Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Wulf Rössler, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Natalia Martín-María 🔀 natalia.martinm@uam.es

RECEIVED 19 January 2024 ACCEPTED 22 January 2024 PUBLISHED 01 February 2024

CITATION

Martín-María N (2024) Editorial: Break the mental health stigma: loneliness. *Front. Psychiatry* 15:1373524. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2024.1373524

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Martín-María. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Break the mental health stigma: loneliness

Natalia Martín-María^{1,2*}

¹Department of Biological and Health Psychology, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain, ²Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental, CIBERSAM, Madrid, Spain

KEYWORDS

cognition, depressive symptoms, rural communities, randomised control trial, humanoid robot intervention

Editorial on the Research Topic

Break the mental health stigma: loneliness

The Frontiers Research Topic entitled: "Break the Mental Health Stigma: Loneliness" is aimed to provide insights into research and interventions tackling loneliness so that these were translated into clinical applications and public health policies.

Loneliness is a negative feeling defined as the discrepancy between one's desired and one's actual grade of social relationships (1). A recent systematic review that analysed more than one hundred countries around the world revealed that a considerable segment of the population experienced loneliness (2). It could jeopardise health and wellbeing, so it has been identified as a significant public health issue (3). Research in loneliness is relatively new but enormous in number of publications. Despite everything, there are still many areas to explore. It is relevant to understand the impact of loneliness in people from rural communities, places where studies have paid less attention. Furthermore, it is still important to study whether the COVID-19 pandemic has affected loneliness and social isolation, especially in vulnerable groups such as older people.

Four articles were included in this Research Topic collection, two of them were reviews, one comprises a cross-sectional study, and the last one was a study protocol for a pilot randomized control trial.

The scoping review of Kassam and McMillan aimed to examine the impact of social isolation and loneliness on cognition in older adults, analysing quantitative and longitudinal studies that measured both variables at two times points in the course of COVID-19 pandemic. CINAHL, Medline, PubMed, and PsycINFO databases were used. In six out of seven studies incorporated in the conclusive data analyses, loneliness and social isolation were related to worse cognitive performance. Hussain et al. carried out a quantitative and qualitative systematic review, focused on analyse loneliness and social networks in older adults of rural communities, who represent a fifth of the total population of older adults. The following databases were consulted: MEDLINE (Ovid), CINAHL (EBSCO), Embase (Ovid), PsycINFO, Scopus, SocINDEX (EBSCO). They found that social networks of older adults living in rural areas comprise family, friends, and neighbours. These relationships evolved by joining in physical, social, and religious activities. After reviewing interventions to tackle loneliness and social isolation, they conclude that the

establishment of social bonds and consistent engagement with others were crucial elements in such purpose.

Homosexuality in Chinese men and its connection to loneliness and depressive symptomatology was the selected topic of the crosssectional study of Liu et al. A total of 655 men aged 15 or older were under study. The absence of social support and low self-esteem were identified as risk factors for experiencing loneliness and depressive manifestations. Particularly, among men who have sex with men, those who were in their youth, unmarried, and presented lower levels of self-esteem exhibited heightened vulnerability to the effects of depressive symptoms on loneliness.

Finally, the article of Lavin et al., showed a protocol for a randomized controlled trial to contrast the results of a humanoid robot intervention to treatment as usual on loneliness and mental health variables (depression, stress, anxiety, quality of life, and a decrease in the use of urgent healthcare services) in long-term care residents. The robot is called Grace and it was first developed to interact with older people and those alone by the COVID-19 pandemic. They also pretend to use qualitative methodology to measure viability and adequacy of the study among participants.

In conclusion, this Research Topic spotlight the need to continue investigating into loneliness in order to curb it, especially among older population and other vulnerable groups. As can be gathered from the studies collected above, more intervention studies focused on prevent and deal with loneliness still are needed.

Author contributions

NM-M: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank the authors who have contributed to the success of this Research Topic.

Conflict of interest

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Peplau LA, Perlman D. Perspectives on loneliness. Loneliness: A sourcebook Curr theory Res Ther (1982), 1–18.

2. Surkalim DL, Luo M, Eres R, Gebel K, van Buskirk J, Bauman A, et al. The prevalence of loneliness across 113 countries: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bmj* (2022) 376. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2021-067068

3. Cacioppo JT, Cacioppo S. The growing problem of loneliness. Lancet (2018) 391 (10119):426. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30142-9