#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Qiangjian Mao, Affiliated Hospital of Jiangxi University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Dan Zhang, Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, China Zhu Daocheng, Affiliated Hospital of Jiangxi University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Xiaoqiang Liu Iuxiaoqianghusina123@gmail.com Yisen Huang 18159503331@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 11 December 2024 ACCEPTED 17 March 2025 PUBLISHED 31 March 2025

#### CITATION

Huang Y, Wang Y, Xu B, Zeng Y, Chen P, Huang Y and Liu X (2025) The association between constipation and anxiety: a cross-sectional study and Mendelian randomization analysis. *Front. Psychiatry* 16:1543692. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2025.1543692

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Huang, Wang, Xu, Zeng, Chen, Huang and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# The association between constipation and anxiety: a cross-sectional study and Mendelian randomization analysis

Yingxuan Huang<sup>†</sup>, Yubin Wang<sup>†</sup>, Boming Xu, Yilin Zeng, Peizhong Chen, Yisen Huang<sup>\*</sup> and Xiaoqiang Liu<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Gastroenterology, First Hospital of Quanzhou Affiliated to Fujian Medical University, Quanzhou, Fujian, China

**Objective:** The relationship between constipation and anxiety remains underexplored. This study investigates the association between constipation and anxiety in a representative sample of adults in the United States.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional analysis was conducted using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) from 2007 to 2010, including 9,126 adults aged ≥20 years. Constipation and anxiety were assessed using standardized survey instruments. Multivariable logistic regression models were employed to calculate adjusted odds ratios (ORs), and subgroup and sensitivity analyses were performed to validate the findings. Additionally, Mendelian randomization (MR) was employed to assess the potential causal relationship between constipation and anxiety using genetic data from large GWAS datasets.

**Results:** Of the 9,126 participants, 324 reported constipation (prevalence: 3.6%), and 2,424 reported anxiety (prevalence: 26.6%). Anxiety prevalence was significantly higher in individuals with constipation compared to those without (41.4% vs. 26.0%; P < 0.001). After adjusting for demographic, socioeconomic, lifestyle, and comorbid factors, constipation remained independently associated with anxiety (adjusted OR: 1.33, 95% CI: 1.02–1.73; P = 0.038). Subgroup analyses revealed no significant interactions. Sensitivity analyses, including multiple imputations, weighted analysis, and propensity score matching, corroborated the robustness of the results. MR analysis, however, revealed no significant causal association between constipation and anxiety.

**Conclusion:** This study identifies a significant association between constipation and anxiety in a large, nationally representative cohort. While the association

remains robust after adjusting for various factors, MR did not provide evidence for a causal relationship. Clinicians should consider evaluating and addressing anxiety symptoms as part of a comprehensive management strategy for patients presenting with constipation.

KEYWORDS

constipation, anxiety, American adults, cross-sectional study, NHANES, Mendelian randomization analysis

# **1** Introduction

# 2 Methods

Anxiety is a common mental health disorder characterized by persistent worry, tension, and various physical symptoms such as palpitations, sweating, and shortness of breath (1). The prevalence of anxiety disorders is rising globally, significantly impacting individuals' quality of life and social functioning. A prospective cohort study based on Danish national registry data found that anxiety patients had a 39% increased risk of natural mortality and a 146% increased risk of unnatural mortality (2). Thus, screening and early intervention for anxiety disorders are crucial, with identifying risk factors and high-risk populations being key components.

Constipation is another prevalent health issue, often presenting as reduced bowel movement frequency, difficulty in defecation, and incomplete evacuation (3). The prevalence of constipation varies across populations but is generally common among adults. Constipation not only affects physical health but also significantly impacts patients' quality of life, causing discomfort and psychological distress (4).

Existing research has increasingly revealed the close link between constipation and anxiety. For instance, a study evaluating social anxiety symptoms in children with chronic functional constipation found a prevalence of social anxiety of 67.5%, with a significantly higher rate and severity among girls (5). Additionally, a study in a Chinese population aged 60 and above identified anxiety as a risk factor for constipation. However, most of these studies focus on adolescents or the elderly, with relatively few addressing adults. Moreover, most existing studies are based on specific ethnic or regional populations, leaving it unclear whether these findings apply to other races or broader populations (6).

This study seeks to explore the relationship between constipation and anxiety, focusing on the adult population in the United States. Given the uncertain nature of this association, we aim to assess its causal link using Mendelian randomization (MR). By analyzing existing datasets, we aim to clarify the association between constipation and anxiety and provide a foundation for developing targeted psychological interventions for individuals affected by constipation.

# 2.1 Data source

Data for this study were sourced from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) conducted between 2007 and 2010. The inclusion of data from only these cycles is since the specific questionnaire on constipation was only available in the NHANES surveys during these two periods, which provided the relevant data for this analysis. NHANES, conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), aims to assess the health and nutritional status of the noninstitutionalized civilian population of the United States. Data collection includes demographic, socioeconomic, and healthrelated interviews during home visits, along with physical examinations and laboratory assessments conducted in mobile examination centers (MECs). From the 2007-2010 NHANES cycles, we selected 11,977 adults aged 20 years and above. Individuals lacking data on anxiety, constipation, or covariates were excluded. Ultimately, 9,126 participants were included in the analysis. (Figure 1) Approval for the NHANES study protocol was granted by the NCHS Research Ethics Review Board, with participants providing written informed consent. The use of publicly available deidentified data eliminated the need for additional consent. This study complied with the STROBE reporting guidelines.

