Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Frank Quansah, University of Education, Winneba, Ghana

REVIEWED BY

Matias E. Rodriguez-Rivas, Universidad de Desarrollo, Chile Ravi Philip Rajkumar, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research (JIPMER), India

*CORRESPONDENCE Mamaru Melkam Mamarumelkam@gmail.com

RECEIVED 18 March 2024 ACCEPTED 30 August 2024 PUBLISHED 26 September 2024

CITATION

Melkam M, Asnake AA, Mekuria Negussie Y, Bezie MM, Asmare ZA, Asebe HA, Seifu BL and Fente BM (2024) Help-seeking behaviors and determinant factors among women exposed to intimate partner violence in East Africa based on recent demographic and health survey data: a multilevel analysis. *Front. Psychiatry* 15:1402704. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2024.1402704

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Melkam, Asnake, Mekuria Negussie, Bezie, Asmare, Asebe, Seifu and Fente. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Help-seeking behaviors and determinant factors among women exposed to intimate partner violence in East Africa based on recent demographic and health survey data: a multilevel analysis

Mamaru Melkam^{1*}, Angwach Abrham Asnake², Yohannes Mekuria Negussie³, Meklit Melaku Bezie⁴, Zufan Alamrie Asmare⁵, Hiwot Altaye Asebe⁶, Beminate Lemma Seifu⁶ and Bezawit Melak Fente⁷

¹Department of Psychiatry, College of Medicine and Health Science, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia, ²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wolaita Sodo University, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia, ³Department of Medicine, Adama General Hospital and Medical College, Adama, Ethiopia, ⁴Department of Public Health Officer, Institute of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia, ⁵Department of Ophthalmology, School of Medicine and Health Science, Debre Tabor University, Debre Tabor, Ethiopia, ⁶Department of General Midwifery, School of Midwifery, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia

Introduction: Human rights violations and violence against women are serious public health issues that have numerous detrimental repercussions on one's physical, emotional, sexual, and reproductive health. According to studies, women's perceptions and traits of violence are highly predictive of their likelihood of seeking help against violence. Even though intimate partner violence is a huge challenge nowadays in Africa, there is a low level of help-seeking behavior. Conducting this study at the East African level on help-seeking behavior can provide a clue for policy-makers. Therefore, this study aimed to reveal the prevalence of help-seeking behavior against intimate partner violence and determinant factors among women in East Africa.

Method: Multilevel logistic regression analysis was carried out among East Africans using recent demographic and health survey data. A total of 7,387 participants aged 15 to 49 years were included in this study from East African countries. Individual- and community-level variables were considered to determine the associated factors with help-seeking behaviors against intimate partner violence with 95% CI and AOR.

Results: The prevalence of help-seeking behavior against intimate partner violence among women was 38.07% with 95% CI (36.96%, 39.18%). Husbands drink alcohol [AOR = 1.46: 95% CI (1.33, 1.61)], women who have work [AOR = 1.33: 95% CI (1.19, 1.50)], and women with higher educational status [AOR = 1.36:

95% CI (1.16, 1.59)] were factors associated with help-seeking behavior against intimate partner violence.

Conclusion: Approximately four out of 10 women were seeking help for intimate partner violence in East Africa. Husbands drinking alcohol, women's high educational status, and women having occupations were the factors that were associated with help-seeking behaviors against intimate partner violence.

KEYWORDS

multilevel analysis, help seeking, behavior, intimate partner, violence

Introduction

Intimate partner violence (IPV) is a severe public health issue that includes physical and/or sexual abuse, stalking, and emotional hostility committed by a former or present intimate partner beyond cultural boundaries (1). The international society is beginning to acknowledge the physical abuse of women as a grave human rights violation. According to scholars, women in underdeveloped countries are more likely than women in developed countries to be physically abused by intimate partners (2, 3). Investigations show that between 15% and 71% of women who have ever been in a relationship have experienced physical or sexual abuse at the hands of an intimate partner (4). When faced with IPV, women may decide to seek assistance from both official and unauthorized sources. Traditional sources of support include non-governmental organizations, shelters, and other services connected to intimate partner violence as well as medical or legal professionals, clergy, and local leaders (5). In addition to IPV's significant global incidence, women who have experienced IPV report a low prevalence of helpseeking behavior (1, 6). Research demonstrates a distinct pattern of how women's behavior in seeking help is influenced by gender parity in a culture. Women in low-income nations with high levels of gender inequality and strict gender norms are less likely to ask for help than women in nations with higher levels of gender equality and more flexible gender roles (7, 8). Some women believe that the abuse is not serious enough to warrant seeking help, while others, mostly as a result of cultural norms, fail to identify IPV or accept it as the norm (9). Compared to women who are assaulted emotionally or sexually, those who experience physical abuse are more likely to seek assistance (10).

