Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Cristiano Capurso, University of Foggia, Italy

REVIEWED BY Juan Moisés De La Serna, International University of La Rioja, Spain Antonio Leo, Istituto Santa Chiara, Italy

CORRESPONDENCE Zezhi Li i biolpsychiatry@126.com Jianxiong Guo i jxguonet01@126.com Aixiang Xiao i 543061910@qq.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 19 August 2024 ACCEPTED 12 September 2024 PUBLISHED 08 October 2024

CITATION

Ye J, Pan Y, Zhou T, Liu F, Wei Y, Chen J, Wang W, Zheng X, Liu D, Wu S, Li Z, Guo J and Xiao A (2024) Evaluating dysphagia in Alzheimer's disease: the significance of age and medical comorbidities, a crosssectional study from a tertiary psychiatric hospital in Guangzhou China. *Front. Psychiatry* 15:1482951. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2024.1482951

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Ye, Pan, Zhou, Liu, Wei, Chen, Wang, Zheng, Liu, Wu, Li, Guo and Xiao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Evaluating dysphagia in Alzheimer's disease: the significance of age and medical comorbidities,a cross-sectional study from a tertiary psychiatric hospital in Guangzhou China

Junrong Ye^{1,2†}, Yuanxin Pan^{1,2,3†}, Tingwei Zhou^{1,2,3†}, Fei Liu^{1,2,4}, Yanheng Wei^{1,2}, Jiao Chen^{1,2,3}, Wen Wang^{1,2,3}, Xueyu Zheng^{1,2,3}, Dingjie Liu^{1,2,3}, Shengwei Wu^{1,2}, Zezhi Li^{1,2*}, Jianxiong Guo^{1,2*} and Aixiang Xiao^{1,2*}

¹The Affiliated Brain Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ²Key Laboratory of Neurogenetics and Channelopathies of Guangdong Province and the Ministry of Education of China, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ³School of Nursing, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ⁴Kiang Wu Nursing College of Macau, Macao, Macao SAR, China

Objective: To investigate the influencing factors of dysphagia in Alzheimer's disease (AD) patients.

Methods: The study evaluated the demographic characteristics, nutritional status, social functioning, and swallowing dysfunction of 109 hospitalized AD patients.

Results: The sample include 65.1% of female patients, mainly concentrate in >70 years old (72.5%). The illness duration is mainly 0~5 years (62.4%). After adjusting for confounding factors such as gender, poor lifestyle habits, illness duration, marital status, route to admission, concomitant medical illness, nutritional status, and social functioning, we find that the swallowing function in patients with AD is related to route to admission and concomitant medical illness (mainly includes: circulatory disease and respiratory disease). Age \geq 90 years old and more concomitant medical illness contribute to a lower swallowing dysfunction score in patients with AD (*P*<0.05).

Conclusion: Age and concomitant medical illness are the important influencing factors in swallowing dysfunction in patients with AD. Therefore, we believe that future research should focus on the treatment and care of patients with medical conditions in order to enhance the swallowing function in patients with AD.

KEYWORDS

Alzheimer disease patients, swallowing function, influencing factors, cross-sectional study, elderly patients

Highlights

- Swallowing dysfunction is a common disease in AD patients and can lead to many serious adverse consequences.
- Age and concomitant medical illness are the important influencing factors in swallowing dysfunction in patients with AD.
- Understanding the factors that influence swallowing dysfunction in patients with AD can facilitate screening the symptom in early stage and management of dysphagia to avoid serious complications.

