Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Jeffrey Kaiser, Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, United States

REVIEWED BY Daniela Polese, Sant'Andrea University Hospital, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Michele Poletti ⊠ michele.poletti@ausl.re.it

RECEIVED 26 September 2023 ACCEPTED 23 November 2023 PUBLISHED 08 December 2023

### CITATION

Poletti M, Preti A and Raballo A (2023) Focusing on modifiable early protective factors to prevent negative neurodevelopmental and psychiatric outcomes in at-risk infants. *Front. Psychiatry* 14:1302474. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1302474

### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Poletti, Preti and Raballo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Focusing on modifiable early protective factors to prevent negative neurodevelopmental and psychiatric outcomes in at-risk infants

### Michele Poletti<sup>1\*</sup>, Antonio Preti<sup>2</sup> and Andrea Raballo<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Child and Adolescent Neuropsychiatry Service, Department of Mental Health and Pathological Addiction, Azienda USL-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy, <sup>2</sup>Department of Neuroscience, University of Turin, Turin, Italy, <sup>3</sup>Chair of Psychiatry, Faculty of Biomedical Sciences, University of Southern Switzerland, Lugano, Switzerland, <sup>4</sup>Cantonal Sociopsychiatric Organisation, Mendrisio, Switzerland

### KEYWORDS

genetic risk, familial, mental illness, protective factor, parenting

## Introduction

In the scientific debate, there is increasing awareness of the relevance of the first years of life as a basis for health and disease, as stated by the Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHAD) hypothesis (1). Early at-risk conditions are determined by multiple potential genetic and environmental factors, as clearly exemplified by preterm birth. Preterm children are at increased risk of abnormal physical health and neurobehavioral development (2, 3), including increased long-term risk of psychiatric illness (4), with a gradient of risk related to the grade of prematurity (i.e., gestational age at birth). At the same time, preterm birth and obstetric complications are over-represented in the developmental anamnesis of adult patients with severe mental illnesses (5–7), in particular, schizophrenia (5). Integrating prospective (from the point of view of preterm birth) and retrospective (from the point of view of preterm birth is indeed considered a potential early trigger for altered neurodevelopment, leading to the structuration of a schizophrenic vulnerability in adolescence and young adulthood (8, 9).

### G x E

Each early risk factor, whether of genetic, epigenetic, familial, or environmental origin, is likely not deterministically sufficient to cause full-blown clinical psychiatric outcomes during development. Instead, its effects are plausibly amplified through interactions with other early risk factors and later supervening factors, ultimately surpassing adaptive biopsychosocial thresholds. This is the overall picture that emerges from twin (10) and adoption studies on schizophrenia (11). For example, the Finnish Adoptive Developmental Study (12) compared a sample of offspring (n = 185) of schizophrenic mothers who were adopted within their 4th year of life to matched controls in which the adopted children had no biological parents diagnosed with schizophrenia. These findings indicated an interaction between genetic risk factors and protective environmental factors, with a dimensional distribution of the risk of developing schizophrenia. Thus, regardless of the genetic risk (presence or absence of schizophrenia in the biological mother), children raised in adoptive families without severe mental health problems showed minimal levels of psychopathology over time. On the contrary, the level of psychopathology increased if an adoptive parent suffered from mental disorders and even more so if both parents were ill. This pattern is intriguingly in agreement with experimental findings derived from animal models showing that adoption may reverse the effects of early perinatal stress (13).

# Families with mental illness as hyper-aggregators of risk factors

From this perspective, families affected by psychiatric illness represent a peculiar ecological niche in which the intergenerational transmission of severe mental disorders is boosted by the hyper-aggregation of genetic plus early and late environmental risk factors (14, 15). These include the additive maternal and paternal genetic load in the case of nonrandom (assortative) mating between individuals with severe mental disorders (16) and early environmental risk factors, such as in utero exposure to pharmacological agents (17) and obstetric complications like preterm birth, which, incidentally, are both more prevalent in pregnancies of mothers with mental illnesses (18). Moreover, in the early years, enduring chronic familial non-protective conditions may amplify the effects of early genetic and environmental risk factors. Within families, risk factors, indeed, include heightened expressed emotions (19), prolonged familial distress (20, 21), and inadequate parenting (22), while factors outside the family include perceived psychosocial inadequacy in comparison to peers and social stigma. Among these familial risk factors that may contribute to the perpetuation of intergenerational liability to psychopathology, parenting is a crucial one. Indeed, the quality of parenting is heavily conditioned by the severity and chronicity of mothers' mental illness (23), yet it is also potentially modifiable through psychosocial interventions.

