for the sign uncertainty of the beamformer inverse solution, responses for all voxels within an ROI were inverted to be positively correlated with each other. Evoked responses for each ROI were obtained as primary outcomes by averaging all voxels within the ROI.

#### 2.2.4. Social threat task

The social threat attention bias task involved two picture pairs of angry, happy, or neutral faces, presented on a computer screen, followed by a probe appearing behind one of the images, prompting a response. Normed face images were selected from the NimStim Face Stimulus Set (67). The task consisted of three face pair types, namely, neutral-neutral, happy-neutral, and angry-neutral. Each task block consisted of 15 face pairs of the same type, presented four times each. The order of pair-type blocks was randomized across all participants; however, the specific face pairs remained consistent. The location of each stimulus and probe was counterbalanced across participants. Importantly, the probe replaced the neutral images (i.e., neutral faces) and the salient images (i.e., happy or angry faces) with equal frequency. The design and contrasts of this social threat attention bias task have been used extensively in pediatric samples with anxiety symptoms and have been repeatedly shown to stimulate neural responsivity linked to regions associated with anxiety (32, 36, 55, 68, 69). Moreover, the task has been shown to stimulate similar neurocircuitry to that of other social anxiety laboratory paradigms (55, 69) and, in particular, circuits linked to previous research using fMRI among a sample of girls with overweight and obesity and LOC-eating (31). The use of angry faces, rather than disgust or fear social cues, is consistent with the previous imaging literature using the same attention bias dot-probe paradigm (55, 68, 70).

Participants completed a practice session before beginning the dot-probe task. The full task consisted of 180 trials divided into four segments with 45 trials each. Each pair of images appeared on the computer screen for 500 ms. After 500 ms, the image pair disappeared, and a probe (horizontal or vertical dots) appeared in one of the previously occupied photo locations for 200 ms. Participants were instructed to respond as quickly as possible with a right- or left-sided button to indicate the orientation of the dots. A central fixation cross appeared for 500 ms before each face pair presentation, and the blank inter-trial interval lasted for 1,300 ms. Trials consisted of a mixture of incongruent trials, in which the probe replaced the neutral face image, and congruent trials, in which the probe replaced the angry or happy face image. Sixty pairs of each combination (neutral-neutral, angry-neutral, and happyneutral) were presented in randomized order. The spatial location of images and probes was counterbalanced. Trials were excluded from analyses if the participant did not respond within the time window or responded incorrectly.

#### 2.2.5. Laboratory test meal

Immediately following the MEG scan, girls were introduced to the standardized multi-array test meal (~11,000 kcal, 12% protein, 33% fat, and 55% carbohydrate), which was designed to objectively capture LOC-eating behaviors involving excess calorie intake and consists of standard lunch-type foods, as well as those typically rated as highly palatable among youth (Figure 1) (56, 71). The test meal began with tape-recorded binge-eating instructions to "Let yourself go and eat as much as you want." Participants notified the study team when they were finished eating. Consumption was calculated by weighing each food item before and after the meal. The primary outcome variables of interest were total energy (kcal) and percentage macronutrient (i.e., percentage of energy consumed from protein, fats, and carbohydrates) intakes. Energy content and macronutrient composition for each food item were determined according to the USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference. This LOC-eating test meal paradigm is well-validated and has been successfully used in pediatric studies (56).



#### 2.3. Statistical analyses

Analyses were performed using the Python 3 MNE package (66) and IBM SPSS Statistics (version 29). Descriptive statistics were calculated for participant demographic characteristics and laboratory test meal variables. Chi-square and independent samples *t*-tests were conducted to compare the groups of girls with and without LOC-eating on age, race, ethnicity, BMI-*z*, fat mass percentage, lean mass, and test meal consumption.

Behavioral attentional bias scores (i.e., "angry bias," "happy bias") were calculated by subtracting the mean reaction times in responding to probes replacing a salient stimulus (i.e., angry or happy face cues) from mean reaction times to probes replacing a neutral stimulus (i.e., neutral face cues), consistent with standards in prior literature (68, 72, 73). Reaction times are expected to be faster when the probe replaces a stimulus; the participant is currently orienting toward the time of the probe presentation onset. A bias score of 0 indicates no attentional bias to either cue, a negative score indicates a bias away from the more salient cue (i.e., angry or happy face), and a positive score indicates a bias toward the more salient cue. Paired-sample t-tests were conducted to examine whether behavioral attention bias scores differed by emotional salience (i.e., angry bias score and happy bias score) in the total sample. To examine whether angry and happy bias scores differ between youth with and without LOC-eating, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted.

MEG analyses used a broad frequency band (1–30 Hz), in line with procedures from previous MEG studies (55, 74, 75). Nine neural ROIs (i.e., occipital, amygdala, fusiform, insula, striatum, ACC, ventrolateral PFC, medial PFC, and dorsolateral PFC) implicated in attention capture and orientation, threat detection, and executive control were selected *a priori* for analyses based

on the previous literature (31, 37, 44, 46, 48–51, 76, 77). A complete list of ROIs and corresponding Freesurfer atlas labels is presented in Supplementary Table S1. Based on the previous literature (35, 36, 39) and visual inspection, the "bottom-up" initial attention capture period was defined as the time window between 0 and 250 milliseconds (ms) after each face stimulus onset, and the "top-down" maintained attention deployment period was defined as the time window between as the time window between 250 and 600 ms after face stimulus onset. For the occipital region, the bottom-up time window is determined by visual inspection of peak evoked response at 140–250 ms rather than 0–250 ms, which is consistent with other research studies using visual regions of interest (76, 78). A significance threshold of p < 0.01 was applied to account for multiple comparisons. Only *a priori* ROIs that survived a p < 0.01 threshold were interpreted.