According to the WHO's multi-country research on intimate partners, 55%–95% of women who had experienced physical or sexual abuse never sought assistance from a formal institution (11). IPV is a significant public health issue in the United States, where 36% of women would, at some point in their lives, encounter rape, physical abuse, or stalking at the hands of an intimate partner (12). There has been a variation in the prevalence of intimate partner violence both nationally and locally, which evidences signify low levels of communal empowerment for women (13, 14). Furthermore, women who experienced violence both before and throughout their pregnancies reported experiencing higher levels of intensity and frequency of pregnancy-related violence (15). However, there is a huge burden of intimate partner violence in low- and middle-income countries, there are limited help-seeking behaviors, as shown in studies in Sub-Saharan African countries at 19.75% (16). The prevalence of IPV ranges from 26.7% to 39.3% in East Africa, and 15.6% to 19.0% of women said they have experienced all three types of IPV at the same time (17). The prevalence of IPV was also from 10.2% to 20.0% and 30% among women in Rwanda and Ethiopia, respectively (18, 19). The burden of IPV accounts for 22.9% to 48.4% in Burundi and 27% to 61% in Tanzania (20, 21). The potential negative effects of intimate partner violence on health extending beyond the physical trauma that leads to emotional and severe mental conditions are well acknowledged and understood (22). Survivors of intimate partner violence typically turn to their relatives and close companions for support, a tactic known as "help-seeking from the informal structures" (9). Although there is growing evidence of the risk factors for intimate partner violence in low-resource countries, the benefits of seeking help after experiencing violence in African countries have received little attention (23). Some factors were associated with help-seeking behaviors of survivors of IPV in different studies. Wealth index, mass media exposure, place of residence, education level, women's autonomy in household decision-making, partner's controlling behavior, current employment status, partner's education, and partner's alcohol use were associated with help-seeking survivors of intimate partner violence.

Examining the variables associated with IPV victims in East Africa help-seeking could help guide secondary prevention initiatives meant to increase IPV disclosure to official and unofficial sources. The prevalence of intimate partner violence in East Africa was 37.14%; no study shows their help-seeking (24). Despite the huge impact of intimate partner violence, the help-

Abbreviations: AIC, Akaike Information Criteria; AOR, adjusted odd ratio; DHS, demographic health data; CI, confidence interval; ICC, intra-class correlation; IPV, intimate partner violence; MOR, median odds ratio; PCV, proportional change in variance; WHO, World Health Organization.

seeking of the survivors was not studied well particularly in East Africa. Therefore, this study revealed help-seeking behaviors and determinant factors among women exposed to intimate partner violence in East Africa based on recent demographic and health survey (DHS) data.

Methods

Study area

A multilevel analysis was conducted using recent demographic health survey data of East African countries: Burundi (2,122), Ethiopia (3,142), Comoros (390), Malawi (10,249), Rwanda (4,601), Uganda (4,213), Zambia (4,160), and Zimbabwe (4,300). The wide range of objectives includes indicators of fertility, reproductive health, maternal and child health, mortality, nutrition, and self-reported health on self-reported data from East African countries' DHS. The datasets of the East African DHS included men, women, children, births, and households' data. The individual record dataset (IR file) was the data that was used for this study extracted from this survey. A total of 816 clusters were used in the equal probability selection procedure from the household health survey framework. The study participants including women from East African countries between the ages of 15 and 49 who had intimate partner violence served as the source population. A total of 7,387 weighted sample size of eight East African countries' DHS was determined for this secondary data analysis with women who had intimate partner violence, with 816 enumeration areas (EAs) or clusters. The detailed data can be accessed comprehensively by clicking on the official link (http://www.dhsprogram.com/) (25).