1 Introduction

According to the World Health Organization, the number of people with dementia is currently 55 million, and by 2030, it is expected to increase to 78 million. The WHO global action plan on the public health response to dementia targets at least 50% of countries to diagnose 50% of the estimated number of people with dementia by 2025 (1). As the disease progresses, the self-care ability of patients with AD decreases, increasing the burden of care for families in patients with AD. Dysphagia is a geriatric syndrome that affects 10% to 30% of older adults. Oropharyngeal dysphagia was reported more commonly in older adults diagnosed as having neurologic disease (80% of AD and 60% of Parkinson disease) (2). With cerebral cortical involvement and cognitive impairment, the incidence of dysphagia in patients with severe AD is as high as 70%. Dysphagia is a common complication in patients with AD, occurring in 13%-57% and is one of the main causes of dehydration, malnutrition, aspiration pneumonia, and even asphyxia and multiple organ failure. The results of the study by Patel et al.(2018) found that patients with dysphagia had a 34% higher total hospital cost, a 2.8 times higher likelihood of needing acute follow-up care services, and a 1.7 times higher chance of dying in the hospital than patients without dysphagia, indicating that patients with dysphagia have a greater economic and survival burden.

A range of complications due to swallowing dysfunction is a major source of care burden. Consequences of dysphagia could be profound including malnutrition, volume depletion, quality of life issues, and aspiration, which may ultimately be the pivotal factor that precipitates a decline in an outcome of patients (3). In fact, dysphagia affects 1 in 25 adults annually and had significant psychosocial burden. Approximately 41% of patients had reported anxiety during mealtime and 36% avoided eating with others because of their dysphagia (4).

Understanding the factors that influence swallowing dysfunction in patients with AD can facilitate screening the symptom in early stage and management of dysphagia to avoid serious complications. This could reduce the burden of care on the patient's family and even society. Therefore, we urgently need to find effective interventions to intervene swallowing dysfunction of patients with AD. At present, the research on the influencing factors of swallowing dysfunction mainly focuses on the age, dental condition, eating condition and course of the patients (5, 6). Our pilot observation found nutritional status, daily living skills, lifestyle habits might influence the swallowing function in patients with AD. Therefore, in this study we supplemented the assessment of the nutritional level and function of daily living in AD patients. Increasing awareness of these issues may contribute to more timely and efficient identification of Alzheimer's disease patients with dysphagia (7), and provide scientific evidence for the development of nursing and medical interventions.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Subjects

A consecutive sampling method was used to recruit 109 hospitalized patients with AD from February 2021 to March 2022. Inclusion criteria: 1) age \geq 60 years; 2) compliance with the DSM-5 criteria for Alzheimer's disease; 3) be able to respond naturally and complete scale rating; 4) agree to sign informed consent. The World Health Organization's classification of the elderly is as follows: 60–74 years old are considered elderly, the senile age ranges from 75 years to 90 years, and individuals aged 90 years and above are considered long-livers. Herein, the subjects were divided into three groups based on their age for analysis: 60–74 years old (group 1), 75-89 years old (group 2), and higher than 90 years old (group 3).

2.2 Questionnaire

Gender, age, poor lifestyle habits (drinking and smoking), illness duration, route to admission, and concomitant medical illness were collected by using a self-designed questionnaire. The scales used for evaluation include: Mini-Nutritional Assessment-Shortform (MNA-SF), Functional Questionnaire (FAQ) and Standardized Swallowing Assessment (SSA).

2.2.1 Mini-nutritional assessment- shortform

The Mini-Nutritional Assessment- Shortform was simplified by Rubenstein LZ equal to 2001 based on MNA. MNA-SF contained 7 items with a total of 14 points, which was designed and validated to provide a single, rapid assessment of nutritional status in elderly patients in outpatient clinics, hospitals and nursing homes. The scale scored \leq 7 as malnutrition, 8~11 as at risk of malnutrition, and \geq 12 as normal nutritional status (8).

2.2.2 Functional questionnaire

Functional Activities Questionnaire was developed by scholar Pfeffer et al. in 1982. The scale consisted of 10 items that mainly assess Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL), including bill payment, tax administration, cooking, hobbies, following current events, traveling, remembering appointments, and taking medication. The scale used the Likert-4 scoring system, with higher scores meaning more dysfunction (9).