Paired-sample *t*-tests were conducted to examine whether the evoked response to face cues differed by emotional salience in the total sample (i.e., girls with and without LOC-eating). Comparisons of average evoked response during bottom-up (i.e., 0–250 ms) or top-down (i.e., 250–600 ms) time windows were examined between angry vs. neutral and happy vs. neutral face cues in each ROI. Next, ANOVAs were conducted to examine whether neural activity in ROIs differs between youth with and without LOC-eating. LOC-eating (presence/absence) was the independent variable (IV); bottom-up (i.e., 0–250 ms) or top-down (i.e., 250–600 ms) evoked response to angry, happy, or neutral face cue presentations in each ROI was examined as the dependent variable (DV).

To examine the association between angry or happy (vs. neutral) evoked response in ROIs and energy intake at the laboratory test meal, and whether LOC-eating moderated these associations, generalized linear mixed models were conducted. Arcsine square-root transformations were conducted for laboratory

test meal percentage intake from carbohydrates, fats, and protein. Fixed model covariates included age, race, anthropometric variables (height, fat mass percentage, and lean mass), and pubertal status in order to account for body size, metabolic activity, and hormonal influences on outcomes (79, 80). IV was bottom-up (i.e., 0–250 ms) or top-down (250–600 ms) evoked responses to angry, happy, or neutral face cues in each ROI (i.e., occipital, amygdala, fusiform, insula, striatum, ACC, ventrolateral PFC, medial PFC, and dorsolateral PFC), and DV was energy intake variable (i.e., total kcal or % energy consumed from protein, fat, or carbohydrates). LOC-eating presence or absence served as an interaction term with evoked responses in ROIs, and age, race, pubertal status, height, fat mass percentage, and lean mass were included as covariates.

#### **3. Results**

# 3.1. Missing data and participant characteristics

It was estimated that 53 youth would have provided at least 80% power to detect small to medium effect sizes. Assuming a 35% attrition rate in unusable MEG data based on prior MEG studies in youth (55, 59), N = 80 youth were targeted for recruitment. However, due to the limitations of social distancing and stay-athome orders during the COVID-19 pandemic, recruitment was truncated at N = 55 adolescent girls. All participants were female and had overweight or obesity (BMI  $\ge 85^{\text{th}}$  percentile). In total, 21 (38%) of the 55 girls were missing MEG data due to several reasons, such as excessive (>80) task errors (n = 10), technical issues (n = 4), failure to administer the social threat task due to visit timing issues (n = 3), or poor anatomical co-registration (n = 4). Thus, there were N = 34 participants (average age of 15.5)  $\pm$  1.5 years) with complete and usable MEG data included in the final sample. Chi-square and t-tests revealed that there were no statistically significant differences between the groups of included and excluded girls on age, race, ethnicity, or percentage of energy consumed from proteins, carbohydrates, or fats at the laboratory test meal (ps > 0.08). Girls excluded from analyses were more likely to have obesity  $[X^2 (1, N = 55) = 6.21, p = 0.01]$ , had higher BMI-z scores [t(33) = 2.33, p = 0.02], and consumed a significantly greater total number of calories at the laboratory test meal [t(33) = 2.97,p = 0.01] compared with girls included in analyses.

Of the included participants, 13 (38.2%) had LOC-eating; 16 (47.1%) had obesity, and 18 (52.9%) had overweight; 12 (35.3%) participants identified as Black or African American; four (11.8%) identified as Hispanic or Latino; and the average BMI z-score was  $1.7 \pm 0.4$ . The characteristics of participants (N = 34) are presented in Table 1. Chi-square and *t*-tests revealed that there were no significant differences between the groups of girls with and without LOC-eating on age, race, ethnicity, BMI-z, body composition, bias scores, or percentage of energy consumed from proteins, carbohydrates, or fats at the laboratory test meal (ps > 0.09). Girls reporting LOC-eating consumed significantly more energy at the laboratory test meal compared with girls without LOC-eating [t(33) = -2.99, p = 0.01].

TABLE 1 Participant characteristics.

	Total sample	LOC	No LOC
	( <i>N</i> = 34)	(N = 13)	(N = 21)
Age (years)	$15.5\pm1.5$	$15.8\pm1.3$	$15.3\pm1.6$
Race (%)			
White	44.1	46.2	42.9
Black or African American	35.3	53.8	23.8
Multiple Races	17.6	0.0	33.3
Ethnicity (%)			
Hispanic or Latino	11.8	0.0	19.0
Non-Hispanic or Latino	85.3	100.0	76.2
Not reported	2.9	0.0	4.8
BMI-z	$1.7\pm0.4$	$1.7\pm0.5$	$1.6\pm0.4$
Fat mass (%)	$40.8\pm 6.0$	$41.1\pm7.3$	$40.7\pm5.3$
Lean mass (kg)	$43.3\pm 6.0$	$45.7\pm7.5$	$41.8\pm4.5$
Overweight (%)	52.9	46.2	57.1
Obesity (%)	47.1	53.8	42.9
Angry bias score	$1.43 \pm 28.48$	$-3.16\pm28.98$	$4.27\pm28.50$
Happy bias score	$0.98 \pm 31.31$	$2.40\pm36.18$	$0.10 \pm 28.81$
Test meal, total Kcal	$882.7\pm291.7$	$1049.0 \pm 211.3^{*}$	$769.0\pm288.3$
Test meal, % carb	$48.9 \pm 8.2$	$51.2 \pm 8.6$	$47.2\pm7.8$
Test meal, % fats	$36.9\pm6.5$	$34.9\pm6.5$	$38.2 \pm 6.4$
Test meal, % protein	$14.3\pm2.8$	$13.8 \pm 3.1$	$14.6\pm2.7$

LOC, Loss-of-control eating; BMI-z, body mass index z-score; \*p < 0.01.

#### 3.2. Behavioral results

Analyses examining the angry bias score vs. happy bias score in the total sample revealed no significant difference in behavioral reaction times to either emotional condition compared with neutral [t(33) = 0.57, p = 0.95]. An ANOVA comparing angry bias score between girls with (N = 13) and without (N = 21) LOCeating revealed no significant behavioral reaction time difference between the groups [F(1, 32) = 0.54, p = 0.47]. Similarly, an ANOVA comparing happy bias score between the LOC-eating groups revealed no significant difference in reaction time among girls with LOC-eating compared with girls without LOC-eating [F(1, 32) = 0.04, p = 0.84].