### 2.2 Definition of constipation

Constipation was assessed using the bowel health questionnaire in NHANES, defined as having fewer than three bowel movements per week. Participants were asked to estimate their weekly bowel movement frequency, with fewer than three times per week classified as constipation, three to 21 times as normal, and more than 21 times as diarrhea (7).



## 2.3 Assessment of anxiety

Anxiety was evaluated during personal interviews using the question: "How many days during the past 30 days did you feel worried, tense, or anxious?" This assessment is based on the CDC's 14-item health days measure incorporated into health-related quality of life (HRQoL) evaluations. Anxiety status was categorized as "No" (0 to 6 days per month) and "Yes" (7 to 30 days per month) (8).

# 2.4 Covariates

Based on previous studies (7, 9), this study assessed various potential covariates related to constipation and anxiety, including age, gender, race, marital status, body mass index (BMI), poverty income ratio (PIR), educational level, smoking status, alcohol intake, total physical activity time, cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension, diabetes, pulmonary disease, arthritis, liver disease, cancer, and depression. Definitions are as follows: age was a continuous variable; gender was recorded; race was categorized into non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, Mexican American, other Hispanic, and other races; marital status was divided into married, never married, cohabiting, and other (including widowed, divorced, or separated); BMI was calculated based on height and weight; PIR was categorized into 1-1.3, 1.31-3.50, and >3.50; educational level was divided into less than high school, high school or equivalent, and above high school; smoking status was categorized as never (smoked less than 100 cigarettes in a lifetime), former (smoked over 100 cigarettes but not currently smoking), and current (smoked over 100 cigarettes and currently smoking) (10); alcohol intake was categorized into never (less than 12 drinks in a lifetime), former (at least 12 drinks in a year but not in the last year), and current, with further subcategories for heavy, moderate, and light use (11); total physical activity time was continuous (12); CVD included angina, chronic heart failure, coronary artery disease, or myocardial infarction; hypertension was determined by average systolic BP  $\geq$  140 mmHg and/or diastolic BP  $\geq$  90 mmHg, self-reported diagnosis, or use of antihypertensive medication; diabetes was determined by diagnosis, HbA1c levels  $\geq$  6.5%, fasting glucose levels  $\geq$  7.0 mmol/L, random/2-hour Oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) glucose levels  $\geq$  11.1 mmol/L, or use of diabetes medication/insulin; pulmonary disease included emphysema, asthma, or chronic bronchitis; arthritis was self-reported; liver disease was self-reported; cancer was self-reported; depression was assessed using the PHQ-9 scale, with scores  $\geq$  10 indicating depression (13).

# 2.5 Mendelian randomization study design

We conducted a two-sample MR analysis to assess the causal relationship between constipation and anxiety. Summary data for constipation GWAS were obtained from FinnGen (https://storage.googleapis.com/finngen-public-data-r11/summary\_stats/finngen\_R11\_K11\_CONSTIPATION.gz), including 44,590 cases and 409,143 controls. Summary data for anxiety GWAS were obtained from the UK Biobank (https://pheweb.org/UKB-SAIGE/download/300), including 6,939 cases and 328,930 controls. The FinnGen study was proved by the Coordinating Ethics Committee of the Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District (Nr HUS/990/2017). All participants provided written informed consent. UK Biobank received ethical approval from the North West Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee (REC reference: 16/NW/0274) and was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

# 2.6 Selection of genetic instrumental variables

The selected genetic instrumental variables need to satisfy the following three assumptions: (a) the instruments are significantly associated with the exposure (constipation); (b) the instruments influence the outcome (anxiety) only through the exposure; (c) the instruments are independent of any confounders of the exposure and outcome (14).

To determine the instrumental variants (IVs) for constipation, we selected single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that were significantly associated with constipation in large GWAS studies ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ , linkage disequilibrium coefficient [LD]  $r^2 < 0.001$ ). For each SNP, we calculated R<sup>2</sup> to assess the proportion of variance in the exposure explained and calculated F-statistics to evaluate the strength of the association between the SNP and the exposure (15). SNPs with F-statistics <10 were excluded to avoid weak instrument bias.

# 2.7 Statistical analysis

Descriptive analyses were conducted for all participants. Continuous variables were presented as means and standard deviations (SD) or medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) for non-normally distributed data, while categorical variables were expressed as percentages. For continuous variables, comparisons between groups were performed using the independent samples ttest, provided the data followed a normal distribution. If the variables were not normally distributed, the Mann-Whitney U test was employed. Chi-square tests were used for categorical variables. Multivariable logistic regression models analyzed the relationship between constipation and anxiety. Unadjusted and various adjusted models were used: Model 1: Adjusted for age and gender. Model 2: Additionally adjusted for race, marital status, BMI, PIR, educational level, smoking status, alcohol intake, and total physical activity time. Model 3: Further adjusted for CVD, hypertension, diabetes, pulmonary disease, arthritis, liver disease, cancer, and depression.