Variables of the study

Dependent variables

The outcome variable was the behavior related to seeking help for IPV. Only women who had ever experienced intimate partner violence were asked if they had ever sought assistance from anyone. The common sources for help were their own family, husband's/ intimate partner's family, friends, and chief/other national government administrative officers. The query to screen help sought was "sought help from someone" with a yes/no response. Participants who responded "yes" were recoded "1" and participants who responded "no" to the question were recoded as "0" (16, 26).

Independent variables

Independent variables were extracted from the East African countries' recent DHS, including household variables, wealth index, and reproductive-related variables. The extracted independent variables incorporated in this study were sex of the household head, age, distance from the health facility, number of children, current marital status, ethnicity, religion, education level of the respondent and partner, occupation of the respondent, the partner age difference between spouse, residence, and husband's/partner's alcohol use, which were used as individual-level variables. The community-level variables used for this study included place of residency (urban and rural), educational level (low and high), wealth index (low and high), and media exposure (low and high). The distribution of the proportion values that were computed for each community-level variable was examined using a histogram. Lastly, for dichotomously skewed and normally distributed variables, median and mean values were employed, respectively.

Data management and analysis

Stata version 14 was used for data extraction, coding, cleaning, and analysis. Among the descriptive data filled in a table and text were frequency and percentage. The non-proportionate allocation of the analysis and the sample's representativeness were done using sample weight with cluster. To maintain the hierarchical structure of the gathered data, a mixed multilevel analysis was done. To identify the associated variables to be included in the multivariable analysis with a *p*-value less than 0.25, a multilevel bi-variable logistic regression analysis was used to identify the statistically significantly linked variables with *p*-values less than 0.05. An adjusted odd ratio (AOR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) was then calculated.

The multivariable multilevel logistic regression study involved four models of analyses. No explanatory variables were used in the first model, sometimes referred to as the null model. In the second model, community-level variables were fitted only; in the third model, community-level variables were fitted; and in the fourth model, both individual- and community-level variables were fitted. The models were compared, and the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and deviance were used to assess each model's fitness; the model with the lowest score was deemed to be the best fit. The degree of heterogeneity among intimate relationship violence among the clusters was also assessed by intra-class correlation (ICC) = $\frac{VA}{VA+3.29} * 100$ %. The portion of each individual's reported intimate partner violence variance can be attributed to variations among clusters. To measure the variation in intimate partner violence among clusters, median odds ratio (MOR) = $e^{0.95\sqrt{VA}}$ was employed (27). The degree of homogeneity and the measurement of the odd ratio scale variation of intimate partner violence among the clusters were carried out. In the end, variables with a p-value less than 0.05 that were statistically significantly linked to intimate partner violence were found, and the AOR with 95% confidence interval was calculated. Variable inflation factor (VIF) was conducted to check for multicollinearity, and the result is less than 10, which indicates no multicollinearity in this study.

Results

Descriptive characteristics of respondents

A total of 7,387 study participants who had intimate partner violence and were between the ages of 15 and 49 were included in this secondary data analysis of East African DHS. Among the study

participants, 24.34% were between the ages of 25 and 29, and 52.55% were women who had completed primary education. Among women who had intimate partner violence, 59.88% were Christians. Among the study participants, 75.21% had occupations, and 80.05% had partners with occupations. Among the participants, 62.46% had a problem with health facility distance, and 46.01% had partners who had drunk alcohol. Among the study participants, 71.41% were from rural areas (Table 1).

Prevalence of help-seeking behaviors among IPV

The prevalence of help-seeking behavior against violence among women who had intimate partner violence was 38.07 with 95% CI (36.96%, 39.18%).

Model fitness and statistical analysis

Not seeking help against violence within the cluster was associated with 2.53 of the respondents' changes in the ICC of the null model (model I). The MOR of intimate partner violence in the null model was 2.53, indicating that there was variation between the clusters. The odds of individuals experiencing intimate partner violence were 1.73 times higher in the cluster with a higher risk of these disorders than in the cluster with a lower risk, assuming that a single participant was randomly picked from each of the two clusters. Model IV was the well-fitting model for this investigation since it had the lowest AIC and deviation value (Table 2).