#### 3.3. MEG results

Analyses examining evoked responses to angry vs. neutral and happy vs. neutral faces in the total sample (i.e., girls with and without LOC-eating) revealed a significantly blunted (i.e., weaker) top-down (i.e., 250–600 ms time window) evoked response to angry faces compared with neutral faces in the left dorsolateral PFC





(dIPFC) [t(33) = 2.80, p = 0.008] (see Figure 2 for time series of left dIPFC evoked response to angry face cues compared with neutral). No other top-down ROIs met the threshold for significance (ps > 0.01). All bottom-up findings were non-significant (ps > 0.02).

Analyses comparing evoked response with face cues between girls with and without LOC-eating revealed a significantly stronger top-down evoked response to angry face cues in the right occipital cortex among girls with LOC-eating compared with girls without LOC-eating [F(1, 32) = 16.38, p = 0.0003] (see Figure 3 for time series of right occipital evoked response to presentation of angry face cues among girls with and without LOC-eating). No other top-down ROIs met the threshold for significance (ps > 0.01). All bottom-up findings were non-significant (ps > 0.02).

#### 3.4. Test meal results

Models examining the main and interactional effects of angry, happy, or neutral evoked response with LOC-eating on test meal intake patterns are presented in Supplementary material. Models adjusted for all covariates (i.e., age, race, pubertal status, height, fat mass percentage, and lean mass). In response to happy face cues, a greater bottom-up evoked response to happy face cues in the right occipital cortex was marginally associated with a lower percentage of energy consumed from carbohydrates among girls with LOC-eating [F(1, 22) = 7.72, p = 0.01]. A greater topdown evoked response to happy face cues in the left dlPFC was marginally associated with a lower percentage of energy consumed from fats among girls with LOC-eating compared with girls without LOC-eating [F(1, 22) = 7.19, p = 0.01].

In response to angry face cues, a greater bottom-up evoked response in the left insula was marginally associated with a greater percentage of energy consumed from fats among girls with LOC-eating and a lower percentage of energy consumed from fats among girls without LOC-eating [F(1, 22) = 8.19, p = 0.01]. This finding was considered marginal due to the corrected *p*-value of 0.01. In addition, a greater top-down evoked response in the left ACC was marginally associated with a greater percentage of energy consumed from carbohydrates [F(1, 22) = 8.23, p = 0.01] and a lower percentage of energy consumed from fats among girls with LOC compared with girls without LOC-eating [F (1, 22) = 8.05, p = 0.01]. There were no significant interactions for evoked response to neutral cues, total calorie intake (kcal), or percentage of energy consumed from protein (ps > 0.01).

### 4. Discussion

The current study examined neural activation to social threat cues and subsequent energy intake at a laboratory test meal in girls with overweight or obesity. Three main findings emerged from the study. First, compared with those without LOC-eating, girls with LOC-eating demonstrated a stronger evoked response to angry faces in the visual cortex during attention deployment. Second, in this sample of girls with overweight and obesity examined as a whole, socially threatening cues, compared with neutral cues, elicited a blunted evoked response in the left dlPFC, a neural region implicated in executive control and regulation processes. Finally, inconclusive findings arose concerning relations between neural responses and energy intake patterns. While several notable trends were observed, they did not pass the threshold for statistical significance.

The findings revealed that a stronger visual neural response to social threat during attention deployment may differentiate youth with LOC-eating and overweight or obesity from those without LOC-eating. Such responses could involve both deleterious and protective effects. For example, prolonged visual attendance toward socially threatening stimuli may contribute to heightened social distress and subsequently trigger maladaptive coping via overeating (30), in line with interpersonal theory. Adolescent girls (22–24), as well as individuals with obesity across the lifespan (17), regularly confront a multitude of social stressors. As shown in a previous fMRI study among adolescent girls with overweight and obesity, social rejection was linked to failed engagement of prefrontal cortex regions implicated in emotion regulation, which subsequently related to palatable food intake at a laboratory test meal (31). Thus, the composition of the current sample, comprising adolescent girls with overweight, may include a group that is uniquely susceptible to interpersonal threat cues. Alternately, other facets of neural responding could mitigate risk. For example, a stronger initial visual response to positive cues may serve to protect against overeating of foods high in carbohydrates. Taken together, in line with interpersonal theory and the attentional bias literature outlined above, a visual attention bias to social threat may partially explain eating patterns among girls with obesity and LOC-eating. However, as mentioned below, the role of age and sex on these processes cannot be determined given the relatively homogenous sample.

Both the current and past studies suggest that girls with overweight or obesity manifest attention dysfunction when exposed to social threat cues. In this current sample of girls with overweight and obesity, socially threatening cues elicited a blunted response in the left dlPFC, a neural region implicated in executive control and regulation processes. Blunted engagement in the dlPFC could hinder cognitive efforts to efficiently deploy attention to mitigate overeating behaviors. This could represent a potentially modifiable mechanistic target (i.e., bolstering attentional awareness and control) for the prevention of excess weight gain. Effects of attention to a social threat on overeating could derive from influences on specific emotional processes. Indeed, previous research has shown that youth sometimes report "numbing" (60), or a blunted awareness of emotions, during LOC-eating episodes. Difficulty identifying emotional experiences (60, 81), also known as alexithymia, is also commonly reported during binge episodes among youth with LOC-eating. Attending to one's emotions facilitates one's ability to identify them; thus, aberrant emotion awareness may link weaker dlPFC engagement, observed among girls with LOC-eating compared with controls, to overeating. Accordingly, such relations among attention, emotion awareness, and the observed blunting in the dlPFC may further explain overeating behavior. The current findings may identify a potential neural basis for overeating among girls with LOC-eating and overweight or obesity.