Subgroup and interaction analyses tested the stability of the constipation-anxiety association. Statistical significance was determined by comparing adjusted ORs with 1.0 and describing 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Additionally, several sensitivity analyses assessed the robustness of the results. First, multiple imputations based on five replicates addressed missing covariate data. Second, NHANES guidelines recommend using sampling weights and design variables to avoid biased estimates and exaggerated significance levels, so complex sampling designs and weights were used in analyses. Third, propensity score matching (PSM) employed a 1:1 nearest neighbor matching algorithm with a caliper width of 0.2. Variables used to generate propensity scores were identical to Model 3. The extent of PSM was checked using standardized mean differences, with <0.1 considered an acceptable threshold.

In the MR analysis, the inverse variance weighted (IVW) method was used as the primary method to explore the relationship between constipation and anxiety. Various methods such as MR-Egger, weighted median, simple mode, and weighted mode were applied to test the reliability and stability of the results. All statistical analyses were conducted using R statistical software (version 4.2.1; http://www.R-project.org) and Free Statistics software (version 1.7; Beijing, China, http://www.clinicalscientists.cn/freestatistics), with the "TwoSampleMR" (version 0.5.6) and "MendelianRandomization" (version 0.5.1) packages used in the R environment.

# **3** Results

### 3.1 Baseline characteristics

In Table 1, Among the 9,126 participants, 324 reported constipation (3.6% prevalence), and 2,424 reported anxiety (26.6% prevalence). The average age in the anxiety group was 47.3 years (SD 16.1), significantly lower than the non-anxiety group's 50.9 years (SD 18.1) (P < 0.001). The proportion of females was significantly higher in the anxiety group (59.4%) compared to the non-anxiety group (46.6%) (P < 0.001). Other baseline characteristics, including race, marital status, BMI, PIR, educational level, smoking status, and alcohol intake, also differed significantly between the anxiety and non-anxiety groups.

# 3.2 Association between constipation and anxiety

In Table 2, Multivariable logistic regression models indicated that the unadjusted model showed a significantly increased risk of anxiety among constipation patients (OR: 2.01, 95% CI: 1.6-2.51, P < 0.001). After adjusting for gender and age (Model 1), the association remained significant (OR: 1.72, 95% CI: 1.36-2.16, P < 0.001). Further adjustment for race, marital status, BMI, PIR, educational level, smoking status, alcohol intake, and total physical activity time (Model 2) showed the association remained significant (OR: 1.63, 95% CI: 1.29-2.06, P < 0.001). In the fully adjusted model (Model 3), including CVD, hypertension, diabetes, lung disease, arthritis, liver disease, cancer, and depression, the association remained significant (OR: 1.33, 95% CI: 1.02-1.73, P = 0.038).

# 3.3 Subgroup analysis

Subgroup analysis results, displayed in Figure 2, showed no statistically significant interactions between constipation and anxiety across age, gender, BMI, smoking status, alcohol intake, hypertension, and diabetes, except (all P > 0.05). The association between constipation and anxiety remained relatively stable across all subgroups.

TABLE 1 Ba	aseline characteristics o	f participants in the	NHANES 2007-2010 cycle.
------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

Variables	Total (n = 9126)	No Anxiety (n = 6702)	Anxiety (n = 2424)	Test of significance
Age, Mean ± SD	50.0 ± 17.7	50.9 ± 18.1	47.3 ± 16.1	T, <i>P</i> < 0.001
Gender, n (%)				χ <sup>2</sup> , <i>P</i> < 0.001
Male	4561 (50.0)	3576 (53.4)	985 (40.6)	
Female	4565 (50.0)	3126 (46.6)	1439 (59.4)	
Race, n (%)				$\chi^2$ , <i>P</i> =0.011
Non-Hispanic White	4580 (50.2)	3332 (49.7)	1248 (51.5)	
Non-Hispanic Black	1732 (19.0)	1317 (19.7)	415 (17.1)	
Mexican American	1536 (16.8)	1132 (16.9)	404 (16.7)	
Other Hispanic	904 ( 9.9)	636 (9.5)	268 (11.1)	
Other Race	374 ( 4.1)	285 (4.3)	89 (3.7)	
Marital status, n (%)				$\chi^2, P < 0.001$
Married	4807 (52.7)	3680 (54.9)	1127 (46.5)	
Never married	1508 (16.5)	1086 (16.2)	422 (17.4)	
Living with partner	697 ( 7.6)	470 (7.0)	227 (9.4)	
Other	2114 (23.2)	1466 (21.9)	648 (26.7)	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), Mean ± SD	29.1 ± 6.8	29.0 ± 6.6	29.5 ± 7.2	Т, Р=0.001
PIR group1, n (%)				$\chi^2, P < 0.001$
1-1.3	2839 (31.1)	1914 (28.6)	925 (38.2)	
1.31-3.50	3495 (38.3)	2599 (38.8)	896 (37.0)	
>3.50	2792 (30.6)	2189 (32.7)	603 (24.9)	
Education level, n (%)				$\chi^2, P < 0.001$
Less than high school	2530 (27.7)	1777 (26.5)	753 (31.1)	
High school or equivalent	2183 (23.9)	1655 (24.7)	528 (21.8)	
Above high school	4413 (48.4)	3270 (48.8)	1143 (47.2)	
Smoking status, n (%)				$\chi^2, P < 0.001$
Never	4760 (52.2)	3617 (54.0)	1143 (47.2)	
Former	2313 (25.3)	1781 (26.6)	532 (21.9)	
Current	2053 (22.5)	1304 (19.5)	749 (30.9)	
Alcohol intake, n (%)				$\chi^2$ , <i>P</i> < 0.001
Never	1190 (13.0)	926 (13.8)	264 (10.9)	
Former	1774 (19.4)	1278 (19.1)	496 (20.5)	
Current	6162 (67.5)	4498 (67.1)	1664 (68.6)	
PA total time, Median (IQR)	260.0 (0.0, 1020.0)	287.5 (0.0, 1050.0)	210.0 (0.0, 960.0)	U, <i>P</i> < 0.001
CVD, n (%)				$\chi^2, P = 0.007$
No	8098 (88.7)	5983 (89.3)	2115 (87.3)	
Yes	1028 (11.3)	719 (10.7)	309 (12.7)	
Hypertension, n (%)				$\chi^2$ , <i>P</i> =0.579
No	5246 (57.5)	3841 (57.3)	1405 (58)	