Associated factors of helpseeking behavior

In the multilevel bi-variable analysis, factors associated with helpseeking behavior were the age of the respondent, women's education, partner's education, partner's alcohol use, women's occupation, partner's occupation, and wealth index at the individual level with a p-value less than 0.25. In the multilevel multivariable analysis, partner's alcohol use, higher educational level of women, and women who have occupations were significantly associated with help-seeking behavior for their violence with a p-value less than 0.05. The odds of having helpseeking behavior for their violence among study participants who have husbands who drink alcohol was 1.46 times higher compared with the study participants whose husbands did not drink alcohol [AOR = 1.46: 95% CI (1.33, 1.61)]. The odds of seeking help for their violence were 1.33 times higher among women who have work than other subjects who have no work [AOR = 1.33: 95% CI (1.19, 1.50)]. Study participants who have higher educational status were 1.36 times higher compared with study participants who have no education [AOR = 1.36: 95% CI (1.16, 1.59)] (Table 2).

TABLE 1 Descriptive characteristics of East African countries' study participants for help-seeking behavior against IPV (N = 7,387).

| | _ | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Variables | Category | Weighted | Percentage |
| | | frequency (<i>n</i> = 17.7) | (%) |
| | | (7 = 17.7) | |
| Age | 15-24 | 1,782 | 24.12 |
| | 25-29 | 1,798 | 24.34 |
| | 30-34 | 1,682 | 22.77 |
| | 35-39 | 1,119 | 15.15 |
| | 40-44 | 704 | 9.53 |
| | 45-49 | 302 | 4.09 |
| Mother's | No education | 1,022 | 13.84 |
| education | Primary | 3,882 | 52.55 |
| | Secondary | 2,216 | 30.00 |
| | Higher | 267 | 3.61 |
| Partner's | No education | 1,744 | 23.61 |
| educational level | Primary | 2,832 | 38.34 |
| | Secondary | 2,258 | 30.57 |
| | Higher | 553 | 7.49 |
| | 01.1.1 | 4.422 | 50.00 |
| Religion | Christian | 4,423 | 59.88 8 37 |
| | Muslim Other religions ^a | 618 2,346 | 8.37 31.76 |
| | Sulei religiolis | 2,340 | 51./0 |
| Partner's | Not working | 1,474 | 19.95 |
| occupation | Working | 5,913 | 80.05 |
| Mother's | Not working | 1 0 2 1 | 24.79 |
| occupation | Not working Working | 1,831 5,556 | 75.21 |
| occupation | working | 5,550 | 7.5.21 |
| Partner's | Yes | 3,399 | 46.01 |
| alcohol use | No | 3,988 | 53.99 |
| Distance of | Yes | 2,773 | 37.54 |
| health facility | No | 4,614 | 62.46 |
| incurtif fucility | 110 | 1,011 | 02.10 |
| Media exposure | Yes | 4,992 | 67.58 |
| | No | 2,395 | 32.42 |
| Economic status | Poorest | 1,465 | 19.83 |
| Leononne status | Poorer | 1,539 | 20.83 |
| | Middle | 1,405 | 19.02 |
| | Richer | 1,561 | 21.13 |
| | Richest | 1,417 | 19.18 |
| Number | 0 | 1 244 | 16.84 |
| of children | 0 | 1,244 5,730 | 77.57 |
| or chinarchi | 3-4 | 401 | 5.43 |
| | 5 | 12 | 0.16 |
| | | | |
| Current | Married | 6,321 | 85.57 |
| marital status | Separated | 203 | 2.75 |
| | Widowed/ divorced | 863 | 11.68 |
| | aivoiceu | | |
| Community-level | High | 392 | 48.04 |
| wealth index | Low | 424 | 51.96 |
| Community lavel | High | 485 | 59.44 |
| Community-level media exposure | Low | 485 331 | 40.56 |
| inculu exposure | 2011 | | 10.00 |
| Community- | High | 423 | 51.84 |
| level education | Low | 393 | 48.16 |
| Residence | Urban | 2 112 | 28 59 |
| Residence | Rural | 2,112 5,275 | 28.59 71.41 |
| | ixuiai | 5,475 | , 1.71 |

^aOther religions include Orthodox and atheist.

