Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Shaheen E. Lakhan, Click Therapeutics, Inc., United States

REVIEWED BY Michael Sobolev, Cornell Tech, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Michele Ferrante Michele.ferrante@nih.gov

RECEIVED 16 May 2024 ACCEPTED 19 August 2024 PUBLISHED 10 September 2024

CITATION

Ferrante M, Esposito LE and Stoeckel LE (2024) From palm to practice: prescription digital therapeutics for mental and brain health at the National Institutes of Health. *Front. Psychiatry* 15:1433438. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2024.1433438

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Ferrante, Esposito and Stoeckel. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

From palm to practice: prescription digital therapeutics for mental and brain health at the National Institutes of Health

Michele Ferrante^{1*}, Layla E. Esposito² and Luke E. Stoeckel³

¹Division of Translational Research, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD, United States, ²Division of Behavioral and Social Research, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Bethesda, MD, United States, ³Division of Extramural Research, National Institute on Aging, Bethesda, MD, United States

Prescription Digital Therapeutics (PDTs) are emerging as promising tools for treating and managing mental and brain health conditions within the context of daily life. This commentary distinguishes PDTs from other Software as Medical Devices (SaMD) and explores their integration into mental and brain health treatments. We focus on research programs and support from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), discussing PDT research supported by the NIH's National Institute on Child Health and Development (NICHD), National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), and National Institute on Aging (NIA). We present a hierarchical natural language processing topic analysis of NIH-funded digital therapeutics research projects. We delineate the PDT landscape across different mental and brain health disorders while highlighting opportunities and challenges. Additionally, we discuss the research foundation for PDTs, the unique therapeutic approaches they employ, and potential strategies to improve their validity, reliability, safety, and effectiveness. Finally, we address the research and collaborations necessary to propel the field forward, ultimately enhancing patient care through innovative digital health solutions.

KEYWORDS

prescription digital therapeutics (PDT), software as a medical device (SaMD), digital mental health assessment and interventions, aging adults, youth & adolescence, digital psychiatry, computational psychiatry, Digital Health Technologies (DHTs)

Introduction

Digital Health Technologies (DHTs), such as mobile apps, telemedicine, and wearables, are transforming healthcare delivery and management. Software as a Medical Device (SaMD) (1) is a subset of DHTs used for medical purposes like diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. Software in a Medical Device (SiMD), on the other hand, is software embedded within a physical medical device, powering its mechanics, or processing its information

(e.g., software for neuromodulation, automatic drug delivery, and brain imaging). SaMDs are also distinct from wellness applications that promote a healthy lifestyle (e.g., physical and cognitive activity, sleep, mindfulness-based stress reduction), but this distinction can sometimes be unclear (1).

SaMD includes prescription digital therapeutics (PDTs), clinical decision support, companion diagnostics, computer-assisted detection/diagnostics, remote monitoring, and multifunctional devices (Figure 1A). In the United States, SaMD has distinct regulatory pathways to market based on factors like risk level (Figure 1B) and intended purpose (2). PDTs are a U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-regulated SaMD for treating patients that are altering the landscape of behavioral health diagnostics and care (3, 4).

PDTs share aspects with pharmacotherapies, including development cycles, clinical trials, and regulatory review (3–5). PDTs can be used alone or with other treatments to optimize therapeutic interventions across demographics, conditions, and contexts. PDTs may offer advantages over pharmacotherapy (e.g., real-time testing and intervention, closed-loop or adaptative designs for optimization, tailoring personalized interventions, flexible regulatory authorizations, reduced time to market, and benign side effect profiles) making them promising mental and brain health intervention tools (3).

There are also challenges developing and evaluating rigorous, evidence-based, high-quality PDTs (6), including, but not limited to, addressing usability and accessibility barriers (7) and involving communities and populations that use these tools in the co-development process (8). There is also a need to develop technical and medical standards (9) and regulatory science tools (10) for mental and brain health PDTs to ensure safety, efficacy, and widespread adoption of mental and brain health PDTs by providing a structured framework that supports innovation while maintaining high standards of care and patient safety (11).

The role of the National Institutes of Health (NIH)

The NIH supports research on DHTs in mental and brain health (12). The Science of Behavior Change (SOBC) program advances behavioral intervention development and transparency (13–16) by strengthening rigor and advancing a mechanistic framework for behavior change research at every stage of intervention development. The NIH Stage Model (17) offers guidance on best practices for generating, testing, and implementing effective mechanisms-focused behavioral interventions that can be delivered in real-world settings for regulatory agency consideration (18). This includes PDTs as supplementary or alternative tools for treatment, accessible beyond traditional healthcare settings (18).

For instance, the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) supported the Center for Technology and Behavioral Health (CTBH) (19), focusing on substance use and co-occurring disorders. CTBH's interdisciplinary work spans treatment

development, evaluation, emerging technologies, data analytics, dissemination, and implementation, leveraging a diverse team with expertise in various fields.

Foundational work supported by NIH, including programs like SOBC and CTBH, led to the first FDA authorizations for PDTs, namely reSET[®] and reSET-O[®] (Pear Therapeutics) (20). However, the Institute for Clinical and Economic Review (ICER) expressed concerns about the quality of evidence supporting DHTs like reSET-O[®], citing potential health, financial, and moral risks (21).

Pear Therapeutics, the company behind reSET[®] and reSET-O[®], filed for bankruptcy after failing to secure broad insurance coverage for their products (22). It is suggested that additional, rigorous studies and trials, including real-world evidence, may be necessary to demonstrate that PDTs will save costs in the long term.

Figure 2A shows examples of PDTs intended for various conditions. For instance, Rejoyn (23) is authorized for adult depression and includes cognitive training, specifically the Emotional Faces Memory Task (EFMT), an adaptive emotional working memory task. While Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) is well-established, EFMT is less so (24). This underscores the need for external validation to determine if cognitive training improvements impact daily activities, quality of life, and real-world functional outcomes (25, 26). On this note, Figure 2B presents eight potential strategies to improve safety and effectiveness in PDTs.

The National Institute of Child Health and Development (NICHD)

NICHD funds research under the Children and Media Research Advancement Act (CAMRA), signed into law in 2022 (27), authorizing NIH to study the effects of technology and digital media on youth (28). This is crucial for PDT development, with research investments growing from \$3.4 million in 2020 to an estimated \$16.8 million in 2023.

Young individuals are often considered "digital natives" (29) due to their ubiquitous access to technology (e.g., computers, internet, smartphones) integrated into their daily lives for education, communication, and entertainment since birth. Device interaction frequently involves multitasking and switching between multiple digital devices and platforms, such as smartphones and computers, often simultaneously (29).