2.2.3 Standardized swallowing assessment

Standardized Swallowing Assessment was first reported by Ellul et al. in 1996, which was scientifically designed to assess the swallowing function of patients. This scale can not only be used as an effective tool for early identification of swallowing functions, but also as a criterion for determining whether or not to remove a gastric tube. The scale was divided into 3 steps: clinical examination, water test, and normal diet test, with a total score of 18-46 (10).

2.3 Data collection

In the inpatient ward of a brain hospital, questionnaires were regularly distributed by four nurses trained as investigators. Once the questionnaire was completed, the nurse collected the questionnaire on the spot and checked the scale for missing or non-conformance.

2.4 Statistical analysis

SPSS 25.0 was applied to process data. Measurement data was presented with Mean \pm SD, and counting data was expressed using frequency and percentage. The difference between the two categories was performed by independent sample t-test, one-way ANOVA for three or more categories, and non-parametric K independent sample test for rank variable; The number of influencing factors related to the number of physical diseases in AD was analyzed by linear regression analysis. Before doing multiple linear regression analysis, our study supplemented with univariate linear regression analysis to ensure the accuracy of the results. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 The general information of participants

The majority of participants in the study were female, with the highest proportion of participants over 70 years of age. The proportion of participants with spouses is much greater than that without spouses. The majority of participants had no poor lifestyle habits. More patients were admitted to the hospital for the first time through outpatient clinics. 96.3% of participants scored < 11 in nutrition and 92.7% scored > 5 points in social functioning. (Table 1)

The average score of the 109 participants on the swallowing function assessment was 21.68 ± 4.91 . Among them, the average score of clinical examination was 8.24 ± 1.98 , the average score of drinking water test was 7.08 ± 1.59 , the average score of normal diet test was 6.36 ± 1.83 . (Table 1)

FABLE 1 General informatior	ΓA	BLE 1	General	information
-----------------------------	----	-------	---------	-------------

Item	Frequency	Proportion (%)				
Sex						
Male	38	34.9				
Female	71	65.1				
Age (yrs)	1					
60~74	52	47.7				
75~89	52	47.7				
≥90	5	4.6				
Illness duration (yrs)						
≤5	68	62.4				
5-10	22	20.2				
>10	19	17.4				
Marital Status						
Yes	81	74.3				
No	28	25.7				
Drinking						
Yes	7	6.4				
No	102	93.5				
Smoking						
Yes	3	2.8				
No	106	97.2				
First admission						
Yes	81	74.3				
No	28	25.7				
Route to admission						
Outpatient	75	68.8				
Emergency	34	31.2				
Concomitant medical i	lness					
Yes	96	88.1				
No	13	11.9				
MNA						
<11	105	96.3				
≥11	4	3.7				
FAQ						
≤5	8	7.3				
>5	101	92.7				
	Mean	SD				
		(Continued)				

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

ltem	Frequency	Proportion (%)				
Swallowing function score						
SSA total	21.68	4.91				
Clinical examination	8.24	1.98				
Drinking water test	7.08	1.59				
Normal Diet test	6.36	1.83				
MMSE						
Mild dementia	6	5.5				
Moderate dementia	45	41.3				
Severe dementia	58	53.2				
Antipsychotics						
Yes	16	14.7				
No	93	85.3				

3.2 Scores of SSA in patients with different characteristics of Alzheimer disease

According to the grouping characteristics of different variables, the independent sample t-test or one-way ANOVA was carried out, and the results showed that the analysis results of age, route to admission, concomitant medical illness, MNA score and FAQ score (P<<0.05) (Table 2).