TABLE 2 Multilevel multivariable logistic regression analysis of East African countries' DHS (n = 7,387).

| Variable | Null model | Model I | Model II | Model III | | | |
|--|---------------------|--|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 | | $1 \\ 0.99 (0.64, 1.55) \\ 0.94 (0.61, 1.47) \\ 1.42 (0.85, 2.34) \\ 1.40 (0.79, 2.49) \\ 0.93 (0.41, 2.08)$ | | 1 1.06 (0.92, 1.22) 1.11 (0.96, 1.28) 1.09 (0.93, 1.28) 1.25 (0.13, 1.50) 1.17 (0.91, 1.52) | | | |
| Wealth index | | | | | | | |
| Poorest Poorer Medium Richer Richest | | 1 0.95 (0.58, 1.54) 0.63 (0.37, 1.06) 0.95 (0.26, 1.78) 0.75 (0.40, 1.40) | | 1 1.17 (0.96, 1.41) 1.03 (0.85, 1.24) 1.04 (0.86,1.24) 0.95 (0.81, 1.12) | | | |
| Partner's education | | | | | | | |
| No education Primary Secondary Higher | | 1 1.33 (0.80, 2.23) 1.42 (0.82, 2.47) 0.77 (0.36, 1.65) | | 1 1.06 (0.91, 1.24) 1.05 (0.89, 1.25) 0.87 (0.68, 1.11) | | | |
| Partner's alcohol use | | | | | | | |
| Yes No | | 1.71 (1.25, 2.33) 1 | | 1.46 (1.33, 1.61) 1 | | | |
| Mother's occupation | Mother's occupation | | | | | | |
| Working Not working | | 1.38 (0.96, 1.98) 1 | | 1.33 (1.19, 1.50) 1 | | | |
| Mother's education | 1 | | 1 | | | | |
| No education Primary Secondary Higher | | 1 0.53 (0.32, 0.89) 0.75 (0.52, 1.09) 1.48 (0.90, 2.44) | | 1 0.87 (0.66, 1. 89) 0.95 (0.84, 1.07) 1.36 (1.16, 1.59) | | | |
| Partner's occupation Working Not working | | 0.52 (0.21, 1.27) 1 | | 0.99 (0.74, 1.33) 1 | | | |
| Community-level variable | 25 | | | | | | |
| Residence Urban Rural | | | 1 1.04 (0.77, 1.39) | 1 1.53 (0.44, 1.12) | | | |
| Media exposure | | | | | | | |
| High Low | | | 1 1.12 (0.81,41.55) | 1 0.88 (0.63,1.23) | | | |
| Education | | | · | | | | |
| High Low | | | 1 1.01 (0.75, 1.37) | 1 0.99 (0.72, 1.35) | | | |
| Economic status | | · | | | | | |
| High Low | | | 1 0.88 (0.64, 1.21) | 1 1.10 (0.79, 1.53) | | | |
| Likelihood ratio | -4,907.5802 | -4,832.5424 | -553.83734 | -508.07381 | | | |
| ICC | 0.00478 | 0.00812 | 0.00678 | 0.0076 | | | |
| Deviance | 9,815.1603 | 9,665.0848 | 1,066.9109 | 1,016.1476 | | | |
| AIC | 9,819.16 | 9,705.106 | 1,076.911 | 1,062.148 (Continued) | | | |

(Continued)

TABLE 2 Continued

| Variable | Null model | Model I | Model II | Model III | | | |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Economic status | | | | | | | |
| BIC | 9,832.975 | 9,843.255 | 1,100.433 | 1,170.349 | | | |
| MOR | 2.53 | | | | | | |

ICC, intra-class correlation; MOR, median odds ratio; AIC, Akaike Information Criterion; BIC, Bayesian Information Criteria.

Discussion

The main purpose of the study was to look into communityand individual-level variables of help-seeking behavior against intimate partner violence among women aged 15 to 49. The prevalence of help-seeking behavior against violence among women who had intimate partner violence was 38.07% with 95% CI (36.96%, 39.18%). This finding is in line with other studies conducted in Sub-Saharan African countries at 38.77% (16).