In education, digital technology is often a core feature, including retrieving information from the Internet and personalized, visual, and interactive forms of engagement and instruction. Social interactions often occur online through social media, messaging apps, and multiplayer games, marking a significant shift from previous generations' behavioral norms and cognitive processes. Social media has emerged as a cost-effective tool to enhance patient engagement and recruitment for trials, but it also raises ethical, legal, and social implications (12, 30).

For mental health, we need more effective, evidence-based PDTs to help youth with conditions like anxiety, depression, ADHD, disruptive behavior disorders, and eating disorders.





FIGURE 1

(A) Top, Software as Medical Device functions may have different medical purposes (e.g., diagnose, treat, and prevent). (B) PDTs: Pathways to Market a Risk-based Approach. Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, section 513, established the risk-based device classification system for medical devices that also applies to PDTs. Each device is assigned to one of three regulatory classes: Class I (top, green), Class II (middle, orange), or Class III (bottom, red), based on the level of control necessary to provide reasonable assurance of its safety and effectiveness. There are several distinct pathways to market for PDTs such as IDEs, PMAs, 510(k), *De Novo*, and breakthrough devices. PDTs require clinical evidence, regulatory oversight, prescription, and FDA clearance to ensure safety and efficacy. (C) Unofficial Data: Hierarchical topic clusters were identified through natural language processing analyses of all NIH active grants in digital therapeutics. A total of 138 grants were classified as digital therapeutics if they contained the terms "digital therapeutics", "digital health intervention", "digital intervention", "behavioral health software", or "software-based therapeutics" in their titles, specific aims, or summaries.

A PDT [C	company	Intended Use Indicated to provide vibrotactile feedback on an Apple Watch based on an analysis of heart rate an
NightWare [NightWare, Inc]		motion during sleep for the temporary reduction of sleep disturbance related to nightmares in adults 22 years or older who suffer from nightmare disorder or have nightmares from PTSD . Status: FDA cleared.
EndeavorRx [Akili Interactive]		Indicated to improve attention as measured by computer-based testing in children ages 8-12 year: old with primarily inattentive or combined-type ADHD, who have a demonstrated an attention issue. Akili Interactive, also develops apps and games which treat autism spectrum disorder, majo depressive disorder, and ICU delirium. Status: FDA cleared.
Freespira		An adjunctive treatment for symptoms associated with panic disorder and/or PTSD , used under th
[Freespira, Inc] Somryst [Pear Therapeutics, Inc]		direction of a healthcare professional, together with other interventions. Status: FDA cleared. Provide a neurobehavioral intervention CBT in patients older than 22 years old with chronic insomnia . Status: FDA cleared.
Rejoyn [Otsuka Pharmaceutica and Click Therapeutics]		It's a six-week treatment program designed to help enhance cognitive control of emotion through combination of clinically-validated cognitive emotional training exercises for the brain and brief therapeutic lessons. It is intended as an adjunctive treatment for adult patients with MDD aged 22 years and older who are on antidepressant medication. Status: FDA cleared.
MamaLift Plus [Curio]		An eight-week PDT for the treatment of mild to moderate postpartum depression in patients age 22 and older. It delivers digital CBT, Behavioral Activation Therapy, Interpersonal Therapy, and Dialectical Behavior Therapy. Status: FDA cleared.
Ensemble [Happify Health]		Intended to trans-diagnostically treat MDD and GAD in adults. Status: FDA cleared.
CT-155 [Click Therapeutics & Boehringer Ingelheim]		Designed to help schizophrenia adult patients achieve positive clinical outcomes by targeting the negative symptoms of schizophrenia . Status: It received FDA breakthrough device designation.
Pear-004 [Pear Therapeutics & Novartis]		Investigational PDT, improves the symptoms of an acute psychotic exacerbation of schizophrenia when is added to standard treatments i.e., atypical anti-psychotic medications. Status: In development.
SparkRX [Limbix]		Intended to provide a neurobehavioral intervention (CBT – behavioral activation) in patients 13 to 22 years of age as adjunct treatment for symptoms of depression. Status: Launched under the FD. Enforcement Policy for Digital Health Devices Treating Psychiatric Disorders During the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency.
Pear-006 [Pear Therapeutics & Novartis]		To deliver CBT and other neurobehavioral approaches to ease depression in people with MS in combination with standard of care. Status: In development.
Deprexis [Gaia Therapeutics]		Adjunctive treatment for chronic or recurrent depressive disorders as a primary or secondary diagnosis for patients 18 years or older . Status: Not FDA-cleared but has CE marking in Europe for treatment of depression.
WB001 [Woebot Health]		An Agent-Guided CBT program for the treatment of women with Post Partum Depression . Status: not FDA-cleared but has CE marking in Europe for treatment of depression.
В	DDTc poco	Strategies to Reduce Risks and Improve Safety, Efficacy, or Both in PDTs sitate robust data privacy and security measures, including informed consent, strong encryption
Security	protocols, possible, se	and minimal data collection, due to the sensitive health data they may collect and store. When not minimal data collection, due to the sensitive health data they may collect and store. When nsitive data should be processed on the local device (edge-computing) rather than be sent to vers (cloud computing).
Regulate	To ensure	he safety and quality PDTs, they must comply with harmonized regulatory frameworks, including n as SaMD, testing and approval processes, data collection and privacy guidelines, and all relevant
Educate	Healthcare	providers need education on the benefits, risks, integration, and monitoring of PDTs to make atient recommendations.
Patient	Personalize ensuring a benefits ac	d PDTs should be designed considering biological mechanisms, user needs and preferences, cessibility and intuitive, engaging interfaces to promote adherence and maximize therapeutic ross diverse abilities, cultural backgrounds, and digital literacy levels.
Algorithm individual n elements, re		d be based on established therapeutic principles, proven through clinical trials, personalized to eeds and preferences, dynamically adjusted using real-time data, and incorporate gamified ewards, and social support to optimize engagement and adherence.
Engage (videos, tex progress an for continue		-friendly interfaces that cater to diverse digital literacy levels by offering varied content formats t, interactive exercises) and delivery methods (mobile apps, web platforms). Provide feedback on d achievements to motivate users and seamlessly integrate PDTs with traditional healthcare system ous support.
A/B testingOptimizefor adaptive		tracking an optimization of user engagement and outcomes for personalized interventions, using for iterative improvement, conducting long-term studies to assess the efficacy of PDTs, and aiming a solutions for precision mental health. PDTs should continuously evolve through user feedback, updates to content and algorithms, and post-market surveillance to ensure improvement in safety icacy.
Support policies for		peer support networks and educational resources, advocating for fair reimbursement models and wider PDTs access, and educating healthcare providers on the optimal use of PDTs in conjunction onal care.