3.3 Uni-variate linear regression

The above statistically significant results were analyzed by univariate linear regression, and the results showed that age (\geq 90 years old), route to admission (emergency), concomitant medical illness, and FAQ score (>5) (*P*< 0.05). (Table 3)

3.4 Linear regression analysis of factors influencing Swallowing function

The linear regression analysis model was established by taking swallowing function scores in patients with Alzheimer disease as the dependent variable, and the statistically significant variables of univariate linear regression as independent variables. The results showed that age (\geq 90 years old), concomitant medical illness (circulatory disease and respiratory disease)(*P*<0.05). (Table 4)

4 Discussion

Previous studies had found that patients with AD had a higher risk of dysphagia, but some clinical features and associated factors remained unclear (11). On the basis of empirical findings, this study investigated TABLE 2 Scores of SSA in patients with different characteristics of Alzheimer disease.

ltem		SSA	t/F	Р		
Sex						
Male			1.871	0.064		
Female		21.04 ± 4.44				
Age						
60~74	60~74		6.352	0.002		
74~89		22.19 ± 4.88				
≥90		28.00 ± 4.91				
Illness duration						
≤5		21.46 ± 4.78	0.424	0.655		
5-10		22.55 ± 5.74				
>10		21.47 ± 4.50				
Marital Status		<u> </u>				
Yes		21.78 ± 4.87	-0.356	0.723		
No		21.39 ± 5.12				
Drinking						
No		21.75 ± 5.02	0.615	0.540		
Yes		20.57 ± 2.99				
Smoking						
No		21.73 ± 4.96	0.599	0.551		
Yes		20.00 ± 2.65				
First admission						
Yes		21.73 ± 4.72	0.312	0.756		
No		21.43 ± 5.51				
Route to admissio	on					
Outpatient Clin		20.84 ± 4.01	7.431	0.007		
Emergency		23.53 ± 6.14	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
	dical illne					
Concomitant medical illness						
Circulatory disease	Yes	22.72 ± 5.33	2.965	0.004		
	No	20.14 ± 3.78				
Digestive disease	Yes	24.92 ± 6.52	2.477	0.015		
	No	21.28 ± 4.56				
Respiratory disease	Yes	23.47 ± 5.80	2.938	0.005		
	No	20.52 ± 3.85				
Urinary disease	Yes	24.45 ± 5.32	1.781	0.078		
	No	21.43 ± 4.82				
Locomotor disease	Yes	20.33 ± 4.69	-0.857	0.393		
	No	21.80 ± 4.94				

(Continued)

TABLE 2 Continued

ltem	SSA	t/F	Р			
Concomitant medical illness						
Endocrine disease	Yes	22.02 ± 4.98	0.643	0.522		
Endocrine disease	No	21.41 ± 4.88				
Immune disease	Yes	24.86 ± 6.94	1.788	0.077		
minune disease	No	21.46 ± 4.71				
Nervous disease	Yes	22.78 ± 5.43	2.244	0.027		
Inervous disease	No	20.71 ± 4.22				
Reproductive disease	Yes	23.33 ± 6.42	1.055	0.107		
Reproductive disease	No	21.53 ± 4.77				
MNA						
<11		21.80 ± 4.96	5.852	0.000		
≥11		18.50 ± 0.58				
FAQ						
≤5		18.50 ± 1.07	-5.484	0.000		
>5		21.93 ± 5.01				
MMSE						
Mild dementia		20.50 ± 2.31	0.462	0.631		
Moderate dementia		21.33 ± 4.69				
Severe dementia		22.07 ± 5.06				
Antipsychotics						
Yes		21.69 ± 6.12	0.008	0.994		
No		21.68 ± 4.71				

Bold highlight those value of P<0.005, they are statistical significant.

the swallowing function of patients with AD and reported that swallowing dysfunction was related to the age and its concomitant medical illness, including circulatory disease and respiratory disease.