This finding is lower than other studies conducted in India at 48.8% (28). The possible reason for this discrepancy might be due to the difference in sample size; the Indian study had a small sample, but this study combined data from different countries with a high sample size (28). In other words, this finding is higher than other studies conducted in Afghanistan at 20% (23) and in developing nations at 34.88% (26). The probable evidence for this discrepancy could be the effect of low-level intimate partner violence, which implies that study participants who sought help were lower in Afghan than in East African countries (23).

Related to factors, husband drinking alcohol is one of the associated factors with help-seeking behaviors. This association is consistent with other studies conducted in Ghana (29), Ethiopia (30), and Africa (31). This association could be because of the effect of consuming alcohol; the user may act violently and make other people less willing to discuss a peaceful solution to the conflict in the relationship, though women sought help against violence (31). Moreover, binge drinking can cause other household pressures like financial hardships, children issues, and marital challenges, which enable women to seek help to solve those problems against violence (29). This demonstrated the way education might encourage female opinions that promote victim safety and personal relevance to make the correct decisions by helping them grasp what is correct about IPV (30).

The other factor which was associated with help-seeking behavior was women's higher educational status. This association is in concordance with other studies conducted in Ethiopia (32), India (33), and Sub-Saharan Africa (34). The probable reason for this association could be the effect of lack of knowledge of mitigating factors, restricted access to legal counsel, and a rise in the acceptance of detrimental conventional views for women (32). Furthermore, there is an opportunity that it is connected with other elements like power to make decisions and resources (35). People can learn about the ethical foundations of society through education, which can also expose them to international debates that oppose IPV and promote help-seeking behavior (34). According to health behavior theory, education is a powerful tool for changing behavior through raising awareness and knowledge (36). This may be because better-educated women in Africa are expected to follow the social norms, which may cause them to not keep quiet about IPV. Additionally, educated women receiving violence are expected to pass the challenges including mental or psychological barriers like shame and anxiety (33). This could be a result of literate women having greater access to knowledge and information, which may modify and influence women's views and help them understand what is and is not acceptable.

Another factor associated with help-seeking behavior against intimate partner violence was women who had an occupation. This association is in line with other studies conducted in Ethiopia (30) and Niger (37). The possible reason for this association might be the effect of having their financial support to process the help sought (30). Women's perspectives about equality in a relationship, as opposed to allowing violence, may be influenced by empowerment, which may also help them feel more confident in their ability to defend what is acceptable to them (37). The other possible reason might be the effect of having an occupation that creates a feeling of independence to protect their human right against intimate partner violence.

All women are supposed to seek help for intimate partner violence practically. To practically lessen or remove obstacles to help-seeking, this research indicates their behavior to mitigate the negative effects of intimate partner violence on the quality of life and health outcomes of abused women. The fact that many of these women were unable to defend against their abusive situations, this study will motivate them to ask for their rights. This study also provides an entry for policymakers and women's rights protection organizations to enhance help-seeking behavior to mitigate the burden of intimate partner violence.

Limitations of the study

Even though this study had many strong sides, it has its weaknesses. One of the limitations of this study is that the causeand-effect relationship of factors with outcome variables was not provided due to the cross-sectional nature of this study. The other limitation could be the social desirability of the study participants to report their partners' violence.

Conclusion and recommendation

Nearly four out of 10 participants were seeking help for intimate partner violence in East Africa. Husbands drinking alcohol, women's high educational status, and women having occupations were the factors that were associated with help-seeking behaviors against intimate partner violence. Enhancing the level of education and women's employment in different jobs is crucial for them to raise a response of help-seeking for IPV. This finding can be used as a clue for policymakers and other stakeholders who need to raise the behavior of help-seeking. Future researchers are recommended to conduct advanced methods to predict the exact barriers of helpseeking behavior.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

Ethics statement

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study of human participants because the authors used secondary data without direct contact with the study participants. Written informed consent from the participants was not required to participate in this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

MM: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. AA: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. YN: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. MB: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. ZA: Writing – review & editing,

References

1. Krug EG, Mercy JA, Dahlberg LL, Zwi AB. The world report on violence and health. *Lancet.* (2002) 360:1083–8. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)11133-0

2. WHO. WHO Country Cooperation Strategy 2018-2021: Liberia. (2019).

3. McCown M. Analysis of Intimate Partner Violence Prevention: A Self-Reflection and Psychoeducational Campaign: Southern Connecticut State University. (2021).