Previous research demonstrates the importance of integrating findings from behavior and neural responses in brain imaging research. While between-group differences in neural responding arose in the current study, no such differences arose in behavioral reaction times. The recent literature has questioned the reliability of the behavioral attention bias score as a primary outcome of the dot-probe task (82, 83). Behavioral reaction time can be influenced by a variety of factors, such as response selection latency, that do not pertain to the underlying attentional bias neurocognitive construct (82). Moreover, behavioral results may have been subjected to a ceiling effect given the dot-probe task is not highly cognitively demanding. Such factors may explain the presence of stronger findings in the imaging than behavioral data. Behavioral manifestations of attentional bias likely arise from a multiplicity of causes. To best clarify the intersection of attentional bias and eating behavior, the underlying neural dysfunctions of attention bias must be disentangled using imaging approaches. These considerations will be important for future studies exploring the role of social threat in relation to overeating.

In the current study, stronger dIPFC response to salient positive (i.e., happy) social cues related to lower percentage consumption of fats among girls with LOC-eating. While replication of findings in larger samples is needed, the results could inform future research paradigms to retrain attention toward positive social cues. Bias toward positive social cues may conceivably be protective against overeating. Indeed, research supports the role of positive social engagement in the improvement of weight outcomes among adults (84), children (85), and adolescents (86, 87). A recent study demonstrated that thoughts about binge eating reduced following an interpersonal scenario characterized by social inclusion in a Cyberball task among individuals with BED (88), which aligns with current findings linking positive social cues and lower palatable food intake. Engagement of the dlPFC, which supports cognitive control processes, powerfully modulates engagement of the ventral stream, a series of brain regions beginning in the occipital cortex that represents the identity of objects. This includes emotionally salient objects, such as faces conveying threat detection (89). Although functional connectivity analyses were deemed inappropriate for the paradigm used in the current study, future research could explore connectivity between the dlPFC and ventral stream as a potential mechanism underlying the interpersonal model of overeating.

In response to social threat (i.e., angry) cues, girls with LOC-eating may demonstrate aberrant neural circuitry central to food reward and attention allocation (i.e., insula and anterior cingulate) (44-48) that contributes to a maladaptive pattern of eating. Consumption of dessert-type foods, typically high in carbohydrates, is often sought out as "comfort foods" to reduce negative effects and may offer high reward value. Laboratory test meal studies have found that youth demonstrate greater consumption of highly palatable foods during LOCeating episodes, such as carbohydrates (56). Prospectively, greater consumption of foods high in carbohydrates or fats may serve as a mechanism for excess weight gain or development of full-syndrome binge-eating disorder (13, 58). Furthermore, selfreported negative effect has predicted a greater percentage of intake from carbohydrates in the laboratory (90, 91). The pattern of neural activation and eating observed in the current study supports a potential mechanism aligning with this LOC-eating literature. However, given the marginal significance of interactions and a large number of tests performed, as well as the large and multifunctional nature of the implicated ROIs, it is uncertain whether these interactions would replicate in larger samples. The findings concerning relations between neural responses and energy intake patterns should be considered inconclusive and interpreted with caution.

The current study has several strengths. First, the sample consisted of a racially and ethnically diverse subset of adolescent girls with overweight or obesity, which improves the representativeness and generalizability of results. A well-validated semi-structured interview was used to assess LOC-eating (10, 61, 92). MEG is an ideally suited neuroimaging methodology for the temporal nature of attention bias to social threat and short-occurring activity in neural circuits involved in threat processing

(52–54). The inclusion of relevant covariates improves the internal validity of the findings, and body composition covariates are objectively measured by trained staff using calibrated scales and stadiometers for height and weight, and DXA techniques measure fat mass. Finally, the laboratory test meal is a well-validated and controlled paradigm (56, 91) that allowed for objective analysis of energy intake among youth with and without LOC eating in a highly controlled environment.

This study has several notable limitations. First, due to social distancing requirements and stay-at-home orders during the COVID-19 pandemic, recruitment was truncated, resulting in an underpowered sample for most analyses. This recruitment barrier indicates that all results should be interpreted with caution. Furthermore, although consistent with attrition rates in other MEG studies of youth (55, 59), 38% of participants had missing or unusable data. Additionally, the design of the larger pilot study restricted the sample only to female adolescents with overweight and obesity. A comparison group of youth with average BMI, adolescent boys, or younger, pre-pubertal children would aid future studies in terms of generalizability, given the vast differences between boys and girls in nutritional needs across the various stages of the developmental spectrum (93). Given the odds of obesity are higher among youth identifying as Hispanic/Latino and non-Hispanic Black compared with non-Hispanic white youth (1), this underrepresented group may be a particularly vulnerable population in need of further study. Moreover, given the sample is comprised entirely of girls with overweight or obesity, it cannot be determined how specific neural findings might differentially manifest among youth with an average BMI percentile, thus limiting the generalizability. Indeed, neuroimaging findings among adolescent girls with overweight or obesity demonstrate elevated reward region response to palatable food cues and food receipt compared with controls of normal weight, while the risk of eating pathology even further enhanced reward region responsivity to food cues (94). While the current study focused on a small portion of information processing functions linked to LOC-eating, future studies might consider alternate neural mechanisms (e.g., inhibitory control and reward processing). Additional limitations include the use of cross-sectional data; as such, no causal conclusions can be drawn from the findings. The laboratory buffet test meal may not have accurately reflected eating in the natural environment, potentially limiting the ecological validity of the findings. Youths' natural social environments, which can involve salient social threat cues such as weight-based teasing and bullying (95-97), may provide further insights into the links between social threat and LOC-eating behaviors among girls with overweight or obesity. Although the current test meal included foods typically considered palatable by youth (56), it is possible that the composition of the laboratory buffet test meal used in the current study may have impacted the pattern of macronutrient findings. For example, the current test meal included some desserttype foods that were high in carbohydrate but low in fat (e.g., jelly beans), as opposed to highly palatable dessert-type foods that are high in both carbohydrates and fats (e.g., ice cream) (56). Finally, it cannot be determined to what extent the social threat task influenced experienced social exclusion among youth, as rejection sensitivity was not assessed. This should be considered in future studies along with the other aforementioned limitations.