Our study found that circulatory and respiratory diseases were important factors in swallowing dysfunction in patients with AD. Circulatory system dysfunction, such as reduced blood circulation or insufficient blood supply, might affect swallowing function. Intracranial circulation disorders could cause ischemia due to vascular wall lesions, blood composition or hemodynamic changes, including sensory dysfunction, autonomic nerve dysfunction, and motor nerve dysfunction, resulting in dysphagia (12). Insufficient blood supply to brain lead to impaired nerve function that regulates swallowing movement, resulting in dysphagia. Stroke is one of prevalent circulatory system diseases which influences the progression of dysphagia, because the lack of blood supply to the brain would affect function of nerves that control swallowing organ (13). In addition, studies found that longterm hypertension would affect blood circulation via hardening of brain vessels, abnormal brain circulation causes the injury of pharyngeal cortex center, leading to the descended cortical fibers, bulbar swallowing center and extrapyramidal system (14, 15).

TABLE 3 Uni-variate linear regression.

ltem	eta	Р			
Age					
60~74	-0.219	0.022			
75~89	0.100	0.299			
≥90	0.283	0.003			
Route to admission					
Emergency	0.255	0.007			
Outpatient	-0.255	0.007			
Concomitant medical illness					
Circulatory disease	0.260	0.006			
Digestive disease	0.233	0.015			
Respiratory disease	0.295	0.002			
Nervous disease	0.212	0.027			
MNA					
<11	0.127	0.189			
FAQ					
>5	1.970	0.057			
Bold highlight those value of P<0.005, they are statistical significant.					

Consequently, prolonged oral transit time, delayed pharyngeal swallowing, and even aspiration during swallowing procedure, might occur after stroke (16).

This investigation suggested dysphagia was associated with respiratory system diseases, for instance chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and pneumonia. Patients with respiratory diseases experience general respiratory symptoms that disrupt eating behavior, leading to choking episodes. This might due to the abnormal cough reflex cause food or liquids to stray into the airway and trigger dysphagia (17). Respiration and swallowing movement share reflective neuroanatomical mechanisms and pathways, individuals with tachypnea demonstrates shortened duration of apnea, disrupted swallowing, and even oropharyngeal dysphagia (18). In addition, long-term breathing difficulties and throat discomfort might induce dysphagia because of pharyngeal muscles fatigue (19). Thus, additional attention should be paid to medical comorbidities when screening swallowing function in patients with AD.

Aging was a vital factor influencing swallowing function in patients with AD: degenerative brain nerve function and abnormal swallowing reflex function weakened transport capacity and coordination of oral muscle groups, therefore older adults had greater risk of dysphagia (20). Research by Mayla Izumi et al. (2018) highlighted damaged tongue pressure had impacts on insufficient saliva secretion, weakened immunity, and impaired tongue motor function, resulting in dysphagia in aged adults (21). Given that aged patients with AD had clinical risk of dysphagia, interventions targeting on swallowing function should be conducted in early stage.

	Non- standardized	Coefficients	standardized coefficients		Ρ	95% CI
	β	Standardized Error	Beta	t		
(Constant)	15.544	1.636	-	9.504	P<0.001	12.301
Age 60~74	-0.89			-0.968	0.335	
Age ≥90	5.502	2.041	0.235	2.695	0.008	1.454, 9.550
Emergency	0.154			1.719	0.089	
Outpatient	-0.154			-1.719	0.089	
Circulatory disease	2.793	0.870	0.279	3.209	0.006	1.067, 4.519
Digestive disease	0.145			1.638	0.104	
Respiratory disease	2.442	0.867	0.245	2.442	0.002	0.722, 4.161
Nervous disease	0.112			1.257	0.211	
FAQ >5	0.153			1.776	0.079	

TABLE 4 Linear regression analysis of factors influencing Swallowing function.

Bold highlight those value of P<0.005, they are statistical significant.