(Continued)

Variables	Total (n = 9126)	No Anxiety (n = 6702)	Anxiety (n = 2424)	Test of significance
Yes	3880 (42.5)	2861 (42.7)	1019 (42.0)	
Diabetes, n (%)				$\chi^2$ , <i>P</i> =0.397
No	7450 (81.6)	5485 (81.8)	1965 (81.1)	
Yes	1676 (18.4)	1217 (18.2)	459 (18.9)	
Pulmonary disease, n (%)				$\chi^2, P < 0.001$
No	7505 (82.2)	5687 (84.9)	1818 (75.0)	
Yes	1621 (17.8)	1015 (15.1)	606 (25.0)	
Arthritis, n (%)				$\chi^2, P < 0.001$
No	6523 (71.5)	4914 (73.3)	1609 (66.4)	
Yes	2603 (28.5)	1788 (26.7)	815 (33.6)	
Liver disease, n (%)				$\chi^2, P < 0.001$
No	8817 (96.6)	6528 (97.4)	2289 (94.4)	
Yes	309 ( 3.4)	174 (2.6)	135 (5.6)	
Cancer, n (%)				$\chi^2$ , <i>P</i> 0.034
No	8192 (89.8)	5989 (89.4)	2203 (90.9)	
Yes	934 (10.2)	713 (10.6)	221 (9.1)	
Depression, n (%)				$\chi^2, P < 0.001$
No	8245 (90.3)	6538 (97.6)	1707 (70.4)	
Yes	881 ( 9.7)	164 (2.4)	717 (29.6)	
Constipation, n (%)				$\chi^2, P < 0.001$
No	8802 (96.4)	6512 (97.2)	2290 (94.5)	
Yes	324 ( 3.6)	190 (2.8)	134 (5.5)	

#### TABLE 1 Continued

BMI, Body Mass Index; PIR, poverty income ratio; PA, physical activity; CVD, cardiovascular disease;  $\chi^2$ , Chi-square test; T, T-test; U, Mann-Whitney U test.

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for normally distributed continuous variables, and median (interquartile range, IQR) for non-normally distributed continuous variables. Categorical variables are expressed as percentages.

# 3.4 Sensitivity analysis

In Table 3, Sensitivity analyses using multiple imputations and weighted analysis indicated that the association between constipation and anxiety remained significant in all models. Multiple imputations showed a significantly increased risk of anxiety among constipation patients (OR: 2.03, 95% CI: 1.64-2.51, P < 0.001), remaining significant in the fully adjusted model (OR: 1.34, 95% CI: 1.04-1.73, P = 0.023). Weighted analysis showed the association remained significant in all models (OR: 2.01, 95% CI: 1.49-2.73, P < 0.001),

remaining significant in the fully adjusted model (OR: 1.57, 95% CI: 1.05-2.35, P = 0.036). Post-PSM, 324 pairs were well-matched with no significant differences between groups. Post-PSM, the risk ratio for anxiety was 1.47 (95% CI: 1.07-2.03, P = 0.018).

# 3.5 Mendelian randomization analysis

From the GWAS data, 19 independent SNPs significantly associated with constipation were selected as genetic instrumental

TABLE 2	Association	between	constipation	and	anxiety.
---------	-------------	---------	--------------	-----	----------

Variable	Non-adjı	usted	Model	1	1 Model 2			Model 3	
	OR (95%CI)	P-value	OR (95%CI)	P-value	OR (95%CI)	P-value	OR (95%CI)	P-value	
Constipated	2.01 (1.6~2.51)	<0.001	1.72 (1.36~2.16)	<0.001	1.63 (1.29~2.06)	< 0.001	1.33 (1.02~1.73)	0.038	

BMI, Body Mass Index; PIR, poverty income ratio; PA, physical activity; CVD, cardiovascular disease.

Model 1: Adjusted for age and gender.

Model 2: Additionally adjusted for race, marital status, BMI, PIR, educational level, smoking status, alcohol intake, and total physical activity time.

Model 3: Further adjusted for CVD, hypertension, diabetes, pulmonary disease, arthritis, liver disease, cancer, and depression.