Overall, because many pathogenic environmental factors originate from the familial environment, which may be partially determined by their genetics, severe mental illnesses can be considered familial (24). In this case, familiality does not overlap with Mendelian genetics and possibly relies on epigenetic modifications dependent on early-life social environment and mental health (24).

### Parenting as a modifiable factor

Inadequate parenting related to serious mental illness is implicated in increased rates of insecure or disorganized attachment patterns, which, combined with an underlying neurobiological vulnerability, may further exacerbate the lifetime risk of developing schizophrenia (25). However, despite the increasing amount of evidence on the potential role of parental mental illness in parenting, there is a relative paucity of highquality studies addressing interventions to support parenting in psychiatric parents. A recent meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials quantified the effects of preventive interventions for this at-risk population, reporting small though significant Effect Sizes (ES) for programs enhancing the mother-infant interaction (ES = 0.26) as well as mothers' (ES = 0.33) and childrens' (ES = 0.31) behavior, which proved to be stable over a 12-month follow-up (26).

Therefore, parenting is a modifiable variable, and its early protective factor has been demonstrated by a recent prospective, observational cohort study (27) providing a significant step forward in the empirical investigation of the role of the quality of motherchild interaction in moderating early brain development and longitudinal endophenotypic neurocognitive outcomes in preterm children. The authors recruited 226 infants born preterm (i.e., below 32 weeks of gestational age) from a neonatal intensive care unit to examine whether maternal sensitivity at 3 years buffers the relationship between cortical gray matter dysmaturation early in life and at term-equivalent age and cognitive and language abilities at 3 years (after controlling for neonatal illness, brain injury, and maternal education). They found that small for gestational age preterm children, as well as the presence of postnatal infections or brain injuries, was associated with lower neurodevelopmental scores; moreover, better supportive maternal behavior sensitivity was associated with better cognitive and language outcomes in children with less mature cortical gray matter at term-equivalent age. Therefore, they concluded that supportive maternal behavior following early brain dysmaturation may provide an opportunity to promote optimal neurodevelopment in preterm children. A similar protective effect provided by supportive maternal behavior could be hypothesized and tested for children of schizophrenic mothers, who, at neuroimaging, may present prenatal and neonatal signs of brain dysmaturation in comparison with age-matched children of healthy mothers (28).

## Conclusions

In sum, children of parents with severe mental illness represent a paradigmatic example of an *ab origine* at-risk category that combines putative genetic liability with early, enduring, and potentially increasing over-exposure to multiple environmental risk factors. This risk is confirmed by a meta-analytical increased risk of mental illness in the offspring of parents with mental illness (29, 30).

The intertwining of risk factors exemplifies how, at least in this field, familial risk factors are not simply genetic in nature but also involve the early relational milieu. Since the pathogenic early postnatal factors stem from the familial environment, mental illness can be characterized as having familial roots. Consequently, there may be a familial component in the pathological phenotype triggered by early-life stress. In this specific scenario, familiality is distinct from Mendelian genetics and likely hinges on epigenetic modifications, strongly indicating that "genetic" and "familial" are not interchangeable terms (24).