Building evidence for technology use to address unmet mental health needs and identify and mitigate harms is critical. Userfriendly interfaces, gamification, and virtual reality (VR) could make patients more engaged. PDTs enabled by AI/ML could help reduce or amplify disparities (31) in mental and behavioral health care. They could enable remote personalization, monitoring, and feedback, allowing healthcare providers to track progress and adjust

(12). They could also tailor interventions to individual needs, tracking real-time progress and feedback by healthcare providers while overcoming the limitations of self-reporting (32). PDTs could also help capture contextual and environmental data influencing children's health outcomes (33-35).

Additionally, accounting for differences in DHTs literacy among children and caregivers, cultural and linguistic appropriateness, and clear data privacy information and safeguards is crucial. User-friendly interfaces with gamification, interactivity, or VR devices could boost youth engagement and lower treatment resistance.

Given the sparing use of psychotropic medications in pediatric populations due to potential side effects, time, cost, and accessibility issues, PDTs could serve as a logical solution, addressing these challenges by providing evidence-based treatments for mental and brain health conditions (5) in youth, including those with intellectual and developmental disabilities such as Down syndrome (36, 37).

Looking to the future, NIH has encouraged research on the impact of technology, digital, and social media use on children and adolescents' development and mental health (38, 39). NICHD and NIMH held a workshop on these topics (40). NICHD is building a research agenda to better assess the effects of technology and digital media on the developmental trajectories and mental health outcomes of infants, children, and adolescents.

The National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH)

NIMH focuses on applying computational solutions transdiagnostically and to a wide range of mental health conditions, including schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, depression, anxiety disorders, autism spectrum disorders, eating disorders, PTSD, OCD, ADHD, borderline personality disorder, panic disorder, social anxiety disorder, and generalized anxiety disorder.

NIMH also aims to develop computational solutions to address mental health issues in children, families, minority groups, and elders. By supporting innovative research and funding studies on digital interventions, NIMH aims to identify evidence-based solutions that can be widely implemented to improve mental health outcomes in diverse populations. DHTs, such as telehealth services and PDTs, offer the potential to reach individuals regardless of their location, providing personalized and effective care. While acknowledging the challenges related to privacy, data security, and rigorous evaluation, NIMH is committed to addressing these issues to maximize the potential of PDTs. Ultimately, NIMH sees digital mental health as a key component of modern mental health care.

For this reason, NIMH has adopted a comprehensive strategy to advance research in DHTs and PDTs, identifying both the opportunities and challenges implicit in this evolving field (41, 42). These initiatives laid the groundwork for several significant efforts aimed at using computational methods to improve mental health care.

A prominent NIMH's initiative includes the development of personalized tools specifically designed for treatment assignment in depression (43) with suicide being an area of high priority. Furthermore, the IMPACT-MH projects utilize computationallyinformed behavioral phenotypes, enhancing our ability to predict individual outcomes in various mental disorders (44). NIMH encourages the use of computational phenotyping and longitudinal dynamics to inform clinical decision-making in psychiatry (45). Additionally, the institute is committed to supporting small businesses that are engaged in developing, evaluating, and commercializing DHTs within high-priority areas (46).

Moreover, the Research Domain Criteria Initiative (RDoC) has introduced gamified tasks that aid in studying mental disorders, with large-scale efforts such as the 'All of Us' project facilitating indepth phenotyping (47, 48). In tandem with these efforts, NIMH has recently launched specialized laboratories to optimize DHTs (49) and undertake other efforts aimed at both optimizing and validating these technologies (50). Validation of dimensional constructs pertinent to psychopathology is another key focus for NIMH (51), along with promoting the use of advanced computational methods in RDoC research (52).

NIMH's collaboration with the National Science Foundation (NSF) on the Smart Health and Biomedical Research program further exemplifies its commitment to integrating technology and health (53). In addition, through the Computationally-Defined Behaviors Initiative, detailed behavioral assays have been developed (54). On a broader scale, NIMH's mobile health initiative seeks to improve outcomes in low- and middle-income countries through technological innovations (55), while the Health IT initiative is dedicated to reducing healthcare disparities (56). The institute has also made strides in developing explainable AI and machine learning methods to enhance mental health research (57, 58).

Furthermore, NIMH is focused on creating data archives, informatics tools, and data standards that are crucial for brainbehavior research (59). Additionally, the institute emphasizes the importance of early interventions for psychosis (60, 61) and seeks to identify novel therapeutic targets and biomarkers in schizophrenia (62, 63), ensuring that all generated data and analyses are readily accessible.

Collaborations between NIMH, the Office of Data Science Strategy (ODSS), and the Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences Research (OBSSR) could greatly advance the development of PDTs. By leveraging NIMH's mental health innovations, ODSS's datadriven methods, and OBSSR's behavioral and social insights, they can create integrated ecosystems for effective and personalized PDTs. This partnership could aim to make evidence-based PDTs, more innovative, scalable, and accessible to diverse populations, transforming mental health care delivery. For instance, by leveraging AI and cognitive computing technologies (64), one could envision a future where PDTs can target transdiagnostic constructs, such as reframing negative thoughts, building social confidence, enhancing emotional regulation, and fostering virtual social connections. This holistic approach aspires to significantly impact those grappling with loneliness, social isolation, and other critical aspects of various mental health conditions (65).

The National Institute on Aging (NIA)

NIA leads research on DHTs, including AI/ML, to improve health outcomes for older adults. Today's older adults are not digital natives and may be slower to adopt DHTs. There are age-related changes in neuropsychological functions (66, 67) such as, slowed

10.3389/fpsyt.2024.1433438

information processing (68) and a preference for information accuracy over volume or speed (66-69), which may make the often fast-paced, continuously changing digital landscape more challenging to manage or a less preferred environment for some older adults. As people age, their socio-emotional functioning become more predictable and consistent, with fewer negative emotions. Social networks become less expansive with a shift from exploring and building larger social networks to a focus on fewer, closer relationships (72). As many older adults did not develop in an era of pervasive digital technology, many may not have developed mental models of digital tool functionality (70). However, these technologies could be co-developed with users to increase usability and accessibility. These technologies can address issues like limited mobility, cognitive aging, and social isolation. Sensors in devices can monitor behavior in the living environment, including the home, offering new strategies for assistive care (71). Tools for remote monitoring and supportive care can be particularly beneficial for adaptive aging. The Collaborative Aging Research Using Technology (CART) initiative uses sensor technology and analytics to assess activity in older adults' homes and detect meaningful changes longitudinally (73). Technologies like those used in CART or Emerald (passive radio-wave-based home monitor) (71), including wall and ceiling sensors, door sensors, digital scales, digital pillboxes, smartwatches, and driving sensors, offer the potential to detect changes in health indicators in the free-living environment where most older adults prefer to function independently (12).