Subgroup	Variable	Iotal	Event (%)	OR (95%CI)		P for interaction
Overall						
Crude	Constipated vs normal	324	134 (41.4)	2.01 (1.60~2.51)		
Adjustes	Constipated vs normal	324	134 (41.4)	1.33 (1.02~1.73)		
Age						0.281
<65	Constipated vs normal	261	112 (42.9)	1.22 (0.91~1.65)		
>=65	Constipated vs normal	63	22 (34.9)	1.84 (0.99~3.4)	•	
Gender						0.862
Male	Constipated vs normal	82	26 (31.7)	1.33 (0.77~2.32)		
Female	Constipated vs normal	242	108 (44.6)	1.34 (0.99~1.82)	<b></b>	
ВМІ						0.336
<30	Constipated vs normal	217	87 (40.1)	1.43 (1.04~1.98)	<b></b>	
>=30	Constipated vs normal	107	47 (43.9)	1.14 (0.70~1.86)		
Smoking status						0.119
Never	Constipated vs normal	166	55 (33.1)	1.27 (0.87~1.85)		
Former	Constipated vs normal	61	28 (45.9)	2.14 (1.17~3.94)		-
Current	Constipated vs normal	97	51 (52.6)	1.10 (0.67~1.81)		
Alcohol intake						0.051
Never	Constipated vs normal	47	9 (19.1)	1.14 (0.70~1.86)	<b></b>	
Former	Constipated vs normal	76	36 (47.4)	1.97 (1.13~3.43)	<b></b>	
Current	Constipated vs normal	201	89 (44.3)	1.36 (0.97~1.89)	<b></b>	
Hypertension						0.998
No	Constipated vs normal	194	80 (41.2)	1.39 (0.99~1.96)	<b></b>	
Yes	Constipated vs normal	130	54 (41.5)	1.23 (0.79~1.90)	<b>\</b>	
Diabetes						0.318
No	Constipated vs normal	267	107 (40.1)	1.30 (0.97~1.74)	<b></b>	
Yes	Constipated vs normal	57	27 (47.4)	1.43 (0.73~2.78)		
Depression						0.421
No	Constipated vs normal	252	74 (29.4)	1.37 (1.03~1.82)		
Yes	Constipated vs normal	72	60 (83.3)	1.10 (0.67~1.81)		
					0.50 1.0 2.0 4	٦ ¥.0
					Effect (95%CI)	T.U

#### TABLE 3 Sensitivity analyses.

Variable	Non-adjı	usted	Mode	l 1				. 3
	OR (95%CI)	P-value	OR (95%CI)	P-value	OR (95%CI)	<i>P</i> -value	OR (95%CI)	<i>P</i> -value
Multiple imputation	2.03 (1.64~2.51)	<0.001	1.74 (1.4~2.16)	<0.001	1.65 (1.32~2.06)	< 0.001	1.34 (1.04~1.73)	0.023
weighted analysis	2.01 (1.49~2.73)	<0.001	1.74 (1.28~2.35)	<0.001	1.6 (1.15~2.22)	0.009	1.57 (1.05~2.35)	0.036
propensity score matching	_	-	-	-	-	-	1.47 (1.07~2.03)	0.018

BMI, Body Mass Index; PIR, poverty income ratio; PA, physical activity; CVD, cardiovascular disease. Model 1: Adjusted for age and gender. Model 2: Additionally adjusted for race, marital status, BMI, PIR, educational level, smoking status, alcohol intake, and total physical activity time. Model 3: Further adjusted for CVD, hypertension, diabetes, pulmonary disease, arthritis, liver disease, cancer, and depression.

variables for constipation. All instrumental variables had F-statistics greater than 10, indicating no weak instrument bias. In Figure 3, the results from the IVW method showed no significant causal association between constipation and anxiety (OR = 1.08, 95% CI: 0.85-1.36, P = 0.53). The weighted median method also yielded a non-significant result (OR = 1.07, 95% CI: 0.77-1.48, P = 0.68), and similarly, the weighted mode method showed no significant effect (OR = 1.09, 95% CI: 0.72-1.65, P = 0.68). Funnel plot analysis did not reveal significant bias (Supplementary Figure 1). Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis showed that the results did not change significantly after removing any SNP, further supporting the robustness of the results (Supplementary Figure 2).

# 4 Discussion

This study found a significant positive association between constipation and anxiety. In the unadjusted model, constipation patients had a significantly increased risk of anxiety (OR: 2.01), and this association remained significant after fully adjusting for various covariates (OR: 1.33). Subgroup analysis indicated that the association between constipation and anxiety remained stable across all subgroups. Sensitivity analyses using multiple imputations and weighted analysis confirmed the robustness of the findings. However, the MR analysis showed no evidence of a causal relationship between constipation and anxiety.