In conclusion, evoked response patterns in visual and cognitive control neural regions among adolescent girls with overweight or obesity may support the interpersonal model of LOC-eating. Hypoactivation in higher order executive function regions in response to social threat cues may contribute to disinhibited eating patterns that could lead to excess weight gain. Sustained visual attention bias to social threat cues may elucidate an underlying neural mechanism for LOC-eating and could inform early treatment targets for the prevention of excess weight gain.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

#### **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardians/next of kin.

#### Author contributions

MB: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writingoriginal draft. MT-K: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Writing-review and editing. LL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing-original draft. TH: Data curation, Software, Writing-review and editing. MP: Writing-review and editing, Investigation. BB: Investigation, Writing-review and editing. AN: Writing-review and editing, Conceptualization, Resources. SB: Writing-review and editing, Investigation. SY: Investigation, Writing-review and editing. ST: Investigation, Writing-review and editing. DP: Writing-review and editing, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision. JY: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing-review and editing, Methodology, Project administration.

### Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by ZIAHD000641 (to JY); The Obesity Society's 2018 Weight Watchers Karen Miller-Kovach Research Grant (to MT-K); Bench-to-Bedside Award, NIH Intramural Research Program (to JY and MT-K); Uniformed Services University R072300415 (to MT-K); the National Institute of Mental Health F31MH119762-01A1 (to MB), and ZIAMH002781 (to DP).

### **Conflict of interest**

JY reports unrelated grants and/or provision of pharmaceuticals for the treatment of obesity from Rhythm Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Soleno Therapeutics, Inc., and Hikma Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

#### References

1. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Lawman HG, Freyar CD, Kruszon-Moran D, Kit BK, et al. Trends in obesity prevalence among children and adolescents in the United States, 1988–1994 through 2013–2014. *JAMA*. (2016) 315:2292–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.6361

2. Kumar S, Kelly AS. Review of childhood obesity: from epidemiology, etiology, and comorbidities to clinical assessment and treatment. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2017) 92:251–65. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2016.09.017

3. Fryar CD, Carroll MD, Ogden CL. Prevalence of Overweight, Obesity, and Severe Obesity Among Children, and Adolescents Aged 2–19 years: United States, 1963–1965 Through 2015–2016. (2018).

4. Ogden CL, Fryar CD, Martin CB, Freedman DS, Carroll MD, Gu Q, et al. Trends in obesity prevalence by race and Hispanic origin--1999-2000 to 2017-2018. *JAMA*. (2020) 324:1208-10. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.14590

5. Atay Z, Bereket A. Current status on obesity in childhood and adolescence: prevalence, etiology, co-morbidities and management. *Obesity Med.* (2016) 3:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.obmed.2016.05.005

6. Whitaker RC, Wright JA, Pepe MS, Seidel KD, Dietz WH. Predicting obesity in young adulthood from childhood and parental obesity. *N Engl J Med.* (1997) 337:869-73. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199709253371301

7. Simmonds M, Llewellyn A, Owen C, Woolacott N. Predicting adult obesity from childhood obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev.* (2016) 17:95–107. doi: 10.1111/obr.12334

8. Singh AS, Mulder C, Twisk JW, Van Mechelen W, Chinapaw MJ. Tracking of childhood overweight into adulthood: a systematic review of the literature. *Obes Rev.* (2008) 9:474–88. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-789X.2008.00475.x

9. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, Fifth edition, text revision. (*DSM-5-TR*). 5th ed: American Psychiatric Association (2022).

10. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Yanovski SZ, Wilfley DE, Marmarosh C, Morgan CM, Yanovski JA. Eating-disordered behaviors, body fat, and psychopathology in overweight and normal-weight children. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* (2004) 72:53–61. doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.72.1.53

11. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Marcus MD, Yanovski SZ, Yanovski JA. Loss of control eating disorder in children age 12 years and younger: proposed research criteria. *Eat Behav.* (2008) 9:360–5. doi: 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2008.03.002

12. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Cohen ML, Yanovski SZ, Cox C, Theim KR, Keil M, et al. A prospective study of psychological predictors of body fat gain among children at high risk for adult obesity. *Pediatrics.* (2006) 117:1203–9. doi: 10.1542/peds.2005-1329

13. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Yanovski SZ, Schvey NA, Olsen CH, Gustafson J, Yanovski JA, et al. prospective study of loss of control eating for body weight gain in children at high risk for adult obesity. *Int J Eat Disord*. (2009) 42:26–30. doi: 10.1002/eat.20580

14. Di Pasquale R, Celsi L. Stigmatization of overweight and obese peers among children. *Front Psychol.* (2017) 8:524. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00524

15. Arias Ramos N, Calvo Sánchez M, Fernández-Villa T, Ovalle Perandones M, Fernández García D, Marqués-Sánchez P. Social exclusion of the adolescent with

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Author disclaimer

The opinions and assertions expressed herein are those of the authors and are not to be construed as reflecting the views of the National Institutes of Health, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, or the United States Department of Defense.

#### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2023. 1276300/full#supplementary-material

overweight: study of sociocentric social networks in the classroom. *Pediatr Obes.* (2018) 13:614–20. doi: 10.1111/ijpo.12396

16. Puhl RM, Himmelstein MS, Pearl RL. Weight stigma as a psychosocial contributor to obesity. *Am Psychol.* (2020) 75:274–89. doi: 10.1037/amp0000538

17. Albano G, Rowlands K, Baciadonna L, Coco GL, Cardi V. Interpersonal difficulties in obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis to inform a rejection sensitivity-based model. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2019) 107:846–61. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.09.039

18. Boyce P, Parker G. Development of a scale to measure interpersonal sensitivity. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry.* (1989) 23:341–51. doi: 10.1177/000486748902300320

19. Leary MR. Interpersonal Rejection. New York, NY: Oxford University Press (2001).

20. Cardi V, Matteo RD, Corfield F, Treasure J. Social reward and rejection sensitivity in eating disorders: an investigation of attentional bias and early experiences. *World J Biol Psych.* (2013) 14:622–33. doi: 10.3109/15622975.2012.665479

21. Cardi V, Turton R, Schifano S, Leppanen J, Hirsch CR, Treasure J. Biased interpretation of ambiguous social scenarios in anorexia nervosa. *Eur Eat Disord Rev.* (2017) 25:60–4. doi: 10.1002/erv.2493

22. Furman W, Buhrmester D. Age and sex differences in perceptions of networks of personal relationships. *Child Dev.* (1992) 63:103–15. doi: 10.2307/1130905

23. Rudolph KD. Gender differences in emotional responses to interpersonal stress during adolescence. *J Adolesc Health.* (2002) 30:3–13. doi: 10.1016/S1054-139X(01)00383-4

24. Stroud LR, Salovey P, Epel ES. Sex differences in stress responses: social rejection versus achievement stress. *Biol Psychiatry.* (2002) 52:318-27. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3223(02)01333-1

25. Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR, Heim C. Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* (2009) 10:434–45. doi: 10.1038/nrn2639

26. Sullivan HS. *The Interpersonal Theory of Psychiatry*. Great Britain: Tavistock Publication Limited (1953).

27. Bowlby J. Attachment and Loss. 2 ed New York: Basic Books (1982).

28. Wilfley DE, Frank M, Welch RR, Spurrell E, Rounsaville B. Adapting interpersonal psychotherapy to a group format. (IPT-G) for binge eating disorder: toward a model for adapting empirically supported treatments. *Psychother Res.* (1998) 8:379–91. doi: 10.1093/ptr/8.4.379

29. Meyer A. Psychobiology. A Science of Man Thomas CC, editor Illinois: Springfield (1958).

30. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Wilfley DE, Young JF, Mufson L, Yanovski SZ, Glasofer DR, et al. Preventing excessive weight gain in adolescents: interpersonal psychotherapy for binge eating. *Obesity*. (2007) 15:1345–55. doi: 10.1038/oby.2007.162

31. Jarcho JM, Tanofsky-Kraff M, Nelson EE, Engel SG, Vannucci A, Field SE, et al. Neural activation during anticipated peer evaluation and laboratory meal intake in overweight girls with and without loss of control eating. *Neuroimage.* (2015) 108:343–53. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.12.054

32. Pine DS. Research review: a neuroscience framework for pediatric anxiety disorders. J Child Psychol. (2007) 48:631–48. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01751.x

33. Harrison A, Sullivan S, Tchanturia K, Treasure J. Emotional functioning in eating disorders: attentional bias, emotion recognition and emotion regulation. *Psychol Med.* (2010) 40:1887–97. doi: 10.1017/S0033291710000036

34. Harrison A, Tchanturia K, Treasure J. Attentional bias, emotion recognition, and emotion regulation in anorexia: state or trait? *Biol Psychiatry*. (2010) 68:755–61. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.04.037

35. Posner MI, Petersen SE. The attention system of the human brain. *Annu Rev Neurosci.* (1990) 13:25–42. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ne.13.030190.000325

36. Corbetta M, Patel G, Shulman GL. The reorienting system of the human brain: from environment to theory of mind. *Neuron.* (2008) 58:306–24. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2008.04.017

37. Corbetta M, Shulman GL. Control of goal-directed and stimulus-driven attention in the brain. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* (2002) 3:201. doi: 10.1038/nrn755

38. Monk CS, McClure EB, Nelson EE, Zarahn E, Bilder RM, Leibenluft E, et al. Adolescent immaturity in attention-related brain engagement to emotional facial expressions. *Neuroimage*. (2003) 20:420–8. doi: 10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00355-0

39. Klein RM. Inhibition of return. Trends Cogn Sci. (2000) 4:138–47. doi: 10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01452-2

40. Cisler JM, Koster EH. Mechanisms of attentional biases towards threat in anxiety disorders: an integrative review. *Clin Psychol Rev.* (2010) 30:203– 16. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2009.11.003

41. Tao D, He Z, Lin Y, Liu C, Tao Q. Where does fear originate in the brain? A coordinate-based meta-analysis of explicit and implicit fear processing. *Neuroimage*. (2021) 227:117686. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117686

42. Stark R, Zimmermann M, Kagerer S, Schienle A, Walter B, Weygandt M, et al. Hemodynamic brain correlates of disgust and fear ratings. *Neuroimage*. (2007) 37:663–73. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.05.005

43. Radua J, Sarró S, Vigo T, Alonso-Lana S, Bonnín CM, Ortiz-Gil J, et al. Common and specific brain responses to scenic emotional stimuli. *Brain Struct Funct.* (2014) 219:1463–72. doi: 10.1007/s00429-013-0580-0

44. Rothemund Y, Preuschhof C, Bohner G, Bauknecht H-C, Klingebiel R, Flor H, et al. Differential activation of the dorsal striatum by high-calorie visual food stimuli in obese individuals. *Neuroimage*. (2007) 37:410-21. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.05.008

45. Gearhardt AN, Yokum S, Orr PT, Stice E, Corbin WR, Brownell KD. Neural correlates of food addiction. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* (2011) 68:808–16. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.32

46. Stoeckel LE, Weller RE, Cook III EW, Twieg DB, Knowlton RC, Cox JE. Widespread reward-system activation in obese women in response to pictures of high-calorie foods. *Neuroimage*. (2008) 41:636–47. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.02.031

47. Stice E, Spoor S, Ng J, Zald DH. Relation of obesity to consummatory and anticipatory food reward. *Physiol Behav.* (2009) 97:551–60. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2009.03.020

48. Stice E, Spoor S, Bohon C, Veldhuizen MG, Small DM. Relation of reward from food intake and anticipated food intake to obesity: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Abnorm Psychol.* (2008) 117:924. doi: 10.1037/a0013600