NIA's digital health initiatives include mobile health (mHealth), sensing technologies, wearables, telemedicine, and adaptive behavioral interventions. This includes AI-based projects for diagnostics, caregiving, and digital therapeutics, such as online therapy and cognitive impairment screening. The AITC program fosters AI technology development, pilot studies, and best practices for integrating AI in healthcare for older adults, collaborating with private industry, venture capital firms, and healthcare systems to drive innovation (74). NIA's Small Business Programs provide early-stage funding for AI and ML innovations in diagnostics, patient monitoring, and other digital health solutions, fostering entrepreneurial endeavors in aging research (75).

NIA leads research on Alzheimer's Disease and related dementias (AD/ADRD), aiming to prevent these diseases, improve cognitive and brain health, reduce caregiver and economic burdens, and address health disparities. NIA develops digital tools for early detection of subtle changes in cognition, emotion, social behavior, and daily functions, which can inform diagnostics, treatments, and important life decisions (76, 77). This also includes use for prevention (78) and treatment of AD/ADRD (79) targeting many of the modifiable risk factors for AD/ADRD. One example is cognitive training. NIA supports the Preventing Alzheimer's with Cognitive Training (PACT) trial (80) and the Adaptive Clinical Trial of Cognitive Training to Improve Function and Delay Dementia: The ACTIVE MIND Trial (81) to test the components in cognitive training interventions that may prevent AD/ADRD and improve daily function in individuals with early

changes due to AD/ADRD such as Mild Cognitive Impairment. NIA will review the evidence supporting cognitive training and identify research gaps and opportunities to advance the field in an upcoming Cognitive Training Webinar Series (82). PDTs have the potential to update cognitive training intervention approaches through novel design features like closed-loop, adaptive designs, gamification, and augmented reality (83). NIA's role in PDT research aims to address the unique challenges of aging, promoting healthier and more independent living for older adults.

These NIH-led efforts have significantly contributed to the development of digital therapeutics as an active area of research. A total of 138 NIH grants were categorized as digital therapeutics if they included the words "digital therapeutics", "digital health intervention", "digital intervention", "behavioral health software", or "software-based therapeutics" in their titles, specific aims, or summaries. We used the iSearch natural language processing visualization function to identify 29 distinct hierarchical topic clusters from all the currently active NIH grants related to digital therapeutics. For the five largest topics -digital therapeutics, physical activity, HIV, young adults, and social media- we plotted their respective subtopics to provide a clearer understanding of the main areas covered by NIH-funded research in digital therapeutics (Figure 1C). Several emerging topics for instance, social media, young adults, older adults, opioid use disorders, mental health, black women/families, Hispanics, Native Americans, CBT, and suicide map very well with NIH areas of high priority discussed above.

Discussion

PDTs are innovative tools for managing mental and brain health, distinct from other SaMDs due to their unique regulatory frameworks. They offer advantages over traditional pharmacotherapies, such as real-time testing and fewer side effects, and can be used independently or alongside other treatments. Successful PDT development requires attention to usability, accessibility, clinical workflow, and regulatory standards, with community involvement and strong clinical evidence being key for adoption. Most PDTs are rooted in behavioral science concepts from the 1950s and 60s, particularly CBT. Newer methods integrate neuroscience and precision psychiatry, leveraging insights from various fields (84–92).

To maximize effectiveness, PDTs should focus on cognitive health equity, co-developing with low-access populations, and employing mechanisms-focused frameworks like the NIH Stage Model (17). The NIH supports PDT research by addressing current issues such as technology's impact on youth (40), social isolation, and tools for age-related cognitive decline and disease prevention, including Alzheimer's.

However, despite their potential, PDTs face critical challenges. One concern is the variability in their clinical efficacy, as some PDTs may not deliver consistent results across diverse populations, conditions, or biotypes, leading to questions about their effectiveness compared to traditional therapies. Additionally, the dependence on technology raises concerns about accessibility; individuals in low-income or rural areas may lack the necessary devices or internet connectivity, exacerbating existing health disparities. Furthermore, regulatory and reimbursement frameworks for PDTs are still evolving, which can hinder their integration into conventional healthcare settings—leading to uncertainty among providers about prescribing them. The reliance on self-reported data also poses issues, as it can be influenced by user bias and motivation. Therefore, while PDTs represent a promising advancement in mental health care, careful consideration of these limitations and ongoing evaluation of their impact is essential for their successful implementation.

Areas of need

- Adaptive, Mechanistic Intervention Development: Emphasizing just-in-time approaches and optimizing personalized interventions using systems science principles (93). Frameworks like experiment-in-a-box align with NIH's mechanisms-focused strategies (94).
- Inclusive Digital Measurements: DHTs enhance clinical trials through real-world data collection, improving engagement and participation in under-represented populations (12, 95).
- AI-Driven Metrics: Utilizing AI to identify patterns and improve diagnosis, treatment, and prognosing while addressing interpretability and clinical validity challenges in real-world applications (58, 96, 97).

AI/ML-enabled PDTs leverage sensors to create data-driven treatment plans for various age groups, enhancing outcomes and patient insights. Ensuring different types of validity is essential for their reliability and efficacy (98–100). The NIH is interested in expanding PDT research, especially in validating DHTs (50) in underrepresented populations.

Challenges for low-access communities include limited internet access, device availability, and digital literacy. Regulatory hurdles arise from rapid technological advancements (3, 9, 10), with agencies needing to navigate increased health app complexities. Addressing these issues could transform mental health care, expanding access and improving engagement while ensuring privacy and ethical standards are met. PDT standardization and interoperability are vital for collaboration and data sharing that may enable democratization (101).

DHT implementation calls for creative strategies and stakeholder training, with robust study designs necessary for effectiveness assessment. User-centered co-design is crucial for long-lasting innovations, and standardized reporting guidelines will enhance care quality.

In summary, while PDTs present significant promise for more personalized and effective mental health treatments, challenges regarding validity, privacy, and infrastructure must be addressed. Future research should focus on identifying transdiagnostic mechanisms and developing products that utilize this knowledge effectively.

Data availability statement

We are unable to share the data due to its sensitive and identifiable nature, as it pertains to specific NIH funded grants. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to MF, michele.ferrante@nih.gov.

Author contributions

MF: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LE: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LS: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Author disclaimer

The views expressed in this article do not necessarily represent the views of the National Institutes of Health, the Department of Health and Human Services, or the United States Government.