Current research on the relationship between constipation and anxiety presents inconsistent findings. Some studies have found associations between constipation and mental health issues like anxiety and depression, while others have not confirmed these associations. For instance, a study in the general Asian population found that constipation related to anxiety and depression was common, with regression analysis indicating that females and high anxiety levels were independent predictors of perceived constipation (16). Another multicenter cross-sectional study found that the prevalence of functional constipation among medical students was 26.3%, with female students, those with severe anxiety, and irregular breakfast eaters more likely to experience constipation (17). These findings align with our study, showing lower mean age and higher female proportion in the anxiety group. These results suggest that younger individuals and females might be more susceptible to anxiety. Clinical evaluation and treatment of constipation patients should focus on these highrisk groups for early identification and management of anxiety symptoms. Our study also found higher proportions of participants with CVD, lung disease, arthritis, and liver disease in the anxiety group. These chronic diseases are significantly associated with anxiety. Chronic diseases might affect patients' mental health through various mechanisms, exacerbating anxiety and constipation symptoms. This suggests the necessity of considering overall health status and psychological condition when managing constipation patients to achieve better treatment outcomes. Additionally, constipation patients often exhibit fecal urgency. Research indicates that the presence of anxiety is an independent predictor of moderate to severe fecal urgency (18).

In a study of Korean high school students, constipation was not significantly associated with anxiety but was significantly associated with depression (19). However, constipation in this study was defined solely based on stool consistency in the Bristol Stool Form Scale, excluding bowel movement frequency. Bowel movement frequency is a crucial feature of constipation (20), used as a valid method in NHANES datasets (21). Research indicates a low correlation between stool consistency and bowel movement frequency (22). Therefore, incorporating bowel movement frequency in the definition of constipation is necessary for a comprehensive study of the relationship. Another study found significant associations between constipation and depression in Parkinson's disease patients, but not with anxiety after adjusting for other variables (23). These inconsistent findings might result from different definitions of constipation or anxiety, small sample



FIGURE 3

Mendelian Randomization (MR) Plots for relationship of constipation with anxiety (A), Forest plot of five Mendelian Randomization estimators of the effect of constipation on anxiety; (B), Scatter plot of SNPs associated with constipation and the risk of anxiety.

sizes, short follow-up periods, and insufficient consideration of confounding factors. Our study overcame these limitations by using a large NHANES sample, comprehensive covariate adjustments, and various sensitivity analyses, providing more robust evidence supporting a significant association between constipation and anxiety.

Anxiety and constipation likely form a bidirectional relationship with both "top-down" and "bottom-up" interactions. Anxiety can exacerbate constipation by altering autonomic nervous system function, impacting gut motility, and dysregulating the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis (24, 25). It can also increase muscle tension in the pelvic floor, interfering with defecation (26). Additionally, anxiety-related coping mechanisms, such as hypervigilance, may worsen the perception of constipation (27). Conversely, chronic constipation, with symptoms like discomfort and bloating, can heighten stress and contribute to anxiety (28). Furthermore, changes in gut microbiota in constipation may influence neurotransmitter production, potentially exacerbating anxiety (29). This bidirectional relationship suggests that both conditions amplify each other's severity.

Mental illnesses, particularly depression, are considered significant factors that increase the risk of anxiety (30). Studies have found a significant association between constipation and depression (31). However, the impact of depression as a key covariate on the strength of the association between constipation and anxiety remains unclear. In Model 3 of this study, after adjusting for depression, the association between constipation and anxiety remained statistically significant (OR: 1.33, 95% CI: 1.02-1.73, P = 0.038). Nonetheless, the strength of the association after adjusting for depression was significantly lower than that in the unadjusted model (OR: 2.01, 95% CI: 1.36-2.16, P < 0.001). These findings suggest that although depression may affect the strength of the association between constipation and anxiety, it does not negate the overall significance of this association.

The link between constipation and anxiety may involve the interaction between the central nervous system and the gastrointestinal tract. Key mechanisms include: 1. Brain-Gut Axis Dysfunction: Increased brain connectivity in the orbitofrontal cortex and thalamus in patients with functional constipation and anxiety/depression correlates with their symptoms (32). 2. Corticotropin-Releasing Factor (CRF): CRF affects bowel habits and gastric emptying via autonomic dysfunction. Anxiety disorders involve CRF pathway hyperactivity, making CRF receptors potential targets for treatment (33). 3. Gut Microbiota: Anxiety patients have altered gut microbiota, with reduced probiotics and increased pathogens, affecting gut hormone secretion and function (34). 4. Psychological Symptoms and Muscle Tension: Anxiety increases pelvic floor muscle tension, leading to dyssynergia. Constipation patients without physiological issues may have more psychological symptoms than those with colonic transit delay (35).

To address the potential causal relationship between constipation and anxiety, we conducted a MR analysis. Using genetic data from large-scale GWAS datasets, we found no

significant causal association between constipation and anxiety. This suggests that while the observed association between constipation and anxiety in our cross-sectional analysis is robust, it may not reflect a causal relationship. These findings imply that genetic factors associated with constipation do not directly contribute to the development of anxiety. However, the absence of a causal link in MR does not rule out the possibility of a bidirectional relationship or a non-genetic mechanism influencing both conditions simultaneously. It is important to note that the NHANES study involved US participants, while the MR study primarily included Europeans. Population differences may contribute to result inconsistencies, as genetic, environmental, and cultural factors can affect the constipation-anxiety relationship. Previous studies have similarly identified population mismatches as a potential source of such inconsistencies (36, 37). This underscores the need for more diverse, population-specific studies to better understand the relationship between constipation and anxiety.