49. Frank GK, Kaye WH. Current status of functional imaging in eating disorders. Int J Eat Disord. (2012) 45:723-36. doi: 10.1002/eat.22016

50. Ellison Z, Foong J, Howard R, Bullmore E, Williams S, Treasure J. Functional anatomy of calorie fear in anorexia nervosa. *Lancet.* (1998) 352:1192. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)60529-6

51. Seeger G, Braus DF, Ruf M, Goldberger U, Schmidt MH. Body image distortion reveals amygdala activation in patients with anorexia nervosaa functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Neurosci Lett.* (2002) 326:25–8. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3940(02)00312-9

52. Dale AM, Halgren E. Spatiotemporal mapping of brain activity by integration of multiple imaging modalities. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* (2001) 11:202–8. doi: 10.1016/S0959-4388(00)00197-5

53. Dale AM, Liu AK, Fischl BR, Buckner RL, Belliveau JW, Lewine JD, et al. Dynamic statistical parametric mapping: combining fMRI and MEG for high-resolution imaging of cortical activity. *Neuron.* (2000) 26:55–67. doi: 10.1016/S0896-6273(00)81138-1

54. Hari R, Parkkonen L, Nangini C. The brain in time: insights from neuromagnetic recordings. Ann N Y Acad Sci. (2010) 1191:89–109. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05438.x

55. Britton JC, Bar-Haim Y, Carver FW, Holroyd T, Norcross MA, Detloff A, et al. Isolating neural components of threat bias in pediatric anxiety. *J Child Psychol.* (2012) 53:678-86. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2011.02503.x

56. Tanofsky-Kraff M, McDuffie JR, Yanovski SZ, Kozlosky M, Schvey NA, Shomaker LB, et al. Laboratory assessment of the food intake of children and adolescents with loss of control eating. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2009) 89:738–45. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2008. 26886

57. Kuczmarski RJ, Ogden CL, Grummer-Strawn LM, Flegal KM, Guo SS, Wei R, et al. (2000). *CDC growth charts: United States advance data from vital and health statistics, no. 314.* Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.

58. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Shomaker LB, Olsen C, Roza CA, Wolkoff LE, Columbo KM, et al. A prospective study of pediatric loss of control eating and psychological outcomes. *J Abnorm Psychol.* (2011) 120:108–18. doi: 10.1037/a0021406

59. Rich BA, Holroyd T, Carver FW, Onelio LM, Mendoza JK, Cornwell BR, et al. A preliminary study of the neural mechanisms of frustration in pediatric bipolar disorder using magnetoencephalography. *Depress Anxiety.* (2010) 27:276–86. doi: 10.1002/da.20649

60. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Goossens L, Eddy KT, Ringham R, Goldschmidt A, Yanovski SZ, et al. A multisite investigation of binge eating behaviors in children and adolescents. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* (2007) 75:901. doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.75.6.901

61. Fairburn CG, Cooper Z. The eating disorder examination. (12th edition). In: Fairburn CG, Wilson GT, editors. *Binge eating: Nature, Assessment, and Treatment.* New York, NY, US: Guilford Press. (1993). p. 317-60.

62. Sopher AB, Thornton JC, Wang J, Pierson RN, Heymsfield SB, Horlick M. Measurement of percentage of body fat in 411 children and adolescents: a comparison of dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry with a four-compartment model. *Pediatrics.* (2004) 113:1285–90. doi: 10.1542/peds.113.5.1285

63. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2003) 35:1381–95. doi: 10.1249/01.MSS.0000078924.61453.FB

64. Byrne ME, Tanofsky-Kraff M, Kelly NM, Grammer AC, Jaramillo M, Mi SJ, et al. Pediatric loss-of-control eating and anxiety in relation to components of metabolic syndrome. *J Pediatr Psychol.* (2019) 44:220–8. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsy077

65. Kaufman J, Birmaher B, Brent D, Rao U, Flynn C, Moreci P, et al. Schedule for affective disorders and schizophrenia for school-age children-present and lifetime version. (K-SADS-PL): initial reliability and validity data. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. (1997) 36:980–8. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199707000-00021

66. Gramfort A, Luessi M, Larson E, Engemann DA, Strohmeier D, Brodbeck C, et al. MEG and EEG data analysis with MNE-Python. *Front Neurosci.* (2013) 7:1–13. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2013.00267

67. Tottenham N, Tanaka JW, Leon AC, McCarry T, Nurse M, Hare TA, et al. The NimStim set of facial expressions: judgments from untrained research participants. *Psychiatry Res.* (2009) 168:242–9. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2008.05.006

68. Monk CS, Nelson EE, McClure EB, Mogg K, Bradley BP, Leibenluft E, et al. Ventrolateral prefrontal cortex activation and attentional bias in response to angry faces in adolescents with generalized anxiety disorder. *Am J Psychiatry.* (2006) 163:1091–7. doi: 10.1176/ajp.2006.163.6.1091

69. Monk CS, Telzer EH, Mogg K, Bradley BP, Mai X, Louro HM, et al. Amygdala and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex activation to masked angry faces in children and adolescents with generalized anxiety disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* (2008) 65:568–76. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.65.5.568

70. White LK, Sequeira S, Britton JC, Brotman MA, Gold AL, Berman E, et al. Complementary features of attention bias modification therapy and cognitivebehavioral therapy in pediatric anxiety disorders. *Am J Psychiatry.* (2017) 174:775– 84. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.16070847

71. Walsh BT, Boudreau G. Laboratory studies of binge eating disorder. Int J EatDisord.~(2003) 34:S30–S8. doi: 10.1002/eat.10203

72. Pine DS, Mogg K, Bradley BP, Montgomery L, Monk CS, McClure E, et al. Attention bias to threat in maltreated children: implications for vulnerability to stress-related psychopathology. *Am J Psychiatry.* (2005) 162:291–6. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.162.2.291