4. Bamiwuye SO, Odimegwu C. Spousal violence in sub-Saharan Africa: does household poverty-wealth matter? *Reprod Health*. (2014) 11:1–10. doi: 10.1186/1742-4755-11-45

5. Ansara DL, Hindin MJ. Formal and informal help-seeking associated with women's and men's experiences of intimate partner violence in Canada. *Soc Sci Med.* (2010) 70:1011–8. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2009.12.009

6. García-Moreno C, Pallitto C, Devries K, Stöckl H, Watts C, Abrahams N. Global and regional estimates of violence against women: prevalence and health effects of intimate partner violence and non-partner sexual violence: World Health Organization. (2013).

7. Coker AL, Smith PH, Thompson MP, McKeown RE, Bethea L, Davis KE. Social support protects against the negative effects of partner violence on mental health. J Women's Health Gender-based Med. (2002) 11:465-76. doi: 10.1089/15246090260137644

8. Dessalegn M, Ayele M, Hailu Y, Addisu G, Abebe S, Solomon H, et al. Gender inequality and the sexual and reproductive health status of young and older women in the Afar Region of Ethiopia. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:4592. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17124592

9. Lelaurain S, Graziani P, Monaco GL. Intimate partner violence and help-seeking. *Eur Psychol.* (2017) 22:4. doi: 10.1027/1016-9040/a000304 Writing – original draft. HA: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. BS: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. BF: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the MEASUR DHS that allowed us to access the dataset.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

10. Anyemedu A, Tenkorang EY, Dold P. Ghanaian women's knowledge and perceptions of services available to victims of intimate partner violence. *J Interpersonal Violence*. (2020) 35:682–706. doi: 10.1177/0886260517689886

11. Garcia-Moreno C, Jansen HA, Ellsberg M, Heise L, Watts CH. Prevalence of intimate partner violence: findings from the WHO multi-country study on women's health and domestic violence. *Lancet.* (2006) 368:1260–9. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(06) 69523-8

12. Black MC, Basile KC, Breiding MJ, Smith SG, Walters ML, Merrick MT, et al. *National intimate partner and sexual violence survey* Vol. 75. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2011).

13. Fontes KB, Alarcão ACJ, Nihei OK, Pelloso SM, Andrade L, de Barros Carvalho MD. Regional disparities in the intimate partner sexual violence rate against women in Paraná State, Brazil, 2009-2014: an ecological study. *BMJ Open*. (2018) 8. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018437

 Gracia E, López-Quílez A, Marco M, Lladosa S, Lila M. The spatial epidemiology of intimate partner violence: do neighborhoods matter? *Am J Epidemiol.* (2015) 182:58–66. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwv016

15. Helton AS, Snodgrass FG. Battering during pregnancy: Intervention strategies. *Birth.* (1987) 14:142–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1523-536X.1987.tb01476.x

16. Aboagye RG, Seidu A-A, Cadri A, Salihu T, Arthur-Holmes F, Sam ST, et al. Ending violence against women: Help-seeking behaviour of women exposed to intimate partner violence in sub-Saharan Africa. *PloS One*. (2023) 18:e0291913. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0291913

17. Kiwuwa-Muyingo S, Kadengye DT. Prevalence and risk factors for women's reports of past-year intimate partner violence: A comparative analysis of six east

African National Surveys. J Interpersonal Violence. (2022) 37:NP7605-NP31. doi: 10.1177/0886260520969374

18. Rurangirwa AA, Mogren I, Ntaganira J, Krantz G. Intimate partner violence among pregnant women in Rwanda, its associated risk factors and relationship to ANC services attendance: a population-based study. *BMJ Open*. (2017) 7:e013155. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013155

19. Chernet AG, Cherie KT. Prevalence of intimate partner violence against women and associated factors in Ethiopia. *BMC Women's Health*. (2020) 20:22. doi: 10.1186/s12905-020-0892-1

20. Nzokirishaka A, Palamuleni M. Prevalence and determinants of intimate partner violence against women in Burundi: Evidence from 2016-17 dsurveyshealth survey. *J Gynecol Reprod Med.* (2023) 7:91–104.