This study has several limitations. As a cross-sectional study, it cannot determine causality. Both constipation and anxiety were self-reported, potentially leading to reporting bias. Additionally, the definition of constipation was based on bowel movement frequency, which might not capture all aspects of the condition. MR analysis, while a useful tool for assessing causality, also has limitations. These include the reliance on genetic instruments that may not fully capture the complex biological pathways linking constipation and anxiety, as well as the potential for population differences that could affect the generalizability of the findings. Future research should explore the causal relationship and underlying mechanisms between constipation and anxiety to provide scientific evidence for clinical interventions and public health policies.

# 5 Conclusion

Using NHANES data, this study found a significant association between constipation and anxiety. This association remained significant even after adjusting for various covariates, suggesting an independent relationship. However, MR analysis did not identify a significant causal relationship between constipation and anxiety, indicating that while the association is strong, it may not be causal. Future research should further investigate the causal relationship and underlying mechanisms to provide scientific evidence for clinical interventions and public health policies.

# Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. All data entered into the analysis were from NHANES, which is publicly accessible to all.

# **Ethics statement**

Ethics approval was obtained from the NCHS Ethics Review Committee, and participants provided written informed consent. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

## Author contributions

YXH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YW: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. BX: Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft. YZ: Software, Writing – original draft. PC: Software, Writing – original draft. YiH: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. XL: Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by Startup Fund for Scientific Research, Fujian Medical University (grant No. 2022QH1268). The funder had no role in the study design, analysis, decision to publish, nor preparation of the manuscript.

# Acknowledgments

We thank Huanxian Liu (Department of Neurology, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China), and Haoxian Tang

# References

1. Romanazzo S, Mansueto G, Cosci F. Anxiety in the medically ill: A systematic review of the literature. *Front Psychiatry*. (2022) 13:873126. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.873126

2. Meier SM, Mattheisen M, Mors O, Mortensen PB, Laursen TM, Penninx BW. Increased mortality among people with anxiety disorders: total population study. *Br J Psychiatry*. (2016) 209:216–21. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.115.171975

3. Bharucha AE, Lacy BE. Mechanisms, evaluation, and management of chronic constipation. *Gastroenterology*. (2020) 158:1232-1249.e3. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.12.034

4. Tomita T, Kazumori K, Baba K, Zhao X, Chen Y, Miwa H. Impact of chronic constipation on health-related quality of life and work productivity in Japan. *J Gastro Hepatol.* (2021) 36:1529–37. doi: 10.1111/jgh.15295

5. Torabi F, Hashemi M, Zamanian M, Shayganfard M, Yousefichaijan P. The prevalence of social anxiety in children with chronic functional constipation. *J Compr Ped.* (2023) 14. doi: 10.5812/compreped-132384

6. Liang J, Zhao Y, Xi Y, Xiang C, Yong C, Huo J, et al. Association between depression, anxiety symptoms and gut microbiota in chinese elderly with functional constipation. *Nutrients.* (2022) 14:5013. doi: 10.3390/nu14235013

 Wang P, Shen X, Wang Y, Jia X. Association between constipation and major depression in adult Americans: evidence from NHANES 2005–2010. *Front Psychiatry*. (2023) 14:1152435. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1152435 (Department of Clinical Medicine, Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, Guangdong, China) for their valuable comments on the study design and manuscript.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2025. 1543692/full#supplementary-material

8. Wen Z, Bai L, Wu S, Chen J, Jama HA, Sawmadal JD. Association of serum vitamin D with anxiety in US adults: a cross-sectional study. *Front Nutr.* (2024) 11:1371170. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1371170

9. Zhao Z, Bai B, Wang S, Zhou Y, Yu P, Zhao Q, et al. Physical and psychological correlates of somatic symptom in patients with functional constipation: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2024) 24:134. doi: 10.1186/s12888-024-05559-9

10. Tang H, Zhang X, Luo N, Huang J, Zhu Y. Association of dietary live microbes and nondietary prebiotic/probiotic intake with cognitive function in older adults: evidence from NHANES. *Journals Gerontology: Ser A*. (2024) 79:glad175. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glad175

11. Rattan P, Penrice DD, Ahn JC, Ferrer A, Patnaik M, Shah VH, et al. Inverse association of telomere length with liver disease and mortality in the US population. *Hepatol Commun.* (2022) 6:399–410. doi: 10.1002/hep4.1803

12. Liang J, Huang S, Jiang N, Kakaer A, Chen Y, Liu M, et al. Association between joint physical activity and dietary quality and lower risk of depression symptoms in US adults: cross-sectional NHANES study. *JMIR Public Health Surveill*. (2023) 9:e45776. doi: 10.2196/45776

13. Costantini L, Pasquarella C, Odone A, Colucci ME, Costanza A, Serafini G, et al. Screening for depression in primary care with Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9): A systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. (2021) 279:473-83. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.09.131

14. Smith GD, Ebrahim S. What can mendelian randomisation tell us about modifiable behavioural and environmental exposures? *BMJ.* (2005) 330:1076–9. doi: 10.1136/bmj.330.7499.1076

15. Burgess S, Thompson SG, CRP CHD Genetics Collaboration. Avoiding bias from weak instruments in Mendelian randomization studies. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2011) 40:755–64. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyr036