References

1. Health C for D and R. FDA. Software as a medical device (SaMD). 10903 New Hampshire Ave, Silver Spring, MD 20993, USA: Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (2020). Available at: https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/ digital-health-center-excellence/software-medical-device-samd.

2. Torous J, Firth J, Goldberg SB. Digital mental health's unstable dichotomywellness and health. *JAMA Psychiatry*. (2024) 81:539-40. doi: 10.1001/ jamapsychiatry.2024.0532

3. Watson A, Chapman R, Shafai G, Maricich YA. FDA regulations and prescription digital therapeutics: Evolving with the technologies they regulate. *Front Digit Health.* (2023) 5:1086219. doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2023.1086219

4. Brezing CA, Brixner DI. The rise of prescription digital therapeutics in behavioral health. *Adv Ther.* (2022) 39:5301–6. doi: 10.1007/s12325-022-02320-0

5. Wang C, Lee C, Shin H. Digital therapeutics from bench to bedside. NPJ Digit Med. (2023) 6:38. doi: 10.1038/s41746-023-00777-z

6. Huh KY, Oh J, Lee S, Yu KS. Clinical evaluation of digital therapeutics: present and future. *Healthc Inform Res.* (2022) 28:188–97. doi: 10.4258/hir.2022.28.3.188

7. Turnbull SL, Dack C, Lei J, Aksu I, Grant S, Lasseter G, et al. Barriers and facilitators to use of digital health tools by healthcare practitioners and their patients, before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a multimethods study. *BMJ Open.* (2024) 14:e080055. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-080055

8. Haith-Cooper M, Stacey T, Bailey F, Broadhead-Croft S. The co-development and feasibility-testing of an innovative digital animation intervention (DAISI) to reduce the risk of maternal sepsis in the postnatal period. *Matern Child Health J.* (2020) 24:837–44. doi: 10.1007/s10995-020-02932-4

9. Carl JR, Jones DJ, Lindhiem OJ, Doss BD, Weingardt KR, Timmons AC, et al. Regulating digital therapeutics for mental health: Opportunities, challenges, and the essential role of psychologists. *Br J Clin Psychol.* (2022) 61 Suppl 1:130–5. doi: 10.1111/bjc.12286

10. Regulatory science tools catalog. Silver Spring, Maryland, USA: Center for Devices and Radiological Health (2023). Available at: https://cdrh-rst.fda.gov/.

11. Health C for D and R. FDA. *Digital health center of excellence*. 10903 New Hampshire Ave, Silver Spring, MD 20993, USA: Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (2024). Available at: https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/digital-health-center-excellence.

12. Riley WT, Oh A, Aklin WM, Wolff-Hughes DL. National institutes of health support of digital health behavior research Vol. 46. Health Educ Behav Off Publ Soc 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA: Public Health Educ (2019) p. 12–9.

13. National Institute on Aging. Science of behavior change (SOBC). Available online at: https://www.nia.nih.gov/research/dbsr/science-behavior-change-sobc (Accessed August 3, 2024).

14. Aklin WM, Stoeckel LE, Green PA, Keller C, King JW, Nielsen L, et al. Commentary: national institutes of health (NIH) science of behavior change (SOBC). *Health Psychol Rev.* (2020) 14:193–8. doi: 10.1080/17437199.2020.1716383

15. Nielsen L, Riddle M, King JWNIH Science of Behavior Change Implementation Team, , Aklin WM, Chen W, et al. The NIH Science of Behavior Change Program: Transforming the science through a focus on mechanisms of change. *Behav Res Ther.* (2018) 101:3–11. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2017.07.002

16. Stoeckel LE, Hunter C, Onken L, Green P, Nielsen L, Aklin WM, et al. The NIH science of behavior change program: looking toward the future. *Behav Ther.* (2023) 54:714–8. doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2023.03.006

17. National Institute on Aging. NIH stage model for behavioral intervention development. Available online at: https://www.nia.nih.gov/research/dbsr/nih-stage-model-behavioral-intervention-development (Accessed August 3, 2024).

18. Budney AJ, Marsch LA, Aklin WM, Borodovsky JT, Brunette MF, Campbell AT, et al. Workshop on the development and evaluation of digital therapeutics for health behavior change: science, methods, and projects. *JMIR Ment Health*. (2020) 7:e16751. doi: 10.2196/16751

19. The Center for Technology and Behavioral Health. The center for technology and behavioral health. Available online at: https://www.c4tbh.org/ (Accessed August 3, 2024).

20. Maricich YA, Xiong X, Gerwien R, Kuo A, Velez F, Imbert B, et al. Real-world evidence for a prescription digital therapeutic to treat opioid use disorder. *Curr Med Res Opin.* (2021) 37:175–83. doi: 10.1080/03007995.2020.1846023

21. ICER. Opioid epidemic: digital health technologies. Available online at: https://icer.org/assessment/opioids-digital-apps-2020/ (Accessed August 3, 2024).

22. Forbes JK. Pear therapeutics files for bankruptcy as CEO blames shortfalls on insurers. Available online at: https://www.forbes.com/sites/katiejennings/2023/04/07/ pear-therapeutics-files-for-bankruptcy-as-ceo-blames-shortfalls-on-insurers/ (Accessed August 3, 2024).

23. 510(k) Premarket Notification. Available online at: https://www.accessdata.fda. gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm?ID=K231209 (Accessed August 3, 2024).

24. Shawn Green C, Bavelier D, Kramer AF, Vinogradov S, Ansorge U, Ball KK, et al. Improving methodological standards in behavioral interventions for cognitive enhancement. *J Cognit Enhanc.* (2019) 3:2–29. doi: 10.1007/s41465-018-0115-y

25. Basak C, Qin S, O'Connell MA. Differential effects of cognitive training modules in healthy aging and mild cognitive impairment: A comprehensive meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Psychol Aging*. (2020) 35:220–49. doi: 10.1037/pag0000442

26. Cuyler RN, Katdare R, Thomas S, Telch MJ. Real-world outcomes of an innovative digital therapeutic for treatment of panic disorder and PTSD: A 1,500 patient effectiveness study. *Front Digit Health.* (2022) 4:976001. doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2022.976001

27. Sen. Markey EJ [D M]. Children and Media Research Advancement Act (CAMRA Act), in: *All Info* - *S.971* - *117th Congress (2021-2022): CAMRA Act*, Washington, D.C., USA: United States Congress (2021). Available at: https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/senate-bill/971/all-info.

28. Elucidating the effects of digital media on children. 31 Center Drive MSC 2425, Bethesda, MD 20892-2425, USA: NICHD - Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (2024). Available at: https://www.nichd.nih.gov/about/org/od/directors_corner/prev_updates/effects-digital-media-children-march2024.