Among environmental factors, parenting is crucial and may be modifiable and have a protective effect. Indeed, in the long term, good parenting has the potential to mitigate lifetime psychiatric risk, as demonstrated by adoption studies (11, 12), whereas, in the short term, it seems to potentially mitigate the neurocognitive effects of altered neurodevelopment due to early environmental adversities, such as preterm birth (27). Therefore, maternal sensitivity has concrete effects not only for the positive mother-child bond and for a secure attachment (which exerts a broad protective role in the cognitive and socioemotional development of infants) but also for the development of cognitive functions despite early brain dysmaturation due to preterm birth (31). This strongly supports the rationale for developing primary preventive programs to support parenting, especially supportive maternal behavior, in at-risk mother-child dyads (for example, for maternal mental illness, child vulnerability, or both) to support a cascade of beneficial effects, from the neural to the cognitive and psychological levels.

### Author contributions

MP: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AP: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. AR: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

## References

1. Hanson MA, Gluckman PD. Developmental origins of health and disease: new insights. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol.* (2008) 102:90–3. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-7843.2007.00186.x

2. Embleton N, Wood C, Tinnion, R. Catch up growth and the Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD) in preterm infants. In: Patole S, editor. *Nutrition for the Preterm Neonate.* Dordrecht: Springer (2013). p. 269–90.

3. Luu TM, Rehman Mian MO, Nuyt AM. Long-term impact of preterm birth: neurodevelopmental and physical health outcomes. *Clin Perinatol.* (2017) 44:305– 14. doi: 10.1016/j.clp.2017.01.003

4. Nosarti C, Reichenberg A, Murray RM, Cnattingius S, Lambe MP, Yin L, et al. Preterm birth and psychiatric disorders in young adult life. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. (2012) 69:E1–8. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.1374

5. Cannon M, Jones PB, Murray RM. Obstetric complications and schizophrenia: historical and meta-analytic review. *Am J Psychiatry.* (2002) 159:1080–92. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.159.7.1080

6. Laursen TM, Munk-Olsen T, Nordentoft M, Bo Mortensen P. A comparison of selected risk factors for unipolar depressive disorder, bipolar affective disorder, schizoaffective disorder, and schizophrenia from a danish population-based cohort. *J Clin Psychiatry*. (2007) 68:1673–81. doi: 10.4088/JCP.v68n1106

7. Brander G, Rydell M, Kuja-Halkola R, Cruz LFd, Lichtenstein P, Serlachius E, et al. Association of perinatal risk factors with obsessive-compulsive disorder: a population-based birth cohort, sibling control study. *JAMA Psychiatry*. (2016) 73:1135–44. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.2095

8. Murray RM, Bhavsar V, Tripoli G, Howes O. 30 years on: how the neurodevelopmental hypothesis of schizophrenia morphed into the developmental risk factor model of psychosis. *Schizophr Bull.* (2017) 43:1190–6. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbx121

9. Vanes LD, Murray RM, Nosarti C. Adult outcome of preterm birth: Implications for neurodevelopmental theories of psychosis. *Schizophr Res.* (2022) 247:41–54. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2021.04.007

10. Sullivan PF, Kendler KS, Neale MC. Schizophrenia as a complex trait: evidence from a meta-analysis of twin studies. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* (2003) 60:1187–92. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.60.12.1187

11. Ingraham
LJ,
Kety
SS.
Adoption
studies
of

schizophrenia.
Am
J
Med
Genet.
(2000)
97:18 

22. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1096-8628(200021)97:1<18::AID-AJMG4>3.0.CO;2-L
Since the second secon

12. Tienari P, Lahti I, Sorri A, Naarala M, Wahlberg KE, Rönkkö T, et al. The Finnish adoptive family study of schizophrenia. *J Psychiatr Res.* (1987) 21:437–45. doi: 10.1016/0022-3956(87)90091-4

13. Maccari S, Piazza PV, Kabbaj M, Barbazanges A, Simon H, Le Moal M. Adoption reverses the long-term impairment in glucocorticoid feedback induced by prenatal stress. *J Neurosci.* (1995) 15:110–6. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.15-01-00110.1995

### Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

14. Raballo A, Poletti M, Preti A. Applying transgenerational scientific evidence to the next wave of early identification strategies for psychopathological risk-transdiagnostic, developmental, and personalized. *JAMA Psychiatry*. (2021) 78:1067–8. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.1901