Previous study by Park, Y.H., et al. (2013) showed patients with moderate to severe dementia were at risk of dysphagia (22). In our study, the SSA scores (mean \pm s.d.) of patients with mild (5.5%), moderate (41.3%), and severe (53.2%) AD were 20.50 ± 2.31, 21.33 \pm 4.69, 22.07 \pm 5.06, respectively. We had noticed an incline of SSA score with the increasing severity of AD, but further analysis had not clarified statistical different among three groups. These might due to proportions of participants with different dysphagia level varied significantly. To note, clinical evidence indicated that longterm use of antipsychotic medication lead to tardive dyskinesia, a movement disorder that disrupts effective swallowing (23, 24). In our study, we recorded the application of antipsychotics, but did not indicate significant difference in SSA score between participants underwent antipsychotics therapy or not. These might due to that clinically only very low does of antipsychotics was administrated to alleviated behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD), particularly in patients of first admission (74.3% in our study). Furthermore, it is worthy to mention the finding by Marta Miarons (2018) that the role of decreased physical condition caused by aging process and AD had greater impact on directing dysphagia than that of antipsychotics treatment (25). Therefore, future study unveiling how and to what extent antipsychotics influence dysphagia is demanding.

In summary, dysphagia of patients with AD is affected by age and medical comorbidities, despite the number of patients with AD is growing annually, understanding the caring demand benefits raising public awareness and developing targeted intervention (26). Limitation in this study should be mentioned: participants were recruited from a public psychiatric hospital in Guangzhou, China, this might affect the generality of our findings; besides, further study should focus on other factors encompassing AD combined with dysphagia, particularly gender balance, edentulism and frailty.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Guangzhou Medical University Human Research Ethics Committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

JY: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YP: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. TZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. FL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. FL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. YW: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Validation, Writing - review & editing. JC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. WW: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Writing - review & editing. XZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Software, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. DL: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. SW: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. ZL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing review & editing. JG: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. AX: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by Research Project of Guangzhou Municipal Health Commission (2023A031002), Research Project of Guangzhou Municipal Health Commission (SL2022A03J01476), Research Project of Department of Education of Guangdong Province (2021JD119) and Guangzhou Research oriented Hospital Project.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Alzheimer's Disease International. A. World alzheimer report 2015 (2015). Available online at: https://www.alzint.org/resource/world-alzheimer-report-2015/. (Accessed August 08, 2024)

2. Clavé P, Shaker R. Dysphagia: current reality and scope of the problem. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2015) 12:259-70. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2015.49

3. Patel DA, Krishnaswami S, Steger E, Conover E, Vaezi MF, Ciucci MR, et al. Economic and survival burden of dysphagia among inpatients in the United States. *Dis Esophagus*. (2018) 31:1-7. doi: 10.1093/dote/dox131

4. Ekberg O, Hamdy S, Woisard V, Wuttge-Hannig A, Ortega P. Social and psychological burden of dysphagia: its impact on diagnosis and treatment. *Dysphagia*. (2002) 17:139–46. doi: 10.1007/s00455-001-0113-5

5. Lili C HL, Hong L, Rong L, Qiuhua C QH, Jing T. Prevalence and associated factors of dysphgia in elderly patients with dementia. J Nurs Sci. (2014) 29:24-6.

6. Ling Y GS, Qi C. Influencing factors and nursing progress of dysphgia in senile dementia patients. *Chin Nurs Res.* (2017) 31:3783–5.

7. Constantino EA, Julie R, Claire D. Physiological mechanisms and associated pathophysiology of dysphagia in older adults. *J Gerontology Geriatric Med.* (2022) 8:23337214221142949. doi: 10.1177/23337214221142949

8. Cereda E. Mini nutritional assessment. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. (2012) 15:29-41. doi: 10.1097/MCO.0b013e32834d7647

9. Pfeffer RI, Kurosaki TT, Harrah CH Jr, Chance JM, Filos S. Measurement of functional activities in older adults in the community. *J Gerontol.* (1982) 37:323–9. doi: 10.1093/geronj/37.3.323

10. Ellul J, Barer D. Interobserver reliability of a standardised swallowing assessment (SSA). *Cerebrovascular Dis.* (1996) 6:152–3.