29. Li S, Liu Y, Jing A, Wang Y. The effects of multitasking on metacognitive monitoring in primary and secondary school students. *J Exp Child Psychol.* (2024) 242:105908. doi: 10.1016/j.jecp.2024.105908

30. Hunter RF, Gough A, O'Kane N, McKeown G, Fitzpatrick A, Walker T, et al. Ethical issues in social media research for public health. *Am J Public Health*. (2018) 108:343–8. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2017.304249

31. Allareddy V, Oubaidin M, Rampa S, Venugopalan SR, Elnagar MH, Yadav S, et al. Call for algorithmic fairness to mitigate amplification of racial biases in artificial intelligence models used in orthodontics and craniofacial health. *Orthod Craniofac Res.* (2023) 26 Suppl 1:124–30. doi: 10.1111/ocr.12721

32. Koh ZH, Skues J, Murray G. Digital self-report instruments for repeated measurement of mental health in the general adult population: a protocol for a systematic review. *BMJ Open*. (2023) 13:e065162. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065162

33. Wang Z, Drouard G, Whipp AM, Heinonen-Guzejev M, Bolte G, Kaprio J. Association between trajectories of the neighborhood social exposome and mental health in late adolescence: A FinnTwin12 cohort study. *J Affect Disord*. (2024) 358:70–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2024.04.096

34. Snijders GJLJ, de Paiva Lopes K, Sneeboer MAM, Muller BZ, Gigase FAJ, Vialle RA, et al. The human microglia responsome: a resource to better understand microglia states in health and disease. *BioRxiv Prepr Serv Biol.* (2023). 2023.10.12.562067. doi: 10.1101/2023.10.12.562067

35. National Institutes of Health (NIH). Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) Program. Available online at: https://www.nih.gov/research-training/environmental-influences-child-health-outcomes-echo-program (Accessed August 3, 2024).

36. NOT-OD-24-044: notice of special interest (NOSI): use of digital technology and mobile health (mHealth) to improve diagnosis, assessments, interventions, management and outcomes for individuals with down syndrome across the lifespan (R21 clinical trial not allowed). Available online at: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/ notice-files/NOT-OD-24-044.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

37. National Institute on Aging. Alzheimer biomarkers consortium — Down syndrome (ABC-DS). Available online at: https://www.nia.nih.gov/research/abc-ds (Accessed August 3, 2024).

38. RFA-MH-24-180: bidirectional influences between adolescent social media use and mental health (R01 clinical trial optional). Available online at: https://grants.nih. gov/grants/guide/rfa-files/RFA-MH-24-180.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

39. RFA-MH-24-181: bidirectional influences between adolescent social media use and mental health (R21 clinical trial optional). Available online at: https://grants.nih. gov/grants/guide/rfa-files/RFA-MH-24-181.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

40. NICHD-NIMH workshop: impact of technology and digital media on child and adolescent development and mental health - national institute of mental health (NIMH). Available online at: https://www.nimh.nih.gov/news/events/2024/nichd-nimh-workshop-impact-of-technology-and-digital-media-on-child-and-adolescent-development-and-mental-health (Accessed August 3, 2024).

41. Opportunities and challenges of developing information technologies on behavioral and social science clinical research - national institute of mental health (NIMH). Available online at: https://www.nimh.nih.gov/about/advisory-boards-and-groups/namhc/reports/opportunities-and-challenges-of-developing-information-technologies-on-behavioral-and-social-science-clinical-research (Accessed August 3, 2024).

42. NOT-MH-18-031: notice of information: NIMH high-priority areas for research on digital health technology to advance assessment, detection, prevention, treatment, and delivery of services for mental health conditions. Available online at: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/not-mh-18-031.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

43. RFA-MH-25-190: precision mental health: develop tools to inform treatment selection in depression (UG3/UH3 clinical trial optional). Available online at: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/rfa-files/RFA-MH-25-190.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

44. RFA-MH-23-105: individually measured phenotypes to advance computational translation in mental health (IMPACT-MH) (U01 clinical trial optional). Available online at: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/rfa-files/RFA-MH-23-105.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

45. Ferrante M, Gordon JA. Computational phenotyping and longitudinal dynamics to inform clinical decision-making in psychiatry. *Neuropsychopharmacol Off Publ Am Coll Neuropsychopharmacol.* (2021) 46:243–4. doi: 10.1038/s41386-020-00852-z

46. NOT-MH-24-120: notice of special interest (NOSI): SBIR/STTR high-priority areas for digital mental health innovations. Available online at: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-MH-24-120.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

47. Insel T, Cuthbert B, Garvey M, Heinssen R, Pine DS, Quinn K, et al. Research domain criteria (RDoC): toward a new classification framework for research on mental disorders. *Am J Psychiatry*. (2010) 167:748–51. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.09091379

48. Using games to explore the mind - national institute of mental health (NIMH) (2024). Available online at: https://www.nimh.nih.gov/news/science-news/2024/using-games-to-explore-the-mind (Accessed August 3, 2024).

49. PAR-23-096: laboratories to optimize digital health (R01 clinical trial required). Available online at: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/pa-files/PAR-23-096.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

50. NOT-CA-24-031: notice of special interest (NOSI): validation of digital health and artificial intelligence/machine learning tools for improved assessment in biomedical and behavioral research. Available online at: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-CA-24-031.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

51. PAR-21-263: computational approaches for validating dimensional constructs of relevance to psychopathology (R01 clinical trial optional). Available online at: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/pa-files/PAR-21-263.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

52. Sanislow CA, Ferrante M, Pacheco J, Rudorfer MV, Morris SE. Advancing translational research using NIMH research domain criteria and computational methods. *Neuron.* (2019) 101:779–82. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2019.02.024

53. Nilsen W, Wolff Hughes D. Smart health and biomedical research in the era of artificial intelligence and advanced data science (SCH). 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA, USA: NSF - National Science Foundation (2023). Available at: https:// new.nsf.gov/funding/opportunities/smart-health-biomedical-research-era-artificial.