15. Poletti M, Gebhardt E, Pelizza L, Preti A, Raballo A. Looking at intergenerational risk factors in schizophrenia spectrum disorders: new frontiers for early vulnerability identification? *Front Psychiatry.* (2020) 11:566683. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.566683

16. Greve AN, Uher R, Als TD, Jepsen JRM, Mortensen EL, Gantriis DL, et al. A nationwide cohort study of nonrandom mating in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Schizophr Bull.* (2021) 47:1342–50. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbab021

17. Momen NC, Robakis T, Liu X, Reichenberg A, Bergink V, Munk-Olsen T. *In utero* exposure to antipsychotic medication and psychiatric outcomes in the offspring. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. (2022) 47:759–66. doi: 10.1038/s41386-021-01223-y

18. Sacker A, Done DJ, Crow TJ. Obstetric complications in children born to parents with schizophrenia: a meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Psychol Med.* (1996) 26:279–87. doi: 10.1017/S003329170003467X

19. Peris TS, Miklowitz DJ. Parental expressed emotion and youth psychopathology: new directions for an old construct. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev.* (2015) 46:863–73. doi: 10.1007/s10578-014-0526-7

20. Gladstone BM, Boydell KM, Seeman MV, McKeever PD. Children's experiences of parental mental illness: a literature review. *Early Interv Psychiatry.* (2011) 5:271–89. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-7893.2011.00287.x

21. Murphy G, Peters K, Wilkes L, Jackson D. A dynamic cycle of familial mental illness. *Issues Ment Health Nurs.* (2014) 35:948–53. doi: 10.3109/01612840.2014.927543

22. Healy SJ, Lewin J, Butler S, Vaillancourt K, Seth-Smith F. Affect recognition and the quality of mother-infant interaction: understanding parenting difficulties in mothers with schizophrenia. *Arch Womens Ment Health.* (2016) 19:113–24. doi: 10.1007/s00737-015-0530-3

23. Davidsen KA, Harder S, MacBeth A, Lundy JM, Gumley A. Motherinfant interaction in schizophrenia: transmitting risk or resilience? A systematic review of the literature. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* (2015) 50:1785– 98. doi: 10.1007/s00127-015-1127-x

24. Maccari S, Polese D, Reynaert ML, Amici T, Morley-Fletcher S, Fagioli F. Early-life experiences and the development of adult diseases with a focus on mental illness: the Human Birth Theory. *Neuroscience.* (2017) 342:232–51. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.05.042

25. Harder S. Attachment in schizophrenia–implications for research, prevention, and treatment. *Schizophr Bull.* (2014) 40:1189–93. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbu133

26. Thanhäuser M, Lemmer G, de Girolamo G, Christiansen H. Do preventive interventions for children of mentally ill parents work? Results of a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Curr Opin Psychiatry.* (2017) 30:283–99. doi: 10.1097/YCO.00000000000342

27. Miller JV, Chau V, Synnes A, Miller SP, Grunau RE. Brain development and maternal behavior in relation to cognitive and language outcomes in preterm-born children. *Biol Psychiatry.* (2022) 92:663–73. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2022.03.010

28. Gilmore JH, Kang C, Evans DD, Wolfe HM, Smith JK, Lieberman JA, et al. Prenatal and neonatal brain structure and white matter maturation in children at high risk for schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry.* (2010) 167:1083–91. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.09101492

29. Rasic D, Hajek T, Alda M, Uher R. Risk of mental illness in offspring of parents with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis

of family high-risk studies. Schizophr Bull. (2014) 40:28-38. doi: 10.1093/schbul/ sbt114

30. Sandstrom A, Sahiti Q, Pavlova B, Uher R. Offspring of parents with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and depression: a review of familial high-risk and molecular genetics studies. *Psychiatr Genet.* (2019) 29:160–9. doi: 10.1097/YPG.00000000000240

31. Lean RE. Sensitive parenting: a key moderator of neonatal cortical dysmaturation and neurodevelopmental outcomes in children born very preterm. *Biol Psychiatry.* (2022) 92:609–11. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2022.07.010