73. Mogg K, Philippot P, Bradley BP. Selective attention to angry faces in clinical social phobia. J Abnorm Psychol. (2004) 113:160. doi: 10.1037/0021-843X.113.1.160

74. Rich BA, Carver FW, Holroyd T, Rosen HR, Mendoza JK, Cornwell BR, et al. Different neural pathways to negative affect in youth with pediatric bipolar disorder and severe mood dysregulation. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2011) 45:1283–94. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2011.04.006

75. Cornwell BR, Carver FW, Coppola R, Johnson L, Alvarez R, Grillon C. Evoked amygdala responses to negative faces revealed by adaptive MEG beamformers. *Brain Res.* (2008) 1244:103–12. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2008.09.068

76. Harmony T, Alba A, Marroquín JL, González-Frankenberger B. Time-frequencytopographic analysis of induced power and synchrony of EEG signals during a Go/No-Go task. *Int J Psychophysiol.* (2009) 71:9–16. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2008.07.020

77. Stice E, Spoor S, Bohon C, Small D. Relation between obesity and blunted striatal response to food is moderated by TaqIA A1 allele. *Science*. (2008) 322:449–52. doi: 10.1126/science.1161550

78. Mattavelli G, Rosanova M, Casali AG, Papagno C, Lauro LJR. Timing of emotion representation in right and left occipital region: evidence from combined TMS-EEG. *Brain Cogn.* (2016) 106:13–22. doi: 10.1016/j.bandc.2016.04.009

79. Doyle AC, Le Grange D, Goldschmidt A, Wilfley DE. Psychosocial and physical impairment in overweight adolescents at high risk for eating disorders. *Obesity*. (2007) 15:145–54. doi: 10.1038/oby.2007.515

80. Klump KL. Puberty as a critical risk period for eating disorders: a review of human and animal studies. *Horm Behav.* (2013) 64:399–410. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2013.02.019

81. Shank LM, Tanofsky-Kraff M, Kelly NR, Jaramillo M, Rubin SG, Altman DR, et al. The association between alexithymia and eating behavior in children and adolescents. *Appetite*. (2019) 142:104381. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2019.104381

82. Price RB, Kuckertz JM, Siegle GJ, Ladouceur CD, Silk JS, Ryan ND, et al. Empirical recommendations for improving the stability of the dot-probe task in clinical research. *Psychol Assess.* (2015) 27:365–76. doi: 10.1037/pas0000036

83. Waechter S, Nelson AL, Wright C, Hyatt A, Oakman J. Measuring attentional bias to threat: Reliability of dot probe and eye movement indices. *Cognit Ther Res.* (2014) 38:313–33. doi: 10.1007/s10608-013-9588-2

84. Wing RR, Jeffery RW. Benefits of recruiting participants with friends and increasing social support for weight loss and maintenance. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* (1999) 67:132. doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.67.1.132

85. Wilfley DE, Stein RI, Saelens BE, Mockus DS, Matt GE, Hayden-Wade HA, et al. Efficacy of maintenance treatment approaches for childhood overweight: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. (2007) 298:1661–73. doi: 10.1001/jama.298.14.1661

86. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Shomaker LB, Wilfley DE, Young JF, Sbrocco T, Stephens M, et al. Excess weight gain prevention in adolescents: 3-year outcome following a randomized controlled trial. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* (2017) 85:218–27. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000153

87. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Shomaker LB, Wilfley DE, Young JF, Sbrocco T, Stephens M, et al. Targeted prevention of excess weight gain and eating disorders in high-risk adolescent girls: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2014) 100:1010–8. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.114.092536

88. Meneguzzo P, Meregalli V, Collantoni E, Cardi V, Tenconi E, Favaro A. Virtual rejection and overinclusion in eating disorders: an experimental investigation of the impact on emotions, stress perception, and food attitudes. *Nutrients.* (2023) 15:1021. doi: 10.3390/nu15041021

89. Koenigs M, Grafman J. The functional neuroanatomy of depression: distinct roles for ventromedial and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *Behav Brain Res.* (2009) 201:239–43. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2009.03.004

90. Byrne ME, Shomaker LB, Brady SM, Kozlosky M, Yanovski JA, Tanofsky-Kraff M. Associations between latent trait negative affect and patterns of foodintake among girls with loss-of-control eating. *Int J Eat Disord.* (2020) 53:618– 24. doi: 10.1002/eat.23253

91. Ranzenhofer LM, Hannallah L, Field SE, Shomaker LB, Stephens M, Sbrocco T, et al. Pre-meal affective state and laboratory test meal intake in adolescent girls with loss of control eating. *Appetite.* (2013) 68:30–7. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2013. 03.018

92. Bryant-Waugh RJ, Cooper PJ, Taylor CL, Lask BD. The use of the eating disorder examination with children: A pilot study. *Int J Eat Disord.* (1996) 19:391–7. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1098-108X(199605)19:4&dt;391::AID-EAT6>3.0. CO;2-G

93. MacGuire S. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2010. In: Agriculture USDo, Services USDoHaH, editors Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office (2011).

94. Yokum S, Stice E. Relation of overweight/obesity to reward region response to food reward and the moderating effects of parental history of eating pathology in adolescent females. *Nutrients.* (2023) 15:2558. doi: 10.3390/nu15112558

95. Schvey NA, Marwitz SE Mi SJ, Galescu OA, Broadney MM, Young-Hyman D, et al. Weight-based teasing is associated with gain in BMI and fat mass among children and adolescents at-risk for obesity: a longitudinal study. *Pediatr Obes.* (2019) 14:e12538. doi: 10.1111/ijpo.12538

96. Puhl RM, Peterson JL, Luedicke J. Weight-based victimization: bullying experiences of weight loss treatment-seeking youth. *Pediatrics.* (2013) 131:e1–9. doi: 10.1542/peds.2012-1106

97. Puhl RM, Luedicke J, Heuer C. Weight-based victimization toward overweight adolescents: observations and reactions of peers. J Sch Health. (2011) 81:696–703. doi: 10.1111/j.1746-1561.2011.00646.x