54. PAR-21-264: computationally-defined behaviors in psychiatry (R21 clinical trial optional). Available online at: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/pa-files/PAR-21-264.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

55. PAR-23-318: mobile health: technology and outcomes in low and middle income countries (R21/R33 - clinical trial optional). Available online at: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/pa-files/PAR-23-318.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

56. PAR-22-145: leveraging health information technology (Health IT) to address and reduce health care disparities (R01 clinical trial optional). Available online at: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/pa-files/PAR-22-145.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

 NOT-MH-23-110: notice of special interest (NOSI): explainable artificial intelligence for decoding and modulating neural circuit activity linked to behavior. Available online at: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-MH-23-110.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

58. Fellous JM, Sapiro G, Rossi A, Mayberg H, Ferrante M. Explainable artificial intelligence for neuroscience: behavioral neurostimulation. *Front Neurosci.* (2019) 13:1346. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2019.01346

59. NOT-MH-23-115: notice of special interest (NOSI): BRAIN initiative: developing data archive, informatics tools and data standards for brain behavior quantification and synchronization (BBQS). Available online at: https://grants.nih. gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-MH-23-115.html (Accessed August 3, 2024).

60. Vinogradov S, Azrin ST, Goldstein AB, Heinssen RK. *Early psychosis intervention network (EPINET)*. Bethesda, Maryland, USA: National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) (2019). Available at: https://www.nimh.nih.gov/research/research-funded-by-nimh/research-initiatives/early-psychosis-intervention-network-epinet.

61. Roisum R, Jenkins D, Fisher M, Currie A, Ma S, Lindgren C, et al. Targeting cognition and motivation in coordinated specialty care for early psychosis: A grant report. *J Psychiatry Brain Sci.* (2020) 5:e200023. doi: 10.20900/jpbs.20200023

62. Accelerating medicines partnership[®] Program for schizophrenia (AMP[®] SCZ). Bethesda, Maryland, USA: National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) (2020). Available at: https://www.nimh.nih.gov/research/research-funded-by-nimh/ research-initiatives/accelerating-medicines-partnershipr-program-schizophrenia-ampr-scz.

63. Wannan CMJ, Nelson B, Addington J, Allott K, Anticevic A, Arango C, et al. Accelerating medicines partnership[®] Schizophrenia (AMP[®] SCZ): rationale and study design of the largest global prospective cohort study of clinical high risk for psychosis. *Schizophr Bull.* (2024) 50:496–512. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbae011

64. Bini SA. Artificial intelligence, machine learning, deep learning, and cognitive computing: what do these terms mean and how will they impact health care? *J Arthroplasty.* (2018) 33:2358–61. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2018.02.067

65. A new model for studying social isolation and health in people with serious mental illnesses. 31 Center Drive, Building 31, Room B1C19, Bethesda, MD, USA: Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences Research (2024). Available at: https://obssr.od.nih.gov/news-and-events/news/research-spotlights/new-model-studying-social-isolation-and-health-people.

66. Hartshorne JK, Germine LT. When does cognitive functioning peak? The asynchronous rise and fall of different cognitive abilities across the life span. *Psychol Sci.* (2015) 26:433–43. doi: 10.1177/0956797614567339

67. Salthouse TA. Trajectories of normal cognitive aging. *Psychol Aging.* (2019) 34:17-24. doi: 10.1037/pag0000288

68. Salthouse TA. The processing-speed theory of adult age differences in cognition. *Psychol Rev.* (1996) 103:403–28. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.103.3.403

69. Forstmann BU, Tittgemeyer M, Wagenmakers EJ, Derrfuss J, Imperati D, Brown S. The speed-accuracy tradeoff in the elderly brain: A structural model-based approach. *J Neurosci.* (2011) 31:17242–9.72. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0309-11.2011

70. Johnson JA. Myths about digital technology and older adults. *Nat Aging*. (2022) 2:1073–6. doi: 10.1038/s43587-022-00319-4

71. Kabelac Z, Tarolli CG, Snyder C, Feldman B, Glidden A, Hsu CY, et al. Passive monitoring at home: A pilot study in parkinson disease. *Digit biomark*. (2019) 3:22–30. doi: 10.1159/000498922

72. Charles S, Carstensen LL. Social and emotional aging. Annu Rev Psychol. (2010) 61:383–409. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100448

73. Beattie Z, Miller LM, Almirola C, Au-Yeung WTM, Bernard H, Cosgrove KE, et al. The collaborative aging research using technology initiative: an open, sharable, technology-agnostic platform for the research community. *Digit biomark*. (2020) 4:100–18. doi: 10.1159/000512208

74. National Institute on Aging. Artificial intelligence and technology collaboratories for aging research. Available online at: https://www.nia.nih.gov/research/dbsr/artificial-intelligence-and-technology-collaboratories-aging-research (Accessed August 3, 2024).

75. National Institute on Aging. Available online at: https://www.nia.nih.gov/about/ staff/john-dinesh (Accessed August 3, 2024).

76. DiBenedetti DB, Slota C, Wronski SL, Vradenburg G, Comer M, Callahan LF, et al. Assessing what matters most to patients with or at risk for Alzheimer's and care partners: a qualitative study evaluating symptoms, impacts, and outcomes. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* (2020) 12:90. doi: 10.1186/s13195-020-00659-6

77. Walter S, Taylor A, Tyrone J, Langer S, Pagan JR, Hummel CH, et al. Disclosing individual results in dementia research: A proposed study participant's bill of rights. *J Alzheimers Dis JAD*. (2022) 90:945–52. doi: 10.3233/JAD-220810

78. Livingston G, Huntley J, Liu KY, Costafreda SG, Selbæk G, Alladi S, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2024 report of the Lancet standing Commission. *Lancet.* (2024). https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(24)01296-0/fulltext.

79. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Health and Medicine Division, Board on Health Sciences Policy and Committee on Preventing Dementia and Cognitive Impairment. *Preventing cognitive decline and dementia: A way forward*. Downey A, Stroud C, Landis S, Leshner AI, editors. Washington (DC: National Academies Press (US (2017). Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ books/NBK436397/.

80. Nicholson JS, Hudak EM, Phillips CB, Chanti-Ketterl M, O'Brien JL, Ross LA, et al. The Preventing Alzheimer's with Cognitive Training (PACT) randomized clinical trial. *Contemp Clin Trials*. (2022) 123:106978. doi: 10.1016/j.cct.2022.106978

81. Kramer J, Ziegler D, VandeVre L, Bove R, Gazzaley A. Study details. In: *The active mind trial: an adaptive randomized trial to improve function and delay dementia.* ClinicalTrials.gov, San Francisco, California, USA (2020). Available at: https:// clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04171323.

82. National Institute on Aging. Cognitive training webinar series. Available online at: https://www.nia.nih.gov/research/dbsr/workshops/cognitive-training-webinar-series (Accessed August 3, 2024).

83. Bediou B, Rodgers MA, Tipton E, Mayer RE, Green CS, Bavelier D. Effects of action video game play on cognitive skills: A meta-analysis. *Technol Mind Behav.* (2023) 4(1). Available at: https://tmb.apaopen.org/pub/qj0c4ij2/release/3.