16. Cheng C, Chan AOO, Hui WM, Lam SK. Coping strategies, illness perception, anxiety and depression of patients with idiopathic constipation: a population-based study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* (2003) 18:319–26. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2036.2003. 01663.x

17. Yousefi A, Kardarian B, Mojtaba Hashemi S, Noorbakhsh S. The relation between anxiety and functional constipation: A multicenter study in Iranian medical interns. *Trends Med.* (2020) 20. doi: 10.15761/TiM.1000227

18. Singh P, Takazawa E, Rangan V, Ballou S, Katon J, McMahon C, et al. Fecal urgency is common in constipated patients and is associated with anxiety. *Neurogastroenterol Motil.* (2019) 31:e13545. doi: 10.1111/nmo.13545

19. Kim JY, Lim MH. Psychological factors to predict chronic diarrhea and constipation in Korean high school students. *Medicine*. (2021) 100:e26442. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000026442

20. Irvine EJ, Ferrazzi S, Pare P, Thompson WG, Rance L. Health-related quality of life in functional GI disorders: focus on constipation and resource utilization. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2002) 97:1986–93. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2002.05843.x

21. Adejumo AC, Flanagan R, Kuo B, Staller K. Relationship between recreational marijuana use and bowel function in a nationwide cohort study. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2019) 114:1894–903. doi: 10.14309/ajg.00000000000441

22. Markland AD, Palsson O, Goode PS, Burgio KL, Busby-Whitehead J, Whitehead WE. Association of low dietary intake of fiber and liquids with constipation: evidence from the national health and nutrition examination survey. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2013) 108:796–803. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2013.73

23. Xiao-ling Q, Gang C, Bo L, Zai-li L, Xue-kui L, Xue L, et al. Depression is associated with constipation in patients with parkinson's disease. *Front Neurol.* (2020) 11:567574. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2020.567574

24. Lee Y, Kim Y-K. Understanding the connection between the gut-brain axis and stress/anxiety disorders. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* (2021) 23:22. doi: 10.1007/s11920-021-01235-x

25. Kale PP, Pallar AM. Combinational approaches targeting various aspects involved in intestinal barrier dysfunction-induced anxiety. *CDT*. (2022) 23:1085–98. doi: 10.2174/1389450123666220428093419

26. Berghmans B. Obstructed defecation syndrome in young women. austingynecolcaserep. (2023) 8. doi: 10.26420/austingynecolcaserep.2023.1039

27. Murray HB, Flanagan R, Banashefski B, Silvernale CJ, Kuo B, Staller K. Frequency of eating disorder pathology among patients with chronic constipation and contribution of gastrointestinal-specific anxiety. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2020) 18:2471–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2019.12.030

28. Turriziani L, Ricciardello A, Cucinotta F, Bellomo F, Turturo G, Boncoddo M, et al. Gut mobilization improves behavioral symptoms and modulates urinary p-cresol in chronically constipated autistic children: A prospective study. *Autism Res.* (2022) 15:56–69. doi: 10.1002/aur.2639

29. Gupta S, Dinesh S, Sharma S. Bridging the mind and gut: uncovering the intricacies of neurotransmitters, neuropeptides, and their influence on neuropsychiatric disorders. *CNSAMC*. (2024) 24:2–21. doi: 10.2174/0118715249271548231115071021

30. Kalin NH. The critical relationship between anxiety and depression. *AJP*. (2020) 177:365–7. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2020.20030305

31. Wu S, Yuan G, Wu L, Zou L, Wu F. Identifying the association between depression and constipation: An observational study and Mendelian randomization analysis. J Affect Disord. (2024) 359:394–402. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2024.05.124

32. Li G, Zhang W, Hu Y, Wang J, Li J, Jia Z, et al. Distinct basal brain functional activity and connectivity in the emotional-arousal network and thalamus in patients with functional constipation associated with anxiety and/or depressive disorders. *Psychosom Med.* (2021) 83:707–14. doi: 10.1097/PSY.000000000000958

33. Vasconcelos M, Stein DJ, Gallas-Lopes M, Landau L, de Almeida RMM. Corticotropin-releasing factor receptor signaling and modulation: implications for stress response and resilience. *Trends Psychiatry Psychother*. (2020) 42:195–206. doi: 10.1590/2237-6089-2018-0027

34. Wang J-K, Yao S-K. Roles of gut microbiota and metabolites in pathogenesis of functional constipation. *Evidence-Based Complementary Altern Med.* (2021) 2021:1–12. doi: 10.1155/2021/5560310

35. Whitehead WE. Psychosocial aspects of functional gastrointestinal disorders. Gastroenterol Clinics North America. (1996) 25:21–34. doi: 10.1016/S0889-8553(05)70363-0

36. Jian Z, Wang M, Jin X, Li H, Wang K. Diet-derived antioxidants and risk of kidney stone disease: results from the NHANES 2007-2018 and mendelian randomization study. *Front Nutr.* (2021) 8:738302. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2021.738302

37. Li W, Zheng Q, Xu M, Zeng C, Deng X. Association between circulating 25hydroxyvitamin D metabolites and periodontitis: Results from the NHANES 2009– 2012 and Mendelian randomization study. *J Clinic Periodontology*. (2023) 50:252–64. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.13736