11. Mira A, Gonçalves R, Rodrigues IT. Dysphagia in Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review. *Dement Neuropsychol.* (2022) 16:261–9. doi: 10.1590/1980-5764-dn-2021-0073

12. Wang S, Zhao Q, Hong J. Pay attention to the clinical study of intracranial venous circulation disorder. *Chin J Neurosurgical Dis.* (2016) 15:481-4.

13. Londhe C, Agrawal A, Pednekar S, Pandey D, Khan MF. Swallowing dysfunction after acute stroke: the incidence, predictors and outcome. *J Assoc Physicians India*. (2023) 71:11–2. doi: 10.59556/japi.71.0301

14. Dziewas R, Michou E, Trapl-Grundschober M, Lal A, Arsava EM, Bath PM, et al. European Stroke Organisation and European Society for Swallowing Disorders guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of post-stroke dysphagia. *Eur Stroke J.* (2021) 6:LXXXIX-CXV. doi: 10.1177/23969873211039721

15. Sherman V, Greco E, Martino R. The benefit of dysphagia screening in adult patients with stroke: A meta-analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2021) 10:e018753. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.120.018753

16. Yang C, Pan Y. Risk factors of dysphagia in patients with ischemic stroke: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *PloS One.* (2022) 17:e0270096. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0270096

17. Allen JE. Deglutition, swallowing, and airway protection: physiology and pathophysiology. In: Meyer KC, Raghu G, editors. *Gastroesophageal reflux and the lung*. Springer New York, New York, NY (2013). p. 1–22.

18. Li W, Gao M, Liu J, Zhang F, Yuan R, Su Q, et al. The prevalence of oropharyngeal dysphagia in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Expert Rev Respir Med.* (2022) 16:567–74. doi: 10.1080/17476348.2022.2086123

19. Nativ-Zeltzer N, Nachalon Y, Kaufman MW, Seeni I, Bastea S, Aulakh SS, et al. Predictors of aspiration pneumonia and mortality in patients with dysphagia. *Laryngoscope.* (2022) 132:1172–6. doi: 10.1002/lary.v132.6

20. Dallal York J, Leonard K, Anderson A, DiBiase L, Jeng EI, Plowman EK. Discriminant ability of the 3-ounce water swallow test to detect aspiration in acute postoperative cardiac surgical patients. *Dysphagia*. (2022) 37:831–8. doi: 10.1007/s00455-021-10333-0

21. Izumi M, Akifusa S. Tongue cleaning in the elderly and its role in the respiratory and swallowing functions: Benefits and medical perspectives. *J Oral Rehabil.* (2021) 48:1395–403. doi: 10.1111/joor.v48.12

22. Park YH, Han HR, Oh BM, Lee J, Park JA, Yu SJ, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of dysphagia in nursing home residents. *Geriatr Nurs*. (2013) 34:212–7. doi: 10.1016/j.gerinurse.2013.02.014

23. Cicala G, Barbieri MA, Spina E, de Leon J. A comprehensive review of swallowing difficulties and dysphagia associated with antipsychotics in adults. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol.* (2019) 12:219–34. doi: 10.1080/17512433.2019.1577134

24. Shunli R, Allescher HD. Drug-induced dysphagia. Chin J Gerontology. (2019) 39:2829–31.

25. Miarons M, Clavé P, Wijngaard R, Ortega O, Arreola V, Nascimento W, et al. Pathophysiology of oropharyngeal dysphagia assessed by videofluoroscopy in patients

with dementia taking antipsychotics. J Am Med Dir Assoc. (2018) 19:812.e1-812.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2018.04.016

26. Fuhou C. (2019). Early prevention of Alzheimer's disease, in: National Conference on Alzheimer's and Cognitive Impairment-related Disease, Guizhou, China.