84. Ferrante M, Redish AD, Oquendo MA, Averbeck BB, Kinnane ME, Gordon JA. Computational psychiatry: a report from the 2017 NIMH workshop on opportunities and challenges. *Mol Psychiatry*. (2019) 24:479–83. doi: 10.1038/s41380-018-0063-z

85. Series P ed. *Computational psychiatry: A primer*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press (2020). p. 342.

86. Computational psychiatry: new perspectives on mental illness (Strüngmann forum reports). Available online at: https://www.amazon.com/Computational-Psychiatry-Perspectives-Illness-Str%C3%BCngmann/dp/0262546671/ref=sr_1_2? crid=2W4LT7485JJSZ&dib=eyJ2IjoiMSJ9.AOf7kTlW1ShLKHuzumhqfgFJK PoBlu12G0v3bOrxjjkP6aVqWr8732_5vfLOaUet01WC9DhH13EDuRg0i8_if2NJol Dp8duL58R9PjupQK035fmT-96814luQiu_GmH19QQOStDzAU542tSD_XVHZwvZKE9Sfytx9d995fuNW3pI-WFUuOshQ3K5NoADl_rn35BVzuAY me8nDNoVCxhy3E-znj2DTqdJJjW_rnTFU.5NgPVvBLiBwUOE_MmCgroxDj0U2p BFUn4ffCBa72NM&dib_tag=se&keywords=computational+psychiatry&qid=1714316976&s=books&prefix=computational+psychiatry%2Cstripbooks%2C84&sr=1-2 (Accessed August 3, 2024).

87. Heinz A. A new understanding of mental disorders: computational models for dimensional psychiatry. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press (2017). p. 224.

88. Computational psychiatry: mathematical modeling of mental illness (2024). Available online at: https://www.amazon.com/Computational-Psychiatry-Mathematical-Modeling-Illness/dp/0128098252/ref=sr_1_4?crid=2W4LT7485JJSZ &dib=eyJ2IjoiMSJ9.AOf7kTlW1ShLKHuzumhqfgFJKPoB1u12GOv3bOrxjjkP6a $\label{eq:VqWr8732_5vflLOaUet01WC9DhHI3EDuRg0i8_if2NJolDp8duL58R9PjuppQK035 fmT-96814luQiu_GmHl9QQOStDzAU542t5D_XVHZwvZKE9Sfytx9d995fuNW3pl-WFUuOshQ3K5NoADl_rn35BVzuAYme8nDNoVCxhy3E-znj2DTqdjJjW_rnTFU.5NgPVvBlLiBwUOE_MmCgroxDj0U2pBFUn4ffCBa72NM&dib_tag=sekkeywords= computational+psychiatry&qid=1714316976&s=books&sprefix=computational+psychiatry&2Cstripbooks%2C84&sr=1-4 (Accessed August 3, 2024).$

89. Battineni G, Mittal M, Chintalapudi N eds. *Computational methods in psychiatry. 1st ed.* 1 New York Plaza, Suite 4600, New York, NY 10004-1562, USA: Springer (2023). p. 356.

90. Computational psychiatry: A systems biology approach to the epigenetics of mental disorders. Available online at: https://www.amazon.com/Computational-Psychiatry-Approach-Epigenetics-Disorders/dp/3319539094/ref=sr_1_6?crid=2W4LT7485JJSZ&dib=eyJ2IjoiMSJ9.AOf7kTlW1ShLKHuzumhqfgFJKPoB1u12GOv3bOrxjjkP6aVqWr8732_5vflLOaUet01WC9DhH13EDuRg0i8_if2NJolDp8duL58R9PjuppQK035fmT-96814luQiu_GmHl9QQOStDzAU542tSD_XVHZwvZKE9Sfytx9d995fuNW3pI-WFUuOshQ3K5NoADl_rn35BVzuAYme8nDNoVCxhy3E-znj2DTqdJJjW_rnTFU.5NgPVvBlLiBwUOE_MmCgroxDj0U2pBFUn4ffCBa72NM&dib_tag=se&keywords=computational+psychiatry & 2c848sr=1-6 (Accessed August 3, 2024).

91. Gibson E ed. Computational modeling of neural activity. 41 Flatbush Avenue, 1st Floor, New York, NY 11217, USA: American Medical Publishers (2023). p. 234.

92. Érdi P, Bhattacharya BS, Cochran AL. Computational neurology and psychiatry. 1st ed. Cham, Switzerland: Springer (2017). p. 454.

93. Nahum-Shani I, Smith SN, Spring BJ, Collins LM, Witkiewitz K, Tewari A, et al. Just-in-time adaptive interventions (JITAIs) in mobile health: key components and design principles for ongoing health behavior support. *Ann Behav Med Publ Soc Behav Med*. (2018) 52:446–62. doi: 10.1007/s12160-016-9830-8

94. Schraefel MC, Muresan GC, Hekler E. Experiment in a box (XB): an interactive technology framework for sustainable health practices. *Front Comput Sci.* (2021) 3:661890. doi: 10.3389/fcomp.2021.661890

95. National Academies of Sciences E, Division H and M, Policy B on HS, Health R on G and P and Forum on Drug Discovery D, Shore C, et al. Digital health technologies for enhancing real-world evidence collection, patient centricity, and post-market studies. In: *The role of digital health technologies in drug development: proceedings of a workshop.* 500 Fifth Street NW, Washington, DC 20001, USA: National Academies Press (US) (2020). Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK563598/.

96. Abadir P, Chellappa R. Artificial intelligence in geriatrics: riding the inevitable tide of promise, challenges, and considerations. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* (2024) 79: glad279. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glad279

97. Wilkinson MD, Dumontier M, Aalbersberg I, Appleton G, Axton M, Baak A, et al. The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Sci Data*. (2016) 3:160018. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2016.18

98. Redish AD, Kepecs A, Anderson LM, Calvin OL, Grissom NM, Haynos AF, et al. Computational validity: using computation to translate behaviours across species. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* (2022) 377:20200525. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2020.0525

99. Monosov IE, Zimmermann J, Frank MJ, Mathis MW, Baker JT. Ethological computational psychiatry: Challenges and opportunities. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* (2024) 86:102881. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2024.102881

100. Reynolds CR, Altmann RA, Allen DN. Validity. In: Reynolds CR, Altmann RA, Allen DN, editors. *Mastering modern psychological testing: theory and methods*. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2021). p. 185–222. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-59455-8_5

101. Lehne M, Sass J, Essenwanger A, Schepers J, Thun S. Why digital medicine depends on interoperability. *NPJ Digit Med.* (2019) 2:1–5. doi: 10.1038/s41746-019-0158-1