21. Kapiga S, Harvey S, Muhammad AK, Stöckl H, Mshana G, Hashim R, et al. Prevalence of intimate partner violence and abuse and associated factors among women enrolled into a cluster randomised trial in northwestern Tanzania. *BMC Public Health.* (2017) 17:1–11. doi: 10.1186/s12889-017-4119-9

22. Dillon G, Hussain R, Loxton D, Rahman S. Mental and physical health and intimate partner violence against women: A review of the literature. *Int J Family Med.* (2013) 2013:313909. doi: 10.1155/2013/313909

23. Metheny N, Stephenson R. Help seeking behavior among women who report intimate partner violence in Afghanistan: an analysis of the 2015 Afghanistan demographic and health survey. *J Family Violence*. (2019) 34:69–79. doi: 10.1007/s10896-018-0024-y

24. Asmamaw DB, Negash WD, Bitew DA, Belachew TB. Multilevel analysis of intimate partner violence and associated factors among pregnant women in East Africa: Evidence from recent (2012–2018) demographic and health surveys. *Arch Public Health*. (2023) 81:67. doi: 10.1186/s13690-023-01065-8

25. Magadi M, Desta M. A multilevel analysis of the determinants and crossnational variations of HIV seropositivity in sub-Saharan Africa: evidence from the DHS. *Health Place*. (2011) 17:1067–83. doi: 10.1016/j.healthplace.2011.06.004

26. Goodson A, Hayes BE. Help-seeking behaviors of intimate partner violence victims: A cross-national analysis in developing nations. *J Interpersonal Violence*. (2021) 36:NP4705–NP27. doi: 10.1177/0886260518794508

27. Austin PC, Merlo J. Intermediate and advanced topics in multilevel logistic regression analysis. *Stat Med.* (2017) 36:3257–77. doi: 10.1002/sim.v36.20

28. Leonardsson M, San Sebastian M. Prevalence and predictors of help-seeking for women exposed to spousal violence in India-a cross-sectional study. *BMC Women's Health*. (2017) 17:1-15. doi: 10.1186/s12905-017-0453-4

29. Ogum Alangea D, Addo-Lartey AA, Sikweyiya Y, Chirwa ED, Coker-Appiah D, Jewkes R, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of intimate partner violence among women in four districts of the central region of Ghana: Baseline findings from a cluster randomised controlled trial. *PloS One.* (2018) 13:e0200874. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0200874

30. Atomssa EM, Medhanyie AA, Fisseha G. Individual and community-level risk factors of women's acceptance of intimate partner violence in Ethiopia: multilevel analysis of 2011 Ethiopian Demographic Health Survey. *BMC Women's Health.* (2021) 21:1–14. doi: 10.1186/s12905-021-01427-w

31. Kebede SA, Weldesenbet AB, Tusa BS. Magnitude and determinants of intimate partner violence against women in East Africa: multilevel analysis of recent demographic and health survey. *BMC Women's Health.* (2022) 22:74. doi: 10.1186/ s12905-022-01656-7

32. Muluneh MD, Alemu YW, Meazaw MW. Geographic variation and determinants of help seeking behaviour among married women subjected to intimate partner violence: evidence from national population survey. *Int J Equity Health.* (2021) 20:1–14. doi: 10.1186/s12939-020-01355-5

33. Coast E, Leone T, Malviya A. Gender-based violence and reproductive health in five Indian states. *Gender-based Violence Public Health: Routledge.* (2013) p:163–83. doi: 10.4324/9780203077344

34. Muluneh MD, Stulz V, Francis L, Agho K. Gender based violence against women in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cross-sectional studies. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2020) 17:903. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17030903

35. Uthman OA, Lawoko S, Moradi T. Factors associated with attitudes towards intimate partner violence against women: a comparative analysis of 17 sub-Saharan countries. *BMC Int Health Hum Rights*. (2009) 9:1–15. doi: 10.1186/1472-698X-9-14

36. Beyene AS, Chojenta C, Roba HS, Melka AS, Loxton D. Gender-based violence among female youths in educational institutions of Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Systematic Rev.* (2019) 8:1–14. doi: 10.1186/s13643-019-0969-9

37. Antai D, Antai J. Collective violence and attitudes of women toward intimate partner violence: Evidence from the Niger Delta. *BMC Int Health Hum Rights*. (2009) 9:1–10. doi: 10.1186/1472-698